

and cross-Strait tensions that increasingly worry the U.S. and its allies. China's recent enactment of an "anti-secession law" and its continuing ballistic missile build-up adjacent to the Strait has produced palpable concern in Washington and European capitals. It has jeopardized the Asian-Pacific region's promising economic development and political stability of recent years.

The time has truly come for bold, creative initiative otherwise known as leadership based on self-confidence, to break the deadlock. The late President Ronald Reagan believed in proactive engagement with adversaries, saying old enemies should "trust but verify" as they reach out and seek to reconcile. Like President Reagan's outreach to the Soviet Union in its final years, the Lien mission proposes to go half-way in extending a gesture of peace, to open a channel of communication to the other side.

Lien's mission is reminiscent of previous milestones when other statesmen chose to reach out to old adversaries at opportune moments in history, often placing their personal reputation and political legacy at risk in the process. His gesture is not unlike that of President Richard Nixon who opened doors for dialogue by visiting China in 1972; or Egyptian President Anwar Sadat who traveled to Jerusalem in 1977 to open discussions with Israel; or even Pope John Paul II who seized the initiative to visit Communist Eastern Europe and later Cuba to open historic new contacts that he believed could change old Cold War relationships.

The international community should welcome this step towards direct unofficial talks between Taiwan and China. Dialogue cultivates mutual understanding, nurtures confidence, builds trust, and creates opportunities for healing wounds and moving forward to break the deadlock. Never underestimate the power of personal engagement the personal touch reduces tensions and prospects for conflict while introducing very human avenues for potential cooperation. There can be no harm in a fresh initiative that energizes the peaceful process across the Strait and promotes the welfare of the people of Taiwan in so many ways.

Americans can cheer the Lien initiative because it serves U.S. interests of peace, international stability, and regional cooperation. It reduces the likelihood that U.S. naval battle groups will once again have to move into the Taiwan vicinity to avert possible conflict between the two sides, as they did in 1996. It greatly improves the prospect that Chinese on both sides of the Taiwan Strait can forge new channels of communication and cooperation, leading eventually to a resolution of one of the world's most dangerous tension spots. Engagement, not estrangement, is the means to reconciliation and stability.

HONORING THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF BARBARA TAYLOR, NORMA KRUEGER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER OF THE YEAR

**HON. HENRY CUELLAR**

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, April 26, 2005*

Mr. CUELLAR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize Barbara Taylor for being named Norma Krueger Elementary School Karrer Campus Teacher of the Year.

Ms. Taylor holds a B.S. in Elementary Education from the University of Houston, and a Masters in Special Education from Our Lady

of the Lake University in San Antonio, Texas. She now teaches fifth grade science at the Marion Independent School District.

Barbara Taylor believes that teaching is an ordinary-seeming profession that presents extraordinary opportunities to those willing to look. She asks herself every day what she can do to make a difference in the world, and in the lives of her students. She is known for seeking out teachable moments in which she can show her students a new way of seeing the world.

Ms. Taylor believes that good teaching can be the foundation for extraordinary lives. This philosophy has led her to be one of her district's most energetic and dynamic educators.

Ms. Barbara Taylor is an exemplary teacher, and a tremendous resource for the families and children of Marion, Texas. Her commitment to our children deserves our respect and thanks, and I am happy to have had the chance to recognize her here today.

AMTRAK BOARD'S REORGANIZATION PLAN

**HON. NICK J. RAHALL II**

OF WEST VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, April 26, 2005*

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, last week, Amtrak's Board of Directors released a set of "strategic reform initiatives" the railroad would like to take "to revitalize U.S. passenger rail service." The Chairman of the Board suggested these reforms would "strengthen passenger rail service at a time when our nation needs it most."

In my view, which I share with many of my colleagues on the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, these reforms are misguided and would doom the future prospects for the railroad, result in significant hardships for rail passengers in the long-term and be a tremendous disservice to the hard-working employees of Amtrak.

It comes as no surprise to me that the Board's proposal is similar to that of the Administration's—Amtrak's entire governing body has been appointed by President Bush. To be clear, if these proposals, both from Amtrak and the Administration, go into effect, Amtrak will not survive. In many cases, the millions of people who depend on Amtrak's services will be left with no reliable means of rail transportation.

Of significant concern is the Board's proposal as it relates to its workers. The Board proposes to alter the Railway Labor Act to enable Amtrak to unilaterally change work rules and contract out jobs. The Board's reforms would also eliminate many health, safety and benefit protections for which Amtrak's workers have fought hard. In addition, under the Board's plan, newly hired Amtrak and other passenger rail workers would be placed in the Social Security program instead of the Railroad Retirement System, which has covered rail workers for more than 70 years.

The Board is attempting to put Amtrak's funding burden on the backs of its hard-working employees and for that I will not stand. To that end, I would like to highlight the introduction of the Amtrak Reauthorization Act of 2005, introduced by Chairman YOUNG and Ranking Member OBERSTAR.

This legislation would provide Amtrak with \$2 billion each year through 2008, and would put the railroad on the track to financial and operational stability. This bill also includes strict funding accountability procedures to ensure contractual obligations are met and money is spent wisely. It is this type of proposal—not the Administration's or Amtrak's Board's plan—that will benefit Amtrak and its passengers in the coming years.

In closing, I urge my colleagues to reject both the Bush Administration's and Amtrak Board's proposals to dismantle Amtrak. They aim only to weaken the railroad, place greater financial burden on the states, and harm the hard-working employees of Amtrak and passenger rail throughout the country.

MEDIA CONSOLIDATION

**HON. JANICE D. SCHAKOWSKY**

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, April 26, 2005*

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to call attention to a presentation by Charles Benton, chairman of the Benton Foundation, entitled, "Where's the Public? Media Reform in the Digital Age," which he gave at the Engaging in Democracy Series at Ithaca College on January 25, 2005. It is my hope that Congress will address the problems of media consolidation that Mr. Benton discusses. It is our duty to ensure that the public airwaves are meeting the public need.

"I believe the future of media and communications in America is cause for serious concern. In April 2004, I delivered this message to the Council on Foundations, and I repeat it tonight. As we move from an analog world to a digital one, we are truly at a crossroads. At stake is who controls what we see, hear, and read. At stake is our ability to get our message out and make a difference. At stake is nothing less than the health of our democracy. We all have a stake in this debate.

I come here three months after Representative MAURICE HINCHEY and Federal Communications Commissioner Michael Copps spoke to you about media concentration. Given Congressman HINCHEY's representation of this district, I feel I'm visiting the people who brought the message of media ownership reform to Washington—perhaps you can think of this address as Washington reporting back.

The debate over media ownership restrictions is just the tip of an iceberg that has jolted our time-honored communications policy priorities of competition, diversity and localism. Some would say we are never rearranging the deck chairs on the Titanic . . . that media concentration and consolidation are inevitable, and we will drown in a sea of commercialism. But I see it differently. I believe we are embarking on a new journey—kept afloat—and indeed propelled—by the interest, enthusiasm, and energy of a new generation of people concerned about our media future.

Collected in this hall tonight, I hope, are new enlistees in the battle to preserve, protect, and strengthen the public space in America's media environment. Beyond this hall, I hope this message is received by other committed people and organizations who will offer their time, talent, and resources to prevail in this ongoing fight.