Res. 444, as amended, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 348, nays 0, not voting 85, as follows:

[Roll No. 572]

YEAS—348

Abercrombie
Aderholt
Akin
Alexander
Allen
Bachus
Baird
Baker
Baldwin
Barrett (SC)
Barrow
Bartlett (MD)
Barton (TX)
Bass
Bean
Beauprez
Berkley
Berry
Biggert
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (UT)
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Blunt
Boehlert
Boehner
Bonilla
Bonner
Bono
Boozman
Boren
Boucher
Boyd
Bradley (NH)
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Brown (SC)
Burgess
Burton (IN)
Butterfield
Buyer
Calvert
Camp
Cannon
Capito
Capps
Cardoza
Carnahan
Carson
Carter
Castle
Chabot
Chandler
Chocola
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Coble
Cole (OK)
Conaway
Cooper
Costa
Costello
Cramer
Crenshaw
Cubin
Cuellar
Culberson
Cummings
Cunningham
Davis (AL)
Davis (CA)
Davis (FL)
Davis (IL)
Davis (KY)
Davis, Jo Ann
Davis, Tom
Deal (GA)
DeFazio
DeGette
Delahunt
DeLauro
DeLay
Dent
Diaz-Balart, L.
Diaz-Balart, M.
Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Doolittle
Drake
Dreier
Duncan
Edwards
Ehlers
Emanuel
Emerson
Engel
English (PA)
Eshoo
Etheridge
Evans
Everett
Farr
Fattah
Feeney
Ferguson
Filner
Fitzpatrick (PA)
Flake
Foley
Forbes
Fortenberry
Fossella
Foxx
Frank (MA)
Frelinghuysen
Gallegly
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gingrey
Gohmert
Gonzalez
Goode
Goodlatte
Granger
Graves
Green (WI)
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Hall
Harman
Hart
Hastings (WA)
Hayes
Hayworth
Hefley
Hensarling
Herger
Herseth
Higgins
Hinojosa
Hobson
Holt
Honda
Hooley
Hostettler
Hoyer
Hunter
Hyde
Inglis (SC)
Inslee
Issa
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee (TX)
Jefferson
Jindal
Johnson (IL)
Johnson, E. B.
Jones (NC)
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Keller
Kelly
Kennedy (MN)
Kennedy (RI)
Kildee
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kline
Knollenberg
Kolbe
Kucinich
Kuhl (NY)
Langevin
Lantos
Larsen (WA)
Latham
LaTourette
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Linder
LoBiondo
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lucas
Lungren, Daniel E.
Lynch
Mack
Maloney
Manzullo
Markey
Matheson
Matsui
McCaul (TX)
McCollum (MN)
McCotter
McCrery
McDermott
McGovern
McHenry
McHugh
McIntyre
McKeon
McMorris
McNulty
Meehan
Meek (FL)
Melancon
Menendez
Mica
Michaud
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, Gary
Miller, George
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)
Murphy
Musgrave
Myrick
Nadler
Napolitano
Neugebauer
Ney
Northup
Nunes
Nussle
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Osborne
Otter
Oxley
Pastor
Paul
Pearce
Pelosi
Pence
Peterson (MN)
Peterson (PA)
Petri
Pickering
Pitts
Platts
Poe
Pombo
Porter
Price (GA)
Pryce (OH)
Putnam
Radanovich
Rahall
Ramstad
Regula
Rehberg
Reichert
Renzi
Reynolds
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Ross
Rothman
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Ruppersberger
Ryan (OH)
Ryun (KS)
Sabo
Salazar
Sanchez, Loretta
Sanders
Saxton
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schmidt
Schwartz (PA)
Scott (GA)
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Sherwood
Shuster
Simmons
Simpson
Skelton
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Sodrel
Spratt
Stearns
Sullivan
Sweeney
Tancredo
Tanner
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thomas
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Tiberi
Tierney
Turner
Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Upton
Van Hollen
Visclosky
Walden (OR)
Walsh
Wamp
Wasserman Schultz
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Westmoreland
Wexler
Wicker
Wilson (NM)
Wolf
Woolsey
Wu
Wynn
Young (AK)

NOT VOTING—85

Ackerman
Andrews
Baca
Becerra
Berman
Bishop (NY)
Boswell
Boustany
Brown (OH)
Brown, Corrine
Brown-Waite, Ginny
Cantor
Capuano
Cardin
Case
Conyers
Crowley
Davis (TN)
Doyle
Ford
Franks (AZ)
Gibbons
Gordon
Gutierrez
Gutknecht
Harris
Hastings (FL)
Hinchey
Hoekstra
Holden
Hulshof
Israel
Istook
Jenkins
Johnson (CT)
Johnson, Sam
Jones (OH)
Kilpatrick (MI)
Kirk
LaHood
Larson (CT)
Leach
Lee
Lewis (KY)
Lipinski
Marchant
Marshall
McCarthy
McKinney
Meeks (NY)
Millender-McDonald
Murtha
Neal (MA)
Norwood
Owens
Pallone
Pascrell
Payne
Pomeroy
Price (NC)
Rangel
Reyes
Rush
Ryan (WI)
Sánchez, Linda T.
Schwarz (MI)
Scott (VA)
Serrano
Sherman
Shimkus
Slaughter
Solis
Souder
Stark
Strickland
Stupak
Taylor (NC)
Terry
Towns
Velázquez
Waters
Weldon (FL)
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Young (FL)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT) (during the vote). Members are advised that there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1926

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, personal reasons require my absence from legislative business scheduled for today, Monday, November 7, 2005. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on H. Con. Res. 260, a resolution recognizing the 40th anniversary of the Second Vatican Council's Declaration on the Relation of the Church to Non-Christian Religions, etc. (rollcall No. 570); "yea" on H.R. 1973, Water for the Poor Act of 2005 (rollcall No. 571); and "yea" on H. Res. 444, the Gynecological Resolution for Advancement of Ovarian Cancer Education (rollcall No. 572).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and missed 3 rollcall votes. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on H. Con. Res. 260, H.R. 1973, and H. Res. 444.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Speaker, I regret that I missed three votes on November 7th, 2005. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on H. Con. Res. 260 (Recognizing the 40th anniversary of the Second Vatican Council's Declaration on the Relation of the Church to Non-Christian Religions, Nostra Aetate, and the continuing need for mutual inter-religious respect and dialogue); "yea" on H.R. 1973 (Water for the Poor Act of 2005); and "yea" on H. Res. 444 (Gynecological Resolution for Advancement of Ovarian Cancer Education).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent from the House on November 7, 2005 due to an important meeting I had with the New Zealand Ambassador in St. Paul, Minnesota. During this meeting, the Ambassador and I discussed agricultural trade issues.

Had I been present in the House, I would have voted "yea" on the following bills: H. Con. Res. 260, H.R. 1973, and H. Res. 444.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer a personal explanation. Earlier today, I was unavoidably detained on rollcall votes 570, 571, and 572 due to a prior obligation in my district. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall vote 570 (H. Con. Res. 260, Recognizing the 40th anniversary of the Second Vatican Council's Declaration on the Relation of the Church to Non-Christian Religions, Nostra Aetate, and the continuing need for mutual inter-religious respect and dialogue), "yea" on rollcall vote 571 (H.R. 1973, The Water for the Poor Act of 2005) and "yea" on rollcall vote 571 (H. Res. 444, Gynecological Resolution for Advancement of Ovarian Cancer Education).

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4228

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN) removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 4228.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2419, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2006

Mr. HOBSON submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 2419) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 109–275)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2419) ''making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes'', having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, for energy and water development and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, shore protection and storm damage reduction, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related purposes.

INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection and storm damage reduction, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by law, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, $164,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, within the funds provided under this heading, $1,000,000 shall be available for planning assistance to the state of Ohio for Stark County watershed basin study:

Provided further, That using $8,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct a comprehensive hurricane protection study at full federal expense to develop and present a full range of flood, coastal and hurricane protection measures exclusive of normal policy considerations for south Louisiana and the Secretary shall submit a feasibility report for short-term protection within 6 months of enactment of this Act, interim protection within 12 months of enactment of this Act and long-term comprehensive protection within 24 months of enactment of this Act: Provided further, That the Secretary shall consider providing protection for a storm surge equivalent to a Category 5 hurricane within the project area and may submit reports on component areas of the larger protection program for authorization as soon as practicable: Provided further, That the analysis shall be conducted in close coordination with the State of Louisiana and its appropriate agencies.

CONSTRUCTION

For expenses necessary for the construction of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection and storm damage reduction, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related projects authorized by law; for conducting detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of such projects (including those involving participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such detailed studies, and plans and specifications, shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction); $2,372,000,000, to remain available until expended; of which such sums as are necessary to cover the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund as authorized by Public Law 104–303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99–662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, to cover one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, (including the rehabilitation costs for Lock and Dam 11, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 19, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock 27, Mississippi River, Illinois; and Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota) shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund; and of which $12,000,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and of which $500,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968; and of which $7,000,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 103 of the River and Harbor Act of 1962; and of which $40,000,000 shall be exclusively available for projects and activities authorized under section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948; and of which $15,000,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946; and of which $300,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 208 of the Flood Control Act of 1954; and of which $30,000,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986; and of which $30,000,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996; and of which $5,000,000 shall be exclusively for projects and activities authorized under sections 204 and 207 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 and section 933 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986: Provided, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $11,250,000 of the funds appropriated herein for the Dallas Floodway Extension, Texas, project, including the Cadillac Heights feature, generally in accordance with the Chief of Engineers report dated December 7, 1999: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $1,500,000 of the funds provided herein for the Hawaii Water Management Project: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $13,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein for the navigation project at Kaumalapau Harbor, Hawaii: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $4,000,000 of the funds provided herein for the Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program for seepage control features and repairs to the tainter gates at Waterbury Dam, Vermont: Provided further, That $600,000 of the funds provided herein for the Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program shall be available for Dover Dam, Ohio: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $9,500,000 of the funds appropriated herein for planning, engineering, design or construction of the Grundy, Buchanan County, and Dickenson County, Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River Project: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $5,600,000 of the funds appropriated herein for planning, engineering, design or construction of the Lower Mingo County, Upper Mingo County, Wayne County, McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River Project: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $5,600,000 of the funds appropriated herein for planning, engineering, design or construction of the Lower Mingo County, Upper Mingo County, Wayne County, McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River Project: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to continue the Dickenson County Detailed Project Report as generally defined in Plan 4 of the Huntington District Engineer's Draft Supplement to the section 202 General Plan for Flood Damage Reduction dated April 1997, including all Russell Fork tributary streams within the County and special considerations as may be appropriate to address the unique relocations and resettlement needs for the flood prone communities within the County: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use $16,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein for the Clover Fork, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork Tributaries), Bell County, Harlan County in accordance with the Draft Detailed Project Report dated January 2002, Floyd County, Martin County, Johnson County, and Knox County, Kentucky, detailed project report, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to proceed with work on the permanent bridge to replace Folsom Bridge Dam Road, Folsom, California, as authorized by the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108–137), and, of the $15,000,000 available for the American River Watershed (Folsom Dam Mini-Raise), California, project, $10,000,000 of those funds be directed for the permanent bridge, with all remaining devoted to the Mini-Raise: Provided further, That $300,000 is provided for the Chief of Engineers to conduct a General Reevaluation Study on the Mount St. Helens project to determine if ecosystem restoration actions are prudent in the Cowlitz and Toutle watersheds for species that have been listed as being of economic importance and threatened or endangered: Provided further, That $35,000,000 shall be available for projects and activities authorized under 16 U.S.C. 410–r–8: Provided further, That the Secretary is directed to use $2,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein to provide a grant to the City of Caliente, Nevada, for the City to expend for the purpose of purchasing construction equipment to be used by the City in constructing local flood control measures.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

For expenses necessary for the flood damage reduction program for the Mississippi River alluvial valley below Cape Girardeau, Missouri, as authorized by law, $400,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary to cover the Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for inland harbors shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund: Provided, That the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $20,000,000 of the funds provided herein for design and real estate activities and pump supply elements for the Yazoo Basin, Yazoo Backwater Pumping Plant, Mississippi: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers is directed to use $9,000,000 appropriated herein for construction of water withdrawal features of the Grand Prairie, Arkansas, project, of which such sums as are necessary to cover the Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for inland harbors shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

For expenses necessary for the operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood and storm damage reduction, aquatic ecosystem restoration, and related projects authorized by law; for providing security for infrastructure owned and operated by, or on behalf of, the United States Army Corps of Engineers

(the ''Corps''), including administrative buildings and facilities, laboratories, and the Washington Aqueduct; for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality, or other public agency that serve essential navigation needs of general commerce, where authorized by law; and for surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters, clearing and straightening channels, and removal of obstructions to navigation, $1,989,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums to cover the Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for coastal harbors and channels, and inland harbors shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99–662 may be derived from that fund; of which such sums as become available from the special account for the Corps established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l–6a(i)), may be derived from that account for resource protection, research, interpretation, and maintenance activities related to resource protection in the areas at which outdoor recreation is available; and of which such sums as become available under section 217 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303, shall be used to cover the cost of operation and maintenance of the dredged material disposal facilities for which fees have been collected: Provided, That utilizing funds appropriated herein, for the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland, the Chief of Engineers, is directed to reimburse the State of Delaware for normal operation and maintenance costs incurred by the State of Delaware for the SR1 Bridge from station 58+00 to station 293+00 between October 1, 2005, and September 30, 2006: Provided further, That the Chief of Engineers is authorized to undertake, at full Federal expense, a detailed evaluation of the Albuquerque levees for purposes of determining structural integrity, impacts of vegetative growth, and performance under current hydrological conditions: Provided further, That using $275,000 provided herein, the Chief of Engineers is authorized to remove the sunken vessel State of Pennsylvania from the Christina River in Delaware.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, $160,000,000, to remain available until expended.

REVOLVING FUND

None of the funds in title I of this Act or otherwise available to the Corps of Engineers shall be available for the rehabilitation and lead and asbestos abatement of the dredge McFarland.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites in the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, $140,000,000, to remain available until expended.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related civil works functions in the headquarters of the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the offices of the Division Engineers, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Institute for Water Resources, the United States Army Engineer Research and Development Center, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers Finance Center, $154,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the civil works activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the civil works executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That the Secretary is directed to use $4,500,000 of the funds appropriated herein to conduct, at full federal expense and in close cooperation with state and local governments, comprehensive analyses that examine multi-jurisdictional use and management of water resources on a watershed or regional scale.

OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (CIVIL WORKS)

For expenses necessary for the Office of Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), as authorized by 10 U.S.C. 3016(b)(3), $4,000,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses not to exceed $5,000; and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase not to exceed 100 for replacement only and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. (a) None of the funds provided in title I of this Act, or provided by previous appropriations Acts to the agencies or entities funded in title I of this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2006, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds that:

(1) creates or initiates a new program, project, or activity;

(2) eliminates a program, project or activity;

(3) increases funds or personnel for any program, project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted by this Act;

(4) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or the Senate Committees on Appropriations for a different purpose;

(5) augments existing programs, projects or activities in excess of $2,000,000 or 50 percent, whichever is less, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations;

(6) reduces existing programs, projects or activities in excess of $2,000,000 or 50 percent, whichever is less, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations; or

(7) creates, reorganizes, or restructures a branch, division, office, bureau, board, commission, agency, administration, or department different from the budget justifications submitted to the Committees on Appropriations or the table accompanying the Statement of Managers accompanying this Act, whichever is more detailed, unless prior approval is received from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

(b) Subsection (a)(1) shall not apply to any project or activity authorized under section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948; section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946; section 208 of the Flood Control Act of 1954; section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960; section 103 of the River and Harbor Act of 1962; section 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968; section 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986; section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996; sections 204 and 207 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 or section 933 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

(c) Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Corps of Engineers shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year: Provided, That the report shall include:

(1) a table for each appropriation with a separate column to display the President's budget request, adjustments made by Congress, adjustments due to enacted rescissions, if appropriate, and the fiscal year enacted level;

(2) a delineation in the table for each appropriation both by object class and program, project and activity as detailed in the budget appendix for the respective appropriations; and

(3) an identification of items of special congressional interest: Provided further, That the amount appropriated for salaries and expenses of the Corps of Engineers shall be reduced by $100,000 per day for each day after the required date that the report has not been submitted to the Congress.

(d) None of the funds received as a non-federal share for project costs by any agency funded in title I of this Act shall be available for reprogramming.

SEC. 102. Beginning in fiscal year 2006 and thereafter, agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the United States Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the River and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64–291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68–585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75–208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90–483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended, Public Law 99–662; section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended, Public Law 102–580; section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303; and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed $100,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 103. In order to protect and preserve the integrity of the water supply against further degradation, none of the funds made available under this Act and any other Act hereafter may be used by the Army Corps of Engineers to support activities related to any proposed new landfill in the Muskingum Watershed if such landfill—

(1) has not received a permit to construct from the State agency with responsibility for solid waste management in the watershed;

(2) has not received waste for disposal during 2005; and

(3) is not contiguous or adjacent to a portion of a landfill that has received waste for disposal in 2005 and each landfill is owned by the same person or entity.

SEC. 104. None of the funds appropriated in this or any other Act shall be used to demonstrate or implement any plans divesting or transferring any Civil Works missions, functions, or responsibilities of the United States Army Corps of Engineers to other government agencies without specific direction in a subsequent Act of Congress.

SEC. 105. ST. GEORGES BRIDGE, DELAWARE.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to carry out any activity relating to closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland, including a hearing or any other activity relating to preparation of an environmental impact statement concerning the closure or removal.

SEC. 106. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the requirements regarding the use of continuing contracts under the authority of section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2331) shall apply only to projects funded under the Operation and Maintenance account and the Operation and Maintenance subaccount of the Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries account.

SEC. 107. Within 75 days of the date of the Chief of Engineers Report on a water resource matter, the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) shall submit the report to the appropriate authorizing and appropriating committees of the Congress.

SEC. 108. None of the funds made available in title I of this Act may be used to award any continuing contract or to make modifications to any existing continuing contract that commits an amount for a project in excess of the amount appropriated for such project pursuant to this Act:

Provided, That the amounts appropriated in this Act may be modified pursuant to the authorities provided in section 101 of this Act or through the application of unobligated balances for such project.

SEC. 109. Within 90 days of the date of enactment of this Act, the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) shall transmit to Congress his report on any water resources matter on which the Chief of Engineers has reported.

SEC. 110. Section 123 of Public Law 108–137 (117 Stat. 1837) is amended by striking ''in accordance with the Baltimore Metropolitan Water Resources-Gwynns Fall Watershed Feasibility Report'' and all that follows and inserting the following language in lieu thereof: ''in accordance with the Baltimore Metropolitan Water Resources Gwynns Falls Watershed Study—Draft Feasibility Report and Integrated Environmental Assessment prepared by the Corps of Engineers and the City of Baltimore, Maryland, dated April 2004. The non-Federal sponsor shall receive credit toward its share of project costs for work carried out by the non-Federal sponsor prior to execution of a project cooperation agreement, if the Secretary determines that the work is integral to the project. The non-Federal sponsor may also receive credit for any work performed by the non-Federal sponsor pursuant to a project cooperation agreement. The non-Federal sponsor shall be reimbursed for any work performed by the non-Federal sponsor that is in excess of the non-Federal share of project costs.''.

SEC. 111. None of the funds in this Act may be expended by the Secretary of the Army to construct the Port Jersey element of the New York and New Jersey Harbor or to reimburse the local sponsor for the construction of the Port Jersey element until commitments for construction of container handling facilities are obtained from the non-Federal sponsor for a second user along the Port Jersey element.

SEC. 112. MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA. Section 101(a)(31) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666), is amended by striking ''$229,581,000'' and inserting ''$358,000,000''.

SEC. 113. TRUCKEE MEADOWS FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, NEVADA.—The non-federal funds expended for purchase of lands, easements and rights-of-way, implementation of project monitoring and assessment, and construction and implementation of recreation, ecosystem restoration, and water quality improvement features, including the provision of 6700 acre-feet of water rights no later than the effective date of the Truckee River Operating Agreement for revegetation, reestablishment and maintenance of riverine and riparian habitat of the Lower Truckee River and Pyramid Lake, whether expended prior to or after the signing of the Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA), shall be fully credited to the non-federal sponsor's share of costs for the project: provided, That for the purposes of benefit-cost ratio calculations in the General Reevaluation Report (GRR), the Truckee Meadows Nevada Flood Control Project shall be defined as a single unit and non-separable.

SEC. 114. WATER REALLOCATION, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KENTUCKY. (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), none of the funds made available by this Act may be used to carry out any water reallocation project or component under the Wolf Creek Project, Lake Cumberland, Kentucky, authorized under the Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1215, chapter 795) and the Act of July 24, 1946 (60 Stat. 636, chapter 595).

(b) EXISTING REALLOCATIONS.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any water reallocation for Lake Cumberland, Kentucky, that is carried out subject to an agreement or payment schedule in effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 115. Section 529(b)(3) of Public Law 106–541 is amended by striking ''$10,000,000'' and inserting ''$20,000,000'' in lieu thereof.

SEC. 116. YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MISSISSIPPI.—The Yazoo Basin, Big Sunflower River, Mississippi, project authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1944, as amended and modified, is further modified to include the design and construction at full Federal expense of such measures as determined by the Chief of Engineers to be advisable for the control and reduction of sedimentation, erosion and headcutting in watersheds of the Yazoo Basin: Yazoo Headwater and Big Sunflower.

SEC. 117. LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER MUSEUM AND RIVERFRONT INTERPRETIVE SITE, MISSISSIPPI.—The Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4811) is amended by—

(1) in section 103(c)(2) by striking ''property currently held by the Resolution Trust Corporation in the vicinity of the Mississippi River Bridge'' and inserting ''riverfront property''; and

(2) in section 103(c)(7)—

(A) by striking ''There is'' and inserting the following: ''(A) IN GENERAL.—There is''; and

(B) by striking ''$2,000,000'' and all that follows and inserting the following: ''$15,000,000 to plan, design, and construct generally in accordance with the conceptual plan to be prepared by the Corps of Engineers.

''(B) FUNDING.—The planning, design, and construction of the Lower Mississippi River Museum and Riverfront Interpretive Site shall be carried out using funds appropriated as part of the Mississippi River Levees feature of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project, authorized by the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter 569).''.

SEC. 118. Section 593(h) of Public Law 106–541 is amended by striking ''$25,000,000'' and inserting ''$50,000,000'' in lieu thereof.

SEC. 119. The project for navigation, Los Angeles Harbor, California, authorized by section 101(b)(5) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577) is modified to authorize the Chief of Engineers to carry out the project at a total cost of $222,000,000.

SEC. 120. Section 219(f) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (Public Law 102–580; 106 Stat. 4835), as amended by section 502(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (Public Law 106–53) and section 108(d) of title I of division B of the Miscellaneous Appropriations Act, 2001 (as enacted by Public Law 106–554; 114 Stat. 2763A–220), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

''(72) ALPINE, CALIFORNIA.—$10,000,000 is authorized for a water transmission main, Alpine, CA.''.

SEC. 121. (a) The Secretary of the Army may carry out and fund projects to comply with the 2003 Biological Opinion described in section 205(b) of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–447; 118 Stat. 2949) as amended by subsection (b) and may award grants and enter into contracts, cooperative agreements, or interagency agreements with participants in the Endangered Species Act Collaborative Program Workgroup referenced in section 209(a) of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108–137; 117 Stat. 1850) in order to carry out such projects. Any project undertaken under this subsection shall require a non-Federal cost share of 25 percent, which may be provided through in-kind services or direct cash contributions and which shall be credited on a programmatic basis instead of on a project-by-project basis, with reconciliation of total project costs and total non-Federal cost share calculated on a three year incremental basis. Non-Federal cost share that exceeds that which is required in any calculated three year increment shall be credited to subsequent three year increments.

(b) Section 205(b) of Public Law 108–447 (118 Stat. 2949) is amended by adding ''and any amendments thereto'' after the word ''2003''.

SEC. 122. BLUESTONE, WEST VIRGINIA. Section 547 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2676) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1)(A) by striking ''4 years'' and inserting ''5 years'';

(2) in subsection (b)(1)(B)(iii) by striking ''if all'' and all that follows through ''facility'' and inserting ''assurance project'';

(3) in subsection (b)(1)(C) by striking ''and construction'' and inserting '', construction, and operation and maintenance'';

(4) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the following:

''(3) OPERATION AND OWNERSHIP.—The Tri-Cities Power Authority shall be the owner and operator of the hydropower facilities referred to in subsection (a).'';

(5) in subsection (c)(1)—

(A) by striking ''No'' and inserting ''Unless otherwise provided, no'';

(B) by inserting ''planning,'' before ''design''; and

(C) by striking ''prior to'' and all that follows through ''subsection (d)'';

(6) in subsection (c)(2) by striking ''design'' and inserting ''planning, design,'';

(7) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

''(1) APPROVAL.—The Secretary shall review the design and construction activities for all features of the hydroelectric project that pertain to and affect stability of the dam and control the release of water from Bluestone Dam to ensure that the quality of construction of those features meets all standards established for similar facilities constructed by the Secretary.'';

(B) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2);

(C) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) (as so redesignated) and inserting '', except that hydroelectric power is no longer a project purpose of the facility so long as Tri-Cities Power Authority continues to exercise its responsibilities as the builder, owner, and operator of the hydropower facilities at Bluestone Dam. Water flow releases and flood control from the hydropower facilities shall be determined and directed by the Corps of Engineers.''; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

''(3) COORDINATION.—Construction of the hydroelectric generating facilities shall be coordinated with the dam safety assurance project currently in the design and construction phases.'';

(8) in subsection (e) by striking ''in accordance'' and all that follows through ''58 Stat. 890)'';

(9) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking ''facility of the interconnected systems of reservoirs operated by the Secretary'' each place it appears and inserting ''facilities under construction under such agreements''; and

(B) by striking ''design'' and inserting ''planning, design'';

(10) in subsection (f)(2)—

(A) by ''Secretary'' each place it appears and inserting ''Tri-Cities Power Authority''; and

(B) by striking ''facilities referred to in subsection (a)'' and inserting ''such facilities'';

(11) by striking paragraph (1) of subsection (g) and inserting the following:

''(1) to arrange for the transmission of power to the market or to construct such transmission facilities as necessary to market the power produced at the facilities referred to in subsection (a) with funds contributed by the Tri-Cities Power Authority; and'';

(12) in subsection (g)(2) by striking ''such facilities'' and all that follows through ''the Secretary'' and inserting ''the generating facility''; and

(13) by adding at the end the following:

''(i) TRI-CITIES POWER AUTHORITY DEFINED.—In this section, the 'Tri-Cities Power Authority' refers to the entity established by the City of Hinton, West Virginia, the City of White Sulphur Springs, West Virginia, and the City of Philippi, West Virginia, pursuant to a document entitled 'Second Amended and Restated Intergovernmental Agreement' approved by the Attorney General of West Virginia on February 14, 2002.''.

SEC. 123. (a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) After the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall carry out the project for wastewater infrastructure, DeSoto County, Mississippi, authorized by section 219(f)(30) of Public Law 102–580, as amended, in accordance with the provisions of this subsection.

(2) The non-Federal interest shall be primarily responsible for carrying out work on the project referred to in paragraph (1) that is not covered by the Project Cooperation Agreement executed on May 13, 2002 or any amendments thereto, including work associated with the design, construction, management, and administration of the project. The non-Federal interest may carry out work on the project subject to obtaining any permits required pursuant to Federal and State laws and subject to general supervision and administrative oversight by the Secretary of the Army.

(3) The Federal share of project costs incurred by the non-Federal interest in carrying out work on the project as provided for in paragraph (2) shall equal 75 percent of the total cost of the work and shall be in the form of grants or reimbursements, except that the total amount of Federal funds available for the project, including that portion of the project carried out as provided for in paragraph (2), may not exceed $55,000,000.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 6006 of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2005 (119 Stat. 282) is amended by striking ''between May 13, 2002, and September 30, 2005'' and inserting ''after May 13, 2002'' in lieu thereof.

SEC. 124. The project for flood control, Las Vegas Wash and Tributaries (Flamingo and Tropicana Washes), Nevada, authorized by section 101(13) of Public Law 102–580 and modified by Public Law 108–7 (H.J. Res. 2) Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003, section 107 is further modified to provide that the costs incurred for design and construction of the project channel crossings in the reach of the channels from Shelbourne Avenue proceeding north along the alignment of Durango Drive and continuing east along the Southern Beltway to Martin Avenue shall be added to the authorized cost of the project and such costs shall be cost shared and shall not be considered part of the non-Federal sponsor's responsibility to provide lands, easements, and rights-of-way, and to perform relocations for the project.

SEC. 125. RESTORATION OF THE LAKE MICHIGAN WATERFRONT AND RELATED AREAS, LAKE AND PORTER COUNTIES, INDIANA.—The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers is authorized and directed to carry out a continuing program for the restoration of the Lake Michigan Waterfront and Related Areas, Lake and Porter Counties, Indiana.

(1) DEFINITIONS.—

(A) Related areas are defined as adjacent or close sites that have an impact or influence on the waterfront areas or aquatic habitat.

(B) Restore is defined as—

(i) activities that improve a site's ecosystem function, structure, and dynamic processes to a less degraded and more natural condition, and/or

(ii) the management of contaminants that allow the site to be safely used for ecological and/or economic purposes.

(2) JUSTIFICATION.—Projects can be justified by ecosystem benefits, clean-up of contaminated sites, public health, safety, economic benefits or any combination of these. Sites restored for economic purposes can be redeveloped by others. Restoration sites may include compatible recreation facilities that do not diminish the restoration purpose and do not increase the Federal cost share by more than 10 percent.

(3) COST SHARING.—The construction of projects are cost shared at 65 percent Federal and 35 percent non-Federal except when there is a demonstration of innovative technology. The cost share is then 85 percent Federal and 15 percent non-Federal.

(4) CREDIT.—

(A) The Secretary shall credit the non-Federal interest for the value of any lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, excavated and/or dredged material disposal areas required for carrying out a project. When the cost of the provision of all lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, excavated and/or dredged material disposal areas exceeds the non-Federal share, as identified in paragraph (3), the non-Federal interest may waive any right under Federal cost-sharing policy to receive cash reimbursement for any such value in excess of the non-Federal share as identified in paragraph (3).

(B) The non-Federal interest may provide up to 100 percent of the non-Federal share required under paragraph (3) in the form of services, materials, supplies, or other in-kind contributions including monies paid pursuant to, or the value of any in-kind service performed under, an administrative order on consent or jurisdictional consent decree but may not include any monies paid pursuant to, or the value of any in-kind service performed under, a unilateral administrative order or court order.

(C) The total of non-Federal credit for services, materials, supplies, or other in-kind contributions when combined with lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, excavated and/or dredged material disposal areas shall not exceed the non-Federal share identified in paragraph (3).

(5) OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION.—Operation, maintenance, repair, replacement and rehabilitation is 100 percent non-Federal cost.

(6) HOLD HARMLESS.—Non-Federal interests hold and save harmless the United States free from claims or damages due to implementation of the project except for negligence of the government.

(7) AUTHORIZED APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this program $20,000,000 for each fiscal year.

SEC. 126. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RESTORATION, MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA.—The second sentence of section 704(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)) is amended by striking ''$20,000,000'' and inserting ''$30,000,000''.

SEC. 127. The project for flood control, Little Calumet River, Indiana, authorized by section 401(a) of Public Law 99–662 (100 Stat. 4115) is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army to complete the project in accordance with the post authorization change report dated August 2000 at a total cost of $198,000,000 with an estimated Federal cost of $148,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of $49,500,000.

SEC. 128. AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CALIFORNIA (FOLSOM DAM AND PERMANENT BRIDGE).—(a) COORDINATION OF FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION AND DAM SAFETY.—The Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior are directed to collaborate on authorized activities to maximize flood damage reduction improvements and address dam safety needs at Folsom Dam and Reservoir, California. The Secretaries shall expedite technical reviews for flood damage reduction and dam safety improvements. In developing improvements under this section, the Secretaries shall consider reasonable modifications to existing authorized activities, including a potential auxiliary spillway. In conducting such activities, the Secretaries are authorized to expend funds for coordinated technical reviews and joint planning, and preliminary design activities.

(b) SECRETARY'S ROLE.—Section 134 of Public Law 108–137 (117 Stat. 1842) is modified to read as follows:

''SEC. 134. BRIDGE AUTHORIZATION.

''There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Army $30,000,000 for the construction of the permanent bridge described in section 128(a), above the $36,000,000 provided for in the recommended plan for bridge construction. The $30,000,000 shall not be subject to cost sharing requirements with non-Federal interests.''.

(c) CONFORMING CHANGE.—Section 128(a) of Public Law 108–137 (117 Stat. 1838) is modified by deleting ''above the $36,000,000 provided for in the recommended plan for bridge construction,'' and inserting in lieu thereof the following: ''above the sum of the $36,000,000 provided for in the recommended plan for bridge construction and the amount authorized to be appropriated by section 134, as amended,''.

(d) MAXIMUM COST OF PROJECT.—The costs cited in subsections (b) and (c) shall be adjusted to allow for increases pursuant to section 902 of Public Law 99–662 (100 Stat. 4183). For purposes of making adjustments pursuant to this subsection, the date of authorization of the bridge project shall be December 1, 2003.

(e) EXPEDITED CONSTRUCTION.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of the Interior and affected non-federal officials (including the City of Folsom, California), shall expedite construction of a new bridge and associated roadway authorized in Public Law 108–137. The Secretary, to the extent practicable, may construct such work in a manner that is compatible with the design and construction of authorized projects for flood damage reduction and dam safety. The Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior shall expedite actions under their respective jurisdictions to facilitate timely completion of construction.

(f) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and non-federal interests, shall report to Congress within ninety days of the date of enactment of this Act, and at four-month intervals thereafter, on the status and schedule of planning, design and construction activity.

SEC. 129. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FLORIDA.—(a) The project for navigation, Jacksonville Harbor, Florida, authorized by section 101(a)(17) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 276), is modified to authorize the Secretary to extend the navigation features in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated July 22, 2003, at a total cost of $14,658,000, with an estimated Federal cost of $9,636,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of $5,022,000.

(b) The non-Federal share of the costs of the General Reevaluation Reports on the Jacksonville Harbor which were begun prior to August 2004, shall be consistent with the non-Federal costs in implementing the overall construction project.

SEC. 130. Section 594(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383) is amended by striking ''$60,000,000'' and inserting ''$240,000,000''.

SEC. 131. ONONDAGA LAKE, NEW YORK.—Section 573 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 372) is amended—

(1) in subsection (f) by striking ''$10,000,000'' and inserting ''$30,000,000'';

(2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (e) the following:

''(f) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—Notwithstanding section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity, with the consent of the affected local government.''.

SEC. 132. WHITE RIVER BASIN, ARKANSAS.—(a) MINIMUM FLOWS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized and directed to implement alternatives BS–3 and NF–7, as described in the White River Minimum Flows Reallocation Study Report, Arkansas and Missouri, dated July 2004.

(2) COST SHARING AND ALLOCATION.—Reallocation of storage and planning, design and construction of White River Minimum Flows project

facilities shall be considered fish and wildlife enhancement that provides national benefits and shall be a Federal expense in accordance with section 906(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283(e)). The non-Federal interests shall provide relocations or modifications to public and private lakeside facilities at Bull Shoals Lake and Norfork Lake to allow reasonable continued use of the facilities with the storage reallocation as determined by the Secretary in consultation with the non-Federal interests. Operations and maintenance costs of the White River Minimum Flows project facilities shall be 100 percent Federal. All Federal costs for the White River Minimum Flows project shall be considered non-reimbursable.

(3) IMPACTS ON NON-FEDERAL PROJECT.—The Administrator of Southwestern Power Administration, in consultation with the project licensee and the relevant state public utility commissions, shall determine any impacts on electric energy and capacity generated at Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Project No. 2221 caused by the storage reallocation at Bull Shoals Lake, based on data and recommendations provided by the relevant state public utility commissions. The licensee of Project No. 2221 shall be fully compensated by the Corps of Engineers for those impacts on the basis of the present value of the estimated future lifetime replacement costs of the electrical energy and capacity at the time of implementation of the White River Minimum Flows project. Such costs shall be included in the costs of implementing the White River Minimum Flows project and allocated in accordance with subsection (a)(2) above.

(4) OFFSET.—In carrying out this subsection, losses to the Federal hydropower purpose of the Bull Shoals and Norfork Projects shall be offset by a reduction in the costs allocated to the Federal hydropower purpose. Such reduction shall be determined by the Administrator of the Southwestern Power Administration on the basis of the present value of the estimated future lifetime replacement cost of the electrical energy and capacity at the time of implementation of the White River Minimum Flows project.

(b) FISH HATCHERY.—In constructing, operating, and maintaining the fish hatchery at Beaver Lake, Arkansas, authorized by section 105 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2921), losses to the Federal hydropower purpose of the Beaver Lake Project shall be offset by a reduction in the costs allocated to the Federal hydropower purpose. Such reduction shall be determined by the Administrator of the Southwestern Power Administration based on the present value of the estimated future lifetime replacement cost of the electrical energy and capacity at the time operation of the hatchery begins.

(c) REPEAL.—Section 374 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 321) and section 304 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–541) are repealed.

CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA.—

(a) IN GENERAL.—At such time as Pujo Heirs and Westland Corporation convey all right, title, and interest in and to the real property described in paragraph (b)(1) to the United States, the Secretary shall convey all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property described in paragraph (b)(2) to Pujo Heirs and Westland Corporation.

(b) LAND DESCRIPTION.—The parcels of land referred to in paragraph (a) are the following:

(1) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST IN LAND.—An easement for placement of dredged materials over a contiguous equivalent area to the real property described in subparagraph (2). The parcels on which such an easement may be exchanged is all of the area within the diked or confined boundaries of the Corps of Engineers Dredge Material Placement Area M comprising Tract 128E, Tract 129E, Tract 131E, Tract 41A, Tract 42, Tract 132E, Tract 130E, Tract 134E, Tract 133E–3, Tract 140E, or some combination thereof.

(2) FEDERAL INTEREST IN LAND.—An easement for placement of dredged materials over an area in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, known as portions of Government Tract Numbers 139E–2 and 48 (both tracts on the west shore of the Calcasieu Ship Channel), and other tracts known as Corps of Engineers Dredge Material Placement Area O.

(c) CONDITIONS.—The exchange of real property under paragraph (1) shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) DEEDS.—

(A) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The conveyance of the real property described in paragraph (b)(1) to the Secretary shall be by a warranty deed acceptable to the Secretary.

(B) FEDERAL LAND.—The conveyance of the real property described in paragraph (b)(2) to Pujo Heirs and Westland Corporation shall be by a quitclaim deed.

(2) TIME LIMIT FOR EXCHANGE.—The land exchange under paragraph (a) shall be completed not later than six months after the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) INCREMENTAL COSTS.—As determined by the Secretary, incremental costs to the Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District associated with the preparation of the area and the placement of dredge material in the new disposal easement area, paragraph (b)(1), including, site preparation costs, associated testing, permitting, mitigation and diking costs associated with such new disposal easement over the costs that would have been incurred in the placement of dredge material in the old disposal easement area, paragraph (b)(2) (comprising all of Corps of Engineers Dredge Material Placement Area O) up to the disposal capacity equivalent of the property described in paragraph (b)(2), shall be made available by the Owners. Owners shall make appropriated guarantees, as agreed to by the Secretary, that funds will be available as needed to cover such incremental costs. The Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District, as local sponsor for the Calcasieu Ship Channel Project, shall not be assessed or caused to incur any costs arising out of, associated with or as a consequence of the land exchange authorized under paragraph (a).

(d) VALUE OF PROPERTIES.—If the appraised fair market value, as determined by the Secretary, of the real property conveyed to Pujo Heirs and Westland Corporation by the Secretary under paragraph (a) exceeds the appraised fair market value, as determined by the Secretary, of the real property conveyed to the United States by Pujo Heirs and Westland Corporation under paragraph (a), Pujo Heirs and Westland Corporation shall make a payment to the United States equal to the excess in cash or a cash equivalent that is satisfactory to the Secretary.

SEC. 134. PROJECT MODIFICATION.—(a) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, recreation, Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas, authorized by section 101(b)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 280–281) is modified—

(1) to deauthorize the ecosystem restoration portion of the project that consists of approximately 90 acres of land located between Randol Mill and the Union Pacific East/West line; and

(2) to authorize the Secretary of the Army to design and construct an ecosystem restoration project on lands identified in subsection (c) that will provide the same or greater level of national ecosystem restoration benefits as the portion of the project described in paragraph (1).

