be appropriate to protect the interests of individuals covered under FEHBP and alleviate any adverse impact on FEHBP that may result from the offering of such health benefit plans.

- (4) FEHBP DEFINED.—In this section, the term "FEHBP" means the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program offered under chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code.
 - (b) Conforming Amendments.—
- (1) Paragraph (1) of section 35(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:
- "(K) Coverage under a health benefits plan offered under section 362(a)(1) of the Fair Wage, Competition, and Investment Act of 2005"
- (2) Section 173(f)(2)(A) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2918(f)(2)(A)) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:
- "(xi) Coverage under a health benefits plan offered under section 362(a)(1) of the Fair Wage, Competition, and Investment Act of 2005.".

SEC. 363. CLARIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY OF SPOUSE OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS ENTITLED TO MEDICARE.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 35 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (defining eligible coverage month) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR SPOUSE OF INDI-VIDUAL ENTITLED TO MEDICARE.—Any month which would be an eligible coverage month with respect to a taxpayer (determined without regard to subsection (f)(2)(A)) shall be an eligible coverage month for any spouse of such taxpayer.".
- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 173(f)(5)(A)(i) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2918(f)(5)(A)(i)) is amended by inserting "(including with respect to any month for which the eligible individual would have been treated as such but for the application of paragraph (7)(B)(i)" before the comma.

Subtitle D—Sense of the Senate on Free Trade Agreements

SEC. 371. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON FREE TRADE AGREEMENTS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:
- (1) The United States is participating in the Doha Round of World Trade Organization ("WTO") negotiations, which seeks to lower trade parriers for all members of the WTO.
- (2) In addition to participating in the Doha Round of WTO negotiations, the United States is negotiating bilateral free trade agreements with 20 countries.
- (3) Only 1 of those 20 countries is among the top 30 trading partners of the United States.
- (4) During the debate on the legislation that was enacted as the Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-210; 116 Stat. 933), a representative of the President argued that "[i]ncreased trade will help our workers, farmers, businesses, and economy by enhancing employment opportunities, opening more markets to American goods and services, and increasing choices and lowering costs for consumers".
- (5) During that debate and on other occasions, the President and individuals in the Executive Branch of the United States have repeatedly argued that increased trade means an increase in the number of jobs in the United States and a higher standard of living for people in the United States.
- (6) The President and individuals in the Executive Branch of the United States have also argued that trade expands markets for United States goods and services, creates higher-paying jobs in the United States, and

invigorates local communities and their economies. $\,$

- (7) Trade agreements between the United States and countries with small economies have little impact on creating jobs in the United States or a higher standard of living for people in the United States.
- (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—
- (1) the trade policy of the United States should focus on creating more jobs in the United States and a higher standard of living for people in the United States; and
- (2) to best accomplish these goals, the United States should focus its efforts on trade negotiations occurring at the WTO and, when negotiating trade agreements on a bilateral basis, focus on agreements with countries that have large economies that will provide meaningful export opportunities for United States farmers, workers, and businesses.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

- By Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. Kohl, Mr. Hatch, Mr. Car-PER, Mr. FRIST, Mr. CHAFEE, Mr. Dodd, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. KYL, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mrs. Lincoln, Mr. Lugar, Mr. McConnell, Mr. Schumer, Mr. THUNE, Mr.VITTER, Mr. Voinovich, Mr. Lott, Mr. Alex-ANDER, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. SES-SIONS, Mr. DEMINT. Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. MARTINEZ, and Mr. Ensign):
- S. 5. A bill to amend the procedures that apply to consideration of interstate class actions to assure fairer outcomes for class members and defendants, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
- Mr. KOHL. Mr. President, I rise today to join Senators GRASSLEY, HATCH, CARPER, and many others in introducing the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005. This legislation addresses the continuing problems in class action litigation, particularly unfair and abusive settlements that shortchange consumers across America.

The time for this bill has come. We have worked together on a bipartisan basis on this legislation in past Congresses. In fact, versions of this bill have passed the House of Representatives on two occasions in the past. In the Senate, we passed this bill through the Judiciary Committee in each of the last two Congresses and came within one vote of gaining cloture on the bill.

We worked successfully to substantially improve this bill during the last Congress. As a result of the interest of Senators Feinstein, Dodd, Schumer and Landrieu, we have changed the bill in important ways. Now, only cases that are truly national in scope will be tried primarily in the Federal courts. Cases that primarily involve people from only one State and that interpret State law will remain in State court. These changes will ensure that class action cases are handled efficiently and in the appropriate venues and that no case that has merit will be turned away.

We have a simple story to tell. Consumers are too often getting the short end of the stick in class action cases, recovering coupons or pocket change, while their lawyers reap millions. Many of these complex class action cases proceed exactly as we would hope. Injured parties, represented by strong advocates, get their day in court or reach a positive settlement that is good for the parties and handled well by their attorney.

Unfortunately, this is not how it always works. Rather, more and more frequently, some are taking advantage of the system and, as a result, consumers are getting the short end of the stick, recovering coupons or pocket change, while the real reward is going to others. The Washington Post put it clearly, "no portion of the American civil justice system is more of a mess than the world of class actions."

Our remedy is straightforward. Consumers deserve notices that are written in plain English so they can understand their rights and responsibilities in the lawsuit. Too many of the class action notices are designed to be impossible to comprehend. Further, if the cases are settled, the notice to the class members must clearly describe the terms of the settlement, the benefits to each plaintiff and a summary of the attorneys' fees in the case and how they were calculated. We are grateful that the Federal Judicial Conference has adopted our idea and has already begun to improve the notices provided to class action plaintiffs.

Second, State attorneys general should be notified of proposed class action settlements to stop abusive cases if they want. This encourages a neutral third party to weigh in on whether a settlement is fair and to alert the court if they do not believe that it is. The Attorney General review is an extra layer of security for the plaintiffs and is designed to ensure that abusive settlements are not approved without a critical review by one or more experts.

Third, a class action consumer bill of rights will help limit coupon or other unfair settlements.

Finally, we allow many class action lawsuits to be removed to Federal court. This is only common sense. These are national cases affecting consumers in 50 States. If the court rules were being drafted today, these are exactly the types of cases which we would want and expect to be tried in Federal court.

Stories of nightmare class action settlements that affect consumers around the country are all too frequent. For example, a suit against Blockbuster video yielded dollar off coupons for future video rentals for the plaintiffs while their attorneys collected \$9.25 million. In California State court, a class of 40 million consumers received \$13 rebates on their next purchase of a computer or monitor—in other words they had to purchase hundreds of dollars more of the defendants' product to redeem the coupons. In essence, the

plaintiffs received nothing, while their attorneys took almost \$6 million in legal fees. We could list many, many more examples, but let me discuss just one more case that is almost too strange to believe.

I am speaking about the Bank of Boston class action suit and the outrageous case of Martha Preston from Baraboo, WI. She was an unnamed class member of a class action lawsuit against her mortgage company that ended in a settlement. The plaintiffs' lawyers were supposed to represent her. Instead, the settlement that they negotiated for her was a bad joke. She received \$4 and change in the lawsuit, while her attorneys pocketed \$8 million.

Yet, the huge sums her attorneys received were not the worst of the story. Soon after receiving her \$4, Ms. Preston discovered that her lawvers took \$80, 20 times her recovery, from her escrow account to help pay their fees. Naturally shocked, she and the other plaintiffs sued the lawyers who quickly turned around and sued her in Alabama, a State she had never visited, for \$25 million. Not only was she \$75 poorer for her class action experience, but she also had to defend herself against a \$25 million suit by the very people who took advantage of her in the first place.

No one can argue with a straight face that the class action process is not in serious need of reform.

Comprehensive studies support the anecdotes we have discussed. For example, a study on the class action problem by the Manhattan Institute demonstrates that class action cases are being brought disproportionately in a few counties where plaintiffs expect to be able to take advantage of lax certification rules.

The study focused on three county courts-Madison County, IL; Jefferson County, TX; and Palm Beach County, FL-that have seen a steep rise in class action filings over the last several years that seems disproportional to their populations. They found that rural Madison County, IL, ranked third nationwide, after Los Angeles County, CA, and Cook County, IL, in the estimated number of class actions filed each year, whereas rural Jefferson County and Palm Beach County ranked eighth and ninth, respectively. As plaintiff attorneys found that Madison County was a welcoming host, the number of class action suits filed there rose 1,850 percent between 1998 and 2000.

Another trend evident in the research was the use of "cut-and-paste" complaints in which plaintiffs" attorneys file a number of suits against different defendants in the same industry challenging standard industry practices. For example, in one situation, six law firms filed nine nearly identical class actions in Madison County in the same week alleging that the automobile insurance industry is defrauding Americans in the way that they calculate claims rates for totaled vehicles.

The system is not working as intended and needs to be fixed. The way to fix it is to move more of these cases currently being brought in small State courts like Madison County, IL, to Federal court.

The Federal courts are better venues for class actions for a variety of reasons articulated clearly in a RAND study. RAND proposed three primary explanations why these cases should be in Federal court. "First, federal judges scrutinize class action allegations more strictly than state judges, and deny certification in situations where a state judge might grant it improperly. Second, state judges may not have adequate resources to oversee and manage class actions with a national scope. Finally, if a single judge is to be charged with deciding what law will apply in a multistate class action, it is more anpropriate that this take place in federal court than in state court."

We all know that class actions can result in significant and important benefits for class members and society, and that most class lawyers and most State courts are acting responsibly. Class actions have been used to desegregate racially divided schools, to obtain redress for victims of employment discrimination, and to compensate individuals exposed to toxic chemicals or defective products. Class actions increase access to our civil justice system because they enable people to pursue claims that collectively would otherwise be too expensive to litigate.

The difficulty in any effort to improve a basically good system is weeding out the abuses without causing undue damage. The legislation we propose attempts to do this.

Let me emphasize the limited scope of this legislation. We do not close the courthouse door to any class action. We do not require that State attorneys general do anything with the notice they receive. We do not deny reasonable fees for class lawyers. And we do not mandate that every class action be brought in Federal court. Instead, we simply promote closer and fairer scrutiny of class actions and class settlements.

Right now, people across the country can be dragged into lawsuits unaware of their rights and unarmed on the legal battlefield. What our bill does is give back to regular people their rights and representation. This measure may not stop all abuses, but it moves us forward. It will help ensure that unsuspecting people like Martha Preston don't get ripped off.

We believe this is a moderate approach to correct the worst abuses, while preserving the benefits of class actions. It is both pro-consumer and pro-defendant. We believe it will make a difference.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. CARPER, Mr. VOINOVICH, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. AKAKA, and Mr. LIEBERMAN):

S. 21. A bill to provide for homeland security grant coordination and sim-

plification, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President I rise with my good friend Senator CARPER to offer the Homeland Security Grant Enhancement Act in order to streamline and strengthen the way we help our States, communities, and first responders protect our homeland.

Three years ago, the Senate spent nearly three months on the Homeland Security Act, yet the law contains virtually no guidance on how the Department is to assist State and local governments with their homeland security needs. In fact, the 187-page Homeland Security Act mentions the issue of grants to first responders in but a single paragraph. The decisions on how Federal dollars should be spent or how much money should be allocated to whom were left for another day. That day has come.

During the 108th Congress, Senator CARPER and I introduced similar legislation to more than double the proportion of homeland Security funding distributed based on risk, while also helping all States achieve a baseline level of preparedness and an ability to respond. The Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs held three hearings at which first responders, State and local officials, and Secretary Ridge all testified that the grant distribution system needs fixing. The 9/11 Commission also urged that the system be changed. It is therefore time for Congress to finally address this critical issue.

The bill that we introduce today is identical to legislation that passed the Senate by voice-vote as an amendment to the Intelligence reform bill at the end of the last Congress.

That measure was supported by Senators from big States—like Michigan and Ohio—and small States like Maine, Delaware and Connecticut. The wide breadth of support in the Senate is indicative of the fact that this bill takes a balanced approach to homeland security funding.

It recognizes that threat-based funding is a critical part of homeland security funding. It also recognizes that first responders in every State and territory stand at the front lines of securing the homeland.

This legislation will also coordinate government-wide homeland security funding by promoting one-stop-shopping for homeland security funding opportunities. It would establish an information clearinghouse to assist first responders and State and local governments in accessing homeland security grant information and other resources within the new department. This clearinghouse will improve access to homeland security grant information, coordinate technical assistance for vulnerability and threat assessments, provide information regarding homeland security best practices, and compile information regarding homeland security equipment purchased with Federal

Establishment of these programs will mean first responders can spend more time training to save lives and less-time filling out paper work. The inflexible structure of past homeland security funding, along with shifting federal requirements and increasing amounts of paperwork, poses a number of challenges to State and local governments as they attempt to provide these funds to first responders.

The legislation would provide greater flexibility in the use of those unspent funds. It would give the Department of Homeland Security flexibility to allow States, via a wavier from the Secretary, to use funds from one category, such as training, for another purpose, such as purchasing equipment.

The Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs will act promptly to mark-up and report this important measure to establish a streamlined, efficient, and fair method for homeland security funds to get into the hands of first responders.

By Mr. STEVENS (for himself, Mr. INOUYE, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. DODD):

S. 39. A bill to establish a coordinated national ocean exploration program within the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I introduce today S. 39, the "National Ocean Exploration Program Act" to expand exploration and knowledge of our Nation's oceans. When I introduced this bill in the 108th Congress, Senator Hollings and Senator INOUYE were original co-sponsors. Senator Hollings has left this body, but he worked closely with Senator INOUYE and me on this bill and we thank him for his contributions to ocean policy. Senators SNOWE and DODD would like to be added as original co-sponsors of this bill.

Senator Inouye and I introduce this legislation today in an effort to increase and coordinate research and exploration of our Nation's oceans. Alaska and Hawaii are uniquely dependent on the ocean for food, employment, recreation, and the delivery of goods. However, approximately 95 percent of the ocean floor remains unexplored, much of it located in the polar latitudes and the southern ocean. This legislation will advance ocean exploration and increase funding for greater research.

In its final report, the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy recommended that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the National Science Foundation lead an expanded National Ocean Exploration Program. This legislation will accomplish that goal.

The National Exploration Program expands ocean exploration. Through this program we will determine whether there are new marine substances with potential therapeutic benefits; study unique marine ecosystems, orga-

nisms and the geology of the world's oceans; and maximize ocean research by integrating multiple scientific disciplines in the ocean science community

The program will focus on remote ocean research and exploration. Specifically, research will be conducted on hydrothermal vents communities and seamounts. Increased research in these areas, where organisms exist in highly toxic environments, should yield significant scientific and medical breakthroughs.

Decades ago I help Oscar Dyson, a great Alaska fisherman, secure a small grant to explore the North Pacific. With that grant he discovered a great number of marine species that are now considered vital to the North Pacific. It is my hope that the National Ocean Exploration Program Act will be the catalyst for that type of ocean exploration and discovery.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 39

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "National Ocean Exploration Program Act".

SEC. 2. ESTABLISHMENT.

The Secretary of Commerce, through the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, shall, in consultation with the National Science Foundation and other appropriate Federal agencies, establish a coordinated national ocean exploration program within the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

SEC. 3. PURPOSES.

The purposes of the program are the following:

(1) To explore the physical, biological, chemical, geological, archaeological, temporal, and other related characteristics of the oceans to benefit, inform, and inspire the American people.

(2) To create missions and scientific activities of discovery that will improve our understanding, appreciation, and stewardship of the unique marine ecosystems, organisms, chemistry, and geology of the world's oceans, and to enhance knowledge of submerged maritime historical and archaeological sites.

- (3) To facilitate discovery of marine natural products from these ecosystems that may have potential beneficial uses, including those that may help combat disease or provide therapeutic benefits.
- (4) To communicate such discoveries and knowledge to policymakers, regulators, researchers, educators, and interested nongovernmental entities in order to support policy decisions and to spur additional scientific research and development.
- (5) To maximize effectiveness by integrating multiple scientific disciplines, employing the diverse resources of the ocean science community, and making ocean exploration data and information available in a timely and consistent manner.
- (6) To achieve heightened education, environmental literacy, public understanding and appreciation of the oceans.

SEC. 4. AUTHORITIES.

In carrying out the program the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration shall—

- (1) conduct interdisciplinary exploration voyages or other scientific activities in conjunction with other Federal agencies or academic or educational institutions, to survey little known areas of the marine environment, inventory, observe, and assess living and nonliving marine resources, and report such findings;
- (2) give priority attention to deep ocean regions, with a focus on surveying deep water marine systems that hold potential for important scientific and medical discoveries, such as hydrothermal vent communities and seamounts:
- (3) conduct scientific voyages to locate, define, and document historic shipwrecks, submerged sites, and other ocean exploration activities that combine archaeology and oceanographic sciences;
- (4) develop, in consultation with the National Science Foundation, a transparent process for reviewing and approving proposals for activities to be conducted under this program;
- (5) enhance the technical capability of the United States marine science community by promoting the development of improved oceanographic research, communication, navigation, and data collection systems, as well as underwater platforms and sensors;
- (6) conduct public education and outreach activities that improve the public understanding of ocean science, resources, and processes, in conjunction with relevant educational programs of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the National Science Foundation, and other agencies:
- (7) accept donations of property, data, and equipment to be applied for the purpose of exploring the oceans or increasing knowledge of the oceans; and
- (8) establish an ocean exploration forum to encourage partnerships and promote communication among experts and other stakeholders in order to enhance the scientific and technical expertise and relevance of the national program.

SEC. 5. EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE TASK FORCE.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, in coordination with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the U.S. Geological Survey, Office of Naval Research, and relevant governmental, non-governmental, academic, and other experts, shall convene an ocean technology and infrastructure task force to develop and implement a strategy—

- (1) to facilitate transfer of new exploration technology to the program;
- (2) to improve availability of communications infrastructure, including satellite capabilities, to the program;
- (3) to develop an integrated, workable and comprehensive data management information processing system that will make information on unique and significant features obtained by the program available for research and management purposes; and
- (4) to encourage cost-sharing partnerships with governmental and non-governmental entities that will assist in transferring exploration technology and technical expertise to the program.

SEC. 6. INTERAGENCY FINANCING.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the National Science Foundation, and other Federal agencies involved in the program, are authorized to participate in interagency financing and share, transfer, receive and spend funds appropriated to any federal participant the program for the purposes of carrying out any administrative or programmatic project or activity under this section. Funds may be transferred among such departments and agencies through a appropriate instrument that specifies the

goods, services, or space being acquired from another Federal participant and the costs of the same

SEC. 7. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There are authorized to be appropriated to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration to carry out the program—

(1) \$45,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011; and

(2) \$55,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2017.

By Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself, Mr. MARTINEZ, Mr. SESSIONS, and Mr. ALLEN):

S. 145. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to require the naval forces of the Navy to include not less than 12 operational aircraft carriers; to the Committee on Armed Services.

Mr. NELSON of Florida. Mr. President, I feel strongly that any reduction in the size of the Nation's carrier fleet is not in the best interest of national security. Therefore, I am introducing legislation to require the Navy to include not less than 12 operational aircraft carriers. I am pleased to be joined by my co-sponsors, Senator Martinez, Senator Allen, and Senator Sessions.

America's aircraft carrier fleet has played and continues to play a critical role in the global war on terrorism. Carrier based strike, electronic warfare, and reconnaissance aircraft, and even more importantly, special operations forces have provided the most responsive and capable support throughout operations in the Gulf region. Nothing has changed in the strategic environment to suggest that America is more, or as secure with eleven carriers as we are with twelve. The operational tempo of our aircraft carriers has never been higher and it is hard to imagine that it will slow any time soon.

The range of strategic threats and opportunities that face the Nation at this moment in the war on terror does not support the idea that we can reduce our carrier fleet without creating significant and unavoidable risk to our global reach and sustainability. I urge my colleagues to join with us to ensure the Navy's global flexibility and striking power. Cutting our carrier fleet now increases strategic risk and reduces our combat power and capability, all for relatively small budgetary savings.

I look forward to working with Chairman Warner and Senator Levin to gain the Armed Services Committee's approval of this legislation, and its passage by the full Senate. Identical legislation is being introduced in the House by Representative Ander Crenshaw, and I look forward to working with my colleagues in both house to see that this vital national security legislation reaches the President's desk.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 145

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. REQUIREMENT FOR 12 OPERATIONAL AIRCRAFT CARRIERS WITHIN NAVAL FORCES OF THE NAVY.

Section 5062 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (e) and (d), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

"(b) The naval combat forces of the Navy shall include not less than 12 operational aircraft carriers. For purposes of this subsection, an operational aircraft carrier includes an aircraft carrier that is temporarily unavailable for worldwide deployment due to routine or scheduled maintenance or repair."

By Mr. INOUYE:

S. 146. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to deem certain service in the organized military forces of the Government of the Commonwealth of the Philippines and the Philippine Scouts to have been active service for purposes of benefits under programs administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

Mr. INOUYE. Mr. President, many of you know of my continued support and advocacy on the importance of addressing the plight of Filipino World War II veterans. As an American, I believe the treatment of Filipino World War II veterans is bleak and shameful. The Philippines became a United States possession in 1898, when it was ceded by Spain, following the Spanish-American War. In 1934, the Congress enacted the Philippine Independence Act, Public Law 73-127, which provided a 10-year time frame for the independence of the Philippines. Between 1934 and final independence in 1946, the United States retained certain powers over the Philippines including the right to call military forces organized by the newlyformed Commonwealth government into the service of the United States Armed Forces.

The Commonwealth Army of the Philippines was called to serve with the United States Armed Forces in the Far East during World War II under President Roosevelt's July 26, 1941 military order. The Filipinos who served were entitled to full veterans' benefits by reason of their active service with our armed forces. Hundreds were wounded in battle and many hundreds more died in battle. Shortly after Japan's surrender, the Congress enacted the Armed Forces Voluntary Recruitment Act of 1945 for the purpose of sending Filipino troops to occupy enemy lands, and to oversee military installations at various overseas locations. These troops were authorized to receive pay and allowances for services performed throughout the Western Pacific. Although hostilities had ceased, wartime service of these troops continued as a matter of law until the end of

Despite all of their sacrifices, on February 18, 1946, the Congress passed the

Rescission Act of 1946, now codified as Section 107 of Title 38 of the United States Code. The 1946 Act deemed that the service performed by these Filipino veterans would not be recognized as "active service" for the purpose of any U.S. law conferring "rights, privileges, or benefits." Accordingly, Section 107 denied Filipino veterans access to health care, particularly for non-service-connected disabilities, and pension benefits. Section 107 also limited service-connected disability and death compensation for Filipino veterans to 50 percent of what their American counterparts receive.

On May 27, 1946, the Congress enacted the Second Supplemental Surplus Appropriations Rescission Act, which duplicated the language that had eliminated Filipino veterans' benefits under the First Rescission Act. Thus, Filipino veterans who fought in the service of the United States during World War II have been precluded from receiving most of the veterans' benefits that had been available to them before 1946, and that are available to all other veterans of our armed forces regardless of race, national origin, or citizenship status.

The Filipino Veterans Equity Act, which I introduce today, would restore the benefits due to these veterans by granting full recognition of service for the sacrifices they made during World War II. These benefits include veterans health care, service-connected disability compensation, non-service connected disability compensation, dependent indemnity compensation, death pension, and full burial benefits.

Throughout the years. I have sponsored several measures to rectify the lack of appreciation America has shown to these gallant men and women who stood in harm's way with our American soldiers and fought the common enemy during World War II. It is time that we as a Nation, recognize our long-standing history and friendship with the Philippines. Of the 120,000 that served in the Commonwealth Army during World War II, there are approximately 60,000 Filipino veterans currently residing in the United States and the Philippines. According to the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Filipino veteran population is expected to decrease to approximately 20,000 or roughly one-third of the current population by 2010.

Heroes should never be forgotten or ignored; let us not turn our backs on those who sacrificed so much. Let us instead work to repay all of these brave men for their sacrifices by providing them the veterans' benefits they deserve.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of my bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 146

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Filipino Veterans Equity Act of 2005".

SEC. 2. CERTAIN SERVICE IN THE ORGANIZED MILITARY FORCES OF THE PHILIPPINES AND THE PHILIPPINE SCOUTS DEEMED TO BE ACTIVE SERVICE.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 107 of title 38, United States Code, is amended—
 - (1) in subsection (a)—
- (A) by striking "not" after "Army of the United States, shall"; and
- (B) by striking ", except benefits under—" and all that follows in that subsection and inserting a period;
 - (2) in subsection (b)—
- (A) by striking "not" after "Armed Forces Voluntary Recruitment Act of 1945 shall"; and
- (B) by striking "except—" and all that follows in that subsection and inserting a period; and
 - (3) by striking subsections (c) and (d).
- (b) Conforming Amendments.—(1) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

"\$107. Certain service deemed to be active service: service in organized military forces of the Philippines and in the Philippine Scouts".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"107. Certain service deemed to be active service: service in organized military forces of the Philippines and in the Philippine Scouts."

SEC. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this Act shall take effect on January 1, 2005.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—No benefits shall accrue to any person for any period before the effective date of this Act by reason of the amendments made by this Act.

By Mr. AKAKA (for himself and Mr. INOUYE):

S. 147. A bill to express the policy of the United State regarding the United States relationship with Native Hawaiians and to provide a process for the recognition by the United States of the Native Hawaiian governing entity; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I rise today with the senior Senator from Hawaii to introduce the Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act of 2005. This is bipartisan legislation that we have been working on with our colleagues in Hawaii's Congressional delegation for the past 6 years. During the past 2 years, we have worked closely with Hawaii's Governor, Linda Lingle, Hawaii's first Republican governor in 40 years, to get this legislation enacted. We have also worked closely with the Hawaii State legislature which has passed two resolutions unanimously in support of Federal Recognition for Native Hawaiians. I mention this, to underscore the fact that this is bipartisan legislation.

The Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act of 2005 does three things:

(1) It authorizes the Office of Native Hawaiian Relations in the Department of the Interior to serve as a liaison between Native Hawaiians and the federal government. Funding for Native Hawaiian programs currently administered by the Departments of Health and Human Services, HHS, Education, or Housing and Urban Development, HUD, would continue to be administered by those agencies.

(2) It establishes the Native Hawaiian Interagency Coordinating Group—an interagency group to be composed of federal officials from agencies which administer Native Hawaiian programs and services. Many are not aware that Native Hawaiians have their own programs which are currently administered by different agencies in the Federal Government. This group would encourage communication and collaboration between the Federal agencies working with Native Hawaiians.

(3) It establishes a process for the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity. While Congress has traditionally treated Native Hawaiians in a manner parallel to American Indians and Alaska Natives, the formal policy of self-governance and self determination has not been extended to Native Hawaiians. The bill establishes a process for the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity for the purposes of Federal recognition. The bill itself does not extend Federal recognition—it authorizes the process for Federal recognition.

Following recognition of the Native Hawaiian government, negotiations will ensue between the Native Hawaiian governing entity and Federal and State Governments over matters such as the transfer of lands and natural resources; the exercise of governmental authority over any transferred lands, natural resources and other assets, including land use; the exercise of civil and criminal jurisdiction, and the delegation of governmental powers and authorities to the Native Hawaiian governing entity by the Federal and State Governments. This reflects the cooperation between the Federal and State governments and the Native Hawaiian governing entity. It also reflects a new paradigm where recognition provides the governing entity with a seat at the table to negotiate such matters.

The bill will not diminish funding for American Indians and Alaska Natives because Native Hawaiians have their own education, health and housing programs which have been separately funded since their creation in 1988.

Finally, the bill does not authorize gaming in Hawaii.

Some have characterized this bill as race-based legislation. As indigenous peoples, Native Hawaiians never relinquished their inherent rights to sovereignty. We were a government that was overthrown. While the history of the Native Hawaiian government ended in 1893 with great emotion and despair, inspired by the dignity and grace of Queen Liliuokalani, Native Hawaiians have preserved their culture, tradition, subsistence rights, language, and distinct communities. We have tried to hold on to our homeland. Hawaii, for us, is our homeland.

I am Native Hawaiian and Chinese. I appreciate the culture and ethnicity of my ancestors. I can trace my Chinese roots back to Fukien Province in China. My Native Hawaiian roots, however, are in Hawaii because it is our Hawaiian homeland.

My Chinese ancestors came to Hawaii to build a better life. My Native Hawaiian grandparents and parents had America come into their homeland and forever change their lives. This is a profound difference.

I am proud to be an American, and I am proud to have served my country in the military. As long as Hawaii is a part of the United States, however, I believe the United States must fulfill its responsibility to Hawaii's indigenous peoples. I believe it is imperative to clarify the existing legal and political relationship between the United States and Native Hawaiians by providing Native Hawaiians with Federal recognition for the purposes of a government-to-government relationship. Therefore, because this legislation is based on the political and legal relationship between the United States and its indigenous peoples, which has been upheld for many, many years, by the United States Supreme Court, based on the Indian Commerce Clause, I strenudisagree with ously the mischaracterization of this legislation as race-based.

Why is this bill so important? This bill is critical for the people of Hawaii because of the monumental step forward it provides for Hawaii's indigenous peoples. As many of my colleagues know, the Kingdom of Hawaii was overthrown in 1893 with the assistance of agents from the United States. In 1993, we enacted Public Law 103-150, commonly referred to as the Apology Resolution, which acknowledged the illegal overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii and the deprivation of the rights of Native Hawaiians to self determination. The Apology Resolution committed the United States to acknowledge the ramifications of the overthrow in order to provide a proper foundation of reconciliation between the United States and the Native Hawaiian people.

This bill provides a step forward in the process of reconciliation. The bill establishes the structure for Native Hawaiians and non-Native Hawaiians to discuss longstanding issues resulting from the overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii. The structure is the negotiation process between the federally recognized Native Hawaiian government and the Federal and State governments that I referred to earlier in my statement.

This discussion has been assiduously avoided because no one has known how to address or deal with the emotions that are involved when these matters are discussed. There has been no structured process. Instead, there has been fear as to what the discussion would entail, causing people to avoid and shirk the issues. Such behavior has led

to high levels of anger and frustration as well as misunderstanding between Native Hawaiians and non-Native Hawaiians

As a young child, I was discouraged from speaking Hawaiian because I was told that I needed to succeed in the Western world. My parents witnessed the overthrow and lived during a time when all things Hawaiian, including language, which they both spoke fluently, hula, custom, and tradition, were viewed unfavorably and discouraged. I, therefore, was discouraged from speaking the language and practicing Hawaiian customs and tradition. My experience mirrors that of my generation of Hawaiians.

My generation learned to accept what was ingrained into us by our parents, and while we were concerned about the longstanding issues resulting from the overthrow dealing with political status and lands, we were told not to "make waves" by addressing these matters. My children, however, have had the advantage of growing up during the Hawaiian renaissance, a period of revival for Hawaiian language, custom, and tradition. My grandchildren, benefitting from this revival, can speak Hawaiian and know so much about our history.

It is this generation, however, that is growing impatient with the lack of progress in efforts to resolve long-standing issues. It is this generation that does not understand why we have not discussed these matters. It is this generation that cannot believe that we, as Native Hawaiians, have let the situation continue for 110 years.

It is an active minority within this generation, spurred by frustration and sadness, that embraces independence from the United States.

It is for this generation that I bring this bill forward to ensure that there is a structured process to address these

My point is that Hawaii's people, both Native Hawaiians and non-Native Hawaiians, are no longer willing to pretend that the longstanding issues resulting from the overthrow do not exist. We need the structured process that this bill provides, first in reorganizing the Native Hawaiian governing entity, and second by providing that entity with the opportunity to negotiate and resolve issues with the Federal and State governments to alleviate the growing mistrust, misunderstanding, anger, and frustration about these matters in Hawaii. This can only be done through a government-to-government relationship.

This bill is of significant importance in Hawaii. It has no impact on any of the other states. Hawaii's entire Congressional delegation supports this legislation. Our Governor, the first Republican to be elected in 40 years, supports this legislation. Indeed, it is her Number One Federal priority. The Hawaii State Legislature supports this legislation. And most importantly, a clear majority of the Native Hawaiian people

and the people of Hawaii support this legislation.

I ask you to stand with me and my esteemed friend, Hawaii's revered senior Senator, our two House members, our Governor, the Hawaii State legislature, and the people of Hawaii to enact this critical measure for my state.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of my bill be printed in the RECORD.

Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 147

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act of 2005".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that-

- (1) the Constitution vests Congress with the authority to address the conditions of the indigenous, native people of the United States:
- (2) Native Hawaiians, the native people of the Hawaiian archipelago that is now part of the United States, are indigenous, native people of the United States;
- (3) the United States has a special political and legal responsibility to promote the welfare of the native people of the United States, including Native Hawaiians;
- (4) under the treaty making power of the United States, Congress exercised its constitutional authority to confirm treaties between the United States and the Kingdom of Hawaii, and from 1826 until 1893, the United States—
- (A) recognized the sovereignty of the Kingdom of Hawaii;
- (B) accorded full diplomatic recognition to the Kingdom of Hawaii: and
- (C) entered into treaties and conventions with the Kingdom of Hawaii to govern commerce and navigation in 1826, 1842, 1849, 1875, and 1887:
- (5) pursuant to the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920 (42 Stat. 108, chapter 42), the United States set aside approximately 203,500 acres of land to address the conditions of Native Hawaiians in the Federal territory that later became the State of Hawaii;
- (6) by setting aside 203,500 acres of land for Native Hawaiian homesteads and farms, the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act assists the members of the Native Hawaiian community in maintaining distinct native settlements throughout the State of Hawaii:
- (7) approximately 6,800 Native Hawaiian families reside on the Hawaiian Home Lands and approximately 18,000 Native Hawaiians who are eligible to reside on the Hawaiian Home Lands are on a waiting list to receive assignments of Hawaiian Home Lands;
- (8)(A) in 1959, as part of the compact with the United States admitting Hawaii into the Union, Congress established a public trust (commonly known as the "ceded lands trust"), for 5 purposes, 1 of which is the betterment of the conditions of Native Hawaiians:
- (B) the public trust consists of lands, including submerged lands, natural resources, and the revenues derived from the lands; and
- (C) the assets of this public trust have never been completely inventoried or segregated;
- (9) Native Hawaiians have continuously sought access to the ceded lands in order to

establish and maintain native settlements and distinct native communities throughout the State:

- (10) the Hawaiian Home Lands and other ceded lands provide an important foundation for the ability of the Native Hawaiian community to maintain the practice of Native Hawaiian culture, language, and traditions, and for the survival and economic self-sufficiency of the Native Hawaiian people;
- (11) Native Hawaiians continue to maintain other distinctly native areas in Hawaii;
- (12) on November 23, 1993, Public Law 103–150 (107 Stat. 1510) (commonly known as the "Apology Resolution") was enacted into law, extending an apology on behalf of the United States to the native people of Hawaii for the United States' role in the overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii;
- (13) the Apology Resolution acknowledges that the overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii occurred with the active participation of agents and citizens of the United States and further acknowledges that the Native Hawaiian people never directly relinquished to the United States their claims to their inherent sovereignty as a people over their national lands, either through the Kingdom of Hawaii or through a plebiscite or referendum;
- (14) the Apology Resolution expresses the commitment of Congress and the President—
 (A) to acknowledge the ramifications of
- the overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii;
 (B) to support reconciliation efforts be-
- (B) to support reconciliation efforts between the United States and Native Hawaiians; and
- (C) to consult with Native Hawaiians on the reconciliation process as called for in the Apology Resolution;
- (15) despite the overthrow of the government of the Kingdom of Hawaii, Native Hawaiians have continued to maintain their separate identity as a distinct native community through cultural, social, and political institutions, and to give expression to their rights as native people to self-determination, self-governance, and economic self-sufficiency;
- (16) Native Hawaiians have also given expression to their rights as native people to self-determination, self-governance, and economic self-sufficiency—
- (A) through the provision of governmental services to Native Hawaiians, including the provision of—
 - (i) health care services;
 - (ii) educational programs;
 - (iii) employment and training programs;
- (iv) economic development assistance programs;
 - (v) children's services;
 - (vi) conservation programs;
 - (vii) fish and wildlife protection;
 - (viii) agricultural programs;
- (ix) native language immersion programs;
- (x) native language immersion schools from kindergarten through high school;
- (xi) college and master's degree programs in native language immersion instruction;
 - (xii) traditional justice programs, and
- (B) by continuing their efforts to enhance Native Hawaiian self-determination and local control;
- (17) Native Hawaiians are actively engaged in Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traditional agricultural methods, fishing and subsistence practices, maintenance of cultural use areas and sacred sites, protection of burial sites, and the exercise of their traditional rights to gather medicinal plants and herbs, and food sources:
- (18) the Native Hawaiian people wish to preserve, develop, and transmit to future generations of Native Hawaiians their lands and Native Hawaiian political and cultural identity in accordance with their traditions, beliefs, customs and practices, language, and social and political institutions, to control

and manage their own lands, including ceded lands, and to achieve greater self-determination over their own affairs;

(19) this Act provides a process within the framework of Federal law for the Native Hawaiian people to exercise their inherent rights as a distinct, indigenous, native community to reorganize a Native Hawaiian governing entity for the purpose of giving expression to their rights as native people to self-determination and self-governance;

(20) Congress—

- (A) has declared that the United States has a special responsibility for the welfare of the native peoples of the United States, including Native Hawaiians:
- (B) has identified Native Hawaiians as a distinct group of indigenous, native people of the United States within the scope of its authority under the Constitution, and has enacted scores of statutes on their behalf: and
- (C) has delegated broad authority to the State of Hawaii to administer some of the United States' responsibilities as they relate to the Native Hawaiian people and their lands:
- (21) the United States has recognized and reaffirmed the special political and legal relationship with the Native Hawaiian people through the enactment of the Act entitled, "An Act to provide for the admission of the State of Hawaii into the Union", approved March 18, 1959 (Public Law 86-3; 73 Stat. 4), by—
- (A) ceding to the State of Hawaii title to the public lands formerly held by the United States, and mandating that those lands be held as a public trust for 5 purposes, 1 of which is for the betterment of the conditions of Native Hawaiians; and
- (B) transferring the United States' responsibility for the administration of the Hawaiian Home Lands to the State of Hawaii, but retaining the authority to enforce the trust, including the exclusive right of the United States to consent to any actions affecting the lands that comprise the corpus of the trust and any amendments to the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920 (42 Stat. 108, chapter 42) that are enacted by the legislature of the State of Hawaii affecting the beneficiaries under the Act:
- (22) the United States has continually recognized and reaffirmed that—
- (A) Native Hawaiians have a cultural, historic, and land-based link to the aboriginal, indigenous, native people who exercised sovereignty over the Hawaiian Islands;
- (B) Native Hawaiians have never relinquished their claims to sovereignty or their sovereign lands;
- (C) the United States extends services to Native Hawaiians because of their unique status as the indigenous, native people of a once-sovereign nation with whom the United States has a political and legal relationship; and
- (D) the special trust relationship of American Indians, Alaska Natives, and Native Hawaiians to the United States arises out of their status as aboriginal, indigenous, native people of the United States; and
- (23) the State of Hawaii supports the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the United States as evidenced by 2 unanimous resolutions enacted by the Hawaii State Legislature in the 2000 and 2001 sessions of the Legislature and by the testimony of the Governor of the State of Hawaii before the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate on February 25, 2003.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) ABORIGINAL, INDIGENOUS, NATIVE PEO-PLE.—The term "aboriginal, indigenous, native people" means people whom Congress

- has recognized as the original inhabitants of the lands that later became part of the United States and who exercised sovereignty in the areas that later became part of the United States.
- (2) ADULT MEMBER.—The term "adult member" means a Native Hawaiian who has attained the age of 18 and who elects to participate in the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity.
- (3) APOLOGY RESOLUTION.—The term "Apology Resolution" means Public Law 103–150, (107 Stat. 1510), a Joint Resolution extending an apology to Native Hawaiians on behalf of the United States for the participation of agents of the United States in the January 17, 1893, overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii.
- (4) COMMISSION.—The term "commission" means the Commission established under section 7(b) to provide for the certification that those adult members of the Native Hawaiian community listed on the roll meet the definition of Native Hawaiian set forth in paragraph (8).
- (5) COUNCIL.—The term "council" means the Native Hawaiian Interim Governing Council established under section 7(c)(2).
- (6) INDIGENOUS, NATIVE PEOPLE.—The term "indigenous, native people" means the lineal descendants of the aboriginal, indigenous, native people of the United States.
- (7) INTERAGENCY COORDINATING GROUP.—The term "Interagency Coordinating Group" means the Native Hawaiian Interagency Coordinating Group established under section 6
- (8) Native Hawahan.—For the purpose of establishing the roll authorized under section 7(c)(1) and before the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the United States and the Native Hawaiian governing entity, the term "Native Hawaiian" means—
- (A) an individual who is one of the indigenous, native people of Hawaii and who is a direct lineal descendant of the aboriginal, indigenous, native people who—
- (i) resided in the islands that now comprise the State of Hawaii on or before January 1, 1893; and
- (ii) occupied and exercised sovereignty in the Hawaiian archipelago, including the area that now constitutes the State of Hawaii; or
- (B) an individual who is one of the indigenous, native people of Hawaii and who was eligible in 1921 for the programs authorized by the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act (42 Stat. 108, chapter 42) or a direct lineal descendant of that individual.
- (9) NATIVE HAWAHAN GOVERNING ENTITY.— The term "Native Hawaiian Governing Entity" means the governing entity organized by the Native Hawaiian people pursuant to this Act.
- (10) OFFICE.—The term "Office" means the United States Office for Native Hawaiian Relations established by section 5(a).
- (11) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.

SEC. 4. UNITED STATES POLICY AND PURPOSE.

- (a) POLICY.—The United States reaffirms that—
- (1) Native Hawaiians are a unique and distinct, indigenous, native people with whom the United States has a special political and legal relationship;
- (2) the United States has a special political and legal relationship with the Native Hawaiian people which includes promoting the welfare of Native Hawaiians;
- (3) Congress possesses the authority under the Constitution, including but not limited to Article I, section 8, clause 3, to enact legislation to address the conditions of Native Hawaiians and has exercised this authority through the enactment of—
- (A) the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920 (42 Stat. 108, chapter 42);

- (B) the Act entitled "An Act to provide for the admission of the State of Hawaii into the Union", approved March 18, 1959 (Public Law 86-3, 73 Stat. 4); and
- (C) more than 150 other Federal laws addressing the conditions of Native Hawaiians;
- (4) Native Hawaiians have—
- (A) an inherent right to autonomy in their internal affairs;
- (B) an inherent right of self-determination and self-governance;
- (C) the right to reorganize a Native Hawaiian governing entity; and
- (D) the right to become economically self-sufficient; and
- (5) the United States shall continue to engage in a process of reconciliation and political relations with the Native Hawaiian people.
- (b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act is to provide a process for the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the United States and the Native Hawaiian governing entity for purposes of continuing a government-to-government relationship.

SEC. 5. UNITED STATES OFFICE FOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN RELATIONS.

- (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Office of the Secretary, the United States Office for Native Hawaiian Relations.
 - (b) DUTIES.—The Office shall—
- (1) continue the process of reconciliation with the Native Hawaiian people in furtherance of the Apology Resolution:
- (2) upon the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the United States, effectuate and coordinate the special political and legal relationship between the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the United States through the Secretary, and with all other Federal agencies;
- (3) fully integrate the principle and practice of meaningful, regular, and appropriate consultation with the Native Hawaiian governing entity by providing timely notice to, and consulting with, the Native Hawaiian people and the Native Hawaiian governing entity before taking any actions that may have the potential to significantly affect Native Hawaiian resources, rights, or lands;
- (4) consult with the Interagency Coordinating Group, other Federal agencies, the Governor of the State of Hawaii and relevant agencies of the State of Hawaii on policies, practices, and proposed actions affecting Native Hawaiian resources, rights, or lands; and
- (5) prepare and submit to the Committee on Indian Affairs and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives an annual report detailing the activities of the Interagency Coordinating Group that are undertaken with respect to the continuing process of reconciliation and to effect meaningful consultation with the Native Hawaiian governing entity and providing recommendations for any necessary changes to Federal law or regulations promulgated under the authority of Federal law.

SEC. 6. NATIVE HAWAIIAN INTERAGENCY CO-ORDINATING GROUP.

- (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—In recognition that Federal programs authorized to address the conditions of Native Hawaiians are largely administered by Federal agencies other than the Department of the Interior, there is established an interagency coordinating group to be known as the "Native Hawaiian Interagency Coordinating Group".
- (b) COMPOSITION.—The Interagency Coordinating Group shall be composed of officials, to be designated by the President, from—

- (1) each Federal agency that administers Native Hawaiian programs, establishes or implements policies that affect Native Hawaiians, or whose actions may significantly or uniquely impact Native Hawaiian resources, rights, or lands; and
 - (2) the Office.
 - (c) LEAD AGENCY.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Department of the Interior shall serve as the lead agency of the Interagency Coordinating Group.
- (2) MEETINGS.—The Secretary shall convene meetings of the Interagency Coordinating Group.
- (d) DUTIES.—The Interagency Coordinating Group shall—
- (1) coordinate Federal programs and policies that affect Native Hawaiians or actions by any agency or agencies of the Federal Government that may significantly or uniquely affect Native Hawaiian resources, rights, or lands;
- (2) ensure that each Federal agency develops a policy on consultation with the Native Hawaiian people, and upon the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the United States, consultation with the Native Hawaiian governing entity; and
- (3) ensure the participation of each Federal agency in the development of the report to Congress authorized in section 5(b)(5).
- SEC. 7. PROCESS FOR THE REORGANIZATION OF THE NATIVE HAWAIIAN GOVERNING ENTITY AND THE REAFFIRMATION OF THE POLITICAL AND LEGAL RE-LATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE NATIVE HAWAIIAN GOVERNING ENTITY.
- (a) RECOGNITION OF THE NATIVE HAWAIIAN GOVERNING ENTITY.—The right of the Native Hawaiian people to reorganize the Native Hawaiian governing entity to provide for their common welfare and to adopt appropriate organic governing documents is recognized by the United States.
 - (b) COMMISSION.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be established a Commission to be composed of nine members for the purposes of—
- (A) preparing and maintaining a roll of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community who elect to participate in the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity; and
- (B) certifying that the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community proposed for inclusion on the roll meet the definition of Native Hawaiian in paragraph (8) of section 3.
 - (2) Membership.—
- (A) APPOINTMENT.—Within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall appoint the members of the Commission in accordance with subclause (B). Any vacancy on the Commission shall not affect its powers and shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.
- (B) REQUIREMENTS.—The members of the Commission shall be Native Hawaiian, as defined in section 3(8), and shall have expertise in the determination of Native Hawaiian ancestry and lineal descendancy.
- (3) EXPENSES.—Each member of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission.
- (4) DUTIES.—The Commission shall—
- (A) prepare and maintain a roll of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community who elect to participate in the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity; and
- (B) certify that each of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community proposed

for inclusion on the roll meets the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8).

