Mr. CAMPBELL. Mr. President, as Co-Chairman of the Helsinki Commission, I submit today a resolution urging the Government of Ukraine to ensure a democratic, transparent and fair election process for the presidential elections scheduled to be held in late October. An identical resolution is being submitted by Chairman of the House International Relations Committee HENRY HYDE and my colleague and Chairman of the Helsinki Commission, Representative CHRIS SMITH. I am pleased to note that the Commission's Ranking Member, Mr. DODD, and the Ranking Member of the Committee on Foreign Relations, Mr. BIDEN, are original cosponsors of the resolution.

The Helsinki Commission, which has long monitored and encouraged human rights, rule of law and democracy in Ukraine, continues to be a stalwart supporter of Ukraine's development as an independent, democratic and market-oriented state. There is a genuine desire in the United States for Ukraine to succeed in this process and for the long-suffering Ukrainian people to fully realize their dreams and aspirations. This resolution, by encouraging fair, open and transparent elections, is a concrete expression of the commitment of the U.S. Congress to the Ukrainian people.

The resolution underscores that an election process and the establishment of a genuinely democratic political system consistent with Ukraine's freely-undertaken OSCE commitments is a prerequisite for Ukraine's full integration into the Western community of nations as an equal member, including into NATO. The October elections will be vital in determining Ukraine's course for years to come and they present the Ukrainian authorities with a real opportunity to demonstrate their commitment to OSCE principles and values.

Unfortunately, Ukraine's pre-election environment has already been decidedly problematic and of increasing concern to the United States and the international community. During the course of this year I have shared specific concerns with Senate colleagues, particularly in terms of the media. The resolution submitted today focuses squarely on key problem areas, including increasing control and manipulation of the media and attempts by national authorities to limit access to international broadcasting, including Radio Liberty and Voice of America. Among other concerns are the blatant obstacles to free assembly and a free and fair political campaign as well as substantial irregularities in several recent elections.

An egregious example of how not to conduct elections was the mayoral election held two weeks ago in the western Ukrainian city of Mukacheve. This election was marred by intimidation, violence, fraud and manipulation of the vote count, electoral disruptions and irregularities. Despite strong evidence indicating that a candidate from the democratic opposition ''Our Ukraine'' bloc had won, the territorial elections commission announced as winner the candidate of a party led by the head of Presidential Administration, Viktor Medvedchuk. That some of the abuses and violence took place in front of OSCE observers, and that some of the victims of violence were members of the Ukrainian parliament, only underscores the brazenness of these actions. The outlandish conduct of the Mukacheve elections not only casts doubt over their outcome, but when coupled with other recent problematic elections, including in Constituency No. 61 in Donetsk, could be a barometer for the October presidential elections.

The resolution I submit today outlines those measures the Ukrainian authorities need to take—consistent with their own laws and international agreements—for a free, fair, open and transparent election process. The Ukrainian authorities at all levels, including the executive, legislative and judicial branches, need to ensure an election process that enables all of the candidates to compete on a level playing field. This includes the various institutions and agencies involved directly or indirectly in the elections process, such as the Central Election Commission, the Ministry of Internal Affairs, Procuracy, the State Security Service (SBU), Tax Administration, as well as the Constitutional and Supreme Courts.

Ukraine's October presidential elections should be a watershed for the future direction of that country of great potential. It is abundantly clear that a small clique have a vested interest in perpetuating the outmoded status quo. Ukrainian authorities need to radically improve the election environment if there is to be hope for these elections to meet OSCE standards. The question is whether their perceived self-interest will trump the interest of the people of Ukraine. Having restored the independence of their proud land, the Ukrainian people deserve an opportunity to overcome the legacy of the past, and consolidate democracy, human rights and the rule of law.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 3117. Mr. BREAUX (for himself and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1637, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to comply with the World Trade Organization rulings on the FSC/ETI benefit in a manner that preserves jobs and production activities in the United States, to reform and simplify the international taxation rules of the United States, and for other purposes.

SA 3118. Mr. ALLARD (for himself, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. MILLER, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CHAMBLISS, and Mr. CORZINE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1637, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 3117. Mr. BREAUX (for himself and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1637, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to comply with the World Trade Organization rulings on the FSC/ETI benefit in a manner that preserves jobs and production activities in the United States, to reform and simplify the international taxation rules of the United States, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 88, between lines 17 and 18, insert:

''(4) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the excess qualified foreign distribution amount shall not exceed the lesser of—

''(i) the amount shown on the applicable financial statement as earnings permanently reinvested outside the United States, or

''(ii) the excess (if any) of—

''(I) the estimated aggregate qualified expenditures of the corporation for taxable years ending in 2005, 2006, and 2007, over

''(II) the aggregate qualified expenditures of the corporation for taxable years ending in 2001, 2002, and 2003.

''(B) EARNINGS PERMANENTLY REINVESTED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—

''(i) IN GENERAL.—If an amount on an applicable financial statement is shown as Federal income taxes not required to be reserved by reason of the permanent reinvestment of earnings outside the United States, subparagraph (A)(i) shall be applied by reference to the earnings to which such taxes relate.

''(ii) NO STATEMENT OR STATED AMOUNT.—If there is no applicable financial statement or such a statement fails to show a specific amount described in subparagraph (A)(i) or clause (i), such amount shall be treated as being zero.

''(iii) APPLICABLE FINANCIAL STATEMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'applicable financial statement' means the most recently audited financial statement (including notes and other documents which accompany such statement)—

''(I) which is certified on or before March 31, 2004, as being prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and

''(II) which is used for the purposes of a statement or report to creditors, to shareholders, or for any other substantial nontax purpose.

In the case of a corporation required to file a financial statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, such term means the most recent such statement filed on or before March 31, 2004.

''(C) QUALIFIED EXPENDITURES.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'qualified expenditures' means—

''(i) wages (as defined in section 3121(a)),

''(ii) additions to capital accounts for property located within the United States (including any amount which would be so added but for a provision of this title providing for the expensing of such amount),

''(iii) qualified research expenses (as defined in section 41(b)) and basic research payments (as defined in section 41(e)(2)), and

''(iv) irrevocable contributions to a qualified employer plan (as defined in section 72(p)(4)) but only if no deduction is allowed under this chapter with respect to such contributions.

''(D) RECAPTURE.—If the taxpayer's estimate of qualified expenditures under subparagraph (A)(ii)(I) is greater than the actual expenditures, then the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxpayer's last taxable