(b) CREDIT TOWARD FEDERAL SHARE.—The Secretary of the Army shall credit toward the Federal share of the cost of the modified project the costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out the project as originally authorized under section 101(b)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 280). The non-Federal interest shall not be responsible for reimbursing the Secretary for any amount credited under this subsection.

(c) COMPARABLE PROPERTY.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the City of Arlington, Texas, shall identify lands, acceptable to the Secretary of the Army, amounting to not less than 90 acres within the City, where an ecosystem restoration project may be constructed to provide the same or greater level of National ecosystem restoration benefits as the land described in subsection (a)(1).

SEC. 135. Funds made available in Public Law 105–62 and Public Law 105–245 for Hudson River, Athens, New York, shall be available for projects in the Catskill/Delaware watersheds in Delaware and Greene Counties, New York, under the authority of the New York City Watershed Environmental Assistance Program.

SEC. 136. None of the funds contained in title I of this Act shall be available to permanently reassign or to temporarily reassign in excess of 180 days personnel from the Charleston, South Carolina district office: Provided, That this limitation shall not apply to voluntary change of station.

SEC. 137. The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is hereby authorized and directed to design and construct until hereafter completed, the recreation and access features designated as Phase II of the Louisville Waterfront Park, Kentucky, as described in the Louisville Waterfront Park, Phases II and III, Detailed Project Report, by the Louisville District of the Corps of Engineers dated May 2002. The project shall be cost shared 50 percent Federal and 50 percent non-Federal. The cost of project work undertaken by the non-Federal interests, including but not limited to prior planning, design, and construction, shall be credited toward the non-Federal share of project design and construction costs.

SEC. 138. AKUTAN, ALASKA.—(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army is authorized to carry out the project for navigation, Akutan, Alaska, substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 20, 2004, at a total cost of $19,700,000.

(b) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DREDGING.—The headlands dredging for the mooring basin shall be considered a general navigation feature for purposes of estimating the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

SEC. 139. (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for the beneficial use of dredged material at Poplar Island, Maryland, authorized by section 537 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3776) shall be known as and designated as the ''Paul S. Sarbanes Ecosystem Restoration Project at Poplar Island''.

(b) REFERENCE.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper or other record of the United States (including reference by the Corps of Engineers) to the project referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the ''Paul S. Sarbanes Ecosystem Restoration Project at Poplar Island''.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The project designation in this section shall become effective on January 4, 2007.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, $32,614,000, to remain available until expended, of which $946,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account for use by the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, $1,736,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian tribes, and others, $883,514,000, to remain available until expended, of which $59,544,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and $21,998,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund; of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund; of which not more than $500,000 is for high priority projects which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps, as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 1706: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l–6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That $500,000 of the funds provided herein shall be used on a non-reimbursible basis to fund the collection of technical and environmental data to be used to evaluate potential rehabilitation of the St. Mary Storage Unit facilities, Milk River Project, Montana, and that Reclamation shall enter into cooperative agreements with the State of Montana or the Blackfeet Tribe to carry out such work if the Secretary determines such agreements would be cost-effective and efficient.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, $52,219,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575: Provided further, That none of the funds made available under this heading may be used for the acquisition or leasing of water for in-stream purposes if the water is already committed to in-stream purposes by a court adopted decree or order.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out activities authorized by the Water Supply, Reliability, and Environmental Improvement Act, consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, $37,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which such amounts as may be necessary to carry out such activities may be transferred to appropriate accounts of other participating Federal agencies to carry out authorized purposes: Provided, That funds appropriated herein may be used for the Federal share of the costs of CALFED Program management: Provided further, That the use of any funds provided to the California Bay-Delta Authority for program-wide management and oversight activities shall be subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Interior: Provided further, That CALFED implementation shall be carried out in a balanced manner with clear performance measures demonstrating concurrent progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the Program: Provided further, That $500,000 shall be transferred to the Army Corps of Engineers to carry out the report on levee stability reconstruction projects and priorities authorized under section 103(f)(3) of Public Law 108–361.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, $57,917,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed 14 passenger motor vehicles, of which 11 are for replacement only.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

SEC. 201. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the ''Cleanup Program-Alternative Repayment Plan'' and the ''SJVDP-Alternative Repayment Plan'' described in the report entitled ''Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995'', prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal reclamation law.

SEC. 202. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to purchase or lease water in the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said purchase or lease is in compliance with the purchase requirements of section 202 of Public Law 106–60.

SEC. 203. (a) Section 1(a) of the Lower Colorado Water Supply Act (Public Law 99–655) is amended by adding at the end the following: ''The Secretary is authorized to enter into an agreement or agreements with the city of Needles or the Imperial Irrigation District for the design and construction of the remaining stages of the Lower Colorado Water Supply Project on or after November 1, 2004, and the Secretary shall ensure that any such agreement or agreements include provisions setting forth: (1) the responsibilities of the parties to the agreement for design and construction; (2) the locations of the remaining wells, discharge pipelines, and power transmission lines; (3) the remaining design capacity of up to 5,000 acre-feet per year which is the authorized capacity less the design capacity of the first stage constructed; (4) the procedures and requirements for approval and acceptance by the Secretary of the remaining stages, including approval of the quality of construction, measures to protect the public health and safety, and procedures for protection of such stages; (5) the rights, responsibilities, and liabilities of each party to the agreement; and (6) the term of the agreement.''.

(b) Section 2(b) of the Lower Colorado Water Supply Act (Public Law 99–655) is amended by adding at the end the following: ''Subject to the demand of such users along or adjacent to the Colorado River for Project water, the Secretary is further authorized to contract with additional persons or entities who hold Boulder Canyon Project Act section 5 contracts for municipal and industrial uses within the State of California for the use or benefit of Project water under such terms as the Secretary determines will benefit the interest of Project users along the Colorado River.''.

SEC. 204. Funds under this title for Drought Emergency Assistance shall be made available primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors, in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase: Provided, That such purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

SEC. 205. The Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of the Bureau of Reclamation, is authorized to enter into grants, cooperative agreements, and other agreements with irrigation or water districts and States to fund up to 50 percent of the cost of planning, designing, and constructing improvements that will conserve water, increase water use efficiency, or enhance water management through measurement or automation, at existing water supply projects within the States identified in the Act of June 17, 1902, as amended, and supplemented: Provided, That when such improvements are to federally owned facilities, such funds may be provided in advance on a non-reimbursable basis to an entity operating affected transferred works or may be deemed non-reimbursable for non-transferred works: Provided further, That the calculation of the non-Federal contribution shall provide for consideration of the value of any in-kind contributions, but shall not include funds received from other Federal agencies: Provided further, That the cost of operating and maintaining such improvements shall be the responsibility of the non-Federal entity: Provided further, That this section shall not supercede any existing project-specific funding authority: Provided further, That the Secretary is also authorized to enter into grants or cooperative agreements with universities or nonprofit research institutions to fund water use efficiency research.

SEC. 206. WATER DESALINATION ACT.—Section 8 of Public Law 104–298 (The Water Desalination Act of 1996) (110 Stat. 3624) as amended by section 210 of Public Law 108–7 (117 Stat. 146) and by section 6015 of Public Law 109–13 is amended by—

(1) in paragraph (a) by striking ''2005'' and inserting in lieu thereof ''2006''; and

(2) in paragraph (b) by striking ''2005'' and inserting in lieu thereof ''2006''.

SEC. 207. Section 17(b) of the Colorado Ute Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988 as amended (Public Law 100–585, 102 Stat. 2973; Public Law 106–554, 114 Stat. 2763A–266) is amended by striking ''within 7 years'' and all that follows through ''following the date of enactment of this section'' and inserting ''for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2012''.

SEC. 208. (a)(1) Using amounts made available under section 2507 of the Farm and Security Rural Investment Act of 2002 (43 U.S.C. 2211 note; Public Law 107–171), the Secretary shall provide not more than $70,000,000 to the University of Nevada—

(A) to acquire from willing sellers land, water appurtenant to the land, and related interests in the Walker River Basin, Nevada; and

(B) to establish and administer an agricultural and natural resources center, the mission of which shall be to undertake research, restoration, and educational activities in the Walker River Basin relating to—

(i) innovative agricultural water conservation;

(ii) cooperative programs for environmental restoration;

(iii) fish and wildlife habitat restoration; and

(iv) wild horse and burro research and adoption marketing.

(2) In acquiring interests under paragraph (1)(A), the University of Nevada shall make acquisitions that the University determines are the most beneficial to—

(A) the establishment and operation of the agricultural and natural resources research center authorized under paragraph (1)(B); and

(B) environmental restoration in the Walker River Basin.

(b)(1) Using amounts made available under section 2507 of the Farm and Security Rural Investment Act of 2002 (43 U.S.C. 2211 note; Public Law 107–171), the Secretary shall provide not more than $10,000,000 for a water lease and purchase program for the Walker River Paiute Tribe.

(2) Water acquired under paragraph (1) shall be—

(A) acquired only from willing sellers;

(B) designed to maximize water conveyances to Walker Lake; and

(C) located only within the Walker River Paiute Indian Reservation.

(c) Using amounts made available under section 2507 of the Farm and Security Rural Investment Act of 2002 (43 U.S.C. 2211 note; Public Law 107–171), the Secretary, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, shall provide—

(1) $10,000,000 for tamarisk eradication, riparian area restoration, and channel restoration efforts within the Walker River Basin that are designed to enhance water delivery to Walker Lake, with priority given to activities that are expected to result in the greatest increased water flows to Walker Lake; and

(2) $5,000,000 to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the Walker River Paiute Tribe, and the Nevada Division of Wildlife to undertake activities, to be coordinated by the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, to complete the design and implementation of the Western Inland Trout Initiative and Fishery Improvements in the State of Nevada with an emphasis on the Walker River Basin.

(d) For each day after June 30, 2006, on which the Bureau of Reclamation fails to comply with subsections (a), (b), and (c), the total amount made available for salaries and expenses of the Bureau of Reclamation shall be reduced by $100,000 per day.

SEC. 209. (a). The Secretary of the Interior is authorized to complete a special report to update the analysis of costs and associated benefits of the Auburn-Folsom South Unit, Central Valley Project, California authorized under Federal reclamation laws and the Act of September 2, 1965, P.L. 89–161, 79 Stat. 615 in order to—

(1) identify those project features that are still relevant;

(2) identify changes in benefit values from previous analyses and update to current levels;

(3) identify design standard changes from the 1978 Reclamation design which require updated project engineering;

(4) assess risks and uncertainties associated with the 1978 Reclamation design;

(5) update design and reconnaissance-level cost estimate for features identified under paragraph (1); and

(6) perform other analyses that the Secretary deems appropriate to assist in the determination of whether a full feasibility study is warranted.

(b). There are authorized to be appropriated $1,000,000 to carry out this section. The cost of completing this update shall be non-reimbursable.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy supply and energy conservation activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $1,830,936,000, to remain available until expended.

CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY

(DEFERRAL AND RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading for obligation in prior years, $257,000,000 shall not be available until October 1, 2006: Provided, That funds made available in previous appropriations Acts shall be made available for any ongoing project regardless of the separate request for proposal under which the project was selected: Provided further, That $20,000,000 of uncommitted balances is rescinded.

FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses in carrying out fossil energy research and development activities, under the authority of the Department of Energy Organization Act (Public Law 95–91), including the acquisition of interest, including defeasible and equitable interests in any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition or expansion, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, the hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft, the purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms, the reimbursement to the General Services Administration for security guard services, and for conducting inquiries, technological investigations and research concerning the extraction, processing, use, and disposal of mineral substances without objectionable social and environmental costs (30 U.S.C. 3, 1602, and 1603), $597,994,000, to remain available until expended, of which $18,000,000 is to continue a multi-year project coordinated with the private sector for FutureGen, without regard to the terms and conditions applicable to clean coal technological projects: Provided, That the initial planning and research stages of the FutureGen project shall include a matching requirement from non-Federal sources of at least 20 percent of the costs: Provided further, That any demonstration component of such project shall require a matching requirement from non-Federal sources of at least 50 percent of the costs of the component: Provided further, That of the amounts provided, $50,000,000 is available, after coordination with the private sector, for a request for proposals for a Clean Coal Power Initiative providing for competitively-awarded research, development, and demonstration projects to reduce the barriers to continued and expanded coal use: Provided further, That no project may be selected for which sufficient funding is not available to provide for the total project: Provided further, That funds shall be expended in accordance with the provisions governing the use of funds contained under the heading ''Clean Coal Technology'' in 42 U.S.C. 5903d as well as those contained under the heading ''Clean Coal Technology'' in prior appropriations: Provided further, That the Department may include provisions for repayment of Government contributions to individual projects in an amount up to the Government contribution to the project on terms and conditions that are acceptable to the Department including repayments from sale and licensing of technologies from both domestic and foreign transactions: Provided further, That such repayments shall be retained by the Department for future coal-related research, development and demonstration projects: Provided further, That any technology selected under this program shall be considered a Clean Coal Technology, and any project selected under this program shall be considered a Clean Coal Technology Project, for the purposes of 42 U.S.C. 7651n, and chapters 51, 52, and 60 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations: Provided further, That no part of the sum herein made available shall be used for the field testing of nuclear explosives in the recovery of oil and gas: Provided further, That up to 4 percent of program direction funds available to the National Energy Technology Laboratory may be used to support Department of Energy activities not included in this account: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2006 salaries for Federal employees performing research and development activities at the National Energy Technology Laboratory can continue to be funded from program accounts: Provided further, That the Secretary of Energy is authorized to accept fees and contributions from public and private sources, to be deposited in a contributed funds account, and prosecute projects using such fees and contributions in cooperation with other Federal, State, or private agencies or concerns: Provided further, That revenues and other moneys received by or for the account of the Department of Energy or otherwise generated by sale of products in connection with projects of the Department appropriated under the Fossil Energy Research and Development account may be retained by the Secretary of Energy, to be available until expended, and used only for plant construction, operation, costs, and payments to cost-sharing entities as provided in appropriate cost-sharing contracts or agreements.

NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES

For expenses necessary to carry out naval petroleum and oil shale reserve activities, including the hire of passenger motor vehicles, $21,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, unobligated funds remaining from prior years shall be available for all naval petroleum and oil shale reserve activities.

ELK HILLS SCHOOL LANDS FUND

For necessary expenses in fulfilling installment payments under the Settlement Agreement entered into by the United States and the State of California on October 11, 1996, as authorized by section 3415 of Public Law 104–106, $48,000,000, for payment to the State of California for the State Teachers' Retirement Fund, of which $46,000,000 will be derived from the Elk Hills School Lands Fund.

STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE

For necessary expenses for Strategic Petroleum Reserve facility development and operations and program management activities pursuant to the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6333 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles, the hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft, the purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms, the reimbursement to the General Services Administration for security guard services, $166,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses in carrying out the activities of the Energy Information Administration, $86,176,000, to remain available until expended.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of not to

exceed six passenger motor vehicles, of which five shall be for replacement only, $353,219,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions, and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, $562,228,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended, of which $20,000,000 shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

SCIENCE

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed forty-seven passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, including not to exceed one ambulance and two buses, $3,632,718,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97–425, as amended (the ''Act''), including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $150,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which $100,000,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That of the funds made available in this Act for Nuclear Waste Disposal, $2,000,000 shall be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities and participate in licensing activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That notwithstanding the lack of a written agreement with the State of Nevada under section 117(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97–425, as amended, not less than $500,000 shall be provided to Nye County, Nevada, for on-site oversight activities under section 117(d) of that Act: Provided further, That $7,500,000 shall be provided to affected units of local government, as defined in the Act, to conduct appropriate activities and participate in licensing activities: Provided further, That 7.5 percent of the funds provided shall be made available to affected units of local government in California with the balance made available to affected units of local government in Nevada for distribution as determined by the Nevada units of local government: Provided further, That notwithstanding the provisions of Chapters 65 and 75 of Title 31, the Department shall have no monitoring, auditing or other oversight rights or responsibilities over amounts provided to affected units of local government under this heading: Provided further, That the funds for the State of Nevada shall be made available solely to the Nevada Division of Emergency Management by direct payment and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the Nevada Division of Emergency Management and the Governor of the State of Nevada shall provide certification to the Department of Energy that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities authorized by the Act and this Act: Provided further, That failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-State efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act: Provided further, That all proceeds and recoveries realized by the Secretary in carrying out activities authorized by the Act, including but not limited to, any proceeds from the sale of assets, shall be available without further appropriation and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That no funds provided in this Act may be used to pursue repayment or collection of funds provided in any fiscal year to affected units of local government for oversight activities that had been previously approved by the Department of Energy, or to withhold payment of any such funds.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses not to exceed $35,000, $252,817,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total $123,000,000 in fiscal year 2006 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during 2006, and any related appropriated receipt account balances remaining from prior years' miscellaneous revenues, so as to result in a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than $129,817,000: Provided further, That not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives a report, in unclassified form but with a classified appendix if necessary, on the Department of Energy's plan to bring security for Building 3019 at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, into full compliance with the Department's Design Basis Threat Policy: Provided further, That the report shall include—

(1) a detailed description of any element of the Department's Design Basis Threat Policy that is not to be fully addressed throughout the remaining lifetime of Building 3019;

(2) a detailed description of the security implementation plan, including security personnel, perimeter detection capability, response capabilities, use of security technology, and methods of meeting physical standoff requirements;

(3) a schedule with specific dates describing the milestones to achieve compliance with the Department's Design Basis Threat Policy;

(4) a security management plan signed by the Secretary of Energy specifying the program secretarial offices responsible for implementing and funding the security program, including any incremental funding requirements to upgrade security levels for the period during the material handling and processing activities leading to complete disposition of the stored inventory of special nuclear material; and

(5) the justification for failing to fully comply with the Design Basis Threat Policy, if the Secretary does not intend to implement a security program at Building 3019 that fully complies with the Department's Design Basis Threat requirements for new, continuing operations.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $42,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 40 passenger motor vehicles, for replacement only, including not to exceed two buses; $6,433,936,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That $81,350,000 is authorized to be appropriated for Project 01–D–124 HEU materials facility, Y–12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Provided further, That $7,000,000 is authorized to be appropriated for Project 05–D–140 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, defense nuclear nonproliferation activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $1,631,151,000, to remain available until expended.

NAVAL REACTORS

For Department of Energy expenses necessary for naval reactors activities to carry out the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition (by purchase, condemnation, construction, or otherwise) of real property, plant, and capital equipment, facilities, and facility expansion, $789,500,000, to remain available until expended.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Administrator in the National Nuclear Security Administration, including official reception and representation expenses not to exceed $12,000, $341,869,000, to remain available until expended.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $6,192,371,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses, necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, and classified activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation

of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of not to exceed ten passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, including not to exceed two buses; $641,998,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $350,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500. During fiscal year 2006, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services pursuant to section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, $5,600,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to $32,713,000 collected by the Southeastern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500 in carrying out section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power administration, $30,166,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to $3,000,000 collected by the Southwestern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500; $233,992,000, to remain available until expended, of which $229,596,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, $6,700,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: Provided further, That of the amount herein appropriated, $6,000,000 shall be available until expended on a nonreimbursable basis to the Western Area Power Administration for Topock-Davis-Mead Transmission Line Upgrades: Provided further, That notwithstanding the provision of 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to $279,000,000 collected by the Western Area Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 and the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, $2,692,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses not to exceed $3,000, $220,400,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed $220,400,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2006 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2006 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than $0.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. (a)(1) None of the funds in this or any other appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006 or any previous fiscal year may be used to make payments for a noncompetitive management and operating contract unless the Secretary of Energy has published in the Federal Register and submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a written notification, with respect to each such contract, of the Secretary's decision to use competitive procedures for the award of the contract, or to not renew the contract, when the term of the contract expires.

(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply to an extension for up to 2 years of a noncompetitive management and operating contract, if the extension is for purposes of allowing time to award competitively a new contract, to provide continuity of service between contracts, or to complete a contract that will not be renewed.

(b) In this section:

(1) The term ''noncompetitive management and operating contract'' means a contract that was awarded more than 50 years ago without competition for the management and operation of Ames Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and Los Alamos National Laboratory.

(2) The term ''competitive procedures'' has the meaning provided in section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403) and includes procedures described in section 303 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253) other than a procedure that solicits a proposal from only one source.

(c) For all management and operating contracts other than those listed in subsection (b)(1), none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract, or award a significant extension or expansion to an existing management and operating contract, unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver. At least 60 days before a contract award for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the Committees of the waiver and setting forth, in specificity, the substantive reasons why the Secretary believes the requirement for competition should be waived for this particular award.

SEC. 302. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy, under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the funds made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 42 U.S.C. 7274h) unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request to the appropriate congressional committees.

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

SEC. 305. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be available to the same appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Available balances may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 306. None of the funds in this or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration may be used to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 307. When the Department of Energy makes a user facility available to universities or other potential users, or seeks input from universities or other potential users regarding significant characteristics or equipment in a user facility or a proposed user facility, the Department shall ensure broad public notice of such availability or such need for input to universities and other potential users. When the Department of Energy considers the participation of a university or other potential user as a formal partner in the establishment or operation of a user facility, the Department shall employ full and open competition in selecting such a partner. For purposes of this section, the term ''user facility'' includes, but is not limited to: (1) a user facility as described in section 2203(a)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 13503(a)(2)); (2) a National Nuclear Security Administration Defense Programs Technology Deployment Center/User Facility; and (3) any other Departmental facility designated by the Department as a user facility.

SEC. 308. Funds appropriated by this or any other Act, or made available by the transfer of funds in this Act, for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by the Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 414) during fiscal

year 2006 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2006.

SEC. 309. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date. For the purpose of this section, the material categories of transuranic waste from the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site include: (1) ash residues; (2) salt residue; (3) wet residues; (4) direct repackage residues; and (5) scrub alloy as referenced in the ''Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site''.

SEC. 310. RENO HYDROGEN FUEL PROJECT FUNDING.—(a) The non-Federal share of project costs shall be 20 percent.

(b) The cost of project vehicles, related facilities, and other activities funded from the Federal Transit Administration Sections 5307, 5308, 5309, and 5314 program, including the non-Federal share for the FTA funds, is an eligible component of the non-Federal share for this project.

(c) Contribution of the non-Federal share of project costs for all grants made for this project may be deferred until the entire project is completed.

(d) All operations and maintenance costs associated with vehicles, equipment, and facilities utilized for this project are eligible project costs.

(e) This section applies to project appropriations beginning in fiscal year 2004.

SEC. 311. LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.——Of the funds made available by the Department of Energy for activities at government-owned, contractor-operator operated laboratories funded in this Act or subsequent Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts, the Secretary may authorize a specific amount, not to exceed 8 percent of such funds, to be used by such laboratories for laboratory-directed research and development: Provided, That the Secretary may also authorize a specific amount not to exceed 3 percent of such funds, to be used by the plant manager of a covered nuclear weapons production plant or the manager of the Nevada Site Office for plant or site-directed research and development: Provided further, That notwithstanding Department of Energy order 413.2A, dated January 8, 2001, beginning in fiscal year 2006 and thereafter, all DOE laboratories may be eligible for laboratory directed research and development funding.

SEC. 312. Of amounts appropriated to the Secretary of Energy for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site for fiscal year 2006, the Secretary may provide, subject to authorization, up to $10,000,000 for the purchase of mineral rights at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.

SEC. 313. Section 4306 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2566) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking ''2009'' each place it appears and inserting ''2012''; and

(B) in paragraph (3)—

(i) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking ''2009'' and inserting, ''2012''; and

(ii) in subparagraph (C), by striking ''2009'' and inserting ''2012'';

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking ''(a)(2)'' and inserting ''(g)''; and

(ii) by striking ''2009'' and inserting ''2012'';

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking ''2009'' each place it appears and inserting ''2012''; and

(C) in paragraph (5), by striking ''2009'' and inserting ''2012'';

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking, ''2009'' and inserting ''2012'';

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking ''2011'' and inserting ''2014''; and

(C) in paragraph (2), by striking ''2017'' each place it appears and inserting ''2020'';

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking ''2011'' and inserting ''2014'';

(ii) by striking ''from funds available to the Secretary'' and inserting ''subject to the availability of appropriations''; and

(iii) by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2019''; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking ''2017'' each place it appears and inserting ''2020'';

(5) in subsection (e), by striking ''2020'' and inserting ''2023'';

(6) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(7) by inserting after subsection (f) the following:

(g) BASELINE.—Not later than December 31, 2006, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the construction and operation of the MOX facility that includes a schedule for revising the requirements of this section during fiscal year 2007 to conform with the schedule established by the Secretary for the MOX facility, which shall be based on estimated funding levels for the fiscal year.''.

SEC. 314. SALES OF URANIUM.—(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of Federal law, including section 3112 of the USEC Privatization Act (42 U.S.C. 2297h–2) and section 3302 of Title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of Energy is authorized to barter, transfer or sell uranium (including natural uranium concentrates, natural uranium hexafluoride, or in any form or assay) and to use any proceeds, without fiscal year limitation, to remediate uranium inventories held by the Secretary.

(b) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—Any barter, transfer or sale of uranium under subsection (a) shall to the extent possible, be competitive and comply with all applicable Federal procurement laws (including regulations); and shall not exceed 10 percent of the total annual fuel requirements of all licensed nuclear power plants located in the United States for uranium concentrates, uranium conversion, or uranium enrichment.

SEC. 315. Section 130 of Division H (Miscellaneous Appropriations and Offsets) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, Public Law 108–199, is hereby amended by striking ''is provided for the Coralville, Iowa, project'' and all that follows and inserting: ''is provided for the Iowa Environmental and Education project to be located in Iowa. No further funds may be disbursed by the Department of Energy until a one hundred percent non-Federal cash and in-kind match of the appropriated Federal funds has been secured for the project by the non-Federal project sponsor: Provided, That the match shall exclude land donations: Provided further, That if the match is not secured by the non-Federal project sponsor by December 1, 2007, the remaining Federal funds shall cease to be available for the Iowa Environmental and Education project.''.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, $65,472,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, $22,032,000, to remain available until expended.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Delta Regional Authority and to carry out its activities, as authorized by the Delta Regional Authority Act of 2000, as amended, notwithstanding sections 382C(b)(2), 382F(d), and 382M(b) of said Act, $12,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, $50,000,000, to remain available until expended, nothwithstanding the limitations contained in section 306(g) of the Denali Commission Act of 1998.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed $15,000), purchase of promotional items for use in the recruitment of individuals for employment, $734,376,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, $46,118,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at $617,182,000 in fiscal year 2006 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2006 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation estimated at not more than $117,194,000: Provided further, That section 6101 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 is amended by inserting before the period in subsection (c)(2)(B)(v) the words ''and fiscal year 2006''.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $8,316,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at $7,485,000 in fiscal year 2006 shall be retained and be available until expended, for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2006 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2006 appropriation estimated at not more than $831,000.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100–203, section 5051, $3,608,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. 1913.

SEC. 502. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer authority provided in this Act or any other appropriation Act.

This Act may be cited as the ''Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006''.

And the Senate agree to the same.

DAVID L. HOBSON,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
TOM LATHAM,
ZACH WAMP,
JO ANN EMERSON,
JOHN DOOLITTLE,
MICHAEL K. SIMPSON,
DENNIS R. REHBERG,
JERRY LEWIS,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
JAMES E. CLYBURN,
MARION BERRY,
DAVID R. OBEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND,
KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON,
WAYNE ALLARD,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
PATTY MURRAY,
BRYON L. DORGAN,
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,
TIM JOHNSON,
MARY L. LANDRIEU,
DANIEL K. INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2419) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommend in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 109–86 and Senate Report 109–84 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House report and Senate report are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables included in this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of the conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER RESOURCE NEEDS IN THE WAKE OF HURRICANES KATRINA AND RITA

The conferees' funding recommendations in this statement of managers have been shaped by the occurrence of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, their profound effects on the Gulf Coast of the United States, and what these storms revealed about our country's vulnerability to natural disasters. Accordingly, total funding levels for Energy and Water Development Appropriations for fiscal year 2006 are $749,000,000 above the levels requested by the Administration, and the conferees have designated almost all of this increase for strengthening the water infrastructure of our nation. Dam safety, flood protection, and maintenance of vital navigation systems have been given priority.

The situation on the Gulf Coast in the wake of the 2005 hurricanes requires balance among competing forces. There is an urgent need for rapid restoration of flood control measures before the next storm season. The US Army Corps of Engineers has testified that it can accomplish these repairs by June 2006. However, extensive flooding occurred in the region despite the existence of flood control measures designed to withstand Category 3 hurricanes. Fully understanding what caused the flooding will require time, and the design and implementation of an improved protection system will take years. This means that some interim protection will be in place soon and better protection will be provided later.

This Act provides considerable support for on-going improvements to flood control projects along the Gulf Coast, particularly in Louisiana and Mississippi. The hurricanes have altered the underlying justifications for these projects and brought into question existing approaches and designs. The physical situation on the ground has changed, the nature and value of the communities and infrastructure to be protected have changed, and the engineering requirements for providing given levels of flood protection have changed. While the Corps of Engineers proceeds to reestablish preexisting flood control works using funds provided on an emergency basis, a revised plan for providing an improved flood control system for the future is needed. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with a report detailing an integrated approach to flood control, navigation, and environmental restoration for the Gulf Coast region of Louisiana and Mississippi within 120 days of enactment. This report should present the overall approach for future spending and identify specific changes to on-going projects as well as proposals for future work. Hopefully, this vision can be in place to guide appropriations for next year and inform the five-year funding plan that is to accompany the Administration's fiscal year 2007 budget request.

The conferees expect additional resources will be provided in subsequent supplemental appropriations bills to respond to the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina and shall be considered in the broader context of flood reduction for and reconstruction of the City of New Orleans as hurricane data analysis is completed and as a consensus on how best to protect the City of New Orleans emerges.

The budget request from the Administration recommended funding various projects based on seven performance guidelines, based principally on the ratio of remaining-benefits-to-remaining-costs. The conferees have endeavored to identify the most critical flood damage reduction and navigation projects in the allocation of resources provided, but in the absence of the Corps of Engineers being able to provide to the Congress its professional engineering judgment on which priority infrastructure needs should be addressed this fiscal year, the conferees have largely provided the budget request for individual water resource projects.

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND EXECUTION

The conferees agree that improvements in the Corps' program management and execution are necessary and appropriate. The conferees expect the civilian and military leadership of the Corps of Engineers to manage the Corps of Engineers and the Civil Works program.

Five-year comprehensive budget planning.—The Corps is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations concurrent with each annual budget hereafter an updated five-year development plan, as delineated in the House report.

Emphasis on expenditures.—The Corps is directed to adopt a fiscal management practice that fully honors Congressional direction and accepts a higher level of carryover funds in order to achieve greatly increased transparency into project costs and multiyear funding commitments.

Congressional justification materials.—The conferees direct the Corps to improve its annual congressional budget submission by expanding the information presented to the Congress each year and to present its budget estimate by mission area. That information shall include, but not be limited to, those items more fully discussed in the House report. Such information shall include a detailed analysis of activities and projects funded in the current year but for which no funds are requested in the budget estimate. It is incumbent upon the Administration and the Corps of Engineers to disclose fully how it plans to carry out the current year appropriation. Inclusion of such information in the budget justification materials in no way implies continuing support of such projects or activities by the Administration or the Corps of Engineers but is needed by Congress to determine if the Executive Branch is executing fully its appropriation by program, project and activity consistent with Congressional direction and intent. The conferees note that similar information is provided in other executive branch agencies' budget submissions and fail to understand why such information is not provided by the Corps of Engineers or cleared by the Office of Management and Budget for transmittal to the Congress.

Performance-based budgeting.—The conferees acknowledge the efforts of the Administration to develop a methodology for focusing limited federal resources on water resource projects, but recognize that the remaining-costs-to-remaining-benefits ratio used by the Administration has its limitations. In addition, the conferees note the inability of the Corps of Engineers to produce at the request of Congress a list of the ten most critical water resources needs in the country that need to be addressed given the Nation's experience with Hurricane Katrina. Accordingly, the Corps of Engineers is directed to contract with the National Academy of Public Administration to study and recommend factors, perhaps to include remaining-costs-to-remaining-benefits, which should be used in determining the allocation of limited resources for the construction of water resource projects.

Savings and slippage.—The conferees acknowledge the existence of traditional savings and slippage, which may accrue either from unfavorable construction schedules

and/or seasons or from delays in a project's delivery because of environmental issues, litigation or local financial limitations. Such funds may be available for reallocation, only on a project-by-project basis, within the reprogramming limitation contained in section 101 in title I of this Act.

In recent years the Congress has artificially increased the historical savings and slippage estimate, thereby increasing the across-the-board reduction. The conferees have discontinued this practice. The conference agreement eliminates the need for an across-the-board reduction resulting from project allocations in excess of the amount appropriated for such account. In addition, an across-the-board reduction for historical savings and slippage shall not be assessed. Savings and slippage shall be taken on a project-by-project basis, recognizing the unique characteristics of each project and its total annual funding requirements.

Reprogramming.—The conference agreement modifies section 101 of the House bill, which provides very specific instances and procedures by which the Corps may reprogram funds. The Senate bill contained no similar guidance. The guidance contained herein shall supercede all previous Congressional direction with respect to the reprogramming of appropriated funds and shall apply to all available balances in the Corps' accounts. For the purposes of carrying out this section, a reprogramming of funds is defined as any reallocation of funds into or from a line item set forth in the statement of managers accompanying this Act. No distinctions are to be made by the Corps for transfers or movements of funds, such as restorations or revocations, as has been the past practice. Any funds proposed for reprogramming shall be deemed to be excess to project needs, and shall be considered on a project-by-project basis.

Consistent with the recommendations found in a recent GAO report entitled ''Improved Planning and Financial Management Should Replace Reliance on Reprogramming Actions to Manage Project Funds,'' the Corps is directed to develop immediately a financial planning and management system for the investigations, construction, and operation and maintenance appropriations that changes the way the Corps allocates funds from an annual basis to a quarterly basis that reflects actual schedule and project performance. This recommendation is most crucial to ensure increased certainty in execution of projects. Accordingly, the conferees expect that project funds shall be allocated to the field operating agencies by the headquarters office on a quarterly basis on the expected rate of execution for each quarter.

Not later than 60 days following the enactment of this Act, the Corps shall submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations to establish the baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year. That report shall contain a table for each appropriation, showing among other items, each program, project and activity in each appropriation. For each day after the required date that the report has not been submitted to Congress, the amount appropriated for salaries and expenses of the Corps of Engineers shall be reduced by $100,000 per day for each day after the required date that the report has not been submitted to the Congress. In addition, the conferees direct the Corps to provide quarterly reports to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations detailing all projects from which and to which funds were reprogrammed pursuant to the authorities provided in this Act. The report shall also include reasons for the transfer of funds. The thresholds contained in section 101 shall apply to cumulative totals on a project-by-project basis.

Further, the conferees direct that, when the Corps executes a reprogramming pursuant to the authorities of this Act, the Corps and the project sponsor shall treat each reprogramming as a one time transaction with no commitment or expectation to return funds to that project.

The conferees expect the reprogramming authorities provided in this Act will improve the fiscal management of the Corps' program. The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to adhere to the letter and spirit of these reprogramming authorities. To the extent that the Corps is unable to improve its financial planning and management systems by the adoption of these authorities, the conferees will consider further restrictions in the Corps' reprogramming authorities in the context of the fiscal year 2007 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

Continuing contracts.—The conference agreement modifies two provisions proposed by the House regarding continuing contracts. These provisions are discussed in greater detail under General Provisions.

INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement provides $164,000,000 for Investigations, instead of $100,000,000 as provided by the House and $180,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House, which incorporates by reference the projects and activities specified in the statement of managers accompanying this Act. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to planning assistance to the State of Ohio. In addition, the conference agreement includes a provision providing $8,000,000 to conduct, at full federal expense, a comprehensive hurricane protection study.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to funding for a project in Laupahoehoe Harbor, Hawaii. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement for investigations is shown in the following table:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
ALABAMA				
BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL	189	---	75	---
VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	253	---	100	---
ALASKA				
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK	---	---	---	500
ANCHORAGE HARBOR DEEPENING, AK	---	---	500	---
BARROW COASTAL STORM DAMAGE DEEPENING, AK	---	---	800	---
COFFMAN COVE, AK	---	---	600	---
DELONG MOUNTAIN HARBOR, AK	---	---	490	760
HAINES HARBOR, AK	---	---	300	---
KENAI RIVER BLUFF EROSION,AK	---	---	500	---
KNIK BRIDGE CROSSING, AK	---	---	500	---
KOTZEBUE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AK	---	---	250	---
LITTLE DIOMEDE HARBOR, AK	---	---	200	---
MCGRATH, AK	---	---	150	---
MEKORYUK HARBOR, AK	---	---	100	---
UNALAKLEET, AK	---	---	250	---
UNALASKA, AK	---	---	---	500
YAKUTAT HARBOR, AK	300	---	300	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
ARIZONA				
PIMA COUNTY, AZ	488	---	450	---
RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ	---	618	---	600
RIO SALADO OESTA, SALT RIVER, AZ	---	---	230	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, GRANT RD TO FT LOWELL RD, AZ	400	---	350	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS, AZ	---	---	---	50
VA SHLY-AY AKIMEL SALT RIVER RESTORATION, AZ	---	400	---	400
ARKANSAS				
HOT SPRINGS CREEK, AR	200	---	100	---
NORTH LITTLE ROCK, DARK HOLLOW, AR	---	---	---	100
PINE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	---	---	---	100
RED RIVER NAVIGATION STUDY, SW ARKANSAS, AR	---	---	80	70
SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS, AR	---	---	100	---
WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO	1,000	---	800	---
CALIFORNIA				
ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA	350	---	350	---
ARANA GULCH WATERSHED, CA	100	---	50	---
ARROYO SECO WATERSHED, CA	---	---	100	---
BALLONA CREEK ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	---	---	200	---
BOLINAS LAGOON, CA	---	---	150	---
CALIFORNIA COASTAL SEDIMENT MASTER PLAN, CA	600	---	600	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
CARPINTERAI SHORELINE STUDY, CA	---	---	100	---
CITY ON INGLEWOOD, CA	---	---	125	---
CITY OF NORWALK, CA	---	---	80	---
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA, CA	---	---	100	---
COAST OF CA, SOUTH COAST REGION (LA COUNTY)	---	---	100	---
CORTE MADERI CREEK WATERSHED, CA	---	---	100	---
COYOTE CREEK, CA	100	---	---	---
COYOTE DAM, CA	---	---	100	---
DESERT HOT SPRINGS, CA	---	---	200	---
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, CA	---	---	1,000	---
ESTUDILLO CANAL, CA	600	---	750	---
GRAYSON AND MURDERER'S CREEK, CA	---	---	100	---
HAMILITON CITY, CA	---	---	---	250
HUMBOLT BAY LONG TERM SHOAL MGMT, CA	---	---	125	---
LAGUNA CREEK WATERSHED	---	---	75	---
LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, CA	300	---	300	---
LLAGAS CREEK, CA	---	---	450	---
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CORNFIELDS, CA	600	---	750	---
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA	850	---	850	---
MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA	167	---	85	---
MATILIJA DAM, CA	---	800	---	800
MORRO BAY ESTUARY	---	---	---	175
MUGU LAGOON, CA	82	---	82	---
NAPA RIVER SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	---	---	---	125
NAPA VALLEY WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	500	---	---	---
OCEAN BEACH, SANFRANCISCO, CA	---	---	200	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
ORANGE COUNTY SAMP	---	---	169	---
PAJARO RIVER AT WATSONVILLE, CA	---	477	---	740
PENINSULA BEACH, CA	308	---	308	---
REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CA	---	---	100	---
RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	400	---	400	---
SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA	200	---	100	---
SACRAMENTO, SAN JOAQUIN, DELTA ISLANDS AND LEVEES, CA	---	---	250	---
SAN BERNARDO LAKES AND STREAMS, CA	---	---	250	---
SAN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CA	188	---	188	100
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK, CA	200	---	225	---
SAN JACINTO RIVER, FLOOD CONTROL, CA	---	---	50	---
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY REGION, CA	---	---	100	---
SAN JUAN CREEK, SOUTH ORANGE COUNTY, CA	---	---	250	---
SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA	300	---	475	---
SANTA ANA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, BIG BEAR LAKE, CA	900	---	1,400	---
SANTA CLARA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	---	---	250	---
SANTA ROSA CREEK ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	400	---	300	---
SOLANA-ENCINITAS SHORELINE, CA	---	---	---	375
SONOMA CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, CA	300	---	100	---
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE, CA	600	---	600	---
SUN VALLEY WATERSHED, CA	---	---	150	---
SUTTER COUNTY, CA	361	---	361	---
TAHOE BASIN, CA & NV	---	---	---	860
COYOTE CREEK - LOWER SAN GABRIEL WATERSHED, CA	500	---	350	---
UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA	628	---	628	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
VENTURA AND SANTA BARBARA, CA	---	---	100	---
WESTMINSTER, EAST GARDEN GROVE, CA	650	---	500	---
WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, ORESTIMBA CREEK, CA	---	---	100	---
WILSON AND OAK GLEN CREEKS, SAN BERNANDINO COUNTY. CA.	---	---	400	---
WHITEWATER RIVER BASIN, CA	---	---	100	---
COLORADO				
ADAMS COUNTY, CO	300	---	150	---
CACHE LA POUDRE, CO	316	---	150	---
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	276	---	135	---
FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, CO	---	---	125	---
DELAWARE				
DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, DE, NJ, NY, PA	---	---	125	---
FLORIDA				
DAYTONA BEACH SHORES, VOLUSIA COUNTY, FL	---	---	100	---
EGMONT KEY SHORELINE STABILIZATION, FL	---	---	100	---
LIDO KEY SARASOTA COUNTY, FL	---	---	125	---
MILE POINT, FL	---	---	235	---
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	---	---	175	---
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL	---	---	100	---
ST. LUCIE COUNTY, FL	---	---	100	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
ST. PETERSBURG HARBOR, FL	---	---	---	200
WALTON COUNTY, FL	---	---	200	150
GEORGIA				
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	750	---	300	---
AUGUSTA, GA	200	---	200	---
INDIAN, SUGAR, ENTRENCHMENT AND FEDERAL PRISON CREEKS,	680	---	300	---
LONG ISLAND, MARSH AND JOHNS CREEKS, GA	676	---	300	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, GA	400	---	200	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA	---	800	---	800
TYBEE ISLAND NORTH BEACH SHORE PROTECTION PROJECT, GA.	---	---	125	---
GUAM				
HAGATNA RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, GUAM	100	---	---	---
HAWAII				
ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI	400	---	500	---
BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HA	---	---	200	---
KAHUKU, HI	250	---	100	---
KAWAIHAE DEEP DRAFT HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HI	---	---	100	---
NAWILIWILI HARBOR MODIFICATION, KAUAI, HI	---	---	100	---
WAILUPE STREAM, OAHU, HI	---	---	400	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
IDAHO				
BOISE RIVER, BOISE, ID	---	---	100	---
IOWA				
CLEAR LAKE WATERSHED, IA	---	---	200	---
DAVENPORT, IA	---	---	---	200
DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA	---	---	---	250
ILLINOIS				
DES PLAINES RIVER, ILLINOIS, PHASE 2, IL	---	---	500	---
ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL	1,160	---	1,000	---
ILLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL	350	---	350	---
KEITH CREEK, ROCKFORD, IL	2	---	---	---
PEORIA RIVERFROUNT DEVELOPMENT, IL	---	---	---	100
SOUTHEAST ILLINOIS SHORELINE, IL	---	---	100	---
SOUTH FORK, SOUTH BRANCH, CHICAGO RIVER, IL	---	---	200	---
UPPER MISS & ILLINOIS NAV IMPROVEMENTS,IL,IA,MN,MO,WI	---	---	---	10,000
UPPER MISS RVR COMP PLAN, IL, IA MN, MO & WI	---	---	300	---
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	---	---	---	100
INDIANA				
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	1,000	---	300	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
KANSAS				
BRUSH CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	---	---	80	---
MANHATTAN, KS	---	---	80	---
TOPEKA, KS	100	---	100	---
UPPER TURKEY CREEK, KS	---	---	100	---
WALNUT AND WHITEWATER RIVER WATERSHEDS, KS	200	---	200	---
KENTUCKY				
GREENUP LOCKS & DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & OH	---	---	---	225
LICKING RIVER, KY	---	---	---	100
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY	130	---	130	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	132	---	130	---
NORTHERN KENTUCKY RIVERFRONT COMMONS, KY	---	---	100	---
LOUISIANA				
AMITE RIVER & TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	---	---	200	---
AMITA RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, BAYOU MANCHAC, LA	---	---	125	---
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	585	---	200	---
BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LA	---	1,500	---	1,250
BOSSIER PARISH, LA	---	---	75	---
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	---	---	200	---
CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA	612	---	300	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
CALCASIEU RIVER PASS SHIP CHANNEL ENLARGEMENT, LA.....	700	---	600	---
CROSS LAKE WATER SUPPLY ENHANCEMENT, LA.....	---	---	100	---
HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA.....	---	---	8,000	---
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYST REST, LA (SCIENCE & TEC	5,000	---	2,500	---
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA.....	15,000	---	7,500	---
PLAQUEMINES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA.....	---	---	125	---
PORT OF IBERIA.....	---	---	67	425
ST BERNARD PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA.....	656	---	318	---
ST. CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA.....	---	---	200	---
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA.....	---	---	75	---
WEST SHORE LAKE PONCHARTRAIN, LA.....	---	---	125	---
MAINE				
SEARSPORT HARBOR, ME.....	---	---	125	---
MARYLAND				
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC.....	---	---	200	---
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD &	180	---	---	---
BALTIMORE METRO WTR RES-PATAPSCO AND BACK RIVERS, MD..	---	---	200	---
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, MARYLAND COASTAL MANAGEMENT,	525	---	525	---
CHES BAY SHORELINE- SEDI BUDG, MODEL.....	---	---	450	---
EASTERN SHORE, MID CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND, MD.....	500	---	500	---
MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER GREATER SENECA/MUDDY BRANCH, MD..	---	---	250	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
MASSACHUSETTS				
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	170	---	85	---
COASTAL MASSACHUSETTS ECOSYSTEM REST, MA	---	---	40	---
BOSTON HARBOR (45-FOOT CHANNEL), MA	650	---	300	---
MICHIGAN				
DETROIT RIVER MASTERPLAN, MI	---	---	75	---
DETROIT RIVER SEAWALL IMPROVEMENTS, MI	---	---	100	---
GREAT LAKES NAV SYST STUDY, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA	315	---	1,285	---
ROUGE RIVER SUPPLEMENTAL STUDY, MI	---	---	90	---
MINNESOTA				
MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED UMR LAKE ITASCA TO L&D 2, MN	---	---	75	---
MINNESOTA RIVER BASIN, MN & SD	---	---	180	---
RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, MN, ND, SD,& MANITOBA CN	---	---	160	---
ROSEAU RIVER, MN	---	---	44	75
WILD RICE RIVER, MN	---	---	100	---
MISSISSIPPI				
HANCOCK COUNTY SEAWALL RESTORATION, MS	308	---	308	---
PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS	---	---	650	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
MISSOURI				
KANSAS CITYS, MO & KS	500	---	500	---
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	350	---	175	---
RIVER DES PERES, MO	---	---	100	---
SPRINGFIELD, MO	250	---	375	---
ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	---	609	---	305
ST LOUIS MISSISSIPPI RIVERFRONT, MO & IL	150	---	75	---
ST LOUIS, MO (WATERSHED)	400	---	---	---
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	---	---	---	100
WEARS CREEK, JEFFERSON CITY, MO	150	---	75	---
MONTANA				
YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT	800	---	400	---
NEBRASKA				
LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	131	---	60	---
NEVADA				
TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING, NV & CA	---	---	500	---
TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	---	---	---	3,500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
NEW HAMPSHIRE				
MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, NH & MA	200	---	200	---
PISCATAQUA RIVER & PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, NH	---	---	25	---
NEW JERSEY				
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ	300	---	550	---
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ	400	---	550	---
LOWER SADDLE RIVER, BERGON COUNTY, NJ	---	---	125	---
MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	---	---	---	300
NJ INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY ENV. RESTORATION, NJ	---	---	75	---
NJ SHORELINE ALTERNATIVE LONG-TERM NOURISHMENT, NJ	---	---	75	---
NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HEREFORD TO CAPE MAY INLE	400	---	400	---
PASSAIC RIVER, HARRISON, NJ	---	---	---	150
PECKMAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	---	---	150	---
RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NJ	---	---	80	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ	---	---	100	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, KEYPORT, NJ	---	---	100	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ	100	---	100	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ	---	---	---	60
SHREWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ	---	---	60	---
SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	---	---	---	140
STONY BROOK, MILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ	---	---	125	---
UPPER ROCKWAY RIVER, NJ	---	---	---	125

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
NEW MEXICO				
EAST MESA, LAS CAUCES, NM	---	---	400	---
ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM	250	---	500	---
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE BOSQUE, NM	250	---	250	---
NAVAJO NATION, FLOOD PLAIN DELINEATION, NM, AZ AND UT	---	---	500	---
RIO GRANDE BASIN, NM, CO, & TX	---	---	250	---
SANTA FE, NM	---	---	250	---
SOUTHWEST VALLEY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, ALBUQUERQUE,	---	---	---	500
NEW YORK				
BRONX RIVER BASIN, NY	250	---	375	---
BUFFALO RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, NY	200	---	---	---
EAST RIVERS SEAWALLS, NY	---	---	80	---
EIGHTEEN MILE CREEK, NIAGARA COUNTY, NY	---	---	60	---
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	---	---	---	85
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, GOWANUS CANAL, NY	400	---	500	---
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ	800	---	800	---
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, ASHAROKEN, NY	30	---	30	---
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	200	---	750	---
NORTH CAROLINA				
BOGUE BANKS, NC	---	---	75	---
CURRITUCK SOUND, NC	300	---	150	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC	260	---	130	---
SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NC	---	---	175	---
OHIO				
COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH	53	---	53	---
OHIO RIVERFROUNT, CINCINNATI, OH	---	---	---	250
WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OH, IN, & MI	560	---	500	---
OKLAHOMA				
GRAND LAKE COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, OK	---	---	200	---
GRAND (NEOSHO) RIVER BASIN, OK, KS, MO & AR	---	---	150	---
OOLOGAH LAKE WATERSHED, OK & KS	328	---	250	---
SE OKLAHOMA STUDY, OK	---	---	40	---
SPAVINAW CREEK WATERSHED, OK & AR	---	---	60	---
WASHITA RIVER BASIN, OK	---	---	50	---
WISTER LAKE WATERSHED, OK	---	---	50	---
OREGON				
AMAZON CREEK, OR	264	---	250	---
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA	300	---	150	---
WALLA WALLA RIVER WATERSHED, OR & WA	500	---	300	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW,OR	---	---	50	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR	325	---	160	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR	436	---	400	---
PENNSYLVANIA				
CHRISTINA RIVER WATERSHED, PA, DE, & MD	---	---	150	---
MAHONING RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, PA	250	---	125	---
SCHUYLKILL RIVER BASIN ESTUARINE, PA	250	---	50	---
SCHUYLKILL RIVER BASIN, WISSAHICKON CREEK BASIN, PA	200	---	50	---
SUSQUEHANNA AND DELAWARE RIVER BASINS, PA	---	---	85	---
UPPER OHIO NAVIGATION STUDY, PA	---	---	1,275	---
SOUTH CAROLINA				
EDISTO ISLAND, SC	100	---	23	---
PAWLEYS ISLAND,SC	---	---	---	154
REEDY RIVER, SC	300	---	---	---
SANTEE DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION,SC	---	---	50	---
SOUTH DAKOTA				
JAMES RIVER, SD & ND	---	---	300	---
TENNESSEE				
MILL CREEK WATERSHED, DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN	450	---	225	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
TEXAS				
ABILENE, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN)	---	---	100	---
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, BROWNSVILLE CHANNEL, TX	2,500	---	775	---
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX	---	---	50	---
CEDAR BAYOU, TX	---	---	50	---
COLONIAS-LWR RIO GRANDE BASIN ALONG TEX-MEX BORDERS	---	---	50	---
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	---	---	---	300
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	500	---	500	---
GIWW, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX	---	---	50	---
GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX (REALIGNMENTS)	---	---	50	---
GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX	---	500	---	400
GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPS CHRISTIE BAY, TX	---	---	325	---
GIWW, VICINITY OF PORT ISABEL, TX	---	---	350	---
GREENS BAYOU, TX	---	---	---	75
GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASINS, TX	300	---	500	---
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, TX	300	---	600	---
LOWER GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVERS, TX	---	---	50	---
LOWER SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASIN, TX	---	---	150	---
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	---	---	100	---
MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER, TX	300	---	300	---
NECHES RIVER BASIN, TX	500	---	---	---
NUECES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	500	---	500	---
RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX	---	---	---	300
RESACAS AT BROWNSVILLE, TX	150	---	75	---
RIO GRANDE BASIN, TX	50	---	150	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
RIO GRANDE COMPREHENSIVE (ROMA CREEK), TX	---	---	100	---
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	419	---	610	---
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX	788	---	750	---
SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX	198	---	198	---
TEXAS CITY CHANNEL (50-FOOT PROJECT), TX	---	900	---	900
UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	700	---	800	---
VIRGINIA				
AIWW BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA	---	---	---	50
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE EROSION, MATHEWS COUNTY, VA	40	---	40	---
DISMAL SWAMP AND DISMAL SWAMP CANAL, VA	150	---	150	---
ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, ENV RESTORATION, VA (PHASE II)	200	---	100	---
ELIZABETH RIVER, HAMPTON ROADS, VA	---	500	---	250
FOUR MILE RUN RESTORATION, VA	---	---	400	---
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (SECTION 216)	600	---	300	---
LYNNHAVEN RIVER BASIN, VA	400	---	300	---
MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN, CAMERON/ HOLMES RUN, VA	---	---	400	---
NEW RIVER BASIN, CLAYTOR LAKE STATE PARK, VA	200	---	100	---
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA	---	---	100	---
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	---	---	100	---
POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA	400	---	200	---
VICINITY OF WILLOUGHBY SPIT, VA	---	---	---	200

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
WASHINGTON				
CENTRALIA, WA	---	---	---	25
CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA	340	---	50	---
ELLIOT BAY SAWALL, WA	---	---	750	---
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	470	---	235	---
PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA	470	---	750	---
SKAGIT RIVER,WA	---	---	300	---
SKOKOMISH RIVER, WA	---	---	100	---
WEST VIRGINIA				
CHERRY RIVER BASIN, WV	---	---	100	---
LITTLE KANAWHA RIVER, WV	110	---	110	---
PARKERSBURG/VIENNA RIVERFRONT PARK, WV	---	---	---	200
WISCONSIN				
BARABOO RIVER, WI	---	---	60	---
FOX RIVER, WI	---	---	100	---
ST. CROIX RIVER, WI	---	---	120	---
ST. CROIX RIVER RELOCATION OF ENDANGERED MUSSELS, WI	---	---	250	---
MISCELLANEOUS				
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION	1,875	---	4,125	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES	94	---	94	---
FLOOD DAMAGE DATA	248	---	248	---
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES	5,625	---	6,407	---
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES	300	---	300	---
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES	300	---	300	---
NATIONAL SHORELINE	375	---	375	---
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS	3,899	---	---	---
AMERICAN HERITAGE RIVERS	---	---	150	---
CALFED	---	---	94	---
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM	---	---	75	---
COORDINATION WITH OTHER WATER RESOURCES AGENCIES	---	---	246	---
FERC LICENSING	---	---	150	---
GULF OF MEXICO	---	---	131	---
INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	---	---	113	---
INTERAGENCY WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	---	---	750	---
INVENTORY OF DAMS	---	---	222	---
LAKE TAHOE	---	---	294	---
NATIONAL ESTUARY PROGRAM	---	---	75	---
NORTH AMERICAN WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT PLAN	---	---	75	---
PACIFIC NORTHWEST FOREST CASE	---	---	75	---
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS AND REPORTS	---	---	1,548	---
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	4,650	---	5,727	---
PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)	225	---	225	---
REMOTE SENSING / GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT	152	---	152	---
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	22,000	---	26,583	---
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS	78	---	78	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - INVESTIGATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)	600	---	600	---
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	375	---	375	---
TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER	402	---	402	---
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-20,911	---	---	---
SUBTOTAL, INVESTIGATIONS	87,896	7,104	135,251	28,749
COMBINED TOTAL, INVESTIGATIONS	95,000	---	164,000	---

Matilija Dam, California.—The Secretary shall credit the non-Federal share of the cost of the Matilija Dam ecosystem project the cost of design and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest before the date of execution of a cooperation agreement for the project.

San Joaquin Valley Region, California.—The conferees have provided funding for studies of the San Joaquin Valley region in California (consisting of Stanislaus, Madera, Merced, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern Counties).

Whitewater River Basin, California.—The conference agreement includes $100,000 to continue the design phase of the project.

Minnesota River Basin, Minnesota and South Dakota.—Within the funds provided for Minnesota River Basin, Minnesota and South Dakota, $80,000 has been provided for Blue Earth River ecosystem restoration in Minnesota, South Dakota, Iowa and North Dakota.

Louisiana Coastal Area, Louisiana.—For Louisiana coastal area ecosystem restoration area, the conferees have provided a total of $10,000,000 to further studies in mitigating wetlands loss in coastal Louisiana.

Great Lakes Navigation Study, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA and WI.—The conferees have included $1,285,000 for continued work on the Great Lakes Navigation Study, the scope of which is to be in accordance with the bi-national agreement between the United States and Canada. The conferees understand that the study is near completion and encourage the study sponsors and the Corps to move forward as swiftly as is practicable without compromising the scope or quality of the work. With the funds provided for fiscal year 2006, the conferees expect that the Secretary, acting through the Corps of Engineers, will be able to budget for completion in fiscal year 2007.

Red River of the North Basin, Minnesota, North and South Dakota.—Within the funds provided for Red River of the North Basin, Minnesota and North and South Dakota, $60,000 has been provided for Crookston.

Truckee Meadows, Nevada.—Funds are provided to continue planning, engineering and design activities for this flood control project. The conferees expect the Corps to complete the necessary studies as soon as practicable.

Edisto, South Carolina.—The conference agreement includes funds to complete the reconnaissance phase of the project.

Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Craney Island, Virginia.—Funds are provided to complete the feasibility study for this project.

Little Kanawha, West Virginia.—The conference agreement includes funds to complete the feasibility study for this project.

Coastal field data collection.—The conference agreement provides $4,125,000 for coastal field data collection. Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to undertake the following activities with the amounts allocated below:

Coastal Data Information Program	$500,000
Southern California Beach Processes Study	650,000
Pacific Island Land Typhoon Experiment (PILOT)	650,000
Surge and Wave Island Modeling Studies (SWIMS)	750,000

Remaining items, flood plain management services.—The conference agreement includes $6,407,000 for flood plain management services, instead of $5,625,000 as proposed by the House and $8,935,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to undertake the following activities with the amounts allocated below:

Hurricane evacuation studies, HI	$500,000
Livingston Parish, LA geographic information system	625,000
Rancocas Creek, NJ	200,000
Jackson, TN geographic information system	250,000

Remaining items, planning assistance to states.—The conference agreement provides $5,727,000 for planning assistance to states, instead of $4,650,000 as proposed by the House and $7,550,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to undertake the following activities with the amounts allocated below:

Assabet River sediment remediation study, MA	$300,000
Bartlesville, Oklahoma water study	100,000
Lake Rogers, Creedmoor, North Carolina water quality study	30,000
Pike River, Wisconsin hydraulic and hydrological study	20,000
La Mirada, California flood control and drainage study	125,000
Memphis, Tennessee riverfront development	200,000
Lafayette Wabash River waterfront development, IN	50,000
Delaware recreation supply and demand study	75,000
Delaware groundwater investigation	75,000
Hilo Bay, Hawaii water quality model	125,000
Rock Creek, Kansas basin stormwater project	200,000
New Mexico photogrammetric mapping	500,000
Mangum, OK Lake Phase V study	50,000
Waccamaw River, SC watershed modeling	25,000
Surfside Beach, SC stormwater drainage study	25,000
Stark County, OH watershed drainage basin	1,000,000

New Mexico photogrammetric mapping.—The conferees have provided $500,000 for New Mexico photographic mapping to be conducted utilizing the Corps' Center of Expertise for Photogrammetric Mapping in St. Louis, Missouri.

Remaining items, research and development.—The conference agreement includes $26,583,000 for research and development activities, instead of $19,643,000 as proposed by the House and $34,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to undertake the following activities with the amounts allocated below:

Chesapeake Bay submerged aquatic vegetation research	$500,000
National Cooperative Modeling Demonstration Program	500,000
Innovative technology demonstrations for urban flooding and channel restoration, New Mexico and Nevada	1,750,000
Southwest Urban Flood Damage Program Research, New Mexico	375,000
Collaborative Planning and Management Demonstration Program	375,000
Advanced polymer technologies compliance activities	500,000

The conferees further direct the Corps to begin pilot testing of rapid deployment flood walls, within available funds, not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act.

CONSTRUCTION

The conference agreement provides $2,372,000,000 for Construction, instead of $1,900,000,000 as proposed by the House and $2,086,664,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate that derives amounts to cover one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of certain inland waterways projects from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund. The House bill contained a provision that specified the amount to be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House, which would have incorporated by reference the projects and activities specified in the statement of managers accompanying this Act. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement modifies several provisions proposed by the House that set aside specific funds for the various sections of the continuing authorities program. The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The conference agreement modifies several provisions relating to specific projects as proposed in the Senate bill. The House bill contained no similar provisions.

The conference agreement includes an appropriation of $35,000,000 for Modified Water Delivery for the Everglades National Park. The House bill contained an appropriation of $137,000,000 for the South Florida Ecosystem Everglades Restoration Program, which included several other projects and Modified Water Delivery. The Senate bill contained no similar appropriation. Funding for the Central and South Florida project, the Kissimmee River Restoration project, and the Everglades and South Florida Restoration project is provided as separate projects.

The conference agreement includes a provision providing funds to the City of Caliente, Nevada, to construct local flood control measures.

The conference agreement for construction is shown in the following table:

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	---	2,000
TUSCALOOSA, AL	---	4,000
WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,121	4,121
ALASKA		
ALASKA COASTAL EROSION	---	2,400
BETHEL BANK STABILIZATION	---	3,750
CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK	2,000	2,000
DILLINGHAM EMERGENCY BANK, AK	---	3,000
FALSE PASS, AK	---	7,000
KAKE DAM, AK	---	5,000
NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK	---	13,000
SAND POINT HARBOR, AK	---	4,500
ST. PAUL HARBOR, AK	---	6,000
UNALASKA HARBOR, AK	---	1,000
ARIZONA		
NOGALES WASH, AZ	---	3,000
RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ	---	3,500
RIO SALADO PHOENIX AND TEMPE REACHES, AZ	---	8,000
TRES RIOS, AZ	---	4,500
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA (TUCSON ARROYO), AZ	---	10,000
ARKANSAS		
MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR	20,000	20,000
RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA AND TX	---	3,000
RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK STABILIZATION, AR AND LA	---	3,200
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA	1,110	4,405
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS),CA	15,850	9,555
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MINI RAISE), CA	12,000	15,000
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA (PERCHLORATE), CA	---	500
CORTE MADERA CREEK (FLOOD CONTROL), CA	---	188
COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEK, CA	---	375
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	5,600	5,600
HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	13,000	13,000
HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, LOS ANGELES	---	3,000
KAWEAH RIVER, CA	4,300	4,300
LAKE DAVIS WATER TREATMENT, CA	---	2,500
LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA	2,700	2,700
LOWER WALNUT CREEK BASIN STUDY, CA	---	188
MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTON, CA	---	372
MURRIETA CREEK, CA	---	3,750
NAPA RIVER, CA	6,000	12,000
OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA	48,000	48,000
SACRAMENTO AREA, CA	---	6,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION, CA	---	6,300
SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON (JFB), CA	---	200
SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA	---	750
SAN LUIS REY, CA	---	1,000
SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER PROJECT, CA	---	3,000
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	50,000	61,650
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	2,852	3,750
STOCKTON METROPOLITIAN FLOOD CONTROL REIMBURSEMENT, CA	5,000	5,000
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY)	8,000	8,000
SURFSIDE-SUNSET AND NEWPORT BEACHES, CA	---	300
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	---	3,500
UPPER NEWPORT BAY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	---	5,000
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	---	1,200

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE TO PT MANON, DE	---	1,000
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, BETHANY TO SOUTH BETHANY, DE	---	3,000
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	---	320
DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICH ISLAND, DE	---	1,275
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
WASHINGTON, DC & VICINITY	400	---
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION, FL	---	500
BROWARD COUNTY (REIMB), FL	---	750
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	---	1,500
CEDAR HAMMOCK/WARES CREEK, FL	---	750
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA (C&SF), FL	76,826	76,826
DADE COUNTY, FL	---	1,350
EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	12,000	12,000
FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS, FL	---	2,000
FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL	---	150
HERBERT HOOVER DIKE, FL (MAJOR REHAB)	16,900	16,900
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	---	375
KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	13,174	13,174
LEE COUNTY, FL	---	750
NASSAU COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION, FL	---	2,250
PALM BEACH COUNTY REIMBURSEMENT, FL	---	2,450
PINELLAS COUNTY BEACHES, FL	---	1,500
PONCE DE LEON INLET, SOUTH JETTY, FL	---	1,313
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	---	375
MOD WATER, FL	35,000	35,000
ST. LUCIE INLET, FL	---	1,500
TAMPA HARBOR BIG BEND, FL	5,000	5,000
TAMPA HARBOR SUTTON CHANNEL, FL	---	1,000
GEORGIA		
ATLANTA - COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, GA	---	1,000
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	---	19,100
BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB)	5,812	5,812
HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	733	733
OATES CREEK, RICHMOND COUNTY, GA	---	375
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	1,300	1,300
THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	5,700	5,700
HAWAII		
HAWAII WATER SYSTEMS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, HI	---	1,500
IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI	---	375
KAUMALAPAU HARBOR, LANAI, HI	---	13,000
KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI	3,550	3,550
IDAHO		
RURAL IDAHO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, ID	---	5,000
ILLINOIS		
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	5,495	5,495
CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL	20,000	20,000
COOK COUNTY, ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, IL	---	375
DES PLAINES, IL	---	3,750
EAST ST LOUIS, IL	760	1,000
EAST ST. LOUIS ECOSYSTEM RESTOR. & FLOOD DAMAGE REDUC.	---	300
GREAT LAKES FISHERY & ECOSYS RESTOR. PGM, IL, IN, MI	---	375

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
LOCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	4,300	4,300
MADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES, ENVIRON. INFRASTRUC...	---	750
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL.....	---	27,500
MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL.....	---	563
NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL.....	---	200
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY.....	90,000	90,000
UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION, IL, IA, MN, MO &.	33,500	20,000
WOOD RIVER DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL.....	---	590
INDIANA		
CALUMET REGION ENVIRONMENT INFRASTRUCTURE, IL.....	---	3,000
INDIANA HARBOR (CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY), IN.....	8,000	8,000
INDIANA SHORELINE, IN.....	---	275
INDIANAPOLIS ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING, IL	---	275
INDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN.....	3,200	3,200
JOHN T. MYERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN AND KY.....	---	700
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN.....	---	6,500
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN (CADY MARSH DITCH).....	---	8,200
MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB).....	4,481	4,481
OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, (CORRIDOR PROJECT).	---	2,000
IOWA		
DES MOINES RECREATIONAL RIVER AND GREENBELT.....	---	5,000
LOCK AND DAM 11, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)..	7,580	7,580
LOCK AND DAM 19, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)..	17,502	17,502
MISSOURI R FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION,IA,KS,MO,MT,NE	82,800	54,470
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS AND MO.....	---	563
PERRY CREEK, IA.....	10,000	10,000
KANSAS		
ARKANSAS CITY, KS.....	2,619	2,619
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS AND MO.....	---	3,000
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS (DAM SAFETY).....	27,000	27,000
KENTUCKY		
KENTUCKY LOCK & DAM 10, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY.....	---	23,000
MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & IN.....	70,000	70,000
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY.....	3,670	3,670
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE).....	2,500	2,500
SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY.....	---	1,500
LOUISIANA		
ASCENSION PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA.....	---	375
COMITE RIVER, LA.....	6,254	6,254
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, (FLOOD CONTROL), LA.....	---	750
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE).....	---	375
GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA.....	---	675
IBERIA PARISH, LA (ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE).....	---	375
INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA.....	---	11,250
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA.....	1,500	13,000
LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	2,977	4,000
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)....	---	750
LIVINGSTON PARISH, LA (ENVIR. INFRA.).....	---	375
MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, LA.....	---	172
NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION).....	---	2,700
OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, LA.....	---	750
SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA.....	10,491	27,000
WEST BANK AND VICINITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA.....	28,000	28,000
MARYLAND		

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ASSATEAGUE ISLAND (SHORE PROTECTION), MD	---	765
ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	---	4,900
BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN WATER RES. (GWYNN FALLS), MD	---	2,000
CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIR. RESTOR. & PROTECTION PGM, MD	---	2,000
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD AND VA	---	2,250
CUMBERLAND, MD	---	900
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV (DAM SAFETY)	400	400
POPLAR ISLAND, MD	13,400	13,400
MASSACHUSETTS		
MUDDY RIVER, BOSTON & BROOKLINE, MA	---	1,500
MICHIGAN		
GENESSEE COUNTY, MI (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE)	---	338
GEORGE W. KUHN DRAIN RETENTION FAC., OAKLAND COUNTY	---	50
NEGAUNEE, MI (ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE)	---	200
SAULT ST. MARIE REPLACEMENT LOCK, MI	---	1,500
MINNESOTA		
BRECKENRIDGE, MN	---	1,125
LOCK AND DAM 3 NAV. SAFETY AND EMBANKMENT, MN	---	1,500
MILLE LACS REGIONAL SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT, MN	---	1,125
NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA, MN	---	3,750
MISSISSIPPI		
COASTAL MISSISSIPPI WETLANDS RESTORATION	---	2,500
DESOTO COUNTY WASTEWATER, MS	---	20,000
GULPORT HARBOR, MS (DEEPENING/WIDENING OF SHIP CHNL)	---	1,200
MISSISSIPPI ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SEC 592)	---	25,000
NATCHEZ, MS	---	250
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	---	3,500
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	---	4,000
BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	5,000	5,000
BOIS BRULE LEVEE AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT, MO	---	1,810
CAPE GIRARDEAU, MO (CAPE GIRARDEAU FLOODWALL)	---	300
CHESTERFIELD, MO	---	900
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO (MAJOR REHAB)	22,000	22,000
MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO	7,582	7,582
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	4,000	4,000
MO AND MID-MS RIVERS ENHANCE, MO	---	1,313
STE GENEVIEVE LEVEE (STE GENEVIEVE CO), MO	---	550
MONTANA		
RURAL MONTATA	---	5,000
NEBRASKA		
ANTELOPE CREEK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, LINCOLN, NE	---	2,215
MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATION RIVER, NE AND SD	---	486
SAND CREEK ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROJECT, NE	---	2,500
WESTERN SARPY, CLEAR CREEK, NE	---	1,500
NEVADA		
CALIENTE, NV	---	2,000
RURAL NEVADA, NV	---	20,000
TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, NV AND CA	---	3,600
TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	13,000	17,000

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
OTTER BROOK DAM, NH (DAM SAFETY)	1,430	1,430
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	5,000
CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ	1,900	1,900
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ, REEDS BEACH TO PIERCE	---	825
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE AND NJ VILLAS AND VICINITY.	---	1,838
DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA AND DE (DEEPENING)	---	2,250
GREAT EGG HARBOR TO PECK BEACH, NJ	---	450
HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ	---	1,500
JOSEPH G. MINISH PASSAIC RIVER WATERFRONT PARK, NJ	---	2,250
LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NJ	7,000	7,000
MOLLY ANN BROOK	---	3,000
PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION ON NATURAL STORAGE AREAS,NJ	---	3,000
RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ	---	1,313
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ	---	188
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MONMOUTH, NJ	---	1,500
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	---	5,000
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	---	3,000
TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	11,600	11,600
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM	1,800	2,325
ALAMOGORDO, NM	4,200	4,200
CENTRAL NEW MEXICO, NM	---	5,000
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE	---	600
NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, NM (SEC 595).	---	5,000
RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE	---	700
NEW YORK		
ATLANTIC COAST OF LONG ISLAND, LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY	---	150
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	800	1,875
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	101,000	101,000
NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY	---	750
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	---	3,500
ORCHARD BEACH, NY	---	225
RAMAPO AND MAHWAH RIVERS, NJ	---	188
NORTH CAROLINA		
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	---	225
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC (BODIE ISLAND)	---	1,875
ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE	---	1,200
WEST ONSLOW BEACH, NC	---	600
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	19,900	19,900
WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC	890	890
NORTH DAKOTA		
BUFORD TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION,ND	---	1,125
GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB)	3,582	3,582
GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN	40,000	40,000
MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, ND	---	188
SHEYENNE RIVER, ND	550	550
OHIO		
METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH	1,650	1,650
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH	---	13,000

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
OKLAHOMA		
CANTON LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	6,000	6,000
ELM FORK, RED RIVER, OK (CHLORIDE CONTROL)	---	375
LAWTON WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE REHABILITATION, OK	---	38
TAR CREEK, OK	---	3,750
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	5,200	5,200
OREGON		
BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB)	5,000	5,000
COLUMBIA RIVER CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS, OR & WA	15,000	15,000
COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	4,000	4,000
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	300	300
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR	---	2,000
WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR	1,000	1,000
PENNSYLVANIA		
EMSWORTH LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, PA (MAJOR REHAB)	15,000	15,000
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	50,800	50,800
NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, PA	---	1,950
PRESQUE ISLE, PA	---	465
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	8,480	8,480
SAWMILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA	---	750
SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL STRUCTURE, PA	---	9,000
SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA WATERWAYS RESTORATION,PA	---	600
THREE RIVERS WET WEATHER DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, PA	---	750
WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	10,496	10,496
PUERTO RICO		
ARECIBO RIVER, PR	3,800	4,000
PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	14,000	14,000
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	20,000	20,000
RHODE ISLAND		
FOX POINT HURRICANE BARRIER, RI	---	525
SOUTH CAROLINA		
FOLLY BEACH, SC	---	60
LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SC	---	6,000
MYRTLE BEACH, SC	---	75
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS,SD	---	1,500
CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD	---	3,750
TENNESSEE		
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN	---	10,000
TEXAS		
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	11,800	11,800
CLEAR CREEK, TX	---	1,125
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION (DFE), TX	---	11,250
FORT WORTH, TX	---	7,000
GRAHAM CREEK, TX	---	750
HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX	24,800	26,000
HUNTING BAYOU (HOUSTON), TX	---	375
JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX	500	375
NORTH PADRE ISLAND, PACKERY CHANNEL, TX	---	4,079

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL PROJECT, WICHITA RIVE	---	1,125
SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX	---	2,730
SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	18,000	18,000
WHITNEY LAKE POWERHOUSE, TX (MAJOR REHABILITATION)	---	3,413
UTAH		
RURAL UTAH, UT	---	10,000
VERMONT		
LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VT AND NY	---	1,500
VIRGINIA		
RICHMOND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW (CSO)	---	750
EMBREY DAM, RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, VA	---	1,500
JAMES RIVER, VA	---	975
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (MAJOR REHAB)	14,000	14,000
LAKE MERRIWEATHER, LITTLE CALFPASTURE RIVER (GOSEN),VA	---	3,000
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	---	3,221
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	5,000	5,000
SANDBRIDGE, VA	---	3,000
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	4,000	8,546
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM GAS ABATEMENT, WA	---	8,000
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	102,000	85,000
DUNWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA (ECOSYSTEM RECONST	---	1,875
HOWARD HANSON DAM ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, WA	14,100	14,100
LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	900	675
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	360	495
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (DAM SAFETY)	4,400	4,400
PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RESTORATION, WA	---	1,500
SHOALWATER BAY SHORELINE EROSION, WA	---	1,500
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY)	21,500	21,500
CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, WV	---	563
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV (MARLINTON)	---	2,000
ISLAND CREEK AT LOGAN, WV	---	305
LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	---	31,100
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV	---	1,250
MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WV	68,830	73,500
ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH	914	914
SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE	---	750
WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA	---	750
WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV	2,400	2,400
WISCONSIN		
NOTHERN WISCONSIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, WI	---	8,000
MISCELLANEOUS		
ABANDON MINE RESTORATION	---	1,000
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206)	15,000	30,000
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM	3,000	4,000
BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL(SEC 204,SEC 207,SE	3,000	5,000
DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM	11,000	15,000
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM	12,000	8,800
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION (SECTION	4,000	15,000
EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION	21,000	21,000
ESTUARY RESTORATION PROGRAM (PL 106-457)	5,000	1,000

CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205)	13,000	40,000
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE	40	40
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE	170	170
MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGES (SECTION 111)	1,500	500
NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107)	---	12,000
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME	15,000	30,000
SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATIO	---	2,850
SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS (SECTION 103)	500	7,000
SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECTS (SECTION 208)	400	300
SUSPENSION FUND	80,000	---
TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP	---	600
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-81,441	---
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	1,637,000	2,372,000

American River watershed.—The conference agreement includes a total of $28,960,000 for American River watershed projects. These funds are to be available as follows:

Common features	$4,405,000
Folsom Dam modifications	9,555,000
(Permanent dam below Folsom Dam)	(10,000,000)
Folsom Dam mini-raise	15,000,000

Santa Ana River mainstem, California.—A total of $61,650,000 is provided for the Santa Ana River mainstem in California. Funds are to be distributed as delineated in the House report.

Central and South Florida.—Within the funds provided, work should continue on the Upper St. Johns River project.

Rural Idaho environmental infrastructure, Idaho.—The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 for rural Idaho environmental infrastructure. Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to give consideration to projects at Emmett, Burley, Rupert, Bonners Ferry, Donnelly, Eastern Idaho Regional Water Authority, Driggs and Smelterville. Other communities that meet the program criteria may be considered as funding allows.

Olmstead Locks and Dam, Ohio River, Illinois and Kentucky.—Neither funds provided for Olmstead Locks and Dam project nor funds available within this account are available to reimburse the Claims and Judgment Fund.

Upper Mississippi River restoration, IL, IA, MN, MO and WI.—The conference agreement includes $20,000,000 for Upper Mississippi River restoration, which shall be available only to continue ongoing projects and shall not be available to initiate any new projects.

Missouri fish and wildlife mitigation, IA, KS, MO, MT, NE, ND, and SD.—The conference agreement includes funds for only those specifically authorized Missouri fish and wildlife and mitigation activities, namely along the lower Missouri River. The conferees agree that the Administration should submit a legislative proposal for habitat recovery for the upper reaches of the river for consideration by the appropriate authorizing committees before funds are expended on these additional mitigation activities.

Louisville Waterfront Park, Kentucky.—The Corps of Engineers is directed to use funds appropriated in Public Law 107–66, Public Law 108–7 and Public law 108–137, to continue with design and construction of Phase II of the Louisville Waterfront Park, specifically the Big Four Bridge and Spiral.

J. Bennett Johnston Waterway, Louisiana.—The Conferees have provided $13,000,000 for navigation channel refinement features, land purchases and development for mitigation of project impacts, and construction of project recreation features and appurtenant features.

Chesapeake Bay environmental program, MD, PA, and VA.—Within the funds provided, $273,000 is included to continue the environmental studies concerning non-native oysters.

Rural Montana.—Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to give consideration to the projects at Livingston, Missoula (Grant Creek), Meagher County, Stevensville, Helena, Wisdom, Bigfork, Sheridan, Butte and Drummond. Other communities that meet the program criteria should be considered as funding allows.

Fire Island Inlet to Montauk Point, New York.—The conference agreement includes $1,075,000 for the reformulation study.

New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey.—Within the funds provided for New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey, the conferees direct the Corps to use up to $2,000,000 to plan for and enter into an agreement with a state or non-Federal sponsor to develop a dredged material processing facility that would accomplish the objectives of reducing the cost of dredged material management in the port, preparing dredged material for beneficial uses, and implementing innovative dredged material management technologies.

Rural Nevada.—Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to give consideration to projects of Douglas County, Battle Mountain, North Lemmon Valley, Spanish Valley Phase II, Huffaker Hills Water Conservation, Lawton-Verdi, Boulder City, Lyon County, Gerlach, Searchlight, Incline Village, Esmeralda County, Churchill County, West Wendover, Yearlington, Virgin Valley Water District, Lovelock, Lander County, Round Hill Phase II and Carson City. Other communities that meet the program criteria should be considered as funding allows.

Tropicana and Flamingo Washes, Nevada.—Within the funds provided, $3,000,000 is provided for work performed in accordance with Section 211 of Public Law 104–303.

Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina.—Funds are provided for beach restoration efforts resulting from natural erosion and navigation activities.