(5) STAFF.—

- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission may, without regard to the civil service laws (including regulations), appoint and terminate an executive director and such other additional personnel as are necessary to enable the Commission to perform the duties of the Commission.
- (B) COMPENSATION.-
- (i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), the Commission may fix the compensation of the executive director and other personnel without regard to the provisions of chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code, relating to classification of positions and General Schedule pay rates.
- (ii) MAXIMUM RATE OF PAY.—The rate of pay for the executive director and other personnel shall not exceed the rate payable for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5. United States Code.
- (6) DETAIL OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—An employee of the Federal Government may be detailed to the Commission without reimbursement.
- (B) CIVIL SERVICE STATUS.—The detail of the employee shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.
- (7) PROCUREMENT OF TEMPORARY AND INTER-MITTENT SERVICES.—The Commission may procure temporary and intermittent services in accordance with section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, at rates for individuals that do not exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of that title.
- (8) EXPIRATION.—The Secretary shall dissolve the Commission upon the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the United States.
- (c) Process for the Reorganization of the Native Hawaiian Governing Entity.—
 - (1) Roll.
- (A) CONTENTS.—The roll shall include the names of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community who elect to participate in the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity and are certified to be Native Hawaiian as defined in section 3(8) by the Commission.
- (B) FORMATION OF ROLL.—Each adult member of the Native Hawaiian community who elects to participate in the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity shall submit to the Commission documentation in the form established by the Commission that is sufficient to enable the Commission to determine whether the individual meets the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8).
- (C) DOCUMENTATION.—The Commission shall—
- (i) identify the types of documentation that may be submitted to the Commission that would enable the Commission to determine whether an individual meets the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8);
- (ii) establish a standard format for the submission of documentation; and
- (iii) publish information related to clauses(i) and (ii) in the Federal Register;
- (D) CONSULTATION.—In making determinations that each of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community proposed for inclusion on the roll meets the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8), the Commission may consult with Native Hawaiian organizations, agencies of the State of Hawaii including but not limited to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, and the State Department of Health, and other entities with expertise and

- experience in the determination of Native Hawaiian ancestry and lineal descendancy.
- (E) CERTIFICATION AND SUBMITTAL OF ROLL TO SECRETARY.—The Commission shall—
- (i) submit the roll containing the names of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community who meet the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8) to the Secretary within two years from the date on which the Commission is fully composed; and
- (ii) certify to the Secretary that each of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community proposed for inclusion on the roll meets the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8).
- (F) PUBLICATION.—Upon certification by the Commission to the Secretary that those listed on the roll meet the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8), the Secretary shall publish the roll in the Federal Register.
- (G) APPEAL.—The Secretary may establish a mechanism for an appeal for any person whose name is excluded from the roll who claims to meet the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8) and to be 18 years of age or older.
- (H) PUBLICATION; UPDATE.—The Secretary shall—
- (i) publish the roll regardless of whether appeals are pending;
- (ii) update the roll and the publication of the roll on the final disposition of any appeal;
- (iii) update the roll to include any Native Hawaiian who has attained the age of 18 and who has been certified by the Commission as meeting the definition of Native Hawaiian in section 3(8) after the initial publication of the roll or after any subsequent publications of the roll.
- (I) FAILURE TO ACT.—If the Secretary fails to publish the roll, not later than 90 days after the date on which the roll is submitted to the Secretary, the Commission shall publish the roll notwithstanding any order or directive issued by the Secretary or any other official of the Department of the Interior to the contrary.
- (J) EFFECT OF PUBLICATION.—The publication of the initial and updated roll shall serve as the basis for the eligibility of adult members of the Native Hawaiian community whose names are listed on those rolls to participate in the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity.
- (2) ORGANIZATION OF THE NATIVE HAWAIIAN INTERIM GOVERNING COUNCIL.—
- (A) ORGANIZATION.—The adult members of the Native Hawaiian community listed on the roll published under this section may—
- (i) develop criteria for candidates to be elected to serve on the Native Hawaiian Interim Governing Council:
- (ii) determine the structure of the Council; and
- (iii) elect members from individuals listed on the roll published under this subsection to the Council.
 - (B) Powers.—
 - (i) IN GENERAL.—The Council—
- (I) may represent those listed on the roll published under this section in the implementation of this Act; and
- $({\rm II})$ shall have no powers other than powers given to the Council under this Act.
- (ii) FUNDING.—The Council may enter into a contract with, or obtain a grant from, any Federal or State agency to carry out clause (iii).
 - (iii) ACTIVITIES.—
- (I) IN GENERAL.—The Council may conduct a referendum among the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community listed on the roll published under this subsection for the purpose of determining the proposed elements of the organic governing documents of the Native Hawaiian governing entity, including but not limited to—

- (aa) the proposed criteria for citizenship of the Native Hawaiian governing entity;
- (bb) the proposed powers and authorities to be exercised by the Native Hawaiian governing entity, as well as the proposed privileges and immunities of the Native Hawaiian governing entity;
- (cc) the proposed civil rights and protection of the rights of the citizens of the Native Hawaiian governing entity and all persons affected by the exercise of governmental powers and authorities of the Native Hawaiian governing entity; and
- (dd) other issues determined appropriate by the Council.
- (II) DEVELOPMENT OF ORGANIC GOVERNING DOCUMENTS.—Based on the referendum, the Council may develop proposed organic governing documents for the Native Hawaiian governing entity.
- (III) DISTRIBUTION.—The Council may distribute to all adult members of the Native Hawaiian community listed on the roll published under this subsection—
- (aa) a copy of the proposed organic governing documents, as drafted by the Council; and
- (bb) a brief impartial description of the proposed organic governing documents;
- (IV) ELECTIONS.—The Council may hold elections for the purpose of ratifying the proposed organic governing documents, and on certification of the organic governing documents by the Secretary in accordance with paragraph (4), hold elections of the officers of the Native Hawaiian governing entity pursuant to paragraph (5).
- (3) SUBMITTAL OF ORGANIC GOVERNING DOCU-MENTS.—Following the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian governing entity and the adoption of organic governing documents, the Council shall submit the organic governing documents of the Native Hawaiian governing entity to the Secretary.
 - (4) CERTIFICATIONS.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Within the context of the future negotiations to be conducted under the authority of section 8(b)(1), and the subsequent actions by the Congress and the State of Hawaii to enact legislation to implement the agreements of the 3 governments, not later than 90 days after the date on which the Council submits the organic governing documents to the Secretary, the Secretary shall certify that the organic governing documents—
- (i) establish the criteria for citizenship in the Native Hawaiian governing entity;
- (ii) were adopted by a majority vote of the adult members of the Native Hawaiian community whose names are listed on the roll published by the Secretary;
- (iii) provide authority for the Native Hawaiian governing entity to negotiate with Federal, State, and local governments, and other entities:
- (iv) provide for the exercise of governmental authorities by the Native Hawaiian governing entity, including any authorities that may be delegated to the Native Hawaiian governing entity by the United States and the State of Hawaii following negotiations authorized in section 8(b)(1) and the enactment of legislation to implement the agreements of the 3 governments;
- (v) prevent the sale, disposition, lease, or encumbrance of lands, interests in lands, or other assets of the Native Hawaiian governing entity without the consent of the Native Hawaiian governing entity:
- (vi) provide for the protection of the civil rights of the citizens of the Native Hawaiian governing entity and all persons affected by the exercise of governmental powers and authorities by the Native Hawaiian governing entity; and
- (vii) are consistent with applicable Federal law and the special political and legal rela-

- tionship between the United States and the indigenous, native people of the United States; provided that the provisions of Public Law 103-454, 25 U.S.C. 479a, shall not apply.
- (B) RESUBMISSION IN CASE OF NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF SUBPARAGRAPH (A).—
- (i) RESUBMISSION BY THE SECRETARY.—If the Secretary determines that the organic governing documents, or any part of the documents, do not meet all of the requirements set forth in subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall resubmit the organic governing documents to the Council, along with a justification for each of the Secretary's findings as to why the provisions are not in full compliance.
- (ii) AMENDMENT AND RESUBMISSION OF OR-GANIC GOVERNING DOCUMENTS.—If the organic governing documents are resubmitted to the Council by the Secretary under clause (i), the Council shall—
- (I) amend the organic governing documents to ensure that the documents meet all the requirements set forth in subparagraph (A); and
- (II) resubmit the amended organic governing documents to the Secretary for certification in accordance with this paragraph.
- (C) CERTIFICATIONS DEEMED MADE.—The certifications under paragraph (4) shall be deemed to have been made if the Secretary has not acted within 90 days after the date on which the Council has submitted the organic governing documents of the Native Hawaiian governing entity to the Secretary.
- (5) ELECTIONS.—On completion of the certifications by the Secretary under paragraph (4), the Council may hold elections of the officers of the Native Hawaiian governing entity
- (6) REAFFIRMATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon the certifications required under paragraph (4) and the election of the officers of the Native Hawaiian governing entity, the political and legal relationship between the United States and the Native Hawaiian governing entity is hereby reaffirmed and the United States extends Federal recognition to the Native Hawaiian governing entity as the representative governing body of the Native Hawaiian people.

SEC. 8. REAFFIRMATION OF DELEGATION OF FEDERAL AUTHORITY; NEGOTIATIONS; CLAIMS.

- (a) REAFFIRMATION.—The delegation by the United States of authority to the State of Hawaii to address the conditions of the indigenous, native people of Hawaii contained in the Act entitled "An Act to provide for the admission of the State of Hawaii into the Union" approved March 18, 1959 (Public Law 86–3, 73 Stat. 4), is reaffirmed.
 - (b) Negotiations.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Upon the reaffirmation of the political and legal relationship between the United States and the Native Hawaiian governing entity, the United States and the State of Hawaii may enter into negotiations with the Native Hawaiian governing entity designed to lead to an agreement addressing such matters as—
- (A) the transfer of lands, natural resources, and other assets, and the protection of existing rights related to such lands or resources;
- (B) the exercise of governmental authority over any transferred lands, natural resources, and other assets, including land use;
- (C) the exercise of civil and criminal jurisdiction:
- (D) the delegation of governmental powers and authorities to the Native Hawaiian governing entity by the United States and the State of Hawaii; and
- (E) any residual responsibilities of the United States and the State of Hawaii.

- (2) AMENDMENTS TO EXISTING LAWS.—Upon agreement on any matter or matters negotiated with the United States, the State of Hawaii, and the Native Hawaiian governing entity, the parties are authorized to submit—
- (A) to the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate, and the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives, recommendations for proposed amendments to Federal law that will enable the implementation of agreements reached between the 3 governments; and
- (B) to the Governor and the legislature of the State of Hawaii, recommendations for proposed amendments to State law that will enable the implementation of agreements reached between the 3 governments.
 - (c) CLAIMS.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this Act serves as a settlement of any claim against the United States.
- (2) STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.—Any claim against the United States arising under Federal law that—
- (A) is in existence on the date of enactment of this Act:
- (B) is asserted by the Native Hawaiian governing entity on behalf of the Native Hawaiian people; and
- (C) relates to the legal and political relationship between the United States and the Native Hawaiian people;
- shall be brought in the court of jurisdiction over such claims not later than 20 years after the date on which Federal recognition is extended to the Native Hawaiian governing entity under section 7(c)(6).

SEC. 9. APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN FEDERAL LAWS.

- (a) INDIAN GAMING REGULATORY ACT.— Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize the Native Hawaiian governing entity to conduct gaming activities under the authority of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.).
- (b) BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS.—Nothing contained in this Act provides an authorization for eligibility to participate in any programs and services provided by the Bureau of Indian Affairs for any persons not otherwise eligible for the programs or services.

SEC. 10. SEVERABILITY.

If any section or provision of this Act is held invalid, it is the intent of Congress that the remaining sections or provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

SEC. 11. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are necessary to carry out this Act.

Mr. INOUYE. Mr. President, I am pleased to join my colleague, Senator AKAKA, as a cosponsor of the Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act.

Having served on the Indian Affairs Committee for the past 27 years, I know that most of our colleagues are more familiar with conditions and circumstances in Indian country, and naturally, they bring their experience with Indian country to bear in considering this measure, which has been pending in the Senate for the past six years.

Accordingly, Mr. President, I believe it is important that our colleagues understand what this bill seeks to accomplish as well as how it differs from legislation affecting Indian country.

It is a little known fact that beginning in 1910 and since that time, the

Congress has passed and the President has signed into law over 160 Federal laws designed to address the conditions of Native Hawaiians.

Thus, Federal laws which authorize the provision of health care, education, housing, and job training and employment services, as well as programs to provide for the preservation of the Native Hawaiian language, Native language immersion, Native cultural and grave protections and repatriation of Native sacred objects have been in place for decades.

The Native Hawaiian programs do not draw upon funding that is appropriated for American Indians or Alaska Natives—there are separate authorizations for programs that are administered by different Federal agencies—not the Bureau of Indian Affairs or the Indian Health Service, for instance—and the Native Hawaiian program funds are not drawn from the Interior Appropriations Subcommittee account. Thus, they have no impact on the funding that is provided for the other indigenous, native people of the United States.

However, unlike the native people residing on the mainland, Native Hawaiians have not been able to exercise their rights as Native people to self-determination or self-governance because their government was overthrown on January 17, 1893.

This bill would provide a process for the reorganization of the Native Hawaiian government and the resumption of a political and legal relationship between that government and the government of the United States.

Because the Native Hawaiian government is not an Indian tribe, the body of Federal Indian law that would otherwise customarily apply when the United States extends Federal recognition to an Indian tribal group does not apply.

Thus, the bill provides authority for a process of negotiations amongst the United States, the State of Hawaii, and the reorganized Native Hawaiian government to address such matters as the exercise of civil and criminal jurisdiction by the respective governments, the transfer of land and natural resources and other assets, and the exercise of governmental authority over those lands, natural resources and other assets.

Upon reaching agreement, the U.S. Congress and the legislature of State of Hawaii would have to enact legislation implementing the agreements of the three governments, including amendments that will necessarily have to be made to existing Federal law, such as the Hawaii Admissions Act and the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, and to State law, including amendments to the Hawaii State Constitution, before any of the new governmental relationships and authorities can take effect.

That is why concerns which are premised on the manner in which Federal Indian law provides for the respective governmental authorities of the state governments and Indian tribal governments simply don't apply in Hawaii.

Our state government, both the Governor and the state legislature of Hawaii, fully support enactment of this measure. They will be at the table with the United States and the Native Hawaiian government to shape the relationships amongst governments that will best serve the needs and interests not only of the Native Hawaiian community but those of all of the citizens of Hawaii.

Mr. President, we have every confidence that consistent with the Federal policy of the last 35 years, the restoration of the rights to self-determination and self-governance will enable the Native Hawaiian people, as the direct, lineal descendants of the aboriginal, indigenous native people of what has become our nation's fiftieth state, to take their rightful place in the family of governments that makes up our constitutional system of governance.

By Mr. McCAIN (for himself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. DORGAN):

S. 148. A bill to establish a United States Boxing Commission to administer the Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, today I am pleased to be joined by Senators STEVENS and DORGAN in introducing the Professional Boxing Amendments Act of 2005. This legislation is virtually identical to a measure approved unanimously by the Senate last year. I remain committed to moving the Profes-Boxing Amendments sional through the Senate and I trust that my colleagues will once again vote favorably on this important legislation. Simply put, this legislation would better protect professional boxing from the fraud, corruption, and ineffective regulation that have plagued the sport for far too many years, and that have devastated physically and financially many of our Nation's professional box-

For almost a decade, Congress has made efforts to improve the sport of professional boxing—and for very good reason. With rare exception, professional boxers come from the lowest rung on our economic ladder. They are the least educated and most exploited athletes in our Nation. The Professional Boxing Safety Act of 1996 and the Muhammad Ali Boxing Reform Act of 2000 established uniform health and safety standards for professional boxers, as well as basic protections for boxers against the sometimes coercive, exploitative, and unethical business practices of promoters, managers, and sanctioning organizations. But further action is needed.

The Professional Boxing Amendments Act would strengthen existing Federal boxing law by improving the basic health and safety standards for professional boxers, establishing a centralized medical registry to be used by

local commissions to protect boxers, reducing the arbitrary practices of sanctioning organizations, and enhancing the uniformity and basic standards for professional boxing contracts. Most importantly, this legislation would establish a Federal regulatory entity to oversee professional boxing and set basic uniform standards for certain aspects of the sport.

Current Federal boxing law has improved to some extent the state of professional boxing. However, I remain concerned, as do many others, that the sport remains at risk. Some State and tribal boxing commissions still to this day do not comply with Federal boxing law, and there is still a troubling lack of enforcement of the law by both Federal and State officials. Indeed, professional boxing remains the only major sport in the United States that does not have a strong, centralized association, league, or other regulatory body to establish and enforce uniform rules and practices. Because a powerful few benefit greatly from the current system of patchwork compliance and enforcement of Federal boxing law, a national self-regulating organization though preferable to Federal government oversight—is not a realistic op-

Ineffective and inconsistent oversight of professional boxing has contributed to the continuing scandals, controversies, unethical practices, and unnecessary deaths in the sport. These problems have led many in professional boxing to conclude that the only solution is an effective and accountable Federal boxing commission. The Professional Boxing Amendments Act would create such an entity.

This bill would establish the United States Boxing Commission (USBC or Commission). The Commission would be responsible for protecting the health, safety, and general interests of professional boxers. The USBC would also be responsible for ensuring uniformity, fairness, and integrity in professional boxing. More specifically, the Commission would administer Federal boxing law and coordinate with other Federal regulatory agencies to ensure that this law is enforced; oversee all professional boxing matches in the United States; and work with the boxing industry and local commissions to improve the safety, integrity, and professionalism of professional boxing in the United States.

The USBC would also license boxers, promoters, managers, and sanctioning organizations. The Commission would have the authority to revoke such a license for violations of Federal boxing law, to stop unethical or illegal conduct, to protect the health and safety of a boxer, or if the revocation is otherwise in the public interest.

It is important to state clearly and plainly for the record that the purpose of the USBC is not to interfere with the daily operations of State and tribal boxing commissions. Instead, the Commission would work in consultation

with local commissions, and it would only exercise its authority when reasonable grounds exist for such intervention. In point of fact, the Professional Boxing Amendments Act states explicitly that it would not prohibit any boxing commission from exercising any of its powers, duties, or functions with respect to the regulation or supervision of professional boxing to the extent not inconsistent with the provisions of Federal boxing law.

Let there be no doubt, however, of the very basic and pressing need in professional boxing for a Federal boxing commission. The establishment of the USBC would address that need.

The problems that plague the sport of professional boxing undermine the credibility of the sport in the eyes of the public—and more importantly—compromise the safety of boxers. The Professional Boxing Amendments Act provides an effective approach to curbing these problems. I again urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of this bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 148

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Professional Boxing Amendments Act of 2005".
- (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
 - Sec. 2. Amendment of Professional Boxing Safety Act of 1996.
 - Sec. 3. Definitions.
 - Sec. 4. Purposes.
 - Sec. 5. United States Boxing Commission approval, or ABC or commission sanction, required for matches.
 - Sec. 6. Safety standards.
 - Sec. 7. Registration.
 - Sec. 8. Review.
 - Sec. 9. Reporting.
 - Sec. 10. Contract requirements.
 - Sec. 11. Coercive contracts.
 - Sec. 12. Sanctioning organizations.
 - Sec. 13. Required disclosures by sanctioning organizations.
 - Sec. 14. Required disclosures by promoters and broadcasters.
 - Sec. 15. Judges and referees.
 - Sec. 16. Medical registry.
 - Sec. 17. Conflicts of interest.
 - Sec. 18. Enforcement.
 - Sec. 19. Repeal of deadwood.
 - Sec. 20. Recognition of tribal law.
 - Sec. 21. Establishment of United States Boxing Commission.
 - Sec. 22. Study and report on definition of promoter.
 - Sec. 23. Effective date.

SEC. 2. AMENDMENT OF PROFESSIONAL BOXING SAFETY ACT OF 1996.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this title an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Professional Boxing Safety Act of 1996 (15 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.).

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2 (15 U.S.C. 6301) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

- "In this Act:
- "(1) COMMISSION.—The term 'Commission' means the United States Boxing Commission.
- "(2) BOUT AGREEMENT.—The term 'bout agreement' means a contract between a promoter and a boxer that requires the boxer to participate in a professional boxing match for a particular date.
- "(3) BOXER.—The term 'boxer' means an individual who fights in a professional boxing
- "(4) BOXING COMMISSION.—The term 'boxing commission' means an entity authorized under State or tribal law to regulate professional boxing matches.
- "(5) BOXER REGISTRY.—The term 'boxer registry' means any entity certified by the Commission for the purposes of maintaining records and identification of boxers.
- "(6) BOXING SERVICE PROVIDER.—The term 'boxing service provider' means a promoter, manager, sanctioning body, licensee, or matchmaker.
- "(7) CONTRACT PROVISION.—The term 'contract provision' means any legal obligation between a boxer and a boxing service provider.
- "(8) INDIAN LANDS; INDIAN TRIBE.—The terms 'Indian lands' and 'Indian tribe' have the meanings given those terms by paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively, of section 4 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2703).
- "(9) LICENSEE.—The term 'licensee' means an individual who serves as a trainer, corner man, second, or cut man for a boxer.
- "(10) Manager.—The term 'manager' means a person other than a promoter who, under contract, agreement, or other arrangement with a boxer, undertakes to control or administer, directly or indirectly, a boxing-related matter on behalf of that boxer, including a person who is a booking agent for a boxer.
- "(11) MATCHMAKER.—The term 'matchmaker' means a person that proposes, selects, and arranges for boxers to participate in a professional boxing match.
- "(12) PHYSICIAN.—The term 'physician' means a doctor of medicine legally authorized to practice medicine by the State in which the physician performs such function or action and who has training and experience in dealing with sports injuries, particularly head trauma.
- "(13) PROFESSIONAL BOXING MATCH.—The term 'professional boxing match' means a boxing contest held in the United States between individuals for financial compensation. The term 'professional boxing match' does not include a boxing contest that is regulated by a duly recognized amateur sports organization, as approved by the Commission.
- "(14) PROMOTER.—The term 'promoter'—
- "(A) means the person primarily responsible for organizing, promoting, and producing a professional boxing match; but
- "(B) does not include a hotel, casino, resort, or other commercial establishment hosting or sponsoring a professional boxing match unless—
- "(i) the hotel, casino, resort, or other commercial establishment is primarily responsible for organizing, promoting, and producing the match; and
- "(ii) there is no other person primarily responsible for organizing, promoting, and producing the match.
- "(15) PROMOTIONAL AGREEMENT.—The term 'promotional agreement' means a contract, for the acquisition of rights relating to a

- boxer's participation in a professional boxing match or series of boxing matches (including the right to sell, distribute, exhibit, or license the match or matches), with—
- "(A) the boxer who is to participate in the match or matches: or
- "(B) the nominee of a boxer who is to participate in the match or matches, or the nominee is an entity that is owned, controlled or held in trust for the boxer unless that nominee or entity is a licensed promoter who is conveying a portion of the rights previously acquired.
- "(16) STATE.—The term 'State' means each of the 50 States, Puerto Rico, the District of Columbia, and any territory or possession of the United States, including the Virgin Islands.
- "(17) SANCTIONING ORGANIZATION.—The term 'sanctioning organization' means an organization, other than a boxing commission, that sanctions professional boxing matches, ranks professional boxers, or charges a sanctioning fee for professional boxing matches in the United States—
- "(A) between boxers who are residents of different States; or
- "(B) that are advertised, otherwise promoted, or broadcast (including closed circuit television) in interstate commerce.
- "(18) SUSPENSION.—The term 'suspension' includes within its meaning the temporary revocation of a boxing license.
- "(19) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term 'tribal organization' has the same meaning as in section 4(1) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b(1))."
- (b) Conforming Amendment.—Section 21 (15 U.S.C. 6312) is amended to read as follows: "SEC. 21. PROFESSIONAL BOXING MATCHES CONDUCTED ON INDIAN LANDS.
- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a tribal organization may establish a boxing commission to regulate professional boxing matches held on Indian land under the jurisdiction of that tribal organization.
- "(b) STANDARDS AND LICENSING.—A tribal organization that establishes a boxing commission shall, by tribal ordinance or resolution, establish and provide for the implementation of health and safety standards, licensing requirements, and other requirements relating to the conduct of professional boxing matches that are at least as restrictive as—
- "(1) the otherwise applicable requirements of the State in which the Indian land on which the professional boxing match is held is located; or
- "(2) the guidelines established by the United States Boxing Commission.
- "(c) APPLICATION OF ACT TO BOXING MATCHES ON TRIBAL LANDS.—The provisions of this Act apply to professional boxing matches held on tribal lands to the same extent and in the same way as they apply to professional boxing matches held in any State."

SEC. 4. PURPOSES.

Section 3(2) (15 U.S.C. 6302(2)) is amended by striking "State".

SEC. 5. UNITED STATES BOXING COMMISSION AP-PROVAL, OR ABC OR COMMISSION SANCTION, REQUIRED FOR MATCHES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 4 (15 U.S.C. 6303) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 4. APPROVAL OR SANCTION REQUIREMENT.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—No person may arrange, promote, organize, produce, or fight in a professional boxing match within the United States unless the match—
 - "(1) is approved by the Commission; and
- "(2) is held in a State, or on tribal land of a tribal organization, that regulates professional boxing matches in accordance with

standards and criteria established by the Commission.

"(b) Approval Presumed.—

- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subsection (a), the Commission shall be presumed to have approved any match other than—
- "(A) a match with respect to which the Commission has been informed of an alleged violation of this Act and with respect to which it has notified the supervising boxing commission that it does not approve;
- "(B) a match advertised to the public as a championship match:
- "(C) a match scheduled for 10 rounds or more; or
- "(D) a match in which 1 of the boxers has—
- "(i) suffered 10 consecutive defeats in professional boxing matches; or
- "(ii) has been knocked out 5 consecutive times in professional boxing matches.
- "(2) DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.— Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Commission shall be presumed to have approved a match described in subparagraph (B), (C), or (D) of paragraph (1) if—
- "(A) the Commission has delegated its approval authority with respect to that match to a boxing commission; and
- "(B) the boxing commission has approved the match.
- "(3) KNOCKED-OUT DEFINED.—Except as may be otherwise provided by the Commission by rule, in paragraph (1)(D)(ii), the term 'knocked out' means knocked down and unable to continue after a count of 10 by the referee or stopped from continuing because of a technical knockout."
- (b) Conforming Amendment.—Section 19 (15 U.S.C. 6310) is repealed.

SEC. 6. SAFETY STANDARDS.

Section 5 (15 U.S.C. 6304) is amended—

- (1) by striking "requirements or an alternative requirement in effect under regulations of a boxing commission that provides equivalent protection of the health and safety of boxers:" and inserting "requirements:";
- (2) by adding at the end of paragraph (1) "The examination shall include testing for infectious diseases in accordance with standards established by the Commission.";
- (3) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:
- ``(2) An ambulance continuously present on site.'';
- (4) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively, and inserting after paragraph (2) the following:
- "(3) Emergency medical personnel with appropriate resuscitation equipment continuously present on site."; and
- (5) by striking "match." in paragraph (5), as redesignated, and inserting "match in an amount prescribed by the Commission.".

SEC. 7. REGISTRATION.

Section 6 (15 U.S.C. 6305) is amended—

- (1) by inserting "or Indian tribe" after "State" the second place it appears in subsection (a)(2);
- (2) by striking the first sentence of subsection (c) and inserting "A boxing commission shall, in accordance with requirements established by the Commission, make a health and safety disclosure to a boxer when issuing an identification card to that boxer.":
- (3) by striking "should" in the second sentence of subsection (c) and inserting "shall, at a minimum,"; and
 - (4) by adding at the end the following:
- "(d) COPY OF REGISTRATION AND IDENTIFICA-TION CARDS TO BE SENT TO COMMISSION.—A boxing commission shall furnish a copy of each registration received under subsection (a), and each identification card issued under subsection (b), to the Commission.".

SEC. 8. REVIEW

Section 7 (15 U.S.C. 6306) is amended—

- (1) by striking "that, except as provided in subsection (b), no" in subsection (a)(2) and inserting "that no";
- (2) by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) of subsection (a) and inserting the following:
- "(3) Procedures to review a summary suspension when a hearing before the boxing commission is requested by a boxer, licensee, manager, matchmaker, promoter, or other boxing service provider which provides an opportunity for that person to present evidence.":
 - (3) by striking subsection (b); and
- (4) by striking "(a) PROCEDURES.—".

SEC. 9. REPORTING.

Section 8 (15 U.S.C. 6307) is amended—

- (1) by striking "48 business hours" and inserting "2 business days";
- (2) by striking "bxoing" and inserting "boxing"; and
- (3) by striking "each boxer registry." and inserting "the Commission.".

SEC. 10. CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.

Section 9 (15 U.S.C. 6307a) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 9. CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commission, in consultation with the Association of Boxing Commissions, shall develop guidelines for minimum contractual provisions that shall be included in each bout agreement, boxermanager contract, and promotional agreement. Each boxing commission shall ensure that these minimal contractual provisions are present in any such agreement or contract submitted to it.
- "(b) FILING AND APPROVAL REQUIRE-MENTS —
- "(1) COMMISSION.—A manager or promoter shall submit a copy of each boxer-manager contract and each promotional agreement between that manager or promoter and a boxer to the Commission, and, if requested, to the boxing commission with jurisdiction over the bout.
- "(2) BOXING COMMISSION.—A boxing commission may not approve a professional boxing match unless a copy of the bout agreement related to that match has been filed with it and approved by it.
- "(c) BOND OR OTHER SURETY.—A boxing commission may not approve a professional boxing match unless the promoter of that match has posted a surety bond, cashier's check, letter of credit, cash, or other security with the boxing commission in an amount acceptable to the boxing commission."

SEC. 11. COERCIVE CONTRACTS.

- Section 10 (15 U.S.C. 6307b) is amended—
- (1) by striking paragraph (3) of subsection (a);
- (2) by inserting "OR ELIMINATION" after "MANDATORY" in the heading of subsection (b); and
- (3) by inserting "or elimination" after "mandatory" in subsection (b).

SEC. 12. SANCTIONING ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 11 (15 U.S.C. 6307c) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 11. SANCTIONING ORGANIZATIONS.

- "(a) OBJECTIVE CRITERIA.—Within 1 year after the date of enactment of the Professional Boxing Amendments Act of 2005, the Commission shall develop guidelines for objective and consistent written criteria for the rating of professional boxers based on the athletic merits and professional record of the boxers. Within 90 days after the Commission's promulgation of the guidelines, each sanctioning organization shall adopt the guidelines and follow them.
- "(b) NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN RATING.—A sanctioning organization shall, with respect to a change in the rating of a boxer previously rated by such organization in the top 10 boxers—

- "(1) post a copy, within 7 days after the change, on its Internet website or home page, if any, including an explanation of the change, for a period of not less than 30 days;
- "(2) provide a copy of the rating change and a thorough explanation in writing under penalty of perjury to the boxer and the Commission:
- $\lq\lq(3)$ provide the boxer an opportunity to appeal the ratings change to the sanctioning organization; and
- "(4) apply the objective criteria for ratings required under subsection (a) in considering any such appeal.
- "(c) CHALLENGE OF RATING.—If, after disposing with an appeal under subsection (b)(3), a sanctioning organization receives a petition from a boxer challenging that organization's rating of the boxer, it shall (except to the extent otherwise required by the Commission), within 7 days after receiving the petition—
- "(1) provide to the boxer a written explanation under penalty of perjury of the organization's rating criteria, its rating of the boxer, and the rationale or basis for its rating (including a response to any specific questions submitted by the boxer); and
- "(2) submit a copy of its explanation to the Association of Boxing Commissions and the Commission for their review.".
- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 18(e) (15 U.S.C. 6309(e)) is amended—
- (1) by striking "FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION," in the subsection heading and inserting "UNITED STATES BOXING COMMISSION"; and
- (2) by striking "Federal Trade Commission," in paragraph (1) and inserting "United States Boxing Commission,".

SEC. 13. REQUIRED DISCLOSURES BY SANCTIONING ORGANIZATIONS.

Section 12 (15 U.S.C. 6307d) is amended—

- (1) by striking the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting "Within 7 days after a professional boxing match of 10 rounds or more, the sanctioning organization, if any, for that match shall provide to the Commission, and, if requested, to the boxing commission in the State or on Indian land responsible for regulating the match, a written statement of—";
- (2) by striking "will assess" in paragraph (1) and inserting "has assessed, or will assess."; and
- (3) by striking "will receive" in paragraph (2) and inserting "has received, or will receive,".

SEC. 14. REQUIRED DISCLOSURES BY PRO-MOTERS AND BROADCASTERS.

Section 13 (15 U.S.C. 6307e) is amended-

- (1) by striking "PROMOTERS." in the section caption and inserting "PROMOTERS AND BROADCASTERS.";
- (2) by striking so much of subsection (a) as precedes paragraph (1) and inserting the following:
- "(a) DISCLOSURES TO BOXING COMMISSIONS AND THE COMMISSION.—Within 7 days after a professional boxing match of 10 rounds or more, the promoter of any boxer participating in that match shall provide to the Commission, and, if requested, to the boxing commission in the State or on Indian land responsible for regulating the match—";
- (3) by striking "writing," in subsection (a)(1) and inserting "writing, other than a bout agreement previously provided to the commission,";
- (4) by striking "all fees, charges, and expenses that will be" in subsection (a)(3)(A) and inserting "a written statement of all fees, charges, and expenses that have been, or will be.";
- (5) by inserting "a written statement of" before "all" in subsection (a)(3)(B);
- (6) by inserting "a statement of" before "any" in subsection (a)(3)(C);

- (7) by striking the matter in subsection (b) following "BOXER.—" and preceding paragraph (1) and inserting "Within 7 days after a professional boxing match of 10 rounds or more, the promoter of the match shall provide to each boxer participating in the bout or match with whom the promoter has a bout or promotional agreement a statement of—":
- (8) by striking "match;" in subsection (b)(1) and inserting "match, and that the promoter has paid, or agreed to pay, to any other person in connection with the match;"; and
- (9) by adding at the end the following:
- "(d) REQUIRED DISCLOSURES BY BROAD-CASTERS.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—A broadcaster that owns the television broadcast rights for a professional boxing match of 10 rounds or more shall, within 7 days after that match, provide to the Commission—
- "(A) a statement of any advance, guarantee, or license fee paid or owed by the broadcaster to a promoter in connection with that match;
- "(B) a copy of any contract executed by or on behalf of the broadcaster with—
- "(i) a boxer who participated in that match: or
- "(ii) the boxer's manager, promoter, promotional company, or other representative or the owner or representative of the site of the match; and
- "(C) a list identifying sources of income received from the broadcast of the match.
- "(2) COPY TO BOXING COMMISSION.—Upon request from the boxing commission in the State or Indian land responsible for regulating a match to which paragraph (1) applies, a broadcaster shall provide the information described in paragraph (1) to that boxing commission.
- "(3) CONFIDENTIALITY.—The information provided to the Commission or to a boxing commission pursuant to this subsection shall be confidential and not revealed by the Commission or a boxing commission, except that the Commission may publish an analysis of the data in aggregate form or in a manner which does not disclose confidential information about identifiable broadcasters.
- "(4) TELEVISION BROADCAST RIGHTS.—In paragraph (1), the term 'television broadcast rights' means the right to broadcast the match, or any part thereof, via a broadcast station, cable service, or multichannel video programming distributor as such terms are defined in section 3(5), 602(6), and 602(13) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 153(5), 602(6), and 602(13), respectively)."

SEC. 15. JUDGES AND REFEREES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 16 (15 U.S.C. 6307h) is amended—
- (1) by inserting "(a) LICENSING AND ASSIGNMENT REQUIREMENT.—" before "No person";
- (2) by striking "certified and approved" and inserting "selected";
- (3) by inserting "or Indian lands" after "State"; and
- (4) by adding at the end the following:
- "(b) CHAMPIONSHIP AND 10-ROUND BOUTS.—
 In addition to the requirements of subsection
 (a), no person may arrange, promote, organize, produce, or fight in a professional boxing match advertised to the public as a championship match or in a professional boxing match scheduled for 10 rounds or more unless all referees and judges participating in the match have been licensed by the Commission.
- "(c) ROLE OF SANCTIONING ORGANIZATION.— A sanctioning organization may provide a list of judges and referees deemed qualified by that organization to a boxing commission, but the boxing commission shall select, license, and appoint the judges and referees participating in the match.

- "(d) ASSIGNMENT OF NONRESIDENT JUDGES AND REFEREES.—A boxing commission may assign judges and referees who reside outside that commission's State or Indian land.
- "(e) REQUIRED DISCLOSURE.—A judge or referee shall provide to the boxing commission responsible for regulating a professional boxing match in a State or on Indian land a statement of all consideration, including reimbursement for expenses, that the judge or referee has received, or will receive, from any source for participation in the match. If the match is scheduled for 10 rounds or more, the judge or referee shall also provide such a statement to the Commission.".
- (b) Conforming Amendment.—Section 14 (15 U.S.C. 6307f) is repealed.

SEC. 16. MEDICAL REGISTRY.

The Act is amended by inserting after section 13 (15 U.S.C. 6307e) the following:

"SEC. 14. MEDICAL REGISTRY.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall establish and maintain, or certify a third party entity to establish and maintain, a medical registry that contains comprehensive medical records and medical denials or suspensions for every licensed boxer.
- "(b) CONTENT; SUBMISSION.—The Commission shall determine—
- "(1) the nature of medical records and medical suspensions of a boxer that are to be forwarded to the medical registry; and
- "(2) the time within which the medical records and medical suspensions are to be submitted to the medical registry.
- "(c) CONFIDENTIALITY.—The Commission shall establish confidentiality standards for the disclosure of personally identifiable information to boxing commissions that will—
- "(1) protect the health and safety of boxers by making relevant information available to the boxing commissions for use but not public disclosure; and
- $\mbox{``(2)}$ ensure that the privacy of the boxers is protected.''.

SEC. 17. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.

Section 17 (15 U.S.C. 6308) is amended—

- (1) by striking "enforces State boxing laws," in subsection (a) and inserting "implements State or tribal boxing laws, no officer or employee of the Commission,";
- (2) by striking "belong to," and inserting "hold office in," in subsection (a);
- (3) by striking the last sentence of subsection (a):
- (4) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:
- "(b) BOXERS.—A boxer may not own or control, directly or indirectly, an entity that promotes the boxer's bouts if that entity is responsible for—
- "(1) executing a bout agreement or promotional agreement with the boxer's opponent; or
- ``(2) providing any payment or other compensation to—
- "(A) the boxer's opponent for participation in a bout with the boxer;
- $\mbox{``(B)}$ the boxing commission that will regulate the bout; or
- $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\prime\prime}}}(C)$ ring officials who officiate at the bout.".

SEC. 18. ENFORCEMENT.

- Section 18 (15 U.S.C. 6309) is amended—
- (1) by striking "(a) INJUNCTIONS.—" in subsection (a) and inserting "(a) ACTIONS BY ATTORNEY GENERAL.—";
- (2) by inserting "any officer or employee of the Commission," after "laws," in subsection (b)(3);
- (3) by inserting "has engaged in or" after "organization" in subsection (c);
- (4) by striking "subsection (b)" in subsection (c)(3) and inserting "subsection (b), a civil penalty, or"; and
- (5) by striking "boxer" in subsection (d) and inserting "person".

SEC. 19. REPEAL OF DEADWOOD.

Section 20 (15 U.S.C. 6311) is repealed.

SEC. 20. RECOGNITION OF TRIBAL LAW.

- Section 22 (15 U.S.C. 6313) is amended—
 (1) by insert "OR TRIBAL" in the sec
- (1) by insert "OR TRIBAL" in the section heading after "STATE"; and
- (2) by inserting "or Indian tribe" after "State".

SEC. 21. ESTABLISHMENT OF UNITED STATES BOXING COMMISSION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Act is amended by adding at the end the following:

"TITLE II—UNITED STATES BOXING COMMISSION

"SEC. 201. PURPOSE.

"The purpose of this title is to protect the health, safety, and welfare of boxers and to ensure fairness in the sport of professional boxing.

"SEC. 202. UNITED STATES BOXING COMMISSION.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—The United States Boxing Commission is established as a commission within the Department of Commerce.
 - "(b) Members.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall consist of 3 members appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate
 - "(2) QUALIFICATIONS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Each member of the Commission shall be a citizen of the United States who—
- "(i) has extensive experience in professional boxing activities or in a field directly related to professional sports;
- "(ii) is of outstanding character and recognized integrity; and
- "(iii) is selected on the basis of training, experience, and qualifications and without regard to political party affiliation.
- "(B) SPECIFIC QUALIFICATIONS FOR CERTAIN MEMBERS.—At least 1 member of the Commission shall be a former member of a local boxing authority. If practicable, at least 1 member of the Commission shall be a physician or other health care professional duly licensed as such.
- "(C) DISINTERESTED PERSONS.—No member of the Commission may, while serving as a member of the Commission—
- "(i) be engaged as a professional boxer, boxing promoter, agent, fight manager, matchmaker, referee, judge, or in any other capacity in the conduct of the business of professional boxing;
- "(ii) have any pecuniary interest in the earnings of any boxer or the proceeds or outcome of any boxing match; or
- "(iii) serve as a member of a boxing commission.
- "(3) BIPARTISAN MEMBERSHIP.—Not more than 2 members of the Commission may be members of the same political party.
- "(4) GEOGRAPHIC BALANCE.—Not more than 2 members of the Commission may be residents of the same geographic region of the United States when appointed to the Commission. For purposes of the preceding sentence, the area of the United States east of the Mississippi River is a geographic region, and the area of the United States west of the Mississippi River is a geographic region.
- "(5) TERMS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The term of a member of the Commission shall be 3 years.
- "(B) REAPPOINTMENT.—Members of the Commission may be reappointed to the Commission.
- "(C) MIDTERM VACANCIES.—A member of the Commission appointed to fill a vacancy in the Commission occurring before the expiration of the term for which the member's predecessor was appointed shall be appointed for the remainder of that unexpired term.
- "(D) CONTINUATION PENDING REPLACE-MENT.—A member of the Commission may

serve after the expiration of that member's term until a successor has taken office.

"(6) Removal.—A member of the Commission may be removed by the President only for cause.

'(c) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall employ an Executive Director to perform the administrative functions of the Commission under this Act, and such other functions and duties of the Commission as the Commission shall specify.

"(2) DISCHARGE OF FUNCTIONS.—Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Commission the Executive Director shall carry out the functions and duties of the

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Commission under this Act.} \\ \text{``(d) General Counsel.} \\ \text{—The Commission} \end{array}$ shall employ a General Counsel to provide legal counsel and advice to the Executive Director and the Commission in the performance of its functions under this Act, and to carry out such other functions and duties as the Commission shall specify.

(e) STAFF.—The Commission shall employ such additional staff as the Commission considers appropriate to assist the Executive Director and the General Counsel in carrying out the functions and duties of the Commission under this Act.

"(f) COMPENSATION.

"(1) Members of commission.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Each member of the Commission shall be compensated at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel time) during which such member is engaged in the performance of the duties of the Commission.

"(B) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—The members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission.

"(2) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND STAFF.—The Commission shall fix the compensation of the Executive Director, the General Counsel. and other personnel of the Commission. The rate of pay for the Executive Director. the General Counsel, and other personnel may not exceed the rate payable for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5. United States Code.

"SEC. 203. FUNCTIONS.

"(a) PRIMARY FUNCTIONS.—The primary functions of the Commission are-

"(1) to protect the health, safety, and general interests of boxers consistent with the provisions of this Act; and

"(2) to ensure uniformity, fairness, and integrity in professional boxing.
"(b) SPECIFIC FUNCTIONS.—The Commission

shall-

'(1) administer title I of this Act:

"(2) promulgate uniform standards for professional boxing in consultation with the Association of Boxing Commissions;

"(3) except as otherwise determined by the Commission, oversee all professional boxing matches in the United States;

"(4) work with the boxing commissions of the several States and tribal organizations-

"(A) to improve the safety, integrity, and professionalism of professional boxing in the United States:

"(B) to enhance physical, medical, financial, and other safeguards established for the protection of professional boxers; and

"(C) to improve the status and standards of professional boxing in the United States;

'(5) ensure, in cooperation with the Attorney General (who shall represent the Com-

mission in any judicial proceeding under this Act), the chief law enforcement officer of the several States, and other appropriate officers and agencies of Federal, State, and local government, that Federal and State laws applicable to professional boxing matches in the United States are vigorously, effectively, and fairly enforced:

"(6) review boxing commission regulations for professional boxing and provide assistance to such authorities in meeting minimum standards prescribed by the Commission under this title;

(7) serve as the coordinating body for all efforts in the United States to establish and maintain uniform minimum health and safety standards for professional boxing;

"(8) if the Commission determines it to be appropriate, publish a newspaper, magazine, or other publication and establish and maintain a website consistent with the purposes of the Commission;

'(9) procure the temporary and intermittent services of experts and consultants to the extent authorized by section 3109(b) of title 5. United States Code, at rates the Commission determines to be reasonable; and

"(10) promulgate rules, regulations, and guidance, and take any other action necessary and proper to accomplish the purposes of, and consistent with, the provisions of this

"(c) Prohibitions.—The Commission may not-

"(1) promote boxing events or rank professional boxers; or

"(2) provide technical assistance to, or authorize the use of the name of the Commission by, boxing commissions that do not comply with requirements of the Commission.

