good friend and colleague, Senator MIKE DEWINE—to make certain technical and other corrections relating to the Centennial of Flight Commemoration Act, which Congress passed last year. After the bill became law, the Department of Justice and the Office of Government Ethics expressed concerns about some of the bill's provisions, which we are here to correct today. I was pleased that members of the Ohio and North Carolina delegations worked together in a timely manner to address those concerns.

As 2003 quickly approaches, I look forward to participating in the commemorative events and celebrations coordinated by the Centennial of Flight Commission. The 2003 celebration will highlight one of history's most remarkable achievements and showcase the impressive growth of the Miami Valley's aerospace industry, which the Wright Brothers pioneered nearly a century ago.

The Wright Brothers of Ohio began this century in flight. The Miami Valley—and indeed the world—will honor their achievement at the dawn of the next century, and look beyond the horizon of history to ask ''What if?''

Mr. MCGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 1072.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the Senate bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the Senate bill just passed, as well as on H.R. 717, the National Parks Air Tour Management Act of 1999, and H. Con. Res. 187, Expressing the Sense of Congress Regarding the European Council Noise Rule Affecting Hushkitted and Reengined Aircraft.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2605, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. YOUNG of Florida submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 2605) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 106–336)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2605) ''making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes'', having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, $161,994,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use the remaining unobligated funds appropriated in Public Law 102–377 for the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, project for the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, study.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), $1,400,722,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104–303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99–662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; and Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota; London Locks and Dam; Kanawha River, West Virginia; and Lock and Dam 12, Mississippi River, Iowa, projects; and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, $8,000,000;

Harlan/Clover Fork including grading and landscaping of the disposal site at the Harlan floodwall, Pike County, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County Tug Forks Tributaries, Bell County, Harlan County, and Town of Martin elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in Kentucky, $14,050,000;

Jackson County, Mississippi, $800,000;

Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, $2,000,000;

Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, $6,000,000; and

Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County Tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and McDowell County, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, $4,400,000:

Provided, That no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be expended or obligated to begin Phase II on the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use $1,500,000 of funding appropriated herein to initiate construction of shoreline protection measures at Assateague Island, Maryland, subject to execution of an agreement for reimbursement by the National Park Service: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use Construction, General funding as directed in Public Law 105–62 and Public Law 105–245 to initiate construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River, except that the funds shall not become available unless the Secretary of the Army determines that an emergency (as defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)) exists with respect to the emergency need for the outlet and reports to Congress that the construction is technically sound, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable and in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.): Provided further, That the economic justification for the emergency outlet shall be prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines for economic evaluation as required by regulations and procedures of the Army Corps of Engineers for all flood control projects, and that the economic justification be fully described, including the analysis of the benefits and costs, in the project plan documents: Provided further, That the plans for the emergency outlet shall be reviewed and, to be effective, shall contain assurances provided by the Secretary of State, after consultation with the International Joint Commission, that the project will not violate the requirements or intent of the Treaty Between the United States and Great Britain Relating to Boundary Waters Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington January 11, 1909 (36 Stat. 2448; TS 548) (commonly known as the ''Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909''): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army shall submit the final plans and other documents for the emergency outlet to Congress: Provided further, That no funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to carry out the portion of the feasibility study of the Devils Lake Basin, North Dakota, authorized under the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102–377), that addresses the needs of the area for stabilized lake levels through inlet controls, or to otherwise study any facility or carry out any activity that would permit the transfer of water from the Missouri River Basin into Devils Lake.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a and 702g–1), $309,416,000, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing

river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, $1,853,618,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99–662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities: Provided, That no funds, whether appropriated, contributed, or otherwise provided, shall be available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, $117,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use $5,000,000 of funds appropriated herein to fully implement an administrative appeals process for the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, which administrative appeals process shall provide for a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall, using funds provided herein, prepare studies and analyses of the impacts on Regulatory Branch workload and on cost of compliance by the regulated community of proposed replacement permits for the nationwide permit 26 under section 404 of the Clean Water Act and shall submit a report based upon the aforementioned studies and analyses to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee of the House, and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites throughout the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, $150,000,000, to remain available until expended.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers; activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Water Resources Support Center, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center, $149,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no fully allocated funding policy shall be applied to projects for which funds are identified in the Committee reports accompanying this Act under the Construction, General; Operation and Maintenance, General; and Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, appropriation accounts: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake these projects using continuing contracts, as authorized in section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of September 22, 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621).

SEC. 102. Agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64–291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68–585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75–208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90–483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended (Public Law 99–662); section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended, Public Law 102–580; section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303, and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to credits and reimbursements per project not to exceed $10,000,000 in each fiscal year, and total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed $50,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 103. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in States that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, and for activities related to the Uintah and Upalco Units authorized by 43 U.S.C. 620, $38,049,000, to remain available until expended, of which $15,476,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited into that account, $5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act and $10,476,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under that Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, $1,321,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian Tribes, and others, $607,927,000, to remain available until expended, of which $2,247,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and $24,089,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund, and of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l–6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That section 301 of Public Law 102–250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, as amended by Public Law 104–206, is amended further by inserting ''1999, and 2000'' in lieu of ''and 1997'': Provided further, That the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features by section 10 of Public Law 89–108, as amended by section 8 of Public Law 99–294, section 1701(b) of Public Law 102–575, and Public Law 105–245, is increased by $1,000,000 (October 1998 prices).

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, $12,000,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a–422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed $43,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, $425,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, $42,000,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and other participating Federal agencies in carrying out ecosystem restoration activities pursuant to the California Bay-Delta Environmental Enhancement Act and other activities that are in accord with the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, including projects to improve water use efficiency, water quality, groundwater and surface storage, levees, conveyance, and watershed management, consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with such Federal agencies, $60,000,000, to remain available until

expended, of which $30,000,000 shall be used for ecosystem restoration activities and $30,000,000 shall be used for such other activities, and of which such amounts as may be necessary to conform with such plans shall be transferred to appropriate accounts of such Federal agencies: Provided, That no more than $5,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein may be used for planning and management activities associated with developing the overall CALFED Bay-Delta Program and coordinating its staged implementation: Provided further, That funds for ecosystem restoration activities may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in accordance with the cost-sharing agreement required under section 1101(d) of such Act, and that funds for such other activities may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in a manner consistent with such cost-sharing agreement: Provided further, That such funds may be obligated prior to the completion of a final programmatic environmental impact statement only if: (1) consistent with 40 CFR 1506.1(c); and (2) used for purposes that the Secretary finds are of sufficiently high priority to warrant such an expenditure.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, $47,000,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

SEC. 202. Funds under this title for Drought Emergency Assistance shall be made available primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors, in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase: Provided, That such purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy supply, and uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed one passenger motor vehicle for replacement only, $644,937,953, of which $820,953 shall be derived by transfer from the Geothermal Resources Development Fund, and of which $5,000,000 shall be derived by transfer from the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction or expansion, $333,618,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and title X, subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, $250,198,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That $30,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

SCIENCE

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, $2,799,851,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $240,500,000 to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That not to exceed $500,000 may be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, (Public Law 97–425) as amended: Provided further, That not to exceed $5,432,000 may be provided to affected units of local governments, as defined in Public Law 97–425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That the distribution of the funds as determined by the units of local government shall be approved by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds shall be made available to the State and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the State and each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy, that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities as defined in Public Law 97–425. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-state efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $35,000), $206,365,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total $106,887,000 in fiscal year 2000 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than $99,478,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $29,500,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed three for replacement only), $4,443,939,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense shall be provided by the Department of Defense according to procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of 35 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, $4,484,349,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That any amounts appropriated under this heading that are used to provide economic assistance under section 15 of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act (Public Law 102–579) shall be utilized to the extent necessary to reimburse costs of financial assurances required of a contractor by any permit or license of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant issued by the State of New Mexico.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, $1,064,492,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), $189,000,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses

necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $1,722,444,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That not to exceed $5,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses for transparency, national security and nonproliferation activities.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $112,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for the Northeast Oregon Hatchery Master Plan, and for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500.

During fiscal year 2000, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services, pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, $11,594,000; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed $28,000,000 in reimbursements for transmission wheeling and ancillary services and for power purchases, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, $28,773,000, to remain available until expended, of which $773,000 shall be derived by transfer from unobligated balances in ''Operation and Maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration''; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed $4,200,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500, $193,357,000, to remain available until expended, of which $182,172,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, $5,036,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, $1,309,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $3,000), $174,950,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed $174,950,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2000 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than $0.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 302. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy,

under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the $24,500,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 305. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 306. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 307. Notwithstanding 41 U.S.C. 254c(a), the Secretary of Energy may use funds appropriated by this Act to enter into or continue multi-year contracts for the acquisition of property or services under the head, ''Energy Supply'' without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. The Secretary of Energy may pay costs of termination or cancellation from—

(1) appropriations originally available for the performance of the contract concerned;

(2) appropriations currently available for procurement of the type of property or services concerned, and not otherwise obligated; or

(3) funds appropriated for those payments.

SEC. 308. Of the funds in this Act provided to government-owned, contractor-operated laboratories, not to exceed four percent shall be available to be used for Laboratory Directed Research and Development: Provided, That none of the funds in the Environmental Management programs are available for Laboratory Directed Research and Development.

SEC. 309. (a) Of the funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy, not more than $150,000,000 shall be available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses.

(b) Funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy may be used to reimburse a Department of Energy management and operating contractor for travel costs of its employees under the contract only to the extent that the contractor applies to its employees the same rates and amounts as those that apply to Federal employees under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, or rates and amounts established by the Secretary of Energy. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to the reimbursement requirements of this section as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 310. (a) None of the funds in this Act or any future Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act may be expended after December 31 of each year under a covered contract unless the funds are expended in accordance with a Laboratory Funding Plan that has been approved by the Secretary of Energy. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall issue directions to the laboratories for the programs, projects, and activities to be conducted in that fiscal year. The Secretary and the Laboratories shall devise a Laboratory Funding Plan that identifies the resources needed to carry out these programs, projects, and activities. Funds shall be released to the Laboratories only after the Secretary has approved the Laboratory Funding Plan. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to this requirement as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) For purposes of this section, ''covered contract'' means a contract for the management and operation of the following laboratories: Argonne National Laboratory, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, and Sandia National Laboratories.

SEC. 311. As part of the Department of Energy's approval of laboratory funding for prime contractors responsible for management of Department of Energy sites and facilities, the Secretary shall review and approve the incentive structure for contractor fees, the amounts of

award fees to be made available for next year, the allowable salaries of first and second tier laboratory management, and the overhead expenditures. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to this requirement as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 312. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to establish or maintain independent centers at a Department of Energy laboratory or facility unless such funds have been specifically identified in the budget submission.

SEC. 313. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 314. No funds are provided in this Act or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 315. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of the enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date.

SEC. 316. LIMITING THE INCLUSION OF COSTS OF PROTECTION OF, MITIGATION OF DAMAGE TO, AND ENHANCEMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE, WITHIN RATES CHARGED BY THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION, TO THE RATE PERIOD IN WHICH THE COSTS ARE INCURRED. Section 7 of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839e) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(n) LIMITING THE INCLUSION OF COSTS OF PROTECTION OF, MITIGATION OF DAMAGE TO, AND ENHANCEMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE, WITHIN RATES CHARGED BY THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION, TO THE RATE PERIOD IN WHICH THE COSTS ARE INCURRED.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, rates established by the Administrator, under this section shall recover costs for protection, mitigation and enhancement of fish and wildlife, whether under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act or any other Act, not to exceed such amounts the Administrator forecasts will be expended during the fiscal year 2002–2006 rate period, while preserving the Administrator's ability to establish appropriate reserves and maintain a high Treasury payment probability for the subsequent rate period.''.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, $66,400,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, $17,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, $20,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed $15,000), $465,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, $19,150,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at $442,000,000 in fiscal year 2000 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That $3,850,000 of the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy and other Federal agencies shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation estimated at not more than $23,000,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $5,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation estimated at not more than $0.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by section 5051 of Public Law 100–203, $2,600,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The Tennessee Valley Authority is directed to use up to $3,000,000 from previously appropriated funds to pay any necessary transition costs for Land Between the Lakes.

TITLE V—RESCISSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

(RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105–245 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, the following amounts are hereby rescinded in the amounts specified:

Calleguas Creek, California, $271,100;

San Joaquin, Caliente Creek, California, $155,400;

Buffalo Small Boat Harbor, New York, $15,100;

City of Buffalo, New York, $4,000;

Geneva State Park, Ohio Shoreline Protection, $91,000;

Clinton River Spillway, Michigan, $50,000;

Lackawanna River Basin Greenway Corridor, Pennsylvania, $217,900; and

Red River Waterway, Index, Arkansas, to Denison Dam, Texas, $125,000.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

(RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105–245, and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, the following amounts are hereby rescinded in the amounts specified:

Sacramento River Flood Control Project, California (Deficiency Correction), $1,500,000;

Melaleuca Quarantine Facility, Florida, $295,000;

Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, $3,484,000;

Anacostia River (Section 1135), Maryland, $1,534,000;

Sowashee Creek, Meridian, Mississippi, $2,537,000;

Platte River Flood and Streambank Erosion Control, Nebraska, $1,409,000;

Rochester Harbor, New York, $1,842,000;

Columbia River, Seafarers Museum, Hammond, Oregon, $98,000; and

Quonset Point, Davisville, Rhode Island, $120,000.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105–245 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, $3,000,000, are rescinded.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under the heading ''Department of Energy—Energy Programs—Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund'' in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1998 (Public Law 105–62), $4,000,000 is rescinded, to be derived from the amount specified under such heading for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to license a multi-purpose canister design.

TITLE VI—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 602. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a ''Made in America'' inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 603. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the ''Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan'' and the

''SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan'' described in the report entitled ''Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995'', prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 604. Section 6101(a)(3) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 2214(a)(3)) is amended by striking ''September 30, 1999'' and inserting ''September 30, 2000''.

SEC. 605. Title VI, division C, of Public Law 105–277, Making Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1999, is repealed.

SEC. 606. Section 211(e)(2)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–303, 110 Stat. 3682) is amended by striking ''in advance in appropriations Acts''.

SEC. 607. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be used to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementation, or in preparation for implementation, of the Kyoto Protocol which was adopted on December 11, 1997, in Kyoto, Japan at the Third Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, which has not been submitted to the Senate for advice and consent to ratification pursuant to article II, section 2, clause 2, of the United States Constitution, and which has not entered into force pursuant to article 25 of the Protocol.

SEC. 608. UNITED STATES ENRICHMENT CORPORATION FUND. (a) WITHDRAWALS.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1 of Public Law 105–204 (112 Stat. 681) are amended by striking ''fiscal year 2000'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2002''.

(b) INVESTMENT OF AMOUNTS IN THE USEC FUND.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest such portion of the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund as is not, in the judgment of the Secretary, required to meet current withdrawals. Investments may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States.

(2) ACQUISITION OF OBLIGATIONS.—For the purpose of investments under paragraph (1), obligations may be acquired—

(A) on original issue at the issue price; or

(B) by purchase of outstanding obligations at the market price.

(3) SALE OF OBLIGATIONS.—Any obligation acquired by the Fund may be sold by the Secretary of the Treasury at the market price.

(4) CREDITS TO FUND.—The interest on, and the proceeds from the sale or redemption of, any obligations held in the Fund shall be credited to and form a part of the Fund.

SEC. 609. LAKE CASCADE. (a) DESIGNATION.—The reservoir commonly known as the ''Cascade Reservoir'', created as a result of the building of the Cascade Dam authorized by the matter under the heading ''BUREAU OF RECLAMATION'' of the fifth section of the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1942 (55 Stat. 334, chapter 259) for the Boise Project, Idaho, Payette division, is redesignated as ''Lake Cascade''.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in any law, regulation, document, record, map, or other paper of the United States to ''Cascade Reservoir'' shall be considered to be a reference to ''Lake Cascade''.

SEC. 610. Section 4(h)(10)(D) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839b(h)(10)(D)) is amended by striking clauses (vii) and (viii) and inserting the following:

''(vii) COST LIMITATION.—The annual cost of this provision shall not exceed $500,000 in 1997 dollars.''.

SEC. 611. (a) The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, in carrying out the program known as the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program, shall undertake the following functions and activities to be performed at eligible sites where remediation has not been completed:

(1) Sampling and assessment of contaminated areas.

(2) Characterization of site conditions.

(3) Determination of the nature and extent of contamination.

(4) Selection of the necessary and appropriate response actions as the lead Federal agency.

(5) Cleanup and closeout of sites.

(6) Any other functions and activities determined by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, as necessary for carrying out that program, including the acquisition of real estate interests where necessary, which may be transferred upon completion of remediation to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Energy.

(b) Any response action under that program by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall be subject to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) (in this section referred to as ''CERCLA''), and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (40 CFR part 300).

(c) Any sums recovered under CERCLA or other authority from a liable party, contractor, insurer, surety, or other person for any expenditures by the Army Corps of Engineers or the Department of Energy for response actions under that program shall be credited to the amounts made available to carry out that program and shall be available until expended for costs of response actions for any eligible site.

(d) The Secretary of Energy may exercise the authority under section 168 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2208) to make payments in lieu of taxes for federally owned property at which activities under that program are carried out, regardless of which Federal agency has administrative jurisdiction over the property and notwithstanding any reference to ''the activities of the Commission'' in that section.

(e) This section does not alter, curtail, or limit the authorities, functions, or responsibilities of other agencies under CERCLA or, except as stated in this section, under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.).

(f) This section shall apply to fiscal year 2000 and each succeeding fiscal year.

This Act may be cited as the ''Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2000''.

And the Senate agree to the same.

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,
ROY BLUNT,
BILL YOUNG,
PETER VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MIKE FORBES,
DAVE OBEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2605) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 106–253 and Senate Report 106–58 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates $161,994,000 for General Investigations instead of $158,993,000 as proposed by the House and $125,459,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $100,000 for the Pima County, Arizona, project. To the extent appropriate, the study is to proceed with particular reference to recommendations and findings included in the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan, Pima County, Arizona, dated October 21, 1998.

The conference agreement includes $780,000 for the Metro Atlanta Watershed, Georgia, project. The additional funds have been included for investigations of flood damage prevention along: Utoy, Sandy and Proctor Creeks; Long Island, Marsh and Johns Creek; and Indian, Sugar, Intrenchment and Federal Prison Creeks Watershed.

The conference agreement includes $400,000 for an interim feasibility study of the LaQuinta Channel, Texas, to be accomplished separately from the Corpus Christi Ship Channel study. The study will investigate potential extension of the existing project.

Of the amount provided for Other Coordination Programs, $100,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to provide assistance and support of the preservation and revitalization plans associated with the Wheeling, West Virginia National Heritage Area. These funds will allow the Corps to objectively analyze the planned and ongoing design and construction work connected with these restoration efforts. The conferees direct the Corps to conduct an analysis of the sedimentation buildup behind Santa Cruz Dam in New Mexico. In undertaking this work, the Corps is to prepare a report: describing the nature of the problem and possible solutions; discussing the economic viability and estimated cost of potential solutions; and identifying existing authorities pursuant to which the Corps could undertake corrective measures or describing the need for additional legislative authority that may be required to accomplish the work.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to use previously appropriated funds to continue the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating funds for a study of the Yellowstone River at Glendive, Montana. Funds for this project have been included in the Section 205 program of the Construction, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsend's Inlet, New Jersey, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes $226,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for a project for flood control at Park River, Grafton, North Dakota. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes $50,000 for a general reevaluation report to determine whether the project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable and economically justified.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Hunting Bayou element of the Buffalo Bayou and Tributaries, Texas, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes $328,000 for this project element.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $1,400,722,000 for Construction, General instead of $1,412,591,000 as proposed by the House and $1,086,586,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of previous efforts by the Corps of Engineers to address sedimentation and other water resource issues along the Dog River in Alabama. The conferees direct the Corps to continue these and other efforts, using available funds, to the extent authorized by law.

