

anecdotal but glaringly difficult instances that should cause us all concern. We are hearing anecdotal information that the Border Patrol is finding material that is clearly written in Arabic, and is clearly Islamic fundamentalist, at the border. People have left it there or it has been left behind by people coming across the border, it appears. So that is obviously an extreme concern.

But your story reflects the fact that these borders are simply not controlled and we don't have the capacity to handle the people when we do catch them. That is going to take a rethinking of the effort. It is going to take a lot of resources. As we move forward as a Congress, we have to think about: Are we putting too many resources in other accounts when we should be focusing on the border? I will take two examples.

One is TSA, our transportation security, which we see in our airports. How many people can we afford there versus the border? The first responder funds that are going out not necessarily on the basis of threat but on the basis of formula, can we afford that in light of the fact we have a threat, which is the border, or should we take another look at other approaches to funding a significant increase in the border security effort?

I look forward to working with the members of the Judiciary Committee. Our role is the money role. We look to you folks to give us the authorizing leadership, which I know you have in the past. You certainly have and certainly other members in your committee are leaders in this area. We look forward to any ideas or thoughts you have which you want to bring forward.

I do think on this bill we should have a fairly open and substantive debate as to how we are going to move forward on the issue of border security. Clearly the White House is committed to this. It is going to take resources.

Mr. SESSIONS. I thank the Senator, also the Chair of the Budget Committee. He answered very well when he said we can't always fund the new things we want to do by pumping new money into them. Sometimes we need to ask ourselves if there is not some money being spent in a way that is less useful, and utilize that money where we have to utilize it.

I am proud to serve with him on that Budget Committee.

THE TEACHER EXCELLENCE FOR ALL CHILDREN ACT OF 2005

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, good teachers lead to good students. In fact, recent evidence suggests that providing great teachers may be the single most important thing that we can do to give our children the good education they deserve.

Most of our teachers are hard-working, selfless, and dedicated to helping our children learn. We are asking them for more, however. We con-

tinue to demand that our teachers develop greater subject matter expertise, but we have yet to figure out how to help teachers learn while they are still needed in the classroom full time. In addition, to meet growing student need we will need to bring over 2 million new teachers into our public schools over the next decade.

We must attract, develop, and retain as many talented teachers as we can muster. We must act now to begin meeting this critical national crisis.

That is why I am proud to introduce with Senator KENNEDY the Teacher Excellence For All Children Act of 2005. The TEACH Act provides financial incentives to attract and retain our best teachers and principals. The TEACH Act helps schools recognize and reward the best teachers. The TEACH Act encourages good teachers to work in the schools that need good teachers the most, and it also encourages teachers to specialize in the subjects which need the most teachers. Finally, the TEACH Act helps new teachers transition into the classroom, it helps veteran teachers keep their skills sharp, and it attracts talented new principals into our schools.

Developing great teachers takes time, but this is an investment that we as a nation must make. I therefore encourage my colleagues to support the TEACH Act now. Our children deserve nothing less.

FAMILIES OF SEPTEMBER 11'S FINAL REPORT

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, less than 2 weeks after the horrific events of September 11, Congress passed a law to establish the September 11 Victim Compensation Fund, providing assistance to victims and their families during an unimaginably difficult time. I was pleased to work with my colleagues to create this needed resource for the families of this national tragedy. The families of victims that died in the September 11 attacks also came together and created their own nonprofit organization, Families of September 11.

Although no amount of compensation can replace a lost loved one, Families of September 11 and Ken Feinberg, the Special Master in charge of overseeing the Fund, worked diligently to improve the rules governing the September 11 Victim Compensation Fund, to give the victims and their families more flexibility and to provide information to victims and their families about how and where they could find support. Working together, Mr. Feinberg and Families of September 11 reached out to the victims and their families to make sure they understood their rights and to assist them in filing their claims. This task was made all the more difficult because many victims and survivors of those terrorist attacks had to confront the logistical burden and emotional pain of filing a death or injury claim.

Last October, Mr. Feinberg submitted to the Department of Justice a final report summarizing the accomplishments and work of the September 11 Victim Compensation Fund. While the September 11 Victim Compensation Fund has reached its final deadline, Families of September 11 continues its mission, including supporting legislation on security and intelligence reform. This week, Families of September 11 also submitted a final report to the Department of Justice, sharing the experiences of the victims and their families, including those who chose not to participate in the September 11 Victim Compensation Fund. The report in its entirety may be read at <http://www.familiesofseptember11.org>.

Mr. President, I ask that a copy of the Executive Summary of this report be in the RECORD for lawmakers and the public to review.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: FINAL REPORT OF FAMILIES OF SEPTEMBER 11 ON THE SEPTEMBER 11TH VICTIM COMPENSATION FUND OF 2001

Families of September 11 is a nonprofit organization founded in October 2001 by families of those who died in the September 11 terrorist attacks. We gather and disseminate helpful information, refer victims' families, survivors, and others affected by the events of 9/11 to assistance providers, offer online chat sessions, and address such issues as victims' assistance, methods of response to trauma from terrorist attacks, and the effects of terrorism on children. We support public policies that effectively respond to the threat of terrorism, including support for the 9/11 Commission Recommendations, development of appropriate agency procedures, legislation related to aviation, border, port and transportation security, and intelligence reform.

Our Final Report on the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund follows the format of "Final Report of the Special Master for the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001." Just as the Special Master's Final Report provides the perspective of the administrator of the Fund, our Report gives voice to those victims and family members who participated in the Fund as well as those who elected not to. Although much of our report serves as counterpoint to the Special Master's observations and conclusions, we agree with much of what is said in his report and our Report should be read with an acknowledgement that the Special Master was asked to and did construct a program in extremely difficult circumstances. The enabling legislation that created the Fund was hastily crafted, imprecise in significant ways, and sometimes internally inconsistent. The Special Master was faced not only with the uncertain nature of the legislation, but with a host of other competing influences: e.g., the enormity of the losses, emotionally overwhelmed victims and families, a stunned public, and conflicting compensation policy ideologies. The Special Master and those who worked with him deserve great credit for their tireless and devoted work under these daunting circumstances, particularly in the administration of the Fund after promulgation by the Department of Justice of the Final Rules.

In many respects, the Fund was a success. Much of this success was due to the efforts of the Special Master and his staff in meeting with individual family members, demonstrating flexibility where possible in making determinations of awards, and expressing