Ohio environmental infrastructure.—The bill provides $13,000,000 for Ohio environmental infrastructure for fiscal year 2006. These funds, together with $3,849,000 from Clark County (Ohio) and Lower Mad River Valley Sewer Infrastructure and Storm Water Management projects remaining unobligated from fiscal year 2004, shall be distributed as follows:

Benton Ridge wastewater treatment	$500,000
Brookfield Center South sanitary sewer	250,000
Cambridge sewer system east of I–77	425,000
Cuyahoga River environmental restoration	500,000
Elyria water treatment plant	200,000
Franklin County, Village of New Albany environmental restoration	1,000,000
Fulton County Elmira/Burlington wastewater collection and treatment	300,000
Gallia County water and sewer	300,000
Higginsport sanitary sewer	750,000
Lake County Madison Township Chapel Road Interceptor sewer	505,000
Licking County, Village of Hanover wastewater collection	325,000
Marysville water treatment facility upgrades	1,000,000
Norwalk wastewater treatment plant	300,000
Rushsylvania wastewater treatment	500,000
Springfield Hospital water and sewer project	3,025,000
Springfield Nextedge Technology Park water and sewer	750,000
Southern Franklin County and Northern Pickaway County sewer line expansion project	1,000,000
Toledo wastewater treatment plant	250,000
Trotwood storm drain and stream relocation	750,000
University of Dayton, Brown and Stewart Streets water and sewer	1,000,000
Village of Ottawa regional waterline	300,000
Yellow Springs McGregor Center for Business and Education Park, water and sewer	450,000
Parma water and sewer project	150,000
Springfield AirPark water project	1,500,000
Clark County Park I–675 water and sewer project	324,000
Summit County, City of Hudson, Seasons Road sanitary sewer pump station	495,000

Southeastern Pennsylvania infrastructure program, Pennsylvania.—Within the funds provided for Southeastern Pennsylvania infrastructure program, the conferees have provided $300,000 for Cobbs Creek Park and $300,000 for Tacony Creek.

Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux, South Dakota.—Within the funds provided, the conferees direct that not more than $1,000,000 shall be provided for administrative expenses, and that the Corps is to distribute the remaining funds as directed by Title IV of the Water Resources Act of 1999 to the State of South Dakota, the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe.

Columbia River fish mitigation, WA, OR and ID.—The conferees have chosen not to combine the various, separately authorized components of the project into a single line item and believe it is prudent to maintain visibility and transparency in the various project elements throughout budget execution.

Mt. St. Helens, Washington.—Additional funds have been provided to initiate a general reevaluation report to determine if ecosystem restoration actions are prudent in the Cowlitz and Toutle watersheds for species that have been listed as being of economic importance and threatened or endangered.

Mud Mountain, Washington.—Out of the funds provided, the Corps is directed to use up to $600,000 to study fish passage.

Levisa and Tug Forks and Upper Cumberland River, WV, VA and KY.—The conference agreement includes $31,100,000 for Levisa and Tug Forks and Upper Cumberland River, WV, VA and KY. Within the amounts provided, $16,000,000 shall be for elements of the project in the Commonwealth of Kentucky, $5,600,000 shall be for elements within the State of West Virginia and $9,500,000 shall be for Virginia elements.

Robert C. Byrd Locks and Dam, Ohio River, West Virginia and Ohio.—The conference agreement includes funds to continue Jenkins preservation and contract management but excludes funds for planning, engineering and design.

Aquatic Plant Control Program.—The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for this program. Within the funds provided, the conferees have provided $100,000 for Lake Gaston, North Carolina, and $400,000 for Lake Champlain, Vermont.

Beneficial Uses of Dredged Material.—Within the funds provided, $3,000,000 is for Morehead City, North Carolina, and $200,000 for Duaphin Island, Alabama.

Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Corrective Program.—The conference agreement includes $15,000,000, of which $4,000,000 is to complete the Waterbury dam repairs in Vermont, and $600,000 is for Dover dam in Ohio.

Shore Line Erosion Control Development and Demonstration Program.—Within the funds provided, $1,725,000 shall be available for the alternative sand test beach and breakwater project in Florida and $1,250,000 for the Sacred Falls demonstration project in Hawaii.

Estuary Restoration Program.—The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for the estuary restoration program. The Corps is directed to provide the House and Senate

Committees on Appropriations a spending plan for the program in fiscal year 2005 and 2006 prior to the expenditure of funds.

Tribal partnership.—Within the funds provided, $300,000 shall be for efforts in New Mexico and $300,000 shall be for cultural resource restoration on historic Washoe lands.

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAM

The Act contains several provisions specifying the amount of funds made available for each of the continuing authorities programs (CAP), as proposed by the House. The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The conference agreement includes the following amounts for each of the specific program authorities of the continuing authorities program:

Section 107	$12,000,000
Section 103	7,000,000
Section 205	40,000,000
Section 14	15,000,000
Section 1135	30,000,000
Section 206	30,000,000
Section 204	5,000,000
Section 208	300,000
Section 111	500,000

In an effort to reduce the current backlog of CAP projects, the conferees have endeavored to provide sufficient appropriations to continue various Corps-initiated CAP projects while also allocating funds for Congressionally-directed projects. For example, the conference agreement includes appropriations for sections 1135 and 206 in excess of the annual authorized level so as to reduce the significant unfunded backlog of projects. These appropriations levels are a one-time event; neither the Corps nor its stakeholders should expect funding at these levels to continue and should plan their programs and projects accordingly.

The conferees agree that significant management reform of the CAP program is necessary. Therefore, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter concurrent with the budget submission, the Corps is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a program management plan detailing the specific actions the Corps will take to prioritize projects and to manage the program in the future. This management plan shall include at least a five-year time horizon consistent with the Five-Year Comprehensive Budget Plan and may, after the initial submission, be incorporated into the larger planning effort. Additionally, the Corps shall provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, concurrent with the annual budget submission, a status report delineating all ongoing projects, identifying on a project-by-project basis the annual out-year budgetary requirements to complete each project.

In developing its management plan and in an effort to reduce the backlog of projects, the Corps is directed to prioritize projects in the following manner: first, funding should be available for construction projects for which an executed project cooperation agreement is in place; second, funding should be available for projects with executed feasibility cost sharing agreements. The conferees direct the Corps to place a moratorium on the execution of any new project cooperation agreements or feasibility cost sharing agreements in fiscal year 2006. Work may continue on any phase of a particular project as funding and priority allows, but no project shall advance to the next stage during fiscal year 2006 unless such project can be completed within the funds specified or can advance into the design phase in fiscal year 2006.

The Corps is directed not to initiate any new project or re-start a project within any CAP program in fiscal year 2006 unless such project is specifically named in an Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act or its accompanying statement of managers from fiscal year 2001 through 2006. Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, the Corps shall submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report detailing those CAP projects that have not been named in an Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act from fiscal year 2001 through 2006 or for which no funds have been expended in fiscal years 2001 through 2005.

The conferees further direct to Corps to implement guidelines to require feasibility study cost sharing from non-Federal sources for all CAP authorities, to be effective October 1, 2006. The conferees note that this is the current practice in all but the environmental authorities.

The following table includes the name of the project, the CAP authority under which the project is authorized and the amount of funding included in the conference agreement:

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS
(In thousands of dollars)

SMALL NAVIGATION PROJECTS
(SECTION 107)

Blytheville Harbor, AR	500
Oyster Point Marina Breakwater Reconfiguration, CA	2100
Kahoolawe Small Boat Basin, HI	250
North Kohala Navigation Improvements, HI	150
Port Fuchon, LA	88
Westport River and Harbor, MA	70
Naticoke Harbor, MD	250
St. Jerome Creek, MD	200
Mackinac Isle, harbor breakwater, MI	50
Northwestern Michigan College, Traverse City, MI	55
Ontonagon Harbor Channel extension, Ontonagon, MI	184
Knife River Harbor, MN	54
Yazoo Diversion Canal, MS	2900
Hampton Harbor, NH	55
Olcott Harbor, NY	70
Charlestown Breachway and Ningret Pond, RI	90
Northwest Tennessee Regional Harbor, TN	490
Wisconsin Lakeshore State Park Breakwater, WI	2000

SMALL BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS
(SECTION 103)

Unalakleet Seawall, AK	600
Solana Beach, CA (Fletcher Cove)	15
North Shore of Indian River Inlet, DE	600
Whiting, IN	100
Pleasure Island, MD	500
St. Mary's River, MD	630
Philadelphia shipyard, PA	200
Morris Island Lighthouse, SC	2234

SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS
(SECTION 205)

Fort Yukon, AK	200
Salcha, AK	400
Huntsville Big Spring Branch debris removal, AL	100
Huntsville Dallas Branch bypass, Huntsville, AL	200
Wynne, AR	75
City of 29 Palms Pinto Cove flood control channel, CA	1000
Cosgrove Creek, CA	150
Flomar Storm Drain, Whittier, CA	95
Heacock and Cactus Channels, CA	550
New Hogan Lake Reoperation, CA	300
Burnt Mountain flood control improvements, CA	736

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS
(In thousands of dollars)

SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS
(SECTION 205)

Oak Creek, Florence, CO (Oak Creek Reservoir)	175
Van Bibber Creek, CO	318
Harbor Brook, Meriden, CT	75
Salmon River, CT	460
Little Mill Creek, New Castle County, DE	2000
Kuliouou Stream Flood Damage Reduction, HI	250
Palai Stream Flood Damage Reduction, HI	100
Waiakea Stream Flood Damage Reduction Project, HI	200
Wailele Stream Flood Damage Reduction, Project, HI	150
Cedar River (Time Check Area), Cedar Rapids, IA	300
Denison, IA	1400
East Peoria flood control project, IL	3600
Fort Wayne, St. Marys and Maumee Rivers, IN	200
Eureka Creek Local Flood Protection Project, KS	240
Whitewater and Walnut Rivers, Augusta, KS	2500
Braithwaite Park, LA	440
Jean Lafitte, Fisher School Basin, Jefferson Parish, LA	1575
Oakville to LaReussite, LA	90
Red Chute Bayou, Bossier Parish, LA	425
Town of Carenco, Lafayette, LA	155
Elkton, MD	30
Montevideo, MN	658
Blacksnake Creek, St. Joseph, MO	240
Lilbourn Outlet Ditch, MO	30
Little River Diversion, Dutchtown, MO	175
Livingston Yellowstone river flood plain study, MT	135
Swannanoa River Watershed, NC	100
Wilson, NC (Hominy Swamp Flood Control)	100
Fargo Ridgewood Addition, ND	385
Jackson Brook, NJ	300
Upper Passaic River, Long Hill Township, NJ	1000
Hatch, NM	158
Little Puerco River, Gallup, NM	100
Little Puerco Wash, Gallup, NM	100
Battle Mountain, NV	1000
North Spanish Springs, NV	140
Fulmer Creek, NY	862
Moyer Creek, NY	760
Haikey Creek, OK	100
Cedar Run Flood Control Project, PA	193
Little Mill Creek, Gravel Road, PA	200
Lower Lycoming Creek, Lycoming County, PA	360
Montoursville flood damage reduction, PA	360
Beaver Creek, Bristol, TN and VA	200
Sandy Creek, TN	50
Little Brazos River, TX	325
Little Fossil Creek, Haltom City, TX	270
Passumpsic River, Lyndonville, VT	42

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS
(In thousands of dollars)

STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION FOR PUBLIC FACILITIES (SECTION 14)

Deering Shoreline Protection, AK	60
Kwethluk, AK	55
27th street bridge, Glenwood Springs, CO	30
Powers Boulevard, Colorado Springs, CO	34
Iowa River, Sac and Fox Tribe, IA	30
Raccoon River, Panora County, IA	12
Indiana University, South Bend, IN	765
Ohio River, South First Street, Rockport, IN	715
Thieme Dr., Fort Wayne, IN	50
Bayou Macon, Poverty Point, LA	470
Patuxent River, Patuxent Beach Road, MD	34
Marquette shoreline protection, MI	140
St. Joseph shoreline protection, MI	175
Big Bend Cemetery, MN	250
Fox River, Highway 61 bridge protection, MO	120
Rush Creek Bank Stabilization Project, MO	776
Eubanks Creek, Jackson, MS	275
Elizabeth River, Valleyview Road, Hillside, NJ	25
Malapardis Brook Mountain, Pleasant Avenue, Hanover, NJ	175
I-40 Bridge, Rio Puerco, NM	850
Lake Ontario, Albion water treatment plant, NY	250
Newton Creek, Newton Avenue, Bainbridge, Chenango County, NY	197
Tonawanda Creek, Minnick Road, NY	800
Ottawa River Shoreland Avenue, Toledo,OH	660
St. John's Landfill Dike Stabilization, OR	51
Neshannock Creek, PA	55
Lee Drive, Lenoir City, TN	60
Mt. Moriah Culvert, TN	305
Wastewater plant, Intake Channel, Seguin TX	390
Kenosha Harbor Retaining Wall, WI	281

PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT (SECTION 1135)

Ditch 28, AR	130
Millwood Lake, Grassy Lake, AR	100
Rillito River riparian and wetland development, AZ	167
Bull Creek Channel Ecosystem Restoration, CA	2000
Tujunga Wash Environmental Restoration, CA	431
Chatfield Downstream, South Platte River, CO	139
Kingman Island, DC	500
Oyster Revitalization in the Delaware Bay, DE and NJ	2000
Lake Jesup, FL	533
Ocklawaha River prairie restoration, FL	250
Kanaha Pond Wildlife Sanctuary Restoration Project, HI	200
Kaunakakai Stream Environmental Restoration, HI	200
Kawainui Marsh Environmental Restoration Project, HI	10

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS
(In thousands of dollars)

PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT (SECTION 1135)

Pelekane Bay Ecosystem Restoration Project, HI	400
Rathbun Lake, South Fork wetland restoration, IA	550
Shelbyville, IL	10
Spunky Bottoms, Brown County, IL	350
Sand Creek, KS	3000
Bayou DeSaird, LA	250
Bayou Macon, LA	187
Frazier/Whitehorse Oxbow Lake Weir, LA	167
Lake St. Joseph, Tensas Parish, LA	130
Hoosic River, Adams, MA	500
Hart Miller Island, MD	200
Duck Creek, Stoddard County, MO	125
Kansas City Riverfront, Kansas City, Jackson County, MO	998
Prison Farm shoreline habitat, ND	250
Lower Decatur Bend Environmental Improvement, NE	194
Albuquerque Biological Park Wetland Restoration Project, NM	35
Ecosystem Revitalization at Route 66, NM	500
Las Cruces Dam Environmental Restoration, Dona Ana County, NM	300
Pecos River, Chaves County, NM	279
Riparian Wetland Restoration, Pueblo of Santa Ana Reservation, NM	200
Lower Truckee River, McCarran Ranch, NV	85
Joe Creek ecosystem restoration, OK	100
Fairmount Dam Fishladder Project, PA	750
Allin's Cove, RI	300
Boyd's Marsh Salt Marsh Portsmouth, RI	500
Big Cypress Bayou Fish and Wildlife Habitat, TX	530
O.C. Fisher Lake, TX	250
City of Richland, WA	400
Mapes Creek Habitat Enhancement Project, WA	270
Smith Island/Union Slough Restoration Project, WA	400
Village of Oyster, VA	165

AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS (SECTION 206)

Eklutna, AK	300
Northway, AK	350
Chattahoochee Fall Line ecosystem restoration project, AL and GA	250
English Creek Aquatic Restoration, CA	380
Salt River restoration project, CA	450
St.Helena-Napa River restoration, CA	600
Sweetwater Reservoir Ecosystem Rest, CA	90
York Creek Dam Removal, CA	350
Arkansas River Fisheries Habitat Restoration, Pueblo, CO	315
Goose Creek, CO	200
Kingfisher Point, CO	191
Lower Boulder Creek, CO	240
North Fork Gunnison River, CO	476

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS
(In thousands of dollars)

AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS
(SECTION 206)

Tamarisk eradication, CO	400
Mill River restoration, Stamford, CT	153
Big Fish Weir Creek, FL	150
Rose Bay, FL	250
Tsala Apopka Littoral Shelf Restoration, FL	300
Little River Watershed Aquatic Habitat Restoration, GA	100
Mountain Park Dam, Rocky Creek, GA	250
Mokuhinia/Mokuula ecosystem restoration, HI	220
Clear Lake Watershed/Clear Lake, Ventura Marsh, IA	165
Storm Lake, IA	100
Indian Creek, Caldwell, ID	479
Paradise Creek ecosystem restoration project, ID	195
Salmon River, Challis, ID	311
Emiquon Preserve, IL	313
Eugene Field, IL	25
Hofmann Dam, Cook County, IL	235
Kankakee River aquatic ecosystem restoration, IL	100
Lockport prairie reserve, IL	300
Orland wetlands, IL	225
Squaw Creek aquatic ecosystem restoration, IL	160
Cedar Lake, IN	200
Wolf Lake, IN	300
Arkansas City ecosystem restoration, KS	180
University Lakes, Baton Rouge, LA	200
University Lakes, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA	200
Bird Island habitat restoration, MA	100
Malden River Ecosystem Restoration Project, MA	80
Milford Pond Restoration Project, Milford, MA	80
Treats Pond, Cohasset, MA	200
Blackwater Refuge, MD	245
Greenbury Point, MD	185
Paint Branch fish passage, MD	156
Tidal Middle Branch, MD	250
Western Branch, Patuxent River, MD	1158
Painter Creek, MN	300
Confluence Point State Park, MO	100
Concord stream bank restoration, NC	350
Western Cary Stream Restoration Cary, NC	175
Heron Haven wetland restoration project, NE	186
Grover's Mill Pond, NJ	250
Bottomless Lakes state park, Roswell, NM	350
Jemez River aquatic and riparian habitat restoration, Zia Pueblo, NM	211
Las Cruces wetland restoration, NM	300
Carson River, NV	75
Incline, Third and Rosewood creeks, NV	90
Echo Bay, New Rochelle, NY	450
North Hempstead, NY	500
Soundview Park, Bronx, NY	400

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS
(In thousands of dollars)

AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS
(SECTION 206)

South Park Lake restoration, NY	275
Columbus 5th Avenue dam removal, Olentangy River, OH	360
Arrowhead Creek, OR	250
Camp Creek - Zumwalt Prairie, OR	118
Springwater/Johnson Creek, Portland, OR	220
Canonsburg Lake, PA	250
North Park Lake, PA	85
Sheradon Park and Chartiers Creek, PA	300
Upper Tioga River Watershed, PA	430
Brush Neck Cove, Warwick, RI	150
Narrow, Narragansett, RI	150
Ninigret and Cross Mills Ponds, Charlestown, RI	750
Ten Mile River, East Providence, RI	250
Winnipaug Pond, Westerly, RI	104
Lynches River/Lake City Project, SC	205
Pocotaligo Swamp Restoration, SC	5
Wilson Branch, SC	36
Burgess Falls, TN	116
Stephenville Wetland, TX	165
Lake Anna, VA	175
Potash Brook, South Burlington, VT	350
Carpenter Creek, WA	300
Issaquah salmon hatchery, WA	300
Port of Sunnyside wetland, WA	100

BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL
(SECTIONS 204, 207, 933)

Dauphin Island Restoration Project, AL	439
Jamaica Bay, Marsh Islands, NY	1000
Morehead City Harbor, NC	3000

CLEARING AND SNAGGING
(SECTION 208)

Great Pierce Meadows, Essex and Morris Counties, NJ	160

Reno flood warning system.—Within the funds provided for section 205, the Corps shall close out the Reno flood warning system.

Santa Venetia flood control, California.—Within the funds provided for section 205, the Corps shall close out the Santa Venetia flood control project.

Stevenson Creek estuary, Florida, section 206.—The Corps is directed to return funds reprogrammed from Stevenson Creek estuary, Florida forthwith.

Within the funds provided for sections of the continuing authorities programs, the Corps is directed to give priority consideration to the following projects:

Section 107:

Gustavis Harbor, AK

Nanwalek, AK

Woods Hole Great Harbor, MA

Section 205:

City of Las Vegas, NV

Gila River, Grants and Hidalgo Counties, NM

Elsmere, DE

West Virginia Statewide Flood Warning System, WV

Winnebago River Levee Improvement, IA

Keshequa Creek, Nunda, NY

Limestone Creek, Fayetteville, NY

South Suburban Areas of Chicago, IL

Upper Delaware River Watershed Flood Mitigation, NY

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement provides $400,000,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of $290,000,000 as proposed by the House and $433,336,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House, which would have incorporated by reference the projects and activities specified in the statement of managers accompanying this Act. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the Senate relating to various activities of the Yazoo Basin backwater pumping plant in Mississippi. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to the pump supply contract for the Yazoo Basin, Yazoo Backwater Pumping Plant, Mississippi. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement for projects to reduce flood control in the lower Mississippi River alluvial valley below Cape Giradeau, Missouri is shown in the following table:

FLOOD CONTROL - MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
INVESTIGATIONS		
BAYOU METO, AR	---	1,640
SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	---	315
ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF, LA	450	450
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM LAND STUDY, LA	100	---
DONALDSON TO THE GULF, LA	---	739
MORGANZA TO THE GULF, LA	---	4,000
SPRING BAYOU, LA	---	450
TENSAS RIVER BASIN, LA	---	225
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	500	475
MILLINGTON AND VICINITY, TN	112	106
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, STORM WATER MGMT STUDY, TN & MS	---	150
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	720	680
SUBTOTAL, INVESTIGATIONS	1,882	9,230
CONSTRUCTION		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	42,500	43,000
FRANCIS BLAND FLOODWAY DITCH (EIGHT MILE CREEK), AR	3,446	3,274
GRAND PARIRIE REGION, AR	---	9,000
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	39,200	51,000
ST. FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	---	6,800
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	2,324	4,300
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	21,000	19,000
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	2,244	3,330
YAZOO BACKWATER, LESS ROCKY BAYOU, YAZOO F & WL MITIGATION LANDS	---	270
YAZOO BASIN - BACKWATER PUMPING PLANT, MS	---	20,000
YAZOO BASIN - BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	---	4,000
YAZOO BASIN - DELTA HEADWATERS PROJECT, MS	---	22,000
YAZOO BASIN - MAINSTEM, MS	---	23
YAZOO BASIN - REFORMULATION UNIT, MS	---	1,980
YAZOO BASIN / UPPER YAZOO PROJECT, MS	---	13,275
ST. JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	---	4,950
NONCONNAH CREEK, TN & MS	500	470
WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	---	250
WOLF RIVER, TN	---	3,500
SUSPENSION FUND	8,000	---
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	119,214	210,422
MAINTENANCE		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	70,609	63,000
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	172	382
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	611	580
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR	560	532
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	310	295
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	9,256	11,500
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	6,600	8,800
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,600	2,470
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,400	1,330
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	55	52
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	37	35
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	2,860	2,717
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	13,400	13,000
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA	---	399
BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	65	62
BONNET CARRE, LA	2,713	2,577
INSPECTION OF COMPELTED WORKS, LA	538	511
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	66	63
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	239	227

FLOOD CONTROL - MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
OLD RIVER, LA	10,200	9,690
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	3,950	3,753
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	---	366
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	317	301
YAZOO BASIN:		
ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	6,151	10,151
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	210	2,000
ENID LAKE, MS	5,232	9,232
GREENWOOD, MS	620	1,500
GRENADA LAKE, MS	5,674	9,674
MAIN STEM, MS	1,080	2,630
SARDIS LAKE, MS	7,153	11,403
TRIBUTARIES, MS	1,130	1,074
WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	430	409
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	470	750
YAZOO CITY, MS	770	732
Subtotal, YAZOO BASIN	28,920	49,555
VICKSBURB HARBOR, MS	---	368
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	182	173
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO	4,676	4,442
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	110	105
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	992	1,748
MAPPING	1,384	1,315
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-13,918	---
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	148,904	180,348
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	270,000	400,000

CONSTRUCTION

Mississippi River Levees, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO and TN.—Additional funds have been provided to continue construction of the St. Johns—New Madrid Levee Closure/Box Culvert, Missouri as well as other levee items and for the Lower Mississippi River Interpretive Center.

Yazoo Basin, Backwater Pumping Plant, Mississippi.—Within the funds provided, $150,000 is provided for the Teddy Roosevelt Environmental Education Center.

Yazoo Basin, Big Sunflower River, Mississippi.—The conferees recognize the need to prevent erosion, reduce sedimentation and head-cutting in watersheds of the Yazoo Basin for purposes of improving water quality, fisheries and reducing maintenance. The conferees have provided $4,000,000 for continued construction of the project. Within these funds, not more than $1,500,000 shall be used for these water quality and sediment reduction measures and $500,000 shall be used for establishment of water quality reference indicators for use as appropriate on Yazoo Basin Projects.

MAINTENANCE

Mississippi River Levees AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO and TN.—Additional funds have been provided for delivery of levee gravel in AR, LA, MS and MO as determined by need.

Additional funding has been provided for deferred maintenance at the four Mississippi Lakes.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The conference agreement provides $1,989,000,000 for operation and maintenance, instead of $2,000,000,000 as proposed by the House and $2,100,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House, which would have incorporated by reference the projects and activities specified in the statement of managers accompanying this Act. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes several provisions proposed by the Senate relating to certain projects. The House bill contained no similar provisions.

The conference agreement for operation and maintenance is shown in the following table:

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	180	162
ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	1,591	2,782
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	22,117	22,117
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	4,050	3,645
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	50	45
MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY	7,315	7,315
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	20,248	18,223
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	100	90
ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL	7,125	6,413
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL	140	126
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL	1,400	2,000
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	20,103	24,000
WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	7,171	6,454
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	11,470	11,470
CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	3,051	3,051
CORDOVA HARBOR, AK	---	540
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	622	560
HOMER HARBOR, AK	299	269
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	45	41
LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, AK	---	90
NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	248	223
NOME HARBOR, AK	2,496	2,496
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	588	529
AMERICAN SAMOA		
OFU HARBOR, AMERICAN SAMOA	1,480	1,332
TAU HARBOR, AMERICAN SAMOA	1,372	1,235
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,280	1,730
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	92	83
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,220	1,098
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	37	33
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	190	171
ARKANSAS		
BEAVER LAKE, AR	5,744	5,170
BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	10,084	10,084
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,292	1,163
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	6,392	5,753
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	6,524	5,872
DEGRAY LAKE, AR	6,828	6,145
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,193	1,074
DIERKS LAKE, AR	1,161	1,045
GILLHAM LAKE, AR	1,093	984
GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR	5,608	5,047
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	30	387
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	199	179
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR	35,065	31,559
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	1,782	1,604
NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	4,342	3,908
NIMROD LAKE, AR	1,656	1,490
NORFORK LAKE, AR	4,540	4,086
OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	29	299
OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	8,500	13,887
OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,151	4,636
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR	7	6
WHITE RIVER, AR	215	900

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	---	158
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	1,989	1,790
BUCHANAN DAM, HV EASTMAN LAKE, CA	1,781	1,603
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	310	279
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	4,084	3,676
CRESCENT CITY HARBOR	---	450
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA	5,272	5,825
FARMINGTON DAM, CA	202	182
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	2,090	1,881
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	5,069	4,562
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	1,396	1,256
ISABELLA LAKE, CA	2,291	2,062
JACK D. MALTESTER CHANNEL, CA (SAN LEANDRO)	---	675
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	4,287	3,858
LOWER PETALUMA RIVER, CA	---	675
MARINA DEL RAY, CA	---	900
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	251	226
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	290	261
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	1,616	1,454
MOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA	---	1,328
NAPA RIVER, CA	---	675
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	1,994	1,795
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1,634	1,471
NOYO RIVER & HARBOR, CA	28	225
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	6,205	5,585
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	1,040	936
PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CA	---	450
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	2,831	2,548
PINOLE SHOAL MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	---	225
PORT HUENEME, CA	---	450
PORT SAN LUIS, CA	---	450
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	1,891	1,702
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	4,967	4,470
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	7,972	7,175
SACRAMENTO RIVER (BASULE BRIDGE), CA	---	900
SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	2,790	2,511
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA	1,299	1,169
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	119	107
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA	1,185	1,067
SAN FRANCISO BAY LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STUDY, CA	---	1,440
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY, CA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	2,000	1,800
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	2,223	2,223
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA	2,886	2,597
SAN PABLO BAY AND MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA	3,320	2,988
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	3,321	2,989
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	1,408	1,267
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA	1,499	1,349
SUCCESS LAKE, CA	1,809	1,628
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA	5,132	4,619
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA	1,692	1,523
UPPER PETALUMA RIVER, CA	---	270
VENTURA HARBOR, CA	2,200	2,610
YUBA RIVER, CA	29	26
COLORADO		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO	407	366
CHATFIELD LAKE, CO	1,233	1,710
CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO	1,941	2,346
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO	107	96
JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO	2,926	2,633
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	590	531
TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	1,021	1,519

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
COMMONWEALTH OF NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS		
ROTA HARBOR, CNMI	260	234
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	592	533
BRIDGEPORT HARBOR, CT	---	1,350
CLINTON HARBOR, CT	---	225
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	583	525
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	599	539
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	1,005	905
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT	79	71
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT	535	482
NORTH COVE HARBOR, CT	---	1,800
NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT	527	474
NORWALK FEDERAL NAVIGATION PROJECT, CT	---	900
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT	1,000	900
STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT	417	375
THOMASTON DAM, CT	951	856
WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT	724	652
DELAWARE		
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D	11,475	12,000
MISPILLION RIVER, DE	20	18
MURDERKILL RIVER, DE	20	18
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DE	86	77
WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	3,860	3,474
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC	9	8
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS, DC (DRIFT REMOVAL)	744	670
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC	37	33
WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	600	540
FLORIDA		
AIWW, NORFOLK, VA TO ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL,GA,SC,NC,VA.	---	450
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	3,828	4,500
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	14,213	12,792
ESCAMBIA AND CONECUH RIVERS, FL	1,000	900
FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	1,513	1,362
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	300	270
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE TO ANCLOTE, FL	---	900
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	250	3,600
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	3,637	3,637
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA.	8,188	7,369
MANATEE HARBOR, FL	2,000	1,800
MIAMI HARBOR, FL	1,530	1,377
MIAMI RIVER, FL	---	3,500
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	2,060	1,854
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL	1,183	1,065
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	906	815
PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL	1,315	1,184
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	1,325	1,193
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	2,306	2,075
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL	30	27
ST. PETERSBURG, FL	---	300
SUWANEE RIVER, FL	---	450
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	4,500	10,000
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	7,322	6,590
APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL &	1,050	2,500

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	286	257
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	2,396	2,396
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	8,519	7,667
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	10,637	9,573
HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	16,619	14,957
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA	41	37
J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	11,047	9,942
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, GA	90	81
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	12,283	11,055
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	13,521	12,169
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	11,449	10,304
HAWAII		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	231	208
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	189	170
POHIKI BAY HAWAII, HI	---	90
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI	200	180
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	1,792	1,613
DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	2,464	2,464
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	78	70
LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	2,567	2,310
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	430	387
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	2,900	2,900
CARLYLE LAKE, IL	6,745	6,071
CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	3,499	3,149
CHICAGO RIVER, IL	385	347
FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	214	193
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVR PORTION), IL & IN	24,702	22,232
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVS PORTION), IL & IN	1,065	959
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	631	568
KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	1,189	1,884
LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	547	492
LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	5,186	5,567
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION)	48,107	45,366
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION)	18,923	17,031
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	33	30
REND LAKE, IL	5,254	4,729
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	114	103
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	680	2,680
INDIANA		
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	872	785
BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	---	800
CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	600	540
CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN	687	618
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	---	300
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	370	333
J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	643	579
MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN	---	450
MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN	751	676
MONROE LAKE, IN	689	620
PATOKA LAKE, IN	619	557
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	59	53
SALAMONIE LAKE, IN	637	573
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	111	100

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
IOWA		
CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	2,537	2,283
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	202	182
MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	152	137
MISSOURI RIVER - RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	6,475	5,828
MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO RULO, IA & NE	2,417	2,175
RATHBUN LAKE, IA	2,081	1,873
RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA	3,415	3,074
SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	3,952	4,202
KANSAS		
CLINTON LAKE, KS	1,987	1,788
COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,544	1,390
EL DORADO LAKE, KS	339	305
ELK CITY LAKE, KS	692	623
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	2,154	1,939
HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	703	633
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	85	77
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	1,081	973
KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS	1,634	1,471
MARION LAKE, KS	1,551	1,396
MELVERN LAKE, KS	1,828	1,645
MILFORD LAKE, KS	2,903	2,613
PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	1,052	947
PERRY LAKE, KS	2,211	1,990
POMONA LAKE, KS	1,810	1,629
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	32	29
TORONTO LAKE, KS	402	362
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	2,189	1,970
WILSON LAKE, KS	1,509	1,448
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	9,507	8,556
BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,102	2,700
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,091	982
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,195	1,076
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,252	1,652
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	733	660
DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,245	1,121
ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	40	36
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	1,621	1,459
GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,140	1,026
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	1,178	1,060
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	1,882	1,694
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	98	88
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	1,814	1,633
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	599	539
MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	62	56
NOLIN LAKE, KY	1,817	1,635
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH	32,210	32,210
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN & OH	3,928	3,535
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	912	912
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY	7	6
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	1,945	1,751
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,149	1,034
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	5,902	5,312
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,070	963
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	15,948	15,948
BARATARIA BAY, LA	---	1,170
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	1,402	1,262

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
BAYOU LACOMBE, LA	---	450
BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	---	900
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	32	29
BAYOU SEGNETTE, LA	---	1,305
BAYOU TECHE	---	720
CADDO LAKE, LA	330	297
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	9,032	9,032
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	1,466	1,319
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA	19,614	17,653
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	253	228
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	856	770
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	10,115	11,804
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	---	442
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	---	77
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	2,538	2,284
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,	54,053	48,648
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA	14,111	---
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	---	2,250
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	60	54
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	2,000	1,800
WALLACE LAKE, LA	291	262
WATERWAY FROM EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA	---	216
WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO BAYOU DULAC, LA	---	180
MAINE		
BASS HARBOR, ME	95	86
CARVERS HARBOR, ME	270	243
DISPOSAL AREA MONITORING, ME	1,106	995
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ME	21	19
INTERNATIONAL ST CROIX RIVER BOARD OF CONTROL, ME	17	15
KENNEBEC RIVER, ME	---	630
NARRAGAUGAS RIVER, MILBRIDGE, ME	---	1,800
PORTLAND HARBOR, ME	520	468
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	866	779
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	15,214	17,293
BALTIMORE HARBOR, MD (DRIFT REMOVAL)	326	293
CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	126	675
HERRING CREEK, TALL TIMBERS, MD	---	405
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	36	32
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,907	1,716
KANPPS HARROWS, MD	---	630
NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD	240	216
OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	220	1,710
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	379	341
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	97	87
ST. JEROME CREEK, MD	---	850
TILGHMAN ISLAND HARBOR, MD	---	405
WICOMICO RIVER, MD	500	450
MASSACHUSETTS		
AUNT LYDIA COVE, MA	---	225
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	637	573
BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	607	546
BOSTON HARBOR, MA	---	6,750
BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	592	533
CAPE COD CANAL, MA	8,896	8,006
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	312	281
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	362	326
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	458	412
GREEN HARBOR, MA	---	315
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	591	532

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	114	103
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	677	609
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	541	487
MERRIMACK RIVER, MA	---	180
NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER,	337	303
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	1,300	1,170
TULLY LAKE, MA	595	536
WEST HILL DAM, MA	798	718
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	579	521
WEYMOUTH-FORE RIVER, MA	3,774	3,397
MICHIGAN		
ALPENA HARBOR, MI	---	261
ARCADIA HARBOR, MI	---	72
CASEVILLE HARBOR, MI	---	115
DEDAR RIVER HARBOR, MI	---	495
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	183	165
CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI	89	80
DETROIT RIVER, MI	4,347	3,912
FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI	37	33
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	1,879	1,691
GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MI	14	1,543
HARBOR BEACH HARBOR, MI	---	450
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	1,354	1,219
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	144	130
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	370	333
LAC LA BELLE, MI	92	83
LELAND HARBOR, MI	---	79
LITTLE LAKE HARBOR, MI	---	167
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	500	450
MEMOMINEE HARBOR, MI	---	400
MONROE HARBOR, MI	550	495
MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI	525	473
NEW BUFFALO HARBOR, MI	---	71
ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	---	300
PENTWATER, MI	---	90
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	178	160
ROUGE RIVER, MI	1,161	1,045
SAGINAW RIVER, MI	2,427	2,427
SEBEEAING RIVER, MI	---	324
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	920	828
ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	470	977
ST MARYS RIVER, MI	17,134	15,421
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,314	2,083
MINNESOTA		
BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	164	148
DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	5,081	5,381
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	129	116
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	363	327
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION)	58,073	52,266
ORWELL LAKE, MN	261	235
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	67	60
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	320	288
RESERVOIR PLAN OPERATING EVALUATION, MN	---	360
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	2,263	2,037
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	310	279
WARROAD HARBOR, MN	---	225
MISSISSIPPI		
CLAIRBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	---	56
EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS	102	153
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	2,500	3,600

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	57	51
MOUTH OF THE YAZOO RIVER, MS	---	99
OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS	1,680	2,300
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	5,156	4,640
PEARL RIVER, MS & LA	276	248
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS	181	163
ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	---	522
YAZOO RIVER, MS	---	126
MISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	23	315
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	6,107	5,496
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	2,677	2,409
HANNIBAL, MO	---	68
HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	9,140	8,226
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	768	691
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	730	657
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	848	763
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	29,559	26,603
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	---	360
POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO	1,963	1,767
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO	7	6
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MO	319	287
SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO	1,237	1,113
SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	---	315
STOCKTON LAKE, MO	3,742	3,368
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO	7,556	7,556
UNION LAKE, MO	6	5
MONTANA		
FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	4,154	4,854
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT	19	17
LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT	2,189	1,970
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT	87	78
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	8,231	7,408
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE	1,863	1,677
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE DAM SAFETY STUDY, NE	---	320
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE	102	92
MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO,	203	183
PAPILLION CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE	625	563
SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	845	761
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	46	46
MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA	586	586
PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	214	214
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH	644	580
COCHECO RIVER	---	1,800
EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH	555	500
FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH	768	691
HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH	1,228	1,105
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH	12	11
OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH	806	725
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR/PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH	---	450
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH	300	270
SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH	736	662

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEW JERSEY		
ABSECON INLET	---	99
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	95	450
COLD SPRING INLET, NJ	540	795
DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ	10	9
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE	20,465	18,419
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ	720	648
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ	106	95
MANASQUAN RIVER, NJ	510	459
NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ	8,120	7,308
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY	---	1,125
PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEMS, NJ	450	450
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ	1,675	1,508
RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	150	135
RARITAN RIVER, NJ	2,500	2,250
SALEM RIVER, NJ	---	869
SAVOY HOOK AT LEONARDO, NJ	---	135
SHARK RIVER, NJ	80	207
SHREWSBURY RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ	---	360
NEW MEXICO		
ABIQUIU DAM, NM	3,168	3,168
ALBUQUERQUE LEVEES, NM	---	2,000
COCHITI LAKE, NM	3,726	4,426
CONCHAS LAKE, NM	1,579	2,579
GALISTEO DAM, NM	779	779
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM	221	221
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM	3,561	5,061
RIO GRANDE BOSQUE REHABILITATION, NM	---	4,000
SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM	1,213	1,092
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM	1,221	1,099
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM	552	552
UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL, NM	---	2,500
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY	509	458
ARKPORT DAM, NY	294	265
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY	1,308	1,177
BROWNS CREEK, NY	100	90
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	1,030	927
BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY	60	54
EAST RIVER, NY	1,350	1,215
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	140	126
EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY	517	465
EASTCHESTER CREEK, NY	100	90
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	220	198
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	150	135
GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY	200	180
HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY	350	315
HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT)	1,794	1,615
HUDSON RIVER, NY (O&C)	1,090	981
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	659	593
JAMAICA BAY, NY	140	126
LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY	200	180
MORICHES INLET, NY	80	72
MT MORRIS LAKE, NY	3,845	3,461
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	7,200	6,480
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	3,410	3,069
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY & NJ (DRIFT REMOVAL)	4,400	3,960
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY AND NJ (PREV OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSIT)	---	855
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,310	1,179
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY	120	108

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	662	596
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	710	639
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	678	610
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	860	1,389
B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,849	1,664
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	635	572
CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC	---	495
FALLS LAKE, NC	2,097	1,887
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	35	32
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	---	855
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	7,855	7,855
MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	3,700	3,330
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	3,575	3,218
NEW RIVER INLET, NC	---	945
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	---	608
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	226	203
SILVER LAKE HARBOR, NC	1,540	1,386
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	2,817	2,535
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	13,963	12,567
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND	156	140
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND	13,266	13,366
HOMME LAKE, ND	266	239
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	85	77
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND	1,242	1,118
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	459	413
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ND	117	105
SOURIS RIVER, ND	422	380
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND	31	28
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	948	853
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	1,063	957
BERLIN LAKE, OH	1,544	1,390
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,222	1,100
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH	1,358	1,358
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	3,305	2,975
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	2,315	2,084
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	815	734
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	794	715
DILLON LAKE, OH	1,790	1,611
HURON HARBOR, OH	---	95
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	280	252
LORAIN HARBOR, OH	600	540
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	25	23
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	718	646
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	717	645
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	6,754	6,079
NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	125	113
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	721	649
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	240	216
ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	30	27
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	890	801
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	170	153
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	3,682	3,314
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	290	261
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	403	363
WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH	710	639

