

it. They also face budget shortfalls that are necessitating drastic cuts in basic services because of declining revenue, increasing demands and extensive budget constraints. They are having trouble funding existing obligations to schools and police forces even without having to pay to address the new multi-State threat posed by the Emerald Ash Borer. I have received letters from cities in Michigan, civic organizations and from the Southeast Michigan Council of Government, or SEMCOG, which represents 151 local governments in the region all asking that the Federal Government take an active role in stopping the spread of the Emerald Ash Borer. Without such active and timely support, coordination and funding from USDA, it is unlikely that this problem can be adequately addressed.

SEMCOG has stated that "the Emerald Ash Borer is decimating the Ash tree population in a 2000 square mile core area within the counties of Wayne, Oakland, Macomb, Livingston and Washtenaw." Michigan State Senator Raymond Basham and State Representative Glenn Anderson have written to me about this problem. In his letter to me, Representative Anderson said that "Michigan is facing another round of budget cuts at the local levels and local communities simply will not be able to afford the added burden of removing and replacing these trees." Adding to this burden is the fact that local governments are required to remove these trees from rights of ways and government properties because dead trees create significant public health risks and liability issues for property and personal damage.

Governor Granholm has worked hard to support cooperative efforts that are underway between the State of Michigan and United States Departments of Agriculture. In meetings with her, she has said that USDA funding is essential to address this problem.

If the spread of the Emerald Ash Borer is not arrested, it will cost billions of dollars to pay for the removal of dead Ash trees and the replanting of new trees. The costs associated with the loss of the Ash tree are not merely financial in nature. Habitat will be destroyed, scenic vistas will be denuded and residential streets that were once tree-lined will no longer have needed shade.

It is critical that we address the Emerald Ash Borer before it is able to spread across a greater area. It is essential that the United States Department of Agriculture complete its efforts to provide much-needed emergency funding to address the Emerald Ash Borer. The Michigan delegation has written twice to Agriculture Secretary Ann Veneman about this matter. In these letters, the Michigan delegation has stated that without "swift and sure action, the entire ash tree population will be lost. To avoid this tragedy, we asked that USDA provide funds to "determine the problem's ex-

tent," and "for combating and eradicating this invasive species."

It is imperative that the USDA provide \$17 million in Fiscal Year 2003 emergency funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation to combat the Emerald Ash Borer and that the Office of Management and Budget approve these funds as expeditiously as possible. Additionally, USDA should provide research monies that would enable USDA's Animal Plant Health Inspection Service and the Forest Service's North Central Research Station to work with Michigan State University, Michigan Technological University and other world-class schools of forestry to fund vital research into this problem. The beetle's larvae hatch in the Spring, and while it may not be possible to kill this year's hatch of beetles, time remains of the essence if the Emerald Ash Borer is to be eradicated. Addressing the matter now will be costly, but delays in addressing the matter will only increase the costs and diminish the likelihood of success.

The Emerald Ash Borer's spread can be halted, but action must be taken quickly. It is for that reason that I urge Secretary Veneman to immediately provide the emergency and research funds that will be a vital component of any effort to address the problems created by this persistent pest.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. FRIST. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

Mr. FRIST. Madam President, I will be speaking on leader time over the next few minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator has that right.

THE CARE ACT

Mr. FRIST. Madam President, I rise to speak on the CARE Act. I applaud my colleagues, Senators SANTORUM, LIEBERMAN, GRASSLEY, and BAUCUS, for bringing this bipartisan bill to the Senate floor.

The CARE Act comes none too soon. Charities across America are indeed facing tough and challenging times. A sluggish economy, which we all feel in our communities, is hampering in many ways their ability to secure funds to operate. This bill, which we will pass shortly, will help change that. It is not a total solution but will help move in the direction to change that.

I take a moment and ask the question, Why are we doing this bill? This

bill is about recognizing that Washington does not have all of the answers; that we in this body do not have all of the answers; that our Government does not have all of the answers to America's problems. But America, her people, and her spirit, all throughout this land do have the answers.

Some in Washington, on the right and on the left, prefer to address social problems with legislative solutions. But many of our Nation's problems simply do not reduce themselves to a solution that can be devised in the U.S. Congress, in the legislature itself. What they need are neighborhood solutions, solutions that begin to address problems that are identified in local communities, that are addressed locally, that are addressed by communities and neighborhoods, solutions that are not delivered by a form letter from a government bureaucrat, but from the hand of somebody in that neighborhood—a local neighborhood, someone who really cares, who understands the problem locally.

I am thinking of a wonderful charity down the street from here. For 20 years the volunteers of the Neighborhood Learning Center at the corner of 9th and Maryland have been tutoring at-risk children. They do so without fanfare, without a lot of publicity, without Federal funds. They are faith-based and their service is motivated by their love of God. They are making a difference—yes, one child at a time.

I think of LeSharon, who herself was tutored when she was a girl from a broken family. A few years later, LeSharon was back at the center but this time as a college graduate and one of their instructors. That is exciting. Or I think of the Room in the Inn program in my hometown of Nashville, TN. Over 125 congregations provide nightly housing for homeless adults and children. This is a tangible and compassionate response to human need.

These charities, like the Neighborhood Learning Center, like the Room in the Inn program, are only small rays of light in our American landscape. Their service is only part of what makes us a strong and a vibrant Nation. Almost 200 years ago Alexis de Tocqueville warned: The morals and intelligence of a democratic people would be in as much danger as its commerce and industry if ever a government wholly usurped the place of private associations.

What de Tocqueville understood was that the house of a democratic nation does not stand by just government. A healthy nation needs vigorous private associations, charities, and civic clubs all coming together. The CARE Act recognizes this vital fact. That is why it helps to foster private charity in our Nation. It encourages more charitable giving—of money, of food, of art, or securities. It provides incentives for low-income people to begin saving for a house, a business, or education. And it