"(d) USE OF NAME.—The Commission shall have the exclusive right to use the name United States Boxing Commission'. Any person who, without the permission of the Commission, uses that name or any other exclusive name, trademark, emblem, symbol, or insignia of the Commission for the purpose of inducing the sale or exchange of any goods or services, or to promote any exhibition, performance, or sporting event, shall be subject to suit in a civil action by the Commission for the remedies provided in the Act of July 5, 1946 (commonly known as the 'Trademark Act of 1946'; 15 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.).

"SEC. 204. LICENSING AND REGISTRATION OF BOXING PERSONNEL.

"(a) LICENSING.

"(1) REQUIREMENT FOR LICENSE.—No person may compete in a professional boxing match or serve as a boxing manager, boxing promoter, or sanctioning organization for a professional boxing match except as provided in a license granted to that person under this subsection.

"(2) APPLICATION AND TERM.-

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall establish application procedures, forms, and fees:

"(ii) establish and publish appropriate standards for licenses granted under this sec-

"(iii) issue a license to any person who, as determined by the Commission, meets the standards established by the Commission under this title.

'(B) DURATION.—A license issued under this section shall be for a renewable-

"(i) 4-year term for a boxer; and

"(ii) 2-year term for any other person.

"(C) PROCEDURE.—The Commission may issue a license under this paragraph through boxing commissions or in a manner determined by the Commission.

(b) LICENSING FEES.-

"(1) AUTHORITY.—The Commission may prescribe and charge reasonable fees for the licensing of persons under this title. The Commission may set, charge, and adjust varying fees on the basis of classifications of persons, functions, and events determined appropriate by the Commission.

"(2) LIMITATIONS.—In setting and charging fees under paragraph (1), the Commission shall ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable-

"(A) club boxing is not adversely effected; "(B) sanctioning organizations and promoters pay comparatively the largest portion of the fees; and

"(C) boxers pay as small a portion of the fees as is possible.

"(3) Collection.—Fees established under this subsection may be collected through boxing commissions or by any other means determined appropriate by the Commission.

"SEC. 205. NATIONAL REGISTRY OF BOXING PER-SONNEL.

"(a) REQUIREMENT FOR REGISTRY—The Commission shall establish and maintain (or authorize a third party to establish and maintain) a unified national computerized registry for the collection, storage, and retrieval of information related to the performance of its duties.

'(b) CONTENTS.—The information in the registry shall include the following:

"(1) BOXERS.—A list of professional boxers and data in the medical registry established under section 114 of this Act, which the Commission shall secure from disclosure in accordance with the confidentiality requirements of section 114(c).

"(2) OTHER PERSONNEL.—Information (pertinent to the sport of professional boxing) on boxing promoters, boxing matchmakers, boxing managers, trainers, cut men, referees, boxing judges, physicians, and any other personnel determined by the Commission as performing a professional activity for professional boxing matches.

"SEC. 206. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS.

"The Commission shall consult with the Association of Boxing Commissions-

"(1) before prescribing any regulation or establishing any standard under the provisions of this title; and

"(2) not less than once each year regarding matters relating to professional boxing.

"SEC. 207. MISCONDUCT.

"(a) SUSPENSION AND REVOCATION OF LI-CENSE OR REGISTRATION.-

"(1) AUTHORITY.—The Commission may, after notice and opportunity for a hearing, suspend or revoke any license issued under this title if the Commission finds that-

"(A) the license holder has violated any provision of this Act:

"(B) there are reasonable grounds for belief that a standard prescribed by the Commission under this title is not being met, or that bribery, collusion, intentional losing, racketeering, extortion, or the use of unlawful threats, coercion, or intimidation have occurred in connection with a license; or

"(C) the suspension or revocation is necessary for the protection of health and safety or is otherwise in the public interest.

"(2) Period of Suspension.

"(A) IN GENERAL.—A suspension of a license under this section shall be effective for a period determined appropriate by the Commission except as provided in subparagraph

"(B) SUSPENSION FOR MEDICAL REASONS.the case of a suspension or denial of the license of a boxer for medical reasons by the Commission, the Commission may terminate the suspension or denial at any time that a physician certifies that the boxer is fit to participate in a professional boxing match. The Commission shall prescribe the standards and procedures for accepting certifications under this subparagraph.

"(3) PERIOD OF REVOCATION.—In the case of a revocation of the license of a boxer, the

revocation shall be for a period of not less than 1 year.

- (b) Investigations and Injunctions —
- '(1) AUTHORITY.—The Commission may-
- "(A) conduct any investigation that it considers necessary to determine whether any person has violated, or is about to violate. any provision of this Act or any regulation prescribed under this Act:
- '(B) require or permit any person to file with it a statement in writing under oath or otherwise as the Commission shall determine as to all the facts and circumstances concerning the matter to be investigated:
- '(C) in its discretion, publish information concerning any violations; and
- "(D) investigate any facts, conditions, practices, or matters to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of this Act, in the prescribing of regulations under this Act. or in securing information to serve as a basis for recommending legislation concerning the matters to which this Act relates.
 - '(2) Powers.-
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—For the purpose of any investigation under paragraph (1) or any other proceeding under this title-
- '(i) any officer designated by the Commission may administer oaths and affirmations, subpena or otherwise compel the attendance of witnesses, take evidence, and require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, or other records the Commission considers relevant or material to the inquiry: and
- '(ii) the provisions of sections 6002 and 6004 of title 18, United States Code, shall apply.
- '(B) WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE.—The attendance of witnesses and the production of any documents under subparagraph (A) may be required from any place in the United States, including Indian land, at any designated place of hearing.
 - (3) Enforcement of subpoenas.-
- "(A) CIVIL ACTION.—In case of contumacy by, or refusal to obey a subpoena issued to, any person, the Commission may file an action in any district court of the United States within the jurisdiction of which an investigation or proceeding is carried out, or where that person resides or carries on business, to enforce the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of books, papers, correspondence, memorandums, and other records. The court may issue an order requiring the person to appear before the Commission to produce records, if so ordered, or to give testimony concerning the matter under investigation or in question.
- "(B) FAILURE TO OBEY.—Any failure to obey an order issued by a court under subparagraph (A) may be punished as contempt of that court.
- "(C) Process.—All process in any contempt case under subparagraph (A) may be served in the judicial district in which the person is an inhabitant or in which the person may be found.
 - "(4) EVIDENCE OF CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.-No person may be excused from attending and testifying or from producing books, papers, contracts, agreements, and other records and documents before the Commission, in obedience to the subpoena of the Commission, or in any cause or proceeding instituted by the Commission, on the ground that the testimony or evidence, documentary or otherwise, required of that person may tend to incriminate the person or subject the person to a penalty or for-
- "(B) LIMITED IMMUNITY.—No individual may be prosecuted or subject to any penalty or forfeiture for, or on account of, any transaction, matter, or thing concerning the matter about which that individual is compelled, after having claimed a privilege against self-

incrimination, to testify or produce evidence, documentary or otherwise, except that the individual so testifying shall not be exempt from prosecution and punishment for perjury committed in so testifying.

(5) Injunctive relief.—If the Commission determines that any person is engaged or about to engage in any act or practice that constitutes a violation of any provision of this Act, or of any regulation prescribed under this Act, the Commission may bring an action in the appropriate district court of the United States, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, or the United States courts of any territory or other place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, to enjoin the act or practice, and upon a proper showing, the court shall grant without bond a permanent or temporary injunction or restraining order.

'(6) MANDAMUS.—Upon application of the Commission, the district courts of the United States, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and the United States courts of any territory or other place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, shall have jurisdiction to issue writs of mandamus commanding any person to comply with the provisions of this Act or any order of the Commission.

'(c) Intervention in Civil Actions.-

- '(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission, on behalf of the public interest, may intervene of right as provided under rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in any civil action relating to professional boxing filed in a district court of the United States.
- "(2) AMICUS FILING.—The Commission may file a brief in any action filed in a court of the United States on behalf of the public interest in any case relating to professional boxing.
- '(d) Hearings by Commission.—Hearings conducted by the Commission under this Act. shall be public and may be held before any officer of the Commission. The Commission shall keep appropriate records of the hearings.

"SEC. 208. NONINTERFERENCE WITH BOXING COMMISSIONS.

"(a) NONINTERFERENCE.—Nothing in this Act prohibits any boxing commission from exercising any of its powers, duties, or functions with respect to the regulation or supervision of professional boxing or professional boxing matches to the extent not inconsistent with the provisions of this Act.

"(b) MINIMUM STANDARDS.—Nothing in this Act prohibits any boxing commission from enforcing local standards or requirements that exceed the minimum standards or requirements promulgated by the Commission under this Act.

"SEC. 209. ASSISTANCE FROM OTHER AGENCIES.

"Any employee of any executive department, agency, bureau, board, commission, office, independent establishment, or instrumentality may be detailed to the Commission, upon the request of the Commission, on a reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis, with the consent of the appropriate authority having jurisdiction over the employee. While so detailed, an employee shall continue to receive the compensation provided pursuant to law for the employee's regular position of employment and shall retain, without interruption, the rights and privileges of that employment.

"SEC. 210. REPORTS.

"(a) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Commission shall submit a report on its activities to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Representatives Committee on Commerce each year. The annual report shall include-

"(1) a detailed discussion of the activities of the Commission for the year covered by the report; and

- "(2) an overview of the licensing and enforcement activities of the State and tribal organization boxing commissions.
- "(b) PUBLIC REPORT.—The Commission shall annually issue and publicize a report of the Commission on the progress made at Federal and State levels and on Indian lands in the reform of professional boxing, which shall include comments on issues of continuing concern to the Commission.
- "(c) First Annual Report on the Commis-SION.—The first annual report under this title shall be submitted not later than 2 years after the effective date of this title.

"SEC. 211. INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION.

- "(a) TEMPORARY EXEMPTION.—The requirements for licensing under this title do not apply to a person for the performance of an activity as a boxer, boxing judge, or referee, or the performance of any other professional activity in relation to a professional boxing match, if the person is licensed by a boxing commission to perform that activity as of the effective date of this title.
- "(b) EXPIRATION.—The exemption under subsection (a) with respect to a license issued by a boxing commission expires on the earlier of-
- "(1) the date on which the license expires;
- "(2) the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of the Professional Boxing Amendments Act of 2005.

"SEC. 212. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated for the Commission for each fiscal year such sums as may be necessary for the Commission to perform its functions for that fiscal year.
- "(b) RECEIPTS CREDITED AS OFFSETTING COLLECTIONS.—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31. United States Code, any fee collected under this title-
- "(1) shall be credited as offsetting collections to the account that finances the activities and services for which the fee is imposed:
- "(2) shall be available for expenditure only to pay the costs of activities and services for which the fee is imposed; and
- "(3) shall remain available until expended."
- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS -
- (1) PBSA.—The Professional Boxing Safety Act of 1996, as amended by this Act, is further amended-
- (A) by amending section 1 to read as follows:

"SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- "(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the 'Professional Boxing Safety Act'
- (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:
 - "Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
 - "Sec. 2. Definitions.

"TITLE I—PROFESSIONAL BOXING SAFETY

- "Sec. 101. Purposes.
- "Sec. 102. Approval or sanction requirement.
- "Sec. 103. Safety standards.
- "Sec. 104. Registration.
- "Sec. 105. Review.
- "Sec. 106. Reporting.
- "Sec. 107. Contract requirements.
- "Sec. 108. Protection from coercive contracts.
- "Sec. 109. Sanctioning organizations.
- "Sec. 110. Required disclosures to State boxing commissions by sanctioning organizations.
- "Sec. 111. Required disclosures by promoters and broadcasters.
- "Sec. 112. Medical registry.
- "Sec. 113. Confidentiality.
- "Sec. 114. Judges and referees.

- "Sec. 115. Conflicts of interest.
- "Sec. 116. Enforcement.
 "Sec. 117. Professional boxing matches conducted on Indian lands.
- "Sec. 118. Relationship with State or Tribal law.

"TITLE II—UNITED STATES BOXING COMMISSION

- "Sec. 201. Purpose.
- "Sec. 202. United States Boxing Commission.
- "Sec. 203. Functions.
- "Sec. 204. Licensing and registration of boxing personnel.
- "Sec. 205. National registry of boxing personnel.
- "Sec. 206. Consultation requirements.
- "Sec. 207. Misconduct.
- "Sec. 208. Noninterference with boxing commissions
- "Sec. 209. Assistance from other agencies.
- "Sec. 210. Reports.
- "Sec. 211. Initial implementation.
- "Sec. 212. Authorization of appropriations.":
- (B) by inserting before section 3 the following:

"TITLE I-PROFESSIONAL BOXING SAFETY";

- (C) by redesignating sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, and 22 as sections 101 through 118, respectively;
- (D) by striking subsection (a) of section 113, as redesignated, and inserting the following:
- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Except to the extent required in a legal, administrative, or judicial proceeding, a boxing commission, an Attorney General, or the Commission may not disclose to the public any matter furnished by a promoter under section 111.";
- $\left(E\right)$ by striking "section 13" in subsection (b) of section 113, as redesignated, and inserting "section 111";
- (F) by striking "9(b), 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, or 16," in paragraph (1) of section 116(b), as redesignated, and inserting "107, 108, 109, 110, 111. or 114.'
- (G) by striking "9(b), 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, or 16" in paragraph (2) of section 116(b), as redesignated, and inserting "107, 108, 109, 110, 111, or 114"
- (H) by striking "section 17(a)" in subsection (b)(3) of section 116, as redesignated. and inserting "section 115(a)";
- (I) by striking "section 10" in subsection (e)(3) of section 116, as redesignated, and inserting "section 108"; and
- (J) by striking "of this Act" each place it appears in sections 101 through 120, as redesignated, and inserting "of this title"
- (2) Compensation of Members.—Section 5315 of title 5. United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "Members of the United States Boxing Commission."

SEC. 22. STUDY AND REPORT ON DEFINITION OF PROMOTER.

- STUDY.—The United States Boxing Commission shall conduct a study on how the term "promoter" should be defined for purposes of the Professional Boxing Safety Act.
- (b) HEARINGS.—As part of that study, the Commission shall hold hearings and solicit testimony at those hearings from boxers, managers, promoters, premium, cable, and satellite program service providers, hotels, casinos, resorts, and other commercial establishments that host or sponsor professional boxing matches, and other interested parties with respect to the definition of that term as it is used in the Professional Boxing Safety Act.
- (c) REPORT.—Not later than 12 months after the date of the enactment of this Act,

- the Commission shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives a report on the study conducted under subsection (a). The report shall-
- (1) set forth a proposed definition of the term "promoter" for purposes of the Professional Boxing Safety Act; and
- (2) describe the findings, conclusions, and rationale of the Commission for the proposed definition, together with any recommendations of the Commission, based on the study. SEC. 23. EFFECTIVE DATE.
- (a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.
- (b) 1-YEAR DELAY FOR CERTAIN TITLE II PROVISIONS.—Sections 205 through 212 of the Professional Boxing Safety Act of 1996, as added by section 21(a) of this Act, shall take effect 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.
 - By Mr. JEFFORDS (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Ms. Snowe, Mr. Schumer, Mr. BIDEN, Mrs. BOXER, Mrs. CLIN-TON, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. DODD, Mr. Feingold, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Kerry, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. REED, and Mr. SARBANES):
- S. 150. A bill to amend the Clean Air Act to reduce emissions from electric powerplants, and for other purposes: to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I am both sad and happy to re-introduce the Clean Power Act again with Senators LIEBERMAN and COLLINS and the other 16 cosponsors of the legislation from the last Congress. I am happy that they are all still as committed as I am to the fight to reduce pollution and to protect the public's health and to clean up and conserve the environment for future generations.

I am sad that we have not made more progress in this fight to reduce harmful emissions of sulfur dioxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NO_X), mercury, and carbon dioxide from fossil fuel power plants. More than 25,000 people are dying prematurely every year because of fine particulate pollution (PM_{-2.5}) that is emitted by power plants in the form of SO_X and NO_X. More than 4,000 people are dying of heart attacks due to ozone exposure, part of which is caused by power plant emissions. And, over 160 million people are living in areas with unhealthy air quality.

Acid rain continues to fall on our forests and lakes stressing ecosystems in the Northeast and the Southeast. Nearly all the States have some kind of fish consumption warning or advisory due to mercury contamination. And, earlier this week, the chairman of the International Panel on Change, who was placed at the request of the Bush Administration, said that he personally believes that the world has "already reached the level of dangerous concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere."

I am sad because there has been zero movement on multi-pollutant legisla-

tion in Congress since this legislation was approved by the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works in June 2002 in basically the same form we are introducing. As Senators may be aware, prior to that Committee action, I and Senator REID before me, sought to engage in a bipartisan dialogue to move four pollutant legislation. Though the President promised to support such legislation while a candidate in 2000, he reversed himself on that pledge in early 2001.

Since early 2001, the Administration refused to negotiate, to consider compromise or even to respond to legitimate requests for information or timely technical assistance. Instead, they have concentrated their efforts on undermining the Clean Air Act with a particularly focus on gutting New Source Review. They have not shown any real interest in legislating in this matter.

I am sad that the Administration's general approach has been to go backward before 1990, to undue President Bush Sr.'s legacy. That is not what the American people want and it is not what they and their children deserve. They deserve better. They deserve the promise of the Clean Air Act which is constant improvement and moving forward to provide safe air for everyone to breathe.

It is long past time that all power plants in this country meet modern emission performance standards. There is simply no excuse in a technologically advanced society like ours to have power plants running on 1930s technology. It should be embarrassing for us all and requires a swift and concerted effort and significantly more funding than the Administration and Congress have appropriated thus far to maximize the use of all of our energy resources, including coal and renewables, in an environmentally friendly wav.

Simply letting these old dirty dinosaurs keep chugging along is bad for public health and the environment and bad for innovation and the development of new technologies. It is a stone age response to a modern day problem.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 150

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Clean Power Act of 2005".

SEC. 2. ELECTRIC ENERGY GENERATION EMIS-SION REDUCTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"TITLE VII—ELECTRIC ENERGY GENERATION EMISSION REDUCTIONS

- "Sec. 701. Findings.
- "Sec. 702. Purposes.
- "Sec. 703. Definitions.

- "Sec. 704. Emission limitations.
- "Sec. 705. Emission allowances.
- "Sec. 706. Permitting and trading of emission allowances.
- "Sec. 707. Emission allowance tion.
- "Sec. 708. Mercury emission limitations. "Sec. 709. Other hazardous air pollutants.
- "Sec. 710. Effect of failure to promulgate regulations.
- "Sec. 711. Prohibitions.
- "Sec. 712. Modernization of electricity generating facilities.
- "Sec. 713. Relationship to other law.

"SEC. 701. FINDINGS.

"Congress finds that-

- "(1) public health and the environment continue to suffer as a result of pollution emitted by powerplants across the United States, despite the success of Public Law 101-549 (commonly known as the 'Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990') (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.) in reducing emissions;
- (2) according to the most reliable scientific knowledge, acid rain precursors must be significantly reduced for the ecosystems of the Northeast and Southeast to recover from the ecological harm caused by acid dep-
- "(3) because lakes and sediments across the United States are being contaminated by mercury emitted by powerplants, there is an increasing risk of mercury poisoning of aquatic habitats and fish-consuming human populations:
- '(4)(A) electricity generation accounts for approximately 40 percent of the total emissions in the United States of carbon dioxide. a major greenhouse gas causing global warming; and
- '(B) the quantity of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is growing without constraint and well beyond the international commitments of the United States:
- "(5) the cumulative impact of powerplant emissions on public and environmental health must be addressed swiftly by reducing those harmful emissions to levels that are less threatening; and
- "(6)(A) the atmosphere is a public resource; and
- "(B) emission allowances, representing permission to use that resource for disposal of air pollution from electricity generation, should be allocated to promote public purposes, including-
- "(i) protecting electricity consumers from adverse economic impacts;
- "(ii) providing transition assistance to adversely affected employees, communities, and industries; and
- "(iii) promoting clean energy resources and energy efficiency.

"SEC. 702. PURPOSES.

- 'The purposes of this title are-
- "(1) to alleviate the environmental and public health damage caused by emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon dioxide, and mercury resulting from the combustion of fossil fuels in the generation of electric and thermal energy;
- "(2) to reduce by 2010 the annual national emissions from electricity generating facilities to not more than-
- "(A) 2,250,000 tons of sulfur dioxide;
- "(B) 1,510,000 tons of nitrogen oxides; and
- "(C) 2,050,000,000 tons of carbon dioxide;
- "(3) to reduce by 2009 the annual national emissions of mercury from electricity generating facilities to not more than 5 tons;
- "(4) to effectuate the reductions described in paragraphs (2) and (3) by-
- (A) requiring electricity generating facilities to comply with specified emission limitations by specified deadlines; and
- '(B) allowing electricity generating facilities to meet the emission limitations (other

- than the emission limitation for mercury) through an alternative method of compliance consisting of an emission allowance and transfer system; and
- "(5) to encourage energy conservation, use of renewable and clean alternative technologies, and pollution prevention as longrange strategies, consistent with this title, for reducing air pollution and other adverse impacts of energy generation and use.

"SEC. 703. DEFINITIONS.

- "In this title:
- "(1) COVERED POLLUTANT.—The term 'covered pollutant' means-
 - "(A) sulfur dioxide;
- "(B) any nitrogen oxide;
- "(C) carbon dioxide; and
- "(D) mercury.
- "(2) ELECTRICITY GENERATING FACILITY .-The term 'electricity generating facility' means an electric or thermal electricity generating unit, a combination of such units, or a combination of 1 or more such units and 1 or more combustion devices, that-
- "(A) has a nameplate capacity of 15 megawatts or more (or the equivalent in thermal energy generation, determined in accordance with a methodology developed by the Administrator);
- "(B) generates electric energy, for sale, through combustion of fossil fuel; and
- "(C) emits a covered pollutant into the atmosphere.
- "(3) ELECTRICITY INTENSIVE PRODUCT.—The term 'electricity intensive product' means a product with respect to which the cost of electricity consumed in the production of the product represents more than 5 percent of the value of the product.
- "(4) EMISSION ALLOWANCE.—The 'emission allowance' means a limited authorization to emit in accordance with this
 - "(A) 1 ton of sulfur dioxide;
 - "(B) 1 ton of nitrogen oxides; or
- "(C) 1 ton of carbon dioxide.
- "(5) ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECT.—The term 'energy efficiency project' means any specific action (other than ownership or operation of an energy efficient building) commenced after the date of enactment of this title-
- "(A) at a facility (other than an electricity generating facility), that verifiably reduces the annual electricity or natural gas consumption per unit output of the facility, as compared with the annual electricity or natural gas consumption per unit output that would be expected in the absence of an allocation of emission allowances (as determined by the Administrator); or
- '(B) by an entity that is primarily engaged in the transmission and distribution of electricity, that significantly improves the efficiency of that type of entity, as compared with standards for efficiency developed by the Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, after the date of enactment of this title.
- "(6) Energy efficient building.—The term 'energy efficient building' means a residential building or commercial building completed after the date of enactment of this title for which the projected lifetime consumption of electricity or natural gas for heating, cooling, and ventilation is at least 30 percent less than the lifetime consumption of a typical new residential building or commercial building, as determined by the Administrator (in consultation with the Secretary of Energy)-
 - "(A) on a State or regional basis; and
 - "(B) taking into consideration-
 - "(i) applicable building codes; and
- "(ii) consumption levels achieved in practice by new residential buildings or commercial buildings in the absence of an allocation of emission allowances.

- "(7) Energy efficient product.—The term 'energy efficient product' means a product manufactured after the date of enactment of this title that has an expected lifetime electricity or natural gas consumption that-
- "(A) is less than the average lifetime electricity or natural gas consumption for that type of product; and
 - "(B) does not exceed the lesser of-
- "(i) the maximum energy consumption that qualifies for the applicable Energy Star label for that type of product; or
- "(ii) the average energy consumption of the most efficient 25 percent of that type of product manufactured in the same year.
- LIFETIME.—The term 'lifetime' means-
- "(A) in the case of a residential building that is an energy efficient building, 30 years;
- "(B) in the case of a commercial building that is an energy efficient building, 15 years; and
- "(C) in the case of an energy efficient product, a period determined by the Administrator to be the average life of that type of energy efficient product.
- "(9) MERCURY.—The term 'mercury' includes any mercury compound.
- "(10) NEW CLEAN FOSSIL FUEL-FIRED ELEC-TRICITY GENERATING UNIT.—The term 'new clean fossil fuel-fired electricity generating unit' means a unit that-
- "(A) has been in operation for 10 years or less: and
 - "(B) is-
 - "(i) a natural gas fired generator that-
- "(I) has an energy conversion efficiency of at least 55 percent; and
- "(II) uses best available control technology (as defined in section 169):
 - "(ii) a generator that-
- "(I) uses integrated gasification combined cycle technology;
- "(II) uses best available control technology (as defined in section 169); and
- "(III) has an energy conversion efficiency of at least 45 percent; or
- "(iii) a fuel cell operating on fuel derived from a nonrenewable source of energy. '(11) NONWESTERN REGION —The term 'non-
- western region' means the area of the States that is not included in the western region.
- "(12) RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATING UNIT.—The term 'renewable electricity generating unit' means a unit that
- "(A) has been in operation for 10 years or less; and
- "(B) generates electric energy by means of-
 - "(i) wind;
 - "(ii) biomass: "(iii) landfill gas:
- "(iv) a geothermal, solar thermal, or photovoltaic source: or
- "(v) a fuel cell operating on fuel derived from a renewable source of energy.
- "(13) SMALL ELECTRICITY GENERATING FA-CILITY -The term 'small electricity generating facility' means an electric or thermal electricity generating unit, or combination of units, that-
- "(A) has a nameplate capacity of less than 15 megawatts (or the equivalent in thermal energy generation, determined in accordance with a methodology developed by the Administrator):
- (B) generates electric energy, for sale, through combustion of fossil fuel: and
- "(C) emits a covered pollutant into the atmosphere.
- "(14) Western region.—The term 'western region' means the area comprising the of Arizona, California, Colorado, States Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

"SEC, 704, EMISSION LIMITATIONS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to ensure that, during 2010 and each year thereafter, the total annual emissions of covered pollutants from all electricity generating facilities located in all States does not exceed—
 - "(1) in the case of sulfur dioxide-
- "(A) 275,000 tons in the western region; or "(B) 1,975,000 tons in the nonwestern re-
- gion;
 "(2) in the case of nitrogen oxides, 1,510,000
- tons;
- (3) in the case of carbon dioxide, 2,050,000,000 tons; or
 - "(4) in the case of mercury, 5 tons,
- "(b) EXCESS EMISSIONS BASED ON UNUSED ALLOWANCES.—The regulations promulgated under subsection (a) shall authorize emissions of covered pollutants in excess of the national emission limitations established under that subsection for a year to the extent that the number of tons of the excess emissions is less than or equal to the number of emission allowances that are—
- "(1) used in the year: but
- "(2) allocated for any previous year under section 707.
- "(c) REDUCTIONS.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, the quantity of emissions specified for each covered pollutant in subsection (a) shall be reduced by the sum of—
- "(1) the number of tons of the covered pollutant that were emitted by small electricity generating facilities in the second preceding year and
- "(2) any number of tons of reductions in emissions of the covered pollutant required under section 705(h).

"SEC. 705. EMISSION ALLOWANCES.

- "(a) CREATION AND ALLOCATION.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, subject to paragraph (2), there are created, and the Administrator shall allocate in accordance with section 707, emission allowances as follows:
 - "(A) In the case of sulfur dioxide—
- $\lq\lq(i)$ 275,000 emission allowances for each year for use in the western region; and
- "(ii) 1,975,000 emission allowances for each year for use in the nonwestern region.
- ``(B) In the case of nitrogen oxides, 1,510,000 emission allowances for each year.
- $\lq\lq(C)$ In the case of carbon dioxide, 2,050,000,000 emission allowances for each year.
- "(2) REDUCTIONS.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, the number of emission allowances specified for each covered pollutant in paragraph (1) shall be reduced by a number equal to the sum of—
- "(A) the number of tons of the covered pollutant that were emitted by small electricity generating facilities in the second preceding year; and
- "(B) any number of tons of reductions in emissions of the covered pollutant required under subsection (h).
- "(b) NATURE OF EMISSION ALLOWANCES.—
- "(1) NOT A PROPERTY RIGHT.—An emission allowance allocated by the Administrator under subsection (a) is not a property right.
- "(2) NO LIMIT ON AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE OR LIMIT.—Nothing in this title or any other provision of law limits the authority of the United States to terminate or limit an emission allowance.
- ``(3) Tracking and transfer of emission allowances.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to establish an emission allowance tracking and transfer system for emission allowances of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and carbon dioxide.

- "(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The emission allowance tracking and transfer system established under subparagraph (A) shall—
- "(i) incorporate the requirements of subsections (b) and (d) of section 412 (except that written certification by the transfere shall not be necessary to effect a transfer); and
- "(ii) permit any entity-
- "(I) to buy, sell, or hold an emission allowance; and
- ``(II) to permanently retire an unused emission allowance.
- "(C) PROCEEDS OF TRANSFERS.—Proceeds from the transfer of emission allowances by any person to which the emission allowances have been allocated—
- ``(i) shall not constitute funds of the United States; and
- "(ii) shall not be available to meet any obligations of the United States.
- "(c) IDENTIFICATION AND USE —
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Each emission allowance allocated by the Administrator shall bear a unique serial number, including—
- "(A) an identifier of the covered pollutant to which the emission allowance pertains; and
- "(B) the first year for which the allowance may be used.
- "(2) SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSION ALLOW-ANCES.—In the case of sulfur dioxide emission allowances, the Administrator shall ensure that the emission allowances allocated to electricity generating facilities in the western region are distinguishable from emission allowances allocated to electricity generating facilities in the nonwestern region.
- "(3) YEAR OF USE.—Each emission allowance may be used in the year for which the emission allowance is allocated or in any subsequent year.
- "(d) ANNUAL SUBMISSION OF EMISSION ALLOWANCES.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—On or before April 1, 2011, and April 1 of each year thereafter, the owner or operator of each electricity generating facility shall submit to the Administrator 1 emission allowance for the applicable covered pollutant (other than mercury) for each ton of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, or carbon dioxide emitted by the electricity generating facility during the previous calendar year.
- "(2) SPECIAL RULE FOR OZONE EXCEEDANCES.—
- "(A) IDENTIFICATION OF FACILITIES CONTRIBUTING TO NONATTAINMENT.—Not later than December 31, 2009, and the end of each 3-year period thereafter, each State, consistent with the obligations of the State under section 110(a)(2)(D), shall identify the electricity generating facilities in the State and in other States that are significantly contributing (as determined based on guidance issued by the Administrator) to nonattainment of the national ambient air quality standard for ozone in the State.
- "(B) SUBMISSION OF ADDITIONAL ALLOW-ANCES.-In 2010 and each year thereafter, on petition from a State or a person demonstrating that the control measures in effect at an electricity generating facility that is identified under subparagraph (A) as significantly contributing to nonattainment of the national ambient air quality standard for ozone in a State during the previous year are inadequate to prevent the significant contribution described in subparagraph (A), the Administrator, if the Administrator determines that the electricity generating facility is inadequately controlled for nitrogen oxides, may require that the electricity generating facility submit 3 nitrogen oxide emission allowances for each ton of nitrogen oxides emitted by the electricity generating facility during any period of an exceedance

- of the national ambient air quality standard for ozone in the State during the previous year.
- "(3) REGIONAL LIMITATIONS FOR SULFUR DI-OXIDE.—The Administrator shall not allow—
- "(A) the use of sulfur dioxide emission allowances allocated for the western region to meet the obligations under this subsection of electricity generating facilities in the non-western region; or
- "(B) the use of sulfur dioxide emission allowances allocated for the nonwestern region to meet the obligations under this subsection of electricity generating facilities in the western region.
 "(e) EMISSION VERIFICATION, MONITORING,
- "(e) Emission Verification, Monitoring, and Recordkeeping.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall ensure that Federal regulations, in combination with any applicable State regulations, are adequate to verify, monitor, and document emissions of covered pollutants from electricity generating facilities.
- "(2) INVENTORY OF EMISSIONS FROM SMALL ELECTRICITY GENERATING FACILITIES.—On or before July 1, 2006, the Administrator, in cooperation with State agencies, shall complete, and on an annual basis update, a comprehensive inventory of emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon dioxide, and particulate matter from small electricity generating facilities.
 - "(3) MONITORING INFORMATION.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to require each electricity generating facility to submit to the Administrator—
- "(i) not later than April 1 of each year, verifiable information on covered pollutants emitted by the electricity generating facility in the previous year, expressed in—
 - "(I) tons of covered pollutants; and
- "(II) tons of covered pollutants per megawatt hour of energy (or the equivalent thermal energy) generated; and
- "(ii) as part of the first submission under clause (i), verifiable information on covered pollutants emitted by the electricity generating facility in 2002, 2003, and 2004, if the electricity generating facility was required to report that information in those years.
- "(B) SOURCE OF INFORMATION.—Information submitted under subparagraph (A) shall be obtained using a continuous emission monitoring system (as defined in section 402).
- "(C) AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC.—The information described in subparagraph (A) shall be made available to the public—
- "(i) in the case of the first year in which the information is required to be submitted under that subparagraph, not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this title; and
- "(ii) in the case of each year thereafter, not later than April 1 of the year.
- "(4) AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING FOR SULFUR DIOXIDE AND HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUT-ANTS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Beginning January 1, 2006, each coal-fired electricity generating facility with an aggregate generating capacity of 50 megawatts or more shall, in accordance with guidelines issued by the Administrator, commence ambient air quality monitoring within a 30-mile radius of the coalfired electricity generating facility for the purpose of measuring maximum concentrations of sulfur dioxide and hazardous air pollutants emitted by the coal-fired electricity generating facility.
- "(B) LOCATION OF MONITORING POINTS.— Monitoring under subparagraph (A) shall include monitoring at not fewer than 2 points—
- "(i) that are at ground level and within 3 miles of the coal-fired electricity generating facility;

- "(ii) at which the concentration of pollutants being monitored is expected to be the greatest; and
- "(iii) at which the monitoring shall be the most frequent.
- "(C) FREQUENCY OF MONITORING OF SULFUR DIOXIDE.—Monitoring of sulfur dioxide under subparagraph (A) shall be carried out on a continuous basis and averaged over 5-minute periods.
- "(D) AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC.—The results of the monitoring under subparagraph (A) shall be made available to the public.
 - "(f) EXCESS EMISSION PENALTY.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), section 411 shall be applicable to an owner or operator of an electricity generating facility.
- "(2) CALCULATION OF PENALTY.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the penalty for failure to submit emission allowances for covered pollutants as required under subsection (d) shall be equal to 3 times the product obtained by multiplying—
 - "(i) as applicable—
- "(I) the number of tons emitted in excess of the emission limitation requirement applicable to the electricity generating facility or
- "(II) the number of emission allowances that the owner or operator failed to submit; and
- "(ii) the average annual market price of emission allowances (as determined by the Administrator).
- "(B) MERCURY.—In the case of mercury, the penalty shall be equal to 3 times the product obtained by multiplying—
- "(i) the number of grams emitted in excess of the emission limitation requirement for mercury applicable to the electricity generating facility; and
- "(ii) the average cost of mercury controls at electricity generating units that have a nameplate capacity of 15 megawatts or more in all States (as determined by the Administrator).
- "(g) SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE LOCAL IM-PACTS.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Administrator determines that emissions of an electricity generating facility may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to a significant adverse impact on an area (including endangerment of public health, contribution to acid deposition in a sensitive receptor area, and other degradation of the environment), the Administrator shall limit the emissions of the electricity generating facility as necessary to avoid that impact.
- "(2) VIOLATION.—Notwithstanding the availability of emission allowances, it shall be a violation of this Act for any electricity generating facility to exceed any limitation on emissions established under paragraph (1).
 - "(h) ADDITIONAL REDUCTIONS.—
- "(1) PROTECTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH OR WELFARE OR THE ENVIRONMENT.—If the Administrator determines that the emission levels necessary to achieve the national emission limitations established under section 704 are not reasonably anticipated to protect public health or welfare or the environment (including protection of children, pregnant women, minority or low-income communities, and other sensitive populations), the Administrator may require reductions in emissions from electricity generating facilities in addition to the reductions required under the other provisions of this title.
 - "(2) EMISSION ALLOWANCE TRADING.—
 - "(A) STUDIES.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—In 2013 and at the end of each 3-year period thereafter, the Administrator shall complete a study of the impacts of the emission allowance trading authorized under this title.

- "(ii) REQUIRED ASSESSMENT.—The study shall include an assessment of ambient air quality in areas surrounding electricity generating facilities that participate in emission allowance trading, including a comparison between—
- "(I) the ambient air quality in those areas;
- ``(II) the national average ambient air quality.
- "(B) LIMITATION ON EMISSIONS.—If the Administrator determines, based on the results of a study under subparagraph (A), that adverse local impacts result from emission allowance trading, the Administrator may require reductions in emissions from electricity generating facilities in addition to the reductions required under the other provisions of this title.
- "(i) USE OF CERTAIN OTHER EMISSION ALLOW-ANCES.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), emission allowances or other emission trading instruments created under title I or IV for sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides shall not be valid for submission under subsection (d).
- "(2) EMISSION ALLOWANCES PLACED IN RESERVE.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), an emission allowance described in paragraph (1) that was placed in reserve under section 404(a)(2) or 405 or through regulations implementing controls on nitrogen oxides, because an affected unit emitted fewer tons of sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides than were permitted under an emission limitation imposed under title I or IV before the date of enactment of this title, shall be considered to be equivalent to ¼ of an emission allowance created by subsection (a) for sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides, respectively.
- "(B) EMISSION ALLOWANCES RESULTING FROM ACHIEVEMENT OF NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.—If an emission allowance described in subparagraph (A) was created and placed in reserve during the period of 2001 through 2009 by the owner or operator of an electricity generating facility through the application of pollution control technology that resulted in the achievement and maintenance by the electricity generating facility of the applicable standards of performance required of new sources under section 111, the emission allowance shall be valid for submission under subsection (d).

"SEC. 706. PERMITTING AND TRADING OF EMIS-SION ALLOWANCES.

- "(a) In General.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to establish a permitting and emission allowance trading compliance program to implement the limitations on emissions of covered pollutants from electricity generating facilities established under section 704.
- "(b) EMISSION ALLOWANCE TRADING WITH FACILITIES OTHER THAN ELECTRICITY GENERATING FACILITIES.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2) and section 705(1), the regulations promulgated to establish the program under subsection (a) shall prohibit use of emission allowances generated from other emission control programs for the purpose of demonstrating compliance with the limitations on emissions of covered pollutants from electricity generating facilities established under section 704.
- "(2) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN CARBON DIOX-IDE EMISSION CONTROL PROGRAMS.—The prohibition described in paragraph (1) shall not apply in the case of carbon dioxide emission allowances generated from an emission control program that limits total carbon dioxide emissions from the entirety of any industrial sector.

"(c) METHODOLOGY.—The program established under subsection (a) shall clearly identify the methodology for the allocation of emission allowances, including standards for measuring annual electricity generation and energy efficiency as the standards relate to emissions

"SEC. 707, EMISSION ALLOWANCE ALLOCATION.

- "(a) ALLOCATION TO ELECTRICITY CONSUMERS.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, after making allocations of emission allowances under subsections (b) through (f), the Administrator shall allocate the remaining emission allowances created by section 705(a) for the year for each covered pollutant other than mercury to households served by electricity.
- "(2) ALLOCATION AMONG HOUSEHOLDS.—The allocation to each household shall reflect—
- "(A) the number of persons residing in the household; and
 - "(B) the ratio that-
- "(i) the quantity of the residential electricity consumption of the State in which the household is located; bears to
- "(ii) the quantity of the residential electricity consumption of all States.
- "(3) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations making appropriate arrangements for the allocation of emission allowances to households under this subsection, including as necessary the appointment of 1 or more trustees—
- "(A) to receive the emission allowances for the benefit of the households;
- "(B) to obtain fair market value for the emission allowances; and
- "(C) to distribute the proceeds to the beneficiaries.
- "(b) ALLOCATION FOR TRANSITION ASSIST-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For 2010 and each year thereafter through 2019, the Administrator shall allocate the percentage specified in paragraph (2) of the emission allowances created by section 705(a) for the year for each covered pollutant other than mercury in the following manner:
- "(A) 80 percent shall be allocated to provide transition assistance to—
- "(i) dislocated workers (as defined in section 101 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801)) whose employment has been terminated or who have been laid off as a result of the emission reductions required by this title; and
- "(ii) communities that have experienced disproportionate adverse economic impacts as a result of the emission reductions required by this title.
- "(B) 20 percent shall be allocated to producers of electricity intensive products in a number equal to the product obtained by multiplying—
 - "(i) the ratio that—
- "(I) the quantity of each electricity intensive product produced by each producer in the previous year; bears to
- "(II) the quantity of the electricity intensive product produced by all producers in the previous year;
- "(ii) the average quantity of electricity used in producing the electricity intensive product by producers that use the most energy efficient process for producing the electricity intensive product; and
- "(iti) with respect to the previous year, the national average quantity (expressed in tons) of emissions of each such pollutant per megawatt hour of electricity generated by electricity generating facilities in all States.
- "(2) SPECIFIED PERCENTAGES.—The percentages referred to in paragraph (1) are—
 - "(A) in the case of 2010, 6 percent;

- "(B) in the case of 2011, 5.5 percent;
- "(C) in the case of 2012, 5 percent;
- "(D) in the case of 2013, 4.5 percent;
- "(E) in the case of 2014, 4 percent;
- "(F) in the case of 2015, 3.5 percent; (G) in the case of 2016, 3 percent;
- "(H) in the case of 2017, 2.5 percent;
- "(I) in the case of 2018, 2 percent; and
- "(J) in the case of 2019, 1.5 percent.
- $\lq\lq(3)$ REGULATIONS FOR ALLOCATION FOR TRANSITION ASSISTANCE TO DISLOCATED WORKERS AND COMMUNITIES.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations making appropriate arrangements for the distribution of emission allowances under paragraph (1)(A), including as necessary the appointment of 1 or more trustees—
- "(i) to receive the emission allowances allocated under paragraph (1)(A) for the benefit of the dislocated workers and communities:
- "(ii) to obtain fair market value for the emission allowances; and
- "(iii) to apply the proceeds to providing transition assistance to the dislocated workers and communities.
- "(B) FORM OF TRANSITION ASSISTANCE.— Transition assistance under paragraph (1)(A) may take the form of—
- "(i) grants to employers, employer associations, and representatives of employees—
- "(I) to provide training, adjustment assistance, and employment services to dislocated workers: and
- "(II) to make income-maintenance and needs-related payments to dislocated workers; and
- "(ii) grants to States and local governments to assist communities in attracting new employers or providing essential local government services.
- "(c) ALLOCATION TO RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATING UNITS, EFFICIENCY PROJECTS, AND CLEANER ENERGY SOURCES.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, the Administrator shall allocate not more than 20 percent of the emission allowances created by section 705(a) for the year for each covered pollutant other than mercury—
- "(1) to owners and operators of renewable electricity generating units, in a number equal to the product obtained by multiplying—
- "(A) the number of megawatt hours of electricity generated in the previous year by each renewable electricity generating unit; and
- "(B) with respect to the previous year, the national average quantity (expressed in tons) of emissions of each such pollutant per megawatt hour of electricity generated by electricity generating facilities in all States:
- "(2) to owners and operators of energy efficient buildings, producers of energy efficient products, and entities that carry out energy efficient projects, in a number equal to the product obtained by multiplying—
- "(A) the number of megawatt hours of electricity or cubic feet of natural gas saved in the previous year as a result of each energy efficient building, energy efficient product, or energy efficiency project; and
- "(B) with respect to the previous year, the national average quantity (expressed in tons) of emissions of each such pollutant per, as appropriate—
- "(i) megawatt hour of electricity generated by electricity generating facilities in all States; or
- "(ii) cubic foot of natural gas burned for a purpose other than generation of electricity in all States;
- "(3) to owners and operators of new clean fossil fuel-fired electricity generating units, in a number equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

- "(A) the number of megawatt hours of electricity generated in the previous year by each new clean fossil fuel-fired electricity generating unit; and
- "(B) with respect to the previous year, $\frac{1}{2}$ of the national average quantity (expressed in tons) of emissions of each such pollutant per megawatt hour of electricity generated by electricity generating facilities in all States; and
- "(4) to owners and operators of combined heat and power electricity generating facilities, in a number equal to the product obtained by multiplying—
- "(A) the number of British thermal units of thermal energy produced and put to productive use in the previous year by each combined heat and power electricity generating facility: and
- "(B) with respect to the previous year, the national average quantity (expressed in tons) of emissions of each such pollutant per British thermal unit of thermal energy generated by electricity generating facilities in all States.
- "(d) Transition Assistance to Electricity Generating Facilities.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For 2010 and each year thereafter through 2019, the Administrator shall allocate the percentage specified in paragraph (2) of the emission allowances created by section 705(a) for the year for each covered pollutant other than mercury to the owners or operators of electricity generating facilities in the ratio that—
- "(A) the quantity of electricity generated by each electricity generating facility in 2003: bears to
- "(B) the quantity of electricity generated by all electricity generating facilities in
- "(2) SPECIFIED PERCENTAGES.—The percentages referred to in paragraph (1) are—
- "(A) in the case of 2010, 10 percent;
- "(B) in the case of 2011, 9 percent;
- "(C) in the case of 2012, 8 percent;
- "(D) in the case of 2013, 7 percent;
- "(E) in the case of 2014, 6 percent; "(F) in the case of 2015, 5 percent;
- "(G) in the case of 2016, 4 percent;
- "(H) in the case of 2017, 3 percent;
- $\lq\lq(I)$ in the case of 2018, 2 percent; and
- "(J) in the case of 2019, 1 percent.
- "(e) ALLOCATION TO ENCOURAGE BIOLOGICAL CARBON SEQUESTRATION.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, the Administrator shall allocate, on a competitive basis and in accordance with paragraphs (2) and (3), not more than 0.075 percent of the carbon dioxide emission allowances created by section 705(a) for the year for the purposes of—
- "(A) carrying out projects to reduce net carbon dioxide emissions through biological carbon dioxide sequestration in the United States that—
- "(i) result in benefits to watersheds and fish and wildlife habitats; and
- "(ii) are conducted in accordance with project reporting, monitoring, and verification guidelines based on—
- "(I) measurement of increases in carbon storage in excess of the carbon storage that would have occurred in the absence of such a project;
- "(II) comprehensive carbon accounting
- "(aa) reflects net increases in carbon reservoirs; and
- "(bb) takes into account any carbon emissions resulting from disturbance of carbon reservoirs in existence as of the date of commencement of the project;
 - "(III) adjustments to account for-
- "(aa) emissions of carbon that may result at other locations as a result of the impact of the project on timber supplies; or

- "(bb) potential displacement of carbon emissions to other land owned by the entity that carries out the project; and
- "(IV) adjustments to reflect the expected carbon storage over various time periods, taking into account the likely duration of the storage of the carbon stored in a carbon reservoir; and
- "(B) conducting accurate inventories of carbon sinks.
- "(2) CARBON INVENTORY.—The Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall allocate not more than ½ of the emission allowances described in paragraph (1) to not more than 5 State or multistate land or forest management agencies or nonprofit entities that—
- "(A) have a primary goal of land conservation; and
- "(B) submit to the Administrator proposals for projects—
- "(i) to demonstrate and assess the potential for the development and use of carbon inventorying and accounting systems:
- "(ii) to improve the standards relating to, and the identification of, incremental carbon sequestration in forests, agricultural soil, grassland, or rangeland; or
- "(iii) to assist in development of a national biological carbon storage baseline or inventory.
- "(3) REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM.—The Administrator shall allocate not more than 2% of the emission allowances described in paragraph (1) to States, based on proposals submitted by States to conduct programs under which each State shall—
- "(A) use the value of the emission allowances to establish a State revolving loan fund to provide loans to owners of nonindustrial private forest land in the State to carry out forest and forest soil carbon sequestration activities that will achieve the purposes specified in paragraph (2)(B); and
- "(B) for 2011 and each year thereafter, contribute to the program of the State an amount equal to 25 percent of the value of the emission allowances received under this paragraph for the year in cash, in-kind services or technical assistance
- "(4) USE OF EMISSION ALLOWANCES.—An entity that receives an allocation of emission allowances under this subsection may use the proceeds from the sale or other transfer of the emission allowances only for the purpose of carrying out activities described in this subsection.
- $\lq\lq(5)$ RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSION ALLOWANCES.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 4 years after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall submit to Congress recommendations for establishing a system under which entities that receive grants or loans under this section may be allocated carbon dioxide emission allowances created by section 705(a) for incremental carbon sequestration in forests, agricultural soils, rangeland, or grassland.
- "(B) GUIDELINES.—The recommendations shall include recommendations for development, reporting, monitoring, and verification guidelines for quantifying net carbon sequestration from land use projects that address the elements specified in paragraph (1)(A).
- "(f) ALLOCATION TO ENCOURAGE GEOLOGICAL CARBON SEQUESTRATION.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—For 2010 and each year thereafter, the Administrator shall allocate not more than 1.5 percent of the carbon dioxide emission allowances created by section 705(a) to entities that carry out geological sequestration of carbon dioxide produced by an electric generating facility in accordance with requirements established by the Administrator—

- "(A) to ensure the permanence of the sequestration; and
- "(B) to ensure that the sequestration will not cause or contribute to significant adverse effects on the environment.
- "(2) NUMBER OF EMISSION ALLOWANCES.— For 2010 and each year thereafter, the Administrator shall allocate to each entity described in paragraph (1) a number of emission allowances that is equal to the number of tons of carbon dioxide produced by the electric generating facility during the previous year that is geologically sequestered as described in paragraph (1).
- "(3) USE OF EMISSION ALLOWANCES.—An entity that receives an allocation of emission allowances under this subsection may use the proceeds from the sale or other transfer of the emission allowances only for the purpose of carrying out activities described in this subsection.