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	429	386
BIRCH LAKE, OK	475	428
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	1,493	1,344
CANTON LAKE, OK	1,723	1,551
COPAN LAKE, OK	1,511	1,360
EUFAULA LAKE, OK	5,312	4,781
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	5,053	4,548
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	733	660
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	166	149
HEYBURN LAKE, OK	529	476
HUGO LAKE, OK	1,451	1,306
HULAH LAKE, OK	626	675
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	88	79
KAW LAKE, OK	2,378	2,140
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	4,300	3,870
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	1,955	1,760
OPTIMA LAKE, OK	61	55
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	57	51
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	857	771
ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK	4,517	4,065
SARDIS LAKE, OK	1,192	1,073
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	508	457
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	1,086	977
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	2,998	2,698
WAURIKA LAKE, OK	1,528	1,375
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	4,815	4,334
WISTER LAKE, OK	460	414
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR	595	536
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	312	281
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	7,792	7,013
CHETCO RIVER, OR	348	313
COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA	16,829	17,579
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	10,186	27,186
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O	254	229
COOS BAY, OR	4,594	4,135
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	---	313
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	780	702
COUGAR LAKE, OR	766	689
DEPOE BAY, OR	---	360
DETROIT LAKE, OR	729	656
DORENA LAKE, OR	613	552
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	555	500
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	966	869
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	1,186	1,067
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	3,807	3,426
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	167	150
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4,692	4,223
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	1,272	1,145
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	5,096	4,586
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	7,129	6,416
PORT ORFORD, OR	---	651
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	177	159
ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR	394	355
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	62	56
SIUSLAW RIVER, OR	449	404
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	134	121
TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR (PORT OF GARIBALDI)	---	1,350
UMPQUA RIVER, OR	---	611
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	72	65
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	80	72
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	538	484

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	1,006	905
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	4,393	3,954
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	727	654
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	251	226
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	1,026	923
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,662	2,396
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	1,074	967
COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	2,793	2,514
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	1,033	930
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	717	645
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	799	719
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	745	671
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	731	658
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	249	224
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	196	176
JOHNSTOWN, PA	1,603	1,603
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,147	1,447
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	785	707
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	946	851
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	17,138	17,138
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV	18,362	18,362
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	30	27
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	483	435
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	13	12
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	5,449	5,849
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA	66	59
SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	70	63
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	1,831	1,648
STILLWATER LAKE, PA	386	900
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	80	72
TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	3,365	3,029
TIONESTA LAKE, PA	1,331	1,198
UNION CITY LAKE, PA	147	132
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	714	643
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	556	500
YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	2,124	1,912
PUERTO RICO		
SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	1,800	1,620
RHODE ISLAND		
BULLOCKS POINT COVE, RI	---	630
BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR, RI	---	108
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, RI	15	14
PAWTUXET COVE, RI	---	1,440
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI	400	360
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	467	2,000
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	11,038	9,934
COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	2,905	2,615
FOLLY RIVER, SC	987	888
GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	1,342	3,600
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	30	27
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	349	314
TOWN CREEK, SC	---	413
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	7,577	6,819

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD	---	2,000
COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	275	248
COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	192	173
FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	9,635	8,672
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD	17	15
LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	434	391
MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT	350	315
OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	11,421	10,279
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	52	47
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	6,397	6,397
CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	5,103	5,103
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN	2,430	2,430
CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	6,226	6,226
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	5,531	5,531
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	137	137
J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	3,738	3,738
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	6,385	6,385
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN	7	7
TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	18,537	18,537
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	23	486
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX	1,108	997
ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI	1,051	946
BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,538	1,384
BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	2,875	2,588
BELTON LAKE, TX	3,041	2,737
BENBROOK LAKE, TX	2,097	1,887
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	3,775	3,398
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	2,875	2,588
CANYON LAKE, TX	3,667	3,300
CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX	---	1,800
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,900	3,510
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX	5,569	5,012
ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	5	5
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	3,075	2,768
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	3,610	3,249
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	4,800	4,320
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	6,975	6,278
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,004	1,804
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	3,349	3,349
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	29,312	26,381
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,665	1,499
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	3,261	11,056
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	557	501
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	2,897	2,607
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	1,023	921
LAKE KEMP, TX	422	380
LAVON LAKE, TX	3,885	3,497
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	4,290	4,290
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	8,700	7,830
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	2,353	2,118
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	2,320	2,088
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,260	1,134
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	1,266	1,139
PROCTOR LAKE, TX	2,221	1,999
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	50	45
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	1,070	963
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	13,478	12,130
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	11,578	10,420
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	84	76
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	3,068	3,068

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	1,951	1,756
TEXAS CITY SHIP CHANNEL, TX	2,150	2,250
TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	500	1,440
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	3,995	3,596
WACO LAKE, TX	3,295	2,966
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	1,662	1,496
WHITNEY LAKE, TX	5,603	6,803
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	3,416	3,074
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	40	36
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	631	568
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT	801	721
CONNECTICUT RIVER FLOOD CONTROL DAMS	---	450
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT	45	41
NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	706	635
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	892	803
TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	786	707
UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	684	616
VIRGINIA		
APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA	---	450
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA	1,670	1,503
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, VA	275	850
BENNETTS CREEK, VA	---	317
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	900	810
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	2,084	1,876
HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM	825	743
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	127	114
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	3,295	2,966
JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC	11,513	10,362
JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	1,435	1,292
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	11,203	13,205
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	346	311
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	5,391	4,852
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	793	714
RUDEE INLET, VA	635	1,148
TANGIER CHANNEL, VA	600	540
WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	200	180
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	2,419	2,177
COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA (PORT OF ILWACO)	---	600
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND THE HEAD OF SAND	---	600
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,508	1,357
GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	8,582	9,000
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	2,481	2,233
ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA	5,670	5,103
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	311	280
LAKE CROCKETT (KEYSTONE HARBOR), WA	342	308
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	4,387	6,480
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,165	1,949
LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,422	2,180
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,996	1,796
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	1,041	937
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	257	231
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	2,516	3,419
NEAH BA, WA	---	900
OLYMPIA HARBOR, WA	400	360
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	403	363

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	864	778
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	58	52
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	485	437
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	555	500
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	226	203
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	66	59
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	112	101
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	3,667	3,877
WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	158	142
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,014	913
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	3,828	3,445
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,517	1,365
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	1,799	1,619
ELK RIVER HARBOR, WV	10	9
ELKINS, WV	16	14
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	117	105
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV	13,661	13,661
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH	19,530	20,530
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH	2,019	2,519
R D BAILEY LAKE, WV	1,515	1,364
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	640	576
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,657	1,491
SUTTON LAKE, WV	1,788	1,609
TYGART LAKE, WV	2,950	2,655
WISCONSIN		
ASHLAND HARBAR, WI	---	149
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	647	582
FOX RIVER, WI	1,748	1,573
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	2,476	2,228
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI	40	36
KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI	---	259
MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI	---	405
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	844	760
PORT WASHINGTON HARBOR, WI	---	192
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	105	95
STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI	---	231
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI	472	425
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	---	378
WYOMING		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WY	11	10
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,094	985
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	86	77
MISCELLANEOUS		
AQUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH	690	621
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	2,475	2,228
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION)	1,391	1,252
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	8,000	8,000
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,062	956
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)	6,080	5,472
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PROGRAM (DOTS)	1,391	1,252
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS REDUCTION PROGRAM	270	270
FACILITY PROTECTION	12,000	12,000
GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS	900	810
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	608	547
INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION CHARTS	3,708	3,337
LONG TERM OPTION ASSESSMENT FOR LOW USE NAVIGATION	1,500	---
MONITORING OF COMPLETED NAVIGATION PROJECTS	1,575	1,418

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	250	250
NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM	31	31
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (NEPP)	5,000	5,000
NATIONAL LEWIS AND CLARK COMMEMORATION COORDINATION	319	287
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM	2,540	661
PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL SUPPORT (ABS-P2)	250	225
PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SEC 3)	45	41
RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM (RMSP)	1,600	1,440
REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM	1,391	8,500
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION	608	605
REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS	500	775
RESERVE FOR KEY EMERGENCY MAINTENANCE/REPAIRS	20,000	---
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS)	653	588
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS	4,271	3,844
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-12,766	---
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,979,000	1,989,000

Emergency maintenance, restoration and repairs.—The conference agreement does not include a reserve fund for emergency maintenance, restoration and repairs. Further, the conferees direct the Corps to discontinue the practice of taxing all operation and maintenance projects each year to create an emergency reserve fund, from which funds have been expended by the Corps without knowledge or approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. Beginning in fiscal year 2006, the conferees expect the Corps to allocate funds by project on a quarterly basis across all its accounts (as discussed earlier in this statement). This action will enable the Corps to address any identified unforeseen requirements, consistent with the reprogramming guidelines contained in this Act. In addition, the Corps shall provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 30 days of enactment of this Act the definition of qualifying emergencies and guidelines to reprogram funds for emergency maintenance, restoration and repairs.

Alamo Dam and Lake, Arizona.—An additional $450,000 has been included to substantiate the effectiveness of the Alamo Dam reoperation and to develop and implement an associated adaptive management strategy.

Dry Creek (Warm Springs) Dam, California.—The conference agreement includes additional funding to complete a major rehabilitation report necessary for installation of a pipeline to supply cool water for rearing threatened coho salmon now housed in temporary facilities at Warm Springs Dam.

Cherry Creek, Chatfield and Trinidad Lakes, Colorado.—The conference agreement includes an additional $1,380,000 for continued repairs at these three lakes. This action is not intended to alter the Corps' lease and property accountability policies. It is the conferees' understanding that the State of Colorado has agreed to cost share this project on a 50/50 basis, and that the Secretary is not to assume, nor share in the future, the operation and maintenance of these recreation facilities. Of the funds provided, the Corps is directed to conduct a reallocation study for the Chatfield Reservoir project.

Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, DE and MD.—Additional funds are included for maintenance costs for the SR–1 Bridge.

Miami River, Florida.—The Corps is directed to complete its analysis of the Miami River maintenance project and to submit the final report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act.

Apalachiacola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, GA, AL and FL.—The conferees understand that the State of Florida has denied the Corps a State Water Quality Certification; therefore, no funds are provided for dredging this waterway in Florida.

Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.— Additional funds have been provided for deferred maintenance at public use facilities.

Saylorville Lake, Iowa.—Additional funds have been provided to maintain the project's basic level of service.

Barren River Lake, Kentucky.—Additional funds have been provided for repair and upgrade of public use facilities.

Mississippi River Gulf Outlet.—The conferees are aware of current discussions among the Port of New Orleans, St. Bernard Parish Administration officials and other key stakeholders to confect a closure plan for the Mississippi River Gulf Outlet (MRGO) to deep draft navigation and to provide coastal restoration and enhanced hurricane and flood protection to the residents of St. Bernard and Orleans Parishes. This agreement may require a shallower depth than is presently authorized. The conferees support this intiative and urge the parties to reach an agreement as soon as possible.

Duluth-Superior Harbor, Minnesota and Wisconsin.—Within the funds provided for Duluth-Superior Harbor, $300,000 shall be available for a freshwater corrosion study.

Albuquerque levees, New Mexico.—The conference agreement includes funds to assess impacts and to make immediate repairs to levees.

Conchas Lake, New Mexico.—Additional funds have been provided for rehabilitation of public use facilities.

Upper Rio Grande Water Operations Model, New Mexico.—Within the funds provided, $500,000 is for New Mexico photographic mapping to be conducted utilizing the Corps' Center of Expertise for Photogrammetric Mapping in St. Louis.

Garrison Dam and Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota.—Within the funds provided, $250,000 shall be available for the removal of noxious weeds, and $100,000 shall be for mosquito control.

Columbia and Lower Willamette River below Vancouver, Washington and Portland, Oregon.—The conference agreement includes $750,000 for continued work at the Astoria Boat Basin.

Fern Ridge Dam, Oregon.—The conference agreement includes funds to operate and maintain Fern Ridge Dam. The conferees are aware that no additional funds are required for emergency repairs at the dam as such expenses have been fully covered in fiscal year 2005.

Kinzua Dam and Allegheny Reservoir, Pennsylvania.—Within the funds provided, $300,000 shall be available for recreational improvements to include visitor center and fishing access improvements.

Ohio River, Pittsburgh to Huntington, Pennsylvania, West Virginia and Ohio.—Within the funds provided, the Corps is directed to utilize $2,500,000 in cooperation with Operation Respond, a non-profit organization, to implement a demonstration project developing and testing software and message/alert systems for use by emergency responders as they prepare for and respond to commercial transportation incidents on the Nation's waterways. This project is to be coordinated with the U.S. Coast Guard, commercial transportation carriers, ports, emergency responders and other stakeholders along this segment of the Ohio River.

Oahe Dam, Lake Oahe, South Dakota and North Dakota.—The conferees urge the Corps to take all necessary steps to relocate the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe's water intake on the Missouri River to ensure continued operation of the water system and an uninterrupted water supply for the Reservation.

Whitney Lake, Texas.—Within the funds provided, not less than $900,000 shall be for Ham Creek Park and not less than $300,000 shall be available for Kimball Park Bend.

Mud Mountain, Washington.—Within the funds provided, up to $903,000 is available to satisfy Federal fish passage obligations for the term of the cooperative agreement with Puget Sound Energy.

The Dalles Lock and Dam, Washington and Oregon.—Funds are provided for Lewis and Clark activities at Celilo Park.

Chinook, Head of Sand Island and Baker Bay, Washington.—The conferees note the proximity of Corps navigation facilities on the Columbia River between Chinook and the Head of Sand Island, Washington, and at Baker Bay, Washington, and encourage the Corps of Engineers to seek ways to achieve cost savings and efficiency, such as by utilizing appropriate contracting methods while having these two projects be considered together when seeking bids and awarding contracts.

Remaining items, regional sediment management support program.—Within the funds provided, the amounts are to be allocated as follows:

Fletcher Cove, Solona Beach, California	$300,000
Southeast Coast of Oahu, Hawaii	400,000
Littoral Drift Restoration Program, Benson Beach, WA	1,584,000
Lido Key, Sarasota, and vicinity and central and southern Brevard County to Dade	325,000
South Jetty and Clatsop Spit, Oregon	300,000
Coastal zone mapping and imaging laser, University of Southern Mississippi	4,500,000

Removal of sunken vessels.—The conference agreement includes $775,000 for the removal of sunken vessels, of which $275,000 shall be for the removal of the State of Pennsylvania from the Christina River at Wilmington, Delaware.

Centrally-funded activities.—The conferees agree that centralized management of project funds is efficient and is allowed under current guidelines for certain activities. These activities include, but are not limited to: the program development system known as the Automated Budget System; the National Recreation Reservation System; the provision of uniforms for those required to wear them; the Volunteer Clearinghouse; the Water Safety program; the transition from government owned/contractor-operated to private ownership and operation of the National Coastal Mapping Program; and the Sign Standards Program. Significant cost savings can be realized from funding these activities centrally by withholding the necessary amounts from the affected projects' appropriations prior to allocation. It is critical that cost efficient management strategies, such as the above, be employed by the Corps in accomplishing its mission at least cost, when such strategies support the appropriated program. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to disclose the costs of these activities in its budget justifications.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

The conference agreement provides no appropriation for Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies, as proposed by the House, instead of $43,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees note the significant appropriations made to the Corps in fiscal years 2005 and 2006 to respond to Hurricane Katrina and other natural disasters, which are available to maintain its readiness posture.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides $160,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the House instead of $150,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are concerned with the growing backlog and the delay in approving various permits, particularly in the Jacksonville, Florida and Sacramento, California offices. Accordingly, the conferees expect that not less than ten percent of the increase over these offices' fiscal year 2005 district-specific allocation be directed to each of these offices from the funds provided above the fiscal year 2005 level.

The conferees encourage the Army Corps of Engineers to conduct a balanced and comprehensive review of the Champlin's Marina Application #CENAE–R–2003–00648 for the Great Salt Pond, Block Island, Rhode Island. This review should include all relevant information pertaining to navigation, safety, competing uses and cumulative impact on

the Great Salt Pond, including consideration of the Corps—permitted mooring field as delineated in Army Corps Permit No. 1987–00012 issued to the Town of New Shoreham in July, 1998.

REVOLVING FUND

The conferees agree that costs of the CFO audit may be funded from the revolving fund. However, given the delay in award and the unknown out-year costs associated with the CFO study, the conferees direct the Corps to provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, a complete scope, cost allocation and out-year funding requirements of the CFO study. Such analysis shall also include comparative information on other Federal agencies' costs of similar CFO studies. The Corps is further directed not make an award for the CFO study until the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations have approved the scope and cost of the proposed CFO study.

The conference agreement includes a provision that prohibits the expenditure of funds from the plant replacement and improvement program to rehabilitate or to abate lead and asbestos from the Dredge McFarland. The House bill included a similar provision that reduced funds included in title I of the Act. No similar provision was included in the Senate bill. The conferees are frustrated that a final report required by the conference agreement accompanying the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act of 2004 detailing the recommendations on investment decisions on the Corps' dredge fleet has yet to be delivered to Congress. Accordingly, the Corps is directed to submit the report to Congress not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, after which the appropriate authorizing committees should determine the appropriate Federal dredge fleet.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides $140,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program as proposed by both the House and Senate. The conferees direct the Corps during fiscal year 2006 to prepare design specifications for the Shallow Land Disposal Area, Parks Township, Pennsylvania, and to complete investigations and initiate cleanup expeditiously for the former Sylvania nuclear fuel site in Hicksville, New York, and for the Luckey, Ohio, site.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $154,000,000 for general expenses, instead of $152,021,000 as proposed by the House and $165,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. In addition, the conference agreement assumes that $8,000,000 in unobligated balances carried forward into fiscal year 2006, namely to fund the CFO study, shall be applied to fund personnel and other administrative activities, so that total appropriations available in fiscal year 2006 equal the budget estimate. The conference agreement stipulates that the total cost of the CFO study be funded from the revolving fund.

The amounts available for general expenses in fiscal year 2006 shall be available as follows:

GENERAL EXPENSES ($000)

Major subordinate command	FY 06 FTE	FY 2006 allocation
Great Lakes & Ohio River Division	69	$9,561
Mississippi River Valley Division	73	9,589
North Atlantic Division	62	9,071
Northwestern Division	68	8,866
Pacific Ocean Division	19	3,177
South Atlantic Division	63	9,264
South Pacific Division	62	9,900
Southwestern Division	60	8,268

GENERAL EXPENSES ($000)—Continued

Major subordinate command	FY 06 FTE	FY 2006 allocation
Headquarters	402	56,852
Hydrologic Engineering Center—HQ	0	7,564
Hydrologic Engineer Center	81	7,741
Engineering Research and Development Center	2	204
Institute for Water Resources	27	4,108
Finance Center	9	824
Program Accounts		12,600
Commander's withholding		4,411
Subtotal		162,000
Use of prior year balances		−8,000
Total		154,000

The conference agreement includes the following adjustments to the budget estimate:

Civil Works program accounts:	
Decrease in implementing competitive sourcing	−$2,000,000
Decrease in e-government initiatives	−500,000
Undistributed reduction	−2,000,000
Other activities	+4,500,000

The conference agreement includes a provision making $4,500,000 available for analyses on water resource management on a watershed or regional scale as proposed by the House.

The conferees urge the Chief Information Officer of the Corps to study a program to modernize and fully integrate the Corps' water management system and supervisory control data acquisition program to reduce costs of the on-going improvements, maintenance, and technical support and to provide improved data sharing and management decision making.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (CIVIL WORKS)

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works as proposed by the House. The Senate bill contained no similar appropriation. The conferees agree with the direction of the House with respect to indirect costs and the budgeting thereof. The conferees further note that funding for this office is within the jurisdiction of Energy and Water Development Subcommittees of both the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, and none other.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by both the House and Senate relating to reception and representation expenses and the replacement and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House relating to reprogramming. The Senate bill contained no similar provision. Reprogrammings are discussed in greater detail earlier in this statement of managers.

The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to credits and reimbursements. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House relating to the Muskingum Watershed in Ohio. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate relating to Civil Works functions. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate relating to St. George's Bridge, Delaware. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House relating to continuing contracts and includes a provision that limits the availability of funds for certain continuing contracts authorized by section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2331). The Rivers and Harbors Appropriations Act of 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621) provides authority for the Corps of Engineers to use continuing contracts for "public work on canals, rivers, and harbors adopted by Congress." Section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2331) requires the use of a continuing contract for a certain set of water resources projects, i.e., those for which initiation of construction has occurred (defined as the date of enactment of an Act that appropriates funds for the project in one of three appropriations accounts: Construction, General; Operation and Maintenance, General; and Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries). The conference agreement narrows the applicability of Section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, so that the Corps is only required to use continuing contracts for projects that are funded under the Operation and Maintenance account and the Operation and Maintenance subaccount of the Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries account. The permissive authority established in Rivers and Harbors Appropriations Act of 1922 remains unaltered, so the Corps may use, but is not required to use, continuing contracts.

The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works may approve the use of continuing contracts in limited circumstances. The Assistant Secretary for Civil Works shall:

(1) Provide within 60 days of enactment of this Act to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report identifying all existing continuing contracts and the amounts, by fiscal year, of the out-year funding requirements; and

(2) Provide a quarterly update to the report identified above in item (1).

In the execution of any new continuing contract or modifications to an existing continuing contract, the Corps shall not commit an amount in excess of the amounts appropriated for such project in this Act or otherwise available for the project, as provided in sections 101 and 105 of this Act. The conference agreement affirms the management reforms undertaken by the Corps and the directions of the House relating to management and execution of continuing contracts.

The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate relating to Chief of Engineers reports. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement modifies a provision as proposed by the House relating to continuing contracts. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate relating to transmittal of certain reports of the Chief of Engineers. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the Senate relating to Baltimore Metropolitan Water Resources-Gwynns Falls Watershed. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to New York and New Jersey Harbor as proposed by the House. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Marmet Lock, Kanawha River, West Virginia, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Truckee Meadows Flood Control Project, Nevada.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Lake Cumberland, Kentucky, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Lower Las Vegas Wash, Nevada, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Yazoo Basin, Big Sunflower River, Mississippi, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Lower Mississippi River Museum and Interpretive Site, Mississippi, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to the Central New Mexico project, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Los Angeles Harbor, California, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Alpine, California, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the Senate relating to a biological opinion in New Mexico. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference report includes a provision relating to Bluestone, West Virginia, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to a wastewater infrastructure project in DeSoto County, Mississippi.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to a flood control project in Las Vegas Wash and Tributaries, Nevada.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Lake Michigan Waterfront and related areas, Lake and Porter Counties, Indiana.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Chesapeake Bay oyster restoration.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to a flood control project at Little Calumet River, Indiana.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to the American River watershed in California. This section adds new language to previously authorized flood damage reduction work at Folsom Dam and encourages the joint efforts currently being pursued by the Corps of Engineers, Bureau of Reclamation, the State of California, and the Sacramento Flood Control Agency (SAFCA) to address both flood damage reduction and dam safety needs at Folsom Dam, California. It also clarifies language in the fiscal year 2004 Energy & Water Development Appropriations Act regarding the new bridge below Folsom Dam. This bridge is an integral and necessary component of any flood damage or dam safety work that is to be accomplished at the dam. The Corps of Engineers has primary federal responsibility for the bridge but the Bureau of Reclamation, which operates Folsom Dam, also plays an integral role. The two agencies must work cooperatively to implement the work in a timely manner. Subsection (a) directs the Corps of Engineers and Bureau of Reclamation to coordinate technical reviews, joint planning, and preliminary design work for flood damage reduction improvements and dam safety needs at Folsom Dam and Reservoir. Subsections (b) and (c) clarify congressional intent by designating the Corps as the federal agency responsible for implementing the bridge and specifying that any additional funding requirement associated with converting the bridge from a temporary structure to a permanent one is to be a federal responsibility. This is in recognition of the fact that the road currently on top of Folsom Dam, which has been open for public use for most of the time since the dam was constructed, will ultimately be closed permanently for security reasons. Subsection (d) allows ''902'' cost increase provisions to apply to bridge costs just as it does for any other Corps project. This normal and customary application of existing law, when applied to the original costs cited in the fiscal year 2004 Act and updated to current conditions, will increase amounts available for estimates of both temporary and permanent bridge costs. Subsection (e) directs the Corps and the Bureau to proceed with expedited construction of the bridge and associated roadways, and encourages the Corps to make efforts to implement and project in a manner that is compatible with future improvements for flood control. The conferees understand that related efforts are underway to address potential structural changes to Folsom Dam to address flood control and dam safety concerns; however, these related efforts should not needlessly delay timely construction of the bridge/roadway project. If modifications to the completed bridge/roadway project are deemed necessary to accomplish flood control and dam safety objectives, Congress will authorize modifications to the project that may be necessary. The conferees direct both the Corps and the Bureau to work expeditiously to complete reviews, approvals and other administrative actions that may be necessary to expedite this work, including providing necessary easements and rights-of-way. A reporting requirement is included in subsection (f).

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Jacksonville Harbor, Florida.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to environmental infrastructure in the State of Ohio.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Onondaga Lake, New York.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to White River Basin, Arkansas.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to the Calcasieu ship channel, Louisiana.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to a flood damage reduction project at Johnson Creek, Texas.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to previously appropriate funds for Hudson River, Athens, New York.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to the Corps of Engineers district office in Charleston, South Carolina.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to the Louisville, Kentucky Waterfront Park.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to a navigation project in Akutan, Alaska.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to Poplar Island, Maryland.

The conference agreement deletes a provision relating to a disposal barrier in Vermont and New York.

The conference agreement deletes several provisions relating to the Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement Project.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to regulatory permitting.

TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement includes a total of $32,614,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Within the funds appropriated, the conference agreement includes $31,668,000 for Central Utah project construction; $946,000 for fish, wildlife, and recreation mitigation and conservation; and $1,736,000 for program oversight and administration.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement includes an appropriation of $883,514,000 for water and related resources, instead of $832,000,000 as proposed by the House and $899,569,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes provisions proposed by the Senate relating to the Snyderville Basin Water Supply Study Special Report in the State of Utah. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement for water and related resources is shown in the following table:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES				
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	---	7,200	---	6,890
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, COLORADO RIVER BASIN	22,128	95	22,228	91
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM	2,455	---	7,200	---
FORT MCDOWELL SETTLEMENT ACT	400	---	383	---
NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	250	---	239	---
PHOENIX METROPOLITAN WATER REUSE PROJECT	200	---	250	---
SALT RIVER PROJECT	300	---	287	---
SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT	100	---	100	---
SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	4,725	---	4,522	---
SOUTH/CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	795	---	1,154	---
TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION	300	---	287	---
YUMA AREA PROJECTS	1,722	20,378	1,722	20,297
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT	988	588	946	834
CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	580	---	555	---
CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PLANT	1,350	---	2,153	---
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT				
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION	2,060	7,437	3,060	7,437
AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT	5,966	---	6,774	---

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
DELTA DIVISION	10,441	5,752	9,992	5,505
EAST SIDE DIVISION	1,907	2,297	1,825	2,198
FRIANT DIVISION	2,235	3,481	2,635	3,331
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS	12,511	1,114	14,574	1,066
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, AND EXTRAORDINARY MAINT.	---	23,200	---	22,202
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVERSION	2,381	1,759	2,316	1,683
SAN FELIPE DIVISION	846	---	810	---
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	300	---	287	---
SHASTA DIVISION	1,050	7,606	1,005	7,279
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	7,621	3,242	8,621	3,103
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	1,707	10,211	1,634	9,772
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT	5,191	7,146	4,968	6,839
YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	500	---	479	---
LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT	100	---	3,000	---
LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT	650	---	622	---
LONG BEACH DESALINATION PROJECT	---	---	1,250	---
MISSION SPRINGS WATER REUSE, DESERT HOT SPRINGS, CA	---	---	150	---
NAPA - SONOMA - MARIN AGRICULTURAL REUSE PROJECT	---	---	120	---
NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	1,250	---	1,875	---
ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT, PHAS	1,250	---	2,250	---
ORLAND PROJECT	41	920	39	880
PASADENA RECLAIMED WATER PROJECT	---	---	77	---
PLACER COUNTY SUB-REGIONAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROJEC	---	---	2,000	---
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVERSION STUDY	---	---	1,000	---
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	1,000	---	4,828	---

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM....	3,500	---	3,350	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT.....	500	---	479	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION PROJECT.....	---	---	10,000	---
SAN JOSE WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM.....	300	---	422	---
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER CONJUNCTIVE USE PROJECT.....	---	---	500	---
SOLANO PROJECT.....	1,502	2,863	1,437	2,740
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	550	---	766	---
VENTURA RIVER PROJECT.....	596	---	570	---
WATSONVILLE AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT.....	---	---	957	---
COLORADO				
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT, CRSP SECTION 5 & 8.....	52,000	---	56,000	---
COLLBRAN PROJECT.....	166	1,277	159	1,222
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT.....	438	16,151	419	15,457
COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	200	---	191	---
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT.....	20	128	19	122
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT.....	173	8,579	166	8,210
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II.....	233	670	223	641
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY.....	72	2,250	69	2,153
MANCOS PROJECT.....	86	88	82	84
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II.....	62	2,055	59	1,967
PINE RIVER PROJECT.....	114	128	109	122
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT.....	279	5,490	267	5,254
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT.....	172	126	165	121

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
HAWAII				
HAWAIIAN RECLAIM AND REUSE STUDY	---	---	500	---
IDAHO				
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	2,480	2,520	2,373	2,412
COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	17,500	---	16,748	---
IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	548	---	524	---
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	3,169	2,639	3,033	2,526
MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	200	---	191	---
MINIDOKA PROJECT, GRASSY LAKE SOD 2/	---	310	---	297
KANSAS				
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	150	---	144	---
WICHITA PROJECT	261	334	250	320
MONTANA				
FORT PECK DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM	---	---	16,000	---
HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT	---	331	---	317
HUNTLEY PROJECT	26	125	25	120
MILK RIVER PROJECT	455	852	435	815

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS	385	---	368	---
NORTH CENTRAL MONTANA RURAL WATER PROJECT	---	---	5,750	---
ST MARY FACILITIES REHABILITATION	---	---	500	---
SUN RIVER PROJECT	---	241	---	231
NEBRASKA				
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	12	71	11	68
NEBRASKA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	128	---	122	---
NEVADA				
HALFWAY WASH PROJECT STUDY	200	---	957	---
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	4,520	3,057	4,520	2,926
LAKE MEAD /LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	1,200	---	2,656	---
NORTH LAS VEGAS WATER REUSE	---	---	1,000	---
SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	---	---	3,423	---
NEW MEXICO				
ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER & RECLAMATION REUSE	---	---	1,000	---
CARLSBAD PROJECT	2,297	822	2,198	787
CHIMAYO WATER PLAN	---	---	1,000	---
EASTERN NEW MEXICO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS	70	---	67	---
ESPANOLA WATER DIVERSION	---	---	1,000	---

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
JICARILLA APACHE RESERVATION RURAL WATER SYSTEM	---	---	250	---
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	9,150	9,850	18,650	9,426
NAVAJO GALLUP WATER SUPPLY	---	---	479	---
NAVAJO NATION INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	180	---	172	---
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	---	181	---	173
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	1,134	3,567	1,085	3,414
SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	150	---	144	---
SOUTHERN NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	230	---	220	---
TUCUMCARI PROJECT	56	7	54	7
NORTH DAKOTA				
DAKOTAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	237	---	227	---
DAKOTAS TRIBES INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	84	---	80	---
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM, GARRISON DIVERSION	22,640	4,197	23,580	4,017
OKLAHOMA				
ARBUCKLE PROJECT	17	183	16	175
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	33	518	32	496
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	17	338	16	323
NORMAN PROJECT	17	384	16	367
NORMAN FEASIBILITY STUDY	---	---	144	---
OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	155	---	148	---
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	30	1,155	29	1,105

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	137	389	131	372
OREGON				
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	661	446	633	427
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	---	---	1,000	---
DESCHUTES PROJECT	301	147	288	141
EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	544	362	521	346
KLAMATH PROJECT	21,310	690	20,394	660
OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	450	---	431	---
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	780	223	746	213
SAVAGE RAPIDS DAM REMOVAL	1,000	---	1,500	---
TUALATIN PROJECT	475	147	455	141
TUALATIN VALLEY WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY PROJECT	---	---	287	---
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT, PHASE III STUDY	200	---	191	---
UMATILLA PROJECT	803	3,127	768	2,993
SOUTH DAKOTA				
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	15,000	---	17,500	---
MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT	---	15	400	14
MNI WICONI PROJECT	22,447	7,053	22,807	6,750
PERKINS COUNTY RURAL WATER DISTRICT	---	---	957	---
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT, DEERFIELD DAM	---	50	48	---

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
TEXAS				
BALMORHEA PROJECT	24	---	23	---
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	69	97	66	93
EL PASO WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	---	---	103	---
LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY WATER RESOURCES	50	---	1,475	---
NUECES RIVER	36	503	34	481
SAN ANGELO PROJECT	17	344	16	329
TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	214	---	205	---
TRINITY RIVER WATERWATER STUDY	---	---	96	---
WILLIAMSON COUNTY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	---	---	96	---
UTAH				
HYRUM PROJECT	125	30	120	29
MOON LAKE PROJECT	13	27	12	26
NEWTON PROJECT	43	23	41	22
NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	154	---	387	---
OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	228	35	218	33
PARK CITY FEASIBILITY STUDY	---	---	479	---
PROVO RIVER PROJECT	894	319	856	305
PROVO RIVER PROJECT, DEER CREEK DAM	---	4,900	---	4,689
SCOFIELD PROJECT	86	27	82	26
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	177	8	169	8
WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,841	357	1,762	342

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
WEBER RIVER PROJECT	41	80	39	77
WASHINGTON				
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	4,047	7,616	3,873	7,289
MAKAH INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY	---	---	300	---
STORAGE DAM FISH PASSAGE FEASIBILITY STUDY	780	---	746	---
WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	300	---	718	---
YAKIMA PROJECT	1,524	6,398	1,458	6,123
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER STORAGE	---	---	1,500	---
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	8,500	---	8,500	---
WYOMING				
KENDRICK PROJECT	50	4,010	48	3,838
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	79	1,817	76	1,739
SHOSHONE PROJECT	62	740	59	708
WYOMING INVESTIGATION PROGRAM	40	---	38	---
VARIOUS				
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT, TITLE I	---	10,673	---	10,214
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II	10,000	---	9,570	---
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 5	6,293	3,403	6,022	3,257
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8	4,030	---	3,857	---

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	465	---	445	---
DAM SAFETY PROGRAM				
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	---	1,500	1,500	---
INITIATE SOD CORRECTIVE ACTION	---	44,578	42,661	---
SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES	---	100	96	---
SAFETY OF EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS	---	18,500	17,705	---
DEPARTMENTAL IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM	---	---	1,818	---
DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE	500	---	479	---
EMERGENCY PLANNING & DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM	---	1,360	---	1,360
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION	9,734	---	9,315	---
ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ACTIVITIES	1,790	---	1,713	---
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	965	---	924	---
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES	---	5,699	---	5,454
FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM	---	1,575	---	1,507
GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES	2,006	---	1,920	---
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	7,000	---	6,699	---
LOWER COLORADO RIVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	300	---	287	---
LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	17,894	---	17,125	---
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS	---	631	---	604
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM	7,525	---	8,125	---
NATURAL RESOURCES DAMAGE ASSESSMENT	300	---	287	---
NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING	1,745	---	1,670	---
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	165	876	158	838
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN - OTHER PROJECTS	3,537	38,553	3,385	36,895
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	1,020	212	976	203

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		CONFERENCE	
	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES OM&R
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM	634	124	607	119
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION	2,368	---	2,266	---
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE XXVIII	582	---	557	---
RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	1,570	---	1,502	---
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT 3/				
DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	25	---	7,025	---
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	9,684	---	9,268	---
SITE SECURITY	---	50,000	40,000	---
SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION	293	---	280	---
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES	1,884	---	1,803	---
TITLE XVI, WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM	1,229	---	3,729	---
UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECHNICAL SUPPORT	80	---	77	---
WATER CONSERVATION FIELD SERVICE PROGRAM 4/	8,950	---	10,043	---
WATER 2025	30,000	---	5,000	---
WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT	---	---	718	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS	-30,172	---	---	---
Subtotal, Water and Related Resources	409,892	391,677	617,027	266,487
Total, Water and Related Resources (combined)	801,569	---	883,514	---

Central Arizona project, Arizona.—The conference agreement includes additional funds to continue a biological assessment or other appropriate evaluation of environmental impact from the potential diversions of flow from the Gila River consistent with the terms of the consumptive use and forbearance agreement ratified by Congress in the Arizona Water Settlements Act in order to receive a biological opinion or other appropriate determination by December 2008.

Colorado Front Work and Levee System, Arizona.—The conferees have provided additional funds for continued work on the regulating reservoirs on the All American Canal and for initiation of appropriate studies to determine if additional capacity can be economically realized behind Laguna Dam if sediment is removed. The conferees understand that these projects have the potential of saving as much as 300,000 acre-feet of Colorado River System water that would otherwise be over-delivered to Mexico. Because of the potential for such water savings (essentially Nevada's entire annual share of Colorado River Water), the conferees strongly recommend that Reclamation proceed aggressively with this work and to reflect the urgency of completing these projects in future budget requests. Because the regulating reservoir and Laguna Dam sediment removal provide needed improvements in river control, management and river system efficiencies, all of which are Federal responsibilities, the conferees believe that they should be undertaken at full Federal expense.

Within the funds provided, the conference agreement includes $4,750,000 to continue planning and design of regulating reservoirs near the All American Canal.

South/central Arizona investigations program.—Within the funds provided, $109,000 is available to complete the final report of phase II of the central Arizona salinity study and $250,000 for the West Salt River Management Study.

Yuma area projects, Arizona and California.—The conference agreement includes $22,019,000 for the Yuma area projects in Arizona and California. Within the funds provided, $500,000 is available for renovation and refurbishment of the City of Needles, California Bureau Bay Reclamation Project site.

Cachuma Project, California.—$500,000 is provided for the Lake Cachuma Water and Sewerage Plant.

Central Valley Project, California.—Auburn/Folsom South Unit, California.—The Auburn-Folsom South Unit was authorized for construction by Congress by the Act of September 2, 1965, P.L. 89–161, 79 Stat. 615. No construction on Auburn Dam has occurred since August of 1975. The costs and associated benefits of the Auburn-Folsom South Unit were last calculated in 1962. To determine whether a full feasibility study is warranted, these values must be updated to current levels. The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to complete a special report to udpate the analysis of costs and associated benefits of the Auburn-Folsom South Unit of the Central Valley Project. The report is due to committees of jurisdiction by August 30, 2006.

American River Division.—Within the funds provided, $1,000,000 shall be available for the El Dorado Temperature Control Device.

Friant Division.—$200,000 has been provided for appraisal level studies of the Madera Irrigation District Water Supply Enhancement and $200,000 is provided for the Semitropic Groundwater Storage Project.

Miscellaneous project programs.—Additional funds above the budget request are provided to complete phase II of the Kaweah River Delta Corridor Enhancement Study ($63,000) and $2,000,000 is provided for the Sacramento Valley Water Management Program, which shall be made available for a cooperative agreement or agreements with the Northern California Water Association or its member agencies for the completion of the necessary environmental documents, and development and implementation of projects in support of the Sacramento Valley Management Plan, including those projects that will integrate the Lower Tuscan Groundwater Formation into the Sacramento Valley surface water system through conjunctive water management.

Sacramento River Division.—Additional funds above the budget request are provided to complete the Glen Colusa Irrigation District Fish Screen Improvement Project.

Trinity River Division.—The conference agreement provides $500,000 above the budget request for the Fishery Restoration program. These funds are to be used in concert with the $2,000,000 provided in the Central Valley Project Restoration Program to meet Federal trust responsibilities to protect the fishery resources of the Hoopa Valley Tribe. The Commissioner is urged to continue to support a Co-Management Agreement between the Hoopa Valley Tribe and the Bureau of Reclamation.

In addition, the conferees have provided $500,000 for the acquisition and/or modification of floodplain structures necessary for release of 11,000 cubic feet per second in an extremely wet water year.

