

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I regret I was unavoidably detained and missed the three votes earlier today.

Had I been present, I would have voted in the following manner: rollcall 656, approving H.R. 1904, the Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003, I would have voted "nay."

On rollcall 657, approving H.R. 453, I would have voted "yea."

On rollcall 658, approving S. 1156, I would have voted "yea."

□ 1530

REPORT ON RESOLUTION WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1, MEDICARE PRESCRIPTION DRUG, IMPROVEMENT, AND MODERNIZATION ACT OF 2003

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 108-394) on the resolution (H. Res. 463) waiving points of order against the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 1) to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for a voluntary program for prescription drug coverage under the Medicare Program, to modernize the Medicare Program, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow a deduction to individuals for amounts contributed to health savings security accounts and health savings accounts, to provide for the disposition of unused health benefits in cafeteria plans and flexible spending arrangements, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

WAIVING REQUIREMENT OF CLAUSE 6(a) OF RULE XIII WITH RESPECT TO CONSIDERATION OF CERTAIN RESOLUTIONS

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 459 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 459

Resolved, That the requirement of clause 6(a) of rule XIII for a two-thirds vote to consider a report from the Committee on Rules on the same day it is presented to the House is waived with respect to any resolution reported on the legislative day of November 21, 2003, providing for consideration or disposition of a conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 1) to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for a voluntary program for prescription drug coverage under the Medicare Program, to modernize the Medicare Program, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow a deduction to individuals for amounts contributed to health savings security accounts and health savings accounts, to provide for the disposition of unused health benefits in cafeteria plans and flexible spending arrangements, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BASS). The gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. PRYCE) is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, yesterday the Committee on Rules met and passed this resolution waiving clause 6(a) of rule XIII, requiring a two-thirds vote to consider a rule on the same day it is reported from the Committee on Rules against certain resolutions reported from the Committee on Rules. The resolution applies the waiver to a special rule reported on or before the legislative day of Friday, November 21, 2003, providing for consideration or disposition of the conference report to accompany the bill, H.R. 1, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003.

Mr. Speaker, as my colleagues are aware, the conference committee has completed its work and the conference report has been filed. In the spirit of bipartisanship to accommodate the request of the minority, the Committee on Rules met this morning, as opposed to last night, to give members of the minority an opportunity to come to the Committee on Rules at a convenient time and so that the witnesses could come to the Committee on Rules at a convenient time to talk about this extraordinarily important conference report which delivers to America's seniors a voluntary, universal, and guaranteed prescription drug benefit.

This morning, the Committee on Rules received testimony for more than 4 hours on this conference report from many Members in anticipation of reporting a rule to bring this very important and historic legislation before the House. Adoption of this same-day rule and a subsequent rule will simply allow us to consider the historic prescription drug and Medicare modernization plan today, hopefully moving us one day closer to sending this measure to the President of the United States for his signature and sending a strong message to the American people that this Congress is committed to ensuring our seniors that they have access to affordable medications that will keep them healthy and active.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly urge my colleagues to support this rule and allow the House to complete its work on this landmark legislation. America's seniors have waited far too long. It is time for us to act.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Ohio for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Ms. SLAUGHTER asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, the rules of this body require that before

considering a conference report, a copy of the report and the joint explanatory statement must be available to Members for 3 business days. The Medicare drug conference report and accompanying explanatory statement were filed very early this morning, 1:17 a.m. But here we are, Mr. Speaker, debating a special rule waiving the House rule prohibiting the same-day consideration of the Medicare conference report that is more than 1,000 pages long. This defies common sense. This tramples on the rights of the Members of this body. How are we to make the best informed decisions for our constituents and the Nation about monumental legislation when we do not have the required opportunity to examine this report? What should be bipartisan conference committees are, in fact, clandestine meetings held behind closed doors. Democratic House Members were deliberately excluded from the conference committee. The only African Americans on the Committee on Ways and Means were banned from a place at the negotiating table speaking for our African American citizens. That included the ranking member of the Committee on Ways and Means, who was appointed to the conference by the Speaker of the House. Key policy bargains were made out of sight of Members and hidden from public inspection.

What is it that we and the American people are not supposed to see in the fine print? Does this plan hand billions of dollars to the wealthy drug companies and insurance industry? Does this plan hurt seniors more than it helps? Will seniors end up paying more and receiving less? What will the impact be on minority seniors? They were not represented at the table. Is this bill a Trojan horse of privatizing and dismantling Medicare? If this bill is the answer to seniors' cries for help combating the skyrocketing prices charged for medications, why are we not allowed to carefully review the hundreds of pages of this report? News reports and a quick glance at the bill indicate that nothing is done to freeze or control out-of-control drug prices.

Just this morning, Thomas Scully, administrator of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, told a senior Member of the other body that he misunderstood this plan and needs to read the bill. That is a wonderful suggestion, Mr. Speaker. Too bad that we will not have that chance as the Senate has. Medicare is much too precious to kill because we will never, ever in our lifetimes and probably anybody else's in my voice's range be able to institute another program like this in America.

I remind my colleagues of the Medicare Catastrophic Coverage Act which was passed without providing Members and seniors sufficient opportunity to read the pages and pages of fine print. The result was a momentous backlash. American seniors were outraged by the legislation, so outraged that Congress was forced to repeal the law the very next year.