

Nations. The resolution urges the President and all members of the United States diplomatic corps to dissuade member states of the United Nations from voting in support of General Assembly resolutions that unfairly castigate Israel, and to promote within the United Nations General Assembly more balanced and constructive approaches to resolving conflict in the Middle East.

On October 21, 2003, the United Nations General Assembly ratified a resolution condemning Israeli security measures. The resolution did not call on the Palestinian Authority to dismantle terrorist organizations, nor did it name those organizations. Yet it passed by a vote of 144-4, with 12 abstentions. Other than the United States, only Micronesia, the Marshall Islands, and Israel itself voted against the resolution.

This resolution was only the latest in a long line of General Assembly resolutions castigating Israel with little regard to the security threats that Israel faces. For decades, the Assembly has devoted a disproportionate amount of time and resources to resolutions related to Israel—conducting, for example, 22 rollcall votes on UN General Assembly resolutions that related to Israel out of the 69 for all of the 57th Session of the Assembly. Besides distracting the United Nations from the countless other critical issues the world faces, these resolutions undermine efforts to achieve peace in the Middle East by casting blame almost entirely on one party. They are also unfair in that they subject Israel to discriminatory treatment not accorded to any other member state of the UN.

It is long past time for the General Assembly to stop ratifying these biased, unproductive resolutions. Voting patterns that discriminate against Israel appeared during the Cold War, when conflict in the Middle East was fueled by the rivalry between the West and the Soviet bloc. The Cold War has ended. So, too, should the polarization it engendered. We have also seen new alliances and relationships emerge in the global war on terrorism, and have witnessed the world come together in condemning terrorist violence. I refer to UN Security Council Resolution 1373, passed on September 28, 2001, which reaffirmed that any act of international terrorism constitutes a threat to international peace and security and called on states to work together to prevent and suppress terrorist acts.

Resolution 1373 reminded us of what the United Nations was meant to be—a forum for the world to come together to identify common threats and find common ways to address them. It offered the hope of a world united in its resolve to fight terrorism, with the United States leading that fight—in Afghanistan and in other parts of the world where international terrorists operate.

It is therefore with great disappointment that we witness business as usual

at the General Assembly. The spirit of unity that prevailed for a time after September 11 has not led to a common approach to the conflict in the Middle East, and the United States has thus far been unable to enlist its friends and allies in its effort to ensure that Israel is treated fairly.

Since the inception of the United Nations, the United States has played a unique and critical role in ensuring that the U.N. lives up to the promise of its Charter—to maintain peace and security. As the sole remaining superpower, we have an opportunity to shape a global consensus on terrorism and security, one that requires new, more productive approaches to the conflict in the Middle East. This requires that we recognize the harm that comes from repeated, biased condemnations of a valuable ally in the United Nations General Assembly. It also requires sustained efforts, in the United Nations and within our bilateral and multilateral relationships, to change the voting patterns of friends, allies, and other member states.

We must bring our own values and our own vision of peace and security to the United Nations. Voting against resolutions that unfairly castigate Israel is not enough, particularly when we find ourselves in a tiny minority. We must seek to ally the world with us on this critical matter. The resolution we are introducing today thus urges the President and all members of the United States diplomatic corps to dissuade member states of the United Nations from voting in support of General Assembly resolutions that unfairly castigate Israel, and to promote within the Nations General assembly more balanced and constructive approaches to resolving conflict in the Middle East.

The United Nations can be—must be—a forum for defending our values. Through committed leadership, we can begin to change how other countries approach the General Assembly and how they vote on issues related to the Middle East. By doing so, we will be taking an important step toward peace.

SENATE RESOLUTION 272—DESIGNATING THE WEEK BEGINNING NOVEMBER 16, 2003, AS AMERICAN EDUCATION WEEK

Ms. SNOWE (for herself, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. WARNER, Mr. BREAU, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. CONRAD, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. JOHNSON, and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 272

Whereas schools are the backbone of democracy in the United States, providing young people with the tools necessary to maintain the precious values of freedom, civility, and equality;

Whereas, by equipping students with both practical skills and broader intellectual abilities, schools give young people in the United States hope for, and access to, a bright and productive future;

Whereas education employees, whether they provide educational, administrative, technical, or custodial services, work tirelessly to serve the children and communities of the United States with care and professionalism;

Whereas schools are the keystones of communities in the United States, bringing together adults and children, educators and volunteers, business leaders, and elected officials in a common enterprise; and