The conferees are fully supportive of the San Timoteo feature of the Santa Ana River Mainstem, California, project and expect the Corps to commit funds required to maintain the most efficient construction schedule for this feature's completion.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to credit toward the non-Federal share of the project cost the cost of any work performed by non-Federal interests on the Panama City Beaches, Florida, project, subsequent to project authorization, to the extent the Secretary determines that work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees direct that the value of flowage easements acquired in the East Reach Remediation Area of the Little Calumet River, Indiana, project, be credited toward the non-Federal share of the project cost, to the extent the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, determines that the acquisition of the easements is compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees concur with the House direction on mitigation associated with the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock, Louisiana, project. The conferees note the significant differences in the estimates of the fair market value of property to be transferred to the Corps of Engineers by the local sponsor, and expect the Corps to work in good faith to arrive at an equitable solution to this issue in accordance with current law.

The conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to expedite, to the fullest extent possible, the completion of the Post Authorization Change for the Larose to Golden Meadow (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, project.

The conferees are aware that the Corps of Engineers has determined, pursuant to the requirements of Section 533(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, that additional work to be carried out on the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economically justified. The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to continue work on this project in fiscal year 2000 using continuing contracts as provided for in the Act.

Of the funds available for the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project, such sums as are necessary shall be used to plan and construct barge lanes immediately adjacent to either side of the Houston Ship Channel from Bolivar Roads to Morgan Point.

The Corps of Engineers is directed, using the latest hydrology data available, to maintain in fiscal year 2000 an appropriate level of protection at Longview, Kelso, Lexington, and Castle Rock, Washington, that is not less than that: described in the October 1985 Decision Document (the basis for the project cost sharing agreement with the non-Federal sponsors); authorized in Public Law 99–88; or recommended pursuant to the Mount St. Helens Sediment Control Study, Washington.

The conference agreement includes $25,150,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, project. Project elements are funded at the levels specified in the House and Senate reports. $700,000 is included for a Detailed Project Report for the Dickenson County, Virginia, element.

The conference agreement includes $6,260,000 for the Section 206 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 206 program, $100,000 is for the Lake St. Clair, Metro Beach, Michigan, project.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall allow credit toward the costs of the Koontz Lake, Indiana, project for the design and implementation of aquatic ecosystem measures by the non-Federal sponsor accomplished prior to the execution of the project cooperation agreement, to the extent the Secretary determines such work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conference agreement includes $6,500,000 for the Section 14 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes $35,800,000 as proposed by the House for the Section 205 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 205 program, $100,000 is for the City of Augusta, Kansas, project.

The conference agreement includes $7,500,000 for the Section 107 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes $10,000,000 for the Section 1135 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, $8,000,000; Harlan/Clover Fork, Pike County, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County Tug Forks Tributaries, Bell County, Harlan County and Town of Martin elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in Kentucky, $14,050,000; Jackson County, Mississippi, $800,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, $2,000,000; Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, $6,000,000; Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and McDowell County elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, $4,400,000.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds to begin Phase II on the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate permitting the Corps of Engineers to use $1,500,000 for the Assateague Island, Maryland, project, amended to subject the expenditure to reimbursement by the National Park Service.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate subjecting the expenditure of previously appropriated funds on the Devils Lake, North Dakota, project to a number of conditions.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for: the Norco Bluffs, California, project; the Brevard County, Florida, project; the Everglades and South Florida Ecosystem Restoration, Florida, project; the St. John's County, Florida, project; the Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, project; the Lake St. Clair, Metro Beach, Michigan, project; the Rochester Harbor, New York, project; the Brays Bayou element of the Buffalo Bayou and Tributaries, Texas, project; the Virginia Beach, Virginia (Hurricane Protection), project; and the Dickenson County, Virginia, element of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, project. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes funding for these projects as detailed elsewhere in the statement of managers.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates $309,416,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of $313,324,000 as proposed by the House and $315,630,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of the difficulties the Corps of Engineers is having in finalizing a project cost sharing agreement (PCA) for the Grand Prairie Region Project in Arkansas. Given these difficulties, the conferees

have not included additional funding for the project in FY 2000. This action is taken without prejudice and in recognition that the Corps has previously appropriated funds available for its use in fiscal year 2000. If the issues delaying finalization of the PCA are resolved in FY 2000, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to use its reprogramming authority to resume construction.

Of the amount provided for construction of the Mississippi River Levees, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee, project, up to an additional $2,000,000 is for construction of the Commerce to Birds Point, Missouri, grade raise.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to permit credit toward the non-Federal share of the project cost for any work performed by non-Federal interests on the Louisiana State Penitentiary Levee, Louisiana, project, subsequent to project authorization, to the extent the Secretary determines that work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees note that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, did not conduct necessary dredging and environmental assessment and impact studies for the initial components of the Sardis Lake development at Shady Cove, Mississippi, in accordance with the specific provisions relating to this project under Title I of P.L. 105–62. The conferees direct the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, to take all actions necessary to complete such work as required by P.L. 105–62.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $1,853,618,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of $1,888,481,000 as proposed by the House and $1,790,043,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees strongly urge the Corps of Engineers to use available funds to upgrade and maintain the water monitoring gages in the Alabama, Coosa, and Tallapoosa (ACT) Rivers, and Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint (ACF) Rivers in Alabama, Florida, and Georgia for the purpose of accurately monitoring water flows. The purpose of these water-monitoring gages is to accurately monitor water flow in the rivers and to use the data in the negotiations and implementation of the Congressionally authorized ACT/ACF Water Compacts.

The Corps of Engineers is directed to complete safety related dredging in the vicinity of shoals number one and number two in the lower end of the dredging area of the Chena River, Alaska, project.

The conference agreement includes $3,200,000 for maintenance dredging of the St. Petersburg, Florida, project. These funds are to be used to dredge to sponsor-constructed depths and to dispose of spoil material on Egmont Key, consistent with existing authorities.

Of the amount provided for the Mississippi River Between Missouri River and Minneapolis, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, project, $6,000,000 is for urgent bank stabilization work along the Sny Island Levee system.

The conferees are very concerned about safety problems resulting from the use of outdated hydrographic surveys in coordination with the Lower Mississippi River Vessel Trafficking System for the Mississippi River, Baton Rouge to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, project. Therefore, the Secretary, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall expedite updated hydrographic survey of the portion of the Lower Mississippi River coordinated by a Vessel Trafficking System.

The conferees understand that the Corps of Engineers recently released a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed placement of eighteen million cubic yards of dredged material in an open water site, known as Site 104, located just northeast of the William Preston Lane, Jr. Memorial Bridge (the Chesapeake Bay Bridge) in Maryland. The conferees are concerned about the potential approval of this site and impose upon the Corps an obligation to thoroughly analyze and review all practicable alternatives. In reviewing the alternatives, the Corps should conduct an exhaustive analysis of each site to include how re-suspension of sediments will affect nutrient loading and whether there is a resident population of shortnose sturgeon that would be impacted by the proposed placement of dredged material.

Within available funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to complete an environmental assessment, prepare plans and specifications, and coordinate with State and Federal agencies for the purpose of proceeding with maintenance dredging of the Little River Harbor, New Hampshire, project, and to proceed if determined to be in the Federal interest.

Of the amount provided for the Garrison Dam, Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, project, $50,000 is for continued mosquito control activities.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House permitting the use of funds from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds for land acquisition in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing $1,500,000 for the development of technologies for control of zebra mussels and other aquatic nuisance species in and around public facilities. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes $1,000,000 for the zebra mussel control program of the Corps of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Matagorda Ship Channel, Point Comfort Turning Basin, Texas, project. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes $100,000 for the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to study the economic justification and environmental acceptability of maintaining the Matagorda Ship Channel, Point Comfort Turning Basin, Texas, project, in accordance with section 509(a) of Public Law 104–303.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing that the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use not to exceed $300,000 for expenses associated with the commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial. The Corps of Engineers is directed to use available funds, not to exceed $300,000, for expenses associated with national coordination of the commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates $117,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the House instead of $115,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees strongly urge the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to review the need to revise Section 404(b)(1) guidelines to recognize existing land uses and the prior investments made on farmed wetland.

The conferees have provided $5,000,000 to fully implement an administrative appeals process for the Regulatory Program of the Corps of Engineers. This process shall provide for a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations, the results of which shall be considered final agency action under the Administrative Procedures Act. This language is not intended to create a new cause of action or legal mechanism that would result in additional litigation.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House providing that the results of a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations shall be considered final agency action under the Administrative Procedures Act.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House requiring the Corps of Engineers to prepare a report regarding the impacts of proposed replacement permits for the nationwide permit 26 on Regulatory Branch workload and compliance costs, amended to delete language requiring that the report be submitted to certain committees of Congress before the Secretary of the Army may adopt replacement permits or terminate the current nationwide permit 26, and amended to delete a deadline of December 30, 1999, for submission of the report to certain committees of Congress.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates $150,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) as proposed by the House and the Senate, and makes the funds available until expended as proposed by the Senate. The remaining statutory provisions proposed by the Senate are contained in section 611 of the bill.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates $149,500,000 for General Expenses instead of $148,000,000 as proposed by the House and $151,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support more than one regional office in each division.

REVOLVING FUND

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate authorizing the use of amounts available in the Revolving Fund to renovate office space in the General Accounting Office (GAO) headquarters building in Washington, D.C. for use by the Corps of Engineers and the GAO.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to undertake work funded in the conference agreement using continuing contracts and providing that no fully allocated funding policy shall apply to projects for which funds are provided in the conference agreement.

SEC. 102. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting funding of credits and reimbursements to $10,000,000 per project per fiscal year and a total of $50,000,000 per year for all applicable projects, amended to delete a limitation of reimbursements and credits to a single agreement per project.

SEC. 103. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that none of the funds made available in the conference agreement may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual if such revision provides for an

increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in states that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate directing continued funding of wildlife habitat mitigation work for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and State of South Dakota, and earmarking $3,000,000 to fund activities authorized under the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Act. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes $1,500,000 for these activities.

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:43 9/24/99 PAGE 1

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
ALABAMA				
ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL	150	---	150	---
BALDWIN COUNTY WATERSHEDS, AL	100	---	100	---
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	100	---	100	---
BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL	170	---	170	---
BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL	100	---	100	---
DOG RIVER, AL	350	---	350	---
LUBBUB CREEK, REFORM, AL	---	---	100	---
PERDIDO KEY BEACHES, AL	---	---	100	---
VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	250	---	250	---
ALASKA				
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK	---	75	---	75
ANCHORAGE HARBOR DEEPENING, AK	150	---	150	---
ANIAK, AK	100	---	100	---
BARROW COASTAL STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, AK	---	---	100	---
BREVIG MISSION, AK	100	---	100	---
CHANDALRR RIVER WATERSHED, AK	---	---	100	---
CHENA RIVER WATERSHED, AK	115	---	115	---
COASTAL STUDIES NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT, AK	220	---	220	---
DOUGLAS HARBOR EXPANSION, AK	140	---	140	---
FALSE PASS HARBOR, AK	150	---	150	---
GASTINEAU CHANNEL, JUNEAU, AK	---	---	100	---
KENAI RIVER WATERSHED, AK	128	---	128	---
MATANUSKA RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, AK	235	---	150	---
NAKNEK RIVER WATERSHED, AK	120	---	120	---
NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK	---	253	---	253
PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK	150	---	150	---
SEWARD HARBOR, AK	---	58	---	58
SHIP CREEK WATERSHED, AK	230	---	230	---
SKAGWAY HARBOR, AK	---	---	100	---
VALDEZ HARBOR EXPANSION, AK	150	---	150	---
WRANGELL HARBOR, AK	---	284	---	284
AMERICAN SAMOA				
WESTERN DISTRICT HARBOR, AS	125	---	125	---
ARIZONA				
COLONIAS ALONG U.S. - MEXICO BORDER, AZ & TX	200	---	600	---
GILA RIVER, NORTHEAST PHOENIX DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	342	---	342	---
GILA RIVER, SANTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN, AZ	200	---	200	---
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, AZ	50	---	50	---
PIMA COUNTY, AZ	---	---	100	---
RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ	250	---	250	---
RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ	263	---	263	150
RIO SALADO, PHOENIX REACH, AZ	---	1,545	200	1,545

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:43 9/24/99 PAGE 2

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
RIO SALADO, TEMPE REACH, AZ	---	100	---	233
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, AZ	---	---	250	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER (PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS), AZ	200	---	300	---
TRES RIOS, AZ	---	50	---	50
TRES RIOS, AZ	486	---	486	---
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	---	420	---	420
ARKANSAS				
ARKANSAS RIVER (NAVIGATION STUDY), FORT SMITH, AR	100	---	1,000	---
MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	200	---	200	---
WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWPORT, AR	---	307	---	307
CALIFORNIA				
ALISO CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	161	---	161	---
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	---	5,000	---	5,000
ARROYO PASAJERO, CA	---	150	---	500
BOLINAS LAGOON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	200	---	332	---
CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CA	---	---	100	---
COAST OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA	---	---	100	---
ENCINITAS, CA	---	---	100	---
HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	---	480	---	480
HUNTINGTON BEACH, BLUFFTOP PARK, CA	---	---	300	---
KAWEAH RIVER, CA	---	582	---	582
LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, CA	200	---	200	---
LLAGAS CREEK, CA	---	---	---	250
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA	---	---	100	---
LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA	---	250	---	250
MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA	100	---	---	---
MARE ISLAND STRAIT DREDGING EXPANSION, CA	50	---	50	---
MARIN COUNTY SHORELINE, SAN CLEMENTE CREEK, CA	25	---	25	---
MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA	---	50	---	50
MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA	100	---	100	---
MATILIJA DAM, CA	---	---	100	---
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	300	---	300	---
MORRO BAY ESTUARY, CA	100	---	100	---
MUGU LAGOON, CA	150	---	100	---
MURRIETA CREEK, CA	---	100	---	100
N CA STREAMS, CACHE CREEK, CA	---	---	400	---
N CA STREAMS, DRY CREEK, MIDDLETOWN, CA	150	---	150	---
N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO RVR RIPARIAN REVEGETATI	200	---	---	---
N CA STREAMS, MIDDLE CREEK, CA	150	---	100	---
NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	275	---	175	---
NAPA VALLEY WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	50	---	---	---
NEWPORT BAY (LA-3 SITE DESIGNATION STUDY), CA	---	---	700	---
NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	---	200	---	200
NEWPORT BAY/SAN DIEGO CREEK WATERSHED, CA	140	---	140	---
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	---	400	---	400
ORANGE COUNTY, SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	100	---	100	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:43 9/24/99 PAGE 3

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
ORANGE COUNTY COAST BEACH EROSION, CA	---	---	500	---
ORANGE COUNTY SPECIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA	---	---	400	---
PAJARO RIVER BASIN, WATSONVILLE, CA	---	---	---	250
PAJARO RIVER BASIN STUDY, CA	---	---	100	---
PAJARO RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	---	---	100	---
PENINSULA BEACH, CA	---	---	150	---
PINE FLAT DAM, FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION,	---	100	---	---
PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	150	---	300	---
RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CA	---	200	---	300
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	200	---	100	---
RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	125	---	125	---
SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA	200	---	200	---
SACRAMENTO AND SAN JOAQUIN COMPREHENSIVE BASIN STUDY,	2,000	---	3,000	---
SAN ANTONIO CREEK, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA	100	---	100	---
SAN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN DIEGO HARBOR (DEEPENING), CA	---	50	---	---
SAN DIEGO HARBOR (DEEPENING), CA	116	---	116	---
SAN DIEGO HARBOR, NATIONAL CITY, CA	50	---	50	---
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CA	200	---	600	---
SAN GABRIEL TO NEWPORT BAY, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN JACINTO RIVER, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, CONSUMNES & MOKELUMNE RIVERS, CA.	50	---	50	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, CORRAL HOLLOW CREEK, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, PINE FLAT DAM, F&WL HABITAT RESTO	125	---	125	100
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, CA	200	---	380	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METRO AREA, FARMINGTON D	150	---	150	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, TUOLUMNE RIVER, CA	150	---	375	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, CA	250	---	300	---
SAN JUAN CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	414	---	414	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA	50	---	50	---
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, CA	232	---	232	100
SANTA ROSA CREEK WATERSHED, CA	200	---	150	---
SANTA YNEZ RIVER, CA	100	---	---	---
SOLANA BEACH, CA	---	---	100	---
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	---	500	---	500
SOUTHAMPTON SHOAL CHANNEL AND EXTENSION, CA	70	---	---	---
STRONG AND CHICKEN RANCH SLOUGHS, CA	500	---	500	---
SUISUN MARSH, CA	---	---	100	---
SUTTER BASIN, CA	60	---	300	---
TAHOE BASIN, CA & NV	150	---	500	---
TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, CA	250	---	400	---
TULE RIVER, CA	---	150	---	800
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	---	300	---	300
UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA	250	---	250	---
UPPER SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	100	---	100	---
VENTURA HARBOR SAND BYPASS, CA	100	---	100	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 4

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
WHITE RIVER, POSO AND DEER CREEKS, CA	60	---	500	---
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	---	150	---	600
COLORADO				
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	340	---	340	---
CONNECTICUT				
COASTAL CONNECTICUT ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT	200	---	150	---
DELAWARE				
C&D CANAL, BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEPE	---	500	---	500
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ	---	---	---	1,075
DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, D	79	---	79	349
FLORIDA				
BISCAYNE BAY, FL	400	---	400	---
HILLSBOROUGH RIVER BASIN, FL	---	---	100	---
LAKE WORTH INLET, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL	---	---	100	---
MILE POINT, JACKSONVILLE, FL	---	---	100	---
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	---	105	---	105
ST LUCIE INLET, FL	---	188	---	188
TAMPA HARBOR, ALAFIA CHANNEL, FL	---	---	---	175
WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER BASIN, FL	---	---	100	---
GEORGIA				
ALLATOONA LAKE (ETOWAH RIVER), GA	---	---	325	---
ALLATOONA LAKE (LITTLE RIVER), GA	---	---	200	---
AUGUSTA, GA	189	---	189	---
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	---	469	---	469
INDIAN, SUGAR, INTRENCHMENT AND FEDERAL PRISON CREEKS METRO ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA	480	---	780	---
NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA & SC	442	---	442	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA	---	100	---	100
SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC	500	---	500	---
HAWAII				
ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI	40	---	40	---
BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HI	---	380	---	380
HONOLULU HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, OAHU, HI	225	---	225	---
KAHULUI HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, MAUI, HI	125	---	125	---
KAWAIHAE DEEP DRAFT HARBOR, HI (MODIFICATIONS)	---	---	100	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 5

