Special Education (1996–99), and the AB 602 Special Disabilities Working Group.

This important work is no less important to excellent education than is the day-to-day dedication of parents, teachers and other administrators; for if the school system lacked the administrative resources to do its job, school literally could not open. Even so, David took this critical financial stewardship task to a higher level by continually taking great care to ensure that his work in school system budgets was related to the real, day-to-day educational needs of students, and professional needs of teachers and administrators. For many years, he served hour upon hour as a volunteer tutor in a local San Diego area elementary school.

Let the permanent RECORD of the Congress of the United States show that Mr. David Gross is a friend of education and a friend to America, and a dedicated and gifted public servant whose hard work and great talent will be honored and missed by his friends and colleagues.

HELSINKI FINAL ACT 25TH ANNIVERSARY RESOLUTION

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH
OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, June 8, 2000

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a resolution commemo- rating the 25th anniversary of the Helsinki Final Act, an international accord whose sign- ing represents a milestone in European history. As Chairman of the Commission on Secu- rity and Cooperation in Europe, also known as the Helsinki Commission, I have been privi- leged to be associated with the Helsinki proc- ess and our sustained role in advancing human rights, democracy and the rule of law in Eu- rope. I am pleased to be joined by my fellow Helsinki Commissioners Representatives HOYER, WOLF, CARDIN, SALMON, SLAUGHTER, GREENWOOD, FORBES and PITTS as original co-sponsors. A companion resolution is being in- troduced today in the Senate by Helsinki Com- mission CoChair Sen. BEN NIGHTHORSE CAMP- BELL.

The Helsinki Final Act and the process it spawned has been instrumental in consigning the Communist Soviet Empire—responsible for untold violations of human rights—into the dustbin of history. With its language on human rights, the Helsinki Final Act, for the first time in the history of international agreements, granted human rights the status of a funda- mental principle in regulating international rela- tions. The Final Act’s emphasis on respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms is rooted in the recognition that the declaration of such rights affirms the inherent dignity of men and women and are not privileges bestowed at the whim of the state.

Equally important, Mr. Speaker, the stand- ards of Helsinki which served as a valuable lever in pressing human rights issues also pro- vided encouragement and sustenance to cour- ageous individuals who dared to challenge re-pressive communist regimes. Many of these brave men and women were members of the Hel- sinki Monitoring Groups in Russia, Ukraine, Lithuania, Georgia, Armenia, and similar groups in Poland and Czechoslovakia, Soviet Jewish emigration activists, members of re- pressed Christian denominations and others—paid a high price in the loss of personal free- dom and, in some instances, their lives, for their active support of principles enshrined in the Helsinki Final Act.

Western pressure through the Helsinki proc- ess—now advanced in the forum of the Orga- nization for Security and Cooperation in Eu- rope—greatly contributed to the freeing of the peoples of the Captive Nations, thus bringing an end to the Cold War. The Helsinki Com- mission, on which I have served since 1983, played a significant role in promoting human rights and human contacts. The congressional initiatives such as hearings, resolutions, letters and face-to-face meetings with representatives of Helsinki signatories which violated human rights commitments, encouraged our own gov- ernment to raise these issues consistently and persistently. The Commission’s approach at various Helsinki meetings has always been to encourage a thorough and detailed review of compliance with Helsinki agreements. Specific cases and issues are cited, rather than engag- ing in broad, philosophical discussions about human rights. With the passage of time—and with the leadership of the United States—this more direct approach in pressing human rights concerns has become the norm. In fact, by 1991 the Helsinki signatory states accepted that human dimension commitments “are mat- ters of direct and legitimate concern to all par- ticipating States and do not belong exclusively to the internal affairs of the state concerned.”

With the dissolution of the Soviet Union and Yugoslavia, the OSCE region has changed dramatically. In many States, we have wit- nessed dramatic transformation and a consoli- dation of the core OSCE values of democracy, human rights and the rule of law. In others, there has been little if any progress, and in some, armed conflicts have resulted in hun- dreds of thousands having been killed and in the grotesque violation of human rights. The OSCE, which now includes 54 participating States, has changed to reflect the changed international environment, undertaking a vari- ety of initiatives designed to prevent, manage, and resolve conflict and emphasizing respect for law against organized crime and corruption, which constitute a threat to economic reform and prosperity. The Hel- sinki process is still dynamic and active, and the importance of a vigorous review in which countries are called to account for violations of their freely undertaken Helsinki commitments has not diminished.

This resolution calls on the President to issue a proclamation reaffirming the United States’ commitment to full implementation of the Helsinki Final Act. All signatory states would be asked to clarify that respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, democratic principles as well as economic lib- erty, and the implementation of related com- mitments continue to be vital elements in pro- moting a new era of democracy, peace and unity in the OSCE region. In the twenty-five years since the Helsinki process was initiated in Helsinki, there have been many successes. Mr. Speaker, the task is still far from complete, and we must continue to do our part in champi- oning the values that Helsinki espouses.

HON. ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN
OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, June 8, 2000

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take a moment to congratulate Our Lady of Lourdes Academy for winning first place at the National Finals of the “We the People...The Citizen and the Constitution.” The group was invited to Washington D.C. as the finalist representing all of Florida and went on to win the first place trophy. There were over 50 groups in the competition.

I want to congratulate Gianna Berrocal, Erika Bloch, Carolina Bolado, Gabriela Chamorro, Natalie Dela Maza, Elizabeth Her- ald, Stephanie Hew, Ana Manrrara, Carmen Manrrara, Jennifer McNally, Kellie Montoya, Al- exandra Mora, Cristalina Moreno, Carmen Ruiz-Castaneda, Jennifer Smith and Olga Urbietla for their hard work, and especially Ms. Rosalie Heffernon, their teacher, who helped give them direction in this important endeavor.

Congratulations to these Lourdes students for taking such an active interest in the history of our nation, and I am sure that this bright group of high school students will be the voices echoing in the national debate of the years to come.

HATE CRIMES

HON. ALCEE L. HASTINGS
OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, June 8, 2000

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, sitting on a bench, riding on a bus, or even walking down the street, a hate crime can occur anytime or any place. Hate crimes are acts of pure unadulterated evil, wrongdoing someone because they are different. People should not and cannot live in fear because of their race, color, religion or sexual orientation; it is time that we take the strongest course of action to prevent these crimes.

Over the past decade the number of hate crimes has risen rapidly, consuming with 1999’s “summer of hate.” If taking anything positive from this infamous period is possible it is, that we have not done enough to prevent such crimes. Committing a hate crime is the most serious of offenses. It is our duty to make the punishment severe enough to deter even the most prejudicial person from consid- ering a crime of this size. We in Congress have the ability and the opportunity to prevent the possible consequences of bias from occur- ring.

Today, as we commemorate the second an- niversary of James Byrd’s tragic death, we must pledge upon ourselves to do everything in our power to reduce the number of hate crimes. No one should have to fear for their life, hate crime, or any other crime for that matter, and we must renew and maintain our focus of the Hate Crimes Prevention Act (H.R. 1082), to ensure that crimes cease.