

somebody who we can trust in negotiations. That was a very poor choice.

Aristide in Haiti, another poor choice; a man who is an authoritarian, no friend of the United States, and has receded Haiti from the democratic promise it showed in the early 1990s. By betting on Aristide, I think we have done that country no favor at all.

Foday Sankoh in Sierra-Leone. Probably, CNN has shown the most gruesome shots of butchery, of children going out and maiming children, drugged children going out and maiming children, being used as instruments of war. This is a person the Clinton-Gore administration chose to try and do business with. When CNN pulled the cord on that and they showed Foday Sankoh for the brutal dictator and terrorist that he is, the Clinton-Gore administration retreated from that, and so far we have nothing to replace it.

So when I talk about a hallmark of betting on the wrong guy, that has been one of the problems. Another has been appeasement. We have seen continuously wishful thinking that said, if we could just get these people to go along with us, we will be all right, and we will offer them carrots. Well, we have to remember that the wall came down in Berlin because we were dealing from strength. They had no place to go in the Soviet Union and the United States of America was on the side of right and we were on the side of strength and eventually we prevailed because of those things.

Now we are going to North Korea and we are seeing extraordinary, extraordinary and, I would say, amazing scenes of our Secretary of State basically recognizing a dictatorship that is has enslaved most of its people, including its children. This is not just enslaving them physically, this is mind control as well, because the indoctrination in North Korea is total. I have been there, and I have seen it. Here, for whatever reason, we are suddenly finding our new best friend, the smiling Kim Jong Il. He is still the same old Kim Jong Il, he is not our best friend, he is a dangerous dictator, and it is a thoroughly Communist country. I do not understand why we are trying to do him a favor.

As we go through and look beyond the appeasements that we could talk about in Russia and China, let me skip to some bad judgment, bad judgment such as we have seen in the Middle East by trying to do a good job, and I give the President credit for that, but by forcing the agenda so fast for whatever motivation that it broke the framework. That was not good judgment; and we are seeing tragically tonight, every night on television, scenes of what happens when one forces a situation beyond its evolutionary capability to deal with it.

We have seen in Iraq apparent, Desert Fox. We bombed the heck out of them, and what happens? We end up winning a very short-term gain and losing our window into Iraq. We do not

truly understand what is going on there now. We have lost our eyes and ears, Iraq is evermore dangerous and is now reasserting itself as a leader in the Arab world, as an evermore dangerous enemy of the United States with greater capabilities. We did not do what we needed to do there.

Mr. Speaker, this is a subject that will continue on, because this is a subject that matters to America; and I will be talking more about this in sessions to come.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### ELIMINATION OF THE DEATH TAX WOULD BENEFIT ALL AMERICANS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TANCREDO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TANCREDO. Mr. Speaker, for quite some time, we have been hearing from our friends on the other side of the aisle that Republican attempts to abolish the death tax is just a sop to the rich and that few "regular" folks would ever benefit from its elimination.

I would like to bring to the attention of the House an article that appeared in The Denver Post this weekend entitled "Death, Taxes end Rancher's Dream." The article describes the plight of the Laurence family who have for the last couple of generations been eking out a living from an 1,800 acre ranch in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado.

Merrill Laurence died 4 years ago and the family has been struggling ever since to keep the tax man at bay. They have run out of time and resources. Soon, the auctioneer's gavel will fall; and the ranch will be sold to developers. November 11 will be the date that ends a 180-year history of the Laurence family ranching heritage. This family will be moved off the land and homes will be built where the ranch now stands.

But the proceeds from the sale will not accrue to the heirs. They do not want the sale. They will not receive very much at all of what comes from that sale. The money raised by this forced sale will go to satisfy the demands of the IRS.

I can assure my friends on the other side of the aisle that there are real people out there who are affected by the death tax and who are far from "fat cats," that phrase that we so often hear them employ when attempting to foster class hatred in this country. These people and hundreds of thousands, millions others like them all over the United States are regular,

hard-working tax-paying families who, in fact, have made only a couple of mistakes in their lifetime. Like Mr. Laurence, many of them work too hard, accumulated too much, according to, again, people on the other side of the aisle who keep talking about the death tax as something that so few people would get and so few people deserve the elimination of the death tax.

Mr. Speaker, the fact is that there are lots of people who actually are, as I say, hard working, and they are not the top 1 percent, as we have often been told, of this Nation's income-earners who would benefit by the elimination of this death tax. They are people like Mr. Laurence who, as I say, he made a few mistakes. He worked too hard. He died before a new President could take office.

Mr. Speaker, I hope that we will soon be able to reintroduce this idea, the elimination of the death tax, and we will soon pass it; again, this will be the third time, and it will be signed by the next President of the United States, because it is a tax that needs to be eliminated, it is an unfair, unjust tax that people like the Laurences of Colorado are now being forced to pay and, as a result, being forced to sell their own heritage.

#### COMMUNICATION FROM STAFF ASSISTANT TO THE HONORABLE JAMES A. LEACH, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Ginny Burrus, staff assistant to the Honorable JAMES A. LEACH, Member of Congress:

OCTOBER 26, 2000.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,  
*Speaker, House of Representatives,*  
*Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a subpoena for testimony issued by the District Court for Iowa, Johnson County.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that it is consistent with the precedents and privileges of the House to comply with the subpoena.

Sincerely,

GINNY BURRUS,  
*Staff Assistant.*

#### COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT SCHEDULER TO THE HONORABLE JAMES A. LEACH, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Jill Rohret, district scheduler to the Honorable JAMES A. LEACH, Member of Congress:

OCTOBER 26, 2000.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,  
*Speaker, House of Representatives,*  
*Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a subpoena for testimony