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
IDAHO				
BOISE RIVER, ID	---	---	100	---
KOOTENAI RIVER AT BONNERS FERRY, ID	---	---	100	---
LITTLE WOOD RIVER, ID	---	---	100	---
ILLINOIS				
ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL	---	375	---	375
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	---	247	---	247
ILLINOIS BEACH, IL	---	---	131	---
ILLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL	350	---	350	---
KANKAKEE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN	295	---	295	---
PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL	300	---	300	---
ROCK RIVER, IL & WI	200	---	200	---
UPPER MISS RVR SYS FLOW FREQUENCY STUDY, IL, IA, MN, M	2,100	---	2,100	---
UPPER MISSISSIPPI & ILLINOIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO	6,700	---	13,000	---
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	---	450	---	450
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	201	---	201	---
INDIANA				
HAMMOND, IN	---	---	100	---
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	---	---	100	---
JOHN T MYERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN & KY	---	1,000	---	1,000
MISSISSINEWA RIVER, MARION, IN	---	---	100	---
MUNCIE, WHITE RIVER, IN	---	---	100	---
ST. JOSEPH RIVER AND SPY RUN CREEK, IN	---	---	100	---
IOWA				
DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA	400	---	300	---
INDIAN CREEK, COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA	90	---	90	---
KANSAS				
TOPEKA, KS	211	---	211	---
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	---	266	---	400
KENTUCKY				
AUGUSTA, KY	150	---	150	---
BANKLICK CREEK, KENTON COUNTY, KY	---	---	100	---
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS NAVIGATION DISPOSITION, KY	70	---	70	---
GREENUP, KY	---	---	200	---
LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY	150	---	250	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY	---	---	100	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY	304	---	304	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	400	---	400	---
OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV	7,157	---	7,157	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 6

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
RUSSELL, KY	---	---	200	---
LOUISIANA				
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	---	---	100	---
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	691	---	691	---
CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA	---	---	100	---
CAMERON LOOP, CALCASIEU PASS, LA	300	---	200	---
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA	---	134	---	134
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA	700	---	700	---
JEFFERSON PARISH, LA	200	---	200	---
LAFAYETTE PARISH, LA	415	---	415	---
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA, LA	---	---	1,500	---
ORLEANS PARISH, LA	225	---	225	---
ST. BERNARD PARISH, LA	---	---	100	---
WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	500	---	500	---
MARYLAND				
ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M	700	---	700	---
ANACOSTIA RIVER, NORTHWEST BRANCH, MD & DC	---	300	---	300
ANACOSTIA RIVER, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC	600	---	600	---
BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, DEEP RUN/TIBER HUDSON, MD	---	400	---	400
BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, GWYNNS FALLS, MD	200	---	200	---
EASTERN SHORE, MD	100	---	100	---
LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, MATTAWOMAN, MD	150	---	150	---
PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD	153	---	153	---
PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD	---	50	---	50
SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD	156	---	156	---
MASSACHUSETTS				
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	300	---	300	---
BOSTON HARBOR, MA	---	---	100	---
MICHIGAN				
DETROIT RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, MI	---	---	100	---
KALAMAZOO, MI	---	---	100	---
MUSKEGON LAKE, MI	---	---	100	---
SAULT STE MARIE, MI	---	---	---	200
WHITE LAKE, MI	---	---	100	---
MINNESOTA				
UPPER MISSISSIPPI R FROM LAKE ITASCA TO LOCK DAM 2, MN	---	---	100	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 7

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
MISSOURI				
BALLWIN, ST LOUIS COUNTY, MO	150	---	150	---
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	---	377	---	377
CHESTERFIELD, MO	---	320	---	---
CHESTERFIELD, MO	200	---	200	---
FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MO	---	325	---	325
KANSAS CITY, MO & KS	315	---	315	---
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	275	---	275	---
RIVER DES PERES, MO	---	---	---	50
ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	285	---	285	---
ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL	---	322	---	322
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	58	---	58	---
NEBRASKA				
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	---	153	---	153
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	72	---	72	---
LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	350	---	508	92
NEVADA				
CARSON RIVER, NV	16	---	16	---
FALLON, NV	16	---	16	---
LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV	100	---	500	---
LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION,	---	103	---	---
LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION,	87	---	87	---
TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	---	550	---	600
NEW JERSEY				
ARTHUR KILL EXTENSION TO PERTH AMBOY, NJ & NY	100	---	100	---
BARNEGAT BAY, NJ	400	---	400	---
BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG INLET, NJ	---	---	---	200
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	---	---	200
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NJ	---	---	226	---
HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, NJ & NY	---	---	100	---
LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS TO CAPE MAY POINT, NJ	---	---	---	178
MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	---	---	310	---
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ	519	---	519	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ	545	---	895	200
SHREWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ	---	---	100	---
SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	569	---	569	---
STONY BROOK, NJ	---	---	100	---
TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	---	---	---	400
UPPER PASSAIC RIVER AND TRIBS, LONG HILL, MORRIS COUNT	200	---	200	---
UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, MORRIS COUNTY, NJ	200	---	200	---
WOODBRIDGE AND RAHWAY, NJ	100	---	100	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 8

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
NEW MEXICO				
ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM.....	50	---	50	---
NORTH LAS CRUCES, NM.....	---	---	200	---
RIO GRANDE WATER MANAGEMENT, NM, CO & TX.....	50	---	50	---
SW VALLEY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION STUDY, ALBUQUERQUE, N	250	---	250	---
NEW YORK				
ADDISON, NY.....	150	---	150	---
ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY.	---	1,312	---	1,312
AUSABLE RIVER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTIES, NY...	100	---	100	---
BOQUET RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ESSEX COUNTY, NY.....	100	---	100	---
BRONX RIVER BASIN,NY.....	100	---	100	---
CHEMUNG RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NY & PA	100	---	100	---
CLINTON COUNTY, NY.....	---	---	100	---
ELLICOTT CREEK, NY.....	---	---	100	---
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY.....	600	---	600	---
HAMLIN BEACH AND LAKESIDE BEACH, NY.....	---	---	100	---
HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY.....	---	100	---	100
HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY.....	100	---	100	---
HUDSON RIVER, HUDSON, NY.....	---	---	100	---
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, ARVERNE, NY.	50	---	---	---
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY.....	166	---	166	---
LINDENHURST,NY.....	100	---	100	---
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ.....	---	2,534	---	2,534
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ.....	884	---	884	---
NEW YORK HARBOR ANCHORAGE AREAS, NY.....	300	---	300	---
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY.....	---	---	200	---
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, BAYVILLE, NY.....	50	---	50	---
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY.....	130	---	130	---
OTSEGO LAKE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NY.....	150	---	150	---
SAWMILL RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NY.....	100	---	100	---
SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY.....	50	---	50	---
SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY.....	350	---	350	---
SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN WATER MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD.	---	60	---	60
SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN WATER MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD.	101	---	101	---
UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY.....	300	---	200	---
NORTH CAROLINA				
BOGUE BANKS, NC.....	---	---	100	---
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	---	850	---	850
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	---	---	---	100
JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC.....	---	---	100	---
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC.....	400	---	200	---
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC.....	---	350	---	---
NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC.....	100	---	---	---
NEW RIVER BASIN, NC, VA & WV.....	---	---	100	---
TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, EASTERN BAND CHEROKEE NATIO	398	---	150	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 9

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, FRANKLIN, MACON COUNTY, NC.	199	---	100	---
NORTH DAKOTA				
DEVILS LAKE, ND	300	---	300	---
PARK RIVER, GRAFTON, ND	---	---	50	---
OHIO				
ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH	---	600	---	600
BERLIN LAKE, OH	---	---	100	---
COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH	400	---	300	---
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, MONDAY CREEK, OH.	100	---	100	---
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH.	200	---	---	---
MAUMEE RIVER, OH	---	116	---	116
MICHAEL J. KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	---	---	100	---
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	---	---	100	---
MUSKINGUM BASIN SYSTEM STUDY, OH	---	---	100	---
RICHLAND COUNTY, OH	---	---	100	---
OKLAHOMA				
CIMARRON RIVER BASIN, OK & KS	---	---	100	---
SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA, OK	---	---	100	---
WARR ACRES AND BETHANY, OK	---	---	100	---
OREGON				
COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA..	---	892	---	892
COLUMBIA SLOUGH, OR	95	---	---	---
TILLAMOOK BAY AND ESTUARY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR...	200	---	100	---
WALLA WALLA RIVER WATERSHED, OR & WA	90	---	90	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR	291	---	291	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR	---	---	100	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR	300	---	100	---
PENNSYLVANIA				
BLOOMSBURG, PA	184	---	300	---
CONEMAUGH RVR BASIN, NANTY GLO ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATI	---	140	---	---
TURTLE CREEK BASIN, BRUSH CREEK ENV RESTORATION, PA...	191	---	---	---
TURTLE CREEK BASIN, LYONS RUN ENV RESTORATION, PA.....	223	---	---	---
TURTLE CREEK BASIN, UPPER TURTLE CREEK ENV RESTORATION	255	---	255	---
UPPER SUSQUEHANA RIVER BASIN, PA & NY	---	---	250	---
PUERTO RICO				
RIO GUANAJIBO, PR	---	403	---	403
RIO NIGUA AT SALINAS, PR	---	463	---	463

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 10

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
RHODE ISLAND				
RHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RI	177	---	177	---
RHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST & SRTM DMG REDU	157	---	157	---
SOUTH CAROLINA				
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	400	---	400	---
CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC	150	---	150	---
PAWLEYS ISLAND, SC	150	---	150	---
SANTEE, COOPER, CONGAREE RIVERS - GOOSE CREEK RESERVOI	---	68	---	---
YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC	---	50	---	50
YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC	182	---	182	---
SOUTH DAKOTA				
JAMES RIVER, SD & ND	100	---	100	---
WATERTOWN AND VICINITY, SD	---	95	---	95
TENNESSEE				
DUCK RIVER WATERSHED, TN	394	---	100	---
NOLICHUCKY WATERSHED, TN	200	---	200	---
NORTH CHICKAMAUGA CREEK, TN	288	---	288	---
TEXAS				
BOIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX	---	---	100	---
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX	300	---	300	---
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	672	---	672	---
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, LAQUINTA CHANNEL, TX	---	---	400	---
CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX	---	300	---	---
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER, TX	---	1,553	---	1,553
GIWW, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX	830	---	830	---
GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX	770	---	770	---
GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX	840	---	840	---
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY MODIFICATION, TX	---	---	100	---
GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	---	560	---	560
GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVERS,.TX	---	---	400	---
HUNTING BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	---	328	---	328
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, TX	220	---	500	---
MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER, TX	300	---	300	---
NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	100	---	250	---
NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX	250	---	250	---
PECAN BAYOU, BROWNWOOD, TX	---	62	---	62
RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX	---	100	---	200
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX	---	---	100	---
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	700	---	700	---
SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX	---	600	---	600
SULPHUR RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, TX	245	---	245	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:51 9/24/99 PAGE 11

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	720	---	1,195	---
VIRGIN ISLANDS				
CROWN BAY CHANNEL, VI	---	241	---	241
VIRGINIA				
AIWW, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA	370	---	370	---
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, HAMPTON, VA	---	---	245	---
ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, ENVIR RESTORATION, HAMPTON ROAD	339	---	339	---
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	---	195	---	195
JOHN H. KERR, VA & NC	---	---	100	---
LOWER RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER BASIN, VA	---	---	100	---
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA	1,050	---	1,050	---
POQUOSON, VA	100	---	100	---
POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA	240	---	200	---
POWELL RIVER, ELY/PUCKETTS CREEK, VA	---	250	---	---
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY WATERSHED, VA	200	---	100	---
RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, EMBREY DAM, VA	200	---	200	---
WASHINGTON				
BELLINGHAM BAY, WA	---	---	100	---
CENTRALIA, WA	---	250	---	250
CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA	---	---	100	---
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	152	---	152	---
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	---	20	---	---
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	---	888	---	2,000
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	100	---	200	---
OCEAN SHORES, WA	---	---	100	---
PUGET SOUND CONFINED DISPOSAL SITES, WA	300	---	300	---
PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA	---	---	100	---
SKAGIT RIVER, WA	313	---	313	---
SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	66	---	66	---
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	201	---	150	---
TRI-CITIES AREA RIVERSHORE ENHANCEMENT, WA	200	---	150	---
WEST VIRGINIA				
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV	---	---	---	300
KANAWHA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV	650	---	650	---
MERCER COUNTY, WV	403	---	403	---
NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER ENVIRON RESTORATION, WV, MD	---	50	---	50
WISCONSIN				
FOX RIVER, WI	---	---	100	---

R$GGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS 11:51 9/24/99 PAGE 12

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
WYOMING				
JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY	---	340	---	---
MISCELLANEOUS				
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION	1,500	---	1,200	---
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES	100	---	100	---
FLOOD DAMAGE DATA	400	---	400	---
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES	9,000	---	8,500	---
GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (SEC. 401)	---	---	500	---
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES	500	---	500	---
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES	1,900	---	500	---
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS	8,100	---	8,000	---
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	6,500	---	5,800	---
PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)	400	---	400	---
REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT	300	---	300	---
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	27,000	---	26,200	---
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS	100	---	100	---
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)	700	---	700	---
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	700	---	700	---
TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER	650	---	650	---
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-23,496	---	-23,846	---
TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	102,362	32,638	123,949	38,045

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 1

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSO	3,000	3,000
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	700	700
TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS	---	1,730
WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH	750	750
WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	3,600	3,600
ALASKA		
CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK	4,357	4,357
COOK INLET, AK	500	1,700
KAKE HARBOR, AK	2,568	2,568
ST PAUL HARBOR, AK	500	1,000
ARIZONA		
CLIFTON, AZ	645	645
ARKANSAS		
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)	11,964	10,464
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	3,080	3,080
MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR	20,000	45,000
RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR & TX	---	4,000
RED RIVER WATERWAY (INDEX, AR TO DENISON DAM, TX)	---	275
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (NATOMAS), CA	4,000	4,000
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	17,000	17,000
CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA	500	500
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	5,000	5,000
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	3,200	---
IMPERIAL BEACH (SILVER STRAND SHORELINE), CA	---	351
KAWEAH RIVER, CA	---	2,000
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	30,000	50,000
LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA	9,785	4,785
LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	2,317	2,317
MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	300	300
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	500	500
MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	4,000	4,000
NAPA RIVER, CA	4,500	3,500
NORCO BLUFFS, CA	---	2,200
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	7,000	7,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	3,000	6,000
SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA	4,800	4,800
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	20,000	28,000
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	4,960	4,500
SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA	14,800	14,800

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 2

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY)	1,250	1,250
SURFSIDE-SUNSET AND NEWPORT BEACH, CA	---	400
UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	3,055	3,055
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	7,700	7,000
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND	---	325
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	259	259
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY, FL	---	5,000
CANAVERAL HARBOR DEEPENING, FL	830	830
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	2,750	2,750
CEDAR HAMMOCK, WARES CREEK, FL	---	3,000
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	52,300	52,300
DADE COUNTY, FL	2,000	5,000
EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	21,100	20,000
FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL	---	1,000
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	6,000	6,000
KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	39,800	28,100
LAKE WORTH INLET SAND TRANSFER PLANT, FL	---	1,000
LEE COUNTY, FL	---	350
MANATEE HARBOR, FL	4,700	4,700
MARTIN COUNTY, FL	---	250
MIAMI HARBOR CHANNEL, FL	15,000	15,000
PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL	3,000	6,000
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	---	250
PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	2,000	2,000
TAMPA HARBOR (YBOR CHANNEL), FL	---	3,200
ST JOHN'S COUNTY, FL (SHORE PROTECTION)	---	1,000
GEORGIA		
BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB)	3,650	3,350
HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	1,500	1,500
LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA	---	200
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	8,500	8,000
THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	8,000	7,500
HAWAII		
IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR)	219	219
KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI	75	75
MAALAEA HARBOR, MAUI, HI	272	272

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 3

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ILLINOIS		
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	1,600	2,100
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL.	100	300
CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL	7,629	13,129
DES PLAINES WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION, IL	---	1,075
EAST ST LOUIS, IL	2,000	2,000
EAST ST LOUIS INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL, IL	---	488
LOCK AND DAM 24, MISS RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REHABILITA	5,044	5,044
LOCK AND DAM 25, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	4,456	4,456
LOVES PARK, IL	3,888	3,588
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	2,500	4,500
MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	2,900	2,900
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY	28,634	34,000
UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MO, MN	18,955	18,955
INDIANA		
FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN	4,000	3,600
INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN	---	8,000
INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN	---	40
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	3,900	9,400
OHIO RIVER FLOOD PROTECTION (INDIANA SHORELINE), IN	---	800
PATOKA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB)	2,000	2,000
WHITE RIVER, INDIANAPOLIS (NORTH), IN	---	500
IOWA		
LOCK AND DAM 12, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)	2,600	2,300
LOCK AND DAM 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,092	3,792
MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K	5,000	8,000
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO	3,000	3,000
MUSCATINE ISLAND, IA	2,500	2,500
PERRY CREEK, IA	9,500	9,500
KANSAS		
ARKANSAS CITY, KS	4,300	4,300
WINFIELD, KS	154	154
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	1,450	1,450
DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY)	2,500	2,500
KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY	7,750	15,000
MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & IN	2,800	10,800
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY	3,251	3,251
SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY	---	2,000

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 4

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
LOUISIANA		
ALOHA - RIGOLETTE, LA	581	581
COMITE RIVER, LA	4,000	4,000
INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA	13,000	15,900
LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	11,887	16,887
LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORMWATER DISCHARGE, LA	---	500
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	2,000	2,000
MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L	1,500	1,500
NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	1,400	2,000
PORT FOURCHON, LA	2,184	2,184
RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L	21,113	23,600
SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA	47,066	47,066
WEST BANK VICINITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LA	7,000	15,070
MARYLAND		
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC	4,031	4,031
ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	200	200
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (BREWERTON CHANNEL), MD.	9,578	9,578
CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRON RESTOR AND PROT, MD, VA, & PA	---	340
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD	559	559
POPLAR ISLAND, MD	9,502	14,000
MASSACHUSETTS		
BOSTON HARBOR, MA	1,000	1,000
CAPE COD CANAL RAILROAD BRIDGE, MA (MAJOR REHAB)	5,000	5,000
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA (MAJOR REHAB)	3,257	3,000
TOWN BROOK, QUINCY AND BRAINTREE, MA	1,500	1,500
MICHIGAN		
CLINTON RIVER, MI SPILLWAY (DEFICIENCY CORRECTION)	---	250
MINNESOTA		
LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB)	3,200	3,200
MARSHALL, MN	2,275	2,275
PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY)	3,390	3,190
ST. CROIX RIVER, STILLWATER, MN	---	1,158
MISSISSIPPI		
JACKSON COUNTY, MS	---	800
NATCHEZ BLUFF, MS	---	2,000
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	7,792	7,792
WOLF AND JORDAN RIVERS AND BAYOU PORTAGE, MS	---	1,000

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 5

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	13,700	13,700
CAPE GIRARDEAU, JACKSON, MO	1,900	1,900
MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO	3,500	3,200
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	3,000	3,000
STE GENEVIEVE, MO	7,000	7,000
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY)	13,000	13,000
NEBRASKA		
MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD	300	1,000
WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE	100	100
NEVADA		
TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	20,100	29,000
NEW JERSEY		
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	7,000
CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ	1,700	1,700
DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA & DE	16,500	10,000
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ	419	419
NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN	2,000	2,000
PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N	1,800	1,800
PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ	---	6,000
RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ	1,300	1,300
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ	---	200
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	1,000	1,000
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	9,000	9,000
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM	1,500	1,500
ALAMOGORDO, NM	700	700
LAS CRUCES, NM	2,400	2,400
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE	600	600
RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,.	600	600
NEW YORK		
ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT,	300	300
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY,	3,320	3,320
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	3,000	3,000
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	3,250	4,250
KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ	60,000	40,000
NEW YORK HARBOR COLLECTION AND REMOVAL OF DRIFT, NY&NJ	---	1,000
NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM, NY	---	4,000

