

difficult. And both involve striking the right balance between individual freedom and liberty on the one hand, that we cherish, and collective security, which makes individual liberty meaningful, on the other.

I believe this bill strikes the right balance. It insists upon credibility and transparency of information provided to the marketplace, the very foundation upon which capitalism is built, but it does so with flexibility to ensure that the regulatory hand is as light as possible, and that the information provided, that transparency and credibility provided to the marketplace, is done in a manner that is least burdensome to shareholders and investors as possible.

For example, the prohibitions against auditors providing consulting services: We have seen, as the chairman would note, in recent years a vast expansion of expenses and consulting services which create an appearance of a conflict of interest.

We need to deal with this transparency to reassure the marketplace, but we need to do so in a way that imposes less regulation, burden, and cost upon existing shareholders as is humanly possible. This bill takes that approach by creating a presumption that certain consulting services will not be allowed, but by also providing flexibility to the new independent oversight board to waive that presumption, or the company and its auditors can go to the oversight board and say in this instance, under these facts, the presumption should be waived because we can provide the transparent data to the marketplace in a less costly manner by allowing our auditors to provide these consulting services.

Basically, the bottom line is where it makes sense to provide the consulting services, or the presumption or the appearance of conflict is not a conflict in fact, it can be waived, and the consulting services can be provided. That is the right balance for transparency and credibility provided in the marketplace in the less costly manner to shareholders.

I congratulate the chairman for incorporating that into the bill.

I have heard some of our colleagues and commentators talk about overburdensome regulations. I don't have the reflexive reaction to regulate. I am well aware that one of the few laws that we count on in Washington is the law of unintended consequences. But the fact that an error may be made is no excuse for doing nothing.

The right answer is not no action to address the inadequacies that we have seen, just as it is not an overburdensome action. The right answer, my friends, is a well-considered, thoughtful, well-balanced action to protect the interests of American investors, and to ensure the integrity of our economy. That is the balance which is struck in the Sarbanes bill.

That is why I compliment the chairman for all the work he has done.

Let me conclude. My colleague from Minnesota has been so gracious for allowing me to continue.

I am pleased to see the chairman in the Chamber. I hope he will have a chance to read the complimentary remarks I made about his leadership and his farsightedness.

I said he is the leader on this issue, and I congratulate him for that.

Let me conclude where I began. This issue goes a long way beyond mere accounting issues. It goes a long way beyond economic policy. It goes to the very heart of who we are, what we stand for as a people, and the kind of values we cherish in United States of America. This will protect individual investors. It will help to ensure the integrity of our economy. But more than anything else, it will ensure that those Americans who have embraced our tradition with virtues, who have worked hard and saved their money, who have played by the rules, and are honest are able to get ahead in this society.

It will send a loud and clear signal to those who practice financial deceit and financial chicanery that they do not have an avenue to success in this country. That does not embody the best values of America.

That is why I strongly support the Sarbanes bill and the Leahy amendment.

I urge my colleagues to enact this important legislation.

I thank the Chair. I yield the floor.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. President, I say to my good friend, the distinguished Senator from Indiana, that he said I should read his gracious comments. I actually saw them on one of the monitors. That is one of the reasons I came to the floor. I wanted to express my personal appreciation to the Senator for his very kind remarks.

But even more, I wanted to underscore the constructive contributions which the Senator made to this legislation in the course of its consideration by the committee. I know how closely he followed what we were trying to do. He came forward with a number of ideas that were most helpful to us in shaping this legislation. I think the statement he just made reflects his own deep appreciation of the seriousness of the issue with which we are trying to deal, the import it has for the functioning of the American economy, and how he understands that they are very important issues.

If we don't move to restore confidence in the U.S. capital markets, there will be a negative impact on our economy. We are seeing some of that now. We have already seen this tremendous loss in the value of the retirement plans. People have just been wiped out. Tens of thousands of people are being laid off. The impact on the economy is beginning to spread. We need to move in order to counter that and start ascending in a different direction.

I particularly want to thank the Senator for his consistent help in the committee as we marked up this legislation.

Mr. BAYH. I thank the chairman.

Mr. SARBANES. I thank the Senator from Minnesota.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

PUBLIC COMPANY ACCOUNTING REFORM AND INVESTOR PROTECTION ACT OF 2002

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of S. 2673, which the clerk will report by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2673) to improve quality and transparency in financial reporting and independent audits and accounting services for public companies, to create a Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, to enhance the standard setting process for accounting practices, to strengthen the independence of firms that audit public companies, to increase corporate responsibility and the usefulness of corporate financial disclosure, to protect the objectivity and independence of securities analysts, to improve Securities and Exchange Commission resources and oversight, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Daschle (for Leahy) amendment No. 4174, to provide for criminal prosecution of persons who alter or destroy evidence in certain Federal investigations or defraud investors of publicly traded securities.

Gramm (for McConnell) amendment No. 4175 (to amendment No. 4174), to provide for certification of financial reports by labor organizations to improve quality and transparency in financial reporting and independent audits and accounting services for labor organizations.

Miller amendment 4176, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to require the signing of corporate tax returns by the chief executive officer of the corporation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Minnesota.

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to be added as a cosponsor of the Leahy amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I wanted to come out here on the floor and thank Senator SARBANES for his leadership in putting together a piece of legislation that deals with structural reform of corporate governance and auditing independence.

I also think what the chairman didn't do is very important. Senator SARBANES didn't just call for a roundup of the usual suspects but for the prosecution of the worst offenders who deliberately have enriched themselves at the expense of the employees, investors, and creditors, and then try to claim that it is the end of the matter. This bill does hold bad actors accountable for their fraud and deception. And it is probably going to be stronger by the time it leaves the Senate Chamber.

The legislation goes much further, and it should because the problem goes