

H.R. 1324: Mr. COLE of Oklahoma.  
 H.R. 1329: Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut and Ms. LEE.  
 H.R. 1339: Mr. POE.  
 H.R. 1342: Mr. PASCRELL.  
 H.R. 1345: Mrs. KELLY.  
 H.R. 1352: Mr. MCCAUL of Texas, Mr. CUELLAR, Mr. MELANCON, Ms. BERKLEY, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. ROSS, Mr. POMEROY, Mr. PLATTS, and Ms. GINNY BROWN-WAITE of Florida.  
 H.R. 1376: Mr. SWEENEY and Mr. BOREN.  
 H.R. 1382: Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey.  
 H.R. 1409: Mr. UDALL of New Mexico and Mr. HOLT.  
 H.R. 1417: Mr. BRADY of Texas.  
 H.R. 1424: Mr. SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. SEN-SENBRENNER, Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. STARK, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. GONZALEZ, Ms. ESHOO, Ms. MALONEY, Mr. MILLER of North Carolina, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mr. FEENEY, Ms. BERKLEY, Mr. EVANS, and Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts.  
 H.R. 1426: Mr. UDALL of New Mexico, Mr. BERRY, Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mr. DELAHUNT, and Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.  
 H.R. 1474: Mr. BOREN, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, and Mr. MCGOVERN.  
 H.R. 1482: Mr. JOHNSON of Illinois.  
 H.R. 1493: Mr. FLAKE, Mr. MCCAUL of Texas, Ms. GINNY BROWN-WAITE of Florida, and Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota.  
 H.R. 1500: Mr. CONAWAY.  
 H.R. 1505: Ms. FOXX and Mr. KUHL of New York.  
 H.R. 1520: Mr. DAVIS of Tennessee.  
 H.R. 1545: Mr. PAUL.  
 H.R. 1554: Mr. BRADLEY of New Hampshire and Mr. YOUNG of Florida.  
 H.R. 1568: Mr. BILIRAKIS.  
 H.R. 1594: Mr. LEWIS of Georgia.  
 H.R. 1595: Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. SIMMONS, Ms. LEE, Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD, Mr. KUCINICH, and Mrs. MCCARTHY.  
 H.R. 1598: Mrs. WILSON of New Mexico.  
 H.R. 1599: Mr. GOODE.  
 H.R. 1608: Mr. SOUDER and Mr. BURTON of Indiana.  
 H.R. 1616: Mrs. MYRICK, Mr. HAYES, Mr. JONES of North Carolina, and Mr. WILSON of South Carolina.  
 H.R. 1636: Mr. NADLER and Mr. BROWN of Ohio.  
 H.R. 1638: Mr. BUTTERFIELD and Mrs. MILLER of Michigan.  
 H.R. 1639: Mr. BAIRD, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, and Ms. MCCOLLUM of Minnesota.  
 H.R. 1652: Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. STARK, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. KUCINICH, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. NADLER, Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, Mr. HOLT, Mrs. TAUSCHER, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. PALLONE, Ms. MCCOLLUM of Minnesota, and Mr. GRIJALVA.  
 H.R. 1664: Mr. SHAYS and Mr. TERRY.  
 H. Con. Res. 10: Mr. McNULTY and Mr. PLATTS.  
 H. Con. Res. 24: Mr. MENENDEZ and Mr. HOYER.  
 H. Con. Res. 85: Mr. MCKEON and Mr. ALEXANDER.  
 H. Con. Res. 90: Mr. DOGGETT and Mr. DEFazio.  
 H. Con. Res. 99: Ms. NORTON, Mr. STARK, and Mr. JENKINS.  
 H. Con. Res. 107: Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan, Mrs. JONES of Ohio, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California, and Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania.

H. Con. Res. 127: Ms. BORDALLO, Mr. EVANS, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Mr. HYDE, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. CROWLEY, Mr. GREEN of Wisconsin, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, and Mrs. JO ANN DAVIS of Virginia.  
 H. Res. 38: Mr. BAKER, Mr. WAXMAN, and Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey.  
 H. Res. 54: Mr. BAKER, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey, and Mr. BOOZMAN.  
 H. Res. 61: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.  
 H. Res. 97: Mr. KLINE, Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, Mr. CALVERT, Mrs. BLACKBURN, and Mr. EVERETT.  
 H. Res. 116: Mr. MOORE of Kansas, Ms. WOOLSEY, and Mr. BISHOP of New York.  
 H. Res. 123: Mr. RAMSTAD.  
 H. Res. 131: Mr. JONES of North Carolina.  
 H. Res. 142: Mr. MURPHY.  
 H. Res. 146: Mr. KUHL of New York and Mr. WESTMORELAND.  
 H. Res. 158: Mr. MILLER of North Carolina and Mr. TERRY.  
 H. Res. 185: Mr. FARR, Mr. FILNER, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. OBERSTAR, Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California, and Mr. BERMAN.  
 H. Res. 189: Mr. KUHL of New York.  
 H. Res. 208: Mr. DINGELL.  
 H. Res. 214: Mrs. MYRICK and Mr. SOUDER.