Whereas public school educators first observed American Education Week in 1921 and are now celebrating the 82nd annual observance of American Education Week: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates the week beginning November 16, 2003, as American Education Week; and

(2) recognizes the importance of public education and the accomplishments of the many education professionals who contribute to the achievement of students across the United States.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 84—RECOGNIZING THE SACRIFICES MADE BY MEMBERS OF THE REGULAR AND RESERVE COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED FORCES, EXPRESSING CONCERN ABOUT THEIR SAFETY AND SECURITY, AND URGING THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO TAKE IMMEDIATE STEPS TO ENSURE THAT THE RESERVE COMPONENTS ARE PROVIDED WITH THE SAME EQUIPMENT AS REGULAR COMPONENTS

Mr. DASCHLE (for Mr. KERRY) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services:

S. CON. RES. 84

Whereas, on September 11, 2001, the National Guard and Reserve responded to the horrific terrorist attacks on the United States with professionalism and courage, rescued the injured, saved lives in New York City, provided protection to the Pentagon, and flew combat air patrols over Washington, D.C., and other major cities;

Whereas, on September 14, 2001, in Executive Order 13223, President Bush proclaimed a national emergency, and exercised his authority under section 12302 of title 10, United States Code, to allow him to call up as many as 1,000,000 National Guard and Reserve members to active duty for up to two years;

Whereas more than 300,000 National Guard and Reserve members have been called to active duty under this Executive Order, serving on the front lines by fighting terrorists in Africa and Asia and keeping the peace in Afghanistan, the Balkans, and Iraq;

Whereas the National Guard and Reserve are taking on unprecedented challenges;

Whereas 64 percent of National Guard and Reserve members have been called up for active duty during at least one of the seven major mobilizations since 1990;

Whereas 7,800 National Guard and Reserve members have been mobilized more than once to serve in the Global War on Terrorism, and members serve between 60 and 120 days per year;

Whereas 42,000 of the approximately 160,000 United States troops currently in Iraq are members of the National Guard and Reserve;

Whereas the National Guard and Reserve are being deployed to Iraq without critical protective equipment, such as body armor,

carbines, laser sights, night vision goggles, desert boots, Camel Back water carriers, aviation holsters, aviation protective masks, radios, and desert camouflage uniforms;

Whereas many National Guard and Reserve units are using older and outdated equipment;

Whereas, due to equipment shortages throughout the National Guard and Reserve, units are being stripped of equipment in favor of units being deployed, leaving other units without equipment with which to train;

Whereas at least one National Guard and Reserve unit asked hospitals in the United States to donate medical supplies to cover its shortages; and

Whereas a poll taken in Iraq by *Stars & Stripes* reveals that 48 percent of National Guard and Reserve troops consider their morale "low" or "very low", compared with only 15 percent reporting "high" or "very high" morale: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress—*

(1) recognizes the sacrifices made by the members in the regular and reserve components of the Armed Forces;

(2) expresses concern about their safety and security; and

(3) urges the Secretary of Defense to take immediate steps to ensure that the National Guard and Reserves are provided with the same equipment as the regular components.

(At the request of Mr. DASCHLE, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

• Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, the relationship between the active and reserve components in the United States military is known as the "total-force" concept. Active duty units cannot fight wars without the support and participation of units from the National Guard and Reserve. It is this aspect of the all volunteer military that distinguishes the American armed forces from the praetorian armies of old and links the broader public, intimately, to the costs and sacrifices of war.

The men and women of the American military continue to preform magnificently. They are executing difficult missions in distant lands around the globe. There are more than 130,000 troops in Iraq, 30,000 in Kuwait, 37,000 in Korea, and 10,000 in Afghanistan. At this moment, more than 164,000 national guardsmen and reservists are on active duty, and the Pentagon has recently announced two more rounds of activation, increasing that number by another 58,000 troops. With more than 60 percent of the Army's active combat strength deployed or preparing to deploy, the men and women of the National Guard and Reserves are essential to our efforts in the war on terrorism and the stabilization of Iraq and Afghanistan.

These deployed "weekend warriors" are much more than part-time soldiers; they are full-time war-fighters serving alongside active duty units, performing the same missions, facing the same dangers, paying the same bloody price.

Despite this fact, the equipment of the National Guard and Reserves has been substandard when compared to the equipment available to members of the active units for far too long. This peace-time nuisance is a mortal danger

in war. It is inexcusable that any U.S. units, whether active or reserve, would deploy to a combat zone without the latest equipment and technology.