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 6

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NORTH CAROLINA		
AIWW, REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC.....	7,000	6,300
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	---	200
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.....	18,300	18,300
NORTH DAKOTA		
BUFORD-TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, N	5,000	5,000
DEVILS LAKE EMERGENCY OUTLET, ND.....	10,000	---
GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB).....	6,500	6,000
GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN.....	10,000	10,000
HOMME LAKE, ND (DAM SAFETY).....	3,000	2,800
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND (MAJOR REHAB).....	500	500
SHEYENNE RIVER, ND (BALDHILL POOL RAISE).....	---	1,000
OHIO		
BEACH CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY	1,400	1,400
METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH.....	2,266	2,266
MILL CREEK, OH.....	915	915
WEST COLUMBUS, OH.....	8,000	16,000
OKLAHOMA		
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY).....	500	500
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY).....	6,800	6,400
OREGON		
BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB).	10,800	10,800
COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA...	6,368	5,510
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR.....	500	180
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION, OR & WA...	262	352
WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR.....	1,700	1,700
PENNSYLVANIA		
JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB).....	6,800	6,800
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA.....	21,600	45,000
PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT).....	520	520
SAW MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA.....	3,500	3,500
SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, PA.....	---	3,000
WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING).....	20,000	20,000

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 7

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PUERTO RICO		
ARECIBO RIVER, PR	2,500	2,500
PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	5,434	5,434
RIO DE LA PLATA, PR	1,000	1,000
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	9,566	9,566
SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	8,000	8,000
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING)	37,284	37,284
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD	---	2,200
CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD	2,000	1,500
PIERRE, SD	10,000	7,500
TENNESSEE		
BLACK FOX, MURFREE SPRINGS, AND OAKLAND WETLANDS, TN	---	2,000
TENNESSEE RIVER, HAMILTON COUNTY, TN	---	1,500
TEXAS		
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	9,800	9,800
CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	8,700	7,900
CLEAR CREEK, TX	3,200	2,900
CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX	---	4,569
EL PASO, TX	6,200	5,600
GIWW, ARANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX	9,000	9,000
HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX	60,000	60,000
NECHES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX	2,000	2,000
SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX	610	610
SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	18,300	18,300
WALLISVILLE SALTWATER BARRIER, TX	---	4,756
VIRGINIA		
AIWW, BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA	3,000	3,000
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (MAJOR REHAB)	1,400	1,400
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	550	550
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	1,197	1,197
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	---	19,500
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (REIMBURSEMENT)	---	1,400

R$CGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 8

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID.....	100,000	67,500
LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	1,300	1,300
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA.....	540	540
THE DALLES POWERHOUSE (UNITS 1-14), WA & OR (MAJOR REH	2,300	2,300
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY).....	750	750
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV.....	---	800
LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	5,400	25,150
LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV (MAJOR REHAB).	600	600
MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WV.....	9,800	11,350
ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH.....	7,150	7,150
SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA, WV.....	---	1,500
TYGART LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY).....	2,900	2,900
WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA.	---	2,600
WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV.....	1,400	1,400
WISCONSIN		
LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI.....	---	3,000
MISCELLANEOUS		
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM.....	3,000	4,000
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206).....	4,500	6,260
BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 103).....	2,500	2,500
BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204).....	1,000	1,000
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM.....	20,000	10,000
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14).	8,500	6,500
EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION.....	19,554	19,554
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205).....	26,900	35,800
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE.....	45	45
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE.....	185	185
NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 111).....	500	400
NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107).....	4,500	7,500
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME	8,500	10,000
RIVERINE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD HAZARD MITIGA	25,000	---
SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208).....	100	100
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SIPPAGE, AND CARRYOVER BALANCES.....	-211,789	-225,000
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL.....	1,239,900	1,400,722

R$MGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES 11:42 9/24/99 PAGE 1

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
SURVEYS:		
GENERAL STUDIES:		
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, ALEXANDER COUNTY, IL AND SCOTT	30	30
ALEXANDRIA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	700	700
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	250	250
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS	675	675
BAYOU METO BASIN, AR	1,767	1,767
MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	700	1,000
REELFOOT LAKE, TN & KY	318	318
SPRING BAYOU, LA	---	100
WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN	525	525
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	365	365
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	5,330	5,730
CONSTRUCTION		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	37,685	37,685
EIGHT MILE CREEK, AR	700	700
GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR	21,900	---
HELENA AND VICINITY, AR	2,190	2,190
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	23,250	30,000
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	4,350	4,850
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	7,500	7,500
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	19,750	22,000
L'ANGUILLE RIVER, AR	---	100
LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY LEVEE, LA	3,000	9,000
MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS	100	100
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	10,400	10,400
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	8,930	8,930
YAZOO BASIN:	(24,279)	(41,150)
BACKWATER LESS ROCKY BAYOU, MS	20	20
BACKWATER PUMP, MS	500	1,000
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	3,915	4,500
DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS	6,294	20,000
MAIN STEM, MS	20	20
REFORMULATION UNIT, MS	1,570	1,570
TRIBUTARIES, MS	340	340
UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS	11,620	13,700
ST JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	7,800	9,800
NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS	2,500	2,500
WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	2,398	2,398
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	176,732	189,303

R$MGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES 11:42 9/24/99 PAGE 2

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MAINTENANCE		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	55,876	55,876
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR.....	284	284
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR.....	443	443
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR.....	66	66
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR.....	108	108
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	3,736	6,500
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO.....	6,300	8,700
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA.....	2,344	2,344
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR.....	964	964
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL.....	45	45
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY.....	25	25
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA.....	644	644
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	10,560	12,810
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA.....	157	157
BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA.....	101	101
BONNET CARRE, LA.....	1,068	1,068
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA.....	373	373
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA.....	84	84
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA.....	436	436
OLD RIVER, LA.....	4,027	4,027
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.....	2,927	2,927
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS.....	333	333
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS.....	193	193
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS.....	199	199
YAZOO BASIN:	(20,475)	(24,506)
ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS.....	3,265	4,265
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS.....	209	209
ENID LAKE, MS.....	3,214	4,214
GREENWOOD, MS.....	946	946
GRENADA LAKE, MS.....	4,280	5,280
MAIN STEM, MS.....	1,059	1,059
SARDIS LAKE, MS.....	4,334	5,334
TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	1,269	1,300
WILL M WHITTINGTON AUXILIARY CHANNEL, MS.....	493	493
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS.....	560	560
YAZOO CITY, MS.....	846	846
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.....	202	202
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.....	3,500	3,500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN.....	113	113
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN.....	800	800
MAPPING.....	1,117	1,117
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE.....	117,500	128,945
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-19,562	-14,562
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	280,000	309,416

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 1

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	3,000	3,000
ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	5,185	5,385
BAYOU CODEN, AL	---	500
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	10	10
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	15,917	19,200
BON SECOUR, AL	---	150
DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL	---	500
DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL	---	500
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	4,000	5,500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	40	40
MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA	5,560	5,560
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	17,562	19,562
PERDIDO PASS, AL	---	250
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	300	300
REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT PILOT PROJECT, AL & FL	---	1,000
ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL	6,183	6,183
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL	95	95
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	19,999	21,000
WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	7,910	7,910
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	1,794	1,794
CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	1,552	1,552
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	401	401
HOMER HARBOR, AK	188	188
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	35	35
LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL (SEWARD), AK	---	1,000
NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	180	180
NOME HARBOR, AK	460	460
PETERSBURG HARBOR, AK	88	88
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	502	502
ST PAUL HARBOR, AK	384	384
WRANGELL NARROWS, AK	1,024	1,024
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,180	1,180
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	75	75
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,118	1,118
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	27	27
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	155	155
ARKANSAS		
BEAVER LAKE, AR	3,702	3,702
BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	5,585	5,585
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,117	1,117

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 2

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	5,536	5,536
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,673	5,673
DEGRAY LAKE, AR	4,167	4,167
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,285	1,285
DIERKS LAKE, AR	1,054	1,054
GILLHAM LAKE, AR	1,002	1,002
GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR	4,946	4,946
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	295	295
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	283	283
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	25,086	25,086
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	1,816	1,816
NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	3,498	3,498
NIMROD LAKE, AR	1,367	1,367
NORFORK LAKE, AR	3,803	3,803
OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	523	523
OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	6,538	6,538
OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,515	5,515
WHITE RIVER, AR	2,363	2,363
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	171	171
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	1,844	1,844
BUCHANAN DAM, H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA	2,055	2,055
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	170	170
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	3,877	3,877
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA	4,272	4,272
FARMINGTON DAM, CA	332	332
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	2,069	2,069
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	4,189	4,189
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	1,021	1,021
ISABELLA LAKE MITIGATION, CA	3,700	3,700
ISABELLA LAKE, CA	1,456	1,456
LARKSPUR FERRY CHANNEL, CA	---	3,340
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA	165	165
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA	100	1,000
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	3,940	3,940
MARINA DEL REY, CA	---	3,500
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	277	277
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	246	246
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	2,818	3,818
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	1,894	1,894
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1,081	1,081
NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	40	40
NOYO RIVER & HARBOR, CA	758	758
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	8,149	8,149
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	1,170	1,170
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	2,301	2,301
PORT OF HUENEME, CA	---	2,700
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	1,138	1,138

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 3

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	5,546	5,546
SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	1,656	1,656
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA.	1,149	1,149
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	153	153
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA	2,289	2,289
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA	2,473	2,473
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	2,441	2,441
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA	1,662	1,662
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	3,007	3,007
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	1,646	1,646
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA	1,516	1,516
SUCCESS LAKE, CA	1,880	1,880
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA	2,995	2,995
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA	1,684	1,684
VENTURA HARBOR, CA	2,875	2,875
YUBA RIVER, CA	36	36
COLORADO		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO	454	454
CHATFIELD LAKE, CO	778	778
CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO	530	330
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO	129	129
JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO	2,051	2,051
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	300	300
TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	702	702
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	328	328
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	412	412
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	232	232
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	797	797
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT	512	512
NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT	290	290
STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT	340	340
THOMASTON DAM, CT	556	556
WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT	418	418
DELAWARE		
CEDAR CREEK, DE	265	265
CHESAPEAKE AND DELAWARE CANAL, ST GEORGE'S BRIDGE REPL	4,000	4,000
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D	19,518	19,518
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, D	456	456
MISPILLION RIVER, DE	305	305
MURDERKILL RIVER, DE	430	430
WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	3,395	3,395

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 4

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC	880	880
POTOMAC RIVER BELOW WASHINGTON, DC	985	985
WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	37	37
FLORIDA		
AIWW, NORFOLK, VA TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC &	30	30
APALACHICOLA BAY, FL	---	1,000
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	7,332	7,332
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	8,470	8,470
FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	2,652	2,652
FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL	1,023	1,023
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	100	100
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R,	50	50
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	3,286	3,286
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	7,193	7,193
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA	5,699	5,699
LAGRANGE BAYOU, WALTON COUNTY, FL	---	250
MANATEE HARBOR, FL	2,620	2,620
MIAMI HARBOR, FL	4,200	5,000
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	4,680	4,680
OKLAWAHA RIVER, FL	10	10
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL	2,101	2,101
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	1,300	1,300
PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL	7,696	7,696
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	2,900	2,900
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	400	400
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	3,130	3,130
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL	70	70
ST LUCIE INLET, FL	2,242	2,242
ST PETERSBURG, FL	---	3,200
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	7,041	7,041
WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL	34	34
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	6,328	6,328
APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL &	5,830	6,500
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	2,310	2,310
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	6,231	6,231
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	7,000	7,000
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	8,150	8,150
HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	9,500	9,500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA	41	41
J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	8,750	8,750
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	8,000	8,000
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	13,757	13,757
SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	2,340	2,340

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 13:50 9/25/99 PAGE 5

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	6,200	6,200
HAWAII		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	121	121
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	279	279
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI	750	750
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	2,759	2,759
DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	2,304	2,304
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	82	82
LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	1,238	1,238
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	176	176
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ID	63	63
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	2,539	2,539
CARLYLE LAKE, IL	4,879	4,879
CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	5,146	5,146
CHICAGO RIVER, IL	362	362
FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	185	185
ILLINOIS AND MISSISSIPPI CANAL, IL	405	405
ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN	25,368	25,368
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	432	432
KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	1,588	1,588
LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	837	837
LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	5,558	5,558
MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS, IL, IA, MN, MO &.	103,547	105,047
NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER, IL	150	150
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	43	43
REND LAKE, IL	3,881	3,881
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	97	97
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	736	736
INDIANA		
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	844	844
BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	1,829	1,829
BURNS WATERWAY SMALL BOAT HARBOR, IN	266	766
CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	709	709
CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN	837	837
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	1,064	---
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	92	92
J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	802	802
MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN	213	213
MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN	825	825
MONROE LAKE, IN	803	803

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 6

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PATOKA LAKE, IN	730	730
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	42	42
SALAMONIE LAKE, IN	741	741
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	154	154
IOWA		
CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	2,755	2,755
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	109	109
MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	211	211
MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	7,182	7,182
RATHBUN LAKE, IA	2,147	2,147
RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA	3,577	3,577
SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	3,905	3,905
KANSAS		
CLINTON LAKE, KS	1,582	1,582
COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,130	1,130
EL DORADO LAKE, KS	560	560
ELK CITY LAKE, KS	716	716
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	1,184	1,184
HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	938	938
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	275	275
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	975	1,500
KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS	1,370	1,370
MARION LAKE, KS	1,331	1,331
MELVERN LAKE, KS	2,016	2,016
MILFORD LAKE, KS	1,856	1,856
PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	900	900
PERRY LAKE, KS	2,089	2,089
POMONA LAKE, KS	1,752	1,752
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	347	347
TORONTO LAKE, KS	468	468
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	1,767	1,767
WILSON LAKE, KS	1,731	1,731
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	7,382	7,382
BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,057	2,057
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,170	1,170
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,209	1,209
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,364	1,364
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	819	819
DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,293	1,293
ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	340	340
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	1,609	1,609
GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,113	1,113
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	1,142	1,142

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 7

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	1,826	1,826
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	112	112
KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	1,084	2,084
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	1,780	1,780
LICKING RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY	17	17
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	662	662
MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	76	76
NOLIN LAKE, KY	1,907	1,907
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	83,884	83,884
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	5,789	5,789
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	932	932
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	1,625	1,625
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,043	1,043
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	5,345	5,995
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,071	1,071
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	12,631	13,221
BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	2,119	2,119
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	509	509
BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	5	5
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	25	25
BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER, LA	32	32
BAYOU TECHE, LA	212	5,212
CADDO LAKE, LA	127	127
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	7,560	8,400
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	3,585	3,585
GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA	---	455
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA	12,506	13,646
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	3,443	3,443
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	260	260
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	579	579
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	93	93
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	2,445	2,445
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	2,743	2,743
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,	64,430	64,430
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA	14,989	16,000
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	80	80
RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L	8,781	10,781
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	2,270	2,270
WALLACE LAKE, LA	209	209
MAINE		
PORTLAND HARBOR, ME	6,985	6,985
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	1,030	1,030
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	17	17

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 8

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD	440	440
BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	625	625
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	16,142	16,142
CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	140	140
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	324	324
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,616	1,616
KNAPPS NARROWS, MD	770	770
NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD	850	850
NORTHEAST RIVER, MD	770	770
OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	380	380
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	450	450
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	143	143
TOLCHESTER CHANNEL, MD	5,800	5,800
WICOMICO RIVER, MD	895	895
MASSACHUSETTS		
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	494	494
BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	423	423
BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	443	443
CAPE COD CANAL, MA	10,816	10,816
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	202	202
CHATHAM (STAGE) HARBOR, MA	215	215
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	168	168
CUTTYHUNK HARBOR, MA	118	118
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	375	375
GREEN HARBOR, MA	332	332
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	381	381
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	125	125
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	362	362
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	395	395
NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER,	280	280
NEW BEDFORD HARBOR, MA	230	230
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	3,227	3,227
SALEM HARBOR, MA	175	175
TULLY LAKE, MA	391	391
WEST HILL DAM, MA	550	550
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	414	414
MICHIGAN		
ALPENA HARBOR, MI	441	441
ARCADIA HARBOR, MI	68	68
BAY PORT HARBOR, MI	227	227
CASEVILLE HARBOR, MI	333	333
CEDAR RIVER HARBOR, MI	---	500
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	512	512
CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI	133	133

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 9

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
CLINTON RIVER, MI	368	368
DETROIT RIVER, MI	3,235	3,235
FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI	363	363
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	615	615
GRAND TRAVERSE BAY HARBOR, MI	345	345
HARRISVILLE HARBOR, MI	142	142
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	379	379
INLAND ROUTE, MI	43	43
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	205	205
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	291	291
LAC LA BELLE, MI	156	156
LELAND HARBOR, MI	156	156
LEXINGTON HARBOR, MI	247	247
LITTLE LAKE HARBOR, MI	97	97
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	1,152	1,152
MANISTEE HARBOR, MI	52	52
MANISTIQUE HARBOR, MI	1,356	1,356
MENOMINEE HARBOR, MI & WI	28	28
MONROE HARBOR, MI	137	137
MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI	120	120
NEW BUFFALO HARBOR, MI	444	444
ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	400	400
PENTWATER HARBOR, MI	1,708	1,708
POINT LOOKOUT HARBOR, MI	328	328
PORTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI	579	579
PRESQUE ISLE HARBOR, MI	134	134
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	195	195
ROUGE RIVER, MI	57	57
SAGINAW RIVER, MI	1,387	1,387
SAUGATUCK HARBOR, MI	2,042	2,042
SEBEWAING RIVER (ICE JAM REMOVAL), MI	10	10
SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI	488	488
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	1,064	1,064
ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	667	667
ST MARYS RIVER, MI	21,957	21,957
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,426	2,426
WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI	324	324
WHITEFISH POINT HARBOR, MI	115	115
MINNESOTA		
BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	209	209
DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	2,480	2,480
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	161	161
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	527	527
MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	155	155
ORWELL LAKE, MN	561	561
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	57	57
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	242	242
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	3,219	3,219

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 10

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN.....	64	64
MISSISSIPPI		
BILOXI HARBOR, MS.....	15	15
CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS.....	108	108
EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS.....	150	150
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS.....	2,216	2,216
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS.....	360	360
MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS.....	104	104
OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS.....	1,620	1,620
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.....	3,417	3,417
PEARL RIVER, MS & LA.....	263	263
ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS.....	1,034	1,034
YAZOO RIVER, MS.....	15	15
MISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO.....	200	200
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO.....	5,174	5,174
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO.....	2,248	2,248
HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO.....	8,613	8,613
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.....	669	669
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO.....	825	825
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO.....	801	801
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	13,544	13,544
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO.....	269	269
POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO.....	1,888	1,888
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO.....	30	30
SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO.....	1,083	1,083
SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO.....	421	421
STOCKTON LAKE, MO.....	3,247	3,247
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO.....	5,963	5,963
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.....	20	20
MONTANA		
FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT.....	3,842	3,842
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT.....	21	21
LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT.....	2,520	2,520
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT.....	48	48
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MT.....	67	67
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD.....	7,184	7,184
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE.....	2,379	2,379
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE.....	150	150
MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO,.	900	900
MISSOURI NATIONAL RIVER.....	---	250

