

slaves, and campaigned for Ulysses S. Grant in the Presidential election in 1868. Sojourner was a woman of great passion and determination who was spiritually motivated to preach and teach in ways that have had a profound and lasting imprint on American history.

I am proud and the people of my State are proud to claim this legendary leader. In September of 1999, Michigan honored Sojourner Truth with the dedication of the Sojourner Truth Memorial Monument, which was unveiled in Battle Creek, MI.

The contributions of Sojourner Truth, who helped lead our country out of the dark days of slavery, are indelibly etched in the chronicle of not only the history of this Nation, but are viewed with distinction and admiration throughout the world. In 1851, Sojourner delivered her famous "Ain't I a Woman?" speech at the Women's Convention in Akron, OH. She spoke from her heart about the most troubling issues of her time. Her words on that day in Ohio are a testament to Sojourner Truth's convictions and are a part of the great legacy she left for us all.

In closing, I must take a moment to pay special tribute to Dr. C. Delores Tucker, who has been the chief crusader in the movement to add Sojourner Truth to the Women's Suffrage group portrait monument. Dr. Tucker, President of the Bethune-Dubois Institute and Chair of the National Congress of Black Women, is a woman of strong conviction and is unyielding in her pursuits for justice and fairness. Because of her diligence and commitment, constructive efforts are now on the way to ensuring that Sojourner Truth will be shown in her rightful place, in our Capitol Rotunda. I must also commend the National Council of Women's Organizations for their active support of this legislation.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of S. 2600, including cosponsors, be inserted in the RECORD at the end of my remarks, following Truth's "Ain't I a Woman" speech.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AIN'T I A WOMAN

(By Sojourner Truth)

Well, children, where there is so much racket there must be something out of kilter. I think that 'twixt the negroes of the South and the women at the North, all talking about rights, the white men will be in a fix pretty soon. But what's all this here talking about?

That man over there says women need to be helped into carriages, and lifted over ditches and to have the best place everywhere. Nobody ever helps me into carriages, or over mud puddles, or gets me any best place!

And Ain't I a Woman?

Look at me! Look at my arm! I have ploughed, and planted, and gathered into barns, and no man could head me!

And Ain't I a Woman?

I could work as much and eat as much as a man—when I could get it—and bear the lash as well!

And Ain't I a Woman?

I have borne five children and seen most all sold off to slavery, and when I cried out with a mother's grief, none but Jesus heard me.

And Ain't I a Woman?

Then they talk about this thing in the head; what's this they call it? (member of the audience whispers 'intellect') That's it, honey.

What's that got to do with women's right or negroes' rights? If my cup won't hold but a pint, and your holds a quart, wouldn't you be mean not to let me have my little half measure full?

Then that little man in black there, he says women can't have as much rights as men, cause Christ wasn't a woman?

Where did your Christ come from? Where did your Christ come from? From God and a woman! Man had nothing to do with Him.

If the first woman God ever made was strong enough to turn the world upside down all alone, these women together ought to be able to turn it back, and get it right side up again! And now they is asking to do it, the men better let them.

Obliged to you for hearing me, and now old Sojourner ain't got nothing more to say.

S. 2600

Mrs. CLINTON (for herself, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. DODD, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. SPECTER, Mr. ALEXANDER, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. CORZINE, and Mr. PRYOR) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration

A BILL To direct the Architect of the Capitol to enter into a contract to revise the statue commemorating women's suffrage located in the rotunda of the United States Capitol to include a likeness of Sojourner Truth.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Sojourner Truth was a towering figure among the founders of the movement for women's suffrage in the United States, and any monument that accurately represents this important development in our Nation's history should include her.

(2) The statue known as the Portrait Monument, originally presented to Congress in 1920 in honor of the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment guaranteeing women the right to vote and presently exhibited in the rotunda of the Capitol, portrays several early suffragists who were Sojourner Truth's contemporaries, but not Sojourner Truth herself, the only African American among the group.

SEC. 2. REVISION OF WOMEN'S SUFFRAGE STATUE.

Not later than the final day on which the One Hundred Ninth Congress is in session, the Architect of the Capitol shall enter into a contract to revise the statue commemorating women's suffrage located in the rotunda of the United States Capitol (commonly known as the "Portrait Monument") to include a likeness of Sojourner Truth.

CORRECTION FOR THE RECORD

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, on June 23, 2004, I gave a statement on the Feingold amendment concerning the Inspector General of the Coalition Provisional Authority. When it appeared in

the RECORD, text was somehow inadvertently added to my statement. My statement should have ended after the sixth full paragraph of column three on page S7266. I can certainly understand how something like this could have happened as we were all working late into the night under very tight deadlines. This isn't the first time something like this has happened and I bet it won't be the last.

Of course, this is no fault of the good people of the Official Reporters of Debates. They do outstanding work and I know this will continue.

The following is how my statement should have appeared:

I rise today to express my strong support for the amendment offered by Senator Feingold.

Senator Feingold's amendment, which I am a proud co-sponsor, would allow the work of the Inspector General of the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA-IG) to continue its work uninterrupted after the June 30 handover.

This is critical. Congress provided more than \$18 billion to rebuild Iraq, roughly the same amount that we spend on the rest of the world combined. Congress jammed through the Iraq supplemental appropriations bill in an extremely short time, without a sufficient number of hearings, into a very chaotic environment without the usual financial controls.

Recognizing this reality, Congress created a strong, independent Inspector General to help police these funds.

In the months that followed passage of the Iraq Supplemental, we heard numerous reports of waste, fraud and abuse. If anything, this should have send a clear signal to the administration and Congress that we need more—not less—oversight of these funds. It defies logic, then, that the State Department is now proposing to weaken the one entity that Congress specifically tasked with keeping track of these tax dollars.

The State Department's plan could undermine the independence of this Inspector General and disrupt this important work, reducing Congress's ability to account for these funds. It's unlocking the vault to those who want to cheat us.

The State Department also has told the Appropriations Committee that it will have to create 25 new positions to handle the work in Iraq.

Let me get this straight. We want to close down an IG that has about 60 people in place, which are actively conducting audits and rooting out waste fraud and abuse.

After the administration is finished closing down that office, they will turn around and hire 25 new people to do the same work—only through at a lower level office at the State Department.

Why on Earth would we want to do this? At a time when we are hearing weekly reports of abuse by Haliburton and others, why would we want to re-invent the wheel? Why would we downgrade the status of the CPA-IG and undermine its independence? It just does not make any sense.

This is why the amendment offered by Senator from Wisconsin is so important.

This is why I support his amendment.

I thank the chair for allowing me to make this correction.

PEER-REVIEW PROSTATE CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the Department of