Salton Sea research project.—The conference agreement includes $4,828,000 for the Salton Sea research project, including $1,500,000 to continue environmental restoration efforts at the Alamo and New Rivers, and for other authorized pilot projects. The Bureau is encouraged to work jointly with the Salton Sea Authority and assist the Authority in running its own pilot projects.

Southern California investigations program.—The conference agreement includes $766,000 for the Southern California investigations program. Within the funds provided, $100,000 has been included to assist the Western Municipal Water District in general planning and associated environmental compliance activities related to the Riverside-Corona Feeder project; $300,000 to assist the Lake Arrowhead Community Services District to develop a groundwater management plan; and $100,000 to assist the City of Apple Valley, California to develop an appraisal study of the water reclamation portion of the City of Apple Valley's sewage treatment and reclamation project.

Lahontan Basin Project, Nevada.—The conferees have learned that dam safety issues have arisen concerning Tahoe Dam. As this dam provides more than 70 percent of the water supply for the area, it is imperative that safety remediation activities be undertaken as soon as possible. The conferees understand that preliminary investigations are underway and will be continued with budgeted funds in fiscal year 2006. The conferees expect Reclamation to ask for the appropriate funding level in the fiscal year 2007 budget to address safety issues.

Middle Rio Grande Project, New Mexico.—The conferees support the reorganization of the Endangered Species Act Collaborative Program resulting in the Army Corps of Engineers, in collaboration with the Fish and Wildlife Service, taking responsibility to provide the administrative support for the program and the Army Corps of Engineers taking responsibility to meet the Reasonable and Prudent Alternative of the 2003 Biological Opinion required by section 205 of Public Law 108–447 (118 Stat 2949) other than the water acquisition and management functions set out in the Reasonable and Prudent Alternative. Additionally, the Army Corps of Engineers will assume responsibility for providing a detailed spending plan for fiscal year 2006 funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees for approval; complete the baseline Long-Term Plan and complete the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement before the end of fiscal year 2006. The Bureau of Reclamation retains responsibility to meet the Reasonable and Prudent Alternative regarding water acquisition and management, including acquisition of water to meet the flow requirements articulated in the 2003 Biological Opinion and development of a long-term plan to meet these flow requirements. The conferees expect the Bureau of Reclamation to facilitate a smooth transition of administrative functions for the program to the Army Corps of Engineers and the Fish and Wildlife Service within three months of the beginning of fiscal year 2006. Of the total $28,076,000 provided for the Middle Rio Grande Project, the conferees have provided $12,900,000 for the collaborative program. Of these funds, the Bureau of Reclamation is provided $5,000,000 for water acquisition and associated administrative support within the Bureau; the Bureau is to transfer $7,500,000 to the Army Corps of Engineers to fund population management, habitat restoration, water management studies, fish passage and river connectivity, minnow management, water quality, science and monitoring, biological opinion monitoring, and program management to meet the 2003 Biological Opinion Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives; and to provide $400,000 to the Fish and Wildlife Service for program management support. The cost-share requirements of the program remain 75 percent Federal/25 percent non-Federal for all activities except water acquisition and program administration. Non-Federal cost share may be provided through in-kind services and participation on the administration team. The conferees have provided $1,000,000 above the request for the further refinement of the Upper Rio Grande Water Operations Model in collaboration with the Army Corps of Engineers, Sandia National Laboratories and the other partners. Additionally, $2,000,000 is provided for completion of construction and initial operation of the off-channel sanctuary authorized under section 6014 of Public Law 109–13.

Deschutes ecosystem restoration project, Oregon.—The conferees have provided $1,000,000 to continue this project.

Northern Utah investigations program, Utah.—Additional funds are for the Rural Water Technology Alliance.

Washington investigations program, Washington.—Within the funds provided, $118,000 is for the Odessa Sub Area study, and $50,000 is for the West Canal study.

Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Project, Title I.—The conferees note that weather modification is but one way to augment and maximize flows in the river, and direct the Department of the Interior and the Bureau to begin processes to produce augmentation strategies.

The conferees understand that Reclamation has initiated a public process to solicit information about potential methods to recover or replace agricultural return flows from the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District that bypass the Colorado River and are discharged to the Cienega de Santa Clara in Mexico (bypass flows). The U.S. has bypassed highly saline agricultural return flows to the Cienega to help meet Colorado River water quality obligations to Mexico. However, the bypass flows are not included in the 1.5 million acre-feet of water that the U.S. is required to deliver annually to Mexico. Consequently, system storage from the Colorado River has been used to make up for the bypass flow. The current drought and projected long-term water demands have heightened concern about this

demand on the river system. The Yuma Desalting Plant was originally constructed to recover part of the bypass flows and return them to the river. Various other methods for recovering or replacing the flows have been proposed including options that address potential impacts to the wetlands in the Cienega de Santa Clara. The conferees believe that this public process is a positive step in attempting to address this complex hydrologic problem and encourage Reclamation to continue this stakeholder process. Recognizing that the Yuma Desalting Plant may be one part of the solution to the return flow issue, the conferees believe that it is prudent to reiterate the direction from previous Acts that sufficient resources be dedicated to the Yuma Desalting Plant so that one-third operational capacity may be achieved by the end of calendar year 2006.

El Paso, Water Reclamation and Reuse, Texas.—The conference agreement includes $103,000 to complete the project as currently authorized.

Native American Affairs program.—Additional funds provided above the budget request are for continued work on the AAMODT settlement.

Research and development, desalination research and development program.—The conferees urge the Bureau of Reclamation to place a higher priority on desalination activities in future budgets given the importance of sustainable water supplies to the West and to other regions of the country. The conference agreement provides $7,000,000 for the completion of construction of the Tularosa Basin Desalination Facility, New Mexico, and initial operation. Upon completion of the facility, the Bureau is directed to select an organization to operate the facility under Bureau direction. In this selection, the Bureau should give priority to local educational institutions with expertise, do not need to relocate and have on-going water research activities.

Title XVI, Water Reclamation and Reuse.—The conference agreement includes $3,729,000 for this program, of which $2,500,000 shall be for the WateReuse Foundation. These funds shall be available to support the Foundation's research priorities.

Departmental irrigation program.—The conference agreement provides $1,818,000 for this program, of which $150,000 shall be for the Uncompaghre selenium control project and $1,668,000 for irrigation modernization activities for Elephant Butte Irrigation District.

Water 2025.—The conferees have included $1,000,000 to provide for continued efficiency and water improvements related to the Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District and $1,000,000 for work related to water efficiency and supply supplementation in the Pecos consistent with the partnership between the Carlsbad Irrigation District and the New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission. A critical component of reducing tension among multiple water users is collaborative planning and joint operations. Within the funds provided, $2,000,000 is for the Desert Research Institute to address water quality and environmental issues in ways that will bring industry and regulators to mutually acceptable answers. Funding of $1,000,000 for the alliance with the International Center for Water Resources Management at Central State University, OH, is also provided herein.

Building and site security.—The conference agreement includes $40,000,000 for building and site security activities, as proposed by the House, instead of $50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount provided recognizes that the Bureau of Reclamation is expected to receive approximately $10,000,000 in reimbursements for additional security guards and patrols, which are considered project O&M costs. The conferees agree, however, that all project beneficiaries that benefit from an enhanced security posture at the Bureau's facilities should pay a share of the security costs. Accordingly, the Bureau is directed to provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, a delineation of planned reimbursable security costs by project pro-rated by all project purposes.

Water conservation field service program.—Within the amounts provided, $1,000,000 shall be allocated for the Many Farms Irrigation Water Conservation project; $300,000 shall be allocated for urban water conservation projects identified through the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Innovative Conservation Program; and $100,000 shall be allocated to initiate a study to identify concurrent and overlapping government programs aimed at improving water resource efficiency.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement provides $52,219,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

CALIFORNIA BAY—DELTA RESTORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes $37,000,000 for the CalFed Delta Restoration program, as proposed by the Senate, instead of $35,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The funds provided are intended to support the following activities, as delineated below:

Environmental water account	$8,800,000
CALFED 180 Day Study	(500,000)
Storage program	11,500,000
San Joaquin River basin	(4,000,000)
Los Vaqueros	(3,200,000)
Shasta enlargement	(4,000,000)
Sites	(300,000)
Conveyance	4,800,000
San Luis Reservoir Low Point	(2,000,000)
Frank Tract	(500,000)
Planning and management activities	500,000
Water use efficiency	5,900,000
Westside regional drainage program	(1,650,000)
Butte County Groundwater Model	(250,000)
Inland Empire Utilities Agency regional water recycling project	(1,000,000)
Ecosystem restoration	2,500,000
Sacramento River small diversion fish screen program	(500,000)
Water Quality: Contra Costa Water District alternative intake project	2,000,000
Science program: Interagency ecological program	1,000,000
Total, California Bay-Delta Restoration	37,000,000

CALFED 180 Day Study.—The conference agreement includes $500,000, to be transferred to the Corps of Engineers, which shall be available to complete a report describing the Federal levee stability reconstruction projects and priorities that will be carried out through 2010. The conferees expect the Corps to budget appropriately for these activities in future budget submissions.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $57,917,000 for policy and administration as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

The conference agreement includes a provision limiting the purchase of not to exceed 14 passenger vehicles, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

The conference agreement includes a provision regarding the San Luis Unit and Kesterson Reservoir in California, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds for any water acquisition or lease in the Middle Rio Grande or Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless the acquisition is in compliance with existing state law and administered under state priority allocation. This provision was contained in both the House and Senate bills.

The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House relating to agreements with the City of Needles, California or the Imperial Irrigation District for the design and construction of stages of the Lower Colorado Water Supply Project. No similar provision was contained in the Senate bill.

The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate related to drought emergency assistance. No similar provision was contained in the House bill.

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed in the Senate bill relating to Water 2025. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes a provision related to the Rio Grande Collaborative Water Operations Team.

The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the Senate relating to the Desalination Act. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision as proposed by the Senate relating to Animas-La Plata. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to Desert Terminus Lakes. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision relating to a special report to update the analysis of costs and associated benefits of the Auburn-Folsom South Unit, Central Valley Project, California.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to Humbolt Project Title transfer.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to a feasibility study for Norman, Oklahoma.

The conference agreement deletes a provision relating to Animas-La Plata.

TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below. The allocations for specific projects and earmarks that were provided in the separate House and Senate reports are superceded by this conference report. Other programmatic guidance and reporting requirements identified in the separate House and Senate reports remain effective unless modified by the conference report.

The conferees are aware that the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Public Law 109–58) imposed a number of new requirements on the Department. Unfortunately, these requirements were not included in the fiscal year 2006 budget request nor in the conference allocation. For urgent needs associated with

the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the Department should submit a reprogramming request to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The conferees expect the Department to budget fully for these new requirements in the fiscal year 2007 request.

SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL CONSOLIDATION

The conferees support the House language regarding the complex wide consolidation of special nuclear materials (SNM). The conferees are disappointed with the lack of urgency demonstrated by the Department when it comes to addressing the security and cost liability of having significant quantities of special nuclear materials at multiple departmental facilities across the complex. Unfortunately, the Department has indicated that it will not be able to bring all of its facilities and operations into compliance with the latest Design Basis Threat until 2008. This delay is unacceptable. With the MOX project starting construction at the Savannah River Site, the Department should move forward aggressively to develop a complex wide plan to achieve the significant cost and security benefits of material consolidation. The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the nuclear material consolidation activities, including detailed cost, scope, and schedule of consolidation activities, and facilities targeted for deinventorying of SNM and sites and facilities available to support the consolidation mission. The report to the Committees is due by July 1, 2006.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTION

The conferees support the House language requiring the Secretary to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Energy and Water, a quarterly report on the status of all projects, reports, fund transfers, and other actions directed in the separate House and Senate reports for fiscal year 2006 and in this conference agreement.

BUDGET REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House language regarding budget justification requirements and five-year budget planning.

SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY IMPLEMENTATION

The conferees agree with the House report language regarding problems with the Design Basis Threat (DBT) for DOE sites. The conferees expect the Department to adopt a postulated threat, a DBT, and a DBT implementation strategy that is consistent with that used by other federal agencies.

AUGMENTING FEDERAL STAFF

The conferees continue to be concerned about the numbers of management and operating contractor employees assigned to the Washington metropolitan area. However, the conferees do not impose a numerical ceiling for fiscal year 2006, as has been the case in previous fiscal years. Instead, the conferees expect the Secretary and the responsible program offices to manage this issue closely and avoid excessive growth in the number of contractor personnel assigned to the Washington area. The conferees maintain the reporting requirements contained in the House report.

LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (LDRD)

The conferees are concerned with the level of overhead charges applied to programs funded in this bill and urge the Department to continue to work to minimize the overhead burden on all program activities. In order to ensure an equitable allocation of overhead costs the Secretary should apply overhead charges to LDRD activities consistent with cost accounting practices applied to program activities that are direct funded. The conference agreement increases the allowable percentage for LDRD, PDRD and SDRD activities to allow this accounting change without harming the underlying discretionary research activities. The change in accounting practices should be implemented with no net reduction in LDRD levels below 6 percent of the funds provided by the Department of Energy to such labs for national security activities and 2 percent for PDRD and SDRD activities at the appropriate plants and sites. Within 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations detailing how the accounting change will be implemented without impacting the basic research and the change shall be implemented within 180 days of enactment.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AT DOE LABORATORIES

Based on the recommendations of the GAO report (GAO–05–190) regarding equal employment opportunity within the Department of Energy, the conferees direct the Department of Energy to determine the causes of such disparities and take necessary corrective steps to address the problems identified.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The conferees require the Department to inform the Appropriations Committees promptly and fully when a change in program execution and funding is required during the fiscal year. To assist the Department in this effort, the following guidance is provided for programs and activities funded in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

Definition.—A reprogramming includes the reallocation of funds from one activity to another within an appropriation, or any significant departure from a program, project, or activity described in the agency's budget justification as presented to and approved by Congress. For construction projects, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project identified in the justifications to another project or a significant change in the scope of an approved project.

Criteria for Reprogramming.—A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises, and then only if delay of the project or the activity until the next appropriations year would result in a detrimental impact to an agency program or priority. Reprogrammings may also be considered if the Department can show that significant cost savings can accrue by increasing funding for an activity. Mere convenience or preference should not be factors for consideration.

Reprogrammings should not be employed to initiate new programs or to change program, project, or activity allocations specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or this statement. In cases where unforeseen events or conditions are deemed to require such changes, proposals shall be submitted in advance to the Appropriations Committees and be fully explained and justified.

Reporting and Approval Procedures.—The conferees have not provided statutory language to define reprogramming guidelines, but expect the Department to follow the letter and spirit of the guidance provided in this statement. Consistent with prior years, the conferees have not provided the Department with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2006, unless specifically identified in the conference report for particular programs, projects, or activities. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the Appropriations Committees in writing and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees on Appropriations.

ENERGY SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION

The conference agreement provides $1,830,936,000 for Energy Supply and Conservation. The conferees direct that the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability function as the principal DOE liaison with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Congressionally directed projects.—The conference agreement includes a list of Congressionally directed projects, within available funds, at the end of the Energy Supply and Conservation section. In the event the project totals exceed twenty percent of a subaccount, the Department has the discretion to fund these projects within other Energy Supply and Conservation subaccounts than those identified in the table. The conferees remind recipients that statutory cost sharing requirements may apply to these projects.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

The conference agreement provides $1,185,700,000 for energy efficiency and renewable energy resources. The conferees provide $4,000,000 for the National Center on Energy Management and Building Technologies, and direct that this project shall be subject to the cost-sharing requirements of a research project rather than a demonstration project.

The conferees support DOE's efforts to strengthen project management within the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) with the establishment of the Project Management Center (PMC). With the success of the PMC, the conferees see no need for third-party contracting agents, and discourage the Department from engaging in third-party arrangements for the award and distribution of federal funds.

Hydrogen Technology.—The conference agreement includes $157,199,000 for hydrogen technology, of which $76,100,000 is designated for fuel cell technologies. The conferees provide the budget request for distributed reforming and electricity development, and no funds for recapturing heat from PEM fuel cells within distributed energy systems. The conferees provide $14,900,000 for infrastructure and $24,000,000 for vehicles for the demonstration projects in the budget request.

Biomass and Biorefinery Systems R&D.—The conference agreement includes $91,634,000 for integrated research and development on biomass and biorefinery systems. The conferees provide $3,500,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research.

Solar Energy.—The conference agreement includes $83,953,000 for solar energy programs, which includes $11,000,000 for concentrating solar power.

Wind energy.—The conference agreement includes $39,249,000 for wind energy programs.

Geothermal Technology.—The conference agreement includes $23,299,000 for geothermal technology, to include continued funding at current year levels for GeoPowering the West.

Hydropower.—The conferees recommend $500,000 for hydropower research. The Department should complete integration studies and close out outstanding contracts in advanced hydropower technology.

Vehicle Technologies.—The conferees recommend $183,943,000, which includes an increase of $1,000,000 for Advanced Combustion R&D, Combustion and Emission Control. The conferees provide $19,000,000 for the Automotive Lightweight Materials program; $500,000 for the hydrogen natural gas vehicles cylinder safety, inspection and maintenance program; and $3,500,000 for the Off-Highway Program. The conference agreement provides

$10,000,000 to Oak Ridge National Laboratory to be divided evenly between materials development and computational modeling to develop highway transportation technologies.

Building Technologies.—The conferees recommend $69,966,000, to include $10,256,000 for equipment standards and analysis, an increase of $7,000,000 for lighting R&D, and a $3,000,000 increase for thermal insulation and building materials. Within the $20,000,000 provided for lighting R&D, $5,000,000 is to support a National Center for solid state lighting research and development through the Office of Science, to be competed among the centers for nanotechnologies. The conferees provide $1,000,000 for Oil Heat Research for residential buildings. The conferees encourage the Department to support energy efficiency research for affordable, factory-built housing through the Manufactured Housing Research Alliance.

Report Requirement.—The conferees request a report on appliance efficiency standards as directed in the House report.

Industrial Technologies.—The conference agreement includes $57,429,000 for industrial technologies, to include an increase of $2,402,000 for Industries of the Future, and a decrease of $1,642,000 for combustion R&D.

Distributed Energy and Electricity Reliability Program.—The conferees direct the activities within this account be merged within the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE), and the conference agreement includes $60,666,000 within OE to support these activities.

Federal Energy Management Programs.—The conferees provide $19,166,000 for the Federal Energy Management Programs, including $2,019,000 for the Departmental Energy Management Program.

Facilities and Infrastructure.—The conferees provide $26,315,000 for renewable energy Facilities and Infrastructure. This amount includes $5,800,000 for operations and maintenance of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) in Golden, Colorado; $10,515,000 to continue construction of the new Science and Technology facility at NREL (project 02–E–001); and $10,000,000 for the design and construction of the already approved research support facilities at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The conferees direct that the design of the facilities should be bid competitively, and should demonstrate the use of state of the art renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies in the design of the buildings.

Weatherization and Intergovernmental activities.—The conferees provide $240,400,000 for weatherization assistance program grants, $4,600,000 for training and technical assistance, $36,000,000 for state energy program grants, $500,000 for state energy activities and $25,657,000 for gateway deployment. The conferees recommend that gateway deployment funds be distributed as follows: $3,807,000 for Rebuild America, $350,000 for energy efficiency information and outreach, $4,500,000 for building codes training and assistance, $8,000,000 for Clean Cities of which an additional $1,490,000 is provided above the budget request to expand E–85 fueling capacity, $6,000,000 for Energy Star, and $3,000,000 for inventions and innovations. The conferees include $3,910,000 for the international renewable energy program, $4,000,000 for tribal energy to include $1,000,000 for the Council of Renewable Energy Resource Tribes (CERT), and $5,000,000 for the Renewable Energy Production Incentive (REPI).

Program Support.—The conferees provide is $13,456,000 for Program Support, to include $3,500,000 to continue the efforts of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory to develop renewable energy resources uniquely suited to the Southwestern United States through its virtual site office in Nevada.

Program Direction.—The conferees provide $99,524,000 for Program Direction. The reduction of $2,000,000 from the request reflects the transfer of program direction funds to the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability.

Regional Offices.—The conferees provide full funding for the six regional offices in fiscal year 2006. However, the conferees understand that the Administration is unlikely to request funding for the regional offices in the fiscal year 2007 budget request. In light of this, the conferees direct the regional offices be consolidated into the Project Management Center at the Golden Field Office and the National Energy Technology Laboratory not later than September 30, 2006.

OFFICE OF ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY

The conferees provide $163,513,000 for Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability. The conferees direct that the activities within the Distributed Energy and Electricity Reliability Program, previously funded in the Energy Conservation account, be merged within the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability. The conference agreement includes $60,666,000 for the transferred activities. Within available funds, the conference recommendation includes $2,000,000 for Thermal Energy Technologies; $2,000,000 for gas engine-driven heat pump development; $2,000,000 to complete the on-going Ammonia Absorption Technology Development for HVAC&R activity; $2,500,000 for a CHP engineering prototype and field test activity of ammonia absorption technology; continuation of desiccant research at a level of $1,500,000; and continuation of heat and mass transfer activities at a level of $2,000,000. The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 to conduct electricity transmission, distribution and energy assurance research and development activities at the National Energy Technology Laboratory and $10,000,000, equally divided between Idaho and Sandia National Laboratories, to support activities at the SCADA test facilities. The conference agreement includes $3,000,000 for deployment testing and analysis of advanced energy storage systems for telecommunication applications in Kansas. Detailed subprogram allocations are shown in the table at the end of Title III.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement includes $13,447,000 for program direction.

NUCLEAR ENERGY PROGRAMS

The conference agreement provides a total of $557,574,000 for nuclear energy programs. The Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology is the lead office with landlord responsibilities for the Idaho site. Because this site provides considerable support to defense activities and naval nuclear reactors, $123,873,000 of costs is allocated to Other Defense Activities and $13,500,000 is allocated to Naval Reactors. Both programs are in the 050 budget function.

University Reactor Fuel Assistance and Support.—The conference agreement includes $27,000,000. The conferees support the inclusion of the Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering at Idaho National Laboratory in this program.

Nuclear Energy Research and Development.—The conference agreement provides $226,000,000 for nuclear energy research and development. The conference agreement provides $66,000,000 for Nuclear Power 2010.

For Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems, the conferees provide $55,000,000, of which $40,000,000 is provided for the Next Generation Nuclear Power Plant program. Within available funds, $4,000,000 is provided for the development of multiple high temperature fuel fabrication techniques in support of the Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems.

The conferees provide $25,000,000 for the Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative. The conferees provide an additional $5,000,000 over the request to accelerate essential materials research and development and component design, test and evaluation for implementing the high temperature sulfuriodine water splitting process for hydrogen production necessary to the advanced reactor hydrogen co-generation project at Idaho National Laboratory.

The conferees provide $80,000,000 for the Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative (AFCI), $10,000,000 over the request. The additional funds are to be used to accelerate the design activities associated with a proposed Engineering Scale Demonstration (ESD). This funding will allow completion of the conceptual design in fiscal year 2006 and enable pre-engineering design to commence in fiscal year 2007. The conferees direct the Department to accelerate the development of a separations technology that can address the current inventories of commercial spent nuclear fuel and select the preferred technology no later than the end of fiscal year 2007. The conferees direct the Department to submit the spent nuclear fuel recycling technology plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2006.

Reporting requirement.—The conferees direct the Department to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report on sodium bonded spent fuel, as outlined in the Senate report, no later than March 1, 2006.

Radiological Facilities Management.—The purpose of the Radiological Facilities Management program is to maintain the critical infrastructure necessary to support users from the defense, space, and medical communities on a reimbursable basis. The conference agreement provides $54,595,000 for this work.

The conferees provide $39,700,000 for Space and Defense Infrastructure. This includes the requested amounts to operate radioisotope power systems at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL), maintain iridium capabilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and maintain and operate the Pu-238 mission at Los Alamos. The conferees recognize the need to free up floor space in TA–55 for pit production, and direct the Department to develop a strategy to relocate expeditiously the mission for Pu-238 processing from Los Alamos to INL. The conferees provide an increase of $8,500,000 for INL to plan and build the capability to assume the Pu-238 mission, so there is no gap in capability during the mission transfer. The conferees direct the Department to provide a mid-year report by March 31, 2006, on the transfer strategy and associated costs.

The conferees provide $14,395,000 for Medical Isotopes Infrastructure, and $500,000 for Enrichment Facility Infrastructure. The conferees provide no funding for the Medical Isotope Production and Building 3019 Complex Shutdown project. The conferees direct the Department to terminate promptly the Medical Isotope Production and Building 3019 Complex Shutdown project. The responsibility for disposition of the U–233 is transferred to the Defense Environmental Management program per DOE's recommendation, and the conferees have provided funds in the Defense Environmental Management appropriation for disposition of the material stored in Building 3019.

Idaho Facilities Management.—The conference agreement provides $113,862,000 for Idaho National Laboratory (INL) operations and infrastructure. Of this total, $82,600,000 is allotted to the 270 budget function and the balance, $31,262,000, is allotted to the 050

function and funded under Other Defense Activities and Naval Reactors. The conferees provide $102,907,000 for INL operations, $69,145,000 from function 270 Energy Supply, $17,762,000 from Other Defense Activities, and an increase of $13,500,000 from the Office of Naval Reactors to support the Idaho National Laboratory's Advanced Test Reactor (ATR) life extension program. The conferees also provide an additional $2,500,000 for the utility corridor extension project at the Idaho National Laboratory. The conferees provide $10,955,000 for Idaho facilities construction. This includes the requested amounts for the Gas Test Loop in the Advanced Test Reactor.

Idaho Site-wide Safeguards and Security.—The conferees provide $75,008,000 for Idaho sitewide safeguards and security as an 050 Defense Activity under the Other Defense Activities account.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement includes $61,109,000 for program direction. Of this amount, $30,006,000 is funded in the Energy Supply appropriation under budget function 270, and $31,103,000 is funded in the Other Defense Activities appropriation under budget function 050.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement provides $28,000,000 for non-defense environment, safety and health activities. The conference agreement includes $20,900,000 for program direction, the same as the budget request.

LEGACY MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides $33,522,000 for the Energy Supply-related activities of the Office of Legacy Management.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ENERGY SUPPLY & CONSERVATION PROJECTS

Sub-accounts	Project	Conference recommendations
Biomass	Univ. of Georgia Biomass Pyrolysis Biorefinery Project (GA)	$1,250,000
	National Biofuel Energy Laboratory, NextEnergy Center (MI)	2,000,000
	Biomass Research Agricultural Development Ctr. (OH)	1,500,000
	Texas A&M Renewable Energy Animal Waste Project (TX)	1,000,000
	Wood Debris Bioenergy Project (CO)	1,000,000
	Clarkson Univ. Dairy Waste Public/Private Partnership (NY)	250,000
	Madison County Landfill Gas to Energy Project (NY)	1,000,000
	Asphalt Roofing Shingles into Energy Project, Xenia (OH)	1,000,000
	Ohio State University 4–H ''Green'' Building Project (OH)	1,000,000
	University of Iowa National Ag-Based Industrial Program (IA)	500,000
	Solid Waste Authority Pyramid Resource Center (OH)	2,000,000
	City of Stamford Waste-to-Energy Project (CT)	1,500,000
	Iowa State Univ. Biomass Energy Conversion Project (IA)	500,000
	Louisiana State Univ. Sugar Base Ethanol (LA)	500,000
	Iroquois Bio-Energy Consortium Ethanol Project (IN)	3,500,000
	Biotech to Ethanol Project (CO)	1,000,000
	New York Biomass/Methane Gas Power Fuel Cell Project (NY)	2,000,000
	Western Massachusetts Biomass Project (MA)	500,000
	Greenville Composite Biomass Project (ME)	750,000
	Research Triangle Institute Biomass Project (NC)	1,250,000
	Chariton Biomass Project (IA)	750,000
	Laurentian Bio-Energy Project (MN)	1,250,000
	Kona Carbon Biomass Project (HI)	1,000,000
	Mississippi State University Sustainable Energy Center (MS)	11,000,000
	Missouri Biodiesel Demonstration Project (MO)	1,000,000
	Auburn Alternative Fuel Source Study of Cement Kilns (AL)	1,000,000
	Canola-based Automotive Oil R&D (PA)	1,000,000
	Center for Advanced Bio-based Binders (IA)	800,000
	Devel. of Applied Membrane Technology for Processing Ethanol from Biomass (DE)	500,000
	Univ. of N. Iowa National Ag-Based Industrial Lubriant Center (IA)	500,000
	Michigan Biotechnology Institute (MI)	1,000,000
	Washington State Ferries Biodiesel Demonstration Project (WA)	500,000
	Oxydiesel demonstration project in California and Nevada (NV)	500,000
	LSU Biorefinery for Ethanol Chemicals, Animal Feed and Biomaterials (LA)	500,000
	Vermont Biomass Energy Resource Center (VT)	500,000
	UNLV Research Foundation Development of Biofuels Utilizing Ionic Transfer Membranes (NV)	3,000,000
Building tech	Carnegie Mellion Univ. Advanced Building Testbed (PA)	1,000,000
	National Center on Energy Management & Building Tech. (NV)	4,000,000
	University of Louisville Sustainable Buildings Project (KY)	400,000
Weath.	Office of International Energy Market Development (WV)	600,000
Clean Cities	E–85 Ethanol Vehicle Refueling Expansion (multi state)	500,000
Int-Govt.	International Utility Electricity Partnership (IUEP)	3,500,000
Prog.Supp	NREL virtual site office in Nevada (NV)	3,500,000
Geothermal	Ohio Wesleyan Univ. Geothermal Demonstration Project (OH)	750,000
	Springfield Equestrian Center Energy Efficiency Project (OH)	1,500,000
	Lipscomb University Geothermal System (TN)	500,000
	Geothermal and Renewable Energy Laboratory of Nevada (NV)	1,000,000
Hydrogen	University of South Carolina Fuel Cell Design Project (SC)	2,000,000
	Fuel Cell Freeze/Cold Start Program (CT)	1,000,000
	Center for Intelligent Fuel Cell Materials Design (multi-state)	1,500,000
	Hydrogen Fuel Cell Project Edison Materials Technology (OH)	2,500,000
	Indigenous Energy Development Center (PA)	1,000,000
	Delaware State University Center for Hydrogen Storage (DE)	1,000,000
	Florida Int'l Univ. Cntr for Energy & Tech. of the Americas (FL)	1,000,000
	City of Auburn Energy Production Issues at Wastewater Plant (NY)	900,000
	Hydrogen Fleet Infrastructure Demonstration Project (MI)	2,000,000
	Purdue Hydrogen Technologies Program (IN)	1,000,000
	Detroit Commuter Hydrogen Project (MI)	1,300,000
	City of Chicago Ethanol to Hydrogen Project (IL)	2,000,000
	California Hydrogen Storage and Systems Technologies (CA)	1,000,000
	Univ. of Arkansas at Little Rock Hydrogen Storage Project (AR)	400,000
	Univ. of Akron Fuel Cell Laboratory (OH)	500,000
	Kettering Univ. Fuel Cell Project (MI)	500,000
	Hydrogen Optical Fiber Sensors (CA)	500,000
	UNLV Research Foundation solar-powered thermochemical prod.of hydrogen (NV)	3,400,000
	UNLV Research Foundation hydrogen fuel cell & storage R&D (NV)	3,400,000
	Montana Palladium Research Center (MT)	2,500,000
	Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe Co. Hydrogen Fuel Cell Project (NV)	2,500,000
	U. of Arkansas Little Rock Nanotechnology Center production of Hydrogen (AR)	500,000
	UNLV Research Foundation renewable hydrogen fueling station system, including development of high pressure electrolysis using photovoltaics (NV).	3,400,000
	UNLV Research Foundation development of photoelectric chemical production of hydrogen (NV)	2,500,000
	Univ. of S. Mississippi's School of Polymers and High Performance Materials Improved Materials for Fuel Cell Membrans Program (MS)	500,000
	Univ. of Nevada-Reno Photoelectrochemical generation of hydrogen by solid nanoporous titanium dioxide project (NV)	3,000,000
	California Hydrogen Infrastructure Project (CA)	400,000
	Southern Nevada Alternative Fuels Demonstration Project (NV)	500,000
	Hydrogen Mine Loader Project (CO)	250,000
Solar Energy	Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst. Syracuse Univ. ''Green Building'' (NY)	750,000
	Crowder College Alternative Renewable Energy Center (MO)	1,000,000
	Univ. of Arkansas Research in Solar Energy Field (AK)	500,000
	Oregon Nanoscience and Microtechnologies Institute (OR)	1,500,000
	Conductive Coating Solar Cell Research Project (MA)	1,500,000
	Ultra Thin Film Photo Voltaic Charging System (FL)	1,000,000
	Brightfield Solar Energy (MA)	700,000
	National Orange Photovoltaic Demonstration (CA)	450,000
	Sandia National Lab. Development of advanced cells and modules (NM)	1,000,000
	Sandia National Lab. Megawatt demonstration concentrating solar project (NM)	3,500,000
	UNLV Research Foundation for photonics research, including evaluation of advanced fiber optics for hybrid solar lighting (NV)	2,500,000
Vehicle Tech.	Phase II Heavy Vehicle Hybrid Propulsion (WI)	3,000,000
	High Temperature Material Laboratory (TN)	1,000,000
	Turbocharger Diesel Engine R&D (multi-state)	4,000,000
	National Hybrid Truck Manufacturing Program (CA)	2,000,000

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED ENERGY SUPPLY & CONSERVATION PROJECTS—Continued

Sub-accounts	Project	Conference recommendations
	Vehicle Test Strip Equipment Demonstration (NC)	1,500,000
	Oak Ridge National Lab highway transportation technologies (TN)	10,000,000
	Mississippi State University CAVS Center (MS)	4,000,000
	VULCAN Beam Line (TN)	2,000,000
	Transportable Emissions Testing Laboratory	1,500,000
Wind Energy	Mt. Wachusett Community College Wind Project (MA)	1,000,000
	Wyandotte Wind Energy on Brownfields Initiative (MI)	1,000,000
	Illinois State University Wind Energy Resources (IL)	1,000,000
	Texas Tech. Univ. Great Plains Wind Power Facility (TX)	500,000
	Brigham City Turbine (UT)	500,000
	TowerPower Wind Project (MD)	750,000
	White Earth Tribal Nation Wind Project (MN)	1,000,000
	Coastal Ohio Wind Project (OH)	1,000,000
	Randall's and Ward's Island Wind Project (NY)	1,000,000
	Brigham City, UT Wind Energy Project (UT)	500,000
	Alaska Wind Energy (AK)	1,500,000
	Renewable Energy for Rural Economic Development Program (UT)	500,000
	Synchronous Wind Turbines (ID)	500,000
	Texas Tech. Great Plains Wind Power Test Facility (TX)	1,000,000
	North Dakota Hydrogen Wind Pilot Project (ND)	500,000
	Fox Ridge Renewable Energy Education Center (SD)	500,000
	PowerJet Wind Turbine Project (NV)	250,000
OE	Iowa Stored Energy Plant Project (IA)	1,500,000
	University of Louisville Electric Grid Monitoring (KY)	1,000,000
	Gonzaga University electric utility transformation program (WA)	800,000
	Emerson Network Power,Columbus Ohio (OH)	2,000,000
	Energy Security and diversification at Savannah River National Lab (SC)	1,000,000
	City of Nome power generation replacement project (AK)	1,000,000
	Gridwise Northwest Demonstration Project (WA)	1,500,000
	Juneau-Green Creek-Hoonah intertie for Juneau area power system (AK)	1,000,000
	Complete of bi-polar wafer cell Ni-MH electric energy storage system (CT)	1,500,000
	Connecticut Demand Response Technologies Project (CT)	1,000,000
	Notre Dame University Ionic Liquids Research collaboration (IN)	1,500,000
	Advanced Grid Application Consortium (PA)	2,000,000
	Pilot Energy Cost Control Evaluation Project at NETL (WV)	2,000,000
	Green Island Power Authority, Advanced Transmission Project (NY)	1,000,000
	Cleveland State Ctr. for Research in Electric and Aerospace Tech. (OH)	1,000,000
	Advanced Energy Storage, PCRT(MA)	1,000,000
	Tennessee Tech. Univ. Optimization of High Voltage lines (TN)	1,000,000
	Advanced Technology Center (IL)	1,000,000
	Continued Development of an energy information training facility at Camp Dawson (WV)	2,500,000
	West Virginia Univ. Integrated control of next generation power systems project (WV)	1,000,000
	Deployment testing and analysis of advanced energy storage systems for telecommunications applications in Kansas (KS)	2,500,000
	Hawaii/New Mexico Sustainable Energy Security Partnership (HI/NM)	3,000,000
	Navajo Electrification Project (NM)	1,000,000
	Load Control System Reliability (MT)	2,000,000
	University of Missouri-Rolla for electric grid modernization (MO)	1,000,000
	Integrated Distribution Management Systems in Alabama (AL)	800,000
	Houston Advanced Research Center for Second generation dish temperature super conductor devekopment (TX)	250,000
Nuclear Energy	Transfer of Nuclear Safety Technologies in Lithuania 3,000,000.	
	Utility Corridor Extension Project at the Idaho Natioal Lab (ID)	2,500,000
	UNLV Research Foundation 5–year cooperative agreement to study deep burn-up of nuclear fuel and other fuel cycle research to eliminate the need for multiple spent nuclear fuel repositories, to eliminate weapons useable materials from disposed spent fuel, and to maintain forever potential radiological releases from a repository below currently legislated limits (NV).	5,000,000
	Idaho Accelerator Center (ID)	2,000,000
	Nuclear Energy Materials Test Station at Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (NM)	3,500,000
	University of Nevada Reno Center for Materials Reliability (NV)	1,000,000
	Univ. of Nevada Reno Nuclear Transportation Hazard Research (NV)	750,000

CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY

(DEFERRAL AND RESCISSION)

The conference agreement provides for the deferral of $257,000,000 in clean coal technology funding until fiscal year 2007. These balances are no longer needed to complete active projects in this program. These funds are to be used for costs associated with the FutureGen program in fiscal year 2007 and beyond, to develop a coal-fired, nearly emissions-free electricity and hydrogen generation plant. The conference agreement rescinds $20,000,000 of prior year uncommitted balances from excess contingency estimates in demonstration projects. This rescission was misapplied to Fossil Energy Research and Development in both the House and Senate reports, and is now correctly applied to Clean Coal Technology.

FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement provides $597,994,000 for fossil energy research and development. Bill language is included providing that Federal employees in fiscal year 2006 performing research and development activities at the National Energy Technology Laboratory can be funded from program accounts. The conferees direct the Department to budget for the salaries and expenses of federal employees in program direction accounts, and the fiscal year 2007 budget request should reflect this adjustment.

Clean coal power initiative.—The conference agreement provides $50,000,000, the amount of the budget request for the Clean Coal Power Initiative (CCPI). The $50,000,000 request from the Administration in fiscal year 2006 is woefully short of the $200,000,000 commitment made by the Administration. The conferees direct the Administration to fulfill the commitments made to CCPI. Funds remaining from the termination of the low emission boiler project are to be transferred to the Clean Coal Power Initiative.

FutureGen.—The conference agreement provides $18,000,000, the amount of the request for FutureGen. The conferees understand and recognize the value of the FutureGen project. However, the conferees are concerned about maintaining adequate funding for the core fossil energy research, development, and demonstration programs, especially with the new programmatic demands of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The conferees will continue to give full consideration to the FutureGen project, contingent upon the Administration maintaining adequate funding requests for other related fossil energy programs.

Fuels and Power Systems.—The conference agreement provides a total of $311,998,000 for Fuels and Power Systems. Within the funds provided, the conferees provide $25,400,000 for innovations at existing plants; $56,450,000 for advanced Integrated Gas Combined Cycle; $18,000,000 for advanced turbines; $67,000,000 for carbon sequestration (including $6,000,000 for Center for Zero Emissions Research and Technology of which $1,500,000 is for the Los Alamos National Laboratory); $29,000,000 for fuels; $62,000,000 for fuel cells including $8,000,000 for high temperature electrochemistry; and $53,154,000 for advanced research. The conferees provide $4,000,000, the amount of the budget request, for the Focus Area for the Computational Energy Science. The conferees provide $994,000 for the U.S./China Energy and Environmental Center. The conferees direct that any hydrogen research and development funded under Fossil Energy be focused on fossil fuels research and development. The conferees are aware of the work conducted by C1Chemistry, and encourage the Department to consider proposals for additional research by the consortium.