"SEC. 708. MERCURY EMISSION LIMITATIONS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—
- "(1) REGULATIONS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this title, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to establish emission limitations for mercury emissions by coal-fired electricity generating facilities.
- "(B) NO EXCEEDANCE OF NATIONAL LIMITATION.—The regulations shall ensure that the national limitation for mercury emissions from each coal-fired electricity generating facility established under section 704(a)(4) is not exceeded.
- "(C) EMISSION LIMITATIONS FOR 2009 AND THEREAFTER.—In carrying out subparagraph (A), for 2009 and each year thereafter, the Administrator shall not—
- "(i) subject to subsections (e) and (f) of section 112, establish limitations on emissions of mercury from coal-fired electricity generating facilities that allow emissions in excess of 2.48 grams of mercury per 1000 megawatt hours; or
- "(ii) differentiate between facilities that burn different types of coal.
- "(2) ANNUAL REVIEW AND DETERMINATION.—
 "(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1 of each year, the Administrator shall—
- "(i) review the total mercury emissions during the 2 previous years from electricity generating facilities located in all States; and
- "(ii) determine whether, during the 2 previous years, the total mercury emissions from facilities described in clause (i) exceeded the national limitation for mercury emissions established under section 704(a)(4).
- "(B) EXCEEDANCE OF NATIONAL LIMITA-TION —If Administrator the determines under subparagraph (A)(ii) that, during the 2 previous years, the total mercury emissions from facilities described in subparagraph (A)(i) exceeded the national limitation for mercury emissions established under section 704(a)(4), the Administrator shall, not later than 1 year after the date of the determination, revise the regulations promulgated under paragraph (1) to reduce the emission rates specified in the regulations as necessary to ensure that the national limitation for mercury emissions is not exceeded in any future year.
 - "(3) COMPLIANCE FLEXIBILITY.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Each coal-fired electricity generating facility subject to an emission limitation under this section shall be in compliance with that limitation if that limitation is greater than or equal to the quotient obtained by dividing—
- "(i) the total mercury emissions of the coal-fired electricity generating facility during each 30-day period; by
- "(ii) the quantity of electricity generated by the coal-fired electricity generating facility during that period.

- "(B) More than 1 unit at a facility.—In any case in which more than 1 coal-fired electricity generating unit at a coal-fired electricity generating facility subject to an emission limitation under this section was operated in 1999 under common ownership or control, compliance with the emission limitation may be determined by averaging the emission rates of all coal-fired electricity generating units at the electricity generating facility during each 30-day period.
- "(b) Prevention of Re-Release.—
- "(1) REGULATIONS.—Not later than July 1, 2006, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to ensure that any mercury captured or recovered by emission controls installed at an electricity generating facility is not re-released into the environment.
- (2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The regulations shall require—
- "(A) daily covers on all active waste disposal units, and permanent covers on all inactive waste disposal units, to prevent the release of mercury into the air;
- "(B) monitoring of groundwater to ensure that mercury or mercury compounds do not migrate from the waste disposal unit;
- "(C) waste disposal siting requirements and cleanup requirements to protect groundwater and surface water resources;
- "(D) elimination of agricultural application of coal combustion wastes; and
- "(E) appropriate limitations on mercury emissions from sources or processes that reprocess or use coal combustion waste, including manufacturers of wallboard and cement.

"SEC. 709. OTHER HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 1, 2006, the Administrator shall issue to owners and operators of coal-fired electricity generating facilities requests for information under section 114 that are of sufficient scope to generate data sufficient to support issuance of standards under section 112(d) for hazardous air pollutants other than mercury emitted by coal-fired electricity generating facilities.
- "(b) DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF REQUESTED INFORMATION.—The Administrator shall require each recipient of a request for information described in subsection (a) to submit the requested data not later than 180 days after the date of the request.
- "(c) PROMULGATION OF ÉMISSION STAND-ARDS.—The Administrator shall—
- "(1) not later than January 1, 2006, propose emission standards under section 112(d) for hazardous air pollutants other than mercury; and
- "(2) not later than January 1, 2007, promulgate emission standards under section 112(d) for hazardous air pollutants other than mercury.
- "(d) Prohibition on Excess Emissions.—It shall be unlawful for an electricity generating facility subject to standards for hazardous air pollutants other than mercury promulgated under subsection (c) to emit, after December 31, 2008, any such pollutant in excess of the standards.
- "(e) EFFECT ON OTHER LAW.—Nothing in this section or section 708 affects any requirement of subsection (e), (f)(2), or (n)(1)(A) of section 112, except that the emission limitations established by regulations promulgated under this section shall be deemed to represent the maximum achievable control technology for mercury emissions from electricity generating units under section 112(d).

"SEC. 710. EFFECT OF FAILURE TO PROMULGATE REGULATIONS.

- "If the Administrator fails to promulgate regulations to implement and enforce the limitations specified in section 704—
- "(1)(A) each electricity generating facility shall achieve, not later than January 1, 2010,

- an annual quantity of emissions that is less than or equal to—
- "(i) in the case of nitrogen oxides, 15 percent of the annual emissions by a similar electricity generating facility that has no controls for emissions of nitrogen oxides; and
- "(ii) in the case of carbon dioxide, 75 percent of the annual emissions by a similar electricity generating facility that has no controls for emissions of carbon dioxide; and
- "(B) each electricity generating facility that does not use natural gas as the primary combustion fuel shall achieve, not later than January 1, 2010, an annual quantity of emissions that is less than or equal to—
- "(i) in the case of sulfur dioxide, 5 percent of the annual emissions by a similar electricity generating facility that has no controls for emissions of sulfur dioxide: and
- "(ii) in the case of mercury, 10 percent of the annual emissions by a similar electricity generating facility that has no controls included specifically for the purpose of controlling emissions of mercury; and
- "(2) the applicable permit under this Act for each electricity generating facility shall be deemed to incorporate a requirement for achievement of the reduced levels of emissions specified in paragraph (1).

"SEC. 711. PROHIBITIONS.

- "It shall be unlawful-
- "(1) for the owner or operator of any electricity generating facility—
- "(A) to operate the electricity generating facility in noncompliance with the requirements of this title (including any regulations implementing this title):
- "(B) to fail to submit by the required date any emission allowances, or pay any penalty, for which the owner or operator is liable under section 705:
- "(C) to fail to provide and comply with any plan to offset excess emissions required under section 705(f); or
- "(D) to emit mercury in excess of the emission limitations established under section 708; or
- "(2) for any person to hold, use, or transfer any emission allowance allocated under this title except in accordance with regulations promulgated by the Administrator.

"SEC. 712. MODERNIZATION OF ELECTRICITY GENERATING FACILITIES.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the later of January 1, 2014, or the date that is 40 years after the date on which the electricity generating facility commences operation, each electricity generating facility shall be subject to emission limitations reflecting the application of best available control technology on a new major source of a similar size and type (as determined by the Administrator) as determined in accordance with the procedures specified in part C of title I.
- "(b) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—The requirements of this section shall be in addition to the other requirements of this title.
 "SEC. 713. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAW.
- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as expressly provided in this title, nothing in this title—
- "(1) limits or otherwise affects the application of any other provision of this Act; or
- "(2) precludes a State from adopting and enforcing any requirement for the control of emissions of air pollutants that is more stringent than the requirements imposed under this title.
- "(b) REGIONAL SEASONAL EMISSION CONTROLS.—Nothing in this title affects any regional seasonal emission control for nitrogen oxides established by the Administrator or a State under title I.".
- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 412(a) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7651k(a)) is amended in the first sentence by

striking "opacity" and inserting "mercury, opacity,".

SEC. 3. SAVINGS CLAUSE.

Section 193 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7515) is amended by striking "date of the enactment of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990" each place it appears and inserting "date of enactment of the Clean Power Act of 2005".

SEC. 4. ACID PRECIPITATION RESEARCH PROGRAM.

Section 103(j) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7403(j)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)-

- (A) in subparagraph (F)(i), by striking "effects; and" and inserting "effects, including an assessment of—
 - "(I) acid-neutralizing capacity; and
- "(II) changes in the number of water bodies in the sensitive ecosystems referred to in subparagraph (G)(ii) with an acid-neutralizing capacity greater than zero; and"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(G) SENSITIVE ECOSYSTEMS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Beginning in 2006, and every 4 years thereafter, the report under subparagraph (E) shall include—

"(I) an identification of environmental objectives necessary to be achieved (and related indicators to be used in measuring achievement of the objectives) to adequately protect and restore sensitive ecosystems; and

"(II) an assessment of the status and trends of the environmental objectives and indicators identified in previous reports under this paragraph.

"(ii) Sensitive ecosystems to be addressed.—Sensitive ecosystems to be addressed under clause (i) include—

"(I) the Adirondack Mountains, mid-Appalachian Mountains, Rocky Mountains, and southern Blue Ridge Mountains;

"(II) the Great Lakes, Lake Champlain, Long Island Sound, and the Chesapeake Bay; and

"(III) other sensitive ecosystems, as determined by the Administrator.

"(H) ACID DEPOSITION STANDARDS.—Beginning in 2006, and every 4 years thereafter, the report under subparagraph (E) shall include a revision of the report under section 404 of Public Law 101–549 (42 U.S.C. 7651 note) that includes a reassessment of the health and chemistry of the lakes and streams that were subjects of the original report under that section.": and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(4) PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE ECO-SYSTEMS.—

"(A) DETERMINATION.—Not later than December 31, 2012, the Administrator, taking into consideration the findings and recommendations of the report revisions under paragraph (3)(H), shall determine whether emission reductions under titles IV and VII are sufficient to—

 $\lq\lq(i)$ achieve the necessary reductions identified under paragraph (3)(F); and

"(ii) ensure achievement of the environmental objectives identified under paragraph (3)(G).

"(B) REGULATIONS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the Administrator makes a determination under subparagraph (A) that emission reductions are not sufficient, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to protect the sensitive ecosystems referred to in paragraph (3)(G)(ii).

"(ii) CONTENTS.—Regulations under clause (i) shall include modifications to—

"(I) provisions relating to nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide emission reductions;

"(II) provisions relating to allocations of nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide allowances; and

"(III) such other provisions as the Administrator determines to be necessary.".

SEC. 5. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR DEPOSITION MONITORING.

(a) OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.—In addition to amounts made available under any other law, there are authorized to be appropriated for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2015—

(1) for operational support of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program National Trends Network—

(A) \$2,000,000 to the United States Geological Survey;

(B) \$600,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency;

(C) \$600,000 to the National Park Service; and

(D) \$400,000 to the Forest Service;

(2) for operational support of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program Mercury Deposition Network—

(A) \$400,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency:

(B) \$400,000 to the United States Geological Survey;

(C) \$100,000 to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and

(D) \$100,000 to the National Park Service;

(3) for the National Atmospheric Deposition Program Atmospheric Integrated Research Monitoring Network \$1,500,000 to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration:

(4) for the Clean Air Status and Trends Network \$5,000,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency; and

(5) for the Temporally Integrated Monitoring of Ecosystems and Long-Term Monitoring Program \$2,500,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency.

(b) MODERNIZATION.—In addition to amounts made available under any other law, there are authorized to be appropriated—

(1) for equipment and site modernization of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program National Trends Network \$6,000,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency;

(2) for equipment and site modernization and network expansion of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program Mercury Deposition Network \$2,000,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency;

(3) for equipment and site modernization and network expansion of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program Atmospheric Integrated Research Monitoring Network \$1,000,000 to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and

(4) for equipment and site modernization and network expansion of the Clean Air Status and Trends Network \$4,600,000 to the Environmental Protection Agency.

(c) AVAILABILITY OF AMOUNTS.—Each of the

(c) AVAILABILITY OF AMOUNTS.—Each of the amounts appropriated under subsection (b) shall remain available until expended.

SEC. 6. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

Title IV of the Clean Air Act (relating to noise pollution) (42 U.S.C. 7641 et seq.)—

(1) is amended by redesignating sections 401 through 403 as sections 801 through 803, respectively; and

(2) is redesignated as title VIII and moved to appear at the end of that Act.

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, I rise today to join Senator JEFFORDS and Senator LIEBERMAN in introducing the Clean Power Act of 2005. This bill closes the loophole that has allowed the dirtiest, most polluting power plants in the Nation to escape significant pollution controls for more than 30 years.

Maine is one of the most beautiful and pristine States in the Nation. It is also one of the most environmentally responsible States in the Nation. Maine has fewer emissions of the pollutants that cause smog and acid rain than all but a handful of States. It also has one of the lowest emissions of carbon dioxide nationwide.

Unfortunately, despite the collective environmental commitment of both its citizens and industries, Maine still suffers from air pollution. Every freshwater lake, river, and stream in Maine is subject to a State mercury advisory that warns pregnant women and young children to limit consumption of fish caught in those waters. Even Acadia National Park, one of our most beautiful national parks, experiences days in which visibility is obscured by smog.

Where does all this pollution come from? A large part of it comes from a relatively small number of mostly coal-fired powerplants that exploit loopholes to escape the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Coal-fired powerplants are the single largest source of air pollution, mercury contamination, and greenhouse gas emissions in the Nation. A single coal-fired powerplant can emit more of the pollutants that cause smog and acid rain than all of the cars, factories, and businesses in Maine combined.

As the easternmost State in the Nation, Maine is downwind of almost all powerplants in the United States. Many of the pollutants emitted by these powerplants-mercury, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and carbon dioxide—end up in or over Maine. Airborne mercury falls into our lakes and streams, contaminating freshwater fish and threatening our people's health. Carbon dioxide is causing climate change that threatens to alter Maine's delicate ecological balance. Sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides come to Maine in the form of acid rain and smog that damage the health of our people and the health of our environment.

A single powerplant can emit nearly a ton of mercury in a single year. That's equivalent to incinerating over one million mercury thermometers and is enough to contaminate millions of acres of freshwater lakes. In contrast, Maine has zero powerplant emissions of mercury. This bill would reduce mercury emissions from powerplants by 90 percent.

Powerplants are also one of the largest contributors of greenhouse gas emissions in the United States. In fact, powerplants account for 40 percent of our carbon dioxide emissions, which scientists believe are the primary cause of man-made global warming.

I recently had the opportunity to view firsthand some of the dramatic impacts of global warming. In August, I traveled with Senator McCain and several other Senators to the northernmost community in the world. We visited Ny-Alesund on the Norwegian island of Spitsbergen. Located at 79°N, Ny-Alesund lies well north of the Arctic Circle and is much closer to the North Pole than to Oslo, the country's

capital. It has even served as a starting point for several polar expeditions.

Scientists tell us that the global climate is changing more rapidly than at any time since the beginning of civilization. They further state that the region of the globe changing most rapidly is the Arctic. The changes are remarkable and disturbing.

In the last 30 years, the Arctic has lost sea-ice cover over an area 10 times as large as the State of Maine. In the summer, the change is even more dramatic, with twice as much ice loss. The ice that remains is as much as 40% thinner than it was just a few decades ago. In addition to disappearing sea-ice, Arctic glaciers are also rapidly retreating. In Ny-Alesund, Senator McCAIN and I witnessed massive blocks of ice falling off glaciers that had already retreated well back from the shores where they once rested.

The Clean Power Act takes an important step in addressing global warming by reducing powerplant emissions of carbon dioxide to 2000 levels by the year 2010. Although doing so will not solve the problem of global warming, it is an important first step. In light of the rapid warming in the Arctic and the significance that this warming portends for the rest of the planet, reducing carbon dioxide emissions is a step that we can no longer afford to put off.

I am pleased that the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee will be considering clean air legislation in the 109th Congress. The Jeffords-Collins-Lieberman bill does more to reduce smog, acid rain, mercury pollution, and global warming than any other bill. Our bill provides more public health and environmental benefits than any other serious proposal, and it provides those benefits sooner.

I believe it is time to stop acid rain, free our lakes from mercury pollution, reduce global warming, and eliminate the smog that drifts in to obscure Maine skies and jeopardize our health. I look forward to working with the administration and my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to provide cleaner air.

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, I rise today to cosponsor Senator JEFFORDS' bill—as I have in the last three Congresses—because I remain dedicated to reducing power plant emissions that cause some of the Nation's—and Maine's—most serious public health and environmental problems.

For too many years, coal-burning power plants exempt from emissions standards under the Clean Air Act have created massive pollution problems for the Northeast because whatever spews out of their smokestacks in the Midwest, blows into the Northeast, including my State of Maine, giving it the dubious distinction of being at the "end of the tailpipe", so to speak.

The Jeffords' legislation calls for re-

The Jeffords' legislation calls for reductions of power plant emissions for pollutants that cause smog, soot, respiratory disease; acid rain that kills our forests and may be affecting Atlan-

tic salmon streams; mercury that contaminates our lakes, rivers and streams; and poses health risks to children and the unborn, and climate variabilities from manmade carbon dioxide emissions that cause severe shifts in our weather patterns. Maine currently leads the nation in asthma cases per capita, which is not a surprise, but which it can do little about when nearly 80 percent of the State's dirty air—some days as high as 90 percent—is not of their own making but is transported by winds blowing in from the Midwest and Southeast.

This bill will dramatically cut aggregate power plant emissions by 2010 for the four major power plant pollutants: nitrogen oxides (NO_X), the primary cause of smog, by 71 percent from 2000 levels; sulfur dioxide (SO₂), that causes acid rain and respiratory disease, by 81 percent from 2000 levels; mercury (Hg), which poisons our lakes and rivers, causing fish to be unfit for human consumption, through a 90 percent reduction by 2009; and carbon dioxide (CO₂), the greenhouse gas most directly linked to global climate change, by 21 percent from 2000 levels. Of note, the NO_X, SO₂, and mercury reductions are set at levels that are known to be costeffective with available technology.

The Clean Power Act will also eliminate the outdated coal-burning power plants that were grandfathered in under the Clean Air Act unless they apply the best available pollution control technology by their 40th birthday or 2014, whichever is later. The thinking for the exemption in the Clean Air Act was based, at the time, on the assumption that the plants would not stay on line much longer. However, as energy has gotten more expensive, companies are keeping these older, dirtier plants up and running.

Furthermore, just as the Clean Air Act already provides tradable allowances for sulfur dioxide that causes acid rain, the Jeffords' legislation also allows for tradable allowances to control emissions for three other pollutants— NO_X , SO_X , and CO_2 —by using market-oriented mechanisms to meet emissions reduction requirements.

The tradable allowances would be distributed to five main categories, including 63 percent or more to households; six percent for transition assistance to affected communities and industries, which will decline over time; up to 20 percent to renewable energy generation, efficiency projects and cleaner energy sources, based on avoided pollution; 10 percent to existing electric generating facilities based on 2003 output; and up to 1.5 percent of the carbon dioxide allowances for biological and geological carbon sequestration. Of note, trading will not be allowed if it enables a power plant to pollute at a level that damages public health or the environment.

I am disappointed that the Clear Skies initiative addresses neither carbon dioxide as a pollutant nor anthropogenic emissions reductions for CO₂. While I recognize that the pollutants listed under the Clean Air Act were chosen in order to achieve healthier air for humans by cutting back on smog and soot, and also for mercury contamination, I believe it is long past due that carbon dioxide be recognized as a pollutant that is harming the health of the planet, and indirectly, all of us.

I am supporting the goal of CO₂ emissions reduction in the Jeffords' bill in the hopes that the bill will be a rallying point to further the debate for reducing CO2 and at the same time, get our air cleaner on a quicker timeframe. In particular, Congress needs to develop a market mechanism approach for CO₂ emissions trading—such as we now have for acid rain-to allow U.S. industries the flexibility and certainty to reduce CO2 emissions without the threat of higher energy production costs in the future that will be passed on to the consumer. I will continue to work with my colleagues, the White House and representatives from various industry groups, and environmental organizations to achieve this goal.

The bottom line is that we have the opportunity to raise the bar for cleaner domestic energy production in an economically effective manner. Solutions exist in available and developing technologies, and most of all in the entrepreneurial spirit of the American people who want a cleaner and healthier environment, including those in Maine who want to ensure that the State's pristine lakes and coast will remain clean and our forests and fish healthy for generations to come.

My State of Maine is leading the way in attempting to reduce CO₂ emissions as it is the first state in the nation to enact a law setting goals for the reduction of global warming emissions, through An Act to Provide Leadership in Addressing the Threat of Climate Change. The Act requires Maine to develop a climate change action plan to reduce carbon dioxide emissions to 1990 levels by 2010, 10 percent below 1990 levels by 2020, and by as much as 75 to 80 percent over the long term. These are the cuts previously agreed to by the New England Governors and Eastern Canadian Premiers. The State law will also inventory and reduce CO2 emissions from state-funded programs and facilities, and to spur at least 50 partnerships with businesses and non-profit organizations to reduce CO₂ emissions.

While Maine was the first to put into effect a comprehensive climate change law, other states from the Northeast and around the country have taken, or are currently taking, actions to address climate change at the state or regional level. The Jeffords' legislation calls for Federal leadership as well and sends a powerful message to those who would heavily pollute our air: your days are numbered.

I am optimistic that the Congress can come together with the President, industry and all those who want cleaner, healthier air to create a cohesive policy that is best suited for our nation, and I urge my colleagues to support the Jeffords' four-pollutant legislation.

By Mr. COLEMAN (for himself and Mr. PRYOR):

S. 151. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to require an annual plan on outreach activities of the Department of Veterans Affairs; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

Mr. COLEMAN. Mr. President, today I am pleased to introduce the Veterans Benefits Outreach Act of 2005 with my good friend and colleague, Senator MARK PRYOR of Arkansas.

The idea for this legislation emanated from a very troubling story I read in my hometown paper, the Saint Paul Pioneer Press entitled, "Wounded and Forgotten."

The article reported that nearly 600,000 veterans are eligible for benefits but not receiving them simply because they don't know they are eligible.

It is clear that we need to do a better job of reaching out to veterans so they get the benefits they have earned. Our bill would do this by requiring the Veterans Administration to develop an annual plan to identify veterans who are eligible for but not receiving their benefits and an outreach plan to enroll them.

Pretty simply really: matching benefits with people who have earned them, and often through a lot of sacrifice for us and the freedoms we enjoy every day.

I hope the Senate will be able to act on this important legislation early this year so my hometown newspaper can report that our veterans are always remembered.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 151

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Veterans Benefits Outreach Act of 2005".

SEC. 2. ANNUAL PLAN ON OUTREACH ACTIVITIES.

(a) ANNUAL PLAN REQUIRED.—Subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 523 the following new section:

"§ 523A. Annual plan on outreach activities

- "(a) Annual Plan Required.—The Secretary shall prepare each year a plan for the outreach activities of the Department for the following year.
- "(b) ELEMENTS.—Each annual plan under subsection (a) shall include the following:
- "(1) Plans for efforts to identify veterans who are not enrolled or registered with the Department for benefits or services under the programs administered by the Secretary.
- "(2) Plans for informing veterans and their dependents of modifications of the benefits and services under the programs administered by the Secretary, including eligibility for medical and nursing care and services.
- "(c) COORDINATION IN DEVELOPMENT.—In developing an annual plan under subsection (a),

the Secretary shall consult with the following:

"(1) Directors or other appropriate officials of organizations recognized by the Secretary under section 5902 of this title.

"(2) Directors or other appropriate officials of State and local education and training programs.

- "(3) Representatives of non-governmental organizations that carry out veterans outreach programs.
- "(4) Representatives of State and local veterans employment organizations.
- ``(5) Businesses and professional organizations.
- "(6) Other individuals and organizations that assist veterans in adjusting to civilian life.

"(d) Incorporation of Assessment of Previous Annual Plans.—In developing an annual plan under subsection (a), the Secretary shall take into account the lessons learned from the implementation of previous annual plans under such subsection.

"(e) Incorporation of Recommendations To Improve Outreach and Awareness.—In developing an annual plan under subsection (a), the Secretary shall incorporate the recommendations for the improvement of veterans outreach and awareness activities included in the report submitted to Congress by the Secretary pursuant to section 805 of the Veterans Benefits Improvement Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-454)."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 523 the following new item:

"523A. Annual plan on outreach activities.".

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. BOXER):

S. 153. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a resource study of the Rim of the Valley Corridor in the State of California to evaluate alternatives for protecting the resources of the Corridor, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I am pleased to introduce this bill today along with Senator Boxer as cosponsor to direct the Interior Secretary to conduct a study to evaluate the suitability and feasibility of expanding the Santa Monica National Recreation Area to include the Rim of the Valley Corridor.

The Rim of the Valley Corridor encircles the San Fernando Valley, La Crescenta, Simi, Conejo, and Santa Clarita Valleys, consisting of parts of the Santa Monica Mountains, Santa Susanna Mountains, San Gabriel Mountains, Verdugo Mountains, San Rafael Hills and connects to the adjacent Los Padres and San Bernardino National Forests.

This parcel of land is unique because of its rare Mediterranean ecosystem and wildlife corridor that stretches north from the Santa Monicas. With the population growth forecasted to multiply exponentially over the next several decades, the need for parks to balance out the expected population growth has become critical in California.

Since the creation of the Santa Monica Recreation Area in 1978, Federal, State, and local authorities have worked successfully together to create

and maintain the highly successful Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, the world's largest urban park, hemmed in on all sides by development.

Park and recreational lands provide people with a vital refuge from urban life while preserving valuable habitat and wildlife. With the passage of this legislation, Congress will hold true to its original commitment to preserve the scenic, natural, and historic setting of the Santa Monica Mountains Recreation Area.

With the inclusion of the Rim of the Valley Corridor in the Santa Monica Mountains Recreation Area, greater ecological health and diversity will be promoted, particularly for larger animals like mountain lions, bobcats, and the golden eagle. By creating a single contiguous Rim of the Valley Trail, people will enjoy greater access to existing trails in the Recreational Area.

After the study called for in this bill is complete, the Secretary of the Interior and Congress will be in a key position to determine whether all or portions of the Rim of the Valley Corridor warrant national park status.

This bill enjoys strong support from local and State officials and I hope that it will have as much strong bipartisan support this Congress, as it did last Congress. Congressman ADAM SCHIFF plans to introduce companion legislation for this bill in the House and I applaud his commitment to this issue.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation and I ask unanimous consent that the text of this proposed legislation be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 153

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Rim of the Valley Corridor Study Act".

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

- In this Act:
- (1) CORRIDOR.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The term "Corridor" means the land, water, and interests of the area in the State known as the "Rim of the Valley Corridor".
- (B) INCLUSIONS.—The term "Corridor" includes the mountains surrounding the San Fernando, La Crescenta, Santa Clarita, Simi, and Conejo valleys in the State.
- (2) RECREATION AREA.—The term "Recreation Area" means the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area in the State.
- (3) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary means the Secretary of the Interior.
- (4) STATE.—The term "State" means the State of California

SEC. 3. RESOURCE STUDY OF THE RIM OF THE VALLEY CORRIDOR, CALIFORNIA.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a resource study of the Corridor to evaluate various alternatives for protecting the resources of the Corridor, including designating all or a portion of the Corridor as a unit of the Recreation Area.
- (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

- (1) seek to achieve the objectives of—
- (A) protecting wildlife populations in the Recreation Area by preserving habitat linkages and wildlife movement corridors between large blocks of habitat in adjoining regional open space;
- (B) establishing connections along the State-designated Rim of the Valley Trail System for the purposes of—
- (i) creating a single contiguous Rim of the Valley Trail; and
- (ii) encompassing major feeder trails connecting adjoining communities and regional transit to the Rim of the Valley Trail System:
- (C) preserving recreational opportunities;
- (D) facilitating access to open space for a variety of recreational users;
 - (E) protecting-
- (i) rare, threatened, or endangered plant and animal species; and
- (ii) rare or unusual plant communities and habitats;
- (F) protecting historically significant landscapes, districts, sites, and structures; and
- (G) respecting the needs of communities in, or in the vicinity of, the Corridor;
- (2) analyze the potential impact of each alternative on staffing and other potential costs to Federal, State, and local agencies and other organizations; and
- (3) analyze the potential impact that designating all or a portion of the Corridor as a unit of the Recreation Area would have on land in or bordering the area that is privately owned as of the date on which the study is conducted.
- (c) CONSULTATION.—In conducting the study, the Secretary shall consult with appropriate Federal, State, county, and local government entities.
- (d) APPLICABLE LAW.—Section 8(c) of Public Law 91–383 (16 U.S.C. 1a–5(c)) shall apply to the conduct and completion of the study required by subsection (a).

SEC. 4. REPORT.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are first made available for the study, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and to the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives a report that describes the results of the study conducted under section
- (b) INCLUSION.—The report submitted under subsection shall include the concerns of private landowners within the boundaries of the Recreation Area.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. HATCH, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. KYL):

S. 155. A bill to increase and enhance law enforcement resources committed to investigation and prosecution of violent gangs, to deter and punish violent gang crime, to protect law-abiding citizens and communities from violent criminals, to revise and enhance criminal penalties for violent crimes, to reform and facilitate prosecution of juvenile gang members who commit violent crimes, to expand and improve gang prevention programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I rise today to join my good friend and colleague Senator Orrin Hatch, to introduce the "Gang Prevention and Effective Deterrence Act of 2005."

Gangs are spreading across our country, increasing in violence and power in

every State. The growth and spread of these gangs illustrate the simple fact that they are no longer a local problem. They are a national problem, and require a national solution. This bill is designed to contribute to that solution by bringing together Federal, State and local law enforcement, equipping them with the right legal tools, and providing authorization for funds to make this partnership effective.

First, let me illustrate the scope of the problem we face: In 2002, there were approximately 731,500 gang members and 21,500 gangs in the United States. Additionally, the FBI report on national crime statistics found that youth-gang homicides had jumped to more than 1,100 in 2002, up from 692 in 1999. According to a report commissioned by a coalition of big city police chiefs, gang-related killings skyrocketed by 50 percent from 1999 to 2002. In 2002, there were a little more than 16,000 homicides in the United States—more than a thousand of those murders were gang-related. In Southern California alone there have been about 3,100 gang-related killings since 1999. 87 percent of U.S. cities with a population of more than 100,000 have reported gang problems, according to the Department of justice.

The bottom line is that this is a major problem.

This legislation before us today squarely addresses these serious issues. Its main point is to create a new type of crime, by defining and criminalizing "Criminal Street Gangs." This recognizes the basic point of a street gang—it is more powerful, and more dangerous, than its individual members. Defeating gangs means recognizing what is so dangerous about them, and then making that conduct against the law

This bill does exactly that. It makes illegal participation in a criminal street gang a federal crime. A "criminal street gang" is defined to mean a formal or informal group, club, organization or association of 3 or more persons who act together to commit gang crimes. This legislation makes it a crime for a member of a criminal street gang to commit, conspire or attempt to commit two or more predicate gang crimes; or to get another individual to commit a gang crime. The term "gang crime" is defined to include violent and other serious State and Federal felony crimes such as: murder, maiming, manslaughter, kidnapping, arson, robbery, assault with a dangerous weapon, obstruction of justice, carjacking, distribution of a controlled substance, certain firearms offenses and money laundering. And it criminalizes violent crimes in furtherance or in aid of criminal street gangs

These two provisions are at the heart of this legislation. Armed with this new law, Federal prosecutors, working in tandem with State and local law enforcement, will be able to take on gangs in much the same way that traditional Mafia families have been sys-

tematically destroyed by effective RICO prosecutions. The legislation also recognizes that the core changes, standing alone, are not sufficient.

The Gang Prevention and Effective Deterrence Act is a comprehensive bill to increase gang prosecution and prevention efforts. The bill authorizes approximately \$650 million over the next five years to support Federal, State and local law enforcement efforts against violent gangs including the funding of witness protection programs and for intervention and prevention programs for at-risk youth. In support of this effort, the bill increases funding for Federal prosecutors and FBI agents to increase coordinated enforcement efforts against violent gangs.

Witness protection is particularly important—as an example, recent press reports from Boston show that gang members are distributing what is, in essence, a witness intimidation media kit, complete with graphics and CDs that warn potential witnesses that they will be killed—one CD depicts three bodies on its covers. In another incident, a witnesses' grand jury testimony was taped to his home—soon afterward he was killed.

The Act also creates new criminal gang prosecution offenses, enhances existing gang and violent crime penalties to deter and punish illegal street gangs, proposes violent crime reforms needed to effectively prosecute gang members, and proposes a limited reform of the juvenile justice system to facilitate Federal prosecution of 16 and 17 year old gang members who commit serious acts of violence—specifically it:

Makes recruiting minors to join criminal street gangs a Federal crime and requires offenders to pay the costs associated with housing and treating any recruited minor who is prosecuted for their gang activity.

Makes murder and other violent crimes committed in connection with drug trafficking Federal crimes.

Creates a new offense of multiple interstate murders, where an individual crosses State lines and intends to cause the death of two or more people.

Allows for prosecution of gang members who cross State lines to obstruct justice, intimidate or retaliate against witnesses, jurors, informants, or victims.

Creates tougher laws for certain Federal crimes like assault, carjacking, manslaughter, conspiracy, and for specific types of crimes occurring in Indian country.

Requires that someone convicted of hiring another person to commit murder be punished with imprisonment, instead of a fine.

Makes sexual assault a predicate act under RICO and increases the maximum sentences for these RICO crimes.

Allows for detention of persons charged with firearms who have been previously convicted of prior crimes of violence or serious drug offenses. Current law does not allow a prosecutor to

ask that a person be held without bail even if the person has previously been convicted of a crime of violence or a serious drug offense. This bill would allow prosecutors to make that request of a judge but would allow a criminal defendant the right to argue why he or she should not be held.

Makes it clear that in a death penalty case, the case can be tried where the murder, or related conduct, occurred.

Extends the time within which a violent crime case can be charged and tried. For violent crime cases, the time is extended from 5 years to 10 years after the offense occurred or the continuing offense was completed, and from 5 years to 8 years after the date on which the violation was first discovered.

Permits wiretaps to be used for new gang crimes created by this bill.

Allows for a murdered witness's statements to be admitted at trial in cases where the defendant caused the witness's death.

Makes clear where a case can be tried involving retaliation against a witness—in either the district where the case is being tried, or where the intimidation took place.

Increases penalties for criminal use of firearms in crimes of violence and drug trafficking.

Includes modified juvenile provisions. This bill will allow prosecutors to more easily charge 16 and 17 year olds who are charged with serious violent felonies. A judge will review every decision a prosecutor makes to charge a juvenile as an adult.

Creates and provides assistance for "High Intensity" Interstate Gang Activity areas. This legislation requires the Attorney General to designate certain locations as "high intensity interstate gang activity areas" and provides assistance in the form of criminal street gang enforcement teams made up of local, State and Federal law enforcement authorities to investigate and prosecute criminal street gangs in each high intensity interstate gang activity area.

Authorizes funding of \$500 million for 2004 through 2008 to meet the goals of suppression and intervention: \$50 million a year will be used to support the criminal gang enforcement teams. \$50 million a year will be used to make grants available for community-based programs to provide for crime prevention and intervention services for gang members and at-risk youth in areas designated as high intensity interstate gang activity areas.

Authorizes \$150 million over five years to support anti-gang efforts including: Expanding the Project Safe Neighborhood program to require U.S. Attorneys to identify and prosecute significant gangs within their district; coordinating such prosecutions among all local, State, and Federal law enforcement; and coordinating criminal street gang enforcement teams in designated high intensity interstate gang

activity areas. Supporting the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Safe Streets Program. Creating and expanding witness protection programs, the hiring of additional State and local prosecutors, funding gang prevention and community prosecution programs and purchasing equipment to increase the accurate identification and prosecution of violent offenders.

The bottom line is that this legislation would provide the tools and the resources to begin that national task of destroying criminal street gangs. It is designed to emphasize and encourage Federal, State and local cooperation. It combines enforcement with prevention. It is a tough, effective and fair approach.

This is not a new bill. I have been working on it for almost ten years. In 1996, I joined Senator HATCH and others to develop the Federal Gang Violence Act, which would have increased criminal penalties for gang members, made recruiting persons into a criminal street gang a crime, and enhanced penalties for transferring a gun to a minor. Many of the provisions of that bill were incorporated into the 1999 Juvenile Justice bill, which was approved overwhelmingly (73-25) by the Senate in the 106th Congress. However, the Juvenile Justice bill stalled in conference, and these provisions were never signed into law.

In the years that followed we kept up our efforts, with Republicans and Democrats working together on this critical issue. In the 108th Congress a version of this bill was introduced, and eventually was co-sponsored by Senators HATCH and others. That bill was the subject of much discussion and debate. Some of my colleagues raised some valuable suggestions and criticisms, many of which were incorporated in the bill last year. The result of that compromise was reported favorably by the Judiciary Committee last Fall, but was never considered by the full Senate.

The legislation today is the same as that which was approved by the Judiciary Committee, and I hope this year we will move quickly to pass it into law. That said, I understand that some of my colleagues are still concerned about certain aspects of the bill. My intention is to continue to negotiate in the weeks ahead. I am open to change, and welcome further discussion and analysis.

We all agree that gangs are a terrible and growing problem. We all agree that something needs to be done. I believe that this legislation is desperately needed, and I look forward to working with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to take this bill and make it law.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows: S. 155

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Gang Prevention and Effective Deterrence Act of 2005".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—CRIMINAL STREET GANG ABATEMENT ACT

Sec. 100. Findings.

SUBTITLE A—CRIMINAL LAW REFORMS AND ENHANCED PENALTIES TO DETER AND PUNISH ILLEGAL STREET GANG ACTIVITY

Sec. 101. Solicitation or recruitment of persons in criminal street gang activity.

Sec. 102. Criminal street gangs.

Sec. 103. Violent crimes in furtherance or in aid of criminal street gangs.

Sec. 104. Interstate and foreign travel or transportation in aid of criminal street gangs.

Sec. 105. Amendments relating to violent crime in areas of exclusive Federal jurisdiction.

Sec. 106. Increased penalties for use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder-for-hire and other felony crimes of violence.

Sec. 107. Increased penalties for violent crimes in aid of racketeering activity.

Sec. 108. Murder and other violent crimes committed during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime.

SUBTITLE B—INCREASED FEDERAL RESOURCES TO DETER AND PREVENT AT-RISK YOUTH FROM JOINING ILLEGAL STREET GANGS

Sec. 110. Designation of and assistance for "high intensity" interstate gang activity areas.

Sec. 111. Enhancement of project safe neighborhoods initiative to improve enforcement of criminal laws against violent gangs.

Sec. 112. Additional resources needed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to investigate and prosecute violent criminal street gangs.

Sec. 113. Grants to State and local prosecutors to combat violent crime and to protect witnesses and victims of crimes.

Sec. 114. Reauthorize the gang resistance education and training projects program.

TITLE II—VIOLENT CRIME REFORMS NEEDED TO DETER AND PREVENT ILLEGAL GANG CRIME

Sec. 201. Multiple interstate murder.

Sec. 202. Expansion of rebuttable presumption against release of persons charged with firearms offenses.

Sec. 203. Venue in capital cases.

Sec. 204. Statute of limitations for violent crime.

Sec. 205. Predicate crimes for authorization of interception of wire, oral, and electronic communications.

Sec. 206. Clarification to hearsay exception for forfeiture by wrongdoing.

Sec. 207. Clarification of venue for retaliation against a witness.

Sec. 208. Amendment of sentencing guidelines relating to certain gang and violent crimes.

Sec. 209. Increased penalties for criminal use of firearms in crimes of violence and drug trafficking.

- Sec. 210. Possession of firearms by dangerous felons.
- Sec. 211. Conforming amendment.

TITLE III—JUVENILE CRIME REFORM FOR VIOLENT OFFENDERS

Sec. 301. Treatment of Federal juvenile offenders.

Sec. 302. Notification after arrest.

Sec. 303. Release and detention prior to disposition.

Sec. 304. Speedy trial.

Sec. 305. Federal sentencing guidelines.

TITLE I—CRIMINAL STREET GANG ABATEMENT ACT

SEC. 100. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that-

- (1) violent crime and drug trafficking are pervasive problems at the national, State, and local level:
- (2) the crime rate is exacerbated by the association of persons in gangs to commit acts of violence and drug offenses;
- (3) according to the most recent National Drug Threat Assessment, criminal street gangs are responsible for the distribution of much of the cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin, and other illegal drugs being distributed in rural and urban communities throughout the United States;
- (4) gangs commit acts of violence or drug offenses for numerous motives, such as membership in or loyalty to the gang, for protecting gang territory, and for profit;
- (5) gang presence has a pernicious effect on the free flow of commerce in local businesses and directly affects the freedom and security of communities plagued by gang activity;
- (6) gangs often recruit and utilize minors to engage in acts of violence and other serious offenses out of a belief that the criminal justice systems are more lenient on juvenile offenders:
- (7) gangs often intimidate and threaten witnesses to prevent successful prosecutions;
- (8) gang recruitment can be deterred through increased vigilance, strong criminal penalties, equal partnerships with State and local law enforcement, and proactive intervention efforts, particularly targeted at juveniles, prior to gang involvement;
- (9) State and local prosecutors, in hearings before the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, enlisted the help of Congress in the prevention, investigation, and prosecution of gang crimes and in the protection of witnesses and victims of gang crimes; and
- (10) because State and local prosecutors and law enforcement have the expertise, experience, and connection to the community that is needed to combat gang violence, consultation and coordination between Federal, State, and local law enforcement is critical to the successful prosecutions of criminal street gangs.

Subtitle A—Criminal Law Reforms and Enhanced Penalties To Deter and Punish Illegal Street Gang Activity

SEC. 101. SOLICITATION OR RECRUITMENT OF PERSONS IN CRIMINAL STREET GANG ACTIVITY.

Chapter 26 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 522. Recruitment of persons to participate in a criminal street gang

- "(a) Prohibited Acts.—It shall be unlawful for any person to recruit, employ, solicit, induce, command, or cause another person to be or remain as a member of a criminal street gang, or conspire to do so, with the intent to cause that person to participate in an offense described in section 521(a).
 - "(b) DEFINITION.—In this section:
- "(1) CRIMINAL STREET GANG.—The term 'criminal street gang' shall have the same meaning as in section 521(a) of this title.

- "(2) MINOR.—The term 'minor' means a person who is less than 18 years of age.
- "(c) PENALTIES.—Any person who violates subsection (a) shall—
- "(1) be imprisoned not more than 5 years, fined under this title, or both; or
- "(2) if the person recruited, solicited, induced, commanded, or caused to participate or remain in a criminal street gang is under the age of 18—
- "(A) be imprisoned for not more than 10 years, fined under this title, or both; and
- "(B) at the discretion of the sentencing judge, be liable for any costs incurred by the Federal Government, or by any State or local government, for housing, maintaining, and treating the person until the person attains the age of 18 years."

SEC. 102. CRIMINAL STREET GANGS.

(a) CRIMINAL STREET GANG PROSECUTIONS.—Section 521 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 521. Criminal street gang prosecutions

- "(a) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this chapter:
- "(1) CRIMINAL STREET GANG.—The term 'criminal street gang' means a formal or informal group, club, organization, or association of 3 or more individuals, who individually, jointly, or in combination, have committed or attempted to commit for the direct or indirect benefit of, at the direction of, in furtherance of, or in association with the group, club organization, or association at least 2 separate acts, each of which is a predicate gang crime, 1 of which occurs after the date of enactment of the Gang Prevention and Effective Deterrence Act of 2004 and the last of which occurs not later than 10 years (excluding any period of imprisonment) after the commission of a prior predicate gang crime, and 1 predicate gang crime is a crime of violence or involves manufacturing, importing, distributing, possessing with intent to distribute, or otherwise dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemicals (as those terms are defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)) provided that the activities of the criminal street gang affect interstate or foreign commerce, or involve the use of any facility of, or travel in, interstate or foreign commerce.
- "(2) PREDICATE GANG CRIME.—The term predicate gang crime' means—
- "(A) any act, threat, conspiracy, or attempted act, which is chargeable under Federal or State law and punishable by imprisonment for more than 1 year involving—
 - "(i) murder:
- "(ii) manslaughter;
- "(iii) maiming;
- "(iv) assault with a dangerous weapon;
- ``(v) assault resulting in serious bodily injury;
- "(vi) gambling;
- "(vii) kidnapping;
- "(viii) robbery;
- "(ix) extortion;
- "(x) arson;
- "(xi) obstruction of justice;
- ``(xii) tampering with or retaliating against a witness, victim, or informant;
- "(xiii) burglary;
- "(xiv) sexual assault (which means any offense that involves conduct that would violate chapter 109A if the conduct occurred in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction);
- "(xv) carjacking; or
- "(xvi) manufacturing, importing, distributing, possessing with intent to distribute, or otherwise dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemicals (as those terms are defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802));
- ``(B) any act punishable by imprisonment for more than 1 year under—

- "(i) section 844 (relating to explosive materials):
- "(ii) section 922(g)(1) (where the underlying conviction is a violent felony (as defined in section 924(e)(2)(B) of this title) or is a serious drug offense (as defined in section 924(e)(2)(A) of this title));
- "(iii) subsection (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), or (h) of section 924 (relating to receipt, possession, and transfer of firearms);
- "(iv) sections 1028 and 1029 (relating to fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents or access devices);
- "(v) section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice):
- "(vi) section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations);
- "(vii) section 1512 (relating to tampering with a witness, victim, or informant), or section 1513 (relating to retaliating against a witness, victim, or informant);
- "(viii) section 1708 (relating to theft of stolen mail matter);
- "(ix) section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery or extortion);
- "(x) section 1952 (relating to racketeering);
 "(xi) section 1956 (relating to the laun-
- dering of monetary instruments); "(xii) section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity):
- "(xiii) section 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder-for-hire); or
- "(xiv) sections 2312 through 2315 (relating to interstate transportation of stolen motor vehicles or stolen property); or
- "(C) any act involving the Immigration and Nationality Act, section 274 (relating to bringing in and harboring certain aliens), section 277 (relating to aiding or assisting certain aliens to enter the United States), or section 278 (relating to importation of alien for immoral purpose).
- "(3) STATE.—The term 'State' means each of the several States of the United States, the District of Columbia, and any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States.
- "(b) PARTICIPATION IN CRIMINAL STREET GANGS.—It shall be unlawful—
- "(1) to commit, or conspire or attempt to commit a predicate crime—
- "(A) in furtherance or in aid of the activities of a criminal street gang;
- "(B) for the purpose of gaining entrance to or maintaining or increasing position in such a gang; or
- "(C) for the direct or indirect benefit of the criminal street gang, or in association with the criminal street gang; or
- "(2) to employ, use, command, counsel, persuade, induce, entice, or coerce any individual to commit, cause to commit, or facilitate the commission of, a predicate gang crime—
- "(A) in furtherance or in aid of the activities of a criminal street gang;
- ``(B) for the purpose of gaining entrance to or maintaining or increasing position in such a gang; or
- "(C) for the direct or indirect benefit or the criminal street gang, or in association with the criminal street gang.
- "(c) PENALTIES.—Whoever violates paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (b)—
- $\lq\lq(1)$ shall be fined under this title, imprisoned for not more than 30 years, or both; and
- "(2) if the violation is based on a predicate gang crime for which the maximum penalty includes life imprisonment, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both.
 - "(d) FORFEITURE.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The court, in imposing sentence on a person who is convicted of an offense under this section, shall order that the defendant forfeit to the United States—

- "(A) any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross proceeds obtained from such offense; and
- "(B) any property used or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit or to facilitate the commission of such violation.
- "(2) CRIMINAL PROCEDURES.—The procedures set forth in section 413 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 853), other than subsection (d) of that section, and in rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, shall apply to all stages of a criminal forfeiture proceeding under this section.
- "(3) CIVIL PROCEDURES.—Property subject to forfeiture under paragraph (1) may be forfeited in a civil case pursuant to the procedures set forth in chapter 46 of this title.".
- (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 26 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
 - "521. Criminal street gang prosecutions.".

SEC. 103. VIOLENT CRIMES IN FURTHERANCE OR IN AID OF CRIMINAL STREET GANGS.

(a) VIOLENT CRIMES AND CRIMINAL STREET GANG RECRUITMENT.—Chapter 26 of title 18, United States Code, as amended by section 101, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 523. Violent crimes in furtherance or in aid of a criminal street gang

- "(a) Any person who, for the purpose of gaining entrance to or maintaining or increasing position in, or in furtherance or in aid of, or for the direct or indirect benefit of, or in association with a criminal street gang, or as consideration for the receipt of, or as consideration for a promise or agreement to pay, anything of pecuniary value to or from a criminal street gang, murders, kidnaps, sexually assaults (which means any offense that involved conduct that would violate chapter 109A if the conduct occurred in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction), maims, assaults with a dangerous weapon, commits assault resulting in serious bodily injury upon, commits any other crime of violence or threatens to commit a crime of violence against any individual, or attempts or conspires to do so, shall be punished, in addition and consecutive to the punishment provided for any other violation of this chapter-
- "(1) for murder, by death or imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(2) for kidnapping or sexual assault, by imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(3) for maiming, by imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under this title or both."
- "(4) for assault with a dangerous weapon or assault resulting in serious bodily injury, by imprisonment for not more than 30 years, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(5) for any other crime of violence, by imprisonment for not more than 20 years, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(6) for threatening to commit a crime of violence specified in paragraphs (1) through (4), by imprisonment for not more than 10 years, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(7) for attempting or conspiring to commit murder, kidnapping, maiming, or sexual assault, by imprisonment for not more than 30 years, a fine under this title, or both; and
- "(8) for attempting or conspiring to commit a crime involving assault with a dangerous weapon or assault resulting in serious bodily injury, by imprisonment for not more than 20 years, a fine under this title, or both.
- "(b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'criminal street gang' has the same meaning as in section 521 of this title."

- (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 26 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
 - "522. Recruitment of persons to participate in a criminal street gang.
 "523. Violent crimes in furtherance of a
 - 3. Violent crimes in furtherance of a criminal street gang.".

SEC. 104. INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN TRAVEL OR TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACK-ETEERING ENTERPRISES AND CRIMINAL STREET GANGS.

Section 1952 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) in subsection (a)—
- (A) by striking "and thereafter performs or attempts to perform" and inserting "and thereafter performs, or attempts or conspires to perform":
- (B) by striking "5 years" and inserting "10 years"; and
- (C) by inserting "punished by death or" after "if death results shall be";
- (2) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively;
- (3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:
- lowing:

 "(b) Whoever travels in interstate or foreign commerce or uses the mail or any facility in interstate or foreign commerce, with the intent to kill, assault, bribe, force, intimidate, or threaten any person, to delay or influence the testimony of, or prevent from testifying, a witness in a State criminal proceeding and thereafter performs, or attempts or conspires to perform, an act described in this subsection. shall—
- "(1) be fined under this title, imprisoned for any term of years, or both; and
- "(2) if death results, be punished by death or imprisonment for any term of years or for life."; and
- (4) in subsection (c)(2), as redesignated under subparagraph (B), by inserting "intimidation of, or retaliation against, a witness, victim, juror, or informant," after "extortion, bribery,".

SEC. 105. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO VIOLENT CRIME IN AREAS OF EXCLUSIVE FEDERAL JURISDICTION.

- (a) ASSAULT WITHIN MARITIME AND TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION OF UNITED STATES.—Section 113(a)(3) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking "with intent to do bodily harm, and without just cause or excuse."
- (b) Manslaughter.—Section 1112(b) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by—
- (1) striking "ten years" and inserting "20 years" and
- (2) striking "six years" and inserting "10 years".
- (c) OFFENSES COMMITTED WITHIN INDIAN COUNTRY.—Section 1153(a) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting "an offense for which the maximum statutory term of imprisonment under section 1363 is greater than 5 years," after "a felony under chapter 109A."
- (d) RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS.—Section 1961(1) of title 18, United States Code is amended—
- (1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting ", or would have been so chargeable if the act or threat (other than lawful forms of gambling) had not been committed in Indian country (as defined in section 1151) or in any other area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction," after "chargeable under State law"; and
- (2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting "section 1123 (relating to multiple interstate murder)," after "section 1084 (relating to the transmission of wagering information),".
- (e) Carjacking.—Section 2119 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking ", with the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm".
- (f) CLARIFICATION OF ILLEGAL GUN TRANS-FERS TO COMMIT DRUG TRAFFICKING CRIME OR

CRIMES OF VIOLENCE.—Section 924(h) of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

- "(h) ILLEGAL TRANSFERS.—Whoever knowingly transfers a firearm, knowing that the firearm will be used to commit, or possessed in furtherance of, a crime of violence (as defined in subsection (c)(3)) or drug trafficking crime (as defined in subsection (c)(2)), shall be imprisoned for not more than 10 years, fined under this title, or both."
- (g) AMENDMENT OF SPECIAL SENTENCING PROVISION.—Section 3582(d) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) by striking "chapter 95 (racketeering) or 96 (racketeer influenced and corrupt organizations) of this title" and inserting "section 521 (criminal street gangs) or 522 (violent crimes in furtherance or in aid of criminal street gangs), in chapter 95 (racketeering) or 96 (racketeer influenced and corrupt organizations),"; and
- (2) by inserting "a criminal street gang or" before "an illegal enterprise".
- (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT RELATING TO ORDERS FOR RESTITUTION.—Section 3663(c)(4) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking "chapter 46 or chapter 96 of this title" and inserting "section 521, under chapter 46 or 96,".
- (i) SPECIAL PROVISION FOR INDIAN COUNTRY.—No person subject to the criminal jurisdiction of an Indian tribal government shall be subject to section 3559(e) of title 18, United States Code, for any offense for which Federal jurisdiction is solely predicated on Indian country (as defined in section 1151 of such title 18) and which occurs within the boundaries of such Indian country unless the governing body of such Indian tribe elects to subject the persons under the criminal jurisdiction of the tribe to section 3559(e) of such title 18.

SEC. 106. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR USE OF INTERSTATE COMMERCE FACILITIES IN THE COMMISSION OF MURDER-FOR-HIRE AND OTHER FELONY CRIMES OF VIOLENCE.

Section 1958 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the header and inserting the following:

"§ 1958. Use of interstate commerce facilities in the Commission of murder-for-hire and other felony crimes of violence"

; and

- (2) by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:
- "(a) Any person who travels in or causes another (including the intended victim) to travel in interstate or foreign commerce, or uses or causes another (including the intended victim) to use the mail or any facility in interstate or foreign commerce, with intent that a murder or other felony crime of violence be committed in violation of the laws of any State or the United States as consideration for the receipt of, or as consideration for a promise or agreement to pay, anything of pecuniary value, or who conspires to do so—
- "(1) may be fined under this title and shall be imprisoned not more than 20 years;
- "(2) if personal injury results, may be fined under this title and shall be imprisoned for not more than 30 years; and
- "(3) if death results, may be fined not more than \$250,000, and shall be punished by death or imprisoned for any term of years or for life. or both."

SEC. 107. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR VIOLENT CRIMES IN AID OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY.

Section 1959(a) of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(a) Any person who, as consideration for the receipt of, or as consideration for a promise or agreement to pay, anything of pecuniary value from an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, or for the purpose of gaining entrance to or maintaining or increasing position in an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, or in furtherance or in aid of an enterprise engaged in racketeering activity, murders, kidnaps, sexually assaults (which means any offense that involved conduct that would violate chapter 109A if the conduct occurred in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction), maims, assaults with a dangerous weapon, commits assault resulting in serious bodily injury upon, or threatens to commit a crime of violence against any individual in violation of the laws of any State or the United States, or attempts or conspires to do so, shall be punished, in addition and consecutive to the punishment provided for any other violation of this chapter-

- "(1) for murder, by death or imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under this title, or both:
- "(2) for kidnapping or sexual assault, by imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(3) for maiming, by imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under this title, or both:
- "(4) for assault with a dangerous weapon or assault resulting in serious bodily injury, by imprisonment for not more than 30 years, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(5) for threatening to commit a crime of violence, by imprisonment for not more than 10 years, a fine under this title, or both;
- "(6) for attempting or conspiring to commit murder, kidnapping, maiming, or sexual assault, by imprisonment for not more than 30 years, a fine under this title, or both; and
- "(7) for attempting or conspiring to commit assault with a dangerous weapon or assault which would result in serious bodily injury, by imprisonment for not more than 20 years, a fine under this title, or both."

SEC. 108. MURDER AND OTHER VIOLENT CRIMES COMMITTED DURING AND IN RELATION TO A DRUG TRAFFICKING CRIME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part D of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 841 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following: "MURDER AND OTHER VIOLENT CRIMES COMMITTED DURING AND IN RELATION TO A DRUG TRAFFICKING CRIME.

"SEC. 424. (a) IN GENERAL.—Any person who, during and in relation to any drug trafficking crime, murders, kidnaps, sexually assaults (which means any offense that involved conduct that would violate chapter 109A if the conduct occurred in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction). maims, assaults with a dangerous weapon. commits assault resulting in serious bodily injury upon, commits any other crime of violence or threatens to commit a crime of violence against, any individual, or attempts or conspires to do so, shall be punished, in addition and consecutive to the punishment provided for the drug trafficking crime-

"(1) in the case of murder, by death or imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under title 18, United States Code, or both:

"(2) in the case of kidnapping or sexual assault by imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under such title 18, or both;

- "(3) in the case of maiming, by imprisonment for any term of years or for life, a fine under such title 18, or both;
- "(4) in the case of assault with a dangerous weapon or assault resulting in serious bodily injury, by imprisonment not more than 30 years, a fine under such title 18, or both;
- "(5) in the case of committing any other crime of violence, by imprisonment for not

more than 20 years, a fine under this title, or both:

"(6) in the case of threatening to commit a crime of violence specified in paragraphs (1) through (4), by imprisonment for not more than 10 years, a fine under such title 18, or both:

"(7) in the case of attempting or conspiring to commit murder, kidnapping, maiming, or sexual assault, by imprisonment for not more than 30 years, a fine under such title 18, or both; and

"(8) in the case of attempting or conspiring to commit a crime involving assault with a dangerous weapon or assault resulting in serious bodily injury, by imprisonment for not more than 20 years, a fine under such title 18, or both.

"(b) VENUE.—A prosecution for a violation of this section may be brought in—

"(1) the judicial district in which the murder or other crime of violence occurred; or

"(2) any judicial district in which the drug trafficking crime may be prosecuted.

"(c) APPLICABLE DEATH PENALTY PROCE-DURES.—A defendant who has been found guilty of an offense under this section for which a sentence of death is provided shall be subject to the provisions of chapter 228 of title 18, United States Code.

"(1) the term 'crime of violence' has the

meaning given that term in section 16 of title 18. United States Code: and

''(2) the term 'drug trafficking crime' has the meaning given that term in section 924(c)(2) of title 18, United States Code.''.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Controlled Substances Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 423, the following:

"Sec. 424. Murder and other violent crimes committed during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime"

Subtitle B—Increased Federal Resources To Suppress, Deter, and Prevent At-Risk Youth From Joining Illegal Street Gangs

SEC. 110. DESIGNATION OF AND ASSISTANCE FOR "HIGH INTENSITY" INTERSTATE GANG ACTIVITY AREAS.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section the following definitions shall apply:
- (1) GOVERNOR.—The term "Governor" means a Governor of a State or the Mayor of the District of Columbia.
- (2) HIGH INTENSITY INTERSTATE GANG ACTIVITY AREA.—The term "high intensity interstate gang activity area" means an area within a State that is designated as a high intensity interstate gang activity area under subsection (b)(1).
- (3) STATE.—The term "State" means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, and any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States. The term "State" shall include an "Indian tribe", as defined by section 102 of the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994 (25 U.S.C. 479a).
- (b) High Intensity Interstate Gang Activity Areas.—
- (1) DESIGNATION.—The Attorney General, after consultation with the Governors of appropriate States, may designate as high intensity interstate gang activity areas, specific areas that are located within 1 or more States. To the extent that the goals of a high intensity interstate gang activity area (HIIGAA) overlap with the goals of a high intensity drug trafficking area (HIDTA), the Attorney General may merge the 2 areas to serve as a dual-purpose entity. The Attorney General may not make the final designation of a high intensity interstate gang activity area without first consulting with and receiving comment from local elected officials

representing communities within the State of the proposed designation.

- (2) ASSISTANCE.—In order to provide Federal assistance to high intensity interstate gang activity areas, the Attorney General shall—
- (A) establish criminal street gang enforcement teams, consisting of Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities, for the coordinated investigation, disruption, apprehension, and prosecution of criminal street gangs and offenders in each high intensity interstate gang activity area;
- (B) direct the reassignment or detailing from any Federal department or agency (subject to the approval of the head of that department or agency, in the case of a department or agency other than the Department of Justice) of personnel to each criminal street gang enforcement team; and
- (C) provide all necessary funding for the operation of the criminal street gang enforcement team in each high intensity interstate gang activity area.
- (3) COMPOSITION OF CRIMINAL STREET GANG ENFORCEMENT TEAM.—The team established pursuant to paragraph (2)(A) shall consist of agents and officers, where feasible, from—
- (A) the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives;
- (B) the Department of Homeland Security;(C) the Department of Housing and Urban
- Development;
 (D) the Drug Enforcement Administration;
 - (E) the Internal Revenue Service;
 - (F) the Federal Bureau of Investigation;
 - (G) the United States Marshal's Service;
 - (H) the United States Postal Service;
 - (I) State and local law enforcement; and (J) Federal, State and local prosecutors.
- (4) CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION.—In considering an area for designation as a high intensity interstate gang activity area under this section, the Attorney General shall consider—
- (A) the current and predicted levels of gang crime activity in the area;
- (B) the extent to which violent crime in the area appears to be related to criminal street gang activity, such as drug trafficking, murder, robbery, assaults, carjacking, arson, kidnapping, extortion, and other criminal activity:
- (C) the extent to which State and local law enforcement agencies have committed resources to—
- (i) respond to the gang crime problem; and(ii) participate in a gang enforcementteam:
- (D) the extent to which a significant increase in the allocation of Federal resources would enhance local response to the gang crime activities in the area; and
- (E) any other criteria that the Attorney General considers to be appropriate.
- (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated \$100,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2005 to 2009 to carry out this section.
- (2) USE OF FUNDS.—Of amounts made available under paragraph (1) in each fiscal year—
- (A) 50 percent shall be used to carry out subsection (b)(2); and
- (B) 50 percent shall be used to make grants available for community-based programs to provide crime prevention, research, and intervention services that are designed for gang members and at-risk youth in areas designated pursuant to this section as high intensity interstate gang activity areas.
- (3) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—By February 1st of each year, the Attorney General shall provide a report to Congress which describes, for each designated high intensity interstate gang activity area—
- (A) the specific long-term and short-term goals and objectives;

- (B) the measurements used to evaluate the performance of the high intensity interstate gang activity area in achieving the longterm and short-term goals;
- (C) the age, composition, and membership of "gangs":
- (D) the number and nature of crimes committed by "gangs"; and
- (E) the definition of the term "gang" used to compile this report.

SEC. 111. ENHANCEMENT OF PROJECT SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS INITIATIVE TO IM-PROVE ENFORCEMENT OF CRIMINAL LAWS AGAINST VIOLENT GANGS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—While maintaining the focus of Project Safe Neighborhoods as a comprehensive, strategic approach to reducing gun violence in America, the Attorney General is authorized to expand the Project Safe Neighborhoods program to require each United States attorney to-
- (1) identify, investigate, and prosecute significant criminal street gangs operating within their district;
- (2) coordinate the identification, investigation, and prosecution of criminal street gangs among Federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies; and
- (3) coordinate and establish criminal street gang enforcement teams, established under section 110(b), in high intensity interstate gang activity areas within a United States attorney's district.
- (b) Additional Staff for Project Safe Neighborhoods.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General may hire Assistant United States attorneys, non-attorney coordinators, or paralegals to carry out the provisions of this section.
- (2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.-There are authorized to be appropriated \$7,500,000 for each of the fiscal years 2005 through 2009 to carry out this section.

SEC. 112. ADDITIONAL RESOURCES NEEDED BY THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVES-TIGATION TO INVESTIGATE AND PROSECUTE VIOLENT CRIMINAL STREET GANGS.

- (a) RESPONSIBILITIES OF ATTORNEY GEN-ERAL.—The Attorney General is authorized to require the Federal Bureau of Investigation to-
- (1) increase funding for the Safe Streets Program; and
- (2) support the criminal street gang enforcement teams, established under section 110(b), in designated high intensity interstate gang activity areas.
- (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to amounts otherwise authorized, there are authorized to be appropriated to the Attorney General \$5,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2005 through 2009 to carry out the Safe Streets Program.
- (2) AVAILABILITY.—Any amounts appropriated pursuant to paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended.

SEC. 113. GRANTS TO PROSECUTORS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT TO COMBAT VIO-LENT CRIME AND TO PROTECT WIT-NESSES AND VICTIMS OF CRIMES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 31702 of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 13862) is amended-
- (1) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at
- (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following: "(5) to hire additional prosecutors to-
- "(A) allow more cases to be prosecuted;
- "(B) reduce backlogs;
- "(6) to fund technology, equipment, and training for prosecutors and law enforcement in order to increase accurate identification

- of gang members and violent offenders, and to maintain databases with such information to facilitate coordination among law enforcement and prosecutors; and
- "(7) to create and expand witness and victim protection programs to prevent threats, intimidation, and retaliation against victims of, and witnesses to, violent crimes."
- (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS. Section 31707 of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 13867) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 31707. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-TIONS.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated \$20,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2005 through 2009 to carry out this subtitle.
- '(b) USE OF FUNDS.—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), in each fiscal year 60 percent shall be used to carry out section 31702(7) to create and expand witness and victim protection programs to prevent threats, intimidation, and retaliation against victims of, and witnesses to, violent crimes.

SEC. 114. REAUTHORIZE THE GANG RESISTANCE EDUCATION TRAINING PROJECTS PROGRAM.

Section 32401(b) of the Violent Crime Control Act of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 13921(b)) is amended by striking paragraphs (1) through (6) and inserting the following:

- "(1) \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2005:
- "(2) \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2006;
- "(3) \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2007;
- "(4) \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2008; and
- "(5) \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2009."

TITLE II—VIOLENT CRIME REFORMS NEEDED TO DETER AND PREVENT ILLE-GAL GANG CRIME

SEC. 201, MULTIPLE INTERSTATE MURDER.

Chapter 51 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end of the new section:

"§ 1123. Multiple murders in furtherance of common scheme of purpose

- "(a) IN GENERAL.-Whoever, having committed murder in violation of the laws of any State or the United States, moves or travels in interstate or foreign commerce with the intent to commit one or more murders in violation of the laws of any State or the United States, and thereafter commits one or more murders in violation of the laws of any State or the United States in furtherance of a common scheme or purpose, or who conspires to do so-
- '(1) shall be fined under this title, imprisoned for not more than 30 years, or both, for each murder; and
- (2) if death results, may be fined not more than \$250,000 under this title, and shall be punished by death or imprisoned for any term of years or for life for each murder.
- "(b) DEFINITION.—The term 'State' means each of the several States of the United States, the District of Columbia, and any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States."

SEC. 202. EXPANSION OF REBUTTABLE PRESUMP-TION AGAINST RELEASE OF PER-SONS CHARGED WITH FIREARMS OF-FENSES

Section 3142 of title 18, United States Code, is amended-

- (1) in subsection (e), in the matter following paragraph (3)-
- (A) by inserting "an offense under section 922(g)(1) where the underlying conviction is a serious drug offense as defined in section 924(e)(2)(A) of title 18, United States Code, for which a period of not more than 10 years has elapsed since the date of the conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later, or is a serious violent felony as defined in section 3559(c)(2)(F)

- of title 18, United States Code," after "that the person committed"; and
 (B) by inserting "or" before "the Mari-
- time":
- (2) in subsection (f)(1)-
- (A) in subparagraph (C), by striking "or" at the end; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- (E) an offense under section 922(g); or":
- (3) in subsection (g), by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:
- '(1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, or involves a drug, firearm, explosive, or destructive devise:'

SEC. 203. VENUE IN CAPITAL CASES.

Section 3235 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 3235. Venue in capital cases

- "(a) The trial for any offense punishable by death shall be held in the district where the offense was committed or in any district in which the offense began, continued, or was completed.
- "(b) If the offense, or related conduct, under subsection (a) involves activities which affect interstate or foreign commerce, or the importation of an object or person into the United States, such offense may be prosecuted in any district in which those activities occurred.'

SEC. 204. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR VIO-LENT CRIME.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 214 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 3297. Violent crime offenses

"Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, no person shall be prosecuted, tried, or punished for any noncapital felony, crime of violence (as defined in section 16), including any racketeering activity or gang crime which involves any violent crime, unless the indictment is found or the information is instituted by the later of-

- (1) 10 years after the date on which the alleged violation occurred;
- "(2) 10 years after the date on which the continuing offense was completed; or
- "(3) 8 years after the date on which the alleged violation was first discovered.
- (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 214 of title 18. United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
 - "3296. Violent crime offenses."

SEC. 205. PREDICATE CRIMES FOR AUTHORIZA-TION OF INTERCEPTION OF WIRE. ORAL, AND ELECTRONIC COMMU-NICATIONS

Section 2516(1) of title 18, United States Code, is amended-

- (1) in paragraph (q), by striking "or";
- (2) by redesignating paragraph (r) as paragraph (u); and
- (3) by inserting after paragraph (q) the following:
- "(r) any violation of section 424 of the Controlled Substances Act (relating to murder and other violent crimes in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime);
- '(s) any violation of 1123 of title 18, United States Code (relating to multiple interstate murder);
- '(t) any violation of section 521, 522, or 523 (relating to criminal street gangs); or".

SEC. 206. CLARIFICATION TO HEARSAY EXCEP-TION FOR FORFEITURE BY WRONG-DOING.

Rule 804(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Evidence is amended to read as follows:

"(6) FORFEITURE BY WRONGDOING. A statement offered against a party that has engaged, acquiesced, or conspired, in wrongdoing that was intended to, and did, procure the unavailability of the declarant as a witness."

SEC. 207. CLARIFICATION OF VENUE FOR RETAL-IATION AGAINST A WITNESS.

Section 1513 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by—

- (1) redesignating subsection (e) beginning with "Whoever conspires" as subsection (f); and
- (2) adding at the end the following:

"(g) A prosecution under this section may be brought in the district in which the official proceeding (whether or not pending, about to be instituted or was completed) was intended to be affected or was completed, or in which the conduct constituting the alleged offense occurred.".

SEC. 208. AMENDMENT OF SENTENCING GUIDE-LINES RELATING TO CERTAIN GANG AND VIOLENT CRIMES.

- (a) DIRECTIVE TO THE UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION.—Pursuant to its authority under section 994(p) of title 28 United States Code, and in accordance with this section, the United States Sentencing Commission shall review and, if appropriate, amend its guidelines and its policy statements to conform to the provisions of title I and this title.
- (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out this section, the Sentencing Commission shall—
- (1) establish new guidelines and policy statements, as warranted, in order to implement new or revised criminal offenses created under this title:
- (2) ensure that the sentencing guidelines and policy statements reflect the serious nature of the offenses and the penalties set forth in this title, the growing incidence of serious gang and violent crimes, and the need to modify the sentencing guidelines and policy statements to deter, prevent, and punish such offenses:
- (3) consider the extent to which the guidelines and policy statements adequately address—
- (A) whether the guideline offense levels and enhancements for gang and violent crimes—
- (i) are sufficient to deter and punish such offenses; and
- (ii) are adequate in view of the statutory increases in penalties contained in the Act;
- (B) whether any existing or new specific offense characteristics should be added to reflect congressional intent to increase gang and violent crime penalties, punish offenders, and deter gang and violent crime;
- (4) assure reasonable consistency with other relevant directives and with other sentencing guidelines:
- (5) account for any additional aggravating or mitigating circumstances that might justify exceptions to the generally applicable sentencing ranges:
- (6) make any necessary conforming changes to the sentencing guidelines; and
- (7) assure that the guidelines adequately meet the purposes of sentencing under section 3553(a)(2) of title 18, United States Code. SEC. 209. INCREASED PENALTIES FOR CRIMINAL

USE OF FIREARMS IN CRIMES OF VI-OLENCE AND DRUG TRAFFICKING.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 924(c)(1)(A) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) by striking "shall" and inserting "or conspires to commit any of the above acts, shall, for each instance in which the firearm is used, carried, or possessed":
- (2) in clause (i), by striking "5 years" and inserting "7 years"; and
 - (3) by striking clause (ii).
- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 924 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (4); and
- (2) by striking subsection (o).

SEC. 210. POSSESSION OF FIREARMS BY DANGEROUS FELONS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 924(e) of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
- "(e)(1) In the case of a person who violates section 922(g) of this title and has previously been convicted by any court referred to in section 922(g)(1) for a violent felony or a serious drug offense shall—
- "(A) in the case of 1 such prior conviction, where a period of not more than 10 years has elapsed since the date of conviction or release of the person from imprisonment for that conviction, be subject to imprisonment for not more than 15 years, a fine under this title or both:
- "(B) in the case of 2 such prior convictions, committed on occasions different from one another, and where a period of not more than 10 years has elapsed since the date of conviction or release of the person from imprisonment for that conviction, be subject to imprisonment for not more than 20 years, a fine under this title, or both; and
- "(C) in the case of 3 such prior convictions, committed on occasions different from one another, be subject to imprisonment for not less than 15 years, a fine under this title, or both, and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the court shall not suspend the sentence of, or grant a probationary sentence to, such person with respect to the conviction under section 922(g).
 - "(2) As used in this subsection-
- "(A) the term 'serious drug offense' means—
- "(i) an offense under the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 951 et seq.), or the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act (46 U.S.C. App. 1901 et seq.), punishable by a maximum term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years; or
- "(ii) an offense under State law, involving manufacturing, distributing, or possessing with intent to manufacture or distribute, a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)), punishable by a maximum term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years;
- "(B) the term 'violent felony' means any crime punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding 1 year, or any act of juvenile delinquency involving the use or carrying of a firearm, knife, or destructive device that would be punishable by a maximum term of imprisonment for such term if committed by an adult that—
- "(i) has, as an element of the crime or act, the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another; or
- "(ii) is burglary, arson, or extortion, involves the use of explosives, or otherwise involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another; and
- "(C) the term 'conviction' includes a finding that a person has committed an act of juvenile delinquency involving a violent felony.".
- (b) AMENDMENT TO SENTENCING GUIDE-LINES.—Pursuant to its authority under section 994(p) of title 28, United States Code, the United States Sentencing Commission shall amend the Federal Sentencing Guidelines to provide for an appropriate increase in the offense level for violations of section 922(g) of title 18, United States Code, in accordance with section 924(e) of such title 18, as amended by subsection (a).

SEC. 211. CONFORMING AMENDMENT.

The matter before paragraph (1) in section 922(d) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", transfer," after "sell"

TITLE III—JUVENILE CRIME REFORM FOR VIOLENT OFFENDERS

SEC. 301. TREATMENT OF FEDERAL JUVENILE OFFENDERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5032 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 5032. Delinquency proceedings in district courts; juveniles tried as adults; transfer for criminal prosecution

- ''(a) Delinquency Proceedings in District Courts.—
- "(1) In general.—A juvenile alleged to have committed an act of juvenile delinquency, other than a violation of law committed within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States for which the maximum authorized term of imprisonment does not exceed 6 months, shall not be proceeded against in any court of the United States unless the Attorney General, after investigation, certifies to the appropriate district court of the United States that—
- "(A) the juvenile court or other appropriate court of a State does not have jurisdiction or refuses to assume jurisdiction over that juvenile with respect to such alleged act of juvenile delinquency;
- "(B) the State does not have available programs and services adequate for the needs of juveniles; or
- "(C) the offense charged is a crime of violence that is a felony or an offense described in section 401 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 841), section 1002(a), 1003, 1005, 1009, or 1010(b) (1), (2), or (3) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 952(a), 953, 955, 959, 960(b) (1), (2), (3)), section 922(x), or section 924 (b), (g), or (h) of this title, and there is a substantial Federal interest in the case or the offense to warrant the exercise of Federal jurisdiction.
- "(2) FAILURE TO CERTIFY.—If the Attorney General does not certify under paragraph (1), the juvenile shall be surrendered to the appropriate legal authorities of such State.
- "(3) FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS.—If an alleged juvenile delinquent is not surrendered to the authorities of a State pursuant to this section, any proceedings against him shall be in an appropriate district court of the United States. For such purposes, the court may be convened at any time and place within the district, in chambers or otherwise. The Attorney General shall proceed by information or as authorized under section 3401(g) of this title, and no criminal prosecution shall be instituted for the alleged act of juvenile delinquency except as provided below.
- "(b) Transfer for Federal Criminal Prosecution.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—A juvenile who is alleged to have committed an act of juvenile delinquency and who is not surrendered to State authorities shall be proceeded against under this chapter unless—
- "(A) the juvenile has requested in writing upon advice of counsel to be proceeded against as an adult;
- "(B) with respect to a juvenile 15 years and older alleged to have committed an act after his fifteenth birthday which if committed by an adult would be a felony that is a crime of violence or an offense described in section 401 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 841), or section 1002(a), 1005, or 1009 of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 952(a), 955, 959), or section 922(x) of this title, or in section 924 (b), (g), or (h) of this title, the Attorney General makes a motion to transfer the criminal prosecution on the basis of the alleged act in the appropriate district court of the United States and the court finds, after hearing, such transfer would be in the interest of justice as provided in paragraph (2); or

"(C) with respect to a juvenile 13 years and older alleged to have committed an act after his thirteenth birthday which if committed by an adult would be a felony that is the crime of violence under section 113 (a), (b), (c), 1111, 1113, or, if the juvenile possessed a firearm during the offense, an offense under section 2111, 2113, 2241(a), or 2241(c), the Attorney General makes a motion to transfer the criminal prosecution on the basis of the alleged act in the appropriate district court of the United States and the court finds, after hearing, such transfer would be in the interest of justice as provided in paragraph (2).

Notwithstanding sections 1152 and 1153, no person subject to the criminal jurisdiction of an Indian tribal government shall be subject to subparagraph (C) for any offense the Federal jurisdiction for which is predicated solely on Indian country (as defined in section 1151), and which has occurred within the boundaries of such Indian country, unless the governing body of the tribe has elected that the preceding sentence have effect over land and persons subject to its criminal jurisdiction.

(2) Factors.—

"(A) IN GENERAL - Evidence of the following factors shall be considered, and findings with regard to each factor shall be made in the record, in assessing whether a transfer under subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1), and paragraph (4) of subsection (d), would be in the interest of justice:

"(i) The age and social background of the juvenile.

'(ii) The nature of the alleged offense, including the extent to which the juvenile played a leadership role in an organization, or otherwise influenced other persons to take part in criminal activities.

"(iii) Whether prosecution of the juvenile as an adult would protect public safety.

"(iv) The extent and nature of the juvenile's prior delinquency record.

(v) The juvenile's present intellectual development and psychological maturity.

'(vi) The nature of past treatment efforts and the juvenile's response to such efforts.

(vii) The availability of programs de-

signed to treat the juvenile's behavioral "(B) NATURE OF THE OFFENSE.—In consid-

ering the nature of the offense, as required by this paragraph, the court shall consider the extent to which the juvenile played a leadership role in an organization, or otherwise influenced other persons to take part in criminal activities, involving the use or distribution of controlled substances or firearms. Such a factor, if found to exist, shall weigh in favor of a transfer to adult status, but the absence of this factor shall not preclude such a transfer.

'(C) Notice.—Reasonable notice of the transfer hearing under subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1) shall be given to the juvenile, the juvenile's parents, guardian, or custodian and to the juvenile's counsel. The juvenile shall be assisted by counsel during the transfer hearing, and at every other critical stage of the proceedings.

(c) Mandatory Transfer of Juvenile 16 OR OLDER.—A juvenile who is alleged to have committed an act on or after his sixteenth birthday, which if committed by an adult would be a felony offense, that has an element thereof the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another, or that, by its very nature, involves a substantial risk that physical force against the person of another, may be used in committing the offense or would be an offense described in section 32, 81, or 2275 or subsection (d), (e), (f), (h), or (i) of section 844 of this title, subsection (d) or (e)

or subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), (D), or (E) of subsection (b)(1) of section 401 of the Controlled Substances Act, or section 1002(a), 1003, or 1009, or paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of section 1010(b) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 952(a), 953. 959, 960(b) (1), (2), and (3)), and who has previously been found guilty of an act which if committed by an adult would have been one of the offenses set forth in this subsection or subsection (b), or an offense in violation of a State felony statute that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, shall be transferred, upon notification by the United States, to the appropriate district court of the United States for criminal prosecution.

"(d) SIXTEEN AND SEVENTEEN YEAR OLDS CHARGED WITH THE MOST SERIOUS VIOLENT FELONIES.

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a juvenile may be prosecuted as an adult if the juvenile is alleged to have committed, conspired, solicited or attempted to commit, on or after the day the juvenile attains the age of 16 any offense involving-

'(A) murder:

"(B) manslaughter;

"(C) assault with intent to commit murder:

"(D) sexual assault (which means any offense that involves conduct that would violate chapter 109A if the conduct occurred in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction);

"(E) robbery (as described in section 2111, 2113, or 2118);

"(F) carjacking with a dangerous weapon;

"(G) extortion;

"(H) arson;

"(I) firearms use;

"(J) firearms possession (as described in section 924(c);

"(K) drive-by shooting;

"(L) kidnapping;

"(M) maiming;

"(N) assault resulting in serious bodily injury; or

"(O) obstruction of justice (as described in 1512(a)(1)) on or after the day the juvenile attains the age of 16.

(2) OTHER OFFENSES.—In a prosecution under this subsection the juvenile may be prosecuted and convicted as an adult for any other offense which is properly joined under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and may also be convicted as an adult of a lesser included offense.

"(3) REVIEWABILITY.—Except as otherwise provided by this subsection, a determination to approve or not to approve, or to institute or not to institute, a prosecution under this subsection shall not be reviewable in any court.

'(4) Prosecution.—(A) In any prosecution of a juvenile under this subsection, upon motion of the defendant, the court in which the criminal charges have been filed shall after a hearing determine whether to issue an order that the defendant should be transferred to juvenile status.

"(B) A motion by a defendant under this paragraph shall not be considered unless filed no later than 30 days after the date on which the defendant initially through counsel or expressly waives the right to counsel and elects to proceed pro se.

"(C) The court shall not order the transfer of a defendant to juvenile status under this paragraph unless the defendant establishes by clear and convincing evidence that removal to juvenile status would be in the interest of justice. In making a determination under this paragraph, the court shall consider the factors specified in subsection (b)(2) of this section.

"(5) ORDER.—An order of the court made in ruling on a motion by a defendant to transfer a defendant to juvenile status under this subsection shall not be a final order for the purpose of enabling an appeal, except that an appeal by the United States shall lie to a court of appeals pursuant to section 3731 of this title from an order of a district court removing a defendant to juvenile status. Upon receipt of a notice of appeal of an order under this paragraph, a court of appeals shall hear and determine the appeal on an expedited basis. The court of appeals shall give due regard to the opportunity of the district court to judge the credibility of the witnesses, and shall accept the findings of fact of the district court unless they are clearly erroneous, and the court of appeals shall review de novo the district court's application of the law to the facts.

"(e) SIXTEEN AND SEVENTEEN YEAR OLDS CHARGED WITH OTHER SERIOUS FELONIES.

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by subsection (d), a juvenile may be prosecuted as an adult if the juvenile is alleged to have committed an act on or after the day the juvenile attains the age of 16 which is committed by an adult would be a serious violent felony as described in paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 3559(a).

"(2) OTHER OFFENSES.—In a prosecution under this subsection the juvenile may be prosecuted and convicted as an adult for any other offense which is properly joined under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and may also be convicted as an adult of a lesser included offense.

"(3) REVIEWABILITY.—Except as otherwise provided by this subsection, a determination to approve or not to approve, or to institute or not to institute, a prosecution under this subsection shall not be reviewable in any court.

"(4) PROSECUTION.—(A) In any prosecution of a juvenile under this subsection, upon motion of the defendant, the court in which the criminal charges have been filed shall after a hearing determine whether to issue an order that the defendant should be transferred to juvenile status.

"(B) A motion by a defendant under this paragraph shall not be considered unless filed no later than 30 days after the date on which the defendant initially appears through counsel or expressly waives the right to counsel and elects to proceed pro se.

"(C) The court shall not order the transfer of a defendant to juvenile status under this paragraph unless the defendant establishes by clear and convincing evidence that removal to juvenile status would be in the interest of justice. In making a determination under this paragraph, the court shall consider the factors specified in subsection (b)(2) of this section.

(5) ORDER.—An order of the court made in ruling on a motion by a defendant to transfer a defendant to juvenile status under this subsection shall be a final order for the purpose of enabling an appeal. Upon receipt of a notice of appeal of an order under this paragraph, a court of appeals shall hear and determine the appeal on an expedited basis. The court of appeals shall give due regard to the opportunity of the district court to judge the credibility of the witnesses, and shall accept the findings of fact of the district court unless they are clearly erroneous, and the court of appeals shall review de novo the district court's application of the law to the facts.

"(f) Proceedings.—

"(1) SUBSEQUENT PROCEEDING BARRED .-Once a juvenile has entered a plea of guilty or the proceeding has reached the stage that evidence has begun to be taken with respect

to a crime or an alleged act of juvenile delinquency subsequent criminal prosecution or juvenile proceedings based upon such alleged act of delinquency shall be barred.

- "(2) STATEMENTS.—Statements made by a juvenile prior to or during a transfer hearing under this section shall not be admissible at subsequent criminal prosecutions except for impeachment purposes or in a prosecution for perjury or making a false statement.
- "(3) FURTHER PROCEEDINGS.—Whenever a juvenile transferred to district court under subsection (b) or (c) is not convicted of the crime upon which the transfer was based or another crime which would have warranted transfer had the juvenile been initially charged with that crime, further proceedings concerning the juvenile shall be conducted pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.
- "(4) RECEIPT OF RECORDS.—A juvenile shall not be transferred to adult prosecution under subsection (b) nor shall a hearing be held under section 5037 (disposition after a finding of juvenile delinquency) until any prior juvenile court records of such juvenile have been received by the court, or the clerk of the juvenile court has certified in writing that the juvenile has no prior record, or that the juvenile's record is unavailable and why it is unavailable.
- "(5) SPECIFIC ACTS DESCRIBED.—Whenever a juvenile is adjudged delinquent pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, the specific acts which the juvenile has been found to have committed shall be described as part of the official record of the proceedings and part of the juvenile's official record.
- "(g) STATE.—For purposes of this section, the term 'State' includes a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, and any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States."
- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 403 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 5032 and inserting the following:
 - "5032. Delinquency proceedings in district courts; juveniles tried as adults; transfer for criminal prosecution.".

SEC. 302. NOTIFICATION AFTER ARREST.

Section 5033 of title 18, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence, by striking "immediately notify the Attorney General and" and inserting "immediately, or as soon as practicable thereafter, notify the Attorney General and shall promptly take reasonable steps to notify".

SEC. 303. RELEASE AND DETENTION PRIOR TO DISPOSITION.

- (a) DUTIES OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE.—Section 5034 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) in the first undesignated paragraph, by striking "The magistrate judge shall insure" and inserting the following:
 - "(a) IN GENERAL.—
- "(1) REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL.—The magistrate judge shall ensure";
- (2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by striking "The magistrate judge may appoint" and inserting the following:
- "(2) GUARDIAN AD LITEM.—The magistrate judge may appoint";
- (3) in the third undesignated paragraph, by striking "If the juvenile" and inserting the following:
- "(b) Release Prior to Disposition.—Except as provided in subsection (c), if the juvenile"; and
 - (4) by adding at the end the following:
 - "(c) Release of Certain Juveniles.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—A juvenile, who is to be tried as an adult under section 5032, shall be released pending trial in accordance with the applicable provisions of chapter 207.

- "(2) CONDITIONS.—A release under paragraph (1) shall be conducted in the same manner, and shall be subject to the same terms, conditions, and sanctions for violation of a release condition, as provided for an adult under chapter 207.
- "(d) PENALTY FOR AN OFFENSE COMMITTED WHILE ON RELEASE.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—A juvenile alleged to have committed, while on release under this section, an offense that, if committed by an adult, would be a Federal criminal offense, shall be subject to prosecution under section 5032.
- "(2) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PENALTIES.—Section 3147 shall apply to a juvenile who is to be tried as an adult under section 5032 for an offense committed while on release under this section."
- (b) DETENTION PRIOR TO DISPOSITION.—Section 5035 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) by striking "A juvenile" and inserting the following:
- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), a juvenile"; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following:
- "(b) DETENTION OF CERTAIN JUVENILES.—A juvenile who is to be tried as an adult under section 5032 shall be subject to detention in accordance with chapter 207."

