

actively support efforts to end the forcible division of the island and its people and to unify Cyprus through a just and lasting solution.

Twenty-six years of occupation are enough. Twenty-six years of occupation are 26 too many. It is time to end the occupation now.

□

THE ECONOMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. STEARNS) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, this morning I would like to use this opportunity to congratulate the American people on a remarkable achievement. We are now 112 months into the current economic expansion, the greatest period of prosperity ever. Thanks to the innovation and hard work of everyone in this Nation, we have built a \$9.4 trillion economy. Just to put this in perspective, 112 months of continued economic growth. This economic expansion has lasted for over 9 years, starting during the Bush administration in April of 1991. The roots of this era of prosperity, however, reach further back, to 1991.

Michael Cox, an economist with the Dallas Federal Reserve Bank, traces this unprecedented expansion even further back, a total of 18 years. Since 1982 the U.S. economy has benefited from continued growth for all but 6 months in this 18-year period. That is right, over the last 205 months the economy has been in a slump for only 180 days.

□ 0930

Now, many of us believe the architect of this expansion, this incredible economic force, was President Ronald Reagan. So we ask, why?

Reagan pushed the idea of reducing taxes. He reduced the taxes from a top rate of 70 percent, and we forget about that today, down to 28 percent. He initiated stability of the currency and monetary policies; and the inflation rate was 15 percent and he brought it down to 3 percent in 1986, and then he launched deregulation of the energy, gas, transportation industries. Many of us believe this unleashed the creativity of the American people by allowing them to keep more of what they earned and saved.

What are the fruits from this dynamic reduction in taxes? It has been announced recently, yesterday, that the Federal Government is forecasting a \$4.6 trillion budget surplus over the next 10 years. This year, the Federal budget surplus will be the largest ever, \$224 billion. That is 2.4 percent of our Nation's total economic output.

Mr. Speaker, these surpluses have helped us to pay down the national debt by \$140 billion over the past 2 years, and by a total of \$400 billion by the end of this year. We are on a pace with our plan to eliminate the public

debt by the year 2013. However, we should not forget the source of these dollars.

The fact that we are running surpluses is one thing, but the fact is, the American people are being overcharged. Over the next decade, the people of this Nation could end up paying \$4.6 trillion more in taxes than the Government needs. That amounts to an overcharge of \$14,000 for every man, woman and child in this country. If we do the math, that turns out to be \$56,000, and I assume every family out there would rather have this \$56,000 than to give it to the United States Government.

Mr. Speaker, only 4 months ago, the total surplus projected for the next 10 years stood at \$2.9 billion. Interestingly, this revised increase of \$1.3 trillion alone would be more than enough, more than enough to cover the tax cuts vetoed by the President last year and the \$500 billion tax cut presented by the Vice President this year, combined. This newly anticipated windfall also would be enough for the tax cuts advocated by Governor George Bush of Texas.

Does this mean that the whole \$4.6 trillion should be earmarked for tax relief? No, I am not saying that. Mr. Speaker, \$2.3 trillion of this surplus is expected to come from Social Security taxes, and those dollars should be set aside to meet the needs for older Americans. That is why the Republicans created a lock box to protect the Social Security surplus. However, Mr. Speaker, that leaves almost \$2.2 trillion in non-Social Security surpluses; and a portion of that, I believe, should go to the rightful owners.

As I mentioned, this year's surplus will run about \$220 billion. Recently, we voted to end the death tax, a measure that the President has threatened to veto. This death tax raised \$23 billion in 1998, one-tenth of the 2000 surplus. We recently voted to reduce the tax penalty on married couples. The cost of making the Tax Code more fair for families is \$182 billion over 10 years. That is less than this year's surplus alone. Again, the defenders of big government say we cannot afford this.

Mr. Speaker, I know the American people can spend their own money more wisely than the Government can spend it. We trust our citizens to vote to raise a family and to serve on juries; let us allow them a portion of their surplus, and I believe they will be better off.

□

ANNIVERSARY OF TURKISH INVASION OF CYPRUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GUTKNECHT). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 1 minute.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, 26 years ago on July 20, Turkey invaded Cyprus. I will enter into the RECORD at

this time the statement on developments this year to resolve the human rights and political crises resulting from that illegal invasion.

Mr. Speaker, in the almost 26 years of the division and occupation of Cyprus, many consider the next few months to be the best opportunity to bring about a Cyprus solution. Many developments have brought us to this moment of caution and hope.

