

advocate for their child. But go into a center city where families under more economic stress and sometimes families are with one adult and several children. For these families it is virtually impossible to advocate successfully for the programs as they do in some of the more affluent suburbs. There the crisis is even more severe, the stress of funding more severe. We can alleviate some of those problems and that stress if we go ahead and make IDEA mandatory and free up not only funds for IDEA but also for other educational programs.

I hear the same thing from school principals who say if they get more IDEA funding, they can have additional teachers, enhanced technology, all those things that we say are important to the educational process. Throughout my State, superintendents and principals have consistently and constantly come forward to say, give us more resources for IDEA.

I believe strongly and emphatically this is something we have to do. It is not an option. We cannot put it off until next year or the following year. If we truly want to make an impact on education in the United States today, fund IDEA, provide strict accountability, provide resources for other programs such as professional development and libraries, and we will have educational progress. If we do not do that, then I think all the testing and all the accountability and all the evaluation will simply tell us what we know already: Some students are failing; other students are doing exceptionally well.

The other problem we face is the reality that our brave words about IDEA, and our brave words and authorization about what we want to do with respect to funding education, will shortly collide with reality. Last week, OMB Director Daniels announced we have locked ourselves into several years of deficits, and in those deficits I do not

think we are going to see the commitment in dollars to education we are hearing today in rhetoric. That is another very important reason why today we should make IDEA funding mandatory, and I hope we do.

In my State of Rhode Island, our board of regents for elementary and secondary education has asked for a 4.4-percent increase. Frankly, the Governor is resisting because he has ordered every other department in the State to cut spending 6 percent. That is the reality of the States. If we want educational reform, if we want to assist and support every educational organization in the States, then we have to put real resources into the mix of educational reform.

I argue again that our task in the next several days as we conclude this conference should be to, once again, bring to the conference the issue of IDEA, bring forth the Harkin-Hagel amendment, mandatory funding, a full Federal share by 2007. If we do that, we will have educational reform that works, that is robust, that is well funded, and that will make a huge difference in the lives of every student in America, particularly in the lives of those students with disabilities.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE CONFERENCE REPORT TO H.R. 2299, THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I rise to offer for the RECORD the Budget Com-

mittee's official scoring for the conference report to H.R. 2299, the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2002.

The conference report provides \$15.3 billion in discretionary budget authority, including \$440 million for defense spending. That budget authority, when coupled with the report's new limitations on obligational authorities, will result in new outlays in 2002 of \$20.076 billion. When outlays from prior-year budget authority and obligation limitations are taken into account, discretionary outlays for the conference report total \$52.744 billion in 2002. Of that total, \$28.489 billion in outlays counts against the allocation for highway spending and \$5.275 billion counts against the allocation for mass transit spending. The remaining \$18.980 billion in outlays, including those for defense spending, counts against the allocation for general purpose spending.

By comparison, the Senate-passed version of the bill provided \$15.575 billion in discretionary budget authority, which, when combined with the bill's obligation limitations, would have resulted in \$52.925 billion in total outlays, or \$181 million more than the conference report. H.R. 2299 is within the subcommittee's Section 302(b) allocations for budget authority and outlays for general purpose, defense, highways, and mass transit spending. It does not include any emergency designations.

I would like to commend Chairwoman MURRAY and Senator SHELBY for their bipartisan efforts in completing this important legislation. I ask unanimous consent that a table displaying the budget committee scoring of the conference report to H.R. 2299 be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

H.R. 2299, CONFERENCE REPORT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002, SPENDING COMPARISONS—CONFERENCE REPORT

[(In millions of dollars)]

	General purpose	Defense <sup>1</sup>	Highway	Mass Transit <sup>2</sup>	Mandatory	Total
Conference report:						
Budget Authority .....	14,860	440	0	0	-915	14,385
Outlays .....	18,568	412	28,489	5,275	801	53,545
Senate 302(b) allocation: <sup>3</sup>						
Budget Authority .....	14,884	695	0	0	-915	14,664
Outlays .....	19,164	0	28,489	5,275	801	53,729
President's request:						
Budget Authority .....	14,552	340	0	0	-915	13,977
Outlays .....	18,543	332	28,489	5,275	801	53,440
House passed:						
Budget Authority .....	14,552	340	0	0	-915	13,977
Outlays .....	18,500	332	28,489	5,275	801	53,397
Senate-passed:						
Budget Authority .....	14,880	695	0	0	-915	14,660
Outlays .....	18,545	616	28,489	5,275	801	53,726
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO:						
Senate 302(b) allocation: <sup>3</sup>						
Budget Authority .....	-24	-255	0	0	0	-279
Outlays .....	-184	0	0	0	0	-184
President's request:						
Budget Authority .....	308	100	0	0	0	408
Outlays .....	25	80	0	0	0	105
House-passed:						
Budget Authority .....	308	100	0	0	0	408
Outlays .....	68	80	0	0	0	148
Senate-passed:						
Budget Authority .....	-20	-255	0	0	0	-275
Outlays .....	23	-204	0	0	0	-181

<sup>1</sup> The 2002 budget resolution includes a contingent "firewall" in the Senate between defense and nondefense spending. Because the contingent firewall is for budget authority only, the appropriations committee did not provide a separate allocation for defense outlays. This table combines defense and nondefense outlays together as "general purpose" for purposes of comparing the conference report outlays with the Senate subcommittee's allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Mass transit budget authority is not counted against the appropriations committee's allocation and is therefore excluded from the above numbers.

<sup>3</sup> For enforcement purposes, the budget committee compares the conference report to the Senate 302(b) allocation.

Notes.—Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Totals adjusted for consistency with scorekeeping conventions.