AMENDMENTS

Under clause 8 of rule XVIII, proposed amendments were submitted as follows:

H.R. 6

OFFERED BY: MR. BLUMENAUER

AMENDMENT NO. 1: In title VII, subtitle D, after section 754, insert the following new section (and amend the table of contents accordingly):

SEC. 755. CONSERVE BY BICYCLING PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:  
 (1) PROGRAM.—The term “program” means the Conserve by Bicycling Program established by subsection (b).  
 (2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Transportation.  
 (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Department of Transportation a program to be known as the “Conserve by Bicycling Program”.  
 (c) PROJECTS.—  
 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the program, the Secretary shall establish not more than 10 pilot projects that are—  
 (A) dispersed geographically throughout the United States; and  
 (B) designed to conserve energy resources by encouraging the use of bicycles in place of motor vehicles.  
 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—A pilot project described in paragraph (1) shall—  
 (A) use education and marketing to convert motor vehicle trips to bicycle trips;  
 (B) document project results and energy savings (in estimated units of energy conserved);  
 (C) facilitate partnerships among interested parties in at least 2 of the fields of—  
 (i) transportation;  
 (ii) law enforcement;  
 (iii) education;  
 (iv) public health;  
 (v) environment; and  
 (vi) energy;  
 (D) maximize bicycle facility investments;  
 (E) demonstrate methods that may be used in other regions of the United States; and  
 (F) facilitate the continuation of ongoing programs that are sustained by local resources.

(3) COST SHARING.—At least 20 percent of the cost of each pilot project described in paragraph (1) shall be provided from State or local sources.

(d) ENERGY AND BICYCLING RESEARCH STUDY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall enter into a contract with the National Academy of Sciences for, and the National Academy of Sciences shall conduct and submit to Congress a report on, a study on the feasibility of converting motor vehicle trips to bicycle trips.

(2) COMPONENTS.—The study shall—

- (A) document the results or progress of the pilot projects under subsection (c);
- (B) determine the type and duration of motor vehicle trips that people in the United States may feasibly make by bicycle, taking into consideration factors such as—  
 (i) weather;
- (ii) land use and traffic patterns;
- (iii) the carrying capacity of bicycles; and
- (iv) bicycle infrastructure;
- (C) determine any energy savings that would result from the conversion of motor vehicle trips to bicycle trips;
- (D) include a cost-benefit analysis of bicycle infrastructure investments; and
- (E) include a description of any factors that would encourage more motor vehicle trips to be replaced with bicycle trips.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$6,200,000, to remain available until expended, of which—

- (1) \$5,150,000 shall be used to carry out pilot projects described in subsection (c);
- (2) \$300,000 shall be used by the Secretary to coordinate, publicize, and disseminate the results of the program; and
- (3) \$750,000 shall be used to carry out subsection (d).

H.R. 6

OFFERED BY: MR. ABERCROMBIE

AMENDMENT NO. 2: In title II, subtitle A, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 209. SUGAR CANE ETHANOL PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:  
 (1) PROGRAM.—The term “program” means the Sugar Cane Ethanol Pilot Program established by subsection (b).  
 (2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Energy.  
 (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Department of Energy a program to be known as the “Sugar Cane Ethanol Pilot Program”.  
 (c) PROJECT.—  
 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the program, the Secretary shall establish a pilot project that is—  
 (A) located in the State of Hawaii; and  
 (B) designed to study the creation of ethanol from cane sugar.  
 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—A pilot project described in paragraph (1) shall—  
 (A) be limited to the production of ethanol in Hawaii in a way similar to the existing program for the processing of corn for ethanol to show that the process can be applicable to cane sugar;  
 (B) include information on how the scale of projection can be replicated once the sugar cane industry has site located and constructed ethanol production facilities; and  
 (C) not last more than 3 years.  
 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended.