

places like the Naktong Bulge, the Pusan perimeter, Inchon, Kunu-ri, the "Frozen Chosin", Pork Chop Hill and Heartbreak Ridge.

Nearly 37,000 Americans lost their lives, over 100,000 were wounded, and more than 8,000 were taken prisoner or went missing in action. Some 50 years later, approximately 8166 Americans remain missing in action from the Korean War.

Today, as we face the challenges of a new pending war and international terrorism we look with pride and respect to our Korean War veterans for their example of absolute dedication and sacrifice to the defense of freedom. Our Korean War veterans faced formidable odds and endured harsh and inhumane conditions in furthering our Nation's proud heritage of honor and valor in the face of overwhelming adversity.

As the United States marks the fiftieth Anniversary of the signing of the Armistice that ended the hostilities in South Korea, all Americans must "Pause to Remember" our Korean War veterans and their families and next of kin.

We thank and honor all Korean War veterans with hearts filled with pride. Today, the Republic of Korea stands as a proud testament to the sacrifices of 1.8 million Americans. Today, South Koreans enjoy a thriving economy and taste the fruits of a marvelous democracy. During the year 2003, let all Americans thank and honor our Korean War veterans for serving Freedom and Democracy with such distinction and valor.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 275. Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mr. SMITH, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. CLINTON, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. CORZINE, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. KOHL, Mr. KERRY, Mr. SARBANES, Mrs. MURRAY, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. DEWINE, and Mr. COLEMAN) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Governments for fiscal year 2004 and including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal year 2003 and for fiscal years 2005 through 2013.

SA 276. Mr. SARBANES (for himself, Mr. JEFFORDS, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mr. GRAHAM of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 277. Mr. LIEBERMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 278. Mr. BIDEN (for himself, Mr. SCHUMER, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. KERRY, Mr. ROCKEFELLER, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. LEAHY, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. BAYH, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. PRYOR, Ms. CANTWELL, and Mr. KOHL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 279. Mr. NICKLES (for Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra.

SA 280. Mr. LUGAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 281. Mr. KERRY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 282. Mr. BROWNBACK (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. THOMAS, and Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 283. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. KYL, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. MCCAIN, and Mr. SCHUMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 284. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. KERRY, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. CLINTON, and Mr. DODD) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra.

SA 285. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself, Mr. SMITH, and Mr. BIDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 286. Mr. SCHUMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 287. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Ms. SNOWE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 288. Mr. KYL (for himself and Mr. SESSIONS) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra.

SA 289. Mr. DODD (for himself, Mr. LAUTENBERG, and Ms. LANDRIEU) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 290. Mr. DODD (for himself and Mr. KERRY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 291. Mr. VOINOVICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 292. Mr. VOINOVICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 293. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 294. Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. GRAHAM of Florida, and Ms. STABENOW) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra.

SA 295. Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. KERRY, and Ms. LANDRIEU) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 296. Mr. ROCKEFELLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 297. Mr. DURBIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 275. Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. NELSON of Ne-

braska, Mr. SMITH, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. CLINTON, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. CORZINE, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. KOHL, Mr. KERRY, Mr. SARBANES, Mrs. MURRAY, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. DEWINE, and Mr. COLEMAN) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Governments for fiscal year 2004 and including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal year 2003 and for fiscal years 2005 through 2013; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ SENSE OF THE SENATE CONCERNING STATE FISCAL RELIEF.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:

(1) States are experiencing the most severe fiscal crisis since World War II.

(2) States are instituting severe cuts to a variety of vital programs such as health care, child care, education, and other essential services.

(3) According to the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, 49 States already have taken actions or plan to cut Medicaid before or during the current fiscal year 2003. Medicaid budget proposals in many States would eliminate or curtail health benefits for eligible families and substantially reduce or freeze provider reimbursement rates.

(4) In 2002, at least 13 States reported decreased State investments in their child care assistance programs.

(5) According to a forthcoming analysis of 22 States, at least 1,700,000 people are now at risk of losing their health care coverage under cuts that have already been implemented or proposed.

(6) Fiscal relief would help avoid adding even more Americans to the ranks of the uninsured while preserving the safety net when it is most needed during an economic downturn.

(7) Curtailing the States' need to cut spending and increase taxes is essential for true economic growth.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the Sense of the Senate that the functional totals in this resolution assume that any legislation enacted to provide economic growth for the United States should include not less than \$30,000,000,000 for State fiscal relief over the next 18 months (of which at least half should be provided through a temporary increase in the Federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP)).

SA 276. Mr. SARBANES (for himself, Mr. JEFFORDS, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mr. GRAHAM of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 23, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2004 and including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal year 2003 and for fiscal years 2005 through 2013; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 3 line 10, increase the amount by \$150,000,000.

On page 3 line 11, increase the amount by \$451,000,000.

On page 3 line 12, increase the amount by \$903,000,000.

On page 3 line 13, increase the amount by \$903,000,000.

On page 3 line 14, increase the amount by \$451,000,000.