

authors, and I also commend the House for their support of the reauthorization and for supplementing the budget of the United States Coast Guard.

#### AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY ON NORTH KOREA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. BEREUTER) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, there was a range of interesting reactions to the Bush administration's statements last week that they were willing to resume talks with the government of North Korea, the DPRK, some suggesting this was a reversal of policy, perhaps a return to the North Korean foreign policy of the Clinton administration. Rather, the last 4- to 5-month period should be recognized as an appropriate pause in our intensive contacts with North Korea to reexamine the goals, tactics, achievements, and failures of American policy toward North Korea.

During the last few years, there have been substantial and growing congressional concerns, especially among Republicans, over the Clinton administration's North Korea policy. North Korea is arguably the most dangerous and erratic nation in Asia, perhaps the world, with a ruling clique that is intent on surviving even at any cost to its people. Indeed, their policies have killed huge numbers of their people through starvation. I believe it remains the place where there is the greatest chance of U.S. troops becoming militarily engaged in a terrible conflict. The DPRK continues to forward-deploy a 1.2 million-man army.

While finally agreeing to an indefinitely defined moratorium on missile flight tests, North Korea continues to develop and produce ballistic missiles, some of which are now capable of reaching the United States. In addition, there are certain indications that the DPRK may be maintaining a covert nuclear program.

Economically and socially, the "Hermit Kingdom" has come to the crossroads and must decide whether it continues on its path towards oblivion or whether it wants to dramatically reform its conduct and join the community of responsible nations. Logically, the United States should be in a position to significantly influence the DPRK's behavior. Instead, however, we find ourselves in a position where over the last few years North Korea has consistently been rewarded for outrageous behavior or for threatening such conduct.

□ 1300

North Korean behavior resembles that of the 18th century Barbary pirates, demanding ever-increasing levels of tribute from America, and some of its neighbors, in return for marginally tolerable behavior.

Overall, the preceding administration seemed too willing to tolerate North Korean misbehavior and demands for tribute. The United States has provided heavy fuel oil and humanitarian food aid in increasing quantities. Quietly, escaping the notice of the American people, North Korea became the largest recipient of foreign aid in Asia, although humanitarian aid was given through indirect means. Despite that level of assistance, we are prevented now from adequately monitoring the distribution of that assistance, even though there is a very high probability of aid diversions to the North Korean military.

Mr. Speaker, as the Bush administration stands poised and ready to reengage North Korea in discussions, if there is any sign such talks would be productive, it needs to be mindful of the need to let the North Koreans know in no uncertain terms that the cycle of extortion for their good behavior is over. Pay tribute or extortion is an outrageous violation of the American heritage, and we will not continue it. We will not pay, directly or indirectly, for what the North Koreans should do to improve their own plight: live on the Korean Peninsula peacefully with their neighbors to the south; end its tactics of terrorism, weapons proliferation, and blackmail; sign a peace treaty to finally end the Korean War; and give evidence that it wants to build a positive relationship with the United States and the international community.

Finally, Bush administration contacts with North Korea should be much more careful than the Clinton administration to closely involve the South Koreans, the Republic of Korea, in those talks directly or as closely as possible. We must not succumb to the old North Korean strategy to drive a wedge between the United States and South Korea or to denigrate the legitimacy of the government of South Korea.

Mr. Speaker, that is my advice, gratuitous though it is, to the Bush administration. We need to change our policy.

#### HOUSE NEEDS A TRUTH METER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FOLEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, over the last several weeks this Chamber, and, in fact, the President of the United States, has been under withering criticism from the Democratic Party over a few issues that are important to me and to our Nation.

They have launched attacks first on oil drilling off the coast of Florida, a proposal that they say is the hallmark of the President's oil strategy. They have also taken great pains to describe the Kyoto Treaty as a very important tool in helping the issue of global warming, and they have criticized the

President of the United States for his reluctance to agree to this treaty. Let me take up the first issue.

Recently in Florida, the President came to the Florida Everglades, a very important national park, a very important part of Florida, one we in the Florida delegation are proud of and have been aggressively working to support. Two of our Senators arrived with the President on this very ambitious occasion of announcing his commitment to the Everglades.

Their immediate attack after the press conference on the positive nature of the Everglades was to single the President out with withering criticism of his decision, they say, to drill for oil in the Gulf of Mexico, potentially destroying thousands of miles of pristine shoreline. Now interestingly enough, when I woke up this morning to The Palm Beach Post, my hometown newspaper, the headlines read, "Democratic Control of Senate May Not Help Stop Florida Drilling. Democratic control of the U.S. Senate has turned out to be no windfall for Florida politicians trying to block oil and natural gas drilling off the State's shores."

"The change from Republican control made a drilling advocate, Senator JEFF BINGAMAN, chairman of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee. Senator BINGAMAN is sponsoring a broad energy bill that would permit leasing 5.9 million acres for drilling in the Gulf of Mexico about 100 miles south of the Florida Panhandle."

Well, let me suggest to the Democrats, since they seem to be preoccupied with blaming us, that they ought to look to the new chairman of their own committee for advocating this very same policy. We in Florida, in the congressional delegation, the Governor of our State, Jeb Bush, strongly oppose oil drilling off our coast; and we remain steadfast in opposition.