On December 3, 1999, proximity talks on the Cyprus problem were held for the first time in over two years. During the week of December 3–14, 1999, United Nations Secretary General Kofi Annan and U.N. Special Advisor on Cyprus Alvaro de Soto had a series of separate meetings in New York City with Cyprus President Glafcos Clerides and Turkish-Cypriot leader Rauf Denktaş.

Both sides laid out their position on the four core issues identified by the Secretary General: security, territory, separation of powers, and property. The completion of this first round of proximity talks and the agreement of the two sides to keep talking was widely praised and raised hopes that the climate may be shifting towards a concerted effort for a comprehensive settlement.

A second round of talks took place in Geneva, Switzerland from January 31st through February 8th, 2000. During this round, the two sides explored in greater depth the range of issues and prepared the ground for meaningful negotiations.

Shortly thereafter, during the period of February 28th through March 1st, U.N. envoy Alvaro de Soto traveled to Cyprus for a familiarization visit. Mr. de Soto had a full program of meetings on both sides of the divide—in the southern, government-controlled areas of the Republic, and in the northern part illegally occupied by Turkey since its invasion in 1974. The visit also took de Soto across the U.N. controlled buffer zone to observe peace-keeping operations.

I would like to say a few words about Alvaro de Soto, a diplomat who I know well. On behalf of the United Nations, Mr. de Soto successfully facilitated negotiations between the two warring parties in El Salvador's civil war. These were not easy negotiations: the differences and conflict between the two parties had a history going back decades and were of much-longer standing than just 12 years of armed conflict. Tens of thousands of civilians had been murdered during the war. And hundreds of others had disappeared. I quickly learned to respect and admire Mr. de Soto's diplomatic skills, his patience, and his understanding and ability to distinguish between those issues which must not be compromised and those that might be more easily brokered between the two parties if a lasting peace were to be secured. I was most impressed by his integrity and commitment to achieve a lasting peace, one that would bring real peace to a long-suffering civilian population. While I believe the Cyprus conflict is, in many ways, more difficult and intractable than El Salvador's, I have greater hope that a solution may be negotiated because of Alvaro de Soto's involvement in identifying core issues and steps that might lead to a successful agreement.

Earlier this month, the parties met with Alvaro de Soto, again in Geneva, to continue proximity talks. Those discussions adjourned on July 12th and will resume on July 24th.

They will proceed until early August and resume again in New York City at the United Nations on September 12th. We are all disappointed that Turkish Cypriot leader Denktash interrupted the process and left the talks to return for the Turkish Cypriot celebration of the July 20th invasion of Cyprus. I remain hopeful, however, that continued international interest in and pressure for a negotiated settlement will result in a return of good faith efforts by all parties to move the agenda forward when talks resume on July 24th.

The international community has been consistent throughout the past quarter century in expressing its support for a unified Cyprus. Over the past several months, it has been particularly forceful in expressing its support and desire for successful proximity talks leading to a comprehensive negotiated settlement. These include strong statements from the European Union, leaders of the G-8 nations, the United Nations Security Council, the Clinton Administration and the U.S. Congress.

The people of Cyprus have suffered too long. A lasting and comprehensive solution, one based on international law and democratic principles, can and must be negotiated.

Twenty-six years ago, on July 20th, Turkey invaded Cyprus. As a result, an estimated 35,000 heavily armed Turkish troops continue to occupy 37 percent of Cyprus' territory.

I hope that this year, the beginning of the new millennium, a new anniversary will be created. It will be the year when the breakthrough happens and the people of Cyprus are blessed with peace, security, reconciliation and a single democratic sovereignty.

□

COMMEMORATING THE ANNIVERSARY OF THE OCCUPATION OF CYPRUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from New York (Mr. GILMAN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, today we are observing a tragic occasion, the invasion of Cyprus by Turkish troops. I commend the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS) who has, over the years, made certain that the House does not fail to observe the events of July 1974, the tragic consequences of which still persist today, more than a quarter of a century later.

The occupation of northern Cyprus by Turkish troops which began some 26 years ago has turned into one of the most vexing problems of the international community, confounding the efforts of five presidents, four U.N. Secretaries General, and many of the world's top diplomats, including our own.