## NORTH KOREA AND EGYPT

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, let me begin my remarks on North Korea and Egypt with an expression of sympathy and solidarity with the people of Israel following the weekend's brutal violence that killed and injured scores of innocent civilians. My thoughts and prayers are with the victims and their families.

The fanatical suicide bombings by Palestinian extremists must end today. PLO Chairman Yasser Arafat must immediately and unequivocally prove that he embraces peace with Israel, and he can do this by taking concrete action against those responsible for organizing and committing these heinous attacks. Israel has already appropriately responded to the Palestinian terrorism, and I do not doubt that further retaliation is possible.

North Korea today is a failed state. Its centrally planned economy is in shambles, and the people of North Korea are, at best, oppressed and, at worst, starving and dying. Borrowing a page from Mao Zedong and Pol Pot, North Korean leader Kim Jong-Il recently launched a new revolutionary movement to build "a people's paradise on this land at an early date." I would remind my colleagues that in the jargon of dictators, "paradise" is synonymous with "purgatory."

While the North Korean leadership poses a clear and present danger to the welfare of its own people, state sponsorship of international terrorism and news reports of North Korean missile sales to Egypt present wider challenges to democracies around the world, from Japan to Israel.

I have stood on the Senate floor several times this year to express my concern with reports of Egyptian insistence on buying North Korean missiles and weapons technology. Last week, this issue surfaced once again at the State Department's daily press briefing. When asked whether the Department has concluded that a missile deal between Pyongyang and Cairo has not occurred, Spokesman Richard Boucher stated "No, I wouldn't go that far."

This should give pause to all of us who follow events in the Middle East closely. According to a November 16 article in the Washington Post, Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak publicly warned of an arms race between Israel and its Arab neighbors. The danger posed by North Korean weapons sales to the region is double-edged: hostile arsenals are bolstered while Pyongyang receives much-needed infusions of cash. Deny both, and stability is strengthened in Asia and the Middle East.

Egypt must immediately and honestly answer whether the purchase of Nodong missiles, that have a range of 1,000 kilometers, is the beginning of that arms race. If this is the case, America has no choice but to review new foreign military sales to Egypt. I know some of my colleagues will dis-

agree with me on this issue, but, to paraphrase that old car repair commercial, we can pay for our inaction now, or we can really pay for it later.

## LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of this year. The Local Law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred January 9, 1993 in Laguna Beach, CA. A gay Vietnamese man was assaulted behind a string of beachside gay bars. Jeff Michael Raines, 18, and Christopher Michael Cribbins, 22, both of San Clemente, and a 16-year-old from San Juan Capistrano were arrested in connection with the incident.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation, we can change hearts and minds as well.

## THE GREATEST GENERATION

Mrs. HUTCHISON. Mr. President, I rise today to honor members of "the greatest generation"—those men and women who were at Pearl Harbor on the infamous day of December 7, 1941. Those who followed coined this nickname we all widely recognize, for the men and women who fought in the Second World War did not think they were committing acts of heroism, they only believed they were doing what was right by serving our Nation.

The generation of men and women, who survived the Second World War, epitomize the characteristics we all, as Americans, hold in such high esteem. As children of the Depression, these men and women grew up knowing the meaning of sacrifice. And during the war, they readily went without luxuries, ready to give up whatever it took to help in the war effort. These men and women are also some of the bravest that our Nation has ever seen. For they gave more than just material goods to the war effort: they offered their husbands, their sons, their brothers, their fathers, and themselves. Without hesitation they enlisted to help our Nation fight the good fight, to rid the world from cruel and aggressive tyrants, and to secure the freedom and liberty on which our Nation was founded.

It was 60 years ago that these men and women unselfishly risked their lives to begin the defense of our coun-

try and to fight for freedom in the world. The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 gave Americans a glimpse into the tragedy that the men and women of Pearl Harbor survived. Now, more than ever, our entire country appreciates the heroism and leadership embodied by the men and women who served in the Pacific. The courage they displayed is now a more tangible concept for us all, as we can now more fully realize the rarity of their instinct to charge forward and fight in the face of danger. We can only believe that the actions displayed by these members of "the greatest generation" laid the foundation for the heroism and leadership we are seeing in the aftermath of the September 11 attacks.

An important part of honoring the men and women of Pearl Harbor is preserving the stories of their experiences. We must record the experiences of those who survived the attack as well as preserve the stories of those 2,403 men and women who did not live to tell of their encounters on December 7, 1941. I commend the National Museum of the Pacific War in Fredericksburg, TX, for its continuing devotion to educating current and future generations of Americans on the grim realities of war. It is the only museum in the world dedicated to telling the entire story of the conflict in the Pacific during World War II. Not only does this museum tell the complete story, it also provides a thorough understanding of the causes, sacrifices, and resolutions of World War II in the Pacific. The men and women of this museum continue to keep the story of the attack on Pearl Harbor alive. It is truly a National treasure with an outstanding collection of artifacts from the Pacific War.

While there are many ceremonies and events to commemorate this 60th anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor, this one, in Fredericksburg, TX, stands out for several reasons. To begin, this commemoration ceremony is one of only two National events being staged by the Pearl Harbor Survivors Association. And of the two, it is the only one open to the public to join in the observance of this milestone anniversary. This ceremony is particularly special because of the guests in attendance. The museum will host more than 300 survivors of the Pearl Harbor attack, and their families, who have traveled from their homes throughout the United States to be here today. The location of this ceremony is also of important note: Fredericksburg, TX, is the birthplace of Admiral Chester W. Nimitz, who was Commander-in-Chief Pacific during World War II. The location of the National Museum of the Pacific War, previously known as the Admiral Nimitz Museum, was chosen to pay tribute to this great man.