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 11

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING, NE.	250	250
PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE.....	678	678
SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE.....	796	796
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NE.....	106	106
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV.....	37	37
MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA.....	532	532
PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV.....	181	181
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH.....	361	361
EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH.....	394	394
FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH.....	502	502
HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH.....	941	941
OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH.....	479	479
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH.....	---	20
SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.....	485	485
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.....	1,270	2,270
COLD SPRING INLET, NJ.....	545	545
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE..	15,356	16,856
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ.....	3,280	3,280
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ.....	1,854	1,854
NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ.....	165	165
RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ.....	700	700
RARITAN RIVER, NJ.....	1,191	1,191
SALEM RIVER, NJ.....	---	940
SHREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ.....	70	70
NEW MEXICO		
ABIQUIU DAM, NM.....	1,198	1,198
COCHITI LAKE, NM.....	1,926	1,926
CONCHAS LAKE, NM.....	1,150	1,150
GALISTEO DAM, NM.....	315	315
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM.....	103	103
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM.....	600	600
SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM.....	836	836
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM.....	115	115
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM.....	303	303
UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL.....	---	800
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY.....	451	451

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 12

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ARKPORT DAM, NY	228	228
BAY RIDGE AND RED HOOK CHANNELS, NY	70	70
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY	1,053	1,053
BRONX RIVER, NY	70	70
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	1,425	1,425
BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY	700	700
CATTARAUGUS CREEK HARBOR, NY	50	50
DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY	510	510
EAST RIVER, NY	150	150
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	250	250
EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY	463	463
EASTCHESTER CREEK, NY	2,000	2,000
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	505	533
FIRE ISLAND INLET, NY	810	810
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	325	325
GLEN COVE CREEK, NY	125	125
GREAT SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY	200	200
GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY	40	40
HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY	200	200
HUDSON RIVER, NY	2,575	2,575
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	808	808
JAMAICA BAY, NY	250	250
JONES INLET, NY	1,200	1,200
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY	60	60
LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY	200	200
MATTITUCK HARBOR, NY	220	220
MORICHES INLET, NY	70	70
MT MORRIS LAKE, NY	3,975	3,975
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	953	953
NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ	4,955	4,955
NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	740	740
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	6,105	6,105
OSWEGO HARBOR, NY	395	395
PORTCHESTER HARBOR, NY	60	60
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,706	1,706
ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY	815	815
ROUSES POINT, NY	25	25
SAG HARBOR, NY	800	800
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY	100	100
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	728	728
STURGEON POINT HARBOR, NY	15	15
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	565	565
WESTCHESTER CREEK, NY	70	70
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	542	542
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	5,552	5,552
B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,346	1,346
BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	550	550

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 13

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	707	707
CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC	1,346	1,346
FALLS LAKE, NC	1,029	1,029
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	22	22
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	380	380
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	4,998	4,998
MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	45	45
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	3,709	3,709
NEW RIVER INLET, NC	825	825
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	210	210
PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC	139	139
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	59	59
ROANOKE RIVER, NC	100	100
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	1,660	1,660
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	6,431	6,431
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND	204	204
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND	7,997	7,997
HOMME LAKE, ND	174	174
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	13	13
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND	1,460	1,460
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	802	802
SOURIS RIVER, ND	368	368
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	667	667
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	845	845
BERLIN LAKE, OH	4,503	4,503
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,228	1,228
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH	719	719
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	5,535	5,535
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	1,352	1,352
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	670	670
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	1,917	1,917
DILLON LAKE, OH	746	746
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	481	481
HURON HARBOR, OH	840	840
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	228	228
LORAIN HARBOR, OH	790	790
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	25	25
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	1,200	1,200
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	1,422	1,422
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	7,078	7,078
NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	327	327
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	673	673
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, OH	80	80
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	74	74

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 14

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ROCKY RIVER, OH	340	1,400
ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	30	30
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	1,037	1,037
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	174	174
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	3,385	3,385
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	279	279
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	574	574
WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH	856	856
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	403	403
BIRCH LAKE, OK	611	611
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	1,508	1,508
CANDY LAKE, OK	30	30
CANTON LAKE, OK	2,497	2,497
COPAN LAKE, OK	1,020	1,020
EUFAULA LAKE, OK	7,366	7,366
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	4,034	4,034
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	751	751
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	259	259
HEYBURN LAKE, OK	697	697
HUGO LAKE, OK	1,404	1,404
HULAH LAKE, OK	491	491
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	91	91
KAW LAKE, OK	2,740	2,740
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	6,543	6,543
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,947	3,447
OPTIMA LAKE, OK	74	74
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	32	32
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,414	1,414
ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK	4,501	4,501
SARDIS LAKE, OK	1,287	1,287
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	369	369
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	1,084	1,084
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	3,400	3,400
WAURIKA LAKE, OK	1,997	1,997
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	3,066	3,066
WISTER LAKE, OK	679	679
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR	872	872
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	297	297
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	5,747	5,747
CHETCO RIVER, OR	442	442
COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA	15,173	17,473
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	7,426	7,426
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O	356	356
COOS BAY, OR	4,112	4,112

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 15

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	434	434
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	913	913
COUGAR LAKE, OR	690	690
DEPOE BAY, OR	178	178
DETROIT LAKE, OR	609	609
DORENA LAKE, OR	556	556
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	433	433
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	997	997
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	1,001	1,001
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	334	334
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	163	163
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	3,450	3,450
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	1,692	1,692
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	3,594	3,594
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4,501	4,501
PORT ORFORD, OR	737	787
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	137	137
ROGUE RIVER, OR	866	866
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	105	105
SIUSLAW RIVER, OR	809	809
SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR	1,013	1,013
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	7	7
TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	14	14
UMPQUA RIVER, OR	1,254	1,254
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	514	514
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	66	66
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	637	637
YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	3,691	4,000
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	9,789	9,789
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	749	749
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	232	232
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	875	875
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,002	2,002
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	940	940
COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	1,824	1,824
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	2,312	2,312
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	669	800
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	884	884
ERIE HARBOR, PA	123	123
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	712	712
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	796	796
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	248	248
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	143	143
JOHNSTOWN, PA	13	13
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,388	1,388
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	1,086	1,086
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	879	879

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 16

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	12,395	12,395
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	86	86
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	935	935
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	13	13
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	3,042	3,900
SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	2,565	2,565
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	2,121	2,121
STILLWATER LAKE, PA	387	387
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	70	70
TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	1,968	1,968
TIONESTA LAKE, PA	2,075	2,075
UNION CITY LAKE, PA	259	259
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	796	796
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	542	542
YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	2,184	2,184
RHODE ISLAND		
BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI	675	675
PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	3,906	3,906
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	3,391	3,391
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	5,779	5,779
COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	3,375	3,375
FOLLY RIVER, SC	236	236
GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	4,064	4,064
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	26	26
PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC	1,424	1,424
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	75	75
SHIPYARD RIVER, SC	811	811
TOWN CREEK, SC	345	345
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	6,853	6,853
COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	644	644
COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	223	223
FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	8,091	8,091
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD	13	13
LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	642	642
MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT	130	130
OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	10,812	10,812
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	61	61
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	5,167	5,167
CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	5,704	5,704

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 17

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN	---	2,800
CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,220	4,220
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	4,200	4,200
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	4	4
J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	3,396	3,506
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	6,006	6,516
TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	16,123	16,123
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	388	388
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX	602	602
ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI	1,242	1,242
BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX	1,000	1,000
BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,436	1,436
BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	1,625	1,625
BELTON LAKE, TX	2,542	2,542
BENBROOK LAKE, TX	1,896	1,896
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	1,062	1,062
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	2,034	2,034
CANYON LAKE, TX	2,265	2,265
CEDAR BAYOU, TX	1,131	1,131
CHANNEL TO HARLINGEN, TX	950	950
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	4,690	4,690
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, BARGE LANES, TX	---	400
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX	6,728	6,728
ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	14	14
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	2,288	2,288
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	5,100	5,100
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	1,985	1,985
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	315	315
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,652	1,652
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	2,267	2,267
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	23,072	23,072
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,201	1,201
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	6,416	6,416
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	854	854
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,045	1,045
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	740	740
LAKE KEMP, TX	154	154
LAVON LAKE, TX	2,390	2,390
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	3,123	3,123
MATAGORDA CHANNEL, POINT COMFORT TURNING BASIN, TX	---	100
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,780	3,780
MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX	2,950	2,950
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	1,456	1,456
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	1,934	1,934
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,488	1,488
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	1,974	1,974
PROCTOR LAKE, TX	1,490	1,490

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 18

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	50	50
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	1,093	1,093
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	9,500	9,500
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	4,572	4,572
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	235	235
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	2,508	2,508
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	2,006	2,006
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	2,062	2,062
TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	---	1,900
WACO LAKE, TX	2,907	3,532
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	1,090	1,090
WHITNEY LAKE, TX	5,088	5,088
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,587	2,587
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	63	63
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	414	414
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT	703	703
BURLINGTON HARBOR BREAKWATER, VT	160	1,300
NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	536	536
NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	511	511
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	631	631
TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	724	724
UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	520	520
VIRGINIA		
APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA	391	391
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA	2,364	2,364
CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS, VA	45	45
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	842	842
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	1,566	1,566
HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM	920	920
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	59	59
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	3,983	5,100
JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC	11,190	11,190
JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	1,347	1,487
NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	282	282
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	5,815	6,500
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	340	340
PAGAN RIVER, VA	145	145
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	2,252	2,252
POTOMAC RIVER AT ALEXANDRIA, VA	660	660
POTOMAC RIVER AT MOUNT VERNON, VA	---	400
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	630	630
RUDEE INLET, VA	1,002	1,002

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 19

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
TANGIER CHANNEL, VA	648	648
THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA	3,347	3,347
WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	1,185	1,185
WASHINGTON		
BELLINGHAM HARBOR, WA	512	512
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	811	811
COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR	450	450
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA	6	6
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,225	1,225
FRIDAY HARBOR, WA	300	300
GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	13,150	16,150
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	1,710	1,710
ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,791	2,791
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	177	177
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	8,530	8,530
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,138	1,138
LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	5,920	5,920
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,801	1,801
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	870	870
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	409	409
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	3,157	3,157
OLYMPIA HARBOR, WA	927	927
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	308	308
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	1,041	1,041
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	1,061	1,061
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	453	453
SEATTLE HARBOR, EAST WATERWAY CHANNEL DEEPENING, WA	3,400	3,400
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	727	727
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	195	195
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	59	59
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	72	72
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	2,402	2,402
WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	727	727
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,076	1,076
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	1,218	2,000
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,390	1,390
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	1,585	1,585
ELKINS, WV	16	16
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	84	84
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV	7,314	7,314
R D BAILEY LAKE, WV	1,643	1,643
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	937	937
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,505	1,505
SUTTON LAKE, WV	1,648	1,648
TYGART LAKE, WV	1,923	1,923

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 20

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WISCONSIN		
ALGOMA HARBOR, WI	107	107
ASHLAND HARBOR, WI	195	195
BIG SUAMICO HARBOR, WI	368	368
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	685	685
FOX RIVER, WI	3,487	3,487
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	996	996
KENOSHA HARBOR, WI	494	494
KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI	69	69
LA FARGE LAKE, WI	52	52
MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI	226	226
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	832	832
OCONTO HARBOR, WI	168	168
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	93	93
SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI	230	230
STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI	507	507
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI	707	707
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	117	117
WYOMING		
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,126	1,126
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	288	288
MISCELLANEOUS		
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	3,000	2,500
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION)	2,000	1,500
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	12,450	9,000
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,085	500
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)	8,000	6,500
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM	2,500	1,500
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	500	500
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	575	575
MANAGEMENT TOOLS FOR O&M	975	500
MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS	2,000	1,000
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	40	40
NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM	20	20
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP)	6,000	5,000
NATIONAL RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT (NRMS) PROGRAM	1,850	1,000
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM	1,365	415
PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3)	50	50
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION	675	500
REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS	500	500
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM	950	700
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS	4,542	4,000
WETLANDS FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	1,000	---
ZEBRA MUSSEL CONTROL	1,500	1,000

R$OGPOC

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 13:52 9/25/99 PAGE 21

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-19,284	-54,435
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,835,900	1,853,618

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates $39,370,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the Senate instead of $37,190,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account shall be $15,476,000 as proposed by the House instead of $17,047,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission shall be $10,476,000 as proposed by the House instead of $12,047,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available for administrative expenses shall be $1,321,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of $1,283,000 as proposed by the House.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates $607,927,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of $604,910,000 as proposed by the House and $612,451,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of the amount provided for the American River Division of the Central Valley Project, $3,000,000 is for construction of a permanent pumping facility for the Placer County Water Agency, and $2,900,000 is to initiate construction of a temperature control device at Folsom Dam.

The conference agreement includes final year funding for the Equus Beds Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Project in Kansas.

The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to use available funds to provide additional recreation facilities at Silo Campground on the southern end of the Canyon Ferry Reservoir in Broadwater County in Montana. The expenditure of these resources will be considered as an in-kind contribution to Broadwater County if consistent with Public Law 105–277.

The conference agreement includes $1,500,000 for the Newlands Water Rights Fund authorized by the Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act to be utilized to pay for purchasing and retiring water rights in the Newlands Reclamation Project.

The conferees prohibit the use of funds for any water acquisition undertaken by the Bureau of Reclamation for the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said acquisition is in compliance with the acquisition provisions contained in section 202 of this title for Drought Emergency Assistance.

The conferees are aware of the WateReuse Research Foundation's ongoing efforts to conduct research on the science and technology aspects of water reclamation and encourage the Bureau of Reclamation to provide assistance to support the WateReuse Foundation's research program.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund shall be $24,089,000 as proposed by the House instead of $24,326,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate extending the authority of the Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate increasing the authorized level of appropriations for Indian municipal, rural and industrial features of the Garrison Unit Diversion project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Lake Andes-Wagner/Marty II demonstration program. The amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources includes $150,000 for this program.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Walker River Basin, Nevada, project. The amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources includes $300,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for environmental restoration at Fort Kearny, Nebraska.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates $12,425,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $42,000,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund instead of $47,346,000 as proposed by the House and $37,346,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

The conference agreement appropriates $60,000,000 for the California Bay-Delta Restoration program instead of $75,000,000 as proposed by the House and $50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be used for ecosystem restoration activities shall be $30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of $45,000,000 as proposed by the House and that the amount to be used for other activities shall be $30,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of $20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be used for planning and management shall not exceed $5,000,000 instead of $7,000,000 as proposed by the House and $2,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates $47,000,000 for Policy and Administration instead of $45,000,000 as proposed by the House and $49,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. The conference agreement includes a provision making appropriations available for purchase of not more than six passenger motor vehicles as proposed by the House instead of not more than seven as proposed by the Senate.

SEC. 202. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate imposing limitations on the use of appropriated funds for the leasing of water for specified drought related purposes, amended to limit the use of funds primarily for water leasing instead of exclusively for water leasing.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate permitting certain investments of advance payments to Indian tribes, tribal organizations, and tribal consortia.

R$BGPOC

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 1

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES				
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	---	6,996	---	6,996
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT (LCRBDF)	27,326	---	24,089	---
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE I	1,036	12,056	1,036	9,056
LOWER COLORADO RIVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	50	---	---	---
NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	580	---	200	---
SALT RIVER PROJECT, HORSE MESA DAM	---	1,590	---	1,590
SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	850	---	800	---
SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	5,873	---	5,598	---
TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION	400	---	400	---
TUCSON AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY	150	---	150	---
YUMA AREA PROJECTS	109	15,423	109	15,423
HEADGATE ROCK HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT	---	---	5,000	---
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT	639	723	639	723
CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	500	---	200	---
CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PROJECT	1,500	---	1,500	---
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT:				
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	8,800	10,103	8,800	8,103
DELTA DIVISION	14,362	4,651	12,949	4,651
EAST SIDE DIVISION	575	3,781	575	3,781
FRIANT DIVISION	3,614	2,498	3,614	2,498
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	11,099	1,734	11,099	1,734
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, EXTRAORDINARY MAINTENANCE	---	8,500	---	4,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	7,032	1,649	8,000	1,649
SAN FELIPE DIVISION	1,163	---	585	---
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	1,443	---	1,443	---
SHASTA DIVISION	3,480	7,139	3,426	7,139
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	8,006	4,807	5,506	4,807
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	635	5,750	635	5,750
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	5,912	6,302	5,744	6,302
YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	2,000	---	2,000	---
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	3,564	---	3,564	---
LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT	1,500	---	1,500	---
LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION/REUSE PROJECT	7,500	---	7,500	---
MISSION BASIN BRACKISH GROUNDWATER DESALTING DEMO PROJ	---	---	1,500	---
NORTH SAN DIEGO CNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	1,500	---	1,500	---
ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT	1,500	---	1,500	---
ORLAND PROJECT	---	570	---	570
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	1,000	---	800	---
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM	10,600	---	10,600	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT	2,000	---	2,000	---
SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM	3,000	---	3,000	---
SOLANO PROJECT	995	1,005	995	1,005
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	625	---	350	---

R$BGPOC

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 2

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
COLORADO				
ANIMAS-LAPLATA PROJECT, SECTIONS 5 AND 8	3,000	---	2,000	---
COLLBRAN PROJECT	813	798	813	798
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	304	7,506	304	7,506
COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	435	---	200	---
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	94	16	94	16
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	339	4,927	339	4,927
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT, PUEBLO DAM	---	6,700	---	6,700
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP	403	506	403	506
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT	763	1,010	763	1,010
LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP	---	319	---	319
MANCOS PROJECT	44	22	44	22
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP	---	2,058	---	2,058
PINE RIVER PROJECT	362	58	362	58
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN/CONEJOS DIV	316	3,591	316	3,591
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT	293	23	293	23
UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN SELENIUM STUDY	100	---	100	---
IDAHO				
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	2,385	2,726	2,385	2,726
COLUMBIA-SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	13,122	---	9,500	---
DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY, BOISE PROJECT	200	---	200	---
FORT HALL INDIAN RESERVATION	---	---	250	---
IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	363	---	325	---
LEWISTON ORCHARDS, RESERVOIR 'A' DAM	---	150	---	150
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	4,030	1,809	4,030	1,809
MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAINWATER MANAGEMENT PROJECT	315	---	250	---
KANSAS				
EQUUS BEDS GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT	---	---	423	---
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	400	---	400	---
WICHITA PROJECT	---	219	---	219
MONTANA				
FORT PECK RURAL WATER SYSTEM, MT	---	---	3,000	---
HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT	69	177	69	177
MILK RIVER PROJECT	145	353	117	353
MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	446	---	250	---
ROCKY BOYS INDIAN WTR RIGHTS SETTLEMENT STUDY	1,000	---	500	---
NEBRASKA				
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	30	28	30	28
NEBRASKA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	150	---	150	---