Natural Gas Technologies.—The conference agreement provides $33,000,000 for natural gas technologies, an increase of $23,000,000 over the budget request. The conferees provide $9,000,000 for advanced drilling, completion and stimulation, including Deep Trek; $4,000,000 to continue work aimed at expanding the recoverability of natural gas from low-permeability formations; $2,000,000 for stripper wells and technology transfer; $1,000,000 to improve the reliability and efficiency of gas storage systems; and $2,000,000 for liquid natural gas technologies. Within the funds provided, the conference agreement includes $12,000,000 for gas hydrates, and $3,000,000 to continue research to develop treatment technologies that will allow water from conventional gas wells or coal bed methane wells to be put to beneficial use or to be safely discharged to the surface.

Petroleum-Oil Technologies.—The conference agreement provides $32,000,000 for petroleum-oil technologies, an increase of $22,000,000

over the budget request. The conferees provide $4,000,000 for enhancing utilization of industrial carbon dioxide; $4,000,000 for drilling and completion enhancements that support microhole exploration; $4,000,000 for reservoir imaging; $3,000,000 for improved gas flooding recovery methods; $6,000,000 reservoir life extension; $10,000,000 for environmental protection; and, $1,000,000 for the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement includes $106,941,000, an increase of $8,000,000 above the budget request, for the National Energy Technology Laboratory to maintain the personnel that otherwise would have been lost as the result of the proposed gas and petroleum-oil program reductions in the budget request.

Plant and Capital Equipment.—The conference agreement includes $20,000,000 for plant and capital equipment, an increase of $20,000,000 above the budget request. Within these funds, $18,000,000 is for the infrastructure improvement program at the National Energy Technology Laboratory and $2,000,000 is for general plant projects.

Other programs.—The conference agreement includes $9,600,000 for fossil energy environmental restoration; $1,799,000 for import/export authorization; $8,000,000 for advanced metallurgical research; $656,000 for special recruitment programs; and $6,000,000 for the Energy and Environmental Research Center under cooperative research and development.

Prior year balances.—The conference agreement recommends no reduction in prior year balances, instead of the $20,000,000 reduction as proposed by the House and by the Senate.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The conferees' recommendation includes the following Congressionally directed projects, within available funds. The conferees remind recipients that statutory cost sharing requirements may apply to these projects.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED FUELS & POWER PROJECTS

Accounts	Project	Conference recommendation
Fuels&Power	Ramgen engine development (multi state)	$2,500,000
	MW-Scale oxide fuel cell gas turbine hybrid system (multi state)	2,500,000
	MW-Scale Solid oxide fuel cell stat. power generation (OH)	3,000,000
	Jupiter Oxy Fuel Tech (multi state)	7,800,000
	Solid oxide fuel cell tech. Stat power applications project (NC)	1,000,000
	Powerspan Electro Catalytic Oxidation project (OH)	1,000,000
	New York City Parks Randall's Island (NY)	1,000,000
	Center for Advanced Separation Technologies (VA)	1,000,000
	Power Plant Flue Gas Cleaning/Poll Elimination project (VA)	2,200,000
	GEDAC packaged Gas Engine-Driven Heat Pump (multi state)	2,200,000
	Planar Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Project (CA)	1,500,000
	Advanced Metallurgical Process, Albany Research Center (OR)	1,300,000
	Energy and Environmental Research Center (EERC) (ND)	1,000,000
	Development of continuous solvent extraction processes for coal derived carbon products (WV)	700,000
	West Virginia Univ. study of long-term environmental and economic impacts of the development of coal liquefaction in China (WV)	500,000
	WVU Lightweight composite materials for heavy duty vehicles program (WV)	500,000
	Coal to Liquids Program—Phase II (MT)	2,000,000
	Utah Center for Ultra-Clean Coal Utilization (UT)	1,900,000
	Coal-Waste Slurry Reburn Project (PA)	500,000
	Univ. of Wyoming Multi-Disciplinary Coal-bed Natural Gas Research Center (WY)	1,500,000
	National Center for Hydrogen Technology (ND)	2,500,000
	ITM/Syngas Project (PA)	2,000,000
	Solid Oxide Fuels Cells (PA)	4,000,000
	National Biofuel Energy Laboratory (MI)	2,000,000
	Arctic Energy Office (AK)	7,000,000
	Risk Base Data Management System (AK)	400,000
	Utah Center for Heavy Oil Research (UT)	1,500,000
	University of Mississippi hydrates research (MS)	1,000,000

NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES

The conference agreement provides $21,500,000, the same as the Senate, and an increase of $3,000,000 over the House, to support the activities under the Naval Petroleum Reserve (NPR) Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming program.

Reporting requirements.—Within available funds, the conferees direct the Department to conduct a study on the environmental liabilities at the Rocky Mountain Oilfield Testing Center (RMOTC) in Wyoming. The study should include field work to determine the scope of the contamination and the life cycle cost to remediate the site. The report is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 1, 2006.

ELK HILLS SCHOOL LANDS FUND

The conferees provide $48,000,000, the same as the budget request, for the Elk Hills School Lands Fund. Combined with the fiscal year 2005 advance appropriation of $36,000,000, this will make available a total of $84,000,000 in fiscal year 2006, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE

The conference agreement provides $166,000,000, for the strategic petroleum reserve as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conferees recognize the Department will be conducting a site selection process for the expansion of the strategic petroleum reserve as provided in the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE

The conference agreement provides no new funding, consistent with the budget request, for the Northeast Home Heating Oil reserve, because the Department has confirmed that sufficient carryover balances exist.

ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides $86,176,000, $250,000 above the request, for the Energy Information Administration. The increase above the request is to fund increased requirements for cybersecurity measures to safeguard computer systems and data integrity.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

The conference agreement provides $353,219,000 for Non-Defense Environmental Cleanup, an increase of $3,285,000 over the budget request. This increase is for the East Tennessee Technology Park at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Milestone report.—While the budget structure has changed, the conferees remain interested in whether the Department has met its goals for completion for years 2006, 2012, and 2035. The conferees request a report by site that tracks accelerated clean-up milestones, whether they are being met or not, and includes annual budget estimates and life-cycle costs, due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 1 and September 1 of each year.

Reprogramming Authority.—The conferees continue to support the need for flexibility to meet changing funding requirements at sites. In fiscal year 2006, the Department may transfer up to $2,000,000 within accounts, and between accounts, to reduce health or safety risks or to gain cost savings as long as no program or project is increased or decreased by more than $2,000,000 once during the fiscal year. The account control points for reprogramming are the Fast Flux Test Reactor Facility, West Valley Demonstration Project, Gaseous Diffusion Plants, Small Sites, and construction line-items. This reprogramming authority may not be used to initiate new programs or to change the funding levels for programs specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or statement. The Committees on Appropriations in the House and Senate must be notified within thirty days of the use of this reprogramming authority.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement provides $562,228,000 for activities funded from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning (UED&D) Fund. This amount includes $542,228,000 for decontamination and decommissioning activities at the gaseous diffusion plants and $20,000,000 for Title X uranium and thorium reimbursements. For the decontamination and decommissioning of the gaseous diffusion plants, the conferees provide $192,157,000 for Portsmouth, Ohio; $105,000,000 for Paducah, Kentucky; and $245,071,000 for East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge.

The conferees direct the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to investigate the contamination of phosgene at the gaseous diffusion plants.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement provides $3,632,718,000, instead of $3,666,055,000 as proposed by the House and $3,702,718,000 as proposed by the Senate. Specific funding allocations and earmarks proposed by the House and Senate are superceded by the allocations and earmarks listed in this joint explanatory statement.

High Energy Physics.—The conference agreement provides $723,933,000 for high energy physics research. The control level is at the High Energy Physics level. An additional $10,000,000 is provided for research on the international linear collider and for upgrades to the neutrino research program. The conferees support the DOE/NASA Joint Dark Energy Mission (JDEM) and encourage the Department to move JDEM forward aggressively to accomplish this important research.

Nuclear Physics.—The conference agreement provides $370,741,000 for nuclear physics research, including $2,000,000 of construction funds for project engineering and design of the electron beam ion source at Brookhaven National Laboratory (project 06–SC–02). The conferees support the Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA) but are concerned that the Department does not seem to be making tangible progress toward realization of RIA. The conferees reiterate the reporting requirement, as outlined in Senate Report 109–84, for the Department to define a specific path forward on RIA. The conferees also recognize the importance of the 12 GeV upgrade of the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility and support initiation of project engineering and design within available funds.

Biological and Environmental Research.—The conference agreement includes $585,688,000 for biological and environmental research, an increase of $130,000,000 over the budget request. This increase is provided to fund Congressionally-directed projects as listed in the table below. Within available funds, the conferees direct the Department to provide an additional $3,500,000 for upgrades to instrumentation at the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL). The conferees support the development of the proposed Genomes to Life (GTL) facilities, and encourage the Department to budget for the first of these GTL facilities, for the production and characterization of proteins and molecular tags, in fiscal year 2007. The conferees encourage the Department to reduce the cost of the GTL facilities to accelerate deployment of all four proposed GTL centers. Due to the nature of this research, there is a need for all of the facilities to be deployed to meet the scientific challenge of molecular characterization. The conferees recommend that the Department conduct an open competition for the siting of these GTL facilities.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED OFFICE OF SCIENCE PROJECTS

Project	*Conference recommendation*
BER Univ. of Alabama Dept. of Neurobiology to purchase a FMRI (AL)	$300,000
BER Baylor University Lake Whitney Assessment (TX)	500,000
BER SUNY IT Nano-Bio-Molecular Technical Incubator (NY)	750,000
BER San Antonio Cancer Center (TX)	500,000
BER University of South Alabama Cancer Research Institute (AL)	500,000
BER Indiana Wesleyan University Marion for a registered nursing program (IN)	500,000
BER Virginia Commonwealth University Massey Cancer Center (VA)	1,000,000
BER Construction of new science facility at Bethel College (IN)	300,000
BER University of Wyoming Coalbed Methane research center (WY)	500,000
BER Hampton University Cancer Treatment Center (VA)	500,000
BER George Mason University research against Biological Agents (VA)	1,000,000
BER Lehigh University Critical Infrastructure Lab. (PA)	400,000
BER St. Thomas University Minority Science center (FL)	400,000
BER Seton Hall Science/Tech Center (NJ)	500,000
BER Alvernia College for a Science and Health Building (PA)	500,000
BER Institute for Advanced Learning Research Dansville (VA)	400,000
BER Galileo Magnet High School Danville (VA)	100,000
BER Washington & Jefferson science initiative (PA)	400,000
BER Science building at Waubonsee Community College (IL)	2,000,000
BER AVETeC data mamt.electronics and comm. NextEdge Tech.Park (OH)	3,000,000
BER Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy research Univ. of Washington School of Med. (WA)	300,000
BER Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy research Children's National Medical Ctr. (DC)	300,000
BER Ohio State University for Earth University (OH)	300,000
BER Northeast Regional Cancer Institute (PA)	300,000
BER Centenary College laboratory (NJ)	500,000
BER Construction of Science Center at Midwestern Univ. (IL)	300,000
BER Univ. of Oklahoma Center Applications Single-Walled Nanotubes (OK)	1,000,000
BER University of Connecticut live cell molecular imaging (CT)	300,000
BER University of Central Florida for optics tech in X-Ray (FL)	700,000
BER North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System Breast Cancer Research (NY)	500,000
BER Michigan Research Institute Life Science Research Center (MI)	1,350,000
BER Univ. of Arizona Environmental and Natural Resources Phase II (AZ)	1,000,000
BER Children's Hospital of Illinois (IL)	500,000
BER Research Equipment Coe College (IA)	300,000
BER Loma Linda University Medical Center (CA)	2,000,000
BER Triology Linear Accelerator at Owensboro Medical Health System (KY)	300,000
BER Burpee Museum of Natural History (IL)	500,000
BER Rockford Health Council (IL)	700,000
BER Henry Mayo Hospital to purchase new equipment (CA)	400,000
BER Washington State University Radio Chemistry (WA)	300,000
BER Lapeer Regional Medical Center linear accelerator (MI)	300,000
BER University of Nebraska at Kearney (NE)	400,000
BER Science Media program at Ball State University (IN)	400,000
BER Franklin and Marshall life science building (PA)	500,000
BER Boulder City Hospital (NV)	300,000
BER Grady Health system disaster preparedness center project (GA)	300,000
BER Great Lakes Science Center (OH)	750,000
BER Cleveland Clinic Brain Mapping (OH)	1,000,000
BER Roswell Park Cancer Center (NY)	500,000
BER St. Marys Cancer Center Long Beach (CA)	500,000
BER National Polymer Center at the University of Akron (OH)	500,000
BER Biological and Environmental Center at Mystic Aquarium (CT)	500,000
BER Riverview Medical Center oncology program (NJ)	300,000
BER Saratoga Hospital Radiation Therapy Center (NY)	750,000
BER State University of New York- Delhi (NY)	750,000
BER Kern Medical Center to purchase and install MRI machine (CA)	1,000,000
BER Western Michigan University Geosciences Initiative (MI)	100,000
BER Environmental System Center at Syracuse University (NY)	700,000
BER SUNY-ESF Woody Biomass Project (NY)	700,000
BER ORNL Supercomputer Connectivity NextEdge Technology Park (TN)	900,000
BER Oliveit Nazarene University Science Lab (IL)	300,000
BER Northern Virginia Comm. College training biotechnology workers (VA)	500,000
BER Recording for the Blind and Dyslexic (FL)	500,000
BER Eckerd College Science Center (FL)	500,000
BER Notre Dame Ecological Genomics Research Institute (IN)	1,750,000
BER Inland Water Environmental Institute (ID,WA,UT)	1,000,000
BER St. Francis Science Center (IN)	250,000
BER Medical Research and Robotics, University of Southern California (CA)	1,000,000
BER Hampshire College National Center for Science Education (MA)	500,000
BER Pioneer Valley Life Science Initiative Univ. of Massachusetts (MA)	750,000
BER MidAmerica Nazarene Univ. nursing biological science program (KS)	750,000
BER Westminster College Science Center (UT)	750,000
BER City College of San Francisco-Health Related Equipment (CA)	750,000
BER Science South Development (SC)	1,000,000
BER St. Joseph Science Center (PA)	750,000
BER University North Carolina Biomedical Imaging (NC)	750,000
BER Augsburg College (MN)	1,000,000
BER Morehouse School of Medicine (GA)	1,000,000
BER Jersey City Medical Center (NJ)	1,000,000
BER University of Rochester James P. Wilmot Cancer Center (NY)	1,000,000
BER Bronx Community College Center for Sustainable Energy (NY)	1,000,000
BER Texas A&M Lake Granbury and Bosque River Assesment (TX)	500,000
BER Methodist College Environmental Simulation Research (NC)	500,000
BER Brooklyn College Microscope and Imaging Center (NY)	750,000
BER Warner Robins Air Logistics Center (GA)	750,000
BER University of Chicago Comer Children's Hospital (IL)	1,000,000

Project	Conference recommendation
BER Martha's Vineyard Hospital (MA)	750,000
BER Joint environmental stewardship at SUNY New Paltz and Ulster CC (NY)	750,000
BER Central Arkansas Radiation Therapy Institute/Mountain Home (AR)	500,000
BER Children's Hospital of Los Angles (CA)	750,000
BER Wake Forest University Institute for Regenerative Medicine (NC)	750,000
BER Indianapolis Energy Conversion Institute (IN)	1,000,000
BER Philadelphia Educational Advancement Alliance (PA)	450,000
BER Barry University-Miami Shores (FL)	300,000
BER Montgomery College Biotechnology Project (MD)	500,000
BER Purdue Calument Water Institute (IN)	500,000
BER University of Chicago Integrated Bioengineering Institute (IL)	750,000
BER Mind Institute in New Mexico (NM)	11,000,000
BER Mississippi State University Bio-fuel Application (MS)	1,000,000
BER University of Louisville Institute for Advanced Materials (KY)	1,500,000
BER Center for River Dynamics and Restoration at Utah State University (UT)	400,000
BER Texas Metroplex Comprehensive Imaging Center (TX)	2,500,000
BER Ultra Dense Memory Storage for Supercomputing in Colorado (CO)	1,000,000
BER Health Sciences Research and Education Facility (MO)	1,500,000
BER National Center for Regenerative Medicine (OH)	1,500,000
BER U. of Alabama at Birmingham-Radiation Oncology Functional Imaging Program (AL)	1,000,000
BER University City Science Park, Philadelphia (PA)	1,500,000
BER Jackson State University Bioengineering Complex (MS)	2,000,000
BER Regis University Science Building Renovation Project (CO)	800,000
BER St. Jude's Children's Research Hospital (TN)	500,000
BER California Hospital Medical Center PET/CT Fusion Imaging System (CA)	500,000
BER Mount Sinai Medical Center Imaging and Surgical Equipment (FL)	1,000,000
BER Benedictine University Science Lab & Research Equipment (IL)	350,000
BER Swedish American Health Systems (IL)	350,000
BER La Rabida Children's Hospital, Chicago (IL)	350,000
BER Edward Hospital, Plainfield, IL (IL)	500,000
BER Rush Medical Center (IL)	250,000
BER Morgan State University Center for Environmental Toxicology (MD)	800,000
BER Mt. Sinai Hospital Cardiac Catherization Lab (MD)	350,000
BER U. of Mass. at Boston Multi-Disciplinary Research Facility & Library (MA)	500,000
BER CIBS Solar Cell Development (NE)	400,000
BER University Medical Center of S. Nevada Radiology/Oncology Equip. (NV)	1,000,000
BER Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe Energy Project (NV)	250,000
BER University of Delaware Medical Research Facility (DE)	550,000
BER St. Francis Hospital, Delaware Linear Accelerator (DE)	500,000
BER Wastewater Pollution and Incinerator Plant in Auburn, NY (NY)	250,000
BER South Nassau Hospital Green Building (NY)	1,500,000
BER ViaHealth/Rochester General Hospital Emergency Department (NY)	400,000
BER University of Vermont Functional MRI Research (VT)	400,000
BER Vermont Institute of Natural Sciences (VT)	1,000,000
BER Castleton State College Math and Science Center (VT)	2,000,000
BER Nevada Cancer Institute (NV)	1,000,000
BER Queen's Medical Center Telemedicine Project (HI)	500,000
BER Michigan Technological University Fuel Cell Research (MI)	500,000
BER St. Francis Hospital Escanaba, Michigan (MI)	250,000
BER Sarcoma Alliance for Research through Collaboration (MI)	250,000
BER Hackensack University Medical Center Green Building (NJ)	1,000,000
BER Hackensack U. Medical Center Ambulatory Adult Cancer Center (NJ)	250,000
BER College of New Jersey Genomic Analysis Facility (NJ)	250,000
BER W. Michigan U. Expanded Energy & Natural Resources Learning Ctr (MI)	500,000
BER Arnold Palmer Prostate Center (CA)	500,000
BER LA Immersive Tech. Enterprise program at the U. of LA-Lafayette (LA)	400,000
BER Brown University MRI Scanner (RI)	1,000,000
BER University of Dubuque Environmental Science Center (IA)	700,000
BER New School University in New York City (NY)	500,000
BER Oregon Nanoscience and Microbiologies Institute (OR)	400,000
BER GeoHeat Center at the Oregon Renewable Energy Center (OR)	500,000
BER Portland Center Stage Armory Theater Energy Conservation Project (OR)	500,000
BER U. of Massachusetts Medical School NMR Spectrophotometer (MA)	250,000
BER Mojave Bird Study (NV)	250,000
BER Minnesota Center for Renewable Energy	500,000
BER Science Center at Malby Nature Preserve in Minnesota (MN)	250,000
BER Existing Business Enhancement Program Building, U. of N. Iowa (IA)	1,000,000
BER Medical University of South Carolina (SC)	500,000
BER Community College of Southern Nevada Transportation Academy (NV)	500,000
BER South Dakota State University (SD)	1,000,000
BER Univ. of Arkansas Cancer Research Center (AR)	1,000,000
BES Altair Nanotech (NV)	2,500,000
MM UCLA Institute for Molecular Medicine (CA)	7,000,000
MM New York Structural Biology Center (NY)	750,000
BER University of North Dakota Center for Biomass Utilization (ND)	1,000,000
BER St. Joseph College, West Hartford alternative sources of energy dem.project (CT)	500,000
BER Portland State University's Solar Photovoltaic Test Facility System (OR)	150,000
BER Brockton Photovoltaic Initiative (MA)	100,000

Basic Energy Sciences.—The conferees provide $1,146,017,000 for basic energy sciences, the same as the budget request. The conference agreement includes $746,143,000 for materials sciences and engineering research, and $221,801,000 for chemical sciences, geosciences, and energy biosciences. All basic energy science construction projects are funded at the request level: $41,744,000 for the Spallation Neutron Source (99–E–334) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory; $2,544,000 for Title I and Title II design work (03–SC–002) and $83,000,000 to initiate construction (05–R–320) for the Linac Coherent Light Source at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center; $36,553,000 for the Center for Functional Nanomaterials (05–R–321) at Brookhaven National Laboratory; $9,606,000 for the Molecular Foundry (04–R–313) at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; and $4,626,000 for the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies (03–R–313) at Los Alamos and Sandia National Laboratories. Also included at the request level is $7,280,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR). Within available funds, the conferees encourage the Department to continue the purchase of fuel for the High Flux Isotope Reactor. The conferees note the recent CD–0 decision on the National Synchrotron Light Source-II at Brookhaven National Laboratory, and encourage the Department to fund expeditiously the project engineering and design for this facility.

Advanced Scientific Computing Research.—The conference agreement includes $237,055,000 for advanced scientific computing research, an increase of $30,000,000 over the budget request. This increase is provided to the Center for Computational Sciences to accelerate the efforts to develop a leadership-class supercomputer to meet scientific computational needs. Of this $30,000,000, $25,000,000 should be dedicated to hardware and $5,000,000 to competitive university research grants.

Science Laboratories Infrastructure.—The conferees provide a total of $42,105,000 for science laboratories infrastructure, an increase of $2,000,000 over the budget request. The additional funds are provided to complete project engineering and design and initiate construction for the 300 Area capability replacement laboratory at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (project MEL–001–046). Within available funds, the conferees direct the Department to continue to make PILT payments associated with Argonne National Laboratory at the fiscal year 2005 level.

Fusion Energy Sciences.—The conferees provide $290,550,000 for fusion energy sciences, the same as the budget request. The conferees direct the Department to utilize $29,900,000 of funding proposed for ITER work in fiscal year 2006 to restore U.S.-based fusion funding to fiscal year 2005 levels as follows: $7,300,000 for high performance materials for fusion; $8,700,000 to restore operation of the three major user facilities to fiscal year 2005 operating levels; $7,200,000 for

intense heavy ion beams and fast ignition studies; $5,100,000 for compact stellarators and small-scale experiments; and $1,600,000 for theory. As in previous years, the conferees direct the Department to fund the U.S. share of ITER in fiscal year 2007 through additional resources rather than through reductions to domestic fusion research or to other Office of Science programs. Within available funds, the conferees include $1,000,000 for non-defense research activities at the Atlas Pulse Power facility. In addition, the conferees direct the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to undertake a study of the Office of Science Fusion Energy Sciences program in order to define the role of the major domestic facilities in support of the ITER, including recommendations on the possible consolidation or focus of operations to maximize their research value in support of ITER. The GAO shall also evaluate the opportunities to leverage the National Nuclear Security Administration investment as an alternative to the tokamak concept.

Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement includes $74,317,000 for safeguards and security, the same as the requested amount.

Science Workforce Development.—The conference agreement includes $7,192,000 for Science Workforce Development, the same as the budget request.

Science Program Direction.—The conferees provide $160,725,000 for Science Program Direction. The control level for fiscal year 2006 is at the program account level of Science Program Direction.

Funding Adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an offset of $5,605,000 for the safeguards and security charge for reimbursable work.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides $150,000,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal. When combined with the $350,000,000 provided in the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal account, this makes a total of $500,000,000 available in fiscal year 2006 for activities related to nuclear waste disposal.

Repository program.—During 2005, the Department was unable to complete the License Support Network and faced problems in the quality assurance for water modeling done by the U.S. Geological Survey, several significant legal setbacks, and a major, controversial proposed change to the radiation standard for the repository. These events impact on the Department's ability to submit a quality License Application during fiscal year 2006, as originally scheduled. Further significant schedule slippages are likely. While the Department claims to be taking a number of corrective actions to address these problems, these changes mean that the Department will not be performing all of the license preparation and license defense activities that were originally envisioned when the fiscal year 2006 budget request of $651,000,000 was developed. The conferees believe that $450,000,000 will be sufficient in fiscal year 2006.

Assistance to affected units of local government.—Within the funds made available for the repository program, the conferees provide $2,000,000 to the State of Nevada; $7,500,000 for the affected units of local government; and $500,000 for Nye County, Nevada, as authorized under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act for appropriate oversight actions. These funds for Nye County shall be separate and apart from oversight funding under Section 116(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. The conferees have included bill language reducing the Department's fiduciary responsibility for this oversight funding in light of the adversarial nature of the license application process. Additionally, the conferees direct the Department to renew, as appropriate, existing cooperative agreements with affected units of local government. The Department is specifically directed to enter into a three-year cooperative agreement with Inyo County, California, to complete the study of groundwater connections between Yucca Mountain and Death Valley National Park. The conferees expect this agreement to be in place in time to enable winter test drilling in Death Valley during the winter of 2005–2006.

Integrated spent fuel recycling.—Given the uncertainties surrounding the Yucca Mountain license application process, the conferees provide $50,000,000, not derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, for the Department to develop a spent nuclear fuel recycling plan. Under the Nuclear Energy account, the conferees provide additional research funds to select one or more advanced recycling technologies and to complete conceptual design and initiate pre-engineering design of an Engineering Scale Demonstration of advanced recycling technology. Coupled with this technology research and development effort, funds are provided under the Nuclear Waste Disposal account to prepare the overall program plan and to initiate a competition to select one or more sites suitable for development of integrated recycling facilities (i.e., separation of spent fuel, fabrication of mixed oxide fuel, vitrification of waste products, and process storage) and initiate work on an Environmental Impact Statement. The site competition should not be limited to DOE sites, but should be open to a wide range of other possible federal and non-federal sites on a strictly voluntary basis. The conferees remind the Department that the Nuclear Waste Policy Act prohibits interim storage of nuclear waste in the State of Nevada. To support the development of detailed site proposals for this competition, the conferees make a total of $20,000,000 available to the site offerors, with a maximum of $5,000,000 available per site. To be eligible to receive these funds, each applicant site must be able to identify all state, regulatory, and environmental permits required for permitting this facility, including identifying any legislative or regulatory prohibitions that might prevent siting such a facility. The conferees direct the Secretary to submit a detailed program plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not later than March 31, 2006, and to initiate the site selection competition not later than June 30, 2006. The target for site selection is fiscal year 2007, and the target for initiation of construction of one or more integrated spent fuel recycling facilities is fiscal year 2010. Any funds deemed to be in excess of the needs for the integrated recycling program plan may only be diverted to other activities after submittal and approval of a formal reprogramming to Congress.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides a net appropriation of $129,817,000 for Departmental Administration expenses. This amount includes a transfer of $87,575,000 from Other Defense Activities for defense-related Departmental Administration activities and the Congressional Budget Office estimate of $123,000,000 for revenues. Specific funding levels for each organization funded under the Departmental Administration account are detailed in the accompanying table. The conferees include bill language requiring a report on security at Building 3019, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Chief Information Officer.—The conferees provide $39,385,000, an increase of $1,418,000 over the current year level. The conferees do not support the proposed 63 percent growth in support services contracts for the Chief Information Officer.

Congressional and intergovernmental affairs.—The conference agreement provides $4,826,000, the same as the current year funding level. The conferees expect that the Department will continue the long-standing practice that the primary channel for Departmental liaison with the House Appropriations Committee shall be the Chief Financial Officer.

Policy and international affairs.—The conference agreement provides $14,993,000, the same as the current year funding level.

Office of Engineering and Construction Management.—The conferees support the House report language regarding the importance of improving project management within the Department.

Cybersecurity and secure communications.—The conference agreement provides $24,733,000, the same as the current year funding level.

Corporate management information program.—The conference agreement provides the requested level of $23,055,000. However, the conferees are concerned about the recent failures of STARS and remind the Department of the importance of having a system that provides timely and accurate accounting information.

Working Capital Fund.—The conferees renew the guidance provided in House Report 107–681 regarding management of the Working Capital Fund.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides $42,000,000 for the Office of the Inspector General, a slight decrease from the request but an increase over the current year funding level.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), a semi-autonomous agency within the Department of Energy, manages the Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear nonproliferation, and naval reactors activities.

The conference agreement does not include the proposed cleanup transfer from Environmental Management to the NNSA and the conference recommendation assumes the EM program retains the cleanup program scope.

Availability of funds.—The conference agreement makes funds available until expended.

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides $6,433,936,000 for Weapons Activities instead of $6,574,024,000 as proposed by the Senate and $6,181,121,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees agree with the House language regarding reprogramming authority for weapons activities.

Sustainable Stockpile Initiative.—The conferees support the basic tenets of the House language on a Sustainable Stockpile Initiative, including support for the reliable replacement warhead program, an accelerated warhead dismantlement program, and a reconfiguration of the weapons complex to create a responsive infrastructure that maximizes special nuclear material consolidation. The conferees appreciate the significant effort by the members of the Secretary of Energy's Advisory Board Infrastructure Task Force that produced the Nuclear Weapons Complex Infrastructure Study and expect the Secretary to give serious consideration to the recommendations in the fiscal year 2007 budget request.

DIRECTED STOCKPILE WORK

Directed stockpile work (DSW).—The conference agreement includes $1,386,189,000 for

directed stockpile work. The conference agreement provides $300,818,000 for DSW Life Extension Programs. The conference agreement provides $311,804,000 for DSW Stockpile Systems and $60,000,000 for DSW Warhead Dismantlement. The conferees note the importance of an aggressive warhead dismantlement program as part of the mission of the NNSA and direct the Administrator to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations addressing the cost, scope and schedule of expanding the NNSA infrastructure to increase the dismantlement capacity of the complex. The report is due on March 1, 2006.

Reliable Replacement Warhead (RRW).—The conferees have provided $25,000,000 for the RRW program. The conferees expect that the laboratories and plants will also utilize the existing resources in the Directed Stockpile, Campaigns, and Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities accounts where applicable to further the RRW design options to support a Nuclear Weapons Council determination in November 2006. The conferees reiterate the direction provided in fiscal year 2005 that any weapon design work done under the RRW program must stay within the military requirements of the existing deployed stockpile and any new weapon design must stay within the design parameters validated by past nuclear tests. The conferees expect the NNSA to build on the success of science-based stockpile stewardship to improve manufacturing practices, lower costs and increase performance margins, to support the Administration's decision to significantly reduce the size of the U.S. nuclear stockpile.

The conference agreement provides $688,567,000 for DSW Stockpile services. From within the funds provided in DSW Stockpile services, the conferees direct the NNSA to provide $40,000,000 to fund the Nevada Test Site, $5,000,000 above the request, to maintain the Subcritical Experiment Program, including the Phoenix Explosive Pulse Power program. From within available funds, the conferees provide $6,000,000 to Los Alamos National Laboratory to conduct hydrodynamic testing in support of the Stockpile Stewardship program and $3,000,000 above the request to fund independent assessments of the safety of the stockpile and secure information exchange within the weapons complex.

The conference agreement provides no funds for the Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator (RNEP) feasibility study.

The conferees support a degree of flexibility in executing this budget by providing limited reprogramming authority within Directed Stockpile Work [DSW]. The control levels for the Directed Stockpile Work are:

(1) Life Extension Programs;
(2) Stockpile Systems;
(3) Reliable Replacement Warhead;
(4) Warhead Dismantlement; and
(5) Stockpile Services.

CAMPAIGNS

Campaigns.—The conferees support the Senate language directing the Department to renew for 5 years the existing cooperative agreements with the University of Nevada Las Vegas and the University of Nevada Reno. The Department is also directed to provide funding of $3,000,000 to each institution per year.

For science campaigns, the conference agreement provides $279,464,000. The conference agreement provides $49,718,000 for primary assessment technologies and $20,000,000 for Test Readiness, a reduction of $5,000,000 from the budget request. The conferees direct the Department to maintain the current 24-month test readiness posture. The conferees include $12,500,000, an increase of $2,500,000, to fund the Nevada Test Site to support dynamic experiments, diagnostics, and data analysis, including past UGT analysis. The conferees direct the NNSA to conduct a study to evaluate the capability of proton radiography of the LANSCE facilities to support stockpile stewardship activities. The report is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by July 1, 2006.

The conference agreement provides $83,894,000 for dynamic materials properties, an increase of $3,000,000 above the budget request to support additional experiments at the Joint Actinide Shock Physics Experimental Research facility and at the Atlas facility. The conferees provide $1,000,000 for the LCS laser upgrade at the Idaho Accelerator Center. The conferees provide $49,520,000 for advanced radiography, the same as the budget request. The conferees direct the JASONS to undertake a study of the Dual Axis Radiographic Hydro Test Facility (DARHT) to evaluate the DARHT 2nd axis refurbishment plan and to validate the current schedule and cost baseline. The conferees expects the JASONS to consider whether or not the NNSA has taken the appropriate steps to resolve the technical difficulties associated with the induction linac technology and whether or not the second axis is expected to return to service as currently planned in 2008 in order to meet the National Hydrotest Plan requirements. The conferees recommend $76,332,000 for secondary assessment technologies, an increase of $15,000,000 over the budget request. The conferees provide the additional funds to Los Alamos National Laboratory to restore high-energy-density experimental capabilities.

The conference agreement provides $250,411,000 for engineering campaigns. The conference agreement for the enhanced surety campaign is $40,000,000. The conferees direct NNSA to utilize the MESA facility to develop micro-technology for surety architecture. The conference agreement for the weapons system engineering assessment technology is $17,540,000. The conference agreement for nuclear survivability is $22,386,000 and the conference recommendation for enhanced surveillance campaign is $100,207,000. From within available funds, the conferees provide $4,465,000 to continue the grant-funded University Research Program in Robotics.

Engineering campaign construction projects.—The conference agreement provides $65,564,000 for Project 01–D–108, Microsystem and engineering science applications (MESA) at SNL, in New Mexico and $4,714,000 in operating funds.

Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF) Ignition and High Yield.—The conference agreement includes $549,073,000 for the inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield program. The conferees support the House language regarding project management control systems for managing the ICF program. The conferees direct the NNSA Administrator to issue a report by March 1, 2006 that identifies the scientific and stockpile stewardship value of the National Ignition Facility if the project fails to achieve the ignition demonstration by 2011, or at any time in the future.

Ignition.—The conference agreement recommends $75,615,000, the same as budget request.

Support for Other Stockpile Programs.—The conference agreement includes $19,872,000, an increase of $10,000,000 over the budget request, to perform experiments on the Z-machine to validate computer models as well as experiments on OMEGA at the University of Rochester.

NIF Diagnostics, Cryogenics and Experimental Support.—The conference agreement provides $43,008,000, the same as the budget request.

Pulsed Power Inertial Confinement Fusion.—The conference recommendation includes $11,012,000, a $901,000 increase over the budget request, for pulsed power ICF to assess Z pinches as drivers for ignition and high yield fusion.

University Grants/Other ICF Support.—The conference recommendation includes $7,700,000 for research assistance in high energy density science, a level consistent with fiscal year 2005. The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 for the Nevada Terawatt Facility. Within the funds provided, $3,000,000 is for research into strongly magnetized high energy density matter and $2,000,000 is for construction of the high energy, short-pulse laser system.

Facility Operations and Target Production.—The conference agreement includes $64,623,000, an additional $10,000,000 over the request, for facility operations and target production. The conferees provide the additional $10,000,000 to accelerate target fabrication.

Inertial Fusion Technology.—The conference agreement restores $48,000,000 of funding for the Inertial Fusion Technology program. Within the funds provided, $25,000,000 is for continuing development of high average power lasers, $2,000,000 for the high density matter laser at the Ohio State University Technology Park, $15,000,000 for the Naval Research Laboratory, and $6,000,000 to prepare Z-machine to support extended operations.

NIF Demonstration.—The conference agreement includes $102,330,000 to support the NIF Demonstration program.

High Energy Petawatt Laser Development.—The conferees provide $35,000,000 for high energy petawatt laser development, an increase of $32,000,000 above the request. The conference recommendation includes an additional $4,000,000 for OMEGA operations to provide additional shots to support ignition demonstration in 2011 and an additional $22,000,000 to accelerate the OMEGA Extended Performance capability project, a four beam super-high-intensity, high-energy laser facility. Within the available funds, $2,000,000 is provided for continued development of petawatt laser at the University of Texas at Austin; $2,000,000 is provided to the University of Nevada, Reno to continue its collaboration with Sandia National Laboratories on highly diagnosed studies of exploding wire arrays and implosion dynamics. The conferees provide $2,000,000 to Sandia National Laboratories for Z-Petawatt Consortium experiments using the Sandia Z-Beamlet and Z petawatt lasers.

Construction—Project 96–D–111.—The conferees provide $141,913,000 for construction of the National Ignition Facility (NIF), the same as the budget request.

Advanced Simulation and Computing (ASCI).—The conference agreement provides $605,830,000 for Advanced Simulation and Computing. The conferees recognize that the modern networking technologies employed by the ASC program enable effective long-distance access to high-end computing. The conferees urges the ASC program to provide adequate federal oversight to ensure that the capability supercomputers are used as a national resource, shared by the three weapons laboratories, and are applied to the highest priority weapons systems requirements that cannot be solved in a timely manner on capacity computers. The conferees direct the NNSA to allocate capacity computing funds to each lab based on the pending or projected highest priority stockpile workload. The conference recommendation includes the following projects from within available funds: Nonprofit AVETeC for Nextedge Technology Park, Springfield (OH), $10,000,000; Wittenberg University supercomputer (OH),

$1,000,000; Notre Dame/Purdue Supercomputer Grid (IL, IN), $5,000,000; and $6,000,000 provided to continue the demonstration at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory of advanced electronics packaging and thermal engineering for thermally-efficient electronics related to high performance data servers using three dimensional chip scale packaging integrated with spray cooling (WA).

For the pit manufacturing and certification campaign, the conference agreement provides $241,074,000. The conference agreement provides $120,926,000 for W88 pit manufacturing and $61,895,000 for W88 pit certification, the same as the budget request. The conference agreement provides $23,071,000 for Pit Manufacturing Capability and $35,182,000 for Pit campaign support at the Nevada Test Site. The conference agreement provides no funding for the modern pit facility. The conferees direct the Administrator of the NNSA to undertake a review of the pit program to focus on improving the manufacturing capability at TA–55. The conferees also direct the Department to develop a report as to how the NNSA intends to address the radiological mission and security needs of category III/IV material currently housed at TA–18 at Los Alamos. This report shall be provided to the Committees on Appropriations by February 1, 2006.

For readiness campaigns, the conference agreement provides $218,755,000. The conference agreement provides $31,400,000 for the Stockpile readiness campaign. The conference agreement provides $17,097,000 for High explosives weapons operations. The conference agreement provides $28,630,000 for the non-nuclear readiness campaign. The conference agreement provides $54,040,000 for the advanced design and production technologies campaign. Funding for the tritium readiness campaign is the same as the budget request.

READINESS IN TECHNICAL BASE AND FACILITIES

Readiness in technical base and facilities.—For readiness in technical base and facilities, the conference agreement provides $1,647,885,000, an increase of $16,499,000 over the budget request, and includes several funding adjustments.

Within funds provided for operations of facilities, the conferees direct that, at a minimum, an additional $51,000,000 be provided for the Pantex plant in Texas and an additional $40,000,000 for the Y–12 Plant in Tennessee as proposed by the House and $15,000,000 for the Kansas City Plant in Kansas as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides the budget request of $25,000,000 for Lawrence Livermore Laboratory and $21,997,000 for the Y–12 plant to address newly generated waste activities.

The conferees provide the funding adjustments proposed by the Senate: $7,500,000 to support operation and recapitalization of facilities at the Nevada Test Site; $11,000,000 for modification of the Z-Beamlet laser at the Z Pinch at Sandia National Laboratories; $12,000,000 to support MESA Operations; $2,500,000 for the UNLV Research Foundation to support the ongoing programs of the Institute for Security Studies; $3,000,000 for the Advanced Monitoring Systems Initiative at the NTS to continue micro-sensing technology deployment and prototype deployment of remote monitoring systems for the underground test area; $7,500,000 to improve and upgrade existing roads at the Nevada Test Site and an additional $4,000,000 to install two new water storage tanks in Area 6 of the NTS; $1,000,000 to purchase and install a Geographic Information Center at the NTS; $4,000,000 to install a 17-mile fiber optic link between the Nevada Test Site and Indians Springs Air Force Base; and $4,500,000 to upgrade the Emergency Operations Center within the Nevada Support Facility to meet national program goals. The recommendation also includes, within funds provided, $3,000,000 for the Consortium on Terrorism and Fire Science at UNR; $500,000 for the continuing operations and security at the Atomic Testing History Institute; $2,000,000 to the UNLV Research Foundation to continue support of the radioanalytical services laboratory; $3,500,000 to the not-for-profit Technology Ventures Corporation to continue the successful technology transfer and commercialization efforts at the National Laboratories and the Nevada Test Site; $1,750,000 for the National Museum of Nuclear Science and History; $2,000,000 for the Arrowhead Center at New Mexico State University; $2,000,000 for Rapid Prototyping activities at the Special Technology Laboratory in Santa Barbara, (CA) to accelerate development of sensor and live plume tracking capabilities at the Nevada Test Site; $2,000,000 for a public-private partnership to continue the test and evaluation of water filtration technology to protect the public against nuclear, biological, and chemical threats; and $1,000,000 to continue the ongoing administration infrastructure support grant for the UNLV Research Foundation.

