

Democrats out of the legislative process and provided neither an opportunity to debate the amendment, nor the chance to show this amendment for what it really is: an unacceptable invasion of our Nation's public lands and an assault on our public process. I oppose this clandestine.

The King Cove Access Project rider is an affront to our nation's environmental laws. Section 115 of the Energy & Water Appropriations Bill directs the construction of a road from the village of King Cove, Alaska through the sensitive Izembek National Wildlife Refuge and right to the boundary of the fragile and internationally significant Izembek Wilderness Area. The provision waives all environmental laws governing construction of such a road in the process. The amendment was not included in either the House or Senate bills.

Other government agencies have raised concerns about this project as part of the mandated inter-governmental coordinate. Congress dealt with this issue five years ago when I was the ranking member of the Resources Committee in the 105th Congress. The King Cove Access Project was defeated then and should have been defeated now.

In 1998, proponents attempted to add the provision to an appropriations bill but were not successful. A compromise was later reached with the King Cove Health and Safety Act which was included as Section 353 of Public Law 105-277, the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act. The measure appropriated \$40 million to address the access needs of the communities of King Cove and Cold Bay; however, the Act did not approve a road through the Izembek refuge or the Izembek Wilderness. In fact, the legislation specifically required that expenditure of the funds allocated in the bill "must be in accordance with all other applicable laws."

It is outrageous that five years after a satisfactory compromise was agreed upon, we must return to this issue.

The Izembek National Wildlife Refuge, on the Alaska Peninsula, is internationally recognized as one of the most important wetland reserves in the Northern Hemisphere. Home to threatened and endangered species, as well as millions of migratory birds, the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge and Izembek Wilderness are keys in the fight to conserve the natural diversity of wildlife populations and habitats.

The King Cove Access Project rider inappropriately short-circuits the public process. An administrative decision on a project to enhance marine-road access for the community of King Cove is proceeding in a timely manner and does not require intervention by Congress. However, the King Cove Access Project mandates one alternative in the EIS, thereby effectively ignoring the advice of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, other federal agencies and the American public.

The King Cove Access Project ignores environmental laws, threatens important wildlife habitat and sets a dangerous anti-wilderness precedent. It is shameful that it was part of this legislation.

RECOGNIZING ST. HYACINTH
BASILICA

HON. RAHM EMANUEL

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 8, 2003

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, on behalf of more than 111,000 of my constituents who are of Polish descent, I proudly rise to recognize the official designation of St. Hyacinth's Church on 3636 West Wolfram as a basilica for the Chicago Archdiocese.

My hometown of Chicago was once said to contain more Poles than any city outside Warsaw. Still today, in St. Hyacinth's parish, the area's largest and most prominent Polish Catholic parish, residents are just as likely to speak Polish as English.

St. Hyacinth's was founded in 1894 with less than 50 members and has grown tremendously over the years. Today, St. Hyacinth's serves over 8,000 worshippers each week under the guidance of the Resurrectionist Fathers, who have served the congregation since its founding.

Under the leadership of its rector since 1995, Rev. Michal Osuch, St. Hyacinth's has actively engaged in the sacramental life of the church by developing programs of evangelization that emphasize connecting adults, particularly with the sacraments of confirmation and marriage. The church also provides a welcoming home for new immigrants every month by hosting free English-as-a-Second Language classes, a Polish language school for children and many other community activities for adults, youth and children.

In becoming a basilica, St. Hyacinth's was recognized for its prestige, its beauty, and its ability to accommodate large numbers of parishioners since a basilica is a community's focal point for worship and evangelization. Cardinal Francis George validated these features last Sunday by formally proclaiming it as "a place of frequent and exemplary liturgical celebration."

The petition for basilica status was reviewed by the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops and approved by the Congregation of Divine Worship in Rome. As a basilica, it maintains an obligation to uphold a high level of both worship and religious instruction, particularly through conferences and speakers.

Mr. Speaker, I wish to congratulate St. Hyacinth's on this high honor and its upcoming 110th anniversary next year. In earning the distinction of becoming a basilica, it has again proven its importance as a pillar of Chicago's Polish American community. On this day, I am proud to join the people of my district, as well as those of Polish descent around the City, in celebrating this historic achievement.

THE VOTER CONFIDENCE AND INCREASED ACCESSIBILITY ACT OF 2003

HON. RUSH D. HOLT

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 8, 2003

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to reiterate the importance of my "Voter Confidence and Increased Accessibility Act of 2003" to the

integrity of democracy in the United States. Although I am deeply gratified by the substantial groundswell of support among my colleagues and cosponsors, I regret that this session draws to a close for the year without this critical piece of legislation having been meaningfully addressed by this Chamber.

When I introduced the Voter Confidence Act in May of this year, I did so without cosponsors. I had been told that no one wanted to reopen HAVA. I had been told that adding paper records back into the electoral process would generate fraud. I had been told that access for the disabled and voter verified paper trails were mutually exclusive—you can have one or the other, but you can't have both. I had been told that there is no complaint that existing electronic voting machines are not functioning properly. But it seemed obvious to me, given that all computers are subject to error, failure and tampering, that computers upon which elections are conducted would be as well. I also believed that voter verification mechanisms, just like voting machines themselves, could readily be made accessible to disabled voters. Although I supported HAVA, and continue to support the many groundbreaking improvements it ushered forth, I was troubled to see that HAVA funding fueled an unintended consequence—the wide-scale purchase of un-auditable electronic voting machines—and threatened the very integrity of the electoral system in the United States. Earlier this session, I introduced the Voter Confidence and Increased Accessibility Act to enhance HAVA's accessibility requirements, to increase participation among all voters, and to restore faith in the electoral system and in the government itself by giving voters a means by which they themselves could be certain that their votes are being counted.

From the moment my press release announcing the bill was released, my telephone began to ring with calls from voters around the country expressing their profuse thanks. Within a week, one of my local metropolitan papers ran an editorial saying that the bill "proposes urgent and sensible measures to preserve the sanctity of the ballot" and suggested that Congress "shift into high gear and enact this legislation without delay." Within two or three weeks, I was joined on the bill by eight of my Colleagues. In another week or two, I was joined by eight more. More editorials ran—New York Newsday said that although "many election officials . . . resist the paper trail idea . . . the purpose of voting reform isn't to make life easier for election clerks. It is to make elections fairer and restore the frayed confidence of voters—the people who are supposed to count most of all." The Bismark Tribune asserted: "One thing the committee should insist on is a paper 'receipt' that lets the voter check his work and is available for a re-count, if necessary." The Star News of North Carolina opined: "By the time this is over, we might be nostalgic for hanging chads. At least they were cheap. It turns out those expensive high-tech voting systems based on computers can be stuffed like ballot boxes in Chicago. My, what a surprise. . . ." Most recently, the New York Times said, "[T]he public must feel secure that each vote is counted. At this stage, a voter-verified paper trail offers the public that necessary security."

And as we all know, this is not just a matter of opinion. A team of computer scientists from Johns Hopkins and Rice Universities released