

years. It is time. And it is time to bring our troops home, not conducting any wars for oil on any continent.

HONORING NEW CONSTRUCTION OF JOHN H. STROGER, JR. HOSPITAL OF COOK COUNTY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. REHBERG). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DAVIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, a few days ago the Cook County Board of Commissioners opened a brand new public hospital in Chicago, the John H. Stroger Cook County Hospital. I rise to congratulate and commend President Stroger, the Cook County Board of Commissioners, Ms. Ruth Rothstein, director of the Bureau of Health Services for the county, Mr. Lacy Clay, director of the hospital, and all of the staff for making this milestone possible.

Mr. Speaker, Cook County Hospital is one of the finest hospitals in the Seventh Congressional District and in the nation. Since the 1800s, Cook County Hospital has delivered comprehensive primary, specialty and tertiary health care services to all residents of Cook County, despite socioeconomic status, being uninsured, or their ability to pay. With the astonishing fact that 98 percent of patients who arrive alive at the trauma center survive, this public hospital is well known nationwide.

Cook County Hospital excels in services and expertise for its level I trauma center, burn unit, the largest neonatal intensive care unit in the Midwest and its women's cancer center, which treats 30 percent of the women with breast cancer in the county. Yet Cook County Hospital could not be the model for public hospitals in our Nation if it were not for the hospital's extraordinary staff. The staff is commended for their consistent dedication and enthusiasm for giving the highest quality of care, even when they worked in a hospital constructed over 100 years ago, which made the task of serving the needs of patients much more complicated.

However, the staff has extended their participation of saving lives in the hospital to help save lives in the communities surrounding the hospital. Though half of the admissions in the trauma unit are a result of assaults, and the majority of those from gun violence, the hospital staff decided to pursue efforts to reduce violence by creating a violence prevention task force, which helped spawn the Chicago Violence Prevention Strategic Plan.

However, on Thursday, December 12, 2002, the historic beauty on West Harrison Street that inspired the hit television show "ER" stopped accepting patients. The remarkable medical staff and their patients were moved to the new modern facility, the John H. Stroger, Jr. Hospital of Cook County. The patients and staff will now be air

conditioned in the summer, have access to oxygen hookups in each room, and have a hospital-wide paging system.

And although the staff expects quality of care to improve along with efficiency, they are certain that the largest impact will be on patients, who gained private bathrooms for the first time, televisions and telephones in their rooms, and nurses stations that are in close proximity in the new hospital. This new hospital will have fewer beds and is smaller than the old, but it is a reflection of the changing times, with fewer inpatient and a growing number of outpatient procedures.

Mr. Speaker, I believe that this new development is a testament to Cook County's sensitivity and farsightedness by investing in public health care at a time when cities and counties around the country are closing public hospitals or cutting back services. I commend the Cook County Board in its efforts to make real their mission statement: to provide a comprehensive program of quality care with respect and dignity to the residents of Cook County regardless of their ability to pay.

This new hospital positions the county to do just that, and I again commend them for their farsightedness and their sensitivity in providing high-quality health care to even those with the least ability to pay.

AMERICAN DREAM TAX RELIEF ACT OF 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, from the time I arrived in Washington, D.C., now fully more than 2 years ago, I pledged myself to my constituents in eastern Indiana to fight to renew the American Dream; that is that dream of every American, whatever their race, whatever their creed, whatever their color, that they might better themselves and better their families so that not only they but their posterity could enjoy more abundance in this country in every way, material and spiritual, as they pursue that dream.

It is about renewing and restoring the American Dream, Mr. Speaker, that I was proud today to author and to drop into the hopper the American Dream Tax Relief Act of 2003. I am proud that this bill, filed as it is on the very first legislative day of the 108th Congress, is not only my work but the work of some 33 original cosponsors, including many distinguished leaders of this institution and chairmen of major committees.

The American Dream Tax Relief Act, Mr. Speaker, is, quite simply put, an act that would dramatically reduce the taxes on capital gains in this country from their rate, which varies everywhere from 28 percent down to 8 percent, to a single flat capital gains tax rate of 10 percent. The enthusiasm with which this was greeted by my col-

leagues in just a few short hours of trafficking the idea here on Capitol Hill has greatly encouraged me that this is an idea whose time has come.

Mr. Speaker, I would offer to you that while the President of the United States, traveling as he did to the Economic Club of Chicago, did much this week to set the stage for recovery from recession, now is the time for us in this Congress to go beyond strategies for aiding those who are struggling with unemployment and layoffs, as we did earlier today, to go beyond even the President's thoughtful effort to bring the recession finally to a close. We need to begin to pursue, Mr. Speaker, economic policies that, in sum total, will explode the American Dream for an unprecedented generation of our citizens.

Only a significant reduction in taxes on capital will succeed in unleashing the entrepreneurial energy that is buried in the morass of high taxes and overregulation. Reduced taxes on capital will enable working families to save for the future or use invested funds for immediate financial obligations without fear of excessive tax penalties. American families, not the government, will have a greater power, Mr. Speaker, to decide what it is they do with their money. And for all of our great wisdom in this Chamber, Mr. Speaker, it seems like every time we give the American people more power over their own money, the Nation and even the coffers of government benefit.

Now, some will argue as we proceed forward with this tax relief measure that we cannot afford this in these times of Federal red ink and deficits and a growing national debt. But the truth is, Mr. Speaker, that the last time the capital gains tax was cut, 1997, under the Clinton administration, prior to the cut, at 28 percent, the capital gains tax generated some \$62 billion per annum to the Federal Government. Within 2 years following the 8 percent cut in the capital gains tax, it became a program that generated \$109 billion to the Federal Government.