But we have heard concerns about National Guard and Reserve units lacking the latest gear or technology: helicopters lacking basic defense systems; Humvees without the additional armor needed to protect their occupants; and inadequate supplies of personal body armor. It is a dereliction of duty to send anyone into harm's way without basic protective gear.

The Concurrent Resolution submit today, expresses our concern for the welfare and security of all the men and women of the United States military, whether they serve in the active duty military, the National Guard, or the reserves. If this is to truly be a "total-force," then we must also commit ourselves to equipping it as such. The courageous, young men and women of our armed forces deserve no less. ●

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 85—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CONGRESS THAT THE CONTINUED PARTICIPATION OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION IN THE GROUP OF 8 NATIONS SHOULD BE CONDITIONED ON THE RUSSIAN GOVERNMENT VOLUNTARILY ACCEPTING AND ADHERING TO THE NORMS AND STANDARDS OF DEMOCRACY

Mr. McCAIN (for himself and Mr. LIEBERMAN) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. CON. RES. 85

Whereas the countries that comprise the Group of 7 nations are pluralistic societies with democratic political institutions and practices, committed to the observance of universally recognized standards of human rights, respect for individual liberties, and democratic principles;

Whereas in 1991 and subsequent years, the leaders of the Group of 7 nations, heads of the governments of the major free market economies of the world who meet annually in a summit meeting, invited then-Russian President Boris Yeltsin to a post-summit dialogue;

Whereas in 1998, the leaders of the Group of 7 nations formally invited President Boris Yeltsin of Russia to participate in an annual gathering that subsequently was known as the Group of 8 nations, although the Group of 7 nations have continued to hold informal summit meetings and ministerial meetings that do not include the Russian Federation;

Whereas the invitation to President Yeltsin to participate in the annual summits was in recognition of his commitment to democratization and economic liberalization, despite the fact that the Russian economy remained weak and the commitment of the Russian Government to democratic principles was uncertain;

Whereas under the leadership of President Vladimir Putin, the Russian Government has attempted to control the activities of independent media enterprises, nongovernmental organizations, religious organizations, and other pluralistic elements of Russian society in an attempt to mute criticism of the government;

Whereas the suppression by the Russian Government of independent media enterprises has resulted in widespread government control and influence over the media in Russia, stifling freedom of expression and individual liberties that are essential to any functioning democracy;

Whereas the arrest and prosecution of prominent Russian business leaders who had supported the political opposition to President Putin are examples of selective application of the rule of law for political purposes;

Whereas the courts of Great Britain, Spain, and Greece have consistently ruled against extradition warrants issued by the Russian Government after finding that the cases presented by the Prosecutor General of the Russian Federation have been inherently political in nature;

Whereas Russian military forces continue to commit brutal atrocities against the civilian population in Chechnya;

Whereas the rise to influence within the Russian Government of unelected security officials from the KGB of the former Soviet Union is increasingly undermining the commitment of the Russian Government to democratic principles, accountability, and transparency;

Whereas a wide range of observers at think tanks and nongovernmental organizations have expressed deep concern that the Russian Federation is moving away from the political and legal underpinnings of a market economy; and

Whereas the continued participation of the Russian Federation in the Group of 8 nations, including the opportunity for the Russian Government to host the Group of 8 nations in 2006 as planned, is a privilege that is premised on the Russian Government voluntarily accepting and adhering to the norms and standards of democracy: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That it is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) the selective prosecution of political opponents and the suppression of free media by the Russian Federation, and the continued commission of widespread atrocities in the conduct of the brutal war in Chechnya, do not reflect the minimum standards of democratic governance and rule of law that characterize every other member state in the Group of 8 nations;

(2) the continued participation of the Russian Federation in the Group of 8 nations, including the opportunity for the Russian Government to host the Group of 8 nations summit in 2006 as planned, should be conditioned on the Russian Government accepting and adhering to the norms and standards of free, democratic societies as generally practiced by every other member nation of the Group of 8 nations, including—

(A) the rule of law, including protection from selective prosecution and protection from arbitrary state-directed violence;

(B) a court system free of political influence and manipulation;

(C) a free and independent media;

(D) a political system open to participation by all citizens and which protects freedom of expression and association; and

(E) the protection of universally recognized human rights; and

(3) the President of the United States and the Secretary of State should work with the other members of the Group of 7 nations to take all necessary steps to suspend the participation of the Russian Federation in the Group of 8 nations until the President, after consultation with the other members of the Group of 7 nations, determines and reports to Congress that the Russian Government is committed to respecting and upholding the