

pinch-hitting on the floor and substituting and helping us move this bill. We are grateful for the gentleman's care and concern, and I thank him for his kind words.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I am very honored to do so. I want to thank the gentleman for his support on this subject and his interest in it and his dedication to it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. SIMPSON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 691.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS TO THE COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL RELIGIOUS FREEDOM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, pursuant to section 201(b) of the International Religious Freedom Act of 1998 (22 U.S.C. 6431), amended by Public Law 106-55, and upon the recommendation of the minority leader, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following members on the part of the House to the Commission on International Religious Freedom to fill the existing vacancies thereon, for terms to expire May 14, 2003:

Ms. Leila Sadat, St. Louis, Missouri and

Ms. Felice Gaer, Paramus, New Jersey.

There was no objection.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. JONES of North Carolina addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-

tleman from California (Mr. HORN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. HORN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. NORTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PETERSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PETERSON of Pennsylvania addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PALLONE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. HUNTER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. HUNTER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### STRENGTHENING UNITED STATES FOREIGN ASSISTANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MORAN of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I would like to say a few words about a national priority that too often gets overlooked: humanitarian and development assistance in our foreign operations appropriations bill. That bill will probably be coming to the floor within the next few legislative days.

Foreign assistance is an important and effective policy device when words and diplomacy are not enough or when military action is not appropriate. Strengthening U.S. foreign assistance will improve the lives of millions of people around the world and is consistent with America's long history of extending a helping hand to those less fortunate.

We, and in fact much of the rest of the world, too easily forget the fact that, over the last half century, U.S. humanitarian and development assistance has successfully elevated the standards of living for millions of people.

More than 50 nations have graduated from U.S. assistance programs since World War II, including such nations as

France, Spain, Portugal, South Korea, Taiwan, Italy, and Germany. More than 30 of these former aid recipients have gone on to become donor nations themselves.

Over the years, foreign assistance programs have helped create some of our closest allies and best trading partners and greatest contributors to the world's economy. For example, the United States now exports to South Korea in just 1 year the total amount we gave that country in foreign assistance during all of the decades of the 1950s and 1960s.

But despite substantial global accomplishments, as we enter the new millennium greater disparities exist between the wealthy and the poor than ever before. Of the world's 6 billion people, half live on less than \$2 a day, and one-fifth live on only \$1 a day. That is more than a billion people, four times the population of the United States living on less than a dollar a day. Two billion people are not connected to any energy system. One and a half billion lack clean water. More than a billion lack basic education, health care or modern birth control methods.

Poverty, disease, malnutrition, rapid population growth, and lack of education paralyze billions of people and extinguish hope for a better future. The world's population grows by about 75 million people a year, and most of them will live in the world's poorest countries.

If current trends continue, the result will be more abject poverty, environmental damage, epidemics, and political instability; and we are not such an isolated island of prosperity that we are not immune from the ramifications of this desperation.

From our own shores to the far reaches of the world, there is ample evidence that we have not been able to use our trade policies as effectively as we would like to address the negative impact of globalization which contributes to these great disparities between the privileged and impoverished.

□ 1845

Our failure to respond adequately to these problems is a moral dilemma that should be a pivotal part of our overall foreign assistance and international trade framework. Consider, for example, the plight of the seriously ill in the developing world. It is a testament to the failure of industrialized nations that 80 times more pharmaceutical products are sold in the much less populace west than on the entire continent of Africa.

Each year, 300,000 people in Africa develop sleeping sickness, and many of them die from this disease. It is a disease that we could conquer if we had the political will and the research wallet to do it, but we do not. We will apply more of our resources to cure bald American males than African children with sleeping sickness.

The most shocking global misallocation of health resources, of