

construct new gates and levees near the site of the former break. An unexpected surge in the river, however, washed away eight months of work and killed one of the workers.

Despite opposition from the mutual water companies, county officials began to circulate the idea of forming an irrigation district that would be owned by the people through the California Irrigation District Act. The legal analysis was furnished by Mr. Phil Swing, the newly-elected and politically astute D.A., who would later serve in Congress. He became the motivating force behind the Boulder Canyon Project.

Swing argued that private ownership had been tried and failed, the federal government could not be counted on to fill the void left by the railroad and the mutual water companies could not be trusted to represent the people's best interests. According to Swing, what the Imperial Valley needed was an irrigation system owned by the people it was meant to serve, a public agency with municipal powers similar to a city, but one that was also autonomous from county government. The call for local control had immediate appeal in an Imperial Valley still recovering from the flood years and captured the populist mood of the voters. An election was held on July 14, 1911, and the vote in favor of establishing the Imperial Irrigation District (IID) was passed 1,304–360.

Members of the IID's first board included Mr. Porter Ferguson, a Holtville farmer; Mr. Fritz Kloke, a farmer and banker in the Calexico area; Mr. W.O. Hamilton, an El Centro farmer and merchant; Mr. H.L. Peck, an Imperial farmer and merchant; and Mr. Earl Pound of Brawley, a farmer and real estate broker. At its first meeting on July 25, 1911, Porter Ferguson was named president of the board, and members were asked to contribute \$150 toward the good of the cause, with the \$750 going to help defray ongoing expenses.

Their cause was self-determination, which most people believed could only be realized through the eventual purchase of the water distribution system already in place, including the 52 miles of canals owned and operated by the Compania de Terrenos y Aguas de la Baja California, a Mexican subsidiary of the CDC. Both companies and their assets were tied up in the courts, but the ITD intended to acquire these properties out of receivership. In the meantime, it would have to generate the capital needed to implement its ambitious acquisition plan.

By 1912, with the Mexican Revolution going on just across the border in Mexicali, an opportunity was presented for an open discussion regarding the need for an "All American Canal," the first recorded reference to the massive project that would be completed, along with Hoover Dam, some 30 years later.

At the same time, the IID was negotiating directly with the railroad and with the American and Mexican receivers in an effort to purchase the assets of the CDC, which it did in 1915 for the price of \$3 million. A bond issue for \$3.5 million was passed later that year and condemnation of the defunct company was initiated by the IID. Both actions were popular with the people, if not with the mutual water companies, but individual board members did not enjoy the same level of support among water users, mainly due to water shortages on the river.

Finally, the entire board of directors resigned as a body and the County Board of Su-

pervisors had to appoint five new IID directors, naming Mr. Leroy Holt as president in 1916. It was this Holt-led board, serving during those first tumultuous years of 1912–1916, that skillfully pursued the acquisition of the CDC's existing waterworks and placed it in the hands of the people. The IID purchased the last of the "mutuals" in 1922. It was during this period that the East Highline was built, along with the Westside Main Canal and other important features of the canal network that are still in service today.

The IID's first four years in existence were a chronology of great accomplishments, coupled with competitive politics. Its real achievement, however, was delivering to the people of the Imperial Valley some measure of certainty in the future and, with it, a reason for optimism. With the flood years and the period of receivership behind it, the IID, on behalf of the people, picked up where the CDC left off. There was only one difference, the IID never stopped.

Thank you Imperial Irrigation District for your years of dedicated service, for saving the Imperial Valley and for all that you continue to do for the citizens of Imperial County.

#### TRIBUTE TO THORNTON SISTERS

### HON. FRANK PALLONE, JR.

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, June 14, 2001*

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call attention once again to a group of women who never cease to amaze me. This month marks the tenth anniversary of The Thornton Sisters Foundation, Inc. I have been following these women's struggles and accomplishments for a long time now, and after a decade of success I feel it an honor to formally salute these women a second time.

On Sunday June 10, 2001 the Thornton Sisters Foundation held an awards ceremony for the twenty-five finalists of the Donald and Itasker Thornton Memorial Scholarship and their family members. The Grand View Ballroom at the Jumping Brook Country Club in Neptune, New Jersey hosted this occasion.

The Thornton Sisters have an interesting history that led to the creation of this foundation. Their parents, Donald and Itasker, moved in 1948 from Harlem New York City to Long Branch, New Jersey. The Thornton move was so that their children would be able to receive a better education. After purchasing a lot on Ludlow Street, Mr. Thornton became the first African-American man in the area to receive a mortgage.

Mrs. Thornton having given birth to six children, all of whom are girls, became a domestic. Mr. Thornton worked three jobs at Fort Monmouth, Eatontown to provide for his children.

Mrs. Thornton was unable to attend college herself. However, she pushed all of her daughters to accomplish something that she would never be able to do. Mrs. Thornton was correct in her foreseeing that women of the future would need to be able to be financially stable on their own.

With the help of scholarships and a weekend family music group all six daughters graduated from Monmouth University in Long Branch. Their music ensemble was well

known and packed the house of the Apollo Theatre in Harlem. Having learned early on the importance of an education, these six sisters now want to give the same opportunity they had to other young women.

This story has special significance to me, as I am a citizen of Long Branch. Rita Thornton and I both attended Long Branch high school at the same time and actually participated in speech and debate together. I could tell, even back then, that her and her sisters share a true commitment to education and excellence—now knowing all of them received straight A's throughout high school.

These women are truly a group that needs to be admired and praised. I want to personally thank the Thornton sisters on their ten years of providing scholarships for young minority women of the state of New Jersey.

#### NATIONAL YOUTH SMOKING REDUCTION ACT OF 2001

### HON. TOM DAVIS

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, June 14, 2001*

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased to introduce the National Youth Smoking Reduction Act of 2001, which gives the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) comprehensive, effective authority to oversee the tobacco industry. As the name implies, the primary focus of this bill is to keep our children away from tobacco products—to protect them from being targeted by the tobacco industry, to keep them from becoming addicted, to keep them healthier and stronger without the detrimental effects of tobacco.

I would especially like to thank my co-sponsors, Representatives TOWNS, GILLMOR, COLLIN PETERSON, LINDER, MARK GREEN, MIKE DOYLE, COLLINS, SWEENEY, BONO, GRANGER, TERRY FERGUSON, SCHROCK, and GRUCCI, for their leadership on this important issue.

Where does my interest in curbing tobacco use come from? My father died of emphysema, and my wife is a doctor. I have three children of my own, and it would break my heart to see them fall prey to the marketing tactics that ensnare children and get them started on tobacco and down the road to disease and suffering. Moreover, I can see with my own eyes the dangers presented by tobacco use, and I believe there is a need to do something about the situation.

I should note that this is not the first time I have acted against tobacco. Back in the mid-1980s, as a member of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors, I introduced the first ordinance in the Commonwealth of Virginia to designate non-smoking areas in restaurants.

I have tried to take a sensible approach to what is clearly a sensitive and polarizing issue. Some believe FDA has no role in regulating tobacco. Many would prefer FDA to have complete authority over tobacco, up to and including banning the use of tobacco products outright. I am promoting an approach that will allow FDA to take important steps in protecting our citizens, especially children, from the dangers of tobacco. However, I stop short of an abolitionist stance, because I believe that if an adult chooses to use tobacco products, he or she should legally be able to do so. If we ban tobacco use, or leave room