It is one of those ironies in a dynamic model of economics that when we lower the tax rate, we actually encourage entrepreneurship, encourage investment, and encourage people to move dollars out of passive assets into productive assets. And, in so doing, not only do the American people win, but the government wins with higher revenues.

This has been the unflagging experience of the capital gains tax when President Kennedy reduced it, when President Reagan reduced it, and even when President Clinton signed a reduction in the capital gains tax. As President John F. Kennedy said, not only is it a good thing for government, but in every real sense it is great for the American people.

Some will say it benefits the rich. And to that, I confess, it will. It will benefit the wealthy in this country. It will benefit the middle class in this

country. It will benefit working Americans struggling to start their own small businesses and enterprises. It will benefit every single American. As President John F. Kennedy said so memorably so long ago of the capital gains tax reduction he advocated, a rising tide lifts all boats.

By reducing the rate at which we tax capital, we will unleash an energy in this country that will raise the tide of economic prosperity, and I am proud to author this American Dream Tax Relief Act of 2003.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. DEMINT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEMINT addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROHRBACHER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. ROHRBACHER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. FLAKE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. RUSH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. RUSH addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

IRAQ AND THE ECONOMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KUCINICH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KUCINICH. Mr. Speaker, the America I envision seeks world unity instead of unilateralism. It gains its power through being the first to help, not the first to strike. It extends itself to the peoples of the world to lift their

burden. It is an America which, when asked for help, dispenses bread instead of bombs, medical assistance instead of missiles, and food instead of fissile materials.

□ 2145

There is a role for America in the world. It is in working with the community of nations to achieve the security of all nations. It is in restoring the promise of the nonproliferation treaty to lead the way to get rid of all nuclear weapons. It is through strengthening and abiding by international treaties. It is in assuring control and eventual elimination of chemical and biological weapons and land mines. It is in protecting our global climate.

America can help protect the world. America can help save the world. But America cannot control the world, nor should we want to do so.

Yet our administration would project American power for the purpose of domination. Their national security doctrines call for America to strike anywhere it pleases and to be the first to use nuclear weapons.

Our Nation is now poised to go to all-out war against Iraq. Iraq has not committed any act of aggression against the United States. Iraq was not responsible for 9/11. No credible evidence exists linking Iraq to Al Qaeda's role in 9/11. Iraq is not responsible for the anthrax attack on our Nation. The United Nations has yet to establish that Iraq has usable weapons of mass destruction. There is no intelligence that Iraq has the ability to strike at the United States. According to the CIA, Iraq has no intention to attack America, but will defend itself if attacked.

Why then is our Nation prepared to send 300,000 of our young men and women into house-to-house combat in the streets of Baghdad? Why is our Nation prepared to spend \$200 billion or more of our hard-earned tax dollars for the destruction of Iraq?

Why is our Nation preparing to use the most powerful military machine in history to wage an assault on the people of Iraq, to destroy their houses and buildings, to wipe out their water and electrical systems, and to block their access to food and medical supplies? There is no answer that can separate itself from oil economics, profit requirements of arms trade, or distorted notions of empire building.

War with Iraq is wrong. But if war is prosecuted further in Iraq, we must be prepared to advance the cause of peace in this country. We must be prepared to stand up, to speak out, to organize, to march, to demand an end to the war, or to demand an end to an administration which insists on war.

It is urgent we oppose this war. It will dominate our Nation's priorities. It will threaten Social Security and Medicare and block a prescription drug benefit for the elderly. It will stop America from providing jobs for all, health care for all, education for all.

There are some who believe that it is unpatriotic to challenge the adminis-

tration on the war. They believe it is politically wiser to debate the economy. But how can one reasonably separate war from the budget, war from the economy, war from America's ability to meet the needs of the people of this Nation?

The administration's own top economic adviser said the war would cost up to \$200 billion. Our Federal budget is already close to huge tax cuts for the wealthy. Remember when we had a budget surplus?

Each time the administration talks about war, fear is created and when fear goes up, the market goes down. War will mean a sharp increase in oil prices, which will hurt jobs in manufacturing and transportation. One economic study with a worst-case scenario puts the cost of an all-out war plus long-term occupation of Iraq at \$1.6 trillion.

We cannot separate war from the economy. We cannot separate war from America's ability to meet the needs of her own people here at home.

We need to ask the questions: Why does America have hundreds of billions to ruin the health and take the lives of innocent people in Iraq but no money to provide health care for all Americans? Would why America spend hundreds of billions to retire Saddam Hussein, but no money to protect the retirement security of its own people? Why does America have money to blow up bridges over the Euphrates River in Iraq, but no money to build bridges over the Cuyahoga River in Cleveland, Ohio?

The path America must take is one of peace that leads to prosperity. It is one which understands that creating a structure of peace ensures that economic structures can be sound, affirmative of human needs and restorative of human values.

This is the dream of a Department of Peace to making nonviolence an organizing principle in our society, making the work of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., a reality, and working to make war itself a thing of the past. It is the ethic of peace building which will cause us to take down weapons from the heavens and work to create a heaven on Earth.

Peace and prosperity shall be as two pillars in a newly rebuilt America which provides for the economic and Social Security of its own people as a cause of nationhood and for the economic and social progress of people of other lands as a cause of brotherhood.

This confirmation of the purpose of Nation was the dream of Franklin Roosevelt and the New Deal, Lyndon Johnson and the Great Society, and John F. Kennedy and the New Frontier. This shall continue to be our dream in the days ahead, that no matter the darkness, we shall hold up the light of America's higher purpose which calls to us across the ages from Washington, Jefferson and Adams, through Lincoln to the present day. Our Nation has a higher calling.