



United States  
of America

# Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 109<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 151

WASHINGTON, MONDAY, JULY 11, 2005

No. 92

## Senate

The Senate met at 1 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. STEVENS).

### PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Eternal Spirit, healer of the wounds of sorrow, we pray today for those who mourn. Bless and sustain those in Great Britain, who recover from the insanity of senseless violence. Give the sunshine of hope to all who have endured the night of losing a loved one. Comfort military families who know the pain of the sound of silence and the empty chair.

Bring solace to those who weep because of lost opportunities and seasons of despair. Transform their sorrow into song, their darkness into light, and their sadness into joy.

Today, use our Senators to begin the process of creating a world where peace will reign. Hasten the day when tears will be no more, and the kingdoms of this world will become Your kingdom, as You rule forever and ever. We pray this in Your sovereign Name. Amen.

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The PRESIDENT pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

### RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

### MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, there will be a period for the transaction of morning business until 2 p.m., with Senators

permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

### RECOGNITION OF THE ACTING MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The acting majority leader is recognized.

### SCHEDULE

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, today we will begin consideration of the Homeland Security appropriations bill. We have an agreement that all first-degree amendments to that bill will be filed by 4 p.m. this afternoon. That will allow both managers of the legislation to get a better understanding of how many issues and amendments are expected in relation to the Homeland Security measure.

As the majority leader announced prior to the recess, there will be a roll-call vote this afternoon at approximately 5:30. That vote is expected to be in relation to a resolution regarding the recent bombing in London.

We will have a busy stretch of activity over the next 3 weeks with many pressing legislative and executive items that must be completed prior to the August recess. I encourage Senators to make themselves available on Mondays and Fridays throughout the month as the majority leader will be using all available time to make progress on appropriations bills and other priorities.

I will have a statement in a few moments, but I yield the floor so the Democratic leader can make whatever observations he would like to make.

### RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Democratic leader is recognized.

### PRESSING ISSUES

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I express my appreciation to the distinguished majority whip, the assistant leader. I acknowledge we have a lot of work to do during this work period. I hope we can accomplish a great deal. We had a great couple of weeks right before we left. I hope the week off, the Fourth of July, was good for everyone. I know it was for me to get away from Washington and breathe some of that good desert air.

Sandra Day O'Connor retired just over a week ago. As the first woman to serve as a Supreme Court Justice, she blazed a trail which I hope many will follow. She decided cases the old-fashioned way, based on law, not politics.

As a westerner, she brought to the Court a love of the land and an appreciation for individual rights. I salute the people of Arizona for giving us such a dedicated public servant, someone who served in the State of Arizona as a State legislator, a member of an intermediate court of appeals and, of course, an inspiring career as a Supreme Court Justice.

Now we have begun the process of finding her replacement. The Constitution gives the President and the Senate shared responsibility to fill this vacancy because a President may only act with the advice and consent of the Senate. I appreciate very much President Bush beginning this process of consultation the way he has. I will always be grateful for the private meeting he and I had prior to her retiring and the phone call he placed to me the day of her retirement.

It speaks volumes that his chief of staff, Andy Card, was calling various members of the Senate from Scotland. Harriet Myers called various members of the Senate. That is important. I appreciate it very much. In the days and weeks ahead, it is important that meaningful consultation continues. Together we can find someone who will bring the country together.

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S7945

The President has asked the majority leader, the ranking member and the chairman of the Judiciary Committee meet with him early tomorrow morning. I look forward to that meeting.

This is what President Reagan did when he appointed Sandra Day O'Connor: He brought the country together. Both parties cheered her nomination. That can happen again if President Bush nominates us a Justice we can all be proud of, a mainstream Justice committed to protecting the rights of all Americans. There are large numbers of conservative jurists and lawyers who fit that description perfectly.

Events in London last Thursday reminded us that the freedoms and rights Supreme Court Justices protect are a threat to those with a very different view of the world. My heart and my prayers go out to the victims of the London attacks and the people of Great Britain. The bombings were the acts of cowards. We must redouble our effort to track down the murderous thugs who committed those terrible acts. We must continue our effort to track down the murderous thugs who would do us harm.

I have great affection and admiration for the people of Great Britain. Their proud tradition of resiliency and determination was on display last week in the face of this latest attack on their people and the great city of London.

Unfortunately, the British people have considerable experience with attacks of terrorists on their homeland, including repeated terrorist attacks from supporters of the Irish Republican Army. There are important lessons that can be drawn from the British response to these attacks. First, Britain remains determined not to change its way of life and its principles while at the same time vigorously pursuing those responsible for the criminal acts.

It is important to note the people of Great Britain care about the Irish people, just as we do. I have been to that wonderful emerald isle. I love the country. I love the island. The fact that a few people perpetrated those acts of violence does not take away from our admiration for those people.