R$BGPOC

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 3

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
NEVADA				
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	6,352	1,098	6,652	1,098
LAKE MEAD AND LAS VEGAS WASH	---	---	1,400	---
NEWLANDS WATER RIGHTS FUND	---	---	1,500	---
WALKER RIVER BASIN PROJECT	---	---	300	---
NEW MEXICO				
CARLSBAD PROJECT	1,012	576	1,012	576
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	2,010	9,766	4,010	9,766
NAVAJO-GALLUP WATER SUPPLY PROJECT	---	---	300	---
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	---	268	---	268
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	769	2,564	769	2,564
SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	124	---	124	---
SO. NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	254	---	254	---
UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	213	---	213	---
UTE RESERVOIR PIPELINE PROJECT	---	---	250	---
VELARDE COMMUNITY DITCH PROJECT	2,313	---	2,313	---
NORTH DAKOTA				
DAKOTA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	200	---	100	---
DAKOTA TRIBES INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	150	---	150	---
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP	26,849	180	27,849	180
OKLAHOMA				
ARBUCKLE PROJECT	---	161	---	161
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	---	530	---	530
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	---	225	---	225
NORMAN PROJECT	---	156	---	156
OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	275	---	150	---
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	---	255	---	255
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	---	640	---	640
OREGON				
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	105	297	105	297
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	1,000	---	500	---
DESCHUTES PROJECT	165	122	165	122
GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	50	---	50	---
KLAMATH PROJECT	12,390	292	10,390	292
MALHEUR/OWYHEE/POWDER/BURNT RIVER BASINS	50	---	---	---
OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	810	---	610	---
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	165	626	165	626
TUALATIN PROJECT	91	97	91	97
TUMALO IRRIGATION DIST., BEND FEED CANAL, OR	---	---	200	---
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT (PHASE III)	250	---	250	---
UMATILLA PROJECT	336	1,270	336	1,270

R$BGPOC

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 4

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
SOUTH DAKOTA				
LAKE ANDES - WAGNER/MARTY II	---	---	150	---
MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT	5,000	10	14,000	10
MNI WICONI PROJECT	23,873	5,527	23,873	5,527
RAPID CITY WASTEWATER REUSE STUDY	50	---	---	---
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT	---	23	---	23
TEXAS				
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	---	124	---	124
EL PASO WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	1,000	---
NUECES RIVER PROJECT	---	387	---	387
PALMETTO BEND PROJECT	---	541	---	541
SAN ANGELO PROJECT	---	255	---	255
TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	390	---	200	---
UTAH				
HYRUM PROJECT	49	12	49	12
MOON LAKE PROJECT	14	11	14	11
NAVAJO SANDSTONE AQUIFER RECHARGE STUDY	150	---	150	---
NEWTON PROJECT	35	12	35	12
NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	400	---	350	---
OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	67	18	67	18
PROVO RIVER PROJECT	335	293	335	293
SCOFIELD PROJECT	49	3	49	3
SOUTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	400	---	200	---
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	84	3	84	3
TOOELE WASTEWATER REUSE PROJECT	---	---	571	---
WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,845	140	1,845	140
WEBER RIVER PROJECT	281	7	281	7
WASHINGTON				
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	5,030	8,984	5,030	8,984
LOWER ELWHA KLALLAM RURAL WATER SUPPLY FEAS. STUDY	100	---	---	---
TULALIP TRIBES WATER QUALITY FEASIBILITY STUDY	50	---	---	---
WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	410	---	200	---
YAKIMA PROJECT	491	7,535	491	7,535
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WTR ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	11,734	---	10,480	---
WYOMING				
KENDRICK PROJECT	4	4,642	4	4,642
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	18	1,164	18	1,164
SHOSHONE PROJECT	38	859	38	859
WYOMING INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	20	---	15	---

R$BGPOC

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 5

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
VARIOUS				
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II.....	12,300	---	12,300	---
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 5.....	4,222	1,014	3,356	902
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE, SECTION 8, RF&W.....	10,650	---	7,650	---
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT.....	75	---	75	---
DEPARTMENT IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM.....	3,600	---	2,000	---
DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE.....	500	---	3,000	---
EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM.....	5,250	---	3,000	---
EMERGENCY PLANNING AND DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM.....	---	306	---	306
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENT. PROGRAM.....	15,118	---	15,118	---
ENVIRONMENTAL AND INTERAGENCY COORDINATION.....	1,677	---	677	---
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.....	2,083	---	1,500	---
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES.....	---	3,892	---	3,892
FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	1,375	---	875
GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES.....	2,135	---	1,700	---
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	6,232	---	5,232	---
LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM.....	13,540	---	8,540	---
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS.....	---	910	---	910
NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION.....	1,300	---	1,300	---
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM.....	9,250	---	7,680	---
NEGOTIATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING.....	1,048	---	884	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	98	538	98	538
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM - OTHER PROJECT.....	3,174	24,593	3,174	24,593
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES.....	1,031	642	1,023	550
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM.....	436	---	436	---
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION.....	5,235	---	4,696	---
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE XXVIII.....	4,222	---	4,222	---
RECREATION, FISH AND WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION..	2,053	---	1,891	---
SAFETY OF DAMS:				
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	1,600	---	1,600
SAFETY OF DAMS EVALUATION AND MODIFICATION.....	---	60,869	---	54,983
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY:				
APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	4,503	---	3,242	---
DESALINATION RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....	1,300	---	1,300	---
GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.....	50	---	50	---
HYDROELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION/ENHANCE...	215	---	215	---
TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT.....	300	---	300	---
WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	1,000	---	1,000	---
SITE SECURITY.....	---	754	---	754
SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION.....	257	---	257	---
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	1,911	---	600	---
TITLE XVI WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY.....	2,214	---	2,214	---
UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECH SUPPORT.....	100	---	100	---
WATER MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION PROGRAM.....	8,836	---	6,600	---
WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT.....	5,595	---	3,595	---

R$BGPOC

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 6

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS...	---	-30,800	---	-46,000
TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES.....	409,199	243,639	395,578	212,349

R$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 7

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
LOAN PROGRAM				
CALIFORNIA				
CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT.....	2,600	---	2,600	---
CHINO BASIN DESALINATION PROJECT.....	117	---	117	---
SALINAS VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION.....	1,700	---	1,700	---
SAN SEVAINE CREEK WATER PROJECT.....	6,408	---	6,408	---
TEMESCAL VALLEY PROJECT.....	1,175	---	1,175	---
VARIOUS				
LOAN ADMINISTRATION.....	425	---	425	---
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM.....	12,425	---	12,425	---

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

HOUSE AND SENATE VIEWS

The reports accompanying the House and Senate passed bills include strongly held views of each body regarding the Department of Energy. The conferees have resolved all differences between the two bodies related to funding and where specific direction or requirements are provided. However, the conferees have not attempted to reconcile those portions of the reports that express the opinion of either body.

For example, the House and Senate reports express differing views on the external regulation of the Department's facilities. The conferees have not addressed this difference of opinion. However, where funding is involved, as it is with regard to providing funding within the Office of Environment, Safety and Health for external regulation, the conferees have agreed not to eliminate such funds as proposed by the Senate.

In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The conferees expect the Department to reduce field office staffing by five percent from the current fiscal year 1999 aggregate levels. These reductions are not to be prorated, but should be based on an analysis of staffing needs at each individual office.

EXTERNAL INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENTS OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The conferees agree that none of the funds provided for fiscal year 2000 construction projects may be obligated until an external, independent assessment of the baseline cost and schedule has been performed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for review. The Department is also directed to improve the corrective action plans prepared in response to these external reviews. The quality of the corrective action plans received by the Committees on Appropriations has been marginal at best.

CONTRACTOR TRAVEL

The conference agreement includes a statutory provision limiting reimbursement of Department of Energy management and operating contractors for travel expenses to not more than $150,000,000 and requiring contractor travel to be consistent with the rules and regulations for Federal employees. This reduction is not to be prorated, but should be applied to those organizations which appear to have the most egregious travel practices. This is not meant to restrict trips between laboratories to coordinate on program issues. The conferees are particularly concerned with the number of trips by laboratory employees to Washington, D.C., and the expense and excessive number of laboratory employees who travel to Russia.

The Department is also directed to ensure that reimbursements for contractor travel shall not exceed those costs which would be allowed for travel by employees of the Federal government. The conferees are aware that there is a cost difference because contractors cannot receive government rates for certain travel expenses. However, the regulations should ensure that contractors are not allowed to charge the government for business class or first class travel expenses, hotels which exceed the government per diem allowance, and other expenses and benefits such as the personal use of frequent flier miles which are not allowed if the traveler is a Federal employee. Guidelines that provide for deviations from Federal travel regulations may be approved by the Secretary.

AUGMENTING FEDERAL STAFF

The conferees agree that a reduction is required in the number of Department of Energy management and operating contractors who are assigned to the Washington metropolitan area. Funding for management and operating contractors has been reduced by $15,000,000. The conference agreement endorses the Department's proposed management plan to address this problem and to limit the current assignments to not more than 270 positions in fiscal year 2000. Those positions must perform functions that are highly technical and directly related to laboratory missions. Additionally, the Washington contractor offices (currently 13 for 9 laboratories) should be consolidated into one or two workplaces unless the Department finds that all of the offices can be eliminated by locating them in Department of Energy office space.

The conference agreement adopts the report requirement proposed by the House. This report, which is due on January 31, 2000, is to be augmented to include the status of the Department's proposed management reforms.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2000 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance, in writing, and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement modifies the current laboratory directed research and development (LDRD) program by reducing the allowable cost from six percent to four percent of the funds provided to the laboratories. None of the funds provided to laboratories for environmental cleanup activities may be taxed for LDRD purposes.

COMPUTER SECURITY

The conference agreement does not withhold funding for information management systems as proposed by the House.

ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language on improving project management in the Department of Energy and overhead costs reviews, and the Senate report language on personnel security.

GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 31, 2000, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or use of prior year balances contained in the conference agreement. In general, such reductions should not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity. However, the conferees are aware there may be instances where proportional reductions would adversely impact critical programs and other allocations may be necessary.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement appropriates $644,937,953 instead of $615,317,304 as proposed by the House or $721,233,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language providing $15,000,000 for civilian research and development.

SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES

The conference agreement provides $362,240,000 instead of $356,450,000 as proposed by the House or $353,900,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Solar building technology research.—The conference agreement includes $2,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, instead of $2,810,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes $1,700,000 for technology development and $300,000 for quality assurance.

Photovoltaic systems research and development.—The conference agreement includes $69,847,000, instead of $72,977,000 as proposed by the House or $66,847,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the $67,000,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, the conferees have provided $27,000,000 for advanced materials and devices, $15,309,000 for fundamental research, and $24,691,000 for collector research and systems development program of which up to $1,500,000 may be used for ''million solar roofs'' activities. From the amount provided, the conferees have provided $1,000,000 for the Materials Science Center in Tempe, Arizona.

Concentrating solar power systems.—The conference agreement includes $15,410,000, the same amount as the House, instead of $15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $5,000,000 for distributed power system development, $5,000,000 for dispatchable power system development, and $2,900,000 for advanced component and system research. No funds have been provided here for strategic alliances and market awareness activities. The conferees have included $2,500,000 for research and development for the U.S.-manufactured 22kw dish sterling program.

Biomass/biofuels research and development.—The conference agreement includes $98,740,000, instead of $98,960,000 as proposed by the House or $99,690,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $26,740,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement includes $32,500,000 for power systems and $39,500,000 for the transportation program. The conferees have provided up to $1,000,000 for the regional biomass program to be derived from the power program. The conferees have not included the House provision prohibiting further funding of the Vermont gasification project. The conference agreement includes up to $5,000,000 for the final Federal contribution to this facility. The conferees have provided $1,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research, to be derived from the power program. The conferees have included the House provision providing up to $6,000,000 for the multi-agency biomass program. The Department is directed to include a competitive solicitation for projects that meet criteria for funding under the Department's unique role in this multi-agency effort.

The conference agreement does not include funds for the Vermont agriculture methane project or the Southern Illinois University project as provided in the Senate report. The conferees have included up to $500,000 for the P-series fuel project at the University of Louisville. The conferees have not included any other new projects in the transportation program. The conferees note that the Department has funded several biomass energy

projects during recent years whose timelines have been delayed for various reasons. The conferees believe it is time for the Department to complete these projects and related activities before initiating new projects. Accordingly, funds are included for the completion and/or termination costs of previously funded biomass projects. The conferees have provided $3,000,000 for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute (MBI), to be derived equally from the power and transportation programs. The conferees direct that the Department and MBI submit a spending plan to the Committees on Appropriations for approval no later than November 30, 1999.

Wind energy research and development.—The conference agreement includes $33,283,000, instead of $31,243,000 as proposed by the House or $34,283,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $283,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the budget request. Within the $33,000,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, $13,500,000 is for applied research, the same amount as the budget request. The conference agreement does not include prescriptive language specifying allocations as included in the Senate Report.

Renewable energy production incentive.—The conference agreement includes $1,500,000, the amount of the budget request and the amount provided by the Senate, instead of $2,610,000 as proposed by the House.

Solar program support.—The conference agreement includes $5,000,000, a $3,000,000 increase over the amount provided by the House and Senate. The conferees have included the House proposal to provide $1,000,000 for electricity restructuring activities and $1,000,000 for feasibility studies in preparation for a competitive solicitation. The conferees have provided an additional $3,000,000 for the Department to conduct distributed power system integration research and development. This effort is to be part of the competitive solicitation program and shall include modeling, field testing and analyses to determine the best means of integrating distributed power resources, including renewable energy, combined heat and power, and hybrid systems into the electricity system in a manner that enhances reliability, safety and power quality.

International solar energy.—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 instead of $4,950,000 as provided by the House or $3,000,000 as provided by the Senate. Of this amount, $3,000,000 is to be provided expeditiously to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc. (IUEP). IUEP shall competitively award all projects, continuing its leadership role in reducing carbon dioxide emissions using voluntary market-based mechanisms.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).—The conference agreement includes $1,100,000, the amount of the budget request, as proposed by the Senate instead of $2,800,000 as proposed by the House.

Geothermal technology development.—The conference agreement includes $24,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, instead of $24,310,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes $6,000,000 for exploration research and development and $5,500,000 for drilling technology research and development.

Hydrogen research and development.—The conference agreement includes $27,970,000, instead of $24,730,000 as proposed by the House and $29,970,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $2,970,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement does not include the specific funding items listed in the Senate report except for $250,000 for the carbon dioxide/hydrogen production gas reforming facility in Nevada and $350,000 for the Montana Trade Port Authority in Billings, Montana.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of $2,760,000 as proposed by the House. The amount provided is exclusively for cost-shared research and development of ''fish-friendly'' turbines.

Renewable Indian energy resources.—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000, the same amount as proposed by the Senate, instead of no funds as proposed by the House. The conferees have provided funds in accordance with the Senate report, except that $1,000,000 is provided for the Nome diesel upgrade instead of the Kotzebue wind project.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes $38,410,000, instead of $38,910,000 as proposed by the House or $33,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $31,910,000 for high-temperature superconducting research and development, $3,500,000 for energy storage systems and $3,000,000 to support a national laboratory/utility industry partnership to conduct research on reliability of the nation's electricity infrastructure including the impact of electricity restructuring on safety and reliability. The conference agreement includes $500,000 for the distributed power demonstration project at the Nevada Test Site instead of $1,000,000 as provided in the Senate report.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes $17,720,000, the same amount provided by the House, instead of $17,750,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement provides $288,700,000, instead of $265,700,000 as proposed by the House or $297,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Advanced radioisotope power systems.—The conference agreement includes $34,500,000, instead of $32,000,000 as provided by the House or $37,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

Test reactor area landlord.—The conference agreement includes $9,000,000, the same amount as proposed by the House and the Senate.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference agreement includes $12,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Nuclear energy plant optimization.—The conference agreement includes $5,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate. The conferees direct that the Department ensure that projects are funded jointly with non-Federal partners and that total non-Federal contributions are equal to or in excess of total Department contributions to projects funded in this program.

Nuclear energy research initiative.—The conferees have provided $22,500,000 for the nuclear energy research initiative, instead of $25,000,000 as recommended by the Senate or $20,000,000 as recommended by the House.

Civilian research and development.—The conference agreement includes $9,000,000, instead of no funding as recommended by the House and $15,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees direct that funding be provided in accordance with the Department's Roadmap for Developing ATW Technology and encourage international participation and cooperation in the program.

Fast Flux Test Facility.—The conference agreement provides $28,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of $30,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Termination costs.—The conference agreement provides $80,000,000 as provided by the Senate, instead of $75,000,000 as provided by the House. The conference agreement provides the full amount of the budget request to complete draining and processing EBR-II primary sodium. The conferees direct the Department to notify the Committees immediately if any issues arise that would delay the Department's plan to complete these activities as stated in the budget justification documents. If additional funds are required, the Department should send a reprogramming request to the Committees as expeditiously as possible.

Uranium programs.—The conference agreement includes $43,500,000, instead of $40,000,000 as proposed by the House and $39,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided an additional $3,987,000 to address worker and public health and safety concerns at the gaseous diffusion plant sites.

Isotope support.—The conference agreement includes $20,500,000, instead of $18,000,000 as proposed by the House or $23,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have included $7,500,000 for the Isotope production facility, the same amount as provided by the Senate.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes $24,700,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes $38,998,000, instead of $36,750,000 as recommended by the House or $48,998,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees direct that the reduction from the budget request be directed to eliminate lower-priority activities currently funded in this program. The conference agreement does not preclude funding for external regulation-related activities.

ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

Technical information management program.—The conference agreement includes $8,600,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Transfer of funds to the Occupational Safety And Health Administration.—The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for safety and health activities related to non-Federal workers at Federal facilities and regulatory responsibilities at non-nuclear facilities. This is the same amount as the House, instead of no funding as recommended by the Senate.

Field operations.—The conference agreement includes the House provision transferring funding of field offices to sponsoring programs in accordance with the Department's management reorganization plan. Funding for the Chicago, Oakland and Oak Ridge offices has been provided in the Science account. Funding for the Idaho office has been moved to the Environmental Management account.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes the House provision transferring funding to the Science account.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conferees have included the transfers totaling $5,820,953 from the Geothermal Resources Development and United States Enrichment Corporation Funds as proposed in the budget request and included in the House and Senate bills. The conference agreement also includes $47,100,000, the same amount as the budget request, for research performed by the Office of Science related to solar and renewable energy technologies.

The conference agreement does not include the Senate provision to use $31,589,000 identified as prior year balances. The House did not include a prior year balance adjustment. The conference agreement includes a reduction of $1,500,000 for contractor travel, a $1,000,000 reduction for management and operating contractors in Washington, D.C., and a $5,000,000 general reduction.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates $333,618,000 instead of $327,223,000 as proposed by the House and $327,922,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $595,000 for the National Low-Level Waste Program in fiscal year 2000. These funds are to be used to maintain Federal data bases for tracking and reporting on low-level waste disposal information.

The conference agreement includes an additional $5,800,000 to complete cleanup at the Grand Junction site in Colorado in fiscal year 2000.

The conferees are aware of additional costs being incurred in the TMI Fuel Storage project related to compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission safety requirements. The Department should submit a reprogramming request as expeditiously as possible to remedy this shortfall.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $250,198,000 instead of $240,198,000 as proposed by the House and $200,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

An additional $10,000,000 has been provided to accelerate cleanup activities at the gaseous diffusion plants in Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio, in an effort to deal with radioactive contamination of groundwater, surface water, and on-site burial grounds, as well as decontamination and decommissioning of facilities. The conferees are aware of over $30,000,000 in additional cleanup work at the Paducah site alone that was identified in the Phase I preliminary investigation completed by the Department on September 14, 1999. The conferees urge the Department to substantially increase the funding request in fiscal year 2001 for the Paducah and Portsmouth facilities to fully characterize waste in and around the DOE reservations and to eliminate the existing threats to the residents, workers, and the environment.