SEC. 304. SPEEDY TRIAL.

Section 5036 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 5036. Speedy trial

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—If an alleged delinquent, who is to be proceeded against as a juvenile pursuant to section 5032 and who is in detention pending trial, is not brought to trial within 70 days from the date upon which such detention began, the information shall be dismissed on motion of the alleged delinquent or at the direction of the court.
- "(b) PERIODS OF EXCLUSION.—The periods of exclusion under section 3161(h) shall apply to this section.
- "(c) JUDICIAL CONSIDERATIONS.—In determining whether an information should be dismissed with or without prejudice, the court shall consider—
- "(1) the seriousness of the alleged act of juvenile delinquency;
- "(2) the facts and circumstances of the case that led to the dismissal; and
- "(3) the impact of a reprosecution on the administration of justice.".

SEC. 305. FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES.

- (a) APPLICATION OF GUIDELINES TO CERTAIN JUVENILE DEFENDANTS.—Section 994(h) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", or in which the defendant is a juvenile who is tried as an adult," after "old or older".
- (b) GUIDELINES FOR JUVENILE CASES.—Section 994 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(z) GUIDELINES FOR JUVENILE CASES.—Not later than May 1, 2006, the Commission, pursuant to its rules and regulations and consistent with all pertinent provisions of any Federal statute, shall promulgate and distribute, to all courts of the United States and to the United States Probation System, guidelines, as described in this section, for use by a sentencing court in determining the sentence to be imposed in a criminal case if the defendant committed the offense as a juvenile, and is tried as an adult pursuant to section 5032 of title 18."

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce with my colleagues, Senators Feinstein, Grassley, Kyl, and Cornyn, a comprehensive bipartisan bill to increase gang prosecution and prevention efforts. The bill I introduce today is identical to S. 1735 that

was favorably reported by the Senate Judiciary Committee in the 108th Congress.

This legislation, "The Gang Prevention and Effective Deterrence Act of 2005," authorizes approximately \$650 million over the next five years to support law enforcement and efforts to prevent youngsters from joining gangs. Of that, \$450 million would be used to support Federal, State and local law enforcement efforts against violent gangs, and \$200 million would be used for intervention and prevention programs for at-risk youth. The bill increases funding for the Federal prosecutors and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) agents needed to conduct coordinated enforcement efforts against violent gangs.

This bill also creates new criminal gang prosecution offenses, enhances existing gang and violent crime penalties to deter and punish illegal street gangs, enacts violent crime reforms needed to prosecute effectively gang members, and implements a limited reform of the juvenile justice system to facilitate Federal prosecution of 16-and 17-year-old gang members who commit serious violent felonies.

The problem of gang violence in America is not a new one, nor is it a problem that is limited to major urban areas. Once thought to be only a problem in our Nation's largest cities, gangs have invaded smaller communities. Gangs in Salt Lake County result in significant measure from the influence of gangs existing in Los Angeles and Chicago, but with local mutations.

Constituents frequently mention to me their extreme concern about gang violence in Utah. According to the Salt Lake Area Gang Project, a multi-jurisdictional task force created in 1989 to fight gang crime in the Salt Lake area, there are at least 250 identified gangs in Utah with over 3,500 members. In Utah, there are street gangs that are ethnically oriented, such as Hispanic gangs, as well as those affiliated with gangs from other cities, such as the Crips and Bloods, Folks and People, motorcycle gangs, Straight Edge gangs, Animal Liberation Front Skinheads, Varrio Loco Town, Oquirrh Shadow Boys, Salt Lake Posse, and the list goes on. Some of these gangs are racist; some are extremist.

And what I find particularly troubling is that over one-third of the total gang membership is made up of juveniles. Thus, these crimes have a particular impact on youths.

Gangs now resemble organized crime syndicates which readily engage in gun violence, illegal gun trafficking, illegal drug trafficking and other serious crimes. All too often we read in the headlines about gruesome and tragic stories of rival gang members gunned down, innocent bystanders—adults, teenagers and children—caught in the cross fire of gangland shootings, and family members crying out in grief as they lose loved ones to the gang wars plaguing our communities.

Recent studies confirm that gang violence is an increasing problem in all of our communities. Based on the latest available National Youth Gang Survey, it is now estimated that there are more than 25,000 gangs, and over 750,000 gang members who are active in more than 3,000 jurisdictions across the United States. The most current reports indicate that in 2002 alone, after five years of decline, gang membership has spiked nationwide.

I have been—and remain—committed to supporting Federal, State and local task forces as a model for effective gang enforcement strategies. Working together, these task forces have demonstrated that they can make a difference in the community. In Salt Lake City, the Metro Gang Multi-Jurisdiction Task Force stands out as a critical player in fighting gang violence in Salt Lake City. We need to reassure outstanding organizations like this that there will be adequate resources available to expand and fund these critical task force operations to fight gang violence.

In my study of this problem, it has become clear that the government needs to work with communities to meet this problem head-on and defeat it. If we really want to reduce gang violence, we must ensure that law enforcement has adequate resources and legal tools, and that our communities have the ability to implement proven intervention and prevention strategies, so that gang members who are removed from the community are not simply replaced by the next generation of new gang members.

In closing, I want to commend my colleagues—Senators FEINSTEIN, GRASSLEY, KYL and CORNYN. They have worked very closely with me as we considered these issues last Congress and I look forward to working with them and others as we proceed this year. I urge my colleagues to join with us in promptly passing this important legislation.

By Mr. BINGAMAN (for himself and Mr. DOMENICI):

S. 156. A bill to designate the Ojito Wilderness Study Area as wilderness, to take certain land into trust for the Pueblo of Zia, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I am proud to introduce the "Ojito Wilderness Act". This bill was passed in various forms by both the Senate and the House of Representatives in the 108th Congress. I am pleased that the senior Senator from New Mexico, Mr. DOMENICI, is cosponsoring this bill.

The support for this proposal truly is impressive. It has been formally endorsed by the Governor of New Mexico; the local Sandoval County Commission and the neighboring Bernalillo County Commission; the Albuquerque City Council; New Mexico House of Representatives Energy and Natural Resources Committee Chairman James

Roger Madalena; the Governors of the Pueblos of Zia, Santa Ana, Santo Domingo, Cochiti, Tesuque, San Ildefonso, Pojoaque, Nambe, Santa Clara, San Juan, Sandia, Laguna, Acoma, Isleta, Picuris, and Taos; the National Congress of American Indians; the Hopi Tribe; The Wilderness Society; the New Mexico Wilderness Alliance: the Coalition for New Mexico Wilderness, on behalf of more than 375 businesses and organizations; the Rio Grande Chapter of the Sierra Club; the National Parks Conservation Association; the Albuquerque Convention and Visitors Bureau; 1000 Friends of New Mexico; and numerous individuals.

The Ojito provides a unique wilderness area that is important not only to its local stewards, but also to the nearby residents of Albuquerque and Santa Fe, as well as visitors from across the country. It is an outdoor geology laboratory, offering a spectacular and unique opportunity to view from a single location the juxtaposition of the southwestern margin of the Rocky Mountains, the Colorado Plateau, and the Rio Grande Rift, along with the volcanic necks of the Rio Puerco Fault. Its rugged terrain offers a rewarding challenge to hikers, backpackers, and photographers. It shelters ancient Puebloan ruins and an endemic endangered plant, solitude and inspiration. Designating Ojito as a wilderness area ensures that the beauty of this special place will be protected and enjoyed for vears to come.

I have made a number of changes to this bill in order clarify a number of issues and to facilitate its enactment, and I hope that it will be enacted quickly.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill I have introduced today be printed in RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 156

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Ojito Wilderness Act".

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

- In this Act:
- (1) MAP.—The term "map" means the map entitled "Ojito Wilderness Act" and dated October 1, 2004.
- (2) PUEBLO.—The term "Pueblo" means the Pueblo of Zia.
- (3) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.
- (4) STATE.—The term "State" means the State of New Mexico.

SEC. 3. DESIGNATION OF THE OJITO WILDERNESS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In furtherance of the purposes of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), there is hereby designated as wilderness, and, therefore, as a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System, certain land in the Albuquerque District-Bureau of Land Management, New Mexico, which comprise approximately 11,183 acres, as generally depicted on the map, and which shall be known as the "Ojito Wilderness".

- (b) MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—The map and a legal description of the wilderness area designated by this Act shall—
- (1) be filed by the Secretary with the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives as soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act:
- (2) have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary may correct clerical and typographical errors in the legal description and map; and
- (3) be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.
- (c) MANAGEMENT OF WILDERNESS.—Subject to valid existing rights, the wilderness area designated by this Act shall be managed by the Secretary in accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.) and this Act, except that, with respect to the wilderness area designated by this Act, any reference in the Wilderness Act to the effective date of the Wilderness Act shall be deemed to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act.
- (d) Management of Newly Acquired Land.—If acquired by the United States, the following land shall become part of the wilderness area designated by this Act and shall be managed in accordance with this Act and other applicable law:
- (1) Section 12 of township 15 north, range 01 west, New Mexico Principal Meridian.
- (2) Any land within the boundaries of the wilderness area designated by this Act.
- (e) MANAGEMENT OF LANDS TO BE ADDED.— The lands generally depicted on the map as "Lands to be Added" shall become part of the wilderness area designated by this Act if the United States acquires, or alternative adequate access is available to, section 12 of township 15 north, range 01 west.
- (f) RÉLEASE.—The Congress hereby finds and directs that the lands generally depicted on the map as "Lands to be Released" have been adequately studied for wilderness designation pursuant to section 603 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782) and no longer are subject to the requirement of section 603(c) of such Act (43 U.S.C. 1782(c)) pertaining to the management of wilderness study areas in a maner that does not impair the suitability of such areas for preservation as wilderness.
- (g) GRAZING.—Grazing of livestock in the wilderness area designated by this Act, where established before the date of enactment of this Act, shall be administered in accordance with the provisions of section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(4)) and the guidelines set forth in Appendix A of the Report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs to accompany H.R. 2570 of the One Hundred First Congress (H. Rept. 101–405).
- (h) FISH AND WILDLIFE.—As provided in section 4(d)(7) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(7)), nothing in this section shall be construed as affecting the jurisdiction or responsibilities of the State with respect to fish and wildlife in the State.
 - (i) Water Rights.-
 - (1) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
- (A) the land designated as wilderness by this Act is arid in nature and is generally not suitable for use or development of new water resource facilities; and
- (B) because of the unique nature and hydrology of the desert land designated as wilderness by this Act, it is possible to provide for proper management and protection of the wilderness and other values of lands in ways different from those used in other legislation.
- (2) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this Act—

- (A) shall constitute or be construed to constitute either an express or implied reservation by the United States of any water or water rights with respect to the land designated as wilderness by this Act;
- (B) shall affect any water rights in the State existing on the date of enactment of this Act, including any water rights held by the United States;
- (C) shall be construed as establishing a precedent with regard to any future wilderness designations;
- (D) shall affect the interpretation of, or any designation made pursuant to, any other Act: or
- (E) shall be construed as limiting, altering, modifying, or amending any of the interstate compacts or equitable apportionment decrees that apportion water among and between the State and other States.
- (3) STATE WATER LAW.—The Secretary shall follow the procedural and substantive requirements of the law of the State in order to obtain and hold any water rights not in existence on the date of enactment of this Act with respect to the wilderness area designated by this Act.
 - (4) NEW PROJECTS.—
- (A) WATER RESOURCE FACILITY.—As used in this subsection, the term "water resource facility"—
- (i) means irrigation and pumping facilities, reservoirs, water conservation works, aqueducts, canals, ditches, pipelines, wells, hydropower projects, and transmission and other ancillary facilities, and other water diversion, storage, and carriage structures; and
 - (ii) does not include wildlife guzzlers.
- (B) RESTRICTION ON NEW WATER RESOURCE FACILITIES.—Except as otherwise provided in this Act, on and after the date of enactment of this Act, neither the President nor any other officer, employee, or agent of the United States shall fund, assist, authorize, or issue a license or permit for the development of any new water resource facility within the wilderness area designated by this Act.
- (j) WITHDRAWAL.—Subject to valid existing rights, the wilderness area designated by this Act, the lands to be added under subsection (e), and lands identified on the map as the "BLM Lands Authorized to be Acquired by the Pueblo of Zia" are withdrawn from—
- (1) all forms of entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;
- (2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and
- (3) operation of the mineral leasing, mineral materials, and geothermal leasing laws.
- (k) EXCHANGE.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall seek to complete an exchange for State land within the boundaries of the wilderness area designated by this Act.

SEC. 4. LAND HELD IN TRUST.

- (a) In General.—Subject to valid existing rights and the conditions under subsection (d), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the lands (including improvements, appurtenances, and mineral rights to the lands) generally depicted on the map as "BLM Lands Authorized to be Acquired by the Pueblo of Zia" shall, on receipt of consideration under subsection (c) and adoption and approval of regulations under subsection (d), be declared by the Secretary to be held in trust by the United States for the Pueblo and shall be part of the Pueblo's Reservation.
- (b) DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.—The boundary of the lands authorized by this section for acquisition by the Pueblo where generally depicted on the map as immediately adja-

- cent to CR906, CR923, and Cucho Arroyo Road shall be 100 feet from the center line of the road.
- (c) Consideration.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—In consideration for the conveyance authorized under subsection (a), the Pueblo shall pay to the Secretary the amount that is equal to the fair market value of the land conveyed, as subject to the terms and conditions in subsection (d), as determined by an independent appraisal.
- (2) APPRAISAL.—To determine the fair market value, the Secretary shall conduct an appraisal paid for by the Pueblo that is performed in accordance with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- (3) AVAILABILITY.—Any amounts paid under paragraph (1) shall be available to the Secretary, without further appropriation and until expended, for the acquisition from willing sellers of land or interests in land in the State.
 - (d) Public Access.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the declaration of trust and conveyance under subsection (a) shall be subject to the continuing right of the public to access the land for recreational, scenic, scientific, educational, paleontological, and conservation uses, subject to any regulations for land management and the preservation, protection, and enjoyment of the natural characteristics of the land that are adopted by the Pueblo and approved by the Secretary; Provided that the Secretary shall ensure that the rights provided for in this paragraph are protected and that a process for resolving any complaints by an aggrieved party is established.
- (2) CONDITIONS.—Except as provided in subsection (f)—
- (A) the land conveyed under subsection (a) shall be maintained as open space and the natural characteristics of the land shall be preserved in perpetuity; and
- (B) the use of motorized vehicles (except on existing roads or as is necessary for the maintenance and repair of facilities used in connection with grazing operations), mineral extraction, housing, gaming, and other commercial enterprises shall be prohibited within the boundaries of the land conveyed under subsection (a).
- (e) RIGHTS OF WAY.—
- (1) EXISTING RIGHTS OF WAY.—Nothing in this section shall affect—
- (A) any validly issued right-of-way or the renewal thereof; or
- (B) the access for customary construction, operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement activities in any right-of-way issued, granted, or permitted by the Secretary.
- (2) NEW RIGHTS OF WAY AND RENEWALS.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Pueblo shall grant any reasonable request for rights-of-way for utilities and pipelines over the land acquired under subsection (a) that is designated as the "Rights-of-Way corridor #1" in the Rio Puerco Resource Management Plan that is in effect on the date of the grant.
- (B) ADMINISTRATION.—Any right-of-way issued or renewed after the date of enactment of this Act located on land authorized to be acquired under this section shall be administered in accordance with the rules, regulations, and fee payment schedules of the Department of the Interior, including the Rio Puerco Resources Management Plan that is in effect on the date of issuance or renewal of the right-of-way.
 - (f) JUDICIAL RELIEF.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—To enforce subsection (d), any person may bring a civil action in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico seeking declaratory or injunctive relief.

- (2) SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.—The Pueblo shall not assert sovereign immunity as a defense or bar to a civil action brought under paragraph (1).
 - (3) Effect.—Nothing in this section—
- (A) authorizes a civil action against the Pueblo for money damages, costs, or attornevs fees: or
- (B) except as provided in paragraph (2), abrogates the sovereign immunity of the Pueblo.

By Mr. KOHL:

S. 157. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to permit interest on Federally guaranteed water, wastewater, and essential community facilities loans to be tax exempt; to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. KOHL. Mr. President, I'm introducing a bill today that is aimed at helping rural communities build or improve essential community facilities such as shelters, nursing homes, hospitals, medical clinics, and fire and rescue-type projects. My bill would make it possible for project sponsors to accept certain USDA loan guarantees without risking the tax exempt status that enables them to finance these initiatives.

Clarification of existing tax rules, as proposed in this bill, will provide certainty for project sponsors, help lower project costs for rural communities, and help deal with a backlog of loan applications for small communities.

The needs are great in many rural communities. This measure will help communities help themselves and I look forward to working with the Senate Finance Committee on this important topic.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the measure be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 157

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. TAX-EXEMPT INTEREST ON FEDERALLY GUARANTEED WATER, WASTE-WATER, AND FEDERALLY GUARANTEED ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY FACILITIES LOANS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 149(b)(3)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code 1986 (relating to certain insurance programs) is amended by striking "or" at the end of clause (ii), by striking period at the end of clause (iii) and inserting ", or", and by adding at the end the following new clause:
- "(iv) any guarantee by the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to section 306(a)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1926(a)(1)) to finance water, wastewater, and essential community facilities."
- (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to bonds issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

By Mr. LIEBERMAN (for himself, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. DODD, and Mr. SCHUMER):

S. 158. A bill to establish the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I rise today to re-introduce legislation that would establish a new system to preserve the environmental quality of Long Island Sound by identifying, protecting, and enhancing sites within the Long Island Sound ecosystem that have significant ecological, educational, open space, public access, or recreational value.

With this legislation, we hope to preserve the natural beauty and ecological wonder of the majestic waterway between New York and Connecticut, which my New York and Connecticut colleagues and I have worked hard together to improve. We have come a long way in restoring the Sound and its rich biodiversity over the past several decades, but our progress may be in jeopardy if we do not take measures now to protect remaining sites of biological diversity. Despite our best efforts, we are continuing to lose unprotected open sites along the shore. That is why this Act is so important.

One of the important features of the Stewardship Act I am introducing is that it will use new approaches to address an old problem, the proper conservation of our resources. The legislation includes novel conservation techniques that are designed to accomplish their goals at the least cost. First, it involves purchasing property or property rights or entering into binding legal agreements with property owners, but does so through a process that is voluntary and that explicitly respects the interests and rights of private property owners. It also uses established scientific methods for identifying potential coastal sites. Finally, it incorporates a flexible management system that institutionalizes learning and ensures efficiency in the identification and acquisition of conservation and recreation sites.

The value of this legislation, which passed the Senate by unanimous consent during the last Congress, is clear. I look forward to working with my cosponsors from Connecticut and New York, Senators DODD, CLINTON, and SCHUMER, and a bipartisan group of our Connecticut and New York House colleagues to enact this legislation and ensure that we can take necessary common-sense steps to protect and preserve Long Island Sound for generations to come.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 158

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Long Island Sound Stewardship Act of 2005".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) Long Island Sound is a national treasure of great cultural, environmental, and ecological importance;

- (2) 8,000,000 people live within the Long Island Sound watershed and 28,000,000 people (approximately 10 percent of the population of the United States) live within 50 miles of Long Island Sound:
- (3) activities that depend on the environmental health of Long Island Sound contribute more than \$5,000,000,000 each year to the regional economy;
- (4) the portion of the shoreline of Long Island Sound that is accessible to the general public (estimated at less than 20 percent of the total shoreline) is not adequate to serve the needs of the people living in the area:
- (5) existing shoreline facilities are in many cases overburdened and underfunded;
- (6) large parcels of open space already in public ownership are strained by the effort to balance the demand for recreation with the needs of sensitive natural resources:
- (7) approximately ½ of the tidal marshes of Long Island Sound have been filled, and much of the remaining marshes have been ditched, dyked, or impounded, reducing the ecological value of the marshes; and
- (8) much of the remaining exemplary natural landscape is vulnerable to further development.
- (b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act is to establish the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative to identify, protect, and enhance sites within the Long Island Sound ecosystem with significant ecological, educational, open space, public access, or recreational value through a bi-State network of sites best exemplifying these values.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT.—The term "adaptive management" means a scientific process—

(A) for-

(i) developing predictive models;

- (ii) making management policy decisions based upon the model outputs;
- (iii) revising the management policies as data become available with which to evaluate the policies; and
- (iv) acknowledging uncertainty, complexity, and variance in the spatial and temporal aspects of natural systems; and
- (B) that requires that management be viewed as experimental.
- (2) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.
 (3) COMMITTEE.—The term "Committee"
- (3) COMMITTEE.—The term "Committee" means the Long Island Sound Stewardship Advisory Committee established by section 5(a).
- (4) REGION.—The term "Region" means the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative Region established by section 4(a).
- (5) STATES.—The term "States" means the States of Connecticut and New York.
- (6) STEWARDSHIP SITE.—The term "stewardship site" means a site that—
- (A) qualifies for identification by the Committee under section 8; and
- (B) is an area of land or water or a combination of land and water— $\,$
- (i) that is in the Region; and
- (ii) that is-
- (I) Federal, State, local, or tribal land or water;
- (II) land or water owned by a nonprofit organization: or
- (III) privately owned land or water.
- (7) SYSTEMATIC SITE SELECTION.—The term "systematic site selection" means a process of selecting stewardship sites that—
- (A) has explicit goals, methods, and criteria;
- (B) produces feasible, repeatable, and defensible results;
- (C) provides for consideration of natural, physical, and biological patterns,

- (D) addresses reserve size, replication, connectivity, species viability, location, and public recreation values:
- (E) uses geographic information systems technology and algorithms to integrate selection criteria; and
- (F) will result in achieving the goals of stewardship site selection at the lowest cost.
- (8) THREAT.—The term "threat" means a threat that is likely to destroy or seriously degrade a conservation target or a recreation area.

SEC. 4. LONG ISLAND SOUND STEWARDSHIP INITIATIVE REGION.

- (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the States the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative Region.
- (b) BOUNDARIES.—The Region shall encompass the immediate coastal upland and underwater areas along Long Island Sound, including—
- (1) those portions of the Sound with coastally influenced vegetation, as described on the map entitled the "Long Island Sound Stewardship Region" and dated April 21, 2004; and
- (2) the Peconic Estuary, as described on the map entitled "Peconic Estuary Program Study Area Boundaries", included in the Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan for the Peconic Estuary Program and dated November 15, 2001.

SEC. 5. LONG ISLAND SOUND STEWARDSHIP ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

- (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a committee to be known as the "Long Island Sound Stewardship Advisory Committee".
- (b) CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson of the Committee shall be the Director of the Long Island Sound Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, or a designee of the Director.
 - (c) Membership.—
 - (1) Composition.—
 - (A) APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS.—
- (i) IN GENERAL.—The Chairperson shall appoint the members of the Committee in accordance with this subsection and section 320(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1330(c)).
- (ii) ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—In addition to the requirements described in clause (i), the Committee shall include—
- (I) a representative from the Regional Plan Association;
- (II) a representative of the marine trade organizations; and
- (III) a representative of private landowner interests.
- (B) Representation.—In appointing members to the Committee, the Chairperson shall consider— ${}^{-}$
- (i) Federal, State, and local government interests;
- (ii) the interests of nongovernmental organizations;
 - (iii) academic interests; and
 - (iv) private interests.
- (2) DATE OF APPOINTMENTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the appointment of all members of the Committee shall be made.
 - (d) TERM; VACANCIES.—
 - (1) TERM.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—A member shall be appointed for a term of 4 years.
- (B) MULTIPLE TERMS.—A person may be appointed as a member of the Committee for more than 1 term.
- (2) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Committee shall—
- (A) be filled not later than 90 days after the vacancy occurs;
- (B) not affect the powers of the Committee; and
- (C) be filled in the same manner as the original appointment was made.

- (3) Staff.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Chairperson of the Committee may appoint and terminate personnel as necessary to enable the Committee to perform the duties of the Committee.
- (B) PERSONNEL AS FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.
- (i) IN GENERAL.—Any personnel of the Committee who are employees of the Committee shall be employees under section 2105 of title 5, United States Code, for purposes of chapters 63, 81, 83, 84, 85, 87, 89, and 90 of that title.
- $\,$ (ii) Members of committee.—Clause (i) does not apply to members of the Committee.
- (e) Initial Meeting.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which all members of the Committee have been appointed, the Committee shall hold the initial meeting of the Committee
- (f) MEETINGS.—The Committee shall meet at the call of the Chairperson, but no fewer than 4 times each year.
- (g) QUORUM.—A majority of the members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum, but a lesser number of members may hold hearings.

SEC. 6. DUTIES OF THE COMMITTEE.

- The Committee shall—
- (1) consistent with the guidelines described in section 8-
- (A) evaluate applications from government or nonprofit organizations qualified to hold conservation easements for funds to purchase land or development rights for stewardship sites;
- (B) evaluate applications to develop and implement management plans to address threats:
- (C) evaluate applications to act on opportunities to protect and enhance stewardship sites; and
- (D) recommend that the Administrator award grants to qualified applicants;
- (2) recommend guidelines, criteria, schedules, and due dates for evaluating information to identify stewardship sites;
- (3) publish a list of sites that further the purposes of this Act, provided that owners of sites shall be— $\,$
- (A) notified prior to the publication of the list: and
- (B) allowed to decline inclusion on the list;
- (4) raise awareness of the values of and threats to these sites; and
- (5) leverage additional resources for improved stewardship of the Region.

SEC. 7. POWERS OF THE COMMITTEE.

- (a) HEARINGS.—The Committee may hold such hearings, meet and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the Committee considers advisable to carry out this Act.
- (b) Information From Federal Agen-CIES.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Committee may secure directly from a Federal agency such information as the Committee considers necessary to carry out this Act.
 - (2) Provision of Information.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (C), on request of the Chairperson of the Committee, the head of a Federal agency shall provide the information requested by the Chairperson to the Committee.
- (B) ADMINISTRATION.—The furnishing of information by a Federal agency to the Committee shall not be considered a waiver of any exemption available to the agency under section 552 of title 5, United States Code.
- (C) Information to be kept confidential.—
- (i) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 1905 of title 18, United States Code—
- (I) the Committee shall be considered an agency of the Federal Government; and
- (II) any individual employed by an individual, entity, or organization that is a

- party to a contract with the Committee under this Act shall be considered an employee of the Committee.
- (ii) Prohibition on disclosure.—Information obtained by the Committee, other than information that is available to the public, shall not be disclosed to any person in any manner except to an employee of the Committee as described in clause (i) for the purpose of receiving, reviewing, or processing the information.
- (c) POSTAL SERVICES.—The Committee may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as other agencies of the Federal Government.
- (d) DONATIONS.—The Committee may accept, use, and dispose of donations of services or property that advance the goals of the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative.

SEC. 8. STEWARDSHIP SITES.

- (a) INITIAL SITES.—
- (1) Identification.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Committee shall identify 20 initial Long Island Sound stewardship sites that the Committee has determined—
- (i)(I) are natural resource-based recreation areas; or
- (II) are exemplary natural areas with ecological value; and
- (ii) best promote the purposes of this Act.
- (B) EXEMPTION.—Sites described in subparagraph (A) are not subject to the site identification process described in subsection (d).
- (2) EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR INITIAL SITES.—In identifying initial sites under paragraph (1), the Committee shall exert due diligence to recommend an equitable distribution of funds between the States for the initial sites.
- (b) APPLICATION FOR IDENTIFICATION AS A STEWARDSHIP SITE.—Subsequent to the identification of the initial stewardship sites under subsection (a), owners of sites may submit applications to the Committee in accordance with subsection (c) to have the sites identified as stewardship sites.
- (c) IDENTIFICATION.—The Committee shall review applications submitted by owners of potential stewardship sites to determine whether the sites should be identified as exhibiting values consistent with the purposes of this Act.
- (d) SITE IDENTIFICATION PROCESS.—
- (1) NATURAL RESOURCE-BASED RECREATION AREAS.—The Committee shall identify additional recreation areas with potential as stewardship sites using a selection technique that includes—
 - (A) public access;
 - (B) community support:
 - (C) areas with high population density;
- (D) environmental justice (as defined in section 385.3 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations));
- (E) connectivity to existing protected areas and open spaces;
- (F) cultural, historic, and scenic areas; and (G) other criteria developed by the Com-
- (2) NATURAL AREAS WITH ECOLOGICAL VALUE.—The Committee shall identify additional natural areas with ecological value and potential as stewardship sites—
- (A) based on measurable conservation targets for the Region; and
- (B) following a process for prioritizing new sites using systematic site selection, which shall include—
 - (i) ecological uniqueness;
- (ii) species viability;(iii) habitat heterogeneity;
- (iv) size;

mittee.

- (v) quality;
- (vi) connectivity to existing protected areas and open spaces;

- (vii) land cover;
- (viii) scientific, research, or educational value:
- (ix) threats; and
- (x) other criteria developed by the Committee.
- (3) Publication of List.—After completion of the site identification process, the Committee shall—
- (A) publish in the Federal Register a list of sites that further the purposes of this Act; and
- (B) prior to publication of the list, provide to owners of the sites to be published—
- (i) a notification of publication; and
- (ii) an opportunity to decline inclusion of the site of the owner on the list.
 - (4) DEVIATION FROM PROCESS.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—The Committee may identify as a potential stewardship site, a site that does not meet the criteria in paragraph (1) or (2), or reject a site selected under paragraph (1) or (2), if the Committee—
- (i) selects a site that makes significant ecological or recreational contributions to the Region;
- (ii) publishes the reasons that the Committee decided to deviate from the systematic site selection process; and
- (iii) before identifying or rejecting the potential stewardship site, provides to the owners of the site the notification of publication, and the opportunity to decline inclusion of the site on the list published under paragraph (3)(A), described in paragraph (3)(B).
- (5) PUBLIC COMMENT.—In identifying potential stewardship sites, the Committee shall consider public comments.
- (e) General Guidelines for Management.—
- (1) In general.—The Committee shall use an adaptive management framework to identify the best policy initiatives and actions through—
 - (A) definition of strategic goals;
- (B) definition of policy options for methods to achieve strategic goals;
 - (C) establishment of measures of success;
 - (D) identification of uncertainties;
- (E) development of informative models of policy implementation;
- (F) separation of the landscape into geographic units;
- (G) monitoring key responses at different spatial and temporal scales; and
- (H) evaluation of outcomes and incorporation into management strategies.
- (2) APPLICATION OF ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK.—The Committee shall apply the adaptive management framework to the process for updating the list of recommended stewardship sites.

SEC. 9. REPORTS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 2006 through 2013, the Committee shall submit to the Administrator an annual report that contains—
- (1) a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Committee since the last report;
- (2) a description of all sites recommended by the Committee to be approved as stewardship sites:
- (3) the recommendations of the Committee for such legislation and administrative actions as the Committee considers appropriate; and
- (4) in accordance with subsection (b), the recommendations of the Committee for the awarding of grants.
- (b) GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR RECOMMENDATIONS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Committee shall recommend that the Administrator award

grants to qualified applicants to help to secure and improve the open space, public access, or ecological values of stewardship sites, through-

- (A) purchase of the property of the site;
- (B) purchase of relevant property rights of
- (C) entering into any other binding legal arrangement that ensures that the values of the site are sustained, including entering into an arrangement with a land manager or owner to develop or implement an approved management plan that is necessary for the conservation of natural resources.
- (2) EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—The Committee shall exert due diligence to recommend an equitable distribution of funds between the States.
 - (c) ACTION BY THE ADMINISTRATOR.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after receiving a report under subsection (a). the Administrator shall—
- (A) review the recommendations of the Committee: and
- (B) take actions consistent with the recommendations of the Committee, including the approval of identified stewardship sites and the award of grants, unless the Administrator makes a finding that any recommendation is unwarranted by the facts.
- (2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall develop and publish a report that—
- (A) assesses the current resources of and threats to Long Island Sound;
- (B) assesses the role of the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative in protecting Long Island Sound;
- (C) establishes guidelines, criteria, schedules, and due dates for evaluating information to identify stewardship sites;
- (D) includes information about any grants that are available for the purchase of land or property rights to protect stewardship sites;
- (E) accounts for funds received and expended during the previous fiscal year;
- (F) shall be made available to the public on the Internet and in hardcopy form; and
- (G) shall be updated at least every other year, except that information on funding and any new stewardship sites identified shall be published more frequently.

SEC. 10. PRIVATE PROPERTY PROTECTION.

- (a) ACCESS TO PRIVATE PROPERTY.—Nothing in this Act-
- (1) requires any private property owner to allow public access (including Federal. State, or local government access) to the private property: or
- (2) modifies any provision of Federal. State, or local law with regard to public access to or use of private property, except as entered into by voluntary agreement of the owner or custodian of the property.
- (b) Liability.—Approval of the Long Island Sound Stewardship Initiative Region does not create any liability, or have any effect on any liability under any other law, of any private property owner with respect to any person injured on the private property.
- (c) RECOGNITION OF AUTHORITY TO CONTROL LAND USE.—Nothing in this Act modifies the authority of Federal, State, or local governments to regulate land use.
- (d) PARTICIPATION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE LONG ISLAND SOUND STEWARD-SHIP INITIATIVE REGION.—Nothing in this Act requires the owner of any private property located within the boundaries of the Region to participate in or be associated with the Initiative.
 - (e) Effect of Establishment .-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The boundaries approved for the Region represent the area within which Federal funds appropriated for the purpose of this Act may be expended.

(2) REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—The establishment of the Region and the boundaries of the Region does not provide any regulatory authority not in existence on the date of enactment of this Act on land use in the Region by any management entity, except for such property rights as may be purchased from or donated by the owner of the property (including the Federal Government or a State or local government, if applicable).

SEC. 11. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act \$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2013.
- (b) USE OF FUNDS.—For each fiscal year, funds made available under subsection (a) shall be used by the Administrator, after reviewing the recommendations of the Committee submitted under section 9, for-
- (1) acquisition of land and interests in land:
- (2) development and implementation of site management plans;
- (3) site enhancements to reduce threats or promote stewardship; and
- (4) administrative expenses of the Committee.
- (c) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of an activity carried out using any assistance or grant under this Act shall not exceed 75 percent of the total cost of the activity.

SEC. 12. LONG ISLAND SOUND AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Section 119(f) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1269(f)) is amended by striking "2005" each place it appears and inserting "2009".

SEC. 13. TERMINATION OF COMMITTEE.

The Committee shall terminate on December 31, 2013.

By Mr. McCAIN (for himself and Mr. Kyl):

S. 161. A bill to provide for a land exchange in the State of Arizona between the Secretary of Agriculture and Yayapai Ranch Limited Partnership: to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, I am pleased to join with Senator KYL in introducing the Northern Arizona Forest Lands Exchange and Verde River Basin Partnership Act of 2005. The Senate passed by unanimous consent a nearly identical measure late last year. Unfortunately, the House did not have the time to pass the bill before the 108th Congress adjourned. It is my hope that this compromise bill will pass quickly in both Houses and become law in the near future.

This legislation is the product of many years of negotiation and compromise. It provides a sound framework for a fair and equal value exchange of 50,000 acres of private and public land in Northern Arizona. The bill also addresses water issues associated with the exchange of lands located within the Verde River Basin watershed by limiting water usage on certain exchanged lands and supporting the development of a collaborative sciencebased water resource planning and management entity for the Verde River Basin watershed.

After countless hours of deliberation and discussion by all parties, I believe that the compromise reached on the bill is both balanced and foresighted in

addressing the various issues raised by the exchange. I want to thank Senator KYL and his staff, as well as Senators DOMENICI and BINGAMAN, and their staffs on the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, for their tireless efforts in reaching this agreement at the end of the last session. I also want to recognize the work of Congressmen RENZI and HAYWORTH who have championed this legislation in the House of Representatives. Representative Renzi plans to introduce a companion bill in the House this week.

The Arizona delegation is strongly supportive of the legislation because it will offer significant benefits for all parties. Benefits will accrue to the U.S. Forest Service and the public with the consolidation of checkerboard lands and the protection and enhanced management of extensive forest and grasslands. The communities of Flagstaff. Williams, and Camp Verde will also benefit in terms of economic development opportunities, water supply, and other important purposes.

While facilitating the exchange of public and private lands is a very important objective of this legislation, and indeed, was the original purpose when we began working on it several years ago. I now consider the provisions concerning water management even more crucial. Since introducing the original legislation in April 2003. I have heard from hundreds of Arizonans and learned first-hand of the significant water issues raised by the transfer of Federal land into private ownership. We have modified the bill to take into account many of the concerns raised during meetings held in Northern Arizona by limiting water usage on exchanged lands and removing certain lands entirely from the exchange.

There is growing recognition throughout Arizona of the need to face the crucial challenge of wise management of limited water supplies, particularly with the extended drought coupled with rapid population growth. Earlier this month, I had the opportunity to participate in an Arizona Water Conservation Forum which was attended by educators, business leaders, and State and local officials. I think the majority of us came away more aware of the management measures needed to provide for a more secure water future.

This bill promotes an important opportunity to encourage sound water management in Northern Arizona by supporting the creation of a collaborative, science-based decision-making body to advance essential planning and management at the State and local level. To be successful, this effort will require the involvement of all the stakeholders with water supply responsibilities and interests and a solid foundation of knowledge about available resources and existing demands. We are fortunate to have an existing model of collaborative science-based water resource planning and management with the Upper San Pedro Partnership in

the Sierra Vista subwatershed of Arizona. In my view, the establishment of a similar, cooperative body in the Verde Basin will be a vital step in assuring the wise use of our limited water resources.

I look forward to the expeditious passage of this legislation in this Congress and again thank all of the parties involved with this effort during the past several years. I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 161

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Northern Arizona Land Exchange and Verde River Basin Partnership Act of 2005".
- (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:
- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—NORTHERN ARIZONA LAND EXCHANGE

- Sec. 101. Definitions.
- Sec. 102. Land exchange.
- Sec. 103. Description of non-Federal land.
- Sec. 104. Description of Federal land.
- Sec. 105. Status and management of land after exchange.
- Sec. 106. Miscellaneous provisions.
- Sec. 107. Conveyance of additional land.

TITLE II—VERDE RIVER BASIN PARTNERSHIP

- Sec. 201. Purpose.
- Sec. 202. Definitions.
- Sec. 203. Verde River Basin Partnership.
- Sec. 204. Verde River Basin studies.
- Sec. 205. Verde River Basin Partnership final report.
- Sec. 206. Memorandum of understanding. Sec. 207. Effect.

TITLE I—NORTHERN ARIZONA LAND EXCHANGE

SEC. 101. DEFINITIONS.

- In this title:
- (1) CAMP.—The term "camp" means Camp Pearlstein, Friendly Pines, Patterdale Pines, Pine Summit, Sky Y, and Young Life Lost Canyon camps in the State of Arizona.
- (2) CITIES.—The term "cities" means the cities of Flagstaff, Williams, and Camp Verde, Arizona.
- (3) FEDERAL LAND.—The term "Federal land" means the land described in section
- (4) Non-federal Land.—The term "non-federal land" means the land described in section 103.
- (5) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Agriculture.
- (6) YAVAPAI RANCH.—The term "Yavapai Ranch" means the Yavapai Ranch Limited Partnership, an Arizona Limited Partnership, and the Northern Yavapai, L.L.C., an Arizona Limited Liability Company.

SEC. 102. LAND EXCHANGE.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Upon the conveyance by Yavapai Ranch of title to the non-Federal land identified in section 103, the Secretary shall simultaneously convey to Yavapai Ranch title to the Federal land identified in section 104.
- (2) Title to the lands to be exchanged shall be in a form acceptable to the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch.
- (3) The Federal and non-Federal lands to be exchanged under this title may be modified

prior to the exchange as provided in this title.

- (4)(A) By mutual agreement, the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch may make minor and technical corrections to the maps and legal descriptions of the lands and interests therein exchanged or retained under this title, including changes, if necessary to conform to surveys approved by the Bureau of Land Management.
- (B) In the case of any discrepancy between a map and legal description, the map shall prevail unless the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch agree otherwise.
- (b) EXCHANGE PROCESS.—(1) Except as otherwise provided in this title, the land exchange under subsection (a) shall be undertaken in accordance with section 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1716).
- (2) Before completing the land exchange under this title, the Secretary shall perform any necessary land surveys and pre-exchange inventories, clearances, reviews, and approvals, including those relating to hazardous materials, threatened and endangered species, cultural and historic resources, and wetlands and flood plains.
- (c) EQUAL VALUE EXCHANGE.—(1) The value of the Federal land and the non-Federal land shall be equal, or equalized by the Secretary by adjusting the acreage of the Federal land in accordance with paragraph (2).
- (2) If the final appraised value of the Federal land exceeds the final appraised value of the non-Federal land, prior to making other adjustments, the Federal lands shall be adjusted by deleting all or part of the parcels or portions of the parcels in the following order:
- (A) A portion of the Camp Verde parcel described in section 104(a)(4), comprising approximately 316 acres, located in the Prescott National Forest, and more particularly described as lots 1, 5, and 6 of section 26, the NE½NE½ portion of section 26 and the N½N½ portion of section 27, Township 14 North, Range 4 East, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona.
- (B) A portion of the Camp Verde parcel described in section 104(a)(4), comprising approximately 314 acres, located in the Prescott National Forest, and more particularly described as lots 2, 7, 8, and 9 of section 26, the SE½NE¼ portion of section 26, and the S½N½ of section 27, Township 14 North, Range 4 East, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona.
- (C) Beginning at the south boundary of section 31, Township 20 North, Range 5 West, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona, and sections 33 and 35, Township 20 North, Range 6 West, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona, by adding to the non-Federal land to be conveyed to the United States in $\frac{1}{8}$ -section increments (E-W 64th line) while deleting from the conveyance to Yavapai Ranch Federal land in the same incremental portions of section 32, Township 20 North, Range 5 West, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona, and sections 32, 34, and 36 in Township 20 North, Range 6 West, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona, to establish a linear and continuous boundary that runs east-to-west across the sections.
- (D) Any other parcels, or portions thereof, agreed to by the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch.
- (3) If any parcel of Federal land or non-Federal land is not conveyed because of any reason, that parcel of land, or portion thereof, shall be excluded from the exchange and the remaining lands shall be adjusted as provided in this subsection.

- (4) If the value of the Federal land exceeds the value of the non-Federal land by more than \$50,000, the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch shall, by mutual agreement, delete additional Federal land from the exchange until the value of the Federal land and non-Federal land is, to the maximum extent practicable, equal.
- (d) APPRAISALS.—(1) The value of the Federal land and non-Federal land shall be determined by appraisals prepared in accordance with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- (2)(A) After the Secretary has reviewed and approved the final appraised values of the Federal land and non-Federal land to be exchanged, the Secretary shall not be required to reappraise or update the final appraised values before the completion of the land exchange.
- (B) This paragraph shall apply during the three-year period following the approval by the Secretary of the final appraised values of the Federal land and non-Federal land unless the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch have entered into an agreement to implement the exchange.
- (3) During the appraisal process, the appraiser shall determine the value of each parcel of Federal land and non-Federal land (including the contributory value of each individual section of the intermingled Federal and non-Federal land of the property described in sections 103(a) and 104(a)(1)) as an assembled transaction.
- (4)(A) To ensure the timely and full disclosure to the public of the final appraised values of the Federal land and non-Federal land, the Secretary shall provide public notice of any appraisals approved by the Secretary and copies of such appraisals shall be available for public inspection in appropriate offices of the Prescott, Coconino, and Kaibab National Forests.
- (B) The Secretary shall also provide copies of any approved appraisals to the cities and the owners of the camps described in section 101(1).
- (e) CONTRACTING.—(1) If the Secretary lacks adequate staff or resources to complete the exchange by the date specified in section 106(c), Yavapai Ranch, subject to the agreement of the Secretary, may contract with independent third-party contractors to carry out any work necessary to complete the exchange by that date.
- (2) If, in accordance with this subsection, Yavapai Ranch contracts with an independent third-party contractor to carry out any work that would otherwise be performed by the Secretary, the Secretary shall reimburse Yavapai Ranch for the costs for the third-party contractors.
- (f) ÉASEMENTS.—(1) The exchange of non-Federal and Federal land under this title shall be subject to any easements, rights-of-way, utility lines, and any other valid encumbrances in existence on the date of enactment of this Act, including acquired easements for water pipelines as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, YRLP Acquired Easements for Water Lines" dated August 2004, and any other reservations that may be agreed to by the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch.
- (2) Upon completion of the land exchange under this title, the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch shall grant each other at no charge reciprocal easements for access and utilities across, over, and through—
- (A) the routes depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Road and Trail Easements, Yavapai Ranch Area" dated August 2004; and
- (B) any relocated routes that are agreed to by the Secretary and Yavapai Ranch.

