

VERMONT HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT
CONGRESSIONAL TOWN MEET-
ING, SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

HON. BERNARD SANDERS

OF VERMONT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 2, 2001

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. Speaker, today I recognize the outstanding work done by participants in my Student Congressional Town Meeting held this summer. These participants were part of a group of high school students from around Vermont who testified about the concerns they have as teenagers, and about what they would like to see government do regarding these concerns.

I am asking that these statements be printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, as I believe that the views of these young persons will benefit my colleagues.

ON BEHALF OF ETHAN CASAVANT AND JAIME SANTERRE—REGARDING EDUCATION IN VERMONT PRISONS, MAY 7, 2001

Jamie Santerre. Ethan and I visited the Chittenden Regional Correctional facility.

Ethan Casavant. We spoke with Mary Tripp, a teacher at the facility, one of three. There is her and John Long, who are both full-time teachers, and there is one who is based on independent study and special ed. I don't remember her name, though.

Jamie Santerre. The facility was built in the late 1970s. In the 1980s, the facility had an open library, where people who went there could only get their GEDS. And the classes that they have now, which are like math, social studies, art, English and science, they started in 1998, where anyone under 22 without a high school diploma had to attend in an attempt to get their high school diploma.

Ethan Casavant. Just to touch up on that a little bit, even if, say, you are 16 years old and you drop out of high school and end up going to the prison system, you have to go back to the schools to graduate or get your diploma. They won't let you just get off of it or get out of it. But, anyway, the classes are Monday through Friday, like any other school. There is independent study and regular class, like three, four people to a class. There is three classrooms, an art room, and one with science and social studies, that you can't do labs or like chemistry or physics or anything like that, because they can't trust the inmates with any of those materials. The materials are also supplied to them for free so that they can, you know, use them all and learn just like anybody else. They have a library that they can use. For resources, they have some computers, but they don't have Internet access for safety reasons, or any of that. Anything they need to download off the Net, the teachers do before the classes and go over it. The Vermont Correctional Facilities school system are the only schools in the state that require literacy competency before you graduate. Any other high school, you don't have to be fully literate to graduate. And Mary Trip, the teacher we talked to, said that about 20 percent of the population of the inmates attend class regularly. And if you get the diploma from their high school, you have just as good a chance of getting a job as you would from graduating from any other school. You know, you might just not like it for personal satisfaction.

ON BEHALF OF DEREK WONG, DREW ARNOLD, TERICIA SAVAGLIO, AND ALEX WHITTELSEUI REGARDING BROADCASTING EXECUTIONS TO THE PUBLIC, MAY 7, 2001

Alex Whittleseui. We are from Rice High School, obviously, and our topic was the issue of the morality and ethical viewpoint of broadcasting executions to the public, because we felt it was important, because the upcoming execution of Timothy McVeigh is actually going to be televised and shown on a closed-circuit in the Oklahoma City area. And we feel that that is not going to make justice, it is more going to just make—how do I say this?—just make it worse, because of the fact that it's going to almost glorify what Timothy McVeigh did, and how he is going to die a martyr. And we just feel it shouldn't be shown on TV, and that it is just wrong to do that.

Theresa Savaglio. To begin with, a little bit of background on the execution. He is dying by lethal injection, which is a series of three shots. First he is given a sedative. They are using sodium pentetate. And then they are going to inject pancurium bromide to stop his respiration, and then finally potassium chloride to stop the beating of his heart. That is actually one of the most common forms of capital punishment, because it is the least painful. According to Amnesty International, they believe that any form of execution violates basic human rights, which are stated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and which the United Nations adopted this declaration in 1948. And so they believe that, since we are a member of the United Nations, we should also use this and ban executions. They think that any person sent to death should be able to appeal to a higher court, which we do allow. And Timothy McVeigh's execution is going to be the first capital punishment case for the federal government in, I believe, maybe 38 years. So it is a pretty big issue. Amnesty also believes that, no matter what reason the government uses to execute their prisoners in its custody, and no matter the form of execution, the death penalty can't be separated from human rights, because you are taking this person's life from them. And another interesting aspect of this is that the cost of executing a person and the process to lead up to that is more expensive than life imprisonment, because of all the appeals and court costs.

Congressman Sanders. Okay.

Alex Whittleseui. From a pool of randomly picked 2,621, 1,494 people said that they would not view the execution—which is 57 percent—and 1,127 said they would. And that is just kind of to throw out the fact that most Americans would not want to watch this execution.

Derrick Wong. Those who said they would not watch the execution said that they could not draw anything from seeing a death on television. And they said that an execution on TV would only act as entertainment for our society, which then becomes a pity. People against televised executions are concerned for the condemned's feelings, and of his or her family's feelings as well. They say that it is bad enough that a person has to die for their actions, and that televising it would not have a positive effect. Some say that Phil Donahue wants the execution to be televised because it is his sad attempt to be on primetime television, and those opposed are concerned with the issue of ethics and the morals. There is a huge controversial issue of whether the televised execution of Timothy McVeigh, which is coming up on May 16th, and there is a lot of arguments that his execution should be televised, even among those who oppose capital punishment. Even Timothy McVeigh wants his execution

to be televised, because he hopes that he will become a martyr for the people with the same intentions as him, getting revenge against the government. Ashcroft approved a closed-circuit televising of the execution for the 250 to 300 survivors and families of the deceased, but there be no public viewing to the general population. Anti-death penalty activist, Sister Helen Prejean, said that the execution could happen, but she is against it. However, she does not feel it should be televised, and she is the author of *Dead Man Walking*, and believes that criminals being put to death would just grow if you have it televised. She is aware of assertions that the executions are good for the families of the killer's victims, but says that she does not believe that, and that she has watched the victim's families going through this, watching the person die, waiting for them to die, and being promised it was going to give them closure, and coming out with an empty chair at their dining table, but it hasn't done anything to bring back the life of their loved ones. Execution have been behind closed doors since the 1930s, and in a quote by Richard Tietzer, he supports televising executions because it used to be very public and not done behind prison walls, meaning the more people that know about the death penalty, the better they are going to be able to judge it, and the whole process is carried out in the people's name and they should know if those acting in their name are doing so carefully and humanely. Some view the media as vultures descending on the execution in Oklahoma City to feed on McVeigh's infamy. 1,400 journalists have registered for credentials with the Bureau of Prisons to cover the May 16 execution, at Terre Haute, Indiana, with more reporters in Oklahoma City. The media wants to feed off the fact that there hasn't been a federal execution since 1963. Walter Genic, a journalist professor from southern Illinois, at the University of Carbondale, said that McVeigh's execution is going to be another media orgasm. It is sensationalist lust. And the general feeling from a mother of a daughter who was murdered said that she doesn't feel that it is appropriate to execute someone, especially being televised, because it doesn't do anything except show that this person is dying, and you know that they're dying from witnesses there.

Drew Arnold. There were 23 electrocution executions recorded between 1983 and 1999 in Jackson, Georgia. They were aired on a New York radio program on WNYC, and they said that it was their journalistic responsibility to air the executions. VPR decided not to air them, because, just because it exists doesn't mean it has to be made public. And people don't need to see their taxes at work killing prisoners.

PAYING TRIBUTE TO JILL
SOLOMON

HON. MIKE ROGERS

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 2, 2001

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Jill Solomon on earning the prestigious Fulbright Award, which will allow her to teach and study in the United Kingdom during the upcoming academic year.

Established by Congress in 1946, the Fulbright Award program is the oldest U.S. Government sponsored academic exchange program. Recipients of Fulbright Awards are selected on the basis of academic and professional achievement as well as leadership potential in one's respective field. In receiving

this award, Ms. Solomon was one of only 200 teachers out of seven hundred and fifty applicants to earn the Fulbright Award.

Therefore Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join me in thanking Jill Solomon for her continued devotion to excellence in education and congratulate her for receiving the Fulbright Award.

PROCLAMATION FOR EVAN
CHRISTIAN BROWNELL

HON. STEVE ISRAEL

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 2, 2001

Mr. ISRAEL. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride that I rise today to recognize one of New York's outstanding young men, Evan Brownell. The Boy Scouts of his troop will honor him as they recognize his achievements by giving him the Eagle Scout honor on Sunday, October 7th.

Since the beginning of this century, the Boy Scouts of America have provided thousands of boys and young men each year with the opportunity to make friends, explore new ideas, and develop leadership skills while learning self-reliance and teamwork.

This award is presented only to those who possess the qualities that make our nation great: commitment to excellence, hard work, and genuine love of community service. Becoming an Eagle Scout is an extraordinary award with which only the finest Boy Scouts are honored. To earn the award—the highest advancement rank in Scouting—a Boy Scout must demonstrate proficiency in the rigorous areas of leadership, service, and outdoor skills.

I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating the recipients of these awards, as their activities are indeed worthy of praise. Their leadership benefits our community and they serve as role models for their peers.

Also, we must not forget the unsung heroes, who continue to devote a large part of their lives to make all this possible. Therefore, I salute the families, scout leaders, and countless others who have given generously of their time and energy in support of scouting.

It is with great pride that I recognize the achievements of Mr. Brownell, and bring the attention of Congress to this successful young man on his day of recognition. Congratulations to Evan and his family.

TRIBUTE TO MARION BRITTON

HON. DAN MILLER

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 2, 2001

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, it is with great sadness that I inform my colleagues of the loss of a committed public servant Marion Britton. Marion was a passenger on the United Airlines Flight 93 that was hijacked on September 11, 2001, and crashed outside Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Marion Britton's career with the Census Bureau spanned 21 years during which she worked with dedication and distinction on all major Regional Office field data collection op-

erations including the Current Survey programs, the Decennial Census, and Census Tests.