Funding of $30,000,000, the same as the budget request, has been provided for the uranium and thorium reimbursement program. The conferees recognize there are eligible uranium and thorium licensee claims under Title X of the Energy Policy Act that have been approved for reimbursement, but not yet paid in full. The conferees direct the Department of Energy to submit with the fiscal year 2001 budget request a current list of the licensees approved for payment, amounts paid to date, and remaining balances requiring reimbursement for each of the claimants.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement appropriates $2,799,851,000, instead of $2,718,647,000 as provided by the House or $2,725,069,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language providing funding for Boston College, the University of Missouri or the Natural Energy Laboratory of Hawaii.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides $707,890,000 for high energy physics, instead of $715,525,000 as provided by the House or $691,090,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate reduction for research and development of a TeV scale center of mass accelerator. The conferees do have concerns about the early cost projections of this planned facility and urge the Department to consider reasonable expectations of budgets and significant international participation during the early planning process for this proposed facility.

Nuclear physics.—The conference agreement provides $352,000,000 for nuclear physics, instead of $357,940,000 as provided by the House or $330,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate provision eliminating funding for the Bates Linear Accelerator Laboratory.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes $441,500,000, instead of $406,170,000 as provided by the House or $429,700,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees have included $19,500,000 for the low-dose effects program including a review of the Hiroshima dosimetry system. The conferees have not provided $2,000,000 in the Defense Environment, Safety and Health account as proposed by the Senate for this review. The conferees have provided $100,000 to study the effects of radiation on avian populations at the Nevada Test Site.

The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 for improvements and optimum utilization of the University of Missouri research reactor and $1,500,000 for the Natural Energy Laboratory in Hawaii. The conferees have provided $2,500,000 for the bone marrow transplantation/radioimmunotherapy program at the City of Hope National Medical Center and $1,000,000 for the Gallo Institute of the Cancer Institute of New Jersey. The conference agreement also includes $1,000,000 for cancer research at the Burbank Hospital Regional Center in Fitchburg, Massachusetts; $2,000,000 for the Midwest Proton Radiation Institute; $1,000,000 for the Center for Research on Aging at Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois; and $1,000,000 for the breast cancer program at the North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System.

The conference agreement includes $1,500,000 for the Medical University of South Carolina's Cancer Research Center, $1,500,000 for the West Virginia National Education and Technology Center, $1,500,000 for the University of Las Vegas Science Complex, $1,000,000 for the Science Center at Creighton University, and $1,500,000 for the Utton Transboundary Center. The conference agreement includes $10,000,000 to further development of technologies using advanced functional brain imaging methodologies, including magnetoencephalography, for conduct of basic research in mental illness and neurological disorders. The conferees are aware of research into the molecular basis of disease and MicroPET at the University of California Los Angeles and encourage the Department to review this new technology and possible collaborations and report back to the Committees.

Basic energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes $783,127,000 instead of $735,989,000 as recommended by the House or $854,545,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have included $7,000,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, the same amount as provided by the House and the Senate. The conferees included very modest reductions to BES research programs and they strongly oppose any effort by the Department to target one laboratory when allocating this reduction.

Spallation Neutron Source.—The recommendation includes $117,900,000, including $100,000,000 for line-item construction costs and $17,900,000 for related research and development. The amount provided is $69,000,000 less than the amount provided by the Senate and $50,000,000 more than the amount provided by the House. The conferees have provided the same amount authorized in the House-passed authorization bill.

Computational and technology research.—The conference agreement includes $132,000,000, instead of $143,000,000 as provided by the House or $129,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees strongly support the Department's current supercomputer programs including ASCI, NERSC, and modeling programs. The conferees urge the Department to submit a comprehensive plan for a non-Defense supercomputing program that reflects a unique role for the Department in this multi-agency effort and a budget plan that indicates spending requirements over a five-year budget cycle.

Energy research analyses.—The conference agreement includes $1,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Multiprogram energy labs—facility support.—The conference agreement includes $21,260,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes the additional $1,000,000 provided by the House to fully fund the Department's commitment to the payment-in-lieu of taxes program and does not include the additional $1,000,000 provided by the Senate for roofing improvements at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Fusion energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes $250,000,000, the same amount provided by the House instead of $220,614,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees are pleased with the highly supportive recent report on fusion energy science from the Secretary of Energy's Advisory Board and with the comprehensive scientific plan developed by the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee (FESAC). The FESAC plan should be used by the Department as guidance in the allocation of the resources provided for fusion energy sciences.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes $11,800,000 as proposed by the House.

Program Direction.—The recommendation is $131,108,000, instead of $126,963,000 as proposed by the House or $52,360,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided $52,360,000 for headquarters program direction activities, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes a reduction of $10,834,000 for contractor travel, the same amount as the budget request. The conferees have also included a $1,000,000 reduction for management and operating contractors in Washington, D.C.; a $10,000,000 general reduction; and a $10,000,000 reduction reflecting the House provision to include all funding for science education activities with program direction funding.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement appropriates $240,500,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal instead of $242,500,000 as proposed by the Senate and $169,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes $500,000 for the State of Nevada instead of $4,727,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funds as proposed by the House. This funding will be provided to the Department of Energy which will reimburse the State for actual expenditures on appropriate scientific oversight responsibilities conducted pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. These funds may not be used for salaries and expenses for State employees in the oversight office.

The conference agreement includes $5,432,000 for affected units of local government as proposed by the Senate instead of no funds as proposed by the House. Funding for the affected local governments is to be allocated in the same proportion as was provided to each affected local government in fiscal year 1998.

The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for seismic evaluations instead of $3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. No funds are provided in this account for the development of accelerator transmutation of waste technology.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates $206,365,000 for Departmental Administration instead of $193,769,000 as proposed by the House and $219,415,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding of $10,000,000 is to be transferred to this account from Other Defense Activities. Revenues of $106,887,000, $10,000,000 less than the budget request, are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2000, resulting in a net appropriation of $99,478,000.

The conference agreement provides $26,000,000 for the Chief Financial Officer, an increase over the budget request of $23,792,000. These additional funds are to support the new engineering and construction division.

The conference agreement provides $1,000,000 as proposed by the House for severance payments for the office of field management.

Reprogramming Guidelines.—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of $500,000 or 5 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage this account. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of $500,000 or 5 percent to an individual program account during the fiscal year require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $29,500,000 for the Inspector General instead of $30,000,000 as proposed by the House and $29,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates $4,443,939,000 instead of $3,962,500,000 as proposed by the House and $4,609,832,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense must be provided in accordance with procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate allowing the use of stockpile stewardship funds for regional economic development and language proposed by the House deferring the obligation of $1,000,000,000 until certain conditions are met.

Stockpile stewardship.—The conferees have postponed the integrated strategy proposed by the Senate. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides $10,000,000 to enhance or provide new microsystems capability at the Sandia National Laboratory and $5,000,000 to begin the process of moving the Atlas pulsed power experimental facility to the Nevada Test Site.

Funding of $316,000,000 has been provided for the accelerated strategic computing initiative (ASCI) program, a reduction of $25,000,000 from the request of $341,000,000.

Inertial Fusion.—The agreement includes the additional $10,000,000 proposed by the House for the inertial fusion program to further development of high average power lasers.

National Ignition Facility.—The conference agreement does not include the additional funding proposed by the Senate for the National Ignition Facility.

The National Ignition Facility has been described as one of the cornerstones of the Stockpile Stewardship Program. The conferees understand that the most recent internal review of the project has concluded that the projected cost to complete the project has increased and the completion date will be delayed. The conferees are very disappointed by this. Additional reviews will be performed in coming months to establish the appropriate future actions for proceeding with this project.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of Energy complete and certify a new cost and schedule baseline for the National Ignition Facility and submit that certification to the Committees by June 1, 2000. If the Secretary is unable to provide such a certification, the Department should prepare an estimate of the costs necessary to terminate the project.

Technology transfer.—The conference agreement provides $14,500,000 for the technology transfer program. This includes $5,000,000 for the Amarillo Plutonium Research Center, the same as the budget request. The remaining funds support the projects identified in the budget request. The conferees recognize that the funds provided for technology transfer have been reduced substantially in recent years and recommend that the Department concentrate the remaining funds on technology partnerships with small business.

Education.—The conference agreement provides $18,600,000 for education programs, including the budget request of $6,000,000 for the Northern New Mexico Educational Enrichment Foundation and $8,000,000 for the Los Alamos School District.

Stockpile management.—For core stockpile management activities, the conference agreement provides $1,965,300,000, which includes the following adjustment to the budget request. Additional funding of $25,000,000 is to be distributed among the Y–12 plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; the Kansas City plant in Missouri; the Pantex plant in Amarillo, Texas; and, if necessary, up to $1,000,000 may be provided to plan modifications of the nuclear materials vault at the Los Alamos TA–55 facility.

Tritium.—A total of $175,000,000 is provided for continued research and development on a new source of tritium. Funding of $36,000,000, an increase of $5,000,000 over the budget request, has been provided for design only activities in Project 98–D–126, Accelerator Production of Tritium.

Chemical and Metallurgical Research (CMR) Building Upgrades.—The conference agreement provides $15,000,000 for upgrades to the CMR building. The conferees direct the Department to initiate the conceptual design of a replacement facility using existing operating funds.

Transportation Safeguards Division.—The conference agreement establishes a separate account for the Transportation Safeguards Division, as proposed by the House, and provides the budget request of $91,812,000. The conferees are aware that funding adjustments may be required in fiscal year 2000 to accommodate additional program activities.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides $209,000,000, a reduction of $5,688,000 from the budget request after transferring $31,812,000 to the Transportation Safeguards Division account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of $7,668,000 of prior year balances, $30,000,000 for contractor travel savings, $5,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings, and a general reduction of $29,800,000.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates $4,484,349,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of $4,157,758,000 as proposed by the House and $4,551,676,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of $1,064,492,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and $189,000,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of $5,737,841,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

In the event that the conference agreement requires a general reduction of available funding, such reductions shall be applied to the lowest priority projects and activities at each site in order to preserve critical program activities.

The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for a project in Idaho.

Site/Project Completion.—The conference agreement provides an additional $10,000,000 to address funding shortfalls at the Hanford site in Richland, Washington.

Post 2006 Completion.—The conference agreement includes an additional $10,000,000 for spent fuel activities related to the Idaho Settlement Agreement; $13,000,000 to maintain schedules required by revised compliance agreements with the State of Washington; and $10,000,000 to support high level waste removal activities at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP).—The conferees have included statutory language that would enable the Department to use funds otherwise available to the State of New Mexico to meet any bonding requirements that the State may impose on the operations of WIPP. The inclusion of such a provision should not be taken as a precedent. To the contrary, should such a requirement be imposed on the operation of WIPP, the conferees will recommend a statutory prohibition on such requirements.

The Department of Energy should review the role of the Environmental Evaluation Group to determine whether it is necessary to continue this oversight group now that WIPP has opened.

Health effects studies.—No funds are provided for health effects studies in the Environmental Management program. All funding for health effects studies is included in the Environment, Safety and Health (Defense) program.

Science and Technology Development.—The conference agreement provides $230,500,000 for the technology development program, the same as the budget request. The Department is directed to provide $5,000,000 from within available funds for the next round of new and innovative research grants in the environmental management science program in fiscal year 2000. The Department is urged to reallocate funds to the extent possible to provide up to $10,000,000 for technology deployment activities.

The conference agreement provides $4,500,000, an increase of $500,000 over the budget request, for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided $339,409,000 for the program direction account. The recommendation includes funding for the Federal employees at the Idaho Operations Office consistent with the Department's new organization structure.

Economic development.—The conference agreement maintains the current policy that no cleanup funds are to be used for economic development activities. The conferees have provided $24,500,000 in the worker and community transition program which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of $40,000,000 of

prior year balances; $6,000,000 for contractor travel savings; $8,700,000 in offsetting collections; and $2,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement appropriates $1,064,492,000 for the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account instead of $1,054,492,000 as proposed by the House and $1,069,492,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on a schedule for closure by 2006 or earlier.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides $189,000,000 for the environmental management privatization program instead of $228,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees are aware that funding requirements for the Disposal Cell at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, have been reduced by $39,000,000.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates $1,722,444,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of $1,651,809,000 as proposed by the House and $1,872,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

NONPROLIFERATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The conference agreement provides $744,850,000 for nonproliferation and national security programs instead of $691,050,000 as proposed by the House and $822,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Competitive research.—The conferees direct the Department to initiate a free and open competitive process for 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2000. In addition, 25 percent of the Department's treaty monitoring program is to be awarded through an open competitive process. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

The conference agreement provides funds for Project 00–D–192, the Nonproliferation and International Security Center at Los Alamos. However, none of the funds may be obligated until an external, independent project assessment has been completed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for review.

Federal employees.—The conferees are aware that the Department does not have enough qualified Federal employees available to manage the nonproliferation and national security programs, particularly the Russian programs. The conferees will favorably consider a reprogramming of funds from these program areas to the program direction account as Federal employees are hired to replace the contractor employees who currently oversee these programs contrary to proper role of contractor employees.

Arms Control.—The conference agreement includes $41,152,000 for chemical and biological non-proliferation activities; $150,000,000 for the materials protection, control and accounting program; $22,500,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Program; and $7,500,000 for the Nuclear Cities Initiative.

Emergency Management.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of $21,000,000 for emergency management.

Nuclear Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement provides $69,100,000, an increase of $10,000,000 over the budget request. This funding is recommended to enhance protection of critical facilities and infrastructure against physical and cyber attacks. From within available funds, $1,000,000 is provided to address the vulnerabilities of security equipment; $1,000,000 is provided to procure safety locks to meet Federal specifications; and $1,000,000 is to be used for an enhanced information assurance program.

Security Investigations.—The conference agreement provides $33,000,000 for security investigations, an increase of $3,000,000 over the budget request.

HEU Transparency Implementation.—The conference agreement provides $15,750,000, the same as the budget request.

International Nuclear Safety.—The conference agreement provides $15,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program. This funding is to be used only for activities in support of completing the upgrades to Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. No funds are provided to initiate new programs in fiscal year 2000 or to expand new programs initiated in fiscal year 1999.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides $89,000,000 for the program direction account. The conferees are aware of and support the proposal to restructure the Moscow office by reducing the use of national laboratory employees.

INTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes $36,059,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's intelligence program.

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes $39,200,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's counterintelligence program.

INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides $5,000,000 in support of the newly established office of independent oversight and performance assurance. This is in addition to the funds provided for this office in the budget for Environment, Safety and Health (Defense).

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides $98,000,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities instead of $96,600,000 as proposed by the House and $94,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not reduce funding for environmental evaluations and contractor support to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board liaison. The budget request of $13,500,000 has been provided for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation.

Health Effects Studies.—The conferees have provided $48,956,000 for health effects studies. This amount includes the budget request of $40,956,000 in this account and $8,000,000 from the Defense Environmental Management program.

From within available funds, the Department should reprioritize the funding for health effects studies to address the health concerns of current and former workers for the purpose of early identification of work-related diseases at the gaseous diffusion plants in Paducah, Portsmouth, and Oak Ridge. As part of this screening program, the Department is urged to make use of recent medical advances that detect lung cancers at an early stage. Medical screening results will be assessed by occupational medicine physicians, and the participants, where appropriate, will be provided referral assistance. The conferees also urge the Department to request sufficient funds for fiscal year 2001 to provide medical surveillance for those workers, both former and current, who were not screened under this accelerated program at the three gaseous diffusion plants.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides $24,500,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of $20,000,000 as proposed by the House and $30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Since there are no significant program funding decreases in the Department of Energy in fiscal year 2000, the conferees have reduced the funding allocated for enhanced severance benefits and local assistance grants.

The conferees do not agree that this program should share the infrastructure burden that is necessary to maintain test readiness at the Nevada Test Site, but support efforts to diversify technical activities at the Nevada Test Site.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The conference agreement provides $173,235,000 for fissile materials disposition instead of $190,000,000 as proposed by the House and $205,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the budget request of $21,765,000 for Project 00–D–142, Immobilization and Associated Processing Facility, which has been delayed. The conference agreement provides no long-lead procurement funds for Project 99–D–141, Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility.

The conferees have included $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate to support the joint U.S.-Russian development program of advanced reactor technology to dispose of Russian excess weapons-derived plutonium. Of this funding, $2,000,000 is available for work to be performed in the United States by the Department of Energy and other U.S. contractors, and $3,000,000 is to be expended for work in Russia. The $3,000,000 shall be made available for work in Russia on the gas reactor technology on the condition and only to the extent that the Russian Federation matches these contributions with either comparable funding or contributions-in-kind.

NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides $10,000,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of $25,000,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides $3,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement includes $677,600,000 as provided by the House and the Senate.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes the use of $49,000,000 of prior year balances; $13,000,000 for contractor travel savings; $20,000,000 offset to user organizations; and $7,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings. Reductions to prior year balances should be applied to those programs which have uncosted balances which are nearly equal to the program expenditures for the entire fiscal year.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides $112,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of $112,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding proposed by the Senate for the accelerator transmutation of waste program has been included in the Energy Supply account.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

The conference agreement does not include the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to eliminate the Department's purchase power programs. The conference agreement includes the Senate provision to fund these purchases in advance as in prior years.

The conference agreement does not include the House statutory provision prohibiting

the power marketing administrations (PMAs) from installing fiber optic cable in excess of operational needs. Under current law, the PMAs have authority to install fiber optic cable as part of the authority to operate transmission services. The conferees note that the same authority exists for all the PMAs. Installed and planned fiber optic cable costs for Western Area Power Authority (WAPA) amount to approximately $6,000,000 and comparable costs of the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) are approximately $140,000,000. Because WAPA manages approximately 17,000 miles of transmission and BPA manages approximately 15,000 miles, there are concerns about this level of spending on fiber optic cable installations.

The conferees direct the PMAs to prepare a comprehensive fiber optic cable plan that includes all activities relating to installation, operation, marketing and leasing of fiber optic cables and related communications operations. The plan should provide details on current and future operational needs, summary information of current leases, planned leasing costs and revenues, criteria used to determine where and when to install fiber optic cable, and criteria used to determine leasing agreements. The plan should include summary tables so that comparisons can be made among the PMAs. For example, the plan should include cost-per-mile figures, outyear projections and expected revenues for each of the PMAs. The Administrators should include justification for all fiber optic cable installation activities including the PMAs' specific statutory authority for the activities included in the plan. The plan shall be submitted to the appropriate committees of the House and Senate within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conferees have included the House provision providing $1,500 for official reception and representation expenses, instead of $3,000 as provided by the Senate.

SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $39,594,000 as provided by the Senate, instead of no funding as recommended in the budget request or the House bill. The conferees have included a 3,000,000 rescisson instead of the $5,500,000 rescission included in the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to transfer $773,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration to the Southwestern Power Administration.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $28,773,000, instead of $28,000,000 and as proposed by the Senate and $27,167,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to transfer $773,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration to the Southwestern Power Administration.

WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $193,357,000, instead of $171,471,000 as provided by the House or $223,555,000 as provided by the Senate. It is the conferees' intent to fully fund the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) including any necessary purchase power and wheeling costs. However, as the conferees attempted to determine the appropriate level of funding in the absence of an Administration request for such funds, their efforts were frustrated by WAPA's inability to provide basic information such as WAPA's current level of unobligated previously appropriated purchase power and wheeling funds and by uncertainties regarding future requirements caused by potential or ongoing contract renegotiations. If WAPA later determines that the amount provided is insufficient, the conferees direct the Department to expeditiously submit a reprogramming request.