The same applies in Britain with the acts of Islamic terrorists. That does not take away from the fact that the people who follow the Islamic religion are good people who follow a very important, good religion. The morality code of that religion is significantly important. They are good people. I have gotten so close to them.

My wife's two physicians, a surgeon and an internist, are Pakistanis. They are among our closest friends. We visit each other's homes often. We celebrate different holidays together. We exchange presents. They are wonderful people. These are two devoutly religious men whose religion we have learned to respect greatly. The people of Great Britain understand that. They did not sever relations with an entire people because of the actions of a very few who are part of that people.

There was great concern in the wake of these attacks about a backlash against the Arab community. What we saw was just the opposite: a couple of broken windows by a few trouble-makers, but basically nothing. The people of Great Britain have come together, all people have come together, all walks of life, all religions. That is an example for us. I am hopeful Great Britain and we in the United States will continue to heed both of these lessons in the wake of last week's bombings.

As the distinguished assistant leader mentioned, there is a third lesson we can draw from the London attacks and it is relevant to matters in the Senate. I say what my distinguished colleague mentioned, the senior Senator from Kentucky. We are going to take up the Homeland Security bill. The lesson we need to learn is simple: Fighting terrorism overseas is not enough to ensure that terrorists will not strike where we live. Today the Senate begins consideration of the Homeland Security appropriations bill. That will occur in less than an hour. We spend more in Iraq in a single month than we spend on first responders all year. Failure in Iraq is not an option, and we will continue to support our troops, but we must do more to support the war on terror here at home.

The minority, the Democrats, are committed to doing everything possible to defeat terrorism abroad. We have repeatedly argued that we need to be equally vigorous in our efforts to protect the American people from terrorist attacks at home. Unfortunately, the administration has never grasped this reality. We have offered amendment after amendment and they have been defeated on party line votes.

We supported establishment of the Homeland Security Department before September 11. And as we recall, this administration opposed the establishment of this agency even after September 11. Once the administration relented and a homeland security agency was established, Democrats repeatedly sought to ensure that this agency received the resources it needed to make Americans and America more secure.

Democrats sought to beef up our security on rail and transit systems, our chemical plants, our nuclear power generating facilities, and this administration and the Republican Senators in this Senate said no.

Democrats sought to increase security on chemical and nuclear facilities, as I have indicated, and other critical infrastructure. It was "no" again. Democrats attempted to improve security at this Nation's ports and the Bush administration, Republicans, said no. Finally, we sought to ensure that this Nation's first responders obtain the resources they need to deter terrorists from attacking, and again Republicans said no.

The bill before the Senate presents another opportunity for all Members. We will have amendments to address

each of these areas this week. I am hopeful, with everything we know, the majority will at long last agree with Democrats that we should be doing much more to protect the Nation from terrorist attacks at home.

#### VETERANS

Let me close with a few words about our Nation's veterans. After months of denial—in fact, more than a year—that a problem even existed, Senate Republicans late last month agreed with Democrats—reluctantly—that our veterans were not getting the care and resources they earned and deserved. Remember, we brought this up before committee on at least two occasions, as well as on the Senate floor, and every time it was voted down by a strict party line vote and we did not get the veterans what they wanted.

With great fanfare, Senate Republicans announced they were wrong for opposing Democratic efforts to provide additional efforts to the veterans health care system and would not support providing an additional \$1.5 billion.

We appeared to be on the road to getting something done for our veterans. Unfortunately, rather than quickly passing the Senate figure and ensuring our veterans immediately got the resources they need, House Republicans decided to play games and give our veterans significantly less in the process, more than half a billion.

Even worse than what the Republicans in the House did, rather than standing up for a vote they had cast a day or so earlier, Senate Republicans blinked and backtracked. Senate Republicans objected to a unanimous consent request to make the House-passed bill consistent with the level they had supported just a few hours earlier.

Our first amendment, then, on this bill will be to give the Senate majority another opportunity to show our veterans and the American public where they stand. We will offer an amendment to give the veterans an additional \$1.5 billion. Probably that is not enough because from some of the statistics we hear it is now closer to \$2 billion. But what if we are wrong? What if we are giving the veterans a little more? Is there anything wrong with that? I do not think we are giving them a little more. We are giving them less. But would there be anything wrong with that? I hope the resounding answer is no.

So I hope that the majority will join us in supporting this amendment. And, just as importantly, let's not play politics with America's veterans.

I again thank my friend, the distinguished Senator from Kentucky, for allowing me to speak now because he did have the floor.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Kentucky.

#### LONDON TERRORIST ATTACKS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, today I rise to express my condolences