

(3) encourages Federal departments and agencies to cooperate, assist, and participate in the development of the National Purple Heart Hall of Honor.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 3439. Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. ENZI, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. ROBERTS, and Mrs. MURRAY) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to grant additional trade benefits under that Act, and for other purposes.

SA 3440. Mr. REID (for Mr. NELSON, of Florida (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM)) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3441. Mrs. HUTCHISON proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3442. Mr. DORGAN proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3443. Mr. REID (for Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. BINGAMAN, and Mr. CORZINE)) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3444. Mr. NELSON, of Nebraska submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3445. Mr. REID (for Mr. BAYH (for himself, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. DAYTON, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mr. ROCKEFELLER)) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3446. Mr. BROWNBACK submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3447. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3448. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3449. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3450. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3451. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3452. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3453. Mr. REID (for Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra.

SA 3454. Mr. NELSON, of Florida (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3455. Mr. NELSON, of Florida (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 3439. Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. ENZI, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. ROBERTS, and Mrs. MURRAY) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to grant additional trade benefits under that Act, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . . . AGRICULTURAL SALES TO CUBA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 908 of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001 (22 U.S.C. 7207) is amended by striking subsection (b).

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 908(a) of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001 (22 U.S.C. 7207(a)) (as amended by subsection (a)), is amended—

(1) by striking “(a)” and all that follows through “Notwithstanding” and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding”;

(2) by striking “(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in paragraph (1)” and inserting the following:

“(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (a)”;

(3) by striking “(3) WAIVER.—The President may waive the application of paragraph (1)” and inserting the following:

“(c) WAIVER.—The President may waive the application of subsection (a)”.

SA 3440. Mr. REID (for Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM)) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to grant additional trade benefits under that Act, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the end of section 2103(a), insert the following new paragraph:

(8) PRODUCTS SUBJECT TO ANTIDUMPING AND COUNTERVAILING DUTY ORDERS.—Paragraph (1)(A) shall not apply to a product that is the subject of an antidumping or countervailing duty order at the time of the agreement referred to in paragraph (1), unless the agreement provides that as a term, condition, or qualification of the tariff concession, the tariff reduction will not be implemented before the date that is 1 year after the date of the termination or revocation of such antidumping or countervailing duty order with respect to all exporters of such product.

At the end of section 2103(b), insert the following new paragraph:

(4) PRODUCTS SUBJECT TO ANTIDUMPING AND COUNTERVAILING DUTY ORDERS.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to a product that is the subject of an antidumping or countervailing duty order at the time of the agreement referred to in paragraph (1), unless the agreement provides that as a term, condition, or qualification of the tariff concession, the tariff reduction will not be implemented before the date that is 1 year after the date of

termination or revocation of such antidumping or countervailing duty order with respect to all exporters of such product.

SA 3441. Mrs. HUTCHISON proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to grant additional trade benefits under that Act, and for other purposes; as follows:

Section 204(b)(5)(B) of the Andean Trade Preference Act, as amended by section 3102, is amended by adding the following new clause:

“(viii) The extent to which the country has taken steps to support the efforts of the United States to combat terrorism.

“Section 4102 is amended by striking the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(a) ELIGIBILITY FOR GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES.—Section 502(b)(2)(F) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2462(b)(2)(F)) is amended by striking the period at the end and inserting “or such country has not taken steps to support the efforts of the United States to combat terrorism.”

“(b) DEFINITION OF INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNIZED WORKER RIGHTS.—Section 507(4) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2467(4)) is amended—”.

SA 3442. Mr. DORGAN proposed an amendment to amendment SA 3401 proposed by Mr. BAUCUS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) to the bill (H.R. 3009) to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, to grant additional trade benefits under that Act, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . . . TRADE REMEDIES WITH RESPECT TO CANADIAN WHEAT.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) On February 15, 2002, the United States Trade Representative issued an affirmative finding under section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974 that the acts, policies, and practices of the Government of Canada and the Canadian Wheat Board are unreasonable and burden or restrict United States commerce.

(2) In its section 301 finding, the United States Trade Representative expressed a desire for long-term reform of the Canadian Wheat Board. However, since concluding on February 15, 2002, that the Canadian Government and the Canadian Wheat Board are engaged in unfair trade practices, the United States Trade Representative has not undertaken any initiative to seek reform of the Canadian Wheat Board. Moreover, the United States Trade Representative has not imposed any trade remedy that would provide United States wheat farmers with prompt relief from the unfair trade practices.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the United States Trade Representative should identify specific trade remedies that will provide United States wheat farmers with prompt relief from the unfair trade practices of the Canadian Wheat Board in addition to efforts to seek long-term reform of the Canadian Wheat Board.

(c) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—No later than October 1, 2002, the United States Trade Representative shall report to Congress a specific plan for implementation of specific trade remedies to provide United States wheat farmers with prompt, real relief from the unfair trade practices of the Canadian Wheat Board, and a specific timetable to