Late last year, we finally saw the first faint signs of hope when Rauf Denktash, a Turkish Cypriot leader, decided after more than 2 years of stonewalling, to agree to participate in U.N.-sponsored proximity talks with President Clerides, the Greek Cypriot leader. A few days ago, the third round of those talks resumed in Geneva. Although they have recessed until later this month, the good news is that they are going to continue, and further

rounds for the fall of this year are also scheduled.

But mere talks alone do not achieve any resolution of this issue. We need to see substantive discussions with real progress being made.

It is gratifying that this summer, we have had two young people from Cyprus serving as interns with our Committee on International Relations. They have given their personal viewpoint, providing some convincing evidence to us that a resolution of the Cyprus problem is very possible, if sufficient political will is brought about by both sides. Greek Cypriot President Clerides has over the years demonstrated that kind of will. We must, therefore, look to Mr. Denktash and to Ankara. There is, thankfully, a new dynamic at play, which is the European Union's accession talks with Cyprus and the prospective candidacy for EU membership that was extended to Turkey by the EU just late last year.

Membership in the European Community is now at hand for Cyprus; and with all of that, it entails cementing a peaceful and prosperous future for the Cypriot people. Likewise, Turkey, in order to demonstrate its own commitment to the peaceful democratic values that lie at the core of the European Union, must decide whether it wants to play a positive role in resolving the Cyprus dispute, or a divisive one.

Mr. Speaker, when I first came to the Congress some 28 years ago, Cyprus was one of the first international crises in which I became involved as a member of our Committee on Foreign Affairs, as it was then labeled. It is one of the most frustrating facts that I have faced as I look back on that now, after a quarter of a century during which we have seen the collapse of communism in Europe, greater peace in the Middle East, a possible settlement in Northern Ireland, and conflicts resolved in the Balkan tinderbox, but no movement on Cyprus.

Accordingly, we call upon our State Department and our President to continue to place the highest priority on working with the Turkish Government and all parties in Cyprus to produce results in this ongoing U.N. negotiation.

I have conferred with our special envoy to Cyprus, Al Moses; and I know that he is committed to achieving success, but he needs to have the continued backing of high officials, including our President. With such support, I am confident we can produce the outcome that we have all been seeking for so long, a reunified Cyprus and a peaceful and prosperous future for all of the Cypriot people.

□

TURKEY AND CYPRUS: THE TIME FOR PEACE IS NOW

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from California (Mr. FILNER) is recognized during morning hour debates for 1 minute.

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished chairman of the

House Committee on International Relations for his statement and for his long-standing support and leadership in educating us all on this issue.

I rise today to join him and other colleagues, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE), who will follow, in acknowledging this tragic invasion of Cyprus by the government of Turkey.

We are here, as we heard the Chairman say, for the 26th anniversary of the hostile assault on Cyprus which unlawfully led to the declaration of independence by the Turkish Cypriots.

Mr. Speaker, time and time again, Turkey has violated international law, imposing a systematic campaign of harassment and intimidation in the occupied areas. This has led to severe problems such as internally displaced refugees, violations of human rights, and the disappearance of over 1,400 Greek Cypriots.

Mr. Speaker, Turkey is our ally. We give them military aid and other forms of assistance. It is about time that we demanded that this ally comply with the United Nations and end this deplorable crisis.

The time for peace is now.

□

THE BEST OF TIMES AND THE WORST OF TIMES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, it is the best of times and the worst of times.

In 1993, it was somewhat the worst situation in this country in terms of overspending and debt. We had a \$250 billion deficit every year, as far as the budgeters could project. Earlier this year in January, CBO and OMB predicted there was going to be a \$26 billion on-budget surplus next year—a \$28 billion surplus this year. Yesterday, they predicted a tremendous increase in tax revenues, almost three times the amount in terms of on-budget surplus this year for an estimated \$84 billion. Next year, they are projecting \$102 billion surplus. Our economy has been growing now for 18 years—steadily for the last 10 years.

But remember, back in 1993 the Clinton administration and the Democrats made a decision that we should increase taxes in order to have deficit reduction. They passed the largest tax increase in history, \$250 billion. As it turned out, half of that money was used to expand domestic social program spending. The other half used to reduce borrowing.

If the goal of that huge tax increase was to have a smaller deficit and now we are looking at a projection of \$4.6 trillion to \$5.6 trillion surplus over the next 10 years with the unified budget, it is time to give back some of that tax increase. Let us reduce that 4.3 cent