But for the Democrats to attack the President as the only one advocating this position is wrong; it is false; and it should cease. Certainly they want to take advantage of a political opportunity to cast this President as an anti-environmentalist. And I say shame on you for that attack when one of your own members is the prime sponsor moving to, in fact, drill off the coast of Florida.

Before you launch these attacks and these negative air attacks on TV buys and radio buys, look first in the mirror before aspersions are cast. The new Senate chairman, evidenced by his own bill, is interested in this proposal and wants to foist it on the people of Florida.

The second issue I will present was in USA Today. It appeared in this morning's paper. "Ex-Clinton Aides Admit Kyoto Treaty is Flawed."

"Economists from the Clinton White House now concede that complying with Kyoto's mandatory reductions in greenhouse gases would be difficult and more expensive to American consumers than they thought when they were in charge."

President Bush said, "America's unwillingness to embrace a flawed treaty should not be read by our friends and allies as any abdication of responsibility."

First and foremost, when you look at the Kyoto Treaty, several of the largest polluters on the planet are not willing or able or interested in complying: China being the lead among them.

Somehow we are attacking the President as he embarks on a European trip by suggesting he is allowing the world to become more polluted. To the contrary. Our President suggested that we look at a treaty that is not only verifiable, but is capable of causing some of these problems to subside and start creating a cleaner environment.

These two issues indicated that we need a truth meter around this place because those who would charge our party with abandoning environmental concerns are doing so for political gain and expediency. They are so desperate to control both sides of the aisle, they are willing to lie their way through these processes and procedures in order to point the blame at one party and one President alone.

I think this clearly indicates that, yes, politically popular as the Kyoto Treaty may be in some quarters, the most important job of the President of the United States is to make certain that we can do it and do it affordably.

One of the things in the Kyoto Treaty it suggests is if another country cannot clean up their own act, that they will help pay for another nation to help clean up theirs, which means it transfers the responsibility of payments from one country to another to clean up global pollution.

Mr. Speaker, I want to see cleaner air and cleaner water, and I want our Nation to participate. But I support the President as he endeavors to make it a reasonable, meaningful, comprehensive agreement that includes all parties. Let us not leave the table waiting and wanting with political sound bite and rhetoric. Let us make certain that we send a signal strongly and clearly to the administration that we want to support a treaty, but we do not want it to be one-sided and we do not want the consumers of the United States to foot the egregious bill that will be left because of these types of treaties.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CULBERSON). The Chair reminds Members that remarks in debate may not be directed to the other body, and may not include characterizations of the Senate or its actions or its Members.

#### RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There being no further requests for morning hour debates, pursuant to clause 12, rule I, the House will stand in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 9 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until 2 p.m.

□ 1400

#### AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WHITFIELD) at 2 p.m.

#### PRAYER

The Reverend Charles C. Hobbs, First Baptist Church, Rogersville, Tennessee, offered the following prayer:

Almighty God, we praise You that You chose to redeem us through Your act of love.

You have blessed us with the opportunity to help others even as we enjoy the blessings of this land.

You have given us intelligence to use the products of Your universe for the benefit of all mankind.

You have given us a spiritual dimension, challenging us to combine opportunity and intelligence to achieve the goals for which You created us.

Deliver us, O God, from the foolishness of spiritual arrogance, which overlooks opportunity, minimizes intelligence, and refuses the benefit of spiritual guidance.

Help us nationally to know that our best days are before us, that our past days can instruct us, and that we must use today to help us become laborers together with God.

In our Lord's name. Amen.

#### THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TRAFICANT) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. TRAFICANT led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

#### WELCOME TO REVEREND CHARLES C. HOBBS, FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH, ROGERSVILLE, TENNESSEE

(Mr. JENKINS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JENKINS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to welcome our chaplain for the day and thank him for coming.

Mr. Speaker, the Reverend Charles Hobbs, who is our chaplain for the day,

over a long period of time as a teacher at Carson-Newman College, a Baptist college in Jefferson City, Tennessee, and as a minister in numerous Baptist churches throughout east Tennessee, has influenced literally tens of thousands of lives in a very positive way.

I want to take this opportunity to thank Dr. Hobbs for coming here today, for imparting to us his wisdom through this opening prayer, this prayer for this House of Representatives and for this Nation. I certainly want to thank Chaplain Coughlin and the gentleman from Illinois (Speaker HASTERT) for extending this invitation to him.

#### COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
Washington, DC, June 11, 2001.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,  
Speaker, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on June 11, 2001 at 9:37 a.m.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 1914.

With best wishes, I am  
Sincerely,

JEFF TRANDAH,  
Clerk of the House.

#### APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO CENTER FOR RUSSIAN LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, pursuant to section 313(2)(a) of Public Law 106-554, and upon the recommendation of the majority leader, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Member on the part of the House to the Board of Trustees of the Center for Russian Leadership Development:

Mr. AMO HOUGHTON, New York.

There was no objection.

#### APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS TO NATIONAL COMMISSION TO ENSURE CONSUMER INFORMATION AND CHOICE IN AIRLINE INDUSTRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to Section 228(d)(1) of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 106-181), the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Members on the part of the House to the National Commission to Ensure Consumer Information and Choice in the Airline Industry:

Mr. Gerald J. Roper, Illinois;  
Mr. Paul M. Ruden, Virginia.