FALCON AND AMISTAD FUND

The conference agreement includes $1,309,000, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $174,950,000, the same amount as provided by the House, instead of $170,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. Section 301 does not preclude extension of a contract awarded using competitive procedures.

SEC. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation.

SEC. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the $24,500,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants authorized under the provisions of section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress.

SEC. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill.

SEC. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House allowing the Secretary of Energy to enter into multi-year contracts without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. This provides the Department of Energy with the same flexibility provided to the Department of Defense.

SEC. 308. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House pertaining to Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) funding. The provision caps funding for LDRD at four percent. Funds provided to the laboratories for programs such as environmental cleanup and restoration may not be taxed for LDRD purposes.

SEC. 309. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House and Senate limiting to $150,000,000 the funds available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses. The language also requires the Department of Energy to reimburse contractors for travel consistent with regulations applicable to Federal employees.

SEC. 310. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House requiring the Department of Energy's laboratories to provide an annual funding plan to the Department for approval by the Secretary. This requirement has been expanded to all of the Department's multi-purpose national laboratories.

SEC. 311. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House requiring the Secretary of Energy to review and approve the contract terms of all prime contractors who manage Departmental sites and facilities.

SEC. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House prohibiting the expenditure of funds to establish or maintain independent centers at Department of Energy laboratories or facilities unless they are specifically identified in the budget submission. The Department should provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by November 30, 1999, a list of all such centers at each laboratory or facility, the annual cost, number of employees, and the source of funding.

SEC. 313. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and Senate prohibiting the expenditure of funds to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 314. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House limiting the activities of the Federal power marketing administrations in several areas. The conferees have prohibited the use of funds by the Bonneville Power Administration to to perform energy efficiency services outside Bonneville's service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally.

SEC. 315. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision applies to the five material categories addressed in the ''Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues on Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site'', Table S–2, Notice of Intent Categories.

SEC. 316. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate limiting the inclusion of costs of fish and wildlife protection within rates charged by the Bonneville Power Administration. The Administrator is directed to provide a report to the appropriate committees of the House and Senate which includes assumptions to be used in determining fish and wildlife costs during the 2002–2006 rate period. The report should be provided not later than December 31, 1999.

Provisions not adopted by the conferees.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House limiting the waiving of overhead or added factor charges for work performed for other Federal agencies.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House repealing section 505 of Public Law 102–377, the Fiscal Year 1993 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, and section 208 of Public Law 99–349, the Urgent Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1986.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds by the Federal power marketing administrations in the area of fiber optic telecommunications.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title III are contained in the following table.

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 1

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES		
Solar energy		
Solar building technology research	5,500	2,000
Photovoltaic energy systems	93,309	67,000
Photovoltaic energy research	2,847	2,847
Subtotal, Photovoltaic	96,156	69,847
Concentrating solar power	18,850	15,410
Biomass/biofuels energy systems		
Power systems	38,950	32,500
Transportation	53,441	39,500
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems	92,391	72,000
Biomass/biofuels energy research	26,740	26,740
Subtotal, Biomass	119,131	98,740
Wind energy systems	45,600	33,000
Wind energy research	283	283
Subtotal, Wind	45,883	33,283
Renewable energy production incentive program	1,500	1,500
Solar program support	10,000	5,000
International solar energy program	6,000	4,000
National renewable energy laboratory	1,100	1,100
Solar photoconversion energy research	14,260	14,260
Total, Solar Energy	318,380	245,140

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 2

	Budget Request	Conference
Geothermal		
Geothermal technology development	29,500	24,000
Hydrogen research	28,000	25,000
Hydrogen energy research	2,970	2,970
Total, Hydrogen	30,970	27,970
Hydropower	7,000	5,000
Renewable Indian energy resources	---	4,000
Electric energy systems and storage		
Transmission reliability	4,000	3,000
High temperature superconducting R&D	31,000	31,910
Energy storage systems	6,000	3,500
Total, Electric energy systems and storage	41,000	38,410
Program direction	19,171	17,720
TOTAL, SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES	446,021	362,240

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 3

	Budget Request	Conference
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Nuclear energy R&D		
Advanced radioisotope power system	37,000	34,500
Test reactor area landlord	6,070	6,070
Construction		
99-E-200 Test reactor area electrical utility upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	1,430	1,430
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	1,500	1,500
Subtotal, Construction	2,930	2,930
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	9,000	9,000
University reactor fuel assistance and support	11,345	12,000
Nuclear energy plant optimization	5,000	5,000
Nuclear energy research initiative	25,000	22,500
Civilian research and development	---	9,000
Total, Nuclear energy R&D	87,345	92,000
Fast flux test facility (FFTF)	30,000	28,000
Termination costs	65,000	80,000
Uranium programs	41,000	43,500
Isotope support	13,000	13,000
Construction		
99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL)	8,000	7,500
Total, Isotope support	21,000	20,500
Program direction	24,960	24,700
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	269,305	288,700

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 4

	Budget Request	Conference
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Environment, safety and health	31,752	20,000
Program direction	18,998	18,998
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	50,750	38,998
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program	1,600	1,600
Program direction	7,500	7,000
Total, Technical information management program	9,100	8,600
Transfer to OSHA	---	1,000
Field operations	102,000	---
Oak Ridge Landlord	11,812	---
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	122,912	9,600
Subtotal, Energy supply	888,988	699,538
Renewable energy research program	-47,100	-47,100
General reduction	---	-6,000
Transfer from Geothermal and USEC	-5,821	-5,821
Contractor travel savings	-1,276	-1,500
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY	834,791	639,117

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 5

	Budget Request	Conference
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Site closure	211,146	216,946
Site/project completion	98,366	95,250
Construction		
93-E-900 Long-term storage of TMI-2 fuel, INEL	2,500	2,500
Subtotal, Site/project completion	100,866	97,750
Post 2006 completion	18,922	18,922
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	330,934	333,618
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and decommissioning	210,198	220,198
Uranium/thorium reimbursement	30,000	30,000
TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING	240,198	250,198

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 6

	Budget Request	Conference
SCIENCE		
High energy physics		
Research and technology	227,190	229,190
Facility operations	441,200	450,000
Construction		
00-G-307 SLAC office building	2,000	2,000
99-G-306 Wilson hall safety improvements, Fermilab	4,700	4,700
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab	22,000	22,000
Subtotal, Construction	28,700	28,700
Subtotal, Facility operations	469,900	478,700
Total, High energy physics	697,090	707,890
Nuclear physics	352,825	352,000
Biological and environmental research	411,170	441,500
Basic energy sciences		
Materials sciences	407,636	405,000
Chemical sciences	215,577	209,582
Engineering and geosciences	37,545	37,545
Energy biosciences	31,226	31,000
Construction		
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	196,100	100,000
Total, Basic energy sciences	888,084	783,127

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 7

	Budget Request	Conference
Other energy research		
Computational and technology research	196,875	132,000
Energy research analyses	1,000	1,000
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support		
Infrastructure support	1,160	2,160
Construction		
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	18,351	18,351
Multiprogram general purpose facilities		
Construction		
94-E-363 Roofing improvements (ORNL)	749	749
Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	20,260	21,260
Total, Other energy research	218,135	154,260
Fusion energy sciences program	222,614	250,000
Oak Ridge landlord	---	11,800
Program direction		
Headquarters	52,360	52,360
Field offices	---	78,748
Total, Program direction	52,360	131,108
Subtotal, Science	2,842,278	2,831,685
Contractor travel savings	-10,834	-10,834
General reduction	---	-21,000
TOTAL, SCIENCE	2,831,444	2,799,851

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 8

	Budget Request	Conference
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations		
Salaries and expenses		
Office of the Secretary	4,940	4,940
Board of contract appeals	838	838
Chief financial officer	23,792	26,000
Contract reform	3,200	3,000
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	4,910	4,910
Economic impact and diversity	5,046	4,700
Field management	8,080	1,000
General counsel	21,434	20,750
Management and administration	101,273	98,000
Policy office	17,430	14,000
Public affairs	3,963	3,700
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	194,906	181,838
Program support		
Minority economic impact	1,700	1,700
Policy analysis and system studies	1,000	350
Environmental policy studies	2,432	1,000
Scientific and technical training	450	450
Corporate management information program	13,000	12,000
Subtotal, Program support	18,582	15,500
Total, Administrative operations	213,488	197,338
Cost of work for others	34,027	34,027
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	247,515	231,365
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments	-7,138	-15,000
Transfer from other defense activities	---	-10,000
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	240,377	206,365
Miscellaneous revenues	-116,887	-106,887
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	123,490	99,478
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General	30,000	29,500

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 9

	Budget Request	Conference
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Stockpile stewardship		
Core stockpile stewardship	1,635,355	1,610,355
Construction		
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	8,000	8,000
00-D-105 Strategic computing complex, LANL Los Alamos, NM	26,000	26,000
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	1,800	1,800
99-D-102 Rehabilitation of maintenance facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	3,900	3,900
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,000	2,000
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,400	2,400
99-D-105 Central health physics cailbration facility, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	1,000	1,000
99-D-106 Model validation & system certication center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	6,500	6,500
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada Test Site, NV	7,005	5,000
97-D-102 Dual-axis radiographic hydrotest facility (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	61,000	61,000
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization (Phase VI), various locations	2,640	2,640
96-D-104 Processing and environmental technology laboratory (SNL)	10,900	10,900
Subtotal, Construction	133,145	131,140
Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship	1,768,500	1,741,495

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 10

	Budget Request	Conference
Inertial fusion	217,600	227,600
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	248,100	248,100
Subtotal, Inertial fusion	465,700	475,700
Technology transfer/education		
Technology transfer	22,200	14,500
Education	29,800	18,600
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education	52,000	33,100
Total, Stockpile stewardship	2,286,200	2,250,295
Stockpile management	1,839,621	1,804,621
Construction		
99-D-122 Rapid reactivation, various locations	11,700	11,700
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	17,000	17,000
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	3,429	3,429
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	11,300	11,300
98-D-123 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SC	21,800	21,800
98-D-124 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN	3,150	3,150
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR	33,000	33,000
98-D-126 Accelerator production of Tritium, various locations	31,000	36,000
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	4,800	4,800
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project (LANL)	18,000	15,000

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 11

	Budget Request	Conference
88-D-123 Security enhancements, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	3,500	3,500
Subtotal, Construction	158,679	160,679
Total, Stockpile management	1,998,300	1,965,300
Transportation safeguards division		
Operations and equipment	---	60,000
Program direction	---	31,812
Total, Transportation safeguards division	---	91,812
Program direction	246,500	209,000
Subtotal, Weapons activities	4,531,000	4,516,407
Use of prior year balances	---	-7,668
Contractor travel savings	-23,065	-30,000
Directed savings	---	-5,000
General reduction	---	-29,800
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	4,507,935	4,443,939

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 12

	Budget Request	Conference
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Site/project completion		
Operation and maintenance	892,629	902,002
Construction		
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	3,100	3,100
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory (INEL), ID	7,200	5,000
98-D-401 H-tank farm storm water systems upgrade, Savannah River, SC	2,977	2,977
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	16,860	16,860
98-D-700 Road rehabilitation (INEL), ID	2,590	2,590
97-D-450 Savannah River nuclear material storage, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	4,000	4,000
97-D-470 Regulatory monitoring and bioassay laboratory, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	12,220	12,220
96-D-406 Spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA	24,441	20,941
96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho chemical processing plant (INEL), ID	11,971	11,971
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	931	931
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	2,000	2,000
Subtotal, Construction	88,290	82,590
Total, Site/project completion	980,919	984,592

	Budget Request	Conference
Post 2006 completion		
Operation and maintenance	2,478,997	2,511,997
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	420,000	420,000
Construction		
00-D-401 Spent Nuclear Fuel treatment and storage facility Title I & II, Savannah River, SC	7,000	7,000
99-D-403 Privatization Phase I infrastructure support, Richland, WA	13,988	13,988
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	20,516	20,516
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	4,060	4,060
93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	8,987	8,987
Subtotal, Construction	54,551	54,551
Total, Post 2006 completion	2,953,548	2,986,548
Science and technology	230,500	230,500
Program direction	349,409	339,409
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	4,514,376	4,541,049
Use of prior year balances/general reduction	---	-40,000
Contractor travel savings	-7,725	-6,000
Offsetting collections	-8,700	-8,700
Directed savings	---	-2,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	4,497,951	4,484,349
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
Closure projects	1,054,492	1,064,492

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 14

	Budget Request	Conference
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION		
Privatization initiatives, various locations.....	253,000	233,000
Use of prior year balances.....	-25,000	-44,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. PRIVATIZATION..	228,000	189,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.....	5,780,443	5,737,841
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Nonproliferation and national security		
Verification and control technology		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D.....	215,000	215,000
Construction		
00-D-192 Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL.....	6,000	6,000
Subtotal, Nonproliferation & verification...	221,000	221,000
Arms control.....	296,000	281,000
Subtotal, Verification and control technology.	517,000	502,000
Emergency management.....	21,000	21,000
Nuclear safeguards and security.....	59,100	69,100
Security investigations.....	30,000	33,000
HEU transparency implementation.....	15,750	15,750
International nuclear safety.....	34,000	15,000
Program direction - NN.....	90,450	89,000
Subtotal, Nonproliferation and national security	767,300	744,850
Intelligence.....	36,059	36,059
Counterintelligence.....	39,791	39,200
Security and emergency operations.....	65,214	---
Independent oversight and performance assurance.....	6,800	5,000
Environment, safety and health (Defense).....	67,231	73,231
Program direction - EH.....	24,769	24,769
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	92,000	98,000
Worker and community transition.....	26,500	21,000
Program direction - WT.....	3,500	3,500
Subtotal, Worker and community transition.....	30,000	24,500

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 15

	Budget Request	Conference
Fissile materials disposition	129,766	134,766
Program direction - MD	7,343	7,343
Construction		
00-D-142 Immobilization and associated processing facility, various locations	21,765	---
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, various locations	28,751	18,751
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, various locations	12,375	12,375
Subtotal, Construction	62,891	31,126
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition	200,000	173,235
National Security programs administrative support	---	10,000
Office of hearings and appeals	3,000	3,000
Subtotal, Other national security programs	1,240,164	1,133,844
Contractor travel savings	-5,790	-13,000
Total, Other national security programs	1,234,374	1,120,844

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 16

	Budget Request	Conference
Naval reactors		
Naval reactors development	620,400	633,000
Construction		
GPN-101 General plant projects, various locations	9,000	9,000
98-D-200 Site laboratory/facility upgrade, various locations	3,000	3,000
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	12,000	12,000
Subtotal, Construction	24,000	24,000
Subtotal, Naval reactors development	644,400	657,000
Program direction	20,600	20,600
Total, Naval reactors	665,000	677,600
Subtotal, Other defense activities	1,899,374	1,798,444
Use of prior year balances	---	-49,000
Offset to user organizations	-20,000	-20,000
Contribution from labs	-12,559	---
Directed savings	---	-7,000
Other reductions	-3,800	---
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	1,863,015	1,722,444
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	112,000	112,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	12,263,393	12,016,224

	Budget Request	Conference
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operation and maintenance/program direction	---	11,594
Purchase power and wheeling	---	28,000
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	---	39,594
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operating expenses	3,625	3,625
Purchase power and wheeling	---	833
Program direction	17,631	17,631
Construction	6,684	6,684
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	27,940	28,773
Transfer from Southeastern Power	-773	-773
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	27,167	28,000
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Construction and rehabilitation	26,802	26,802
System operation and maintenance	35,096	35,096
Purchase power and wheeling	---	41,886
Program direction	104,537	104,537
Utah mitigation and conservation	5,036	5,036
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	171,471	213,357
Use of prior year balances	---	-20,000
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	171,471	193,357
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	1,309	1,309
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	199,947	262,260

R$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 18

	Budget Request	Conference
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission	179,900	174,950
FERC revenues	-179,900	-174,950
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	---	---
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository program	198,189	180,689
Program direction	59,811	59,811
Subtotal from Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund	258,000	240,500
Transfer from defense nuclear waste disposal	(39,000)	---
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	258,000	240,500
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	17,112,197	16,670,746

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $66,400,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission instead of $60,000,000 as proposed by the House and $71,400,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, $1,000,000 is for the Richie County Dam project in West Virginia.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates $17,000,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board instead of $16,500,000 as proposed by the House or $17,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $20,000,000 for the Denali Commission instead of $25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House rescinding $18,000,000 previously appropriated to the Denali Commission.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $465,000,000, instead of $455,400,000 as recommended by the House or $465,400,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have provided $19,150,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, for the Commission's ongoing work to characterize Yucca Mountain as a potential site for a permanent nuclear waste repository. The conference agreement also includes $3,850,000 for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes $5,000,000, the same amount provided by the Senate, instead of $6,000,000 as provided by the House.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates $2,600,000 as proposed by the House instead of $3,150,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating $7,000,000 for the Tennessee Valley Authority. The conference agreement includes language providing authority for the Tennessee Valley Authority to use up to $3,000,000 in previously appropriated funds to pay for transition costs of Land Between the Lakes.

TITLE V—RESCISSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate rescinding appropriations for specified projects within the General Investigations and Construction, General account, amended to delete language proposed by the Senate to rescind appropriations from: the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, investigation; the Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, construction project; and the South Central Pennsylvania, Environmental Improvements Program, Pennsylvania, construction project.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes a rescission of $3,000,000 instead of language proposed by the Senate rescinding $5,500,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement includes language to rescind $4,000,000 from the multipurpose canister design program in the Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund. This funding was provided in Public Law 105–62, the FY 1998 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate directing that none of the funds in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 602. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

SEC. 603. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing that no funds may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until certain conditions are met. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or non-reimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior as described in the Bureau of Reclamation report entitled, ''Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995'' and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.

SEC. 604. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing a one-year extension of the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to collect fees and charges to offset appropriated funds.

SEC. 605. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House to repeal the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Act, as authorized under title VI of division C of Public Law 105–277. This Act was reauthorized in subsequent legislation.

SEC. 606. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House making a technical change to a provision of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 authorizing reimbursement for work by non-Federal interests on certain civil works projects of the Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 607. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementing the Kyoto Protocol.

SEC. 608. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund.

SEC. 609. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate changing the name of the Cascade Reservoir in Idaho to ''Lake Cascade.''

SEC. 610. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act by changing an annual cost limitation.

SEC. 611. The conference agreement includes language providing permanent authority for the Corps of Engineers to expend funds for various activities in the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). The Committees on Appropriations have been providing annual authorization for these activities.

Other.—The Senate bill included section 604 prohibiting the restart of the High Flux Beam Reactor. The conference agreement includes this prohibition in Title III, Department of Energy, General Provisions.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2000 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1999 amount, the 2000 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2000 follow:

(In thousands of dollars)

New budget (Obligational) authority, fiscal year 1999	$22,158,325
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2000	22,021,026
House bill, fiscal year 2000	20,640,395
Senate bill, fiscal year 2000	21,717,325
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2000	21,729,969
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1999	−428,356
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2000	−291,057
House bill, fiscal year 2000	+1,089.574
Senate bill, fiscal year 2000	+12,644

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,
ROY BLUNT,
BILL YOUNG,
PETER VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MIKE FORBES,
DAVE OBEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH PROGRAM REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1999

Mrs. KELLY. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2392) to amend the Small Business Act to extend the authorization for the Small Business Innovation Research Program, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows: