

El Refugio, Inc. of Silver City received \$304,931 from the Civil Legal Assistance Grant Program, an increase from their 1998 grant of \$295,596. With these monies, they will be able to continue existing project activities in their legal assistance program from low income and indigent battered women.

Likewise, The Eight Northern Indian Pueblos, Inc., the Jicarilla Apache Tribe, the Pueblo of Laguna, and the Santa Ana Pueblo have collectively received \$331,593 from the STOP Violence Against Indian Women Discretionary Grant Program. This allocation will be used to enhance and maintain current programs aimed at decreasing violence against women.

Since enactment of VAWA, other grants totaling over \$1.5 million have been provided to the City of Albuquerque in support of the Albuquerque Police's Domestic Abuse Response Team (DART), to Santa Fe County for implementation of a judicial oversight program to enhance offender accountability, and to Dona Ana County's efforts to expand prosecutorial services for victims, DART and La Casa Inc., the local battered women's shelter.

This nation-wide problem demands a local response. Federal funding is being effectively used to leverage existing community-based organizations and local law enforcement officials to help prevent and persecute domestic violence.

Last year I cosponsored the Violence Against Women Act. This year I am supporting full funding of VAWA programs for the Justice Department programs and in the Health and Human Services budget, despite the tight fiscal constraints and competing priorities for those agencies.

Domestic violence is a scourge. We must commit to addressing it. This legislation is one concrete step in the right direction.

THE PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS OF GUN VIOLENCE

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, before we adjourned for the Fourth of July recess, we spent two weeks on the Senate floor discussing the Patients Bill of Rights. I supported the strong, enforceable bill which the Senate finally approved on June 29th. After years of consideration and a hard legislative battle, the bipartisan vote this bill received reflects the overwhelming support the bill has from the American people.

Over the next several months we will continue to discuss the importance of reforming our health care system to make it more affordable and more accessible to the American people. But as we debate the subject, we must not ignore an issue that is often overlooked as a public health problem. I'm talking about gun violence. Because, Mr. President, accompanying the tremendous human costs of gun violence are enormous public health costs that we cannot afford to ignore.

According to a 1999 report from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, every day in the United States, 93 people die as a result of gunshot wounds and an additional 240 sustain gunshot injuries. The report states that "the fatality rate is roughly equivalent to that associated with HIV infection—a disease that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has recognized as an epidemic." In addition, according to a 1997 study cited by the Violence Policy Center, the cost of gunshot wounds exceeded \$126 billion in 1992 alone. That same year, the injury cost per bullet sold in the United States exceeded \$25.

So as we in the Senate work to improve health care for all Americans, we should work just as hard to address the loopholes in our gun laws. Only by doing the latter can we reduce the costs to public health that result from gun violence.

BURMA MILITARY PURCHASES

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, the illegitimate regime in Rangoon has once again shown its true colors. On this bright, sunny morning in Washington, I want to draw the attention of my colleagues to gathering storm clouds in Southeast Asia.

According to Jane's Defence Weekly, Burma's State Peace and Development Council, SPDC, has signed a contract to purchase 10 MiG-29 fighter aircraft from the Russian Aircraft-building Corporation. These fighters were built in the early 1990s and are being stored at the Lukhovitsy machine-building plant. The total cost of the 10 MiGs to the SPDC is \$130 million, 30 percent of which will be paid up front and the balance settled over the next decade.

This purchase is troubling for several reasons, and underscores that despite its name the SPDC is neither committed to peace nor the development of Burma. Thailand—and the United States—should be concerned with the acquisition of these aircraft, which boosts the junta's capabilities well beyond the 42 Chengdu F-7M and Nanchang A-5C currently sitting on Burmese runways. Tensions between the Thais and the junta have already spilled over into exchanges of gunfire and mortars; an escalation to an air war would be destabilizing to the entire region. China may be the only country to view the sale in a positive light, as it strengthens the military capability of one its staunchest allies in the region.

From drug dealing to the forced use of child soldiers, the Burmese military has distinguished itself as a world's leading violator of human rights and dignity. This purchase serves as evidence that the regime is committed to remaining in power at any and all costs. The international community must now double its efforts to ensure that even greater human rights abuses are not waged against the innocent people of Burma by the military, which is corrupt to the core.

The acquisition of MiG fighters adds 10 more reasons why the United States should view skeptically the discussions between Rangoon's thugs and thieves and Burma's legitimate leader Daw Aung San Suu Kyi. The contract with Russia sends a signal that despite all the rhetoric and few prisoner releases, the talks may be hollow. What meaningful concessions can the generals make to Suu Kyi if they are arming themselves?

The \$130 million contract—and where is that money coming from, Mr. President?—demonstrates yet again that the junta has not made the welfare of the people of Burma a priority. From an escalating HIV/AIDS crisis to forced labor practices, the junta has yet to demonstrate the political will to tackle the hardships the Burmese face every day.

Finally, the sale is an indication that the Russians are willing to sell military hardware to anyone, anywhere. We can add Burma to the growing list, which includes Iran and North Korea, of Russian client countries.

RACISM

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, today I rise to call attention to racism in our society.

There are certain moments when we are reminded that it exists, and that it is a very ugly thing. Recently, the Committee of 100, a group of prominent Chinese-Americans, published a survey that measured attitudes toward Asian-Americans, especially those of Chinese descent. It was the first such comprehensive survey—the group wanted to establish a baseline that can be compared to future studies so that we can determine whether racist attitudes against Chinese-Americans are rising or falling.

The result of this first survey was distressing. Apparently, one-quarter of Americans hold "very negative attitudes" toward Chinese-Americans, and one-third think that Chinese-Americans are more likely to be loyal to China than to the United States. Stop and think about that: a charge of disloyalty is a sensational accusation when it is leveled by one American against another. This survey suggests that 90 million people in this country accuse millions of their fellow Americans of disloyalty.

The same poll also tested attitudes toward Asian-Americans in general, with similar results. Twenty-four percent of Americans would be upset if someone in their family married an Asian-American; 23 percent would be uncomfortable voting for an Asian-American president; and 17 percent would be disappointed if an Asian-American moved into their neighborhood.

Prejudice toward Chinese-Americans, and toward Asian-Americans in general, is not unique. Immigrants from all parts of the world have been stereotyped and reviled at some point in our

history, and many groups continue to face these attitudes today. I chose to focus on Chinese-Americans today only because the survey so surprised and concerned me.

Chinese immigrants began entering the country in large numbers in the 1850's. They were initially welcomed in the tight labor market of the rapidly expanding West. In fact, American industry brought many of the immigrants from China as contract laborers. Some of these immigrants toiled in gold mines and on the transcontinental railroad. Others worked in vegetable and fruit farms in California or on sugar plantations in Hawaii. Still others opened grocery stores, laundries, and other businesses.

But as labor became more plentiful and the gold rush petered out, public sentiment toward these new Americans turned. A campaign to drive the Chinese out of the country was fueled by racist slogans and developed, at times, into all-out hysteria. Discriminatory laws and boycotts against Chinese labor resulted, along with lynchings and beatings. In 1882, the federal government put an official stamp on this racism by passing the Chinese Exclusion Act, which made it illegal for Chinese people to emigrate to this country. This unprecedented and embarrassing law stayed on the books until 1943.

Another indignity that immigrants faced was the system of "anti-miscegenation" laws against intermarriage. In 1880, California passed a statute forbidding marriage of a white person to a "Negro, Mulatto, or Mongolian." The federal government passed the Cable Act in 1922, revoking the citizenship of any American woman who married an Asian man. It wasn't until 1967 that the Supreme Court struck down these laws.

I am sorry to report that my own state of Montana was not immune to anti-immigrant action. Census data show that in 1870, the Chinese accounted for the largest foreign-born population in the state—larger even than the Irish. Chinese workers made a particularly significant contribution to the mining town of Butte, but by the 1880's they faced discrimination and hate attacks. Ads in newspapers appeared with the slogan "Chinese need not apply." Anti-peddling ordinances were enacted against Chinese grocers. In fact, the town's fourth mayor rode to victory on the slogan "The Chinese must go."

There is no single description of a Chinese-American. Some Chinese-Americans were already wealthy and well-educated when they arrived here. Others arrived in penury and followed the American path to education and success. Some Chinese-Americans continue to celebrate their Chinese origin. Others deny, or have forgotten completely, the cultural heritage of their ancestors. Yet all are Americans.

Cruz Reynoso, the first Mexican-American to serve on California's Supreme Court, put it this way:

Americans are not now, and never have been, one people linguistically or ethnically. America is a political union—not a cultural, linguistic, religious, or racial union. It is acceptance of our constitutional ideals of democracy, equality, and freedom which acts as a unifier for us as Americans.

Political scientist Carl Friedrich made a similar point when he wrote in 1935: "To be an American is an ideal, while to be a Frenchman is a fact." An individual is an American if he or she embraces the founding political ideals of our Nation.

It is the responsibility of all of us, as the elected representatives of the American people, to combat racism in our society, to raise awareness of how racism damages our nation and our society, to point to the ideals that bind us together as citizens of this great nation. Thank you.

SUPPORT FOR THE U.S. COAST GUARD

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, I rise today to thank the chairman and ranking member of the Appropriations Committee, Senators BYRD and STEVENS, for working with me and so many others in support of the \$92 million for the U.S. Coast Guard. This funding was included in the 2001 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations bill we recently passed.

The Coast Guard needs this assistance to meet basic operational expenses and fund unexpected fiscal year 2001 budget requirements. We must support the critical services that the Coast Guard performs across the country. By passing this bill, we have demonstrated our strong support for its missions and will help it stay in the business of saving lives.

Known as "the rescue expert," our Coast Guard responds to 40,000 search and rescue cases each year, saving 3,800 lives. And, though it is the rescue and response missions that get the headlines, the Coast Guard also is very dedicated to preventing emergencies. The Coast Guard inspects all commercial ships—including cargo ships, tankers, and cruise ships.

There are many other ways that the Coast Guard protects our citizens. One major component of Coast Guard operations is drug interdiction. Last year, the Coast Guard seized more than 66 tons of cocaine, with a street value of \$4 billion—that's more than the total operating cost of the entire Coast Guard.

Perhaps one of the Coast Guard's toughest jobs is the day to day enforcement of U.S. immigration law. Coast Guard men and women are challenged daily to carry out their responsibilities with due regard for the law, human dignity, and above all, the safety of human life. It is a tough job, and each case is unique. But day in and day out, the Coast Guard continues to carry out its duties with professionalism and a never-ending commitment to those it serves.

These are just some of the vital missions the Coast Guard conducts. But the Coast Guard is reaching the point where it is stretched so thin and the condition of its equipment is so poor that I fear it will no longer be able to sustain daily operations.

When compared to 41 other maritime agencies around the world, the ships that make up our Coast Guard fleet of cutters are the 38th oldest. Because the fleet is so old, the Coast Guard has had to spend twice as much money to fix equipment and hull problems. This is a very serious problem, Mr. President. It is a problem that does not result from mismanagement, but rather, it is a problem that has resulted from a continual lack of adequate funding for our Coast Guard.

We need to provide the Coast Guard with the resources necessary so the American people can have the services that they require and deserve. The funding included in the 2001 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations bill certainly will help keep our Coast Guard afloat. And, we must remain committed to ensuring that our Coast Guard has adequate resources not just now, but well into the future.

I look forward to continuing to work with my colleagues on this vital issue.

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of this year. The Local Law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred March 13, 1998 in San Francisco, California. A gay man, Brian Wilmes, 45, was beaten to death allegedly by another man who yelled anti-gay epithets and then fled with a woman. Edgar Mora, 25, was charged with murder.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation, we can change hearts and minds as well.

RURAL TRANSPORTATION

Mrs. CARNAHAN. Mr. President, I rise today to acknowledge a group of courageous young men and women from Canton, MO. They are visiting the Nation's capital this week.

The group's journey began more than a year ago on a two-lane road in north-east Missouri. Seventeen-year-old Kristin Hendrickson was killed on Highway 61 when her car struck another vehicle head on. A four-lane road with a divider might have saved her life.

Kristin was just a few months away from graduation at Canton R-5 High