- (3) An easement described in paragraph (2) shall be unrestricted and non-exclusive in nature and shall run with and benefit the land.
- (g) CONVEYANCE OF FEDERAL LAND TO CITIES AND CAMPS.—(1) Prior to the completion of the land exchange between Yavapai Ranch and the Secretary, the cities and the owners of the camps may enter into agreements with Yavapai Ranch whereby Yavapai Ranch, upon completion of the land exchange, will convey to the cities or the owners of the camps the applicable parcel of Federal land or portion thereof.
- (2) If Yavapai Ranch and the cities or camp owners have not entered into agreements in accordance with paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, on notification by the cities or owners of the camps no later than 30 days after the date the relevant approved appraisal is made publicly available, delete the applicable parcel or portion thereof from the land exchange between Yavapai Ranch and the United States as follows:
- (A) Upon request of the City of Flagstaff, Arizona, the parcels, or portion thereof, described in section 104(a)(2).
- (B) Upon request of the City of Williams, Arizona, the parcels, or portion thereof, described in section 104(a)(3).
- (C) Upon request of the City of Camp Verde, Arizona, a portion of the parcel described in section 104(a)(4), comprising approximately 514 acres located southeast of the southeastern boundary of the I-17 right-of-way, and more particularly described as the SE¼ portion of the southeast quarter of section 26, the E½ and the E½W½ portions of section 35, and lots 5 through 7 of section 36, Township 14 North, Range 4 East, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona.
- (D) Upon request of the owners of the Younglife Lost Canyon camp, the parcel described in section 104(a)(5).
- (E) Upon request of the owner of Friendly Pines Camp, Patterdale Pines Camp, Camp Pearlstein, Pine Summit, or Sky Y Camp, as applicable, the corresponding parcel described in section 104(a)(6).
- (3)(A) Upon request of the specific city or camp referenced in paragraph (2), the Secretary shall convey to such city or camp all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the applicable parcel of Federal land or portion thereof, upon payment of the fair market value of the parcel and subject to any terms and conditions the Secretary may require.
- (B) A conveyance under this paragraph shall not require new administrative or environmental analyses or appraisals beyond those prepared for the land exchange.
- (4) A city or owner of a camp purchasing land under this subsection shall reimburse Yavapai Ranch for any costs incurred which are directly associated with surveys and appraisals of the specific property conveyed.
- (5) A conveyance of land under this subsection shall not affect the timing of the land exchange.
- (6) Nothing in this subsection limits the authority of the Secretary or Yavapai Ranch to delete any of the parcels referenced in this subsection from the land exchange.
- (7)(A) The Secretary shall deposit the proceeds of any sale under paragraph (2) in a special account in the fund established under Public Law 90–171 (commonly known as the "Sisk Act") (16 U.S.C. 484a).
- (B) Amounts deposited under subparagraph (A) shall be available to the Secretary, without further appropriation, to be used for the acquisition of land in the State of Arizona for addition to the National Forest System, including the land to be exchanged under this title.

- SEC. 103. DESCRIPTION OF NON-FEDERAL LAND.
- (a) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal land referred to in this title consists of approximately 35,000 acres of privately-owned land within the boundaries of the Prescott National Forest, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Non-Federal Lands", dated August 2004.
- (b) EASEMENTS.—(1) The conveyance of non-Federal land to the United States under section 102 shall be subject to the reservation of—
- (A) water rights and perpetual easements that run with and benefit the land retained by Yavapai Ranch for—
- (i) the operation, maintenance, repair, improvement, development, and replacement of not more than 3 wells in existence on the date of enactment of this Act:
- (ii) related storage tanks, valves, pumps, and hardware; and
- (iii) pipelines to point of use; and
- (B) easements for reasonable access to accomplish the purposes of the easements described in subparagraph (A).
- (2) Each easement for an existing well referred to in paragraph (1) shall be 40 acres in area, and to the maximum extent practicable, centered on the existing well.
- (3) The United States shall be entitled to one-half the production of each existing or replacement well, not to exceed a total of 3,100,000 gallons of water annually for National Forest System purposes.
- (4) The locations of the easements and wells shall be as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Reserved Easements for Water Lines and Wells". dated August 2004.

SEC. 104. DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL LAND.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Federal land referred to in this title consists of the following:
- (1) Certain land comprising approximately 15,300 acres located in the Prescott National Forest, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Yavapai Ranch Area Federal Lands", dated August 2004.
- (2) Certain land located in the Coconino National Forest— $\,$
- (A) comprising approximately 1,500 acres as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Flagstaff Federal Lands Airport Parcel", dated August 2004; and
- (B) comprising approximately 28.26 acres in two separate parcels, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Flagstaff Federal Lands Wetzel School and Mt. Elden Parcels", dated August 2004
- (3) Certain land located in the Kaibab National Forest, and referred to as the Williams Airport, Williams golf course, Williams Sewer, Buckskinner Park, Williams Railroad, and Well parcels number 2, 3, and 4, cumulatively comprising approximately 950 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Williams Federal Lands", dated August 2004.
- (4) Certain land located in the Prescott National Forest, comprising approximately 2,200 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Camp Verde Federal Land General Crook Parcel", dated August 2004.
- (5) Certain land located in the Kaibab National Forest, comprising approximately 237.5 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Younglife Lost Canyon", dated August 2004.
- (6) Certain land located in the Prescott National Forest, including the "Friendly Pines", "Patterdale Pines", "Camp Pearlstein", "Pine Summit", and "Sky Y" camps, cumulatively comprising approxi-

- mately 200 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled "Yavapai Ranch Land Exchange, Prescott Federal Lands, Summer Youth Camp Parcels", dated August 2004. (b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE OF CAMP
- (b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE OF CAMP VERDE PARCEL.—(1) To conserve water in the Verde Valley, Arizona, and to minimize the adverse impacts from future development of the Camp Verde General Crook parcel described in subsection (a)(4) on current and future holders of water rights in existence of the date of enactment of this Act and the Verde River and National Forest System lands retained by the United States, the United States shall limit in perpetuity the use of water on the parcel by reserving conservation easements that—
 - (A) run with the land;
- (B) prohibit golf course development on the parcel:
- (C) require that any public park or greenbelt on the parcel be watered with treated wastewater:
- (D) limit total post-exchange water use on the parcel to not more than 300 acre-feet of water per year;
- (E) provide that any water supplied by municipalities or private water companies shall count towards the post-exchange water use limitation described in subparagraph (D); and
- (F) except for water supplied to the parcel by municipal water service providers or private water companies, require that any water used for the parcel not be withdrawn from wells perforated in the saturated Holocene alluvium of the Verde River.
- (2) If Yavapai Ranch conveys the Camp Verde parcel described in subsection (a)(4), or any portion thereof, the terms of conveyance shall include a recorded and binding agreement of the quantity of water available for use on the land conveyed, as determined by Yavapai Ranch, except that total water use on the Camp Verde parcel may not exceed the amount specified in paragraph (1)(D).
- (3) The Secretary may enter into a memorandum of understanding with the State or political subdivision of the State to enforce the terms of the conservation easement.

SEC. 105. STATUS AND MANAGEMENT OF LAND AFTER EXCHANGE.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Land acquired by the United States under this title shall become part of the Prescott National Forest and shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with this title and the laws applicable to the National Forest System.
- (b) GRAZING.—Where grazing on non-Federal land acquired by the Secretary under this title occurs prior to the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary may manage the land to allow for continued grazing use, in accordance with the laws generally applicable to domestic livestock grazing on National Forest System land.
- (c) TIMBER HARVESTING.—(1) After completion of the land exchange under this title, except as provided in paragraph (2), commercial timber harvesting shall be prohibited on the non-Federal land acquired by the United States.
- (2) Timber harvesting may be conducted on the non-Federal land acquired under this title if the Secretary determines that such harvesting is necessary—
- (A) to prevent or control fires, insects, and disease through forest thinning or other forest management techniques;
- (B) to protect or enhance grassland habitat, watershed values, native plants and wildlife species; or
- (C) to improve forest health.

SEC. 106. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

(a) REVOCATION OF ORDERS.—Any public orders withdrawing any of the Federal land

from appropriation or disposal under the public land laws are revoked to the extent necessary to permit disposal of the Federal land

- (b) WITHDRAWAL OF FEDERAL LAND.—Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land is withdrawn from all forms of entry and appropriation under the public land laws; location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and operation of the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws, until the date on which the land exchange is completed.
- (c) COMPLETION OF EXCHANGE.—It is the intent of Congress that the land exchange authorized and directed under this title be completed not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 107. CONVEYANCE OF ADDITIONAL LAND.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall convey to a person that represents the majority of landowners with encroachments on the lot by quitclaim deed the parcel of land described in subsection (b).
- (b) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The parcel of land referred to in subsection (a) is lot 8 in section 11, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Coconino County, Arizona.
- (c) AMOUNT OF CONSIDERATION.—In exchange for the land described in subsection (b), the person acquiring the land shall pay to the Secretary consideration in the amount of—
- (1) \$2500; plus
- (2) any costs of re-monumenting the boundary of land.
- (d) TIMING.—(1) Not later than 90 days after the date on which the Secretary receives a power of attorney executed by the person acquiring the land, the Secretary shall convey to the person the land described in subsection (b).
- (2) If, by the date that is 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary does not receive the power of attorney described in paragraph (1)—
- (A) the authority provided under this section shall terminate; and
- (B) any conveyance of the land shall be made under Public Law 97-465 (16 U.S.C. 521c et seq.).

TITLE II—VERDE RIVER BASIN PARTNERSHIP

SEC. 201. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this title is to authorize assistance for a collaborative and science-based water resource planning and management partnership for the Verde River Basin in the State of Arizona, consisting of members that represent—

- (1) Federal, State, and local agencies; and (2) economic, environmental, and commu-
- (2) economic, environmental, and community water interests in the Verde River Basin.

SEC. 202. DEFINITIONS.

- In this title:
- (1) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means the Director of the Arizona Department of Water Resources.
- (2) Partnership.—The term "Partnership" means the Verde River Basin Partnership.
- (3) PLAN.—The term "plan" means the plan for the Verde River Basin required by section 204(a)(1).
- (4) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Agriculture.
- (5) STATE.—The term "State" means the State of Arizona.
- (6) VERDE RIVER BASIN.—The term "Verde River Basin" means the land area designated by the Arizona Department of Water Resources as encompassing surface water and groundwater resources, including drainage and recharge areas with a hydrologic connection to the Verde River.
- (7) Water Budget.—The term "water budget" means the accounting of—

- (A) the quantities of water leaving the Verde River Basin— $\,$
- (i) as discharge to the Verde River and tributaries;
- (ii) as subsurface outflow;
- (iii) as evapotranspiration by riparian vegetation;
- (iv) as surface evaporation;
- (v) for agricultural use; and
- (vi) for human consumption; and
- (B) the quantities of water replenishing the Verde River Basin by precipitation, infiltration, and subsurface inflows.

SEC. 203. VERDE RIVER BASIN PARTNERSHIP.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in the establishment of a partnership, to be known as the "Verde River Basin Partnership", made up of Federal, State, local governments, and other entities with responsibilities and expertise in water to coordinate and cooperate in the identification and implementation of comprehensive science-based policies, projects, and management activities relating to the Verde River Basin
- (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—On establishment of the Partnership, there are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior such sums as are necessary to carry out the activities of the Partnership for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2010.

SEC. 204. VERDE RIVER BASIN STUDIES.

- (a) STUDIES.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Partnership shall prepare a plan for conducting water resource studies in the Verde River Basin that identifies—
- (A) the primary study objectives to fulfill water resource planning and management needs for the Verde River Basin; and
- (B) the water resource studies, hydrologic models, surface and groundwater monitoring networks, and other analytical tools helpful in the identification of long-term water supply management options within the Verde River Basin.
- (2) REQUIREMENTS.—At a minimum, the plan shall— $\,$
- (A) include a list of specific studies and analyses that are needed to support Partnership planning and management decisions;
- (B) identify any ongoing or completed water resource or riparian studies that are relevant to water resource planning and management for the Verde River Basin:
- (C) describe the estimated cost and duration of the proposed studies and analyses; and
- (D) designate as a study priority the compilation of a water budget analysis for the Verde Valley.
- (b) VERDE VALLEY WATER BUDGET ANALYSIS.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, not later than 14 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the U.S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the Director, shall prepare and submit to the Partnership a report that provides a water budget analysis of the portion of the Verde River Basin within the Verde Valley.
- (2) COMPONENTS.—The report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include—
- (A) a summary of the information available on the hydrologic flow regime for the portion of the Middle Verde River from the Clarkdale streamgauging station to the city of Camp Verde at United States Geological Survey Stream Gauge 09506000;
- (B) with respect to the portion of the Middle Verde River described in subparagraph (A), estimates of—
- (i) the inflow and outflow of surface water and groundwater;
 - (ii) annual consumptive water use; and

- (iii) changes in groundwater storage; and
- (C) an analysis of the potential long-term consequences of various water use scenarios on groundwater levels and Verde River flows.
- on groundwater levels and verde kiver hows.

 (c) Preliminary Report and Recommendations.—.

 (1) In general.—Not later than 16 months
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 16 months after the date of enactment of this Act, using the information provided in the report submitted under subsection (b) and any other relevant information, the Partnership shall submit to the Secretary, the Governor of Arizona, and representatives of the Verde Valley communities, a preliminary report that sets forth the findings and recommendations of the Partnership regarding the long-term available water supply within the Verde Valley.
- (2) CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS.—
 The Secretary may take into account the recommendations included in the report submitted under paragraph (1) with respect to decisions affecting land under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, including any future sales or exchanges of Federal land in the Verde River Basin after the date of enactment of this Act.
- (3) EFFECT.—Any recommendations included in the report submitted under paragraph (1) shall not affect the land exchange process or the appraisals of the Federal land and non-Federal land conducted under sections 103 and 104.

SEC. 205. VERDE RIVER BASIN PARTNERSHIP FINAL REPORT.

Not later than 4 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Partnership shall submit to the Secretary and the Governor of Arizona a final report that—

- (1) includes a summary of the results of any water resource assessments conducted under this title in the Verde River Basin;
- (2) identifies any areas in the Verde River Basin that are determined to have groundwater deficits or other current or potential water supply problems;
- (3) identifies long-term water supply management options for communities and water resources within the Verde River Basin; and
- (4) identifies water resource analyses and monitoring needed to support the implementation of management options.

SEC. 206. MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.

The Secretary (acting through the Chief of the Forest Service) and the Secretary of the Interior, shall enter into a memorandum of understanding authorizing the United States Geological Survey to access Forest Service land (including stream gauges, weather stations, wells, or other points of data collection on the Forest Service land) to carry out this title.

SEC. 207. EFFECT.

Nothing in this title diminishes or expands State or local jurisdiction, responsibilities, or rights with respect to water resource management or control.

Mr. KYL. Mr. President, today, I am pleased to join with Senator McCain to introduce the Northern Arizona Land Exchange and Verde River Basin Partnership Act of 2005. This bill facilitates a large and complex land exchange of over 50,000 acres of Federal and private land in Arizona to consolidate the largest remaining checkerboard ownership in the State. It also encourages the formation of a partnership between Federal, State, and local stakeholders to facilitate sound water resource planning and management in the Verde River Basin. This bill is the product of two years of discussions and compromise between the Arizona delegation, United States Forest Service,

community groups, local officials, and other stakeholders. The bill passed the Senate last session, but unfortunately was not enacted before adjournment. I am introducing this legislation with the hope that the Senate will act quickly to pass it early in this Congress.

The bill is divided into two titles. Title I provides the framework for the land exchange between Yavapai Ranch Limited Partnership and the United States Forest Service. Title II outlines the key aspects of the Verde River Basin Partnership. The land exchange outlined in Title I is a fair and equitable exchange that will yield many environmental benefits to the citizens of Arizona. It will place approximately 35.000 acres of private land in federal ownership for public use. This acreage is important ecologically because it contains such key features as old growth ponderosa pine, and high quality grassland that serves as excellent habitat for pronghorn antelope and is critical to the preservation of the watershed. In addition, it consolidates under Forest Service ownership a 110square mile area in the Prescott National Forest near the existing Juniper Mesa Wilderness, to preserve the area in its natural state. Without this land exchange, these private tracts would be open to future development. I am pleased that this bill will preserve them for future generations.

The land exchange also significantly improves the management of the Prescott National Forest. The existing checkboard ownership pattern makes management and access difficult. By consolidating this land, the exchange will enable the Forest Service will be able to effectively apply forest restoration treatments to reduce the fire risk and improve the overall health of the forest. I cannot emphasize enough how crucial this is, given the history of devastating forest fires in the state.

In addition to protecting Arizona's natural resources, Title I of the bill allows several Northern Arizona communities to accommodate future growth and economic development, and to meet other municipal needs. This exchange will allow the cities of Flagstaff and Williams to expand their airports, meet their water-treatment needs, and develop town parks and recreation areas. The town of Camp Verde will have an opportunity to acquire land to build an emergency center and protect its viewshed. Several youth organizations will be able to acquire land for their camps.

This bill addresses one of the most crucial challenges facing Arizona: sound management of water resources. I have heard from many state and local officials, and the constituents affected by the land exchange, that we needed to do more in this bill to address water issues. I note in response that this bill has two key features: First, it establishes a conservation easement on the Camp Verde General Crook parcel, which limits water use after private ac-

quisition to just 300 acre feet a year. This limitation was strengthened from the previous versions of the bill which included a use restriction of 700 acre feet a year. This provision sets an important precedent for responsible water use in the Verde Valley and across the state. Second, and most recently, Senator McCain and I added Title II to the bill. This title facilitates and encourages the creation of the Verde River Basin Partnership to examine water issues in the long term. Such a collaborative, multi-stakeholder group would be authorized to receive federal assistance to develop the scientific and technical data needed to make sound water-management decisions.

Finally, this bill saves significant taxpayer dollars. It obviates the administrative route for a land exchange; doing an exchange of this size administratively would require considerable financial and personnel resources from the Forest Service. The agency estimates that using legislation instead will cost half as much as the administrative alternative—resulting in potential savings to the taxpayers in excess of \$500.000.

This land exchange is a unique opportunity to protect Arizona's natural resources, accommodate the state's tremendous growth, and plan for the future. I intend to work with my colleagues to ensure that we pass this important legislation this year.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER:

S. 162. A bill to amend chapter 99 of the Internal Revenue code of 1986 to clarify that certain coal industry health benefits may not be modified or terminated; to the Committee on Finance

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, today I am introducing legislation to make very clear that Congress fully protected the health insurance benefits of miners and their families when we passed the Coal Act in 1992. This legislation is identical to S. 3004 which I introduced in the 108th Congress. Unfortunately, it is necessary, because we have recently seen bankruptcy courts disregard the Coal Act and absolve companies of their obligations to provide health benefits for workers and retirees. This is unacceptable. And the bill I am introducing today reiterates that the bankruptcy code does not supersede the Coal Act.

Last fall, another company abandoned promises it made to workers and retirees in West Virginia. Horizon Natural Resources sought and received a court ruling that released it from its contracts with union miners and allowed it to avoid honoring health care benefit obligations for over 2,300 retired miners. This is a morally bankrupt corporate strategy, and is inconsistent with the Coal Act passed by Congress in 1992.

The Coal Act was needed in 1992 to prevent some companies from walking away from their clear contractual obligations and agreements with their workers. One of the provisions of that bill was written especially with the intent of not allowing companies to simply reorganize as a way to get out of their obligations to their workers. Unfortunately, too many companies are increasingly using bankruptcy courts to achieve the same results.

It should not be necessary for me to introduce this bill today. Congress has already spoken on this subject. The law is clear: Coal Act retirees are entitled to full benefits provided under the statute. No judge should rewrite the law to take those benefits away. However, because judges are legislating from the bench, it will be helpful for Congress to reiterate our intention to protect the health benefits of coal miners and their families.

This issue is extremely important to all of those who are being victimized by the bankruptcy courts. I hope that my colleagues will join me in this effort to protect the miners, retired miners, and families who are simply seeking the benefits they were promised in exchange for years of hard work.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 162

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. PROTECTION OF COAL INDUSTRY HEALTH BENEFITS.

Section 9711(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to rules applicable to this part and part II) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) PROHIBITION ON TERMINATION AND MODI-FICATION OF BENEFITS.—Except as provided in subsection (d), the benefits required to be provided by a last signatory operator under this chapter may not be terminated or modified by any court in a proceeding under title 11 of the United States Code or by agreement at any time when such operator is participating in such a proceeding.".

By Mr. BENNETT:

S. 163. A bill to establish the National Mormon Pioneer Heritage Area in the State of Utah, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I rise today to re-introduce the National Mormon Pioneer Heritage Area Act.

The story behind and about the Mormon pioneers' 1,400-mile trek from Illinois to the Great Salt Lake Valley is one of the most compelling and captivating in our Nation's history. This legislation would designate as a National Heritage Area an area that spans some 250 miles along Highway 89 and encompasses outstanding examples of historical, cultural, and natural resources that demonstrate the colonization of the western United States, and the experience and influence of the Mormon pioneers in furthering that colonization.

The landscape, architecture, artisan skills, and events along Highway 89

convey in a very real way the legacy of the Mormon pioneers' achievements. The community of Panquitch for example, has an annual Quilt Day celebration to commemorate the sacrifice and fortitude of its pioneers whose efforts saved the community from starvation in 1864. The celebration is in remembrance of the Quilt Walk, a walk in which a group of men from Panquitch used quilts to form a path that would bear their weight across the snow. This quilt walk enabled these men to cross over the mountains to procure food for their community, which was facing starvation as it experienced its first winter in Utah.

Another example of the tenacity of pioneers can be seen today at the Holein-the-Rock, Here, in 1880, a group of 250 people, 80 wagons, and 1,000 head of cattle upon the Colorado River Gorge. Finding no pathways down to the river. the pioneers decided to use a narrow crevice leading down to the bottom of the gorge. To make the crevice big enough to accommodate wagons, the pioneers spent 6 weeks enlarging the crevice by hand, using hammers, chisels, and blasting powder. They then attached large ropes to the wagons as they began their descent down the steep incline. It is because of such tenacity and innovation on the part of pioneers that the western United States was shaped the way it was and much of that has contributed to the way of life and landscape still found in the West today.

The National Mormon Pioneer Heritage Area will serve as a special recognition of the people and places that have contributed greatly to our Nation's development. It will allow for the conservation of historical and cultural resources, the establishment of interpretive exhibits, will increase public awareness of the surviving skills and crafts of those living along Highway 89, and specifically allows for the preservation of historic buildings. In light of the benefits associated with preserving the rich heritage of the founding of many of the communities along Highway 89, my legislation has broad support from Sanpete, Sevier, Piute, Garfield, and Kane counties and is a locally based, locally supported undertaking.

Since the introduction of this legislation in the 108th Congress, I am pleased that the local counties, who have been unanimously supportive of this legislation, have come together to outline in a Memorandum of Understanding, with the local coordinating entity identified in the legislation, the cooperative relationship the coordinating entity enjoys with the elected officials of the local counties.

This legislation passed the Senate both in the 107th and 108th Congresses as part of packages agreed upon by the committee of jurisdiction. Unfortunately, both times the packages were not able to be considered by the other body prior to adjournment. I reintroduce this bill today with the hope that

during this session of Congress we might achieve success in this body early enough to be considered by the House.

By Mr. BENNETT:

S. 164. A bill to provide for the acquisition of certain property in Washington County, Utah; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, today I am re-introducing a bill which is intended to bring to a close the Federal acquisition of an important piece of privately held land, located within the federally designated desert tortoise reserve in Washington County, UT.

As some of my colleagues are aware, this is not the first time legislation has been introduced in an attempt to resolve this issue. Most recently, on December 7, 2004, at the conclusion of the 108th Congress, the Senate passed by unanimous consent an amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 620, which adopted as title XVI agreed upon provisions of S. 1209. Unfortunately. the House of Representatives adjourned sine die before it had time to act upon H.R. 620. The legislation I am introducing today is virtually the same as the language earlier adopted by the Senate, except for a technical clarification regarding management of the acquired lands.

I want to personally express my appreciation to Chairman Domenici and his staff for their leadership and assistance on this issue. I would also like to thank the ranking minority member, Mr. BINGAMAN, the Department of the Interior, and their respective staffs, for their assistance and support of this measure.

Earlier in July of 2000, I introduced S. 2873, which was referred to and reported favorably by the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. In addition, similar legislation was twice approved by the House of Representatives, both in the 106th and 107th Congresses. For over a decade, the private property addressed by this bill has been under Federal control and the Federal Government has enjoyed the benefits of the private property without fulfilling its constitutional obligation to compensate the landowner. The government's failure to timely acquire the landowner's private property has forced the landowner into bankruptcy. It is my hope that the time has come to finally resolve this issue.

In March of 1991, the desert tortoise was listed as an endangered species under the Endangered Species Act. Government and environmental researchers determined that the land immediately north of St. George, UT, was prime desert tortoise habitat. Consequently, in February 1996, nearly 5 years after the listing, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, USFWS, issued Washington County a Section 10 permit under the Endangered Species Act which paved the way for the adoption of a habitat conservation plan, HCP, and an implementation

agreement. Under the Plan and Agreement, the Bureau of Land Management, BLM, committed to acquire all private lands in the designated habitat area for the formation of the Red Cliffs Reserve for the protection of the desert tortoise.

One of the private land owners within the reserve is Environmental Land Technology, Ltd., ELT, which began acquiring lands from the State of Utah in 1981 for residential and recreational development several years prior to the listing of the species. Moreover, in the years preceding the listing of the desert tortoise and the adoption of the habitat conservation plan, ELT completed appraisals, cost estimates, engineering studies, site plans, surveys, utility layouts, and right-of-way negotiations. ELT staked out golf courses, and obtained water rights for the development of this land. Prior to the adoption of the HCP, it was not clear which lands the Federal and local governments would set aside for the desert tortoise, although it was assumed that there were sufficient surrounding Federal lands to provide adequate habitat. However, when the HCP was adopted in 1996, the decision was made to include ELT's lands within the boundaries of the reserve primarily because of the high concentrations of tortoises. The tortoises on ELT land also appeared to be one of, if not the only population without an upper respiratory disease that afflicted all of the other populations. As a consequence of the inclusion of the ELT lands, ELT's development efforts were halted.

With assurances from the Federal Government that the acquisition of the ELT development lands was a high priority, the owner negotiated with, and entered into, an assembled land exchange agreement with the BLM in anticipation of intrastate land exchanges. The private land owner then began a costly process of identifying comparable Federal lands within the State that would be suitable for an exchange for his lands in Washington County. Over the last 7 years, BLM and the private land owners, including ELT, have completed several exchanges, and the Federal Government has acquired, through those exchanges or direct purchases, nearly all of the private property located within the reserve, except for approximately 1,516 acres of the ELT development land. However, with the unforeseen creation of the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument in September 1996, and the subsequent land exchanges between the State of Utah and the Federal Government to consolidate Federal lands within that monument, there are no longer sufficient comparable Federal lands within Utah to complete the originally contemplated intrastate exchanges for the remainder of the ELT land.

Faced with this problem, and in light of the high priority the Department of the Interior has placed on acquiring

lands. BLM officials ommended that the ELT lands be acquired by direct purchase. During the FY 2000 budget process, BLM proposed that \$30 million be set aside to begin acquiring the remaining lands in Washington County. Unfortunately, because this project involves endangered species habitat and the USFWS is responsible for administering activities under the Endangered Species Act, the Office of Management and Budget shifted the \$30 million from the BLM budget request to the USFWS's Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund budget request. Ultimately, however, none of those funds was made available for BLM acquisitions within the Federal section of the reserve. Instead, the funds in that account were made available on a matching basis for the use of individual States to acquire wildlife habitat. The result of this bureaucratic fumbling has resulted in extreme financial hardship for ELT.

The lands within the Red Cliffs Reserve are ELT's only asset. The establishment of the Washington County HCP has effectively taken this property and prevented ELT from developing or otherwise disposing of the property. ELT has been brought to the brink of financial ruin as it has exhausted its resources in an effort to hold the property while awaiting the compensation to which it is entitled. ELT has had to sell its remaining assets, and the private land owner has also had to sell his personal assets, including his home, to simply hold the property. This has become a financial crisis for the landowner. It is simply wrong for the Federal Government to expect the landowner to continue to bear the cost of the government's efforts to provide habitat for an endangered species. That is the responsibility of the Federal Government. Moreover, while the landowner is bearing these costs, he continues to pay taxes on the property. This situation is made more egregious by the failure of the Department of the Interior to request any acquisition funding for FY 2004 or FY 2005, even though this acquisition has been designated a high priority by the agency. Over the past several years, ELT has pursued all possible avenues to complete the acquisition of these lands. The private land owner has spent millions of dollars pursuing both intrastate and interstate land exchanges and has worked cooperatively with the Department of the Interior. Unfortunately, all of these efforts have thus far been fruitless.

The bill that I am introducing today will finally bring this acquisition to a close. In my view, a legislative taking should be an action of last resort. But, if ever a case warranted legislative condemnation, this is it. This bill will transfer to the Federal Government all right, title, and interest in the ELT development property within the Red Cliffs Reserve, including an additional 34 acres of landlocked real property owned by ELT adjacent to the land

within the reserve. Subject to existing law, the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and the Uniform Standards and Practices for Appraisal Professionals, USPAP, a United States Court of competent jurisdiction shall determine the value for the land.

The bill includes language to allow, as part of the legislative taking, for the landowner to recover reasonable costs, interest, and damages, if any, as determined by the court. It is important to understand that, while Federal acquisitions should be completed on the basis of fair market value, when the Federal Government makes the commitment to acquire private land, the landowner should not have to be driven into financial ruin while waiting upon the Federal Government to discharge its obligation. While the Federal Government has never disputed its obligation to acquire the property, it has had the benefit of the private land for all these years without having to pay for it. The private landowner should not have to bear the costs of this Federal foot-dragging.

This legislation is consistent with the high priority the Department of the Interior has repeatedly placed on this land acquisition, and is a necessary final step towards an equitable resolution. The time for pursuing other options has long since expired and it is unfortunate that it requires legislative action. Without commenting on the Endangered Species Act itself, it would seem that if it is the government's objective to provide habitat for the benefit of an endangered species, then the government ought to bear the costs, rather than forcing them upon the landowner. It is also time to address this issue so that the Federal agencies may be single-minded in their efforts to recover the desert tortoise which remains the aim of the creation of the reserve. This legislation simply codifies the status quo by enabling the private land owner to obtain the compensation to which he is constitutionally entitled. It is time to right this wrong and get on with the efforts to recover the species and I encourage my colleagues to again support the immediate enactment of this important legislation.

By Mr. COLEMAN:

S. 165. A bill for the relief of Tchisou Tho; to the Committee on the Judiciary

Mr. COLEMAN. Mr. President, today I am introducing a private relief bill for an outstanding young man from my State of Minnesota, Tchisou Tho.

This legislation would allow Tchisou, a Hmong immigrant, to stay in this country by adjusting his status to permanent resident. Not only would this allow him to stay in the country he has lived in since he was 5 years old, but it will make him eligible for in-State tuition at the University of Minnesota.

Tchisou's family came to the United States 14 years ago on a visitor's visa from France after fleeing Communist rule in Laos in 1975. He was 5 years old at the time. They moved to Minnesota in 1993 to find work and to give their children an opportunity to receive a quality education.

Tchisou was an all-American high school kid. He watched movies, hung out at the mall with his friends and attended prom. He was an honor roll student, active in his community, church, and school. Tchisou was going to be the first member of his family to graduate from high school, and he was getting ready to begin his freshman year on a scholarship to the University of Minnesota.

But in May 2003, just as Tchisou was getting ready to graduate from high school, his family met with immigration officials to request changes to their immigration status. Instead, they received a deportation order.

Tchisou's parents acknowledged that they had broken the law by overstaving their visas, and agreed to leave the country. But we all wanted Tchisou to have the chance to graduate with his high school class. Legislation I introduced last year allowed Tchisou to stay. And thanks to the compassion of the immigration authorities, Tchisou's family was allowed to remain in the country just long enough to see their son walk in his high school graduation ceremony. Shortly thereafter. Tchisou's parents and brothers and sisters returned to France as they promised, where they live today.

Still focused on his educational goals and now living with his married sister in St. Paul, Tchisou enrolled at the University of Minnesota as an international student. However, he was required to pay out-of-State tuition and unfortunately had to drop out after one semester when he ran out of money.

Determined to finish college, Tchisou is currently driving a forklift at the loading docks of a home improvement store, to save money for college while his immigration status is being sorted out. He was recently named employee of the month. Tchisou hopes to re-enroll at the University of Minnesota.

I acknowledge that Tchisou's parents broke the law. They overstayed their visas to remain in this country, which they should not have done. And they have since been deported. But I think it would be unfair to punish Tchisou for the actions of his parents. This private relief bill would allow Tchisou the chance to live the American dream.

With the help of my good friend and colleague, the senior Senator from Georgia, Chairman CHAMBLISS, we were able to pass this legislation last year. I hope the Senate will be able to act on this important legislation early this year so that Tchisou may enroll at the University of Minnesota, graduate, and be an asset to our community.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows: S. 165

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled

SECTION 1. PERMANENT RESIDENT STATUS FOR TCHISQUITHO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b) of section 201 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1151), Tchisou Tho shall be eligible for the issuance of an immigrant visa or for adjustment of status to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence upon filing an application for issuance of an immigrant visa under section 204 of that Act (8 U.S.C. 1154) or for adjustment of status to lawful permanent resident.

(b) ADJUSTMENT OF STATUS.—If Tchisou Tho enters the United States before the filing deadline specified in subsection (c), Tchisou Tho shall be considered to have entered and remained lawfully and shall be eligible for adjustment of status under section 245 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1255) as of the date of enactment of

this Act.

- (c) DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION AND PAYMENT OF FEES.—Subsections (a) and (b) shall apply only if the application for issuance of an immigrant visa or the application for adjustment of status are filed with appropriate fees within 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.
- (d) REDUCTION OF IMMIGRANT VISA NUMBERS.—Upon the granting of an immigrant visa or permanent residence to Tchisou Tho, the Secretary of State shall instruct the proper officer to reduce by 1, during the current or next following fiscal year, the total number of immigrant visas that are made available to natives of the country of the aliens' birth under section 203(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1153(a)) or, if applicable, the total number of immigrant visas that are made available to natives of the country of the aliens' birth under section 202(e) of that Act (8 U.S.C. 1152(e)).

By Mr. SMITH (for himself and Mr. WYDEN):

S. 166. A bill to amend the Oregon Resource Conservation Act of 1996 to reauthorize the participation of the Bureau of Reclamation in the Deschutes River Conservancy, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, today I am introducing legislation, cosponsored by my colleague from Oregon, to reauthorize participation by the Bureau of Reclamation in the Deschutes River Conservancy for an additional 10 years.

The Deschutes River Conservancy, formerly know as the Deschutes Resources Conservancy, was originally authorized in 1996 as a pilot project. It was so successful it was reauthorized in the 106th Congress. The Conservancy is designed to achieve local consensus for on-the-ground projects to improve ecosystem health in the Deschutes River Basin.

The Deschutes River is truly one of Oregon's greatest resources. It drains Oregon's high desert along the eastern front of the Cascades, eventually flowing into the Columbia River. It is the State's most intensively used recreational river. It provides water to both irrigation projects and to the city

of Bend, which is one of Oregon's fastest growing cities. The Deschutes Basin also contains hundreds of thousands of acres of productive forest and rangelands, serves the treaty fishing and water rights of the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, and has Oregon's largest non-Federal hydroelectric project.

By all accounts, the Deschutes River Conservancy has been a huge success. It has brought together diverse interests within the Basin, including irrigators, tribes, ranchers, environmentalists, an investor-owned utility, local businesses, as well as local elected officials and representatives of State and Federal agencies. Together, the Conservancy board members have been able to develop project criteria and identify a number of water quality, water quantity, fish passage and habitat improvement projects that could be funded. Over the years, projects have been selected by consensus, and there must be a fifty-fifty cost share from non-Federal sources.

Over the past 8 years, they have been very successful at finding cooperative, market-based solutions to enhance the ecosystem in the basin. The Conservancy has used this approach to restore over ninety cubic-feet-per-second of streamflow in the Deschutes Basin. In addition, by planting over 100,000 trees, installing miles of riparian fencing, removing berms and reconstructing stream beds, the Conservancy has helped improve fish habitat and water quality along one hundred miles of the Deschutes River and its tributaries.

The existing authorization provides for up to two million dollars each year for projects. This bill would continue that annual authorization ceiling for 10 years. Funds are provided through the Bureau of Reclamation, the group's lead Federal agency.

The Deschutes River Conservancy enjoys widespread support in Oregon. It has very committed board members who represent diverse interests in the Basin. The high caliber of their work, and their pragmatic approach to ecosystem restoration have been recognized by others outside the region.

I am convinced that Federal participation in this project needs to continue. This organization has helped to avoid the conflicts over water that we have seen in too many watersheds in the western United States. I urge my colleagues to continue support for this project. Not only is it important to central Oregon, but the Deschutes River Conservancy can serve as a national model for cooperative watershed restoration at the local level.

By Mr. HATCH (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. CORNYN, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN):

S. 167. A bill to provide for the protection of intellectual property rights, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Family Enter-

tainment and Copyright Act of 2005. This important legislation consists of a package of smaller intellectual property bills that the House and Senate have been working to enact since last Congress. This legislation passed the Senate not once, but twice, during the waning days of the last Congress. Unfortunately, though, it was doomed by a non-germane amendment unrelated to intellectual property law. My hope is that we can work together this Congress to avoid this type of pitfall, and I commit to work with other members to do so.

Before beginning my substantive discussion of the bill, I would like to thank my colleagues Senators Leahy, Cornyn, and Feinstein for their ongoing efforts on this legislation. Just as it was last year, this legislation is a group effort, and I want to take care to recognize the contributions and their excellent work along with that of Representatives Sensenbrenner, Smith, Berman, and Conyers in the House.

Before going into a title-by-title discussion of the bill. I would like to express my particular support for the Family Movie Act, which has been included in this legislation. Chairman LAMAR SMITH and I worked on this bill last Congress. It's important legislation both to parents who want the ability to use new technologies to help shield their families from inappropriate content as well as the technology companies, such as ClearPlay in my home State of Utah, that are working to develop these technologies. The Family Movie Act will give parents more say over what their children see. without limiting the creative control of directors and movie studios.

Title I of this Act, the Artists' Rights and Theft Prevention Act of 2005, (the ART Act), contains a slightly modified version of S. 1932, authored by Senators CORNYN and FEINSTEIN in the 108th Congress. This bill will close two significant gaps in our copyright laws that are feeding some of the piracy now rampant on the Internet.

First, it criminalizes attempts to record movies off of theater screens. These camcorded copies of new movies now appear on filesharing networks almost contemporaneously with the theatrical release of a film. Several States have already taken steps to criminalize this activity, but providing a uniform Federal law—instead of a patchwork of State criminal statutes—will assist law enforcement officials in combating the theft and redistribution of valuable intellectual property embodied in newly-released motion pictures.

Second, the bill will create a pre-registration system that will permit criminal penalties and statutory-damage awards. This will also provide a tool for law enforcement officials combating the growing problem of music and movies being distributed on filesharing networks and circulating on the Internet before they are even released. Obviously, the increasingly frequent situation of copyrighted works

being distributed illegally via the Internet before they are even made available for sale to the public severely undercuts the ability of copyright holders to receive fair and adequate compensation for their works.

Title II of this Act, the Family Movie Act of 2005 (the FMA), resolves some ongoing disputes about the legality of so-called "jump-and-skip" technologies that companies like Clearplay in my home State of Utah have developed to permit family-friendly viewing of films that may contain objectionable content. The FMA creates a narrowly defined safe-harbor clarifying that distributors of such technologies will not face liability for copyright or trademark infringement, provided that they comply with the requirements of the Act. I have been working with my colleagues in the Senate and several leaders in the House-including, most importantly Chairmen SMITH and SENSEN-BRENNER—for the past couple of years to resolve this issue. The FMA will help to end aggressive litigation threatening the viability of small companies like Clearplay which are busy creating innovative technologies for consumers that allow them to tailor their home viewing experience to their own individual or family preferences.

The Family Movie Act creates a new exemption in section 110(11) of the Copyright Act for skipping and muting audio and video content in motion pictures during performances of an authorized copy of the motion picture taking place in the course of a private viewing in a household. The version passed last year by the House explicitly excluded from the scope of the new copyright exemption so-called "adskipping" technologies that make changes, deletions, or additions to commercial advertisements or to network or station promotional announcements that would otherwise be displayed before, during, or after the performance of the motion picture. This provision was included on the House floor to address the concerns of some Members who were concerned that a court might misread the new section 110(11) exemption to apply to "ad-skipping" cases, such as in the recent litigation involving ReplayTV.

In the Senate, however, some expressed concern that the inclusion of such explicit language could create unwanted inferences with respect to the merits of the legal positions at the heart of recent "ad-skipping" litigation. Those issues remain unsettled in the courts, and it was never the intent of this legislation to resolve or affect those issues in any way. Indeed, the Copyright Act contains literally scores of similar exemptions, and none of those exemptions have been or should be construed to imply anything about the legality of conduct falling outside their scope. As a result, the Copyright Office has now confirmed that such an explicit exclusion is unnecessary to achieve the desired outcome, which is to avoid application of this new exemption in potential future cases involving ad-skipping devices. In order to avoid unnecessary controversy, the Senate bill omits the exclusionary language with the understanding that doing so does not in any way change the scope of the bill.

That this change in no way affects the scope of the exemption is clear when considering that the new section 110(11) exemption protects the "making imperceptible . . . limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture. ' An advertisement, under the Copyright Act, is itself a "motion picture," and thus a product or service that enables the skipping of an entire advertisement, in any media, would be beyond the scope of the exemption. Moreover, the phrase "limited portions" is intended to refer to portions that are both quantitatively and qualitatively insubstantial in relation to the work as a whole. Where any substantial part of a complete work, such as a commercial advertisement, is made imperceptible, the new section 110(11) exemption would not apply. The limited scope of this exemption does not, however, imply or show that such conduct or a technology that enables such conduct would be infringing. This legislation does not in any way deal with that issue. It means simply that such conduct and products enabling such conduct are not immunized from liability by this exemption.

This bill also differs from the version passed by the House last year in that it adds two "savings clauses." The copyright savings clause makes clear that there should be no spillover effect from the passage of this law: that is, nothing shall be construed to have any effect on rights, defenses, or limitations on rights granted under title 17, other than those explicitly provided for in the new section 110(11) exemption. The trademark savings clause clarifies that no inference can be drawn that a person or company who fails to qualify for the exemption from trademark infringement found in this provision is therefore liable for trademark infringement.

Title III of this Act, the National Film Preservation Act of 2004, will reauthorize the National Film Preservation Board and the National Film Preservation Foundation. These entities have worked successfully to recognize and preserve historically or culturally significant films—often by providing the grants and expertise that enable local historical societies to protect and preserve historically significant films for the local communities for which they are most important. This fine work will ensure that the history of the 20th century will be preserved and available to future generations.

As a conservative Senator from a socially conservative state, I occasionally take a few swings at the movie industry for the quality and content of the motion pictures they are currently creating, but I will note for the record that I commend efforts to ensure that

important artistic, cultural, and historically significant films are preserved for future generations. I commend my friend from Vermont for his perseverance in reauthorizing Federal funds to continue this important effort.

Title IV of this act, the "Preservation of Orphan Works Act," also ensures the preservation of valuable historic records by correcting a technical error that unnecessarily narrows a limitation on the copyright law applicable to librarians and archivists. This will strengthen the ability of librarians and archivists to better meet the needs of both researchers and ordinary individuals and will result in greater accessibility of important works. I applaud my colleague in the House—Representative HOWARD BERMAN of Californiafor his efforts on this bill and am pleased to see it included in this Senate package.

Just to conclude, I will again thank Ranking Democratic Member Leahy, Senator Cornyn, Chairmen Sensenbrenner and Smith, as well as Mr. Conyers and Mr. Berman for their bicameral, bipartisan approach to these bills and to intellectual property issues generally.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 167

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Family Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2005".

TITLE I—ARTISTS' RIGHTS AND THEFT PREVENTION

SEC. 101. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Artists' Rights and Theft Prevention Act of 2005" or the "ART Act".

SEC. 102. CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED RECORDING OF MOTION PICTURES IN A MOTION PICTURE EXHIBITION FACILITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 113 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2319A the following new section:

"\$ 2319B. Unauthorized recording of Motion pictures in a Motion picture exhibition facility

"(a) OFFENSE.—Any person who, without the authorization of the copyright owner, knowingly uses or attempts to use an audiovisual recording device to transmit or make a copy of a motion picture or other audiovisual work protected under title 17, or any part thereof, from a performance of such work in a motion picture exhibition facility, shall—

"(1) be imprisoned for not more than 3 years, fined under this title, or both; or

"(2) if the offense is a second or subsequent offense, be imprisoned for no more than 6 years, fined under this title, or both.

The possession by a person of an audiovisual recording device in a motion picture exhibition facility may be considered as evidence in any proceeding to determine whether that person committed an offense under this subsection, but shall not, by itself, be sufficient

to support a conviction of that person for such offense.

- "(b) FORFEITURE AND DESTRUCTION.—When a person is convicted of a violation of subsection (a), the court in its judgment of conviction shall, in addition to any penalty provided, order the forfeiture and destruction or other disposition of all unauthorized copies of motion pictures or other audiovisual works protected under title 17, or parts thereof, and any audiovisual recording devices or other equipment used in connection with the offense.
- "(c) AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—This section does not prevent any lawfully authorized investigative, protective, or intelligence activity by an officer, agent, or employee of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision of a State, or by a person acting under a contract with the United States, a State, or a political subdivision of a State.
- "(d) IMMUNITY FOR THEATERS.—With reasonable cause, the owner or lessee of a motion picture exhibition facility where a motion picture or other audiovisual work is being exhibited, the authorized agent or employee of such owner or lessee, the licensor of the motion picture or other audiovisual work being exhibited, or the agent or employee of such licensor—
- "(1) may detain, in a reasonable manner and for a reasonable time, any person suspected of a violation of this section with respect to that motion picture or audiovisual work for the purpose of questioning or summoning a law enforcement officer; and
- "(2) shall not be held liable in any civil or criminal action arising out of a detention under paragraph (1).
 - "(e) VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—During the preparation of the presentence report under rule 32(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, victims of an offense under this section shall be permitted to submit to the probation officer a victim impact statement that identifies the victim of the offense and the extent and scope of the injury and loss suffered by the victim, including the estimated economic impact of the offense on that victim.
- "(2) CONTENTS.—A victim impact statement submitted under this subsection shall include—
- "(A) producers and sellers of legitimate works affected by conduct involved in the offense:
- "(B) holders of intellectual property rights in the works described in subparagraph (A); and
- "(C) the legal representatives of such producers, sellers, and holders.
- "(f) STATE LAW NOT PREEMPTED.—Nothing in this section may be construed to annul or limit any rights or remedies under the laws of any State.
- "(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following definitions shall apply:
- "(1) TITLE 17 DEFINITIONS.—The terms 'audiovisual work', 'copy', 'copyright owner', 'motion picture', 'motion picture exhibition facility', and 'transmit' have, respectively, the meanings given those terms in section 101 of title 17
- "(2) AUDIOVISUAL RECORDING DEVICE.—The term 'audiovisual recording device' means a digital or analog photographic or video camera, or any other technology or device capable of enabling the recording or transmission of a copyrighted motion picture or other audiovisual work, or any part thereof, regardless of whether audiovisual recording is the sole or primary purpose of the device."
- (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 113 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2319A the following:

- "2319B. Unauthorized recording of motion pictures in a motion picture exhibition facility.".
- (c) DEFINITION.—Section 101 of title 17, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the definition of "Motion pictures" the following: "The term "motion picture exhibition facility" means a movie theater, screening room, or other venue that is being used primarily for the exhibition of a copyrighted motion picture, if such exhibition is open to the public or is made to an assembled group of viewers outside of a normal circle of a family and its social acquaintances."