She began her career in 1980 in New York City as a Field Operations Assistant during the 1980 Census. In 1981, Ms. Britton accepted a position as a Survey Clerk in the New York Regional Office. Desiring a supervisory position, she applied for and was selected in 1983 to participate in the Census Bureau sponsored Upward Mobility Program. In 1989, upon her successful completion of this program, she advanced to a Supervisory Survey Statistician position in the New York Regional Office. In recognition of her considerable abilities to manage technically and operationally complex field data collection operations, Ms. Britton was selected to work on the 1995 Census Test in Paterson, New Jersey, managing the critical coverage measurement operations. The 1995 Census Test was an essential part of the development of the overall design of Census 2000. Ms. Britton had also participated in the initial test of the Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing coverage measurement instrument and training and contributed input that proved beneficial on a nationwide basis.

After her considerable contributions to the successful completion of the 1995 Census Test, she was promoted in rapid succession to the position of Coordinator in the New York Regional Office in 1996, where she managed and directed several Supervisory Survey Statisticians assigned to Current Survey programs and then to Assistant Regional Census Manager, in 1997. In this position, she was instrumental in leading the crucial preparations and early operations for Census 2000. In 1998, Ms. Britton was called upon to serve as the Assistant Regional Director and given full operational and managerial responsibility for the New York Regional Office during the period of time while Census 2000 was being conducted. This was also a period of major expansion of the Current Survey programs. Shortly after this, she was selected to serve in an expanded managerial role as the Deputy Regional Director which included providing direct guidance and leadership for the Census 2000 Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation. After the completion of Census 2000, Ms. Britton returned to her position as the Assistant Regional Director for the New York Regional Office.

During her career with the Census Bureau, Ms. Britton earned several major honors and awards for her outstanding managerial and technical skills and innovative contributions. She received the Census Award of Excellence in 1988, the Bronze Medal Award, the highest honorary award granted by the Census Bureau, in 1993, and the National Partnership for Reinventing Government's "Hammer Award" in 1999 for her work on the American Community Survey.

DR. EDWARD AYENSU ON THE
BENEFITS OF MASS HIV/AIDS
TESTING AND COUNSELING FOR
VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

HON. JIM McDERMOTT

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 2, 2001

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to include the following statement in the official

RECORD. I have the highest regard for Dr. Ayensu, and would like to commend this body's attention to his work. As Dr. Ayensu has rightly stated, the lack of surveillance is a significant problem in the struggle against HIV/AIDS in Africa. If we are to truly overcome this disease, we must heed people like Dr. Ayensu. I hope that my colleagues find his work as beneficial as I have.

THE BENEFITS OF MASS HIV/AIDS TESTING
AND COUNSELING FOR VULNERABLE POPU-
LATIONS

My name is Edward S. Ayensu. I am President of the Pan African Union for Science and Technology, Chairman of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research of Ghana, Member of the Independent Inspection Panel of the World Bank and formerly a Director and Senior Scientist at the Smithsonian Institution in Washington D.C.

The fearsome prospect that HIV/AIDS can inadvertently be transmitted to any one of us—regardless of our social and economic standing—requires that decision makers the world over should make a political commitment to help halt this, the most formidable plague of all time.

As an African whose continent is experiencing untold levels of human suffering because of AIDS, I would like to offer an observation which is based on extensive field experience. Many people are dying needlessly in Africa and in other developing countries because a large percentage of people in these societies have no means of knowing their HIV-status. Knowledge of one's HIV status provides a powerful stimulus towards self-protection. For those who test HIV-negative, the realization that they are yet to be attacked by the virus results in a strong determination to remain forever free of the disease through the accepted means of self-protection. For individuals who test positive, there is generated a powerful restraint on infecting others. The net outcome is a dramatic check in HIV-spread.

Based on our current knowledge of the disease, it is evident that early diagnosis of the infection has enormous benefits for both HIV-negative and HIV-positive individuals. A key line of defense against the rapid spread of the disease accordingly is to ensure that everybody in a vulnerable community is tested as soon as possible—certainly long before the disease begins to break down the immune system and the external manifestation of its dreadful effects set in.

It is therefore absolutely essential that we employ the best and the most efficient and practical ultra rapid test kits available today to undertake a mass Shielded Testing and Counseling Program. Most of the currently available tests for HIV are laboratory based and unsuitable for mass testing in the field where the required infrastructure may not be available. However, most of the available rapid tests are not suitable partly because of an unacceptably high percentage of false positives and negatives, and also because of the need for unwieldy logistical support services such as refrigeration.

First and foremost the assay must be for blood, serum or plasma and must be stable at temperatures ranging from -20°C to +45°C. This is particularly important because the high HIV prone areas are in pan tropical regions of the world and in countries where the rural communities do not have refrigeration facilities for tests that require it. The test has to be fool proof in its performance with built-in controls to avoid misinterpretations. It must be designed not to produce false-positive and false-negative results. The sensitivity and specificity must be 100 per cent. It must be suitable for mass testing (e.g. up to 1000 people per day with a team of