

these feeding assistants would have to complete a state-reviewed training and competency evaluation, and would only complete a limited number of tasks under onsite supervision by a licensed health professional. I believe that these safeguards, among others, would ensure the quality of care without obviating the need for CNAs and other nurse professionals in long-term care facilities.

Mr. Speaker, I look forward to working with my colleagues this year to ensure that our nursing facilities have the staff and resources necessary to care for our families and friends in the years to come.

NATIONAL HEALTH PROMOTION
RESOLUTION OF 2001

HON. GENE GREEN

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 4, 2001

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today, along with my colleague Mr. BURTON, to introduce the National Health Promotion Resolution of 2001. This resolution recognizes the importance of health promotion and disease prevention, and expresses the sense of Congress that more should be done to integrate lifestyle improvement programs into national policy, health care workplaces, families and communities.

Modifiable lifestyle factors such as smoking, sedentary lifestyle, poor nutrition, unmanaged stress, and obesity account for approximately half of premature deaths in the United States. Spending on chronic diseases related to lifestyle and other preventable diseases accounts for an estimated 70 percent of total health care spending. With the pending retirement of the baby-boom-generation, the financial burden of these preventable diseases will further threaten the solvency of the Medicare program.

Health promotion programs have the potential to improve health, improve quality of life, reduce health care costs, and boost productivity. The Institute of Medicine has recommended that additional research is required to determine the most effective strategies at the individual, organizational, community, and societal level to create lasting health behavior changes, reduce medical utilization and enhance work-place productivity. Unfortunately, a very small percentage of health care spending, is devoted to health promotion.

The National Health Promotion Resolution of 2001 expresses the sense of Congress that more must be done in this area. In light of the pending crisis facing our Medicare system, the federal government stands to benefit greatly from the potential reduction in costs associated with an aggressive health promotion agenda.

This bipartisan legislation has forty original cosponsors, including the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. BURTON, who has worked closely with me and my office to shape this into a meaningful resolution. It is my hope that we will continue to work together to further our commitment to health promotion and disease prevention.

I urge my colleagues to join us on this important resolution.

SNOWMOBILES IN NATIONAL
PARKS

HON. RUSH D. HOLT

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 4, 2001

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing, with 17 of my colleagues, a bill to protect America's national parks from what is expected to be the next environmental rollback by the Bush Administration—an effort to overturn the National Park Service (NPS) decision to phase out snowmobile use in Yellowstone and Grand Teton national parks.

In response to a 1997 lawsuit, the NPS prepared an environmental impact statement (EIS) on the 100,000 snowmobiles entering Yellowstone and Grand Teton each winter. The NPS determined that those snowmobiles produce noise that can be heard by other visitors as much as 95% of the time, produce more air pollution than all other motor vehicles in Yellowstone throughout the year, and disturb bison and wildlife when they already face the stresses of brutal winter conditions. Because of these and other impacts, the NPS adopted a new rule to phase out by the winter of 2003-2004 all snowmobile use in Yellowstone and most of that use in Grand Teton, with expanded service by snowcoaches (multi-passenger vehicles) to provide continued wintertime access to the parks. The rule, the culmination of a 3½ year process, was published in the Federal Register on January 22, 2001.

Three key facts about the Yellowstone-Grand Teton snowmobile rule:

First, it is strongly supported by the public—by most public comments on the EIS, and fully 85% of the public comments on the proposed rule.

Second, the National Park Service determined not only that the snowmobile use in these parks is inappropriate, but also that it is unlawful. The Service determined that it violates the basic NPS mandate, in its Organic Act of 1916, to keep the scenery, natural and historic objects, and wildlife of national parks “unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.” The Park Service determines that the snowmobile use violates the Clean Air Act. The Service determined that the snowmobile use violates two Executive Orders, one by President Nixon and one by President Carter, setting standards for snowmobile use in national parks. And the Service determined that it violates the NPS's own general regulation on snowmobile use, in effect since 1983, that prohibits snowmobile use in parks that disturbs wildlife or damages other park resources.

Third, this is the first time in the NPS's 84-year history that it has determined that a use it has authorized in parks has gotten so out of control that it has ended up violating the mandate of the Service's Organic Act. In that sense alone, the NPS decision to end all snowmobile use in Yellowstone and most use in Grand Teton is historic.

Still, the Bush Administration has this rule in its sights. It has already delayed its effective date. Now there are published reports that the Administration wants to settle a legal challenge from snowmobile groups, in a backdoor attempt to overturn the rule without going through a new, public process.

Yellowstone and Grand Teton are not the only national parks where inappropriate and unlawful snowmobile use is occurring.

Last year, in response to a petition by 60 environmental organizations, the NPS acknowledged that much of the snowmobile use it has allowed to occur in other national parks violates, in four separate ways, some of the same requirements that are being violated in Yellowstone and Grand Teton. First, in nearly every instance, the Park Service merely allowed areas that were already open to snowmobile use to stay open, without reviewing them to determine if that use is consistent with protection of park resources, as required by President Nixon's Executive Order.

Second, the NPS has allowed snowmobile use to occur in two parks and on some trails without designating them for that use through a public rulemaking process, which is required by the NPS's general regulations.

Third, the NPS has consistently failed to monitor the effects of the snowmobile use it has allowed to occur, as required by President Nixon's Executive Order.

Finally, the NPS concluded that it has allowed snowmobile use to continue that violates the substantive standards of the two applicable Executive Orders and its general regulations. The Park Service concluded that in many instances snowmobiles disrupt the natural wintertime quiet of the parks, disturb the enjoyment of other visitors, adversely affect wildlife, and otherwise harm the resources, values, and management objectives of the parks, all of which is prohibited by the standards of the Executive Orders and the NPS's own regulations. Based on these impacts, the NPS determined that, in general, recreational snowmobile use is not an appropriate use of most national parks.

The NPS developed a plan to end inappropriate snowmobile use and to come into compliance with the standards governing snowmobile use in national parks. That plan would limit snowmobile use in national parks (other than in Alaska and in Voyageurs National Park, where special statutes apply) to short crossing routes providing access to adjacent public lands open to snowmobile use, and to routes providing necessary access to private lands in or adjacent to parks. Under this approach, of the 43 units of the national park system where some snowmobile use is now occurring, that use would be ended in 12 (including Yellowstone), would be allowed to continue but in more limited fashion in 10 (including Grand Teton), and would be allowed to continue without change in 21.

However, in addition to reviewing the Yellowstone-Grand Teton rule, the Bush Administration has halted the rulemaking process to implement this overall NPS approach to snowmobiles in other parks. Because of the Administration's policy, the NPS has not yet been able to finalize a rule proposed last December to restrict snowmobile use in Rocky Mountain National Park, and has not been able to propose other regulatory changes with respect to other parks.

The legislation my colleagues and I are introducing would legislatively adopt the sound approach the National Park Service developed last year to end inappropriate snowmobile use in national parks and come into compliance with the long-established standards of law that are supposed to govern that use. The bill would allow continued snowmobile use in