

NAYS—146

Archer	Goodlatte	Obey
Baird	Graham	Olver
Baldwin	Gutierrez	Owens
Barr	Gutknecht	Paul
Barrett (WI)	Hall (OH)	Payne
Bereuter	Hansen	Pelosi
Berry	Hastings (FL)	Peterson (MN)
Blagojevich	Hefley	Petri
Blumenauer	Hill (IN)	Phelps
Boswell	Hill (MT)	Pitts
Brady (PA)	Hinchev	Porter
Brady (TX)	Hoekstra	Ramstad
Brown (OH)	Holden	Rivers
Campbell	Holt	Roemer
Cannon	Hooley	Rohrabacher
Capuano	Hulshof	Royce
Castle	Inslee	Ryan (WI)
Chabot	Jackson (IL)	Sabo
Clay	Johnson, Sam	Salmon
Coburn	Jones (OH)	Sanders
Collins	Kaptur	Sanford
Combest	Kilpatrick	Schaffer
Conyers	Kind (WI)	Schakowsky
Cook	Klecza	Sensenbrenner
Costello	Kucinich	Serrano
Cox	LaHood	Sessions
Coyne	LaTourette	Shadegg
Crowley	Lazio	Shays
Cubin	Leach	Simpson
Danner	Lee	Slaughter
Davis (FL)	Lewis (GA)	Stark
Davis (IL)	Lipinski	Stearns
Deal	Lofgren	Stupak
DeFazio	Luther	Sununu
DeGette	Maloney (NY)	Tancredo
Delahunt	Manzullo	Terry
DeMint	Markey	Thurman
Doggett	McDermott	Tierney
Doolittle	McInnis	Towns
Duncan	McKinney	Udall (CO)
Dunn	Meehan	Udall (NM)
Ehlers	Miller, George	Upton
Eshoo	Minge	Velazquez
Fattah	Mink	Visclosky
Filner	Moore	Waters
Fossella	Moran (KS)	Waxman
Frank (MA)	Nadler	Woolsey
Ganske	Nussle	Wu
Gekas	Oberstar	

NOT VOTING—26

Barton	Everett	Rogan
Becerra	Ewing	Ros-Lehtinen
Bilbray	Franks (NJ)	Rush
Brown (FL)	Granger	Spence
Burr	Klink	Thompson (MS)
Chambliss	Largent	Vento
Chenoweth-Hage	McIntosh	Walsh
Crane	McNulty	Weiner
Diaz-Balart	Quinn	

1429

Mr. BLAGOJEVICH changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 95, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Mr. BILBRAY. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 95, had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Mr. ROGAN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 95, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Mr. CHAMBLISS. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 95, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. BURR of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I regret that I was unable to be present for the vote on final passage of H.R. 3908. Had I been present I would have, albeit reluctantly, voted in favor of the bill.

Stated against:

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 95, supplemental final passage, had I been present, I would have voted "no."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall numbers 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall numbers 91, 92, 93, 94, and "aye" on rollcall number 95.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 2559, AGRICULTURAL RISK PROTECTION ACT OF 1999

Mr. COMBEST. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 2559) to amend the Federal Crop Insurance Act to strengthen the safety net for agricultural producers by providing greater access to more affordable risk management tools and improved protection from production and income loss, to improve the efficiency and integrity of the Federal crop insurance program, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas? The Chair hears none and, without objection, appoints the following conferees: Messrs. COMBEST, BARRETT of Nebraska, BOEHNER, EWING, POMBO, STENHOLM, CONDIT, PETERSON of Minnesota, and DOOLEY of California.

There was no objection.

1430

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 3660

Mr. TANNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 3660. Apparently, it was inadvertently added without my knowledge or that of my office.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE.) Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask for this time for the purposes of inquiring from the majority about the schedule for the remainder of this week and the following week.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAZIO).

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BONIOR) for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce that the House has completed its legislative business for this week. The House will not be in session tomorrow. The House will meet next for legislative business on Monday, April 3 at

12:30 p.m. for morning hour, and 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list which will be distributed to Members' offices tomorrow.

On Monday, no recorded votes are expected before 6 p.m. On Tuesday and the balance of the week, the House will consider the following measures, all of which will be subject to rules:

H.R. 3671, the Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Improvement Act of 2000;

H.R. 2418, the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Amendments of 1999;

H.R. 3660, the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act of 2000; and

H.R. 1776, which, I might add, is a signature bill for the entire House of Representatives, the American Homeownership and Economic Opportunity Act.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, if I can ask my friend, the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAZIO) a couple of questions. Does the gentleman anticipate any late night sessions next week?

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, I say to the gentleman from Michigan that the only anticipation of a late night possibly would be on Thursday, and that would be as a result of 1776, the American Homeownership bill, which will be on the floor that afternoon and perhaps evening.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, what about next Friday?

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, we are looking at a busy week, and we will know later next week if we will be in for sure for legislative business. Right now I think Members should expect to have business on Friday, but we will know by midweek whether we will actually have to be here for legislative business.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, will the Coverdell voucher bill be brought back to the floor next week? If it will be brought back, can we anticipate that the Rangel-Johnson substitute will be made in order on school modernization?

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, the gentleman is referring to the education savings accounts, which would bring opportunity through investments, public investments, in individual investment accounts that would become available. We are going to continue to have a dialogue about that.

We, obviously, ran out of time this week with the supplemental taking up so much time on the House floor, rightfully so. Of course, next week is very busy. I would suggest that we are not optimistic about it coming up next week, but it is not out of the question.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, will that give us the opportunity to offer a school modernization bill?

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I say to the gentleman, I hope the gentleman will be discussing this also with the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER),