

which reflects a debt increase of more than \$4 trillion—\$4,073,790,386,516.94 (Four trillion, seventy-three billion, seven hundred ninety million, three hundred eighty-six thousand, five hundred sixteen dollars and ninety-four cents) during the past 15 years.

#### CINCO DE MAYO

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, today, May 5, or "Cinco de Mayo," marks an important holiday for Mexicans and Mexican-Americans alike, and it will be observed with celebrations and festivities across the United States. Contrary to a popular misconception, Cinco de Mayo does not commemorate Mexico's independence from Spain. That holiday is celebrated on September 16. Instead, Cinco de Mayo marks the victory in 1862 of the Mexican army over a larger, better armed and better trained invading French army at La Batalla de Puebla.

After gaining independence in 1821, Mexico endured a series of set backs while trying to establish a republic. By the late 1850s, Mexico was in the grips of a severe economic crisis, and the treasury was bankrupt. In 1861, President Benito Juarez placed a moratorium halting payments on foreign debt. Since much of Mexico's debt was owed to France, Napoleon III responded by invading Mexico. After landing in the port of Veracruz, the French army, which was considered the finest military force of the period, expected to march through the country and easily capture the capital, Mexico City. However, a small Mexican army, under the command of General Ignacio Zaragoza, mounted a strong defense at the town of Pueblo and routed the invading force.

The stunning victory was short-lived, though. The French returned with reinforcements and were able to defeat Mexican forces the following year. But they were only able to control Mexico for four years, and President Juarez regained power in 1867.

Although, in the end, La Batalla de Puebla had little lasting military significance, it was, culturally, a watershed event for the fledgling nation, and for Latin America as a whole. After seeing Europe's best army routed by a hastily gathered and largely untrained Mexican defense, European leaders became more wary of exerting military force in the Americas. Europe never sent another invading force to the Americas after this episode.

The victory at Puebla also instilled a great sense of pride and patriotism in the people of Mexico. They proved their military mettle to themselves and the world, and their government, led by President Juarez, secured legitimacy in the eyes of other nations.

Finally, La Batalla de Puebla asserted the right of people living in former European colonies to self determination and national sovereignty, and it unified all the citizens of Mexico, from landowners to laborers, in a com-

mon cause. It marks the point when people stopped seeing themselves as subjects of monarchy in a distant land or restricted their loyalty to a particular state or region, but instead viewed themselves as citizens of a new nation, a nation united under the green, white and red colors of the Mexican flag.

Much has been said in recent years about the "commercialization" of Cinco de Mayo, and it is true that importance of this holiday often has been overlooked. However, to most Mexican-Americans, or Chicanos, Cinco de Mayo has a special meaning. Many scholars believe La Batalla de Puebla produced the first military hero from the American Southwestern region in General Ignacio Zaragoza, who was born in Texas. The holiday has long been a lesson in overcoming great odds through determination and unity. Today, Cinco de Mayo is an occasion for people of Mexican descent to come together to express pride in their history, and I encourage all Americans to enjoy this opportunity to celebrate and appreciate the contributions of Mexican culture.

#### RUMORS OF NURSING HOME BANKRUPTCY

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I serve as chairman of the Senate Aging Subcommittee and I feel a necessity to inform my colleagues about the issue of rumors about the pending bankruptcy of some nursing home chains in the United States.

There are reports in the press, and in discussions with my colleagues I have received information, indicating that one and possibly two large nursing home chains may be facing bankruptcy in the near future. That has an economic side and it has a human side. I will speak first about the human side.

Should one or both of these nursing home chains go bankrupt, we would have an immediate challenge to ensure the continued care of somewhere between 35,000 residents, on the one hand, and 70,000, on the other, in these respective homes where they are currently under care. This would be a significant task. Nursing home residents are frail and are not easily moved. Moving them runs the risk of causing "transfer trauma," a condition that can result in death. Therefore, it is critical that we keep focused on preventing avoidable harm and take precautions to prevent this from happening.

I have introduced legislation to ensure that the quality of patient care is monitored if there would be bankruptcy. My legislation requires the appointment of an ombudsman to act as an advocate for the patient. This change will ensure that bankruptcy judges are fully aware of all the facts when they guide a health care provider through the process of bankruptcy. Prior to a chapter 11 filing, or immediately thereafter, the debtor employs a health care crisis consultant to help

it in its reorganization effort. The first step is usually cutting costs. Sometimes this step may result in a lower quality of care for the patients who live there. The appointment, then, of an ombudsman, should balance the interests between the creditor and the patient. These interests need balancing because the court-appointed officials owe fiduciary duties to creditors and the estate but not necessarily to the patients.

There will be occasions which illustrate that what may be in the best interest of creditors may not always be consistent with the patients' best interest. The trustee's interest, for example, is to maximize the amount of the estate to pay off the creditors. The more assets the trustee disburses, the more his payment will be. On the other hand, the ombudsman for the patient is designed to ensure continued quality of care at least above some minimum standards. Such quality of care standards currently exist throughout the health care environment, from the health care facility itself to State standards and even Federal standards that were adopted in 1987.

I would like to have my colleagues consider the following excerpt from the Los Angeles Times on September 28, 1997, which describes the unconscionable, pathetic, and traumatizing consequences of a sudden nursing home closing because of bankruptcy:

It could not be determined Saturday how many more elderly or chronically ill patients may be affected by the health care company's financial problems. Those at the Reseda Care Center in the San Fernando Valley, including a 106-year-old woman, were rolled into the streets late Friday in wheelchairs and on hospital beds, bundled in blankets as relatives scurried to gather up clothes and other personal belongings.

The presence of an ombudsman should help prevent a recurrence of instances similar to what I just described, where trustees quickly close health care facilities without notifying appropriate state and federal agencies and without notifying the bankruptcy court.

I began discussions with the Health Care Financing Administration at the beginning of April to urge them to take seriously the rumors we were hearing about possible nursing home bankruptcies and to encourage them to make preparations. I called for contingency plans that would prepare, well in advance, for the daunting challenges bankruptcies would pose to various federal and state agencies. HCFA briefed the staff of the Aging Committee, as well as staff from the Finance Committee and Budget Committee. While the HCFA staff appreciated the severity and size of the problem of ensuring resident safety in the event of a bankruptcy, they did not have a plan—or even a plan for a plan.

I wrote to the HCFA Administrator urging her to take the effort very seriously, to keep at the planning and to stay in touch with my office. Only on April 28th did I hear from her office