SEC. 103. CRIMINAL INFRINGEMENT OF A WORK BEING PREPARED FOR COMMER-CIAL DISTRIBUTION.

- (a) Prohibited Acts.—Section 506(a) of title 17, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
 - "(a) CRIMINAL INFRINGEMENT.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Any person who willfully infringes a copyright shall be punished as provided under section 2319 of title 18, if the infringement was committed—
- "(A) for purposes of commercial advantage or private financial gain;
- "(B) by the reproduction or distribution, including by electronic means, during any 180-day period, of 1 or more copies or phonorecords of 1 or more copyrighted works, which have a total retail value of more than \$1.000; or
- "(C) by the distribution of a work being prepared for commercial distribution, by making it available on a computer network accessible to members of the public, if such person knew or should have known that the work was intended for commercial distribution.
- "(2) EVIDENCE.—For purposes of this subsection, evidence of reproduction or distribution of a copyrighted work, by itself, shall not be sufficient to establish willful infringement of a copyright.
- "(3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term 'work being prepared for commercial distribution' means—
- "(A) a computer program, a musical work, a motion picture or other audiovisual work, or a sound recording, if, at the time of unauthorized distribution—
- $\lq\lq$ (i) the copyright owner has a reasonable expectation of commercial distribution; and
- "(ii) the copies or phonorecords of the work have not been commercially distributed; or
- "(B) a motion picture, if, at the time of unauthorized distribution, the motion picture—
- "(i) has been made available for viewing in a motion picture exhibition facility; and
- "(ii) has not been made available in copies for sale to the general public in the United States in a format intended to permit viewing outside a motion picture exhibition facility.".
- (b) CRIMINAL PENALTIES.—Section 2319 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) in subsection (a)—
- (A) by striking "Whoever" and inserting "Any person who"; and
- (B) by striking "and (c) of this section" and inserting ", (c), and (d)";
- (2) in subsection (b), by striking "section 506(a)(1)" and inserting "section 506(a)(1)(A)";
- (3) in subsection (c), by striking "section 506(a)(2) of title 17, United States Code" and inserting "section 506(a)(1)(B) of title 17";
- (4) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively;
- (5) by adding after subsection (c) the following:
- "(d) Any person who commits an offense under section 506(a)(1)(C) of title 17—
- "(1) shall be imprisoned not more than 3 years, fined under this title, or both;

- "(2) shall be imprisoned not more than 5 years, fined under this title, or both, if the offense was committed for purposes of commercial advantage or private financial gain;
- "(3) shall be imprisoned not more than 6 years, fined under this title, or both, if the offense is a second or subsequent offense; and
- "(4) shall be imprisoned not more than 10 years, fined under this title, or both, if the offense is a second or subsequent offense under paragraph (2)."; and
 - (6) in subsection (f), as redesignated—
- (A) in paragraph (1), by striking "and" at the end:
- (B) in paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and
 - (C) by adding at the end the following:
- "(3) the term 'financial gain' has the meaning given the term in section 101 of title 17; and
- "(4) the term 'work being prepared for commercial distribution' has the meaning given the term in section 506(a) of title 17.".

SEC. 104. CIVIL REMEDIES FOR INFRINGEMENT OF A WORK BEING PREPARED FOR COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTION.

- (a) PREREGISTRATION.—Section 408 of title 17, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(f) PREREGISTRATION OF WORKS BEING PREPARED FOR COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTION.—
- "(1) RULEMAKING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Register of Copyrights shall issue regulations to establish procedures for preregistration of a work that is being prepared for commercial distribution and has not been published.
- "(2) CLASS OF WORKS.—The regulations established under paragraph (1) shall permit preregistration for any work that is in a class of works that the Register determines has had a history of infringement prior to authorized commercial distribution.
- "(3) APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION.—Not later than 3 months after the first publication of a work preregistered under this subsection, the applicant shall submit to the Copyright Office—
- "(A) an application for registration of the work:
- "(B) a deposit; and
- "(C) the applicable fee.
- "(4) EFFECT OF UNTIMELY APPLICATION.—An action under this chapter for infringement of a work preregistered under this subsection, in a case in which the infringement commenced no later than 2 months after the first publication of the work, shall be dismissed if the items described in paragraph (3) are not submitted to the Copyright Office in proper form within the earlier of—
- "(A) 3 months after the first publication of the work; or
- "(B) 1 month after the copyright owner has learned of the infringement.".
- (b) INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS.—Section 411(a) of title 17, United States Code, is amended by inserting "preregistration or" after "shall be instituted until".
- (c) EXCLUSION.—Section 412 of title 17, United States Code, is amended by inserting after "section 106A(a)" the following: ", an action for infringement of the copyright of a work that has been preregistered under section 408(f) before the commencement of the infringement and that has an effective date of registration not later than the earlier of 3 months after the first publication of the work or 1 month after the copyright owner has learned of the infringement.".

SEC. 105. FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES.

(a) REVIEW AND AMENDMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the United States Sentencing Commission, pursuant to its authority under section 994 of title 28, United States Code, and

in accordance with this section, shall review and, if appropriate, amend the Federal sentencing guidelines and policy statements applicable to persons convicted of intellectual property rights crimes, including any offense under—

- (1) section 506, 1201, or 1202 of title 17, United States Code; or
- (2) section 2318, 2319, 2319A, 2319B, or 2320 of title 18, United States Code.
- (b) AUTHORIZATION.—The United States Sentencing Commission may amend the Federal sentencing guidelines in accordance with the procedures set forth in section 21(a) of the Sentencing Act of 1987 (28 U.S.C. 994 note) as though the authority under that section had not expired.
- (c) RESPONSIBILITIES OF UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION.—In carrying out this section, the United States Sentencing Commission shall—
- (1) take all appropriate measures to ensure that the Federal sentencing guidelines and policy statements described in subsection (a) are sufficiently stringent to deter, and adequately reflect the nature of, intellectual property rights crimes;
- (2) determine whether to provide a sentencing enhancement for those convicted of the offenses described in subsection (a), if the conduct involves the display, performance, publication, reproduction, or distribution of a copyrighted work before it has been authorized by the copyright owner, whether in the media format used by the infringing party or in any other media format;
- (3) determine whether the scope of "uploading" set forth in application note 3 of section 2B5.3 of the Federal sentencing guidelines is adequate to address the loss attributable to people who, without authorization, broadly distribute copyrighted works over the Internet; and
- (4) determine whether the sentencing guidelines and policy statements applicable to the offenses described in subsection (a) adequately reflect any harm to victims from copyright infringement if law enforcement authorities cannot determine how many times copyrighted material has been reproduced or distributed.

TITLE II—EXEMPTION FROM INFRINGE-MENT FOR SKIPPING AUDIO AND VIDEO CONTENT IN MOTION PICTURES

SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Family Movie Act of 2005".

SEC. 202. EXEMPTION FROM INFRINGEMENT FOR SKIPPING AUDIO AND VIDEO CONTENT IN MOTION PICTURES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 110 of title 17, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) in paragraph (9), by striking "and" after the semicolon at the end:
- (2) in paragraph (10), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and";
- (3) by inserting after paragraph (10) the following:
- "(11) the making imperceptible, by or at the direction of a member of a private household, of limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture, during a performance in or transmitted to that household for private home viewing, from an authorized copy of the motion picture, or the creation or provision of a computer program or other technology that enables such making imperceptible and that is designed and marketed to be used, at the direction of a member of a private household, for such making imperceptible, if no fixed copy of the altered version of the motion picture is created by such computer program or other technology."; and
 - (4) by adding at the end the following:
- "For purposes of paragraph (11), the term making imperceptible does not include the

addition of audio or video content that is performed or displayed over or in place of existing content in a motion picture.

"Nothing in paragraph (11) shall be construed to imply further rights under section 106 of this title, or to have any effect on defenses or limitations on rights granted under any other section of this title or under any other paragraph of this section."

(b) EXEMPTION FROM TRADEMARK INFRINGE-MENT.—Section 32 of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1114) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(3)(A) Any person who engages in the conduct described in paragraph (11) of section 110 of title 17, United States Code, and who complies with the requirements set forth in that paragraph is not liable on account of such conduct for a violation of any right under this Act. This subparagraph does not preclude liability, nor shall it be construed to restrict the defenses or limitations on rights granted under this Act, of a person for conduct not described in paragraph (11) of section 110 of title 17, United States Code, even if that person also engages in conduct described in paragraph (11) of section 110 of such title.

'(B) A manufacturer, licensee, or licensor of technology that enables the making of limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture imperceptible as described in subparagraph (A) is not liable on account of such manufacture or license for a violation of any right under this Act, if such manufacturer, licensee, or licensor ensures that the technology provides a clear and conspicuous notice at the beginning of each performance that the performance of the motion picture is altered from the performance intended by the director or copyright holder of the motion picture. The limitations on liability in subparagraph (A) and this subparagraph shall not apply to a manufacturer, licensee, or licensor of technology that fails to comply with this paragraph.

"(Ĉ) The requirement under subparagraph (B) to provide notice shall apply only with respect to technology manufactured after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of the Family Movie Act of 2005.

"(D) Any failure by a manufacturer, licensee, or licensor of technology to qualify for the exemption under subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall not be construed to create an inference that any such party that engages in conduct described in paragraph (11) of section 110 of title 17, United States Code, is liable for trademark infringement by reason of such conduct.".

(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "Trademark Act of 1946" means the Act entitled "An Act to provide for the registration and protection of trademarks used in commerce, to carry out the provisions of certain international conventions, and for other purposes", approved July 5, 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.).

TITLE III—NATIONAL FILM PRESERVATION

Subtitle A—Reauthorization of the National Film Preservation Board

SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "National Film Preservation Act of 2005".

SEC. 302. REAUTHORIZATION AND AMENDMENT.

- (a) DUTIES OF THE LIBRARIAN OF CONGRESS.—Section 103 of the National Film Preservation Act of 1996 (2 U.S.C. 179m) is amended—
- (1) in subsection (b)—
- (A) by striking "film copy" each place that term appears and inserting "film or other approved copy";
- (B) by striking "film copies" each place that term appears and inserting "film or other approved copies"; and

- (C) in the third sentence, by striking "copyrighted" and inserting "copyrighted, mass distributed, broadcast, or published";
 - (2) by adding at the end the following:
- OCCORDINATION OF PROGRAM WITH OTHER COLLECTION, PRESERVATION, AND ACCESSIBILITY ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out the comprehensive national film preservation program for motion pictures established under the National Film Preservation Act of 1992, the Librarian, in consultation with the Board established pursuant to section 104, shall—
- "(1) carry out activities to make films included in the National Film registry more broadly accessible for research and educational purposes, and to generate public awareness and support of the Registry and the comprehensive national film preservation program;
- "(2) review the comprehensive national film preservation plan, and amend it to the extent necessary to ensure that it addresses technological advances in the preservation and storage of, and access to film collections in multiple formats: and
- "(3) wherever possible, undertake expanded initiatives to ensure the preservation of the moving image heritage of the United States, including film, videotape, television, and born digital moving image formats, by supporting the work of the National Audio-Visual Conservation Center of the Library of Congress, and other appropriate nonprofit archival and preservation organizations."
- (b) NATIONAL FILM PRESERVATION BOARD.— Section 104 of the National Film Preservation Act of 1996 (2 U.S.C. 179n) is amended—
- (1) in subsection (a)(1) by striking "20" and inserting "22";
- (2) in subsection (a) (2) by striking "three" and inserting "5";
- (3) in subsection (d) by striking "11" and inserting "12"; and
- (4) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:
- "(e) REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES.—Members of the Board shall serve without pay, but may receive travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance with sections 5702 and 5703 of title 5, United States Code."
- (c) NATIONAL FILM REGISTRY.—Section 106 of the National Film Preservation Act of 1996 (2 U.S.C. 179p) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(e) NATIONAL AUDIO-VISUAL CONSERVATION CENTER.—The Librarian shall utilize the National Audio-Visual Conservation Center of the Library of Congress at Culpeper, Virginia, to ensure that preserved films included in the National Film Registry are stored in a proper manner, and disseminated to researchers, scholars, and the public as may be appropriate in accordance with—
 - "(1) title 17, United States Code; and
- "(2) the terms of any agreements between the Librarian and persons who hold copyrights to such audiovisual works.".
- (d) USE OF SEAL.—Section 107 (a) of the National Film Preservation Act of 1996 (2 U.S.C. 1799(a)) is amended—
- (1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "in any format" after "or any copy"; and
- (2) in paragraph (2), by striking "or film copy" and inserting "in any format".
- (e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 113 of the National Film Preservation Act of 1996 (2 U.S.C. 179w) is amended by striking "7" and inserting "12".

Subtitle B—Reauthorization of the National Film Preservation Foundation SEC. 311. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "National Film Preservation Foundation Reauthorization Act of 2005".

SEC. 312. REAUTHORIZATION AND AMENDMENT.

- (a) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—Section 151703 of title 36, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) in subsection (b)(2)(A), by striking "nine" and inserting "12"; and
- (2) in subsection (b)(4), by striking the second sentence and inserting "There shall be no limit to the number of terms to which any individual may be appointed.".
- (b) POWERS.—Section 151705 of title 36, United States Code, is amended in subsection (b) by striking "District of Columbia" and inserting "the jurisdiction in which the principal office of the corporation is located".
- (c) PRINCIPAL OFFICE.—Section 151706 of title 36, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", or another place as determined by the board of directors" after "District of Columbia".
- (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 151711 of title 36, United States Code, is amended by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following:
- "(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated to the Library of Congress amounts necessary to carry out this chapter, not to exceed \$530,000 for each of the fiscal years 2005 through 2009. These amounts are to be made available to the corporation to match any private contributions (whether in currency, services, or property) made to the corporation by private persons and State and local governments.
- "(b) LIMITATION RELATED TO ADMINISTRA-TIVE EXPENSES.—Amounts authorized under this section may not be used by the corporation for management and general or fundraising expenses as reported to the Internal Revenue Service as part of an annual information return required under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.".

TITLE IV—PRESERVATION OF ORPHAN WORKS

SEC. 401. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Preservation of Orphan Works Act".

SEC. 402. REPRODUCTION OF COPYRIGHTED WORKS BY LIBRARIES AND ARCHIVES.

Section 108(i) of title 17, United States Code, is amended by striking "(b) and (c)" and inserting "(b), (c), and (h)".

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today I join my colleagues, Senators HATCH, FEINSTEIN, and CORNYN, introducing an important piece of bipartisan intellectual property legislation. The provisions of the "Family Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2005" are virtually identical to those in the bill we passed in the waning days of the 108th Congress. Unfortunately, that package of intellectual property bills was hijacked in an effort to use it as a vehicle to pass unrelated legislation. The effort failed, and in the end so did Congress: we were not able to send to the President the most important package of intellectual property legislation on last year's agenda. The legislation passed in the Senate—several times in fact—but there was simply not enough time for the House of Representatives to act.

I am pleased that we were able to salvage two components of last year's bill. As Congress came to a close, the House passed the Senate version of the CREATE Act, legislation I cosponsored with Senator HATCH. The new law will continue to encourage collaborative research partnerships between private in-

dustry and not-for-profits, such as universities. We were also able to send to the President the Anti-counterfeiting Amendments Act, a version of Senator Biden's legislation that my friend from Delaware has championed for several years. Both laws are important, but our task remains incomplete.

It is time to enact the remaining components of the Family Entertainment and Copyright Act, to finish off the work of the 108th Congress as we begin the 109th.

Title I of the bill contains the "Artists" Rights and Theft Prevention Act," better known as the ART Act. This provision passed the Senate as a standalone bill in June of 2004, and again as part of the FECA bill at the end of the last Congress. The bill will make important inroads in the fight against movie piracy by criminalizing the use of camcorders to pilfer movies from the big screen. It will also direct the Register of Copyrights to create a registry of pre-release works in order to better address the problem of movietheft before these works are offered for legal distribution.

The next title of the bill is the Family Movie Act, which will preserve the rights of families to watch motion pictures in the manner they see fit. At the same time, the Act protects the rights of directors and copyright holders to maintain the artistic vision and integrity of their works. A version of this legislation passed the other chamber in September of 2004, and it passed the Senate as part of the FECA bill at the end of the 108th Congress.

Title III of the bill is the Film Preservation Act, legislation that I sponsored in the last Congress. A version of this bill, too, was part of the FECA bill that passed the Senate last Congress. The Film Preservation Act will allow the Library of Congress to continue its important work in preserving America's fading film treasures. The works preserved by this important program include silent-era films, avant-garde works, ethnic films, newsreels, and home movies that are in many ways more illuminating on the question of who we are as a society than the Hollywood sound features kept and preserved by major studios. What's more, the bill will assist libraries, museums, and archives in preserving films, and in making those works available to researchers and the public.

Finally, the bill contains the Preservation of Orphan Works Act. This provision corrects for a drafting error in the Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act. Correction of this error will allow libraries to create copies of certain copyrighted works, such as films and musical compositions that are in the last 20 years of their copyright term, are no longer commercially exploited, and are not available at a reasonable price. Again, this provision ensures that copies of culturally-illuminating works are not lost to history.

Anytime we enact a package of legislation as large as the "Family Entertainment and Copyright Act," building consensus is difficult. However, this is a chamber built on collegiality and compromise, and while I may have crafted specific components of this package differently, I believe that the final result we have achieved is one worthy of enactment. The components of this package have already passed the Senate at least once, and I have received assurances from the other chamber that the bill will receive swift consideration once it is approved in this body.

The legislative process is functioning well when we work with our colleagues across the aisle, and it is at its best when we work on a bipartisan basis with our friends in the other chamber. This bill has benefited from both. The agenda of the 109th Congress promises many issues that divide us, but this is not such a bill: It has garnered broad consensus, and I hope that we can finally move to swiftly enact it.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, in the fall of 2003, I introduced S. 1932, the Artists' Rights and Theft Prevention Act of 2003, along with my friend from California, Senator Feinstein. As introduced, the ART Act was a modest but necessary first step to combat the rampant piracy plaguing the motion picture, recording and general content industries. The Bill focuses on the most egregious form of copyright piracy plaguing the entertainment industry today-the piracy of film, movies, and other copyrighted materials before copyright owners have had the opportunity to market fully their products.

Now, as part of a comprehensive package, "the Family Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2005," it is even more significant. This package contains a number of targeted, important reforms that help strengthen our intellectual property laws. I rise to express my strong support for the bill and ask my colleagues to move it expeditiously.

Intellectual property laws and the American businesses that rely on them deserve our strongest support. Our Nation was founded on a number of important ideas. One central one was that the value created by the work and sweat of a person should be recognized as that person's property and should be protected. Protecting the creativity and capital that American innovators invest to make our lives richer is the right thing to do. Failure to do so not only would diminish the quality of our individual lives, but our country would suffer too. Intellectual property-related industries are a central driver of our Nation's economy and a staple of our international trade.

The copyright-based industries alone accounted for more than 5 percent of the U.S. GDP or \$535,100,000,000 in 2001 and almost 6 percent of U.S. employment, and led all major industry sectors in foreign sales and exports in 2001, the last year for which we have figures.

As the Justice Department recently has pointed out:

Ideas and the people who generate them serve as critical resources both in our daily lives and in the stability and growth of America's economy. The creation of intellectual property—from designs for new products to artistic creations—unleashes our Nation's potential, brings ideas from concept to commerce, and drives future economic and productivity gains. In the increasingly knowledge-driven, information age economy, intellectual property is the new coin of the realm. . . . [Report of the DOJ Task Force on Intellectual Property, p. 7.]

As the DOJ IP Task Force Report notes, America's economy relies more and more on ideas we create, not things we make. We need to protect our Nation's innovative and creative works with strong laws and enforcement of those laws because doing so is vital to our national economic security.

Having noted and quoted the DOJ Report, I want to pause to thank the Justice Department and outgoing Attorney General John Ashcroft for taking these issues seriously and for taking significant steps to address them. The formation of the Intellectual Property Task Force spotlighted these issues at the Justice Department and the work of the Task Force, headed by David Israelite did a superb job in developing comprehensive and serious steps better protecting our intellectual property interests. The DOJ engaged in serious domestic and international investigations and prosecutions against digital thieves who have misused promising digital technology like the Internet to further their attacks on American businesses. General Ashcroft and the Justice Department, who deserve our gratitude for so many reasons, certainly deserve it for their efforts on this area.

Having provided that foundation, let me discuss briefly some of the important provisions contained in this legislative package.

We have purposefully compiled a package of legislation that strikes a balance between innovation and copyright protection. One needn't be sacrificed to encourage the other—rather they go hand-in-hand.

First, I would mention the Cornyn-Feinstein "Artist's Rights and Theft Prevention Act" or the ART Act. Notably, it contains a provision making it a felony to record a movie in a theater. One of the principal ways that movie piracy happens is by thieves sitting in a movie theater, or bribing a projectionist to help them, and recording movies with small camcorders. These camcorded copies can then make their way around the world on the internet and usually land on the streets of cities around the world in pirated copies sold on the street, often the day the movie opens in the U.S. or even before the movie opens in many countries.

All it takes is a single or a small handful of camcorded copies distributed worldwide to have a devastating effect on a movie's profitability. Movies are generally an investment of tens or hundreds of millions of dollars that

rely on box office and home video and other subsequent sales to recoup this investment. A camcorded copy released early in any of these cycles can undermine the economics of this business, and especially if they hit the streets or the internet while the movie is still in theaters. This is theft, and it is theft that supports organized crime groups, and perhaps, even terrorism. It deserves to be stopped by the specter of a federal felony.

Its second key provision focuses on so-called "pre-released" works. Because serious harm can be done to both the reputation of and market for creative products if they are pirated before they actually come to market, we have included reforms in the ART Act and this package that make it easier for the Justice Department to prosecute those who steal and distribute copies of copyrighted works on the internet before they are released to the public by their owners or authorized distributors. We make the prosecutor's job easier by allowing certain presumptions with regard to the harm caused, including the dollar amount and number of copies, necessary to allow the prosecutor to bring a felony action where the works in question are being prepared for commercial release but have not been released to the public legitimately. This is fair because no one can legitimately believe that they are within their rights copying and distributing works that are not yet available in the marketplace. Again this is a common sense concept, which deserves the support of the Congress.

Also, I would mention the Family Movie Act—another important component of this package. This provision allows the use of certain, specified technology to skip or mute content that may be objectionable to certain viewers when watching a movie at home, so long as no fixed copy of the edited work is made.

Very few would argue that many of the movies produced today contain significant amounts of gratuitous sex, violence, foul language or other potentially objectionable content. A number of innovative companies have stepped forward to solve this problem by providing filters that tag such scenes and allows consumers to tailor their viewing experience.

This legislation is designed to solve an on-going controversy surrounding the use of such technology. Specifically, there is litigation pending over the issue of whether providing edited versions of movies to consumers creates a "derivative work" that violates the rights of those who created or own the copyrights and trademarks for the original movies. The existence of this controversy arguably is hampering the development of the technology that families may find helpful in protecting children from potentially objectionable content.

Let me make clear that this bill is not designed to deal with ad-skipping by consumers in the home. I know that there has been some misinformation about this by groups who apparently oppose copyright protections generally, but this bill has nothing to do with anything other than using a certain kind of technology to modify the viewing experience of a movie to skip over objectionable content.

Finally, the two remaining provisions—though relatively small—are not insignificant. The Film Preservation Act, legislation that I recognize is particularly important to Senator LEAHY, and I thank him for his efforts in promoting it, will reauthorize a Library of Congress Program dedicated to saving rare and significant films. Additionally, we make a small but necessary change to the Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act. Correction of this error will allow libraries to create copies of certain copyrighted works, such as films and musical compositions that are in the last 20 years of their copyright term, are no longer commercially exploited, and are not available at a reasonable price.

Before I relinquish my time, I do want to thank a number of people who have worked tirelessly on behalf of this bill. Allow me to thank David Jones and Tom Sydnor of the staff of Chairman Orrin Hatch, who is not only our previous Judiciary Committee Chairman, but a leader on copyright and intellectual property issues; Susan Davies and Dan Fine of Senator LEAHY's staff, who also has long been a leader on intellectual property issues; and finally, David Hantman of Senator FEIN-STEIN'S staff, a Senator with whom I am happy to have teamed to introduce the ART Act in the last Congress.

Having begun with the staff, who rarely get mentioned as much as they deserve for the great work they do, let me also thank the Senators they work for: Senators HATCH, LEAHY, and FEINSTEIN for their co-sponsorship, as well as the Majority Leader, who has taken a personal interest in this legislation and worked to make it happen.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, would the Senator yield for a quick question? Mr. HATCH. I would be happy to yield for a question from the distinguished Senator from Texas.

Mr. CORNYN. As the chairman knows, he and I and our other cosponsors have worked throughout last Congress on the provisions of the Family Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2005 that we have introduced today. With respect to the Family Movie Act portion of the bill, I just wanted to raise the point that there had been some concern over the potential effect of the FMA on future cases involving "ad skipping" technologies and ask if you would have any objection to including in the record the relevant portion of the floor discussion on that issue from last Congress?

Mr. HATCH. I thank my friend, the Senator from Texas, for that reminder. I would certainly have no objection to entering our previous colloquy into the RECORD again and ask unanimous consent that it appear after our remarks.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, Section 102 of the ART Act establishes a new provision of Title 18 entitled, "Unauthorized Recording of Motion Pictures in a Motion Picture Exhibition Facility." I ask Senator CORNYN, what is the purpose of this provision?

Mr. CORNYN. Section 102 addresses a serious piracy issue facing the movie business: the use of camcorders in a motion picture theater. Sad to say, there are people who go to the movie theater, generally during pre-opening "screenings" or during the first weekend of theatrical release, and using sophisticated digital equipment, record the movie. They're not trying to save \$8.00 so they can see the movie again. Instead, they sell the camcorded version to a local production factory or to an overseas producer, where it is converted into DVDs or similar products and sold on the street for a few dollars per copy. This misuse of camcorders is a significant factor in the estimated \$3.5 billion per year of losses the movie industry suffers because of hard goods piracy. Even worse, these camcorded versions are posted on the Internet through "P2P" networks such as KaZaA, Grokster and Morpheus-and made available for millions to download. The goal of our bill is to provide a potent weapon in the arsenal of prosecutors to stem the piracy of commercially valuable motion pictures at its source.

Mr. HATCH. I have heard it said that this bill could be used against a salesperson or a customer at stores such as Best Buy or Circuit City if he or she were to point a video camera at a television screen showing a movie. Is this cause for concern?

Mr. CORNYN. Absolutely not. The offense is only applicable to transmitting or copying a movie in a motion picture exhibition facility, which has to be a movie theater or similar venue "that is being used primarily for the exhibition of a copyrighted motion picture." In the example of Best Buy—the store is being used primarily to sell electronic equipment, not to exhibit motion pictures. For the same reason, the statute would not cover a university student who records a short segment of a film being shown in film class, as the venue is being used primarily as a classroom, and not as a movie theater.

Mr. HATCH. Does the Senator from California agree with your colleague from Texas?

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Absolutely on all points.

Mr. HATCH. I have also heard some say that this statute could be used to prosecute someone for camcording a DVD at his home. Is this a fair concern?

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. No, it is not. The definition of a motion picture exhibition facility includes the concept that the exhibition has to be "open to the public or is made to an assembled group of viewers outside of a normal circle of a family and its social acquaintances." This definition makes

clear that someone recording from a television in his home does not meet that definition. It is important to emphasize that the clause "open to the public" applies specifically to the exhibition, not to the facility. An exhibition in a place open to the public that is itself not made to the public is not the subject of this bill.

Thus, for example, a university film lab may be "open to the public." However a student who is watching a film in that lab for his or her own study or research would not be engaging in an exhibition that is "open to the public." Thus, if that student copied an excerpt from such an exhibition, he or she would not be subject to liability under the bill.

Mr. HATCH. Do the users of hearing aids, cell phones or similar devices have anything to fear from this statute?

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Of course not. The statute covers only a person who "knowingly uses or attempts to use an audiovisual recording device to transmit or make a copy of a motion picture or other audiovisual work protected under Title 17, or any part thereof. . . . " In other words, the defendant would have to be making, or attempting to make, a copy that is itself an audiovisual work, or make, or attempt to make, a transmission embodying an audiovisual work, as that term is defined in Section 101 of Title 17. As such, the Act would not reach the conduct of a person who uses a hearing aid, a still camera, or a picture phone to capture an image or mere sound from the movie

Mr. HATCH. It appears that there is no fair use exception to this provision. Is that correct?

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. This is a criminal provision under Title 18, not a copyright provision under Title 17. Accordingly, there is no fair use exception included. However, Federal prosecutors should use their discretion not to bring criminal prosecutions against activities within movie theaters that would constitute fair use under the copyright laws. The object of this legislation is to prevent the copying and distribution of motion pictures in a manner that causes serious commercial harm. This legislation is not intended to chill legitimate free speech.

Mr. HATCH. Does the Senator from Texas agree?

Mr. CORNYN. Yes, on all points. Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, would the chairman yield for a question?

Mr. HATCH. I would be happy to yield for a question from the distinguished Senator from Texas.

Mr. CORNYN. As the chairman knows, he and I and our other co-sponsors have worked throughout this Congress on the provisions of the Family Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2004 that we have introduced today. I just want to confirm what I believe to be our mutual understanding about the effect of certain provisions of the Family Movie Act. Title II of the Family

Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2004 that we introduced today modifies slightly the Family Movie Act provisions of H.R. 4077 as passed by the House of Representatives. That bill created a new exemption in section 110(11) of the Copyright Act for skipping and muting audio and video content in motion pictures during performances that take place in course of a private viewing in a household from an authorized copy of the motion picture. The House-passed version specifically excluded from the scope of the new copyright exemption computer programs or technologies that make changes, deletions, or additions to commercial advertisements or to network or station promotional announcements that would otherwise be displayed before, during, or after the performance of the motion picture.

My understanding is that this provision reflected a "belt and suspenders" approach that was adopted to quiet the concerns of some Members in the House who were concerned that a court might misread the statute to apply to "ad-skipping" cases. Some Senators. however, expressed concern that the inclusion of such explicit language could create unwanted inferences as to the "ad-skipping" issues at the heart of the recent litigation. Those issues remain unsettled, and it was never the intent of this legislation to resolve or affect those issues. In the meantime, the Copyright Office has confirmed that such a provision is unnecessary to achieve the intent of the bill, which is to avoid application of this new exemption in potential future cases involving 'ad-skipping" devices; therefore, the Senate amendment we offer removes the unnecessary exclusionary language.

Would the chairman confirm for the Senators present his understanding of the intent and effect, or perhaps stated more appropriately, the lack of any effect, of the Senate amendment on the scope of this bill?

Mr. HATCH. My cosponsor, Senator CORNYN, raises an important point. While we removed the "ad-skipping" language from the statute to avoid this unnecessary controversy, you are absolutely correct that this does not in any way change the scope of the bill. The bill protects the "making imperceptible . . . limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture . . . An advertisement, under the Copyright Act, is itself a "motion picture," and thus a product or service that enables the skipping of an entire advertisement, in any media, would be beyond the scope of the exemption. Moreover, the phrase "limited portions" is intended to refer to portions that are both quantitatively and qualitatively insubstantial in relation to the work as a whole. Where any substantial part of a complete work, such as a commercial advertisement, is made imperceptible, the new section 110(11) exemption would not apply.

The limited scope of this exemption does not, however, imply or show that such a product would be infringing. This legislation does not in any way deal with that issue. It means simply that such a product is not immunized from liability by this exemption.

Mr. CORNYN. I thank the chairman. I am pleased that we share a common understanding. If the chairman would yield for one more question about the Family Movie Act?

Mr. HATCH. Certainly.

Mr. CORNYN. This bill also differs from the House-passed version because it adds two "savings clauses." As I understand it, the "copyright" savings clause makes clear that there should be no "spillover effect" from the passage of this law: that is, nothing shall be construed to have any effect on rights, defenses, or limitations on rights granted under title 17. other than those explicitly provided for in the new section 110(11) exemption. The second, relating to trademark, clarifies that no inference can be drawn that a person or company who fails to qualify for the exemption from trademark infringement found in this provision is therefore liable for trademark infringement. Is that the chairman's understanding as well?

Mr. HATCH. Yes it is. Let me ask that a copy of the section-by-section analysis of the Family Movie Act as amended by the Senate be included in the RECORD. This section-by-section analysis contains a more complete analysis of the bill as proposed today in the Senate, including the limited changes made by the bill Senators LEAHY, CORNYN, BIDEN, and I offer today.

The analysis follows.

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS OF THE FAMILY MOVIE ACT OF 2004, AMENDED AND PASSED BY THE SENATE

OVERVIEW

Title II of the Family Entertainment and Copyright Act of 2004 incorporates the House-passed provision of the Family Movie Act of 2004, with limited changes as reflected in this section-by-section analysis. As discussed herein, these changes are not intended to and do not affect the scope, effect or application of the bill.

The purpose of the Family Movie Act is to empower private individuals to use technology to skip and mute material that they find objectionable in movies, without impacting established doctrines of copyright or trademark law or those whose business models depend upon advertising. This amendment to the law should be narrowly construed to effect its intended purpose only. The sponsors of the legislation have been careful to tailor narrowly the legislation to clearly allow specific, consumer-directed activity and not to open or decide collateral issues or to affect any other potential or actual disputes in the law.

The bill as proposed in the Senate makes clear that, under certain conditions, "making imperceptible" of limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture—that is, skipping and muting limited portions of movies without adding any content—as well as the creation or provision of a computer program or other technology that enables such making imperceptible, does not violate existing copyright or trademark laws. That is true whether the movie is on prerecorded media, like a DVD, or is

transmitted to the home, as through payper-view and "video-on-demand" services. Subsection (a): Short Title

Subsection (a) sets forth the short title of the bill as the Family Movie Act of 2004.

Subsection (b): Exemption from Copyright and Trademark Infringement for Skipping of Audio or Video Content of Motion Pictures

Subsection (b) is the Family Movie Act core provision and creates a new exemption at section 110(11) of the Copyright Act for the "making imperceptible" of limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture during a performance in a private household. This new exemption sets forth a number of conditions to ensure that it achieves its intended effect while remaining carefully circumscribed and avoiding any unintended consequences. The conditions that allow an exemption, which are discussed in more detail below, consist of the following:

The making imperceptible must be "by or at the direction of a member of a private household." This legislation contemplates that any altered performances of the motion picture would be made either directly by the viewer or at the direction of a viewer where the viewer is exercising substantial choice over the types of content they choose to skip or mute.

The making imperceptible must occur "during a performance in or transmitted to the household for private home viewing." Thus, this provision does not exempt an unauthorized "public performance" of an altered version.

The making imperceptible must be "from an authorized copy of a motion picture." Thus, skipping and muting from an unauthorized or "bootleg" copy of a motion picture would not be exempt.

ture would not be exempt.

No "fixed copy" of the altered version of the motion picture may be created by the computer program or other technology that makes imperceptible portions of the audio or video content of the motion picture. This provision makes clear that services or technologies that make a fixed copy of the altered version are not afforded the benefit of this exemption.

The "making imperceptible" of limited portions of a motion picture does not include the addition of audio or video content over or in place of other content, such as placing a modified image of a person, a product, or an advertisement in place of another, or adding content of any kind.

These limitations, and other operative provisions of this new section 110(11) exemption, merit further elaboration as to their purposes and effects.

The bill makes clear that the "making imperceptible" of limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture must be done by or at the direction of a member of a private household. While this limitation does not require that the individual member of the private household exercise ultimate decision-making over each and every scene or element of dialog in the motion picture that is to be made imperceptible, it does require that the making imperceptible be made at the direction of that individual in response to the individualized preferences expressed by that individual. The test of "at the direction of an individual" would be satisfied when an individual selects preferences from among options that are offered by the technology.

An example is the ClearPlay model. ClearPlay provides so-called "filter files" that allow a viewer to express his or her preferences in a number of different categories, including language, violence, drug content, sexual content, and several others. The version of the movie that the viewer sees depends upon the preferences expressed

by that viewer. Such a model would fall under the liability limitation of the Family Movie Act.

This limitation however would not allow a program distributor, such as a provider of video-on-demand services, a cable or satellite channel, or a broadcaster, to make imperceptible limited portions of a movie in order to provide an altered version of that movie to all of its customers, which could violate a number of the copyright owner's exclusive rights, or to make a determination of scenes to be skipped or dialog to be muted and to offer to its viewers no more of a choice than to view an original or an altered version of that film Some element of individualized preferences and control must be present such that the viewer exercises substantial choice over the types of content they choose to skip or mute.

It is also important to emphasize that the new section 110(11) exemption is targeted narrowly and specifically at the act of "making imperceptible" limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture during a performance that occurs in, or that is transmitted to, a private household for private home viewing. This section would not exempt from liability an otherwise infringing performance, or a transmission of a performance, during which limited portions of audio or video content of the motion picture are made imperceptible. In other words, where a performance in a household or a transmission of a performance to a household is done lawfully, the making imperceptible limited portions of audio or video content of the motion picture during that performance, consistent with the requirements of this new section, will not result in infringement liability. Similarly, an infringing performance in a household, or an infringing transmission of a performance to a household, are not rendered non-infringing by section 110(11) by virtue of the fact that limited portions of audio or video content of the motion picture being performed are made imperceptible during such performance or transmission in a manner consistent with that section.

The bill also provides additional guidance, if not an exact definition, of what the term "making imperceptible" means. The bill provides specifically that the term "making imperceptible" does not include the addition of audio or video content that is performed or displayed over or in place of existing content in a motion picture. This is intended to make clear in the text of the statute what has been expressed throughout the consideration of this legislation, which is that the Family Movie Act does not enable the addition of content of any kind, including the making imperceptible of audio or video content by replacing it or by superimposing other content over it. In other words, for purposes of section 110(11), "making imperceptible" refers solely to skipping scenes and portions of scenes or muting audio content from the original, commercially available version of the motion picture. No other modifications of the content are addressed or immunized by this legislation.

The House sponsor of this legislation noted in his explanation of his bill, and the Senate is also aware, that some copy protection technologies rely on matter placed into the audio or video signal. The phrase "limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture" means what it would naturally seem to mean (i.e., the actual content of the motion picture) and does not refer to any component of a copy protection scheme or technology. This provision does not allow the skipping of technologies or other copyprotection-related matter for the purpose of defeating copy protection. Rather, it is expected that skipping and muting of content

in the actual motion picture will be skipped or muted at the direction of the viewer based on that viewer's desire to avoid seeing or hearing the action or sound in the motion picture. Skipping or muting done for the purpose of or having the effect of avoiding copy protection technologies would be an abuse of the safe harbor outlined in this legislation and may violate section 1201 of title 17.

Violating the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, and particularly its anti-circumvention provisions, is not necessary to enable technology of the kind contemplated under the Family Movie Act. Although the amendment to section 110 provides that it is not an infringement of copyright to engage in the conduct that is the subject of the Family Movie Act, the Act does not provide any exemption from the anti-circumvention provisions of section 1201 of title 17, or from any other provision of chapter 12 of title 17. It would not be a defense to a claim of violation of section 1201 that the circumvention is for the purpose of engaging in the conduct covered by this new exemption in section 110(11), just as it is not a defense under section 1201 that the circumvention is for the purpose of engaging in any other non-infringing conduct.

There are a number of companies currently providing the type of products and services covered by this Act. The Family Movie Act is intended to facilitate the offering of such products and services, and it certainly creates no impediment to the technology employed by those companies, Indeed, it is important to underscore the fact that the support for such technology and consumer offerings that is reflected in this legislation is driven in some measure by the desire for copyright law to be respected and to ensure that technology is deployed in a way that supports the continued creation and protection of entertainment and information products that rely on copyright protection. This legislation reflects the firm expectation that those rights and the interests of viewers in their homes can work together in the context defined in this bill. Any suggestion that support for the exercise of viewer choice in modifying their viewing experience of copyrighted works requires violation of either the copyright in the work or of the copy protection schemes that provide protection for such work should be rejected as counter to legislative intent or technological necessity.

The House-passed bill included an explicit exclusion to the new section 110(11) exemption in cases involving the making imperceptible of commercial advertisements or network or station promotional announcements. This provision was added on the House floor to respond to concerns expressed by Members during the House Judiciary Committee markup that the bill might be read somehow to exempt from copyright infringement liability devices that allow for skipping of advertisements in the playback of recorded television (so called "ad-skipping" devices). Such a reading is not consistent with the language of the bill or its intent

The phrase "limited portions of audio or video content of a motion picture" applies only to the skipping and muting of scenes or dialog that are part of the motion picture itself, and not to the skipping of commercial advertisements, which are themselves considered motions pictures under the Copyright Act. It also should be noted that the phrase "limited portions" is intended to refer to portions that are both quantitatively and qualitatively insubstantial in relation to the work as a whole. Where any substantial part of a complete work (including a commercial advertisement) is made imperceptible, the section 110(11) exemption would not apply.

The House-passed bill adopted a "belt and suspenders" approach to this question by adding exclusionary language in the statute itself. Ultimately that provision raised concerns in the Senate that such exclusionary language would result in an inference that the bill somehow expresses an opinion, or even decides, the unresolved legal questions underlying recent litigation related to these so-called "ad-skipping" devices. In the meantime, the Copyright Office also made clear that such exclusionary language is not necessary. In other words, the exclusionary language created unnecessary controversy without adding any needed clarity to the statute.

Thus, the Senate amendment omits the exclusionary language while leaving the scope and application of the bill exactly as it was when it passed the House. The legislation does not provide a defense in cases involving so-called "ad-skipping" devices, and it also does not affect the legal issues underlying such litigation, one way or another. Consistent with the intent of the legislation to fix a narrow and specific copyright issue, this bill seeks very clearly to avoid unnecessarily interfering with current business models, especially with respect to advertising. promotional announcements, and the like, Simply put, the bill as amended in the Senate is narrowly targeted to the use of technologies and services that filter out content in movies that a viewer finds objectionable. and it in no way relates to or affects the legality of so-called "ad-skipping" technologies.

There are a variety of services currently in litigation that distribute actual copies of altered movies. This type of activity is not covered by the section 110(11) exemption created by the Family Movie Act. There is a basic distinction between a viewer choosing to alter what is visible or audible when viewing a film, the focus of this legislation, and a separate entity choosing to create and distribute a single, altered version to members of the public. The section 110(11) exemption only applies to viewer directed changes to the viewing experience, and not the making or distribution of actual altered copies of the motion picture.

Related to this point, during consideration of this legislation in the House there were conflicting expert opinions on whether fixation is required to infringe the derivative work right under the Copyright Act, as well as whether evidence of Congressional intent in enacting the 1976 Copyright Act supports the notion that fixation should not be a prerequisite for the preparation of an infringing derivative work. This legislation should not be construed to be predicated on or to take a position on whether fixation is necessary to violate the derivative work right, or whether the conduct that is immunized by this legislation would be infringing in the absence of this legislation. Subsection (b) also provides a savings clause to make clear that the newly-created copyright exemption is not to be construed to have any effect on rights, defenses, or limitations on rights granted under title 17, other than those explicitly provided for in the new section 110(11) exemption.

Subsection (c): Exemption from Trademark Infringement

Subsection (c) provides for a limited exemption from trademark infringement for those engaged in the conduct described in the new section 110(11) of the Copyright Act. In short, this subsection makes clear that a person engaging in the conduct described in section 110(11)—the "making imperceptible" of portions of audio or video content of a motion picture or the creation or provision of technology to enable such making avail-

able—is not subject to trademark infringement liability based on that conduct, provided that person's conduct complies with the requirements of section 110(11). This section provides a similar exemption for a manufacturer, licensee or licensor of technology that enables such making imperceptible, but such manufacturer, licensee or licensor is subject to the additional requirement that it ensure that the technology provides a clear and conspicuous notice at the beginning of each performance that the performance of the motion picture is altered from the performance intended by the director or the copyright holder.

Of course, nothing in this section would immunize someone whose conduct, apart from the narrow conduct described by 110(11), rises to the level of a Lanham Act violation. For example, someone who provides technology to enable the making imperceptible limited portions of a motion picture consistent with section 110(11) could not be held liable on account of such conduct under the Trademark Act, but if in providing such . . .

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 10—HON-ORING THE LIFE OF JOHNNY CARSON

Mr. NELSON of Nebraska (for himself, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. KENNEDY, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, and Mr. INOUYE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 10

Whereas Johnny Carson, a friend to the United States Senate, passed away January 23 2005.

Whereas Johnny Carson was a philanthropist, friend, and favorite Nebraska native son:

Whereas Johnny Carson was born in Iowa, raised in Norfolk, Nebraska, and made famous in Hollywood as a late night friend to all of America:

Whereas Johnny Carson served in the United States Navy as an ensign during World War II:

Whereas Johnny Carson late hosted "The Tonight Show" for 30 years;

Whereas Johnny Carson was best known as America's late night king of comedy:

Whereas Johnny Carson was one of the biggest stars in Hollywood but never forgot his roots:

Whereas Johnny Carson was respected by his colleagues as a gentleman; and

Whereas Johnny Carson was bright and witty, and always set the highest of standards for his performances: Now, therefore, be it.

Resolved, That the Senate-

- (1) mourns the loss of Johnny Carson;
- (2) recognizes the contributions of Johnny Carson to his home State of Nebraska;
- (3) admires the sense of humor and late night presence of Johnny Carson in homes in the United States for over 30 years;
- (4) expresses gratitude for the lifetime of memories Johnny Carson provided; and
- (5) directs the Secretary of the Senate to transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution to the family of Johnny Carson.

SENATE RESOLUTION 11—HON-ORING THE SERVICE OF REV-EREND LLOYD OGILVIE

Mr. KYL (for himself, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. LOTT, Mr. CHAMBLISS,