Nanotechnology.—The conferees provide $15,000,000 from within available funds for the establishment of the National Nanotechnology Enterprise Development Center (NNEDC), to be managed by the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies. The NNEDC will assist in the technology maturation of nanotechnologies developed at each of the National Nanoscience Initiative Facilities and to assist in their transition to the marketplace, while emphasizing opportunities for industrial partnerships with the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies. Proposals to the NNEDC will be considered by a board of experts qualified to evaluate proposals based on both their scientific merit and their commercial potential, including a representative from each of the National Nanoscience Initiative Facilities, and a similar number of representatives from economic development and commercial sectors to be selected by the Department of Energy's Office of Science.

Advanced Computing.—The conferees provide $35,000,000 to Los Alamos National Laboratory to acquire additional computing capacity.

Within funds provided, the conferees provide the funding adjustments proposed by the House: $1,150,000 for risk based data management in Oklahoma (OK); $2,000,000 for Robotics repetitive system technology (OH); $3,750,000 for Plasma Separation Process High Energy Storage Isotope research (TN); $1,500,000 for Multi-Platform dosimeter radiation detection devices (WA); $2,000,000 for Secure Wireless Technologies at Y–12 (TN); $2,000,000 for Airborne Particulate Threat Assessment (PA); $2,000,000 for command and control of Vulnerable Materials Security System (PA, NJ); $1,000,000 for Advanced Engineering Environment at Sandia, Livermore (CA).

The conference agreement includes the budget request of $105,738,000 for Program Readiness, $72,730,000 for material recycle and recovery, $17,247,000 for containers, and $25,222,000 for storage. The conference recommendation provides the budget request for the activities under special projects within the funds provided for operations of facilities.

Construction projects.—For RTBF construction projects, the conference agreement includes the budget request, except for the following adjustments: an additional $2,000,000 for Project 05–D–140, Project Engineering and Design for Test Capabilities Revitalization project at Sandia National Laboratory and an additional $11,000,000 for Project 01–D–124, HEU materials facility at the Y–12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE RECAPITALIZATION

Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization.—The conference agreement includes $150,873,000 for the facilities and infrastructure (F&I) recapitalization program.

SECURE TRANSPORTATION ASSET

Secure Transportation Asset.—The conference agreement provides $212,100,000 for secure transportation asset. The conference agreement provides $68,334,000 for program direction.

NUCLEAR WEAPONS INCIDENT RESPONSE

Nuclear Weapons Incident Response.—The conference agreement provides $118,796,000 for nuclear weapons incident response.

SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY

Safeguards and security.—The conference agreement includes $805,486,000, an increase of $65,008,000 over the budget request, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration. Within funds provided for safeguards and security, the conferees direct that, at a minimum, an additional $25,000,000 be provided for the Pantex plant in Texas and an additional $60,000,000 for the Y–12 Plant in Tennessee, as proposed by the House, and $20,000,000 to complete the expansion of the red network at Los Alamos as proposed by the Senate. The conferees provide $1,900,000 to demonstrate an enterprise PKI for secure communication at Sandia National Lab. The conferees direct the NNSA to fund the protective force at the Device Assembly Facility, including full implementation of the protective force Special Response Team program at the Nevada Test Site.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an adjustment of $32,000,000 for a security charge for reimbursable work, as proposed in the budget.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The conference agreement provides $1,631,151,000 for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation.

NONPROLIFERATION AND VERIFICATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Nonproliferation and Verification Research and Development.—The conference agreement provides $322,000,000 for nonproliferation and verification research and development, an increase of $49,782,000 over the budget request. The conferees provide $177,471,000 for proliferation detection, an increase of $25,000,000 over the budget request; and $125,424,000 for nuclear explosion monitoring, an increase of $16,782,000 over the request, of which $24,000,000 is for ground-based systems for treaty monitoring; and $6,105,000 for supporting activities. The Committee provides $13,000,000 for Project 06–D–180, National Security Laboratory at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), an increase of $8,000,000 over the budget request. The additional $8,000,000 is to complete project engineering and design and initiate construction on 300 Area capability replacement laboratory.

The conferees direct the Department to conduct a free and open competitive process for at least $7,500,000 of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2006 for ground-based systems treaty monitoring. From within available funds, the conference agreement includes the following projects:

$2,500,000 for the UNLV Research Foundation to support nonproliferation activities at the Institute for Security Studies; $4,000,000 for portable high purity germanium detectors for incident response and radiation detection applications; $1,000,000 for the National Center for Biodefense at George Mason University (VA); $1,000,000 for the Offshore Detection Integrated System (OH); $750,000 for developing neutron dosimeter and Gamma-Beta Survey meter (OH); $300,000 for the Texas A&M Moscow Physics Institute-Nonproliferation and International Security Program (TX); and $500,000 for Mega Cargo Imaging program at the Nevada Test Site (NV). From within available funds, the conference agreement includes up to $5,000,000 to support a chemical and biological detection research and development program in the NNSA.

NONPROLIFERATION AND INTERNATIONAL SECURITY

Nonproliferation and International Security.—The conference agreement provides $75,000,000 for nonproliferation and international security, a reduction of $5,173,000 below the budget request. The conferees provide $10,000,000 for initiatives focused on removing nuclear weapons-usable materials from vulnerable sites around the world. The conferees direct the Department to provide $3,000,000 in grants to institutions of higher learning and non-profit entities for research related to nuclear nonproliferation and chemical and biological weapons detection. Each individual grant provided shall not exceed $250,000.

NONPROLIFERATION PROGRAMS WITH RUSSIA

INTERNATIONAL NUCLEAR MATERIALS PROTECTION AND COOPERATION

International Materials Protection, Control and Cooperation (MPC&A).—The conference recommendation is $427,000,000 for the MPC&A program, an increase of $83,565,000 over the budget request. The conferees provide the additional funds to accelerate the new opportunities to secure nuclear warhead storage sites resulting from the Bratislava Summit agreement. The conference agreement provides the budget request within the Second Line of Defense program for the MegaPorts initiative.

GLOBAL INITIATIVE FOR PROLIFERATION PREVENTION

Global Initiative for Proliferation Prevention.—The conference agreement provides $40,000,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (IPP) program and the Nuclear Cities Initiative (NCI).

HIGHLY ENRICHED URANIUM (HEU) TRANSPARENCY IMPLEMENTATION

HEU Transparency Implementation.—The conference agreement provides $19,483,000, a reduction of $1,000,000 from the budget request.

ELIMINATION OF WEAPONS-GRADE PLUTONIUM PRODUCTION

Elimination of Weapons-Grade Plutonium Production.—The conference agreement provides $176,185,000, an increase of $44,185,000 over the budget request, for the elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program. The conferees provide the additional funds to maintain the schedule to shutdown the Zheleznogorsk reactor by 2011 and expect the Department to fully fund the outyear budget requirement in the Future Years Nuclear Security Program five year budget plan to accomplish the reactor shutdown milestone.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

Fissile Materials Disposition.—The conference agreement provides $473,508,000 for fissile materials disposition, a reduction of $179,557,000 from the budget request. Funding of $195,000,000 is provided for U.S. surplus materials disposition and $34,508,000 for the Russian plutonium disposition program. The conferees have included language modifying the statutory provision allowing for significant fines against the Department of Energy if the MOX production schedule slips in future years. Since fiscal year 2001, Congress has provided in excess of $1.1 billion for the MOX construction project. Recognizing that the liability impasse has been resolved with the Russian Federation, the conferees expect the MOX facility construction activity at the Savannah River Site will proceed on schedule.

Construction projects.—The conference recommendation includes $220,000,000 for Project 99–D–143, the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication facility project, a reduction of $118,565,000 from the budget request. The conferees expect the Department to utilize fully the available prior year balances in the Mixed Oxide (MOX) construction project to begin construction before requesting significant additional budget authority. Funding of $24,000,000 is provided for Project 99–D–141, the Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility project.

GLOBAL THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE

Global Threat Reduction Initiative.—The conference agreement provides $97,975,000, the same as the budget request, for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative program. The conference agreement provides the budget request for the Kazakhstan Spent Fuel Disposition program. The conference agreement provides up to $7,000,000 from within available funds, to support the conversion of university research reactors from a highly enriched uranium core to a low enriched uranium core, for as many as four research reactors located in the United States. The reactors targeted for conversion are Purdue University, Oregon State University, University of Wisconsin and Washington State University. The conferees encourage the Department to fund the Radiological Threat Reduction program to establish a pilot program to utilize commercial or non-governmental resources for recovery, storage, monitoring and disposal of domestic high-risk radioactive sealed sources and to provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees on these activities by the end of fiscal year 2006.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides $789,500,000 for Naval Reactors, an increase of $3,500,000 over the budget request. The conferees agree to transfer $13,500,000 to the Office of Nuclear Energy to support the Idaho National Laboratory's Advanced Test Reactor.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

The conference agreement provides $341,869,000 for the Office of the Administrator.

From within available funds, the conference agreement provides $15,000,000 to continue the support to the HBCUs' scientific and technical programs in fiscal year 2006. The Committee expects the Department to provide financial support in rough parity to both HBCUs and the Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI). The Committee recommendation includes $2,000,000 each for Wilberforce University and Central State University in Wilberforce, Ohio; $2,000,000 for Claflin College in Orangeburg, SC; $4,000,000 for Allen University in Columbia, SC; and $1,000,000 each for Voorhees College in Denmark, SC and South Carolina State University in Orangeburg, SC, and Florida Memorial University for the Carrie Meek Health and Science Complex in Miami Gardens, FL; $500,000 each for Cheyney University, Cheyney (PA) and Lincoln University, Lincoln University of Pennsylvania (PA); and $1,000,000 for the ACE program at Maricopa Community Colleges in Phoenix, Arizona. The conferees agree with the House language that directs the Department to provide funds to HBCU institutions to allow for infrastructure improvements and technical programs and expects the Department to ensure the Dr. Samuel P. Massie Chairs of Excellence are fully supported within the HBCU program.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

The conference agreement for the Defense Environmental Cleanup (EM) program totals $6,192,371,000. The conferees recommend that the Department carry over balances for WERC, a consortium for environmental education and technology development, to support an educational foundation within that organization. Within the amounts provided, the Department is directed to fund hazardous waste worker training at $10,000,000.

Energy and Water Technology.—Within the amounts provided, the Department is directed to fund $12,500,000 for energy and water resource management, including $7,000,000 for advanced concept desalination and arsenic treatment in partnership with American Water Works Research Foundation and WERC; $2,000,000 for water supply technology development and $3,500,000 for water management decision support including demonstration programs in partnership with the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer and international water partnerships.

Milestone report.—While the budget structure has changed, the conferees remain interested in whether the Department has met its goals for completion for years 2006, 2012, and 2035. The conferees request a report by site that tracks accelerated clean-up milestones, whether they are being met or not, and includes annual budget estimates and life-cycle costs, due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 1 and September 1 of each year.

NNSA Transfers.—The conferees did not support the transfer of environmental clean-up responsibilities to the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), consistent with the House and Senate reports. However, responsibility for NNSA newly generated waste will remain in NNSA. The conferees provide no funding in the defense EM program for newly generated waste at Lawrence Livermore Laboratory and the Y–12 Plant.

Low level/mixed low level (LLW/MLW) waste Report Requirement.—Consistent with the House report, the conferees direct the Secretary to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, on the specific steps the Department will take to ensure that life-cycle cost guidance is implemented in the consideration of LLW/MLW options by DOE contractors, and that a robust federal cadre of employees will oversee the implementation of such guidance.

EM Subproject Report Requirement.—The conferees are concerned that the Environmental Management program continues to aggregate multiple project activities within the Project Baseline Summaries (PBS) contained in its annual budget request. When EM initially ''projectized'' its work in the FY 2001 budget request, program activities were aggregated into approximately 430 PBS's that were used as the basis for the programs budget justification and execution reporting. The number of PBSs now stands at 89. Since these PBSs are the basis for ''project'' baselines and performance tracking within the Department, it leads the conferees to question the Department's ability

to meaningfully analyze its costs and work accomplishment. The conferees direct the Department to provide a report by March 1, 2006, to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with additional information on large PBSs (requests of more than $100,000,000) in the form of detailed justification by subprojects to provide more visability and specificity to the planned activities within those PBSs. This report should be prepared for the scope planned for the fiscal year 2006 appropriations and the fiscal year 2007 request. These new subproject groupings should be used as a basis for quarterly reporting of financial data (unobligated and uncosted balances), and project variance reports.

Reprogramming Authority.—The conferees continue to support the need for flexibility to meet changing funding requirements at sites. In fiscal year 2006, the Department may transfer up to $5,000,000 within accounts, and between accounts, as noted in the table below, to reduce health or safety risks or to gain cost savings as long as no program or project is increased or decreased by more than $5,000,000 once during the fiscal year. This reprogramming authority may not be used to initiate new programs or to change funding levels for programs specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or statement. The Committees on Appropriations in the House and Senate must be notified within thirty days of the use of this reprogramming authority. The following is a list of control levels for reprogramming:

Closure sites
Savannah River site, 2012 accelerations
Savannah River site, 2035 accelerations
Savannah River Tank Farm
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
Idaho National Laboratory
Oak Ridge Reservation
Hanford site 2012 accelerated completions
Hanford site 2035 accelerated completions
Office of River Protection (ORP) Waste Treatment & Immobilization (WTP) Pretreatment facility
ORP WTP High-level waste facility
ORP WTP Low activity waste facility
ORP WTP Analytical laboratory
ORP WTP Balance of facilities
Program Direction
Program Support
UE D&D Fund contribution
Technology Development
All Construction Line Items
NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites
Safeguards and Security

Guaranteed Fixed Priced Remediation (GFPR).—Public Law 108–447 directed the Department to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the feasibility of applying GFPR to remediation activities. The Department has completed its evaluation and has concluded that remediation projects at DOE sites or portions of sites that historically did not involve high risk materials could be potential candidates for GFPR contracts. The conferees are encouraged by this report, and direct the Department to identify at least two remediation projects or portions of projects as candidates for a pilot use of GFPR in fiscal year 2006.

Closure Sites.—The conference agreement provides $1,028,589,000, reflecting a decrease of $10,000,000 to litigation contingency monies held in reserve for Rocky Flats.

The conferees provide an increase of $30,000,000 to complete remedies at Mound Operable Unit 1 (OU–1), and direct the Department to work with the Miamisburg Mound Community Improvement Corporation in developing a mutually acceptable remedy. The remedy shall meet the spirit and intent of the ''Sales Contract by and between the U.S. DOE and the Miamisburg Community Improvement Corporation, January 23, 1998'', permit industrial reuse of OU–1, and be consistent with past site cleanup practices and cleanup levels and objectives. Agreement on the remedy shall be completed by March 1, 2006. DOE shall report to Congress the progress of the remedy development by December 1, 2005. If substantial progress has not been made in the development of the remedy by this time, DOE shall engage the services of a mediator, mutually acceptable to the parties, to facilitate the remedy selection for the OU–1 waste disposal area.

Savannah River Site.—The conference agreement provides $1,170,582,000 for the Savannah River Site. The conferees provide $10,000,000 for the melt and dilute technology for excess weapons-grade plutonium. The conferees provide $500,000 for project 05–D–405, salt waste processing facility, and reduce prior year balances for this project by $20,000,000 because the construction is held up due to unresolved seismic issues.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP).—The conference agreement provides $230,629,000 for the Waste Isolation Pilot Project. Within available funds, the conference agreement provides $6,000,000 for the purchase of TRUPACT–III shipping containers, $3,500,000 for educational support, infrastructure improvements, and related initiatives for the Carlsbad community, $5,000,000 to consolidate all record archives relevant to the operations of WIPP at Carlsbad, and to provide these records in a format that is user friendly and supports timely access to information, $2,000,000 for the Office of Environmental Management to support the Center for Excellence in Hazardous Materials, and $1,500,000 for neutrino research in the WIPP environment, which is relatively pristine in terms of background radiation.

Idaho National Laboratory.—The conference agreement provides $538,225,000. The conferees direct that the unexpended balances of up to $68,000,000 previously appropriated as Defense Privatization for the Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Plant be merged with other maintenance and operating funds available within the Defense Environmental Cleanup account, Solid Waste Stabilization and Disposition project activity, for the Idaho site to continue processing of transuranic waste for disposal at the WIPP.

Oak Ridge Reservation.—The conferees provide $240,812,000 for the Oak Ridge Reservation. The conference agreement includes $18,000,000 for disposition of material in Building 3019, consistent with the Department's decision to transfer this responsibility to the defense EM program. The conferees direct the Department to provide a report within 60 days of enactment of this Act, that details the Department's path forward in managing this material.

Hanford Site.—The conference agreement provides $780,653,000 for the Hanford Site. The conferees provide $1,000,000 for B-reactor preservation and $500,000 each for preservation of ETTP and LANL former Manhattan Project sites. The conferees provide $7,500,000 for the Volpentest Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response (HAMMER) training and education center. The Department is expected to continue making PILT payments at last year's level to counties that have the Hanford reservation within their boundaries.

Office of River Protection.—The conference agreement provides $329,471,000 for Tank Farm activities, and $526,000,000 for construction project 01–D–416, the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant.

The high-level waste vitrification program at Hanford has had a long history of failure—more than $9,000,000,000 has been spent over the last 15 years. Based on a report by the Corps of Engineers, the estimated cost of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP), originally $4,300,000,000, may rise to as much as $9,300,000,000, and the schedule may slip four more years to 2015. Reasons for these increases include: contractor estimating problems, technical problems, and insufficient project contingency. It is unclear what steps DOE will take to better ensure effective management and oversight of the project in the longer term.

Based on this troubled history, the conferees provide $526,000,000, for the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant, a reduction of $99,893,000 from the request. The conferees understand that $98,000,000 remains available from fiscal year 2005 to be used in fiscal year 2006 for this project. The Department needs better control and oversight of the scope, cost and schedule of this project, and the conferees direct the Department to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by December 1, 2005, on the actions taken to rectify the management failures of this project, and to report quarterly, beginning on January 1, 2006, on the activities and financial status of each of the subprojects within WTP.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement provides $243,816,000 for program direction. Of the total amount, $82,924,000 is available for obligation only after the report delivery to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by the Secretary on the specific steps the Department will take to ensure that life-cycle cost guidance is implemented in the consideration of LLW/MLW options by DOE contractors. The conferees support the termination of the A–76 contracting out of the duties of federal employees for the Environmental Cleanup program.

Program Support.—The Conference recommendation provides $32,846,000.

Federal Contribution to Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.—The Energy Policy Act of 1992, Public Law 102–486, created the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund to pay for the cost of cleanup of the gaseous diffusion facilities located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; Paducah, Kentucky; and Portsmouth, Ohio. The conference agreement includes the budget request of $451,000,000 for the Federal contribution to the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund as authorized in Public Law 102–486.

Technology Development and Deployment.—The conference agreement provides $30,065,000. The conferees are concerned about DOE's efforts to protect contaminants from reaching the Columbia River. Technology used in several remedies is not performing satisfactorily, and there is a lack of new technologies to address contamination issues. The conferees provide $10,000,000 for analyzing contaminant migration to the Columbia River, and for the introduction of new technology approaches to solving contamination migration issues. The conferees understand that the various program groups managing the groundwater and vadose zone cleanup program are fragmented, and not well coordinated. The conferees direct the Department to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the organization and operations of these groups, and how they will be better coordinated, within 60 days of enactment of this Act. The conferees provide $5,000,000 for AEA Technology to address alternative cost effective technologies for cleaning up legacy waste. Within available funds, the conferees direct the Department to fund the real-time identification warning system at $250,000, the Hanford Tank Waste Operations Simulator at $2,000,000, and the Mid-Atlantic Recycling Center for End of Life Electronics at $1,000,000.

NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites.—The conference agreement provides $302,460,000, reflecting the return of cleanup activities to the Environmental Cleanup program that otherwise would have transferred to the NNSA. The conferees provide no funding in the defense EM program for newly generated waste at Lawrence Livermore Laboratory and the Y–12 plant.

Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement provides $287,223,000, the same as the budget request.

Congressionally Directed Projects.—The conferees' recommendation includes the following Congressionally directed projects, within available funds. The conferees remind recipients that statutory cost sharing requirements may attach to these projects.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECTS

Project	*Conference Recommendation*
Western Environmental Technology Office (multi-state)	5,000,000
University of Nevada-Reno School of Medicine Core Facilities equipment (NV)	4,000,000
Great Basin Science Sample and Records Library (NV)	3,500,000
Desert Research Institute's CAVE project (NV)	2,000,000
UNLV Research Foundation to continue earthquake hazard and seismic risk research (NV)	1,000,000
Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Library (MS)	5,000,000
Electrochemical system utilizing ceramic ionic transport membranes for the recycle and disposal of radioactive sodium ion waste (ID)	3,000,000
Desert Research Institute's Environmental Monitoring Program (NV)	2,750,000
Nye County Groundwater Evaluation Program (NV)	1,500,000
Emergency and Non-emergency communications systems upgrades in Nye County (NV)	1,500,000
Stabilization of Los Alamos Airport Landfill (NM)	5,000,000
Energy & Environmental Hispanic Community Participation Project (NM)	750,000

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides $641,998,000 for Other Defense Activities.

OFFICE OF SECURITY AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides $307,095,000, an increase of $6,000,000 over the budget request. The conference agreement includes $186,878,000 for nuclear safeguards and security; and $46,725,000 for security investigations; and $73,492,000 for program direction. The conferees provide an additional $5,000,000 for Project Engineering and Design (PED) funding to begin a new construction project to upgrade CPP–651 and CPP–691 at the Idaho National Laboratory for complex-wide material consolidation of special nuclear material. The conferees direct the Department to include a PED line item project to continue this activity in the fiscal year 2007 budget request. The conferees support the House request for a report detailing the security requirements of the special nuclear material disposition activity at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and have included the report description and deadline in bill language.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides $77,029,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities, of which $19,546,000 is for program direction. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides $5,000,000 to undertake the Chernobyl Research and Service Project. The Conference recommendation includes $4,000,000 for the DOE Worker Records Digitization project in Nevada.

The Former Worker Medical Screening.—The conference agreement provides $12,500,000 for Former Worker Program. From within available funds, the following projects are provided: $465,000 to extend medical screening at the three gaseous diffusion plants; $2,000,000 to be evenly divided to initiate medical screening of former workers at the Mound facility in Miamisburg, Ohio, and the Fernald Facility in Harrison, Ohio. The conferees direct the Secretary to initiate early lung cancer detection screening at the Y–12 and X–10 facilities, Tennessee. To offset these activities the conferees allocate $2,700,000 in fiscal year 2006 for activities under the DOE–HHS MOU and direct the Department to prioritize funds for the National Center for Environmental Health at Los Alamos and research work at the Health Energy Related Branch at NIOSH.

LEGACY MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides a total of $78,598,000 for the Office of Legacy Management to manage the long-term stewardship responsibilities at the Department of Energy clean up sites. The Conference recommendation provides $45,076,000 in Other Defense Activities and the balance of $33,522,000 is provided in the non-defense Energy Supply account.

FUNDING FOR DEFENSE ACTIVITIES IN IDAHO

The conference agreement provides $123,873,000 for defense-related activities at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) and associated Idaho cleanup sites.

DEFENSE RELATED ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides $87,575,000 for national security programs administrative support.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides $4,353,000 for the Office of Hearings and Appeals, the same as the budget request.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides $350,000,000 for the defense contribution to the nuclear waste repository program.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference recommendation provides no new borrowing authority for BPA during fiscal year 2006. The Bonneville Power Administration may make no new obligations in support of the Fish Passage Center. The conferees call upon Bonneville Power Administration and the Northwest Power and Conservation Council to ensure that an orderly transfer of the Fish Passage Center functions (warehouse of smolt monitoring data, routine data analysis and reporting and coordination of the smolt monitoring program) occurs within 120 days of enactment of this legislation. These functions shall be transferred to other existing and capable entities in the region in a manner that ensures seamless continuity of activities.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $5,600,000 for the Southeastern Power Administration. The conference agreement provides $32,713,000 for purchase power and wheeling in fiscal year 2006.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $30,166,000 for the Southwestern Power Administration. The conference agreement provides $3,000,000 for purchase power and wheeling in fiscal year 2006.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides $233,992,000, an increase of $180,035,000 over the budget request for Western Area Power Administration. The conference agreement provides $279,000,000 for purchase power and wheeling in fiscal year 2006. The total O&M program level for Western in fiscal year 2006 is $517,154,000, which includes $53,957,000 for construction and rehabilitation, $47,295,000 for system operation and maintenance, $279,000,000 for purchase power and wheeling, and $130,202,000 for program direction. Offsetting collections total $283,162,000; with the use of $4,162,000 of offsetting collections from the Colorado River Dam Fund (as authorized in P.L. 98–381), this requires a net appropriation of $233,992,000. Within available funds, the conference recommendation includes $6,000,000 to complete the Topock-Davis section of the Topock-Davis-Mead line including the interconnection and extension to Needles, CA, to provide additional transmission capacity by using aluminum matrix composite conductor technology. The conferees are disappointed that the funding for the South of Phoenix portion of the Parker-Davis project in Pinal County has been delayed and recommend that the project funding be reinstated without any further delay or interruption. The conferees agree with the House language regarding the Sierra-Nevada Region's Post–2004 Power Marketing Plan and Transmission Operations and direct WAPA to submit the requested report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 1, 2006. The conference agreement includes $6,700,000 for the Utah Mitigation and Conservation fund.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The conference agreement includes $2,692,000, the same as the budget request, for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $220,400,000 for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Revenues for FERC are set at an amount equal to the budget authority, resulting in a net appropriation of $0.

The conferees are aware that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has begun requiring the collection of wholesale electric charges to address costs associated with crossing ''seams'' between neighboring Regional Transmission Organizations, also known as ''Seams Elimination Cost Adjustment''. While recognizing that legitimate costs should be recovered, the conferees are troubled about whether the Commission has applied these fees without a clear accounting of actual costs or proper allocation, permitted SECA charges to go into effect without those charges having been filed or even disclosed, used ''baselines'' that may not reflect actual power flows and otherwise failed

to provide proper and appropriate procedural protections to all parties. The conferees expect the Commission to review its SECA policies and take expeditious and appropriate remedial steps.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Sec. 301. The conference agreement includes language regarding competition of certain management and operating contracts.

Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision regarding workforce restructuring plans, enhanced severance payments, and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision regarding augmentation of funds for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants.

Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision regarding Requests for Proposals for programs that have not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year.

Sec. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision regarding the use of unexpended balances of prior appropriations.

Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision prohibiting the Bonneville Power Administration from performing energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

Sec. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision establishing certain notice and competition requirements for Department of Energy user facilities.

Sec. 308. The conference agreement includes a provision authorizing intelligence activities of the Department of Energy for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2006.

Sec. 309. The conference agreement includes a provision limiting the types of waste that may be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

Sec. 310. The conference agreement includes a provision dealing with the Reno Hydrogen Fuel Project.

Sec. 311. The conference agreement includes a provision authorizing maximum percentages for laboratory directed research and development and plant- or site-directed research and development.

Sec. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision dealing with the purchase of mineral rights at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.

Sec. 313. The conference agreement includes a provision dealing with the Mixed Oxide Fuel Facility at the Savannah River Site.

Sec. 314. The conference agreement includes a provision authorizing the Secretary to barter, transfer or sell uranium.

Sec. 315. The conference agreement includes a provision requiring non-federal matching funds for the Coralville, Iowa, project.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House relating to Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) and Plant Directed Research and Development (PDRD) activities.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House relating to LDRD and PDRD activities for project costs incurred as Indirect Costs by Major Facility Operating Contractors under OMB's Federal Cost Accounting Standards (FAR Part 9900) or the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House relating to laboratory directed research and development activities at Department of Energy laboratories on behalf of other Federal agencies.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House relating to price supports and loan guarantee programs.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the House relating to the siting of a modern pit facility.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to the Advanced Simulation Computing program.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to eligibility of costs incurred by DOE contractors for LDRD, SDRD, and PDRD.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to direct and indirect costs of LDRD, SDRD, and PDRD.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to funding National Nuclear Security Administration Weapons Complex reforms.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to fusion energy science.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to retirement benefits for Rocky Flats site workers.

The conference agreement deletes a provision proposed by the Senate relating to Savannah River National Laboratory eligibility for LDRD.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in Title III are contained in the following table.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION		
ENERGY EFFICENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY		
Hydrogen Technology:		
Hydrogen technology	99,094	81,099
Fuel cell technologies	83,600	76,100
Subtotal, hydrogen technology	182,694	157,199
Biomass and Biorefinery Systems R&D	72,164	91,634
Solar energy	83,953	83,953
Wind energy	44,249	39,249
Geothermal technology	23,299	23,299
Hydropower	500	500
Vehicle technologies	165,943	183,943
Building technologies	57,966	69,966
Industrial technologies	56,489	57,429
Distributed energy and electricity reliability	56,629	---
Federal Energy Management Program:		
Departmental energy management program	2,019	2,019
Federal energy management program	17,147	17,147
Subtotal, Federal Energy Management Program	19,166	19,166
Facilities and infrastructure:		
National Renewable Energy Laboratory	5,800	5,800
Research Support Buildings	---	10,000
Construction		
02-E-001 Science and technology facility, NREL	10,515	10,515
Total, Facilities and infrastructure	16,315	26,315
Weatherization and Intergovernmental program:		
Weatherization assistance	225,400	240,400
Training and technical assistance	4,600	4,600
State energy program grants	41,000	36,000
State energy activities	500	500
Gateway deployment	26,657	25,657
International renewable energy program	2,910	3,910
Tribal energy activities	4,000	4,000
Renewable energy production incentive	5,000	5,000
Subtotal, Weatherization and Intergovernmental program	310,067	320,067
Program Direction	101,524	99,524
Program Support	9,456	13,456
TOTAL, ENERGY EFFICENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY	1,200,414	1,185,700

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION		
High temperature superconductivity R&D	45,000	50,500
Transmission reliability R&D	9,220	13,000
Electricity distribution transformation R&D	4,037	60,666
Energy storage R&D	3,000	3,000
Gridwise	5,500	5,500
Gridworks	5,000	5,000
Total, Research and development	71,757	137,666
Electricity restructuring	12,400	12,400
Program direction	11,447	13,447
TOTAL, ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION	95,604	163,513
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
University reactor infrastructure and education assist	24,000	27,000
Research and development		
Nuclear power 2010	56,000	66,000
Generation IV nuclear energy systems initiative	45,000	55,000
Nuclear hydrogen initiative	20,000	25,000
Advanced fuel cycle initiative	70,000	80,000
Total, Research and development	191,000	226,000
Infrastructure		
Radiological facilities management		
Space and defense infrastructure	31,200	39,700
Medical isotopes infrastructure	14,395	14,395
Construction		
05-E-203 Facility modifications for U-233 di disposition, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN	18,705	---
Subtotal, Medical isotopes infrastructure	33,100	14,395
Enrichment facility and uranium management	500	500
Subtotal, Radiological facilities management	64,800	54,595
Idaho facilities management		
INL Operations and infrastructure	86,907	102,907
INL infrastructure		
Construction		
06-E-200 Project engineering and design (PED), INL, ID	7,870	7,870
06-E-201 Gas test loop in the ATR, INL, ID	3,085	3,085
Subtotal, Construction	10,955	10,955
Subtotal, Idaho facilities management	97,862	113,862
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	75,008	75,008
Total, Infrastructure	237,670	243,465
Program direction	61,109	61,109
Subtotal, Nuclear Energy	513,779	557,574

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Funding from other defense activities	-123,873	-123,873
Funding from Naval Reactors	---	-13,500
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	389,906	420,201
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Office of Environment, Safety and Health (non-defense)	9,100	7,100
Program direction	20,900	20,900
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	30,000	28,000
OFFICE OF LEGACY MANAGEMENT		
Legacy management	33,522	33,522
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION	1,749,446	1,830,936
CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY		
Deferral of unobligated balances, FY 2005	257,000	257,000
Deferral of unobligated balances, FY 2007	---	-257,000
Rescission Request	-257,000	---
Rescission, uncommitted balances	---	-20,000
Total, Clean Coal Technology	---	-20,000
FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		
Clean coal power initiative	50,000	50,000
FutureGen	18,000	18,000
Advance appropriation, FY 2007	257,000	---
Fuels and Power Systems:		
Innovations for existing plants	23,850	25,400
Advanced integrated gasification combined cycle	56,450	56,450
Advanced turbines	18,000	18,000
Carbon sequestration	67,200	67,000
Fuels	22,000	29,000
Fuel cells	65,000	62,000
Advanced research	30,500	53,154
U.S./China Energy and environmental center	---	994
Subtotal, Fuels and power systems	283,000	311,998
Subtotal, Coal	608,000	379,998
Natural Gas Technologies	10,000	33,000
Petroleum - Oil Technologies	10,000	32,000
Program direction	98,941	106,941
Plant and Capital Equipment	---	20,000
Fossil energy environmental restoration	8,060	9,600
Import/export authorization	1,799	1,799
Advanced metallurgical research	8,000	8,000
Special recruitment programs	656	656
Cooperative research and development	3,000	6,000
Subtotal, FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	491,456	597,994
Advance appropriations	257,000	---
Total, FOSSIL ENERGY R&D INCLUDING ADVANCES	748,456	597,994

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES	18,500	21,500
ELK HILLS SCHOOL LANDS FUNDS	84,000	84,000
STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE	166,000	166,000
ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION	85,926	86,176
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP		
West Valley Demonstration Project	77,100	77,100
Gaseous Diffusion Plants	45,528	48,813
Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion, 02-U-101	85,803	85,803
Fast Flux Test Reactor Facility (WA)	46,113	46,113
Small Sites:		
Argonne National Lab	10,487	10,487
Brookhaven National Lab	34,328	34,328
Idaho National Lab	5,274	5,274
Consolidated Business Center:		
California Site support	100	100
Inhalation Toxicology Lab	305	305
Lawrence Berkeley National Lab	3,900	3,900
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center	3,500	3,500
Energy Technology Engineering Center	9,000	9,000
Los Alamos National Lab	490	490
Moab	28,006	28,006
Subtotal, small sites	95,390	95,390
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP	349,934	353,219
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and decommissioning	571,498	542,228
Uranium/thorium reimbursement	20,000	20,000
SUBTOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT D&D FUND	591,498	562,228
Uranium sales and barter (scorekeeping adjustment)	---	(3,000)
TOTAL, UED&D FUND/URANIUM INVENTORY CLEANUP	(591,498)	(565,228)
SCIENCE		
High energy physics		
Proton accelerator-based physics	387,093	392,093
Electron accelerator-based physics	132,822	132,822
Non-accelerator physics	38,589	38,589
Theoretical physics	49,103	49,103
Advanced technology R&D	106,326	111,326
Total, High energy physics	713,933	723,933
Nuclear physics	368,741	368,741
Construction		
06-SC-02 Project engineering and design (PED), Electron beam ion source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY	2,000	2,000
Total, Nuclear physics	370,741	370,741
Biological and environmental research	455,688	585,688

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Basic energy sciences		
Research		
Materials sciences and engineering research	746,143	746,143
Chemical sciences, geosciences and energy biosciences	221,801	221,801
Subtotal, Research	967,944	967,944
Construction		
05-R-320 LINAC coherent light source (LCLS)	83,000	83,000
05-R-321 Center for functional nanomaterials (BNL)	36,553	36,553
04-R-313 The molecular foundry (LBNL)	9,606	9,606
03-SC-002 Project engineering & design (PED) SLAC	2,544	2,544
03-R-313 Center for Integrated Nanotechnology	4,626	4,626
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	41,744	41,744
Subtotal, Construction	178,073	178,073
Total, Basic energy sciences	1,146,017	1,146,017
Advanced scientific computing research	207,055	237,055
Science laboratories infrastructure		
Laboratories facilities support		
Infrastructure support	1,520	1,520
General plant projects	3,000	3,000
Construction		
04-SC-001 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	3,000	3,000
03-SC-001 Science laboratories infrastructure MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	12,869	14,869
Subtotal, Construction	15,869	17,869
Subtotal, Laboratories facilities support	20,389	22,389
Oak Ridge landlord	5,079	5,079
Excess facilities disposal	14,637	14,637
Total, Science laboratories infrastructure	40,105	42,105
Fusion energy sciences program	290,550	290,550
Safeguards and security	74,317	74,317
Workforce development for teachers and scientists	7,192	7,192
Science program direction		
Field offices	92,593	91,593
Headquarters	70,132	69,132
Total, Science program direction	162,725	160,725
Subtotal, Science	3,468,323	3,638,323
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-5,605	-5,605
TOTAL, SCIENCE	3,462,718	3,632,718

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository program	218,536	20,000
Program direction	81,464	80,000
Integrated spent fuel recycling	---	50,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	300,000	150,000
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations		
Salaries and expenses		
Office of the Secretary	5,399	5,399
Board of contract appeals	648	648
Chief information officer	51,122	39,385
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	5,089	4,826
Economic impact and diversity	5,352	5,352
General counsel	24,217	23,217
Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation	111,806	109,300
Policy and international affairs	18,844	14,993
Public affairs	4,504	4,504
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	226,981	207,624
Program support		
Minority economic impact	830	823
Policy analysis and system studies	395	392
Environmental policy studies	567	562
Cybersecurity and secure communications	32,000	24,733
Corporate management information program	23,055	23,055
Subtotal, Program support	56,847	49,565
Competitive sourcing initiative (A-76)	3,000	2,480
Total, Administrative operations	286,828	259,669
Cost of work for others	80,723	80,723
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	367,551	340,392
Funding from other defense activities	-87,575	-87,575
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	279,976	252,817
Miscellaneous revenues	-123,000	-123,000
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	156,976	129,817
Office of Inspector General	43,000	42,000

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Directed stockpile work		
Life extension program		
B61	50,810	50,810
W76	162,268	149,768
W80	135,240	100,240
Subtotal, Life extension program	348,318	300,818
Stockpile systems		
B61	66,050	66,050
W62	8,967	8,967
W76	63,538	63,538
W78	32,632	32,632
W80	26,315	26,315
B83	26,391	26,391
W84	4,402	4,402
W87	50,678	50,678
W88	32,831	32,831
Subtotal, Stockpile systems	311,804	311,804
Reliable replacement warhead	9,351	25,000
Warheads Dismantlement	35,245	60,000
Stockpile services		
Production support	267,246	230,000
Research and development	66,753	61,253
Research and development certification and safety	211,727	227,727
Management, technology, and production	166,587	169,587
Robust nuclear earth penetrator	4,000	---
Subtotal, Stockpile services	716,313	688,567
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,421,031	1,386,189
Campaigns		
Science campaigns		
Primary assessment technologies	45,179	49,718
Test readiness	25,000	20,000
Dynamic materials properties	80,894	83,894
Advanced radiography	49,520	49,520
Secondary assessment technologies	61,332	76,332
Subtotal, Science campaigns	261,925	279,464
Engineering campaign		
Enhanced surety	29,845	40,000
Weapons system engineering assessment technology	24,040	17,540
Nuclear survivability	9,386	22,386
Enhanced surveillance	96,207	100,207
Microsystem and engineering science applications (MESA), other project costs	4,714	4,714
Construction		
01-D-108 Microsystem and engineering science applications (MESA), SNL, Albuquerque, NM	65,564	65,564
Subtotal, MESA	70,278	70,278
Subtotal, Engineering campaign	229,756	250,411

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign:		
Ignition	75,615	75,615
Support of stockpile program	9,872	19,872
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experiment support	43,008	43,008
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	10,111	11,012
University grants/other support	9,946	7,700
Facility operations and target production	54,623	64,623
Inertial fusion technology	---	48,000
NIF demonstration program	112,330	102,330
High-energy petawatt laser development	3,000	35,000
Subtotal	318,505	407,160
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	141,913	141,913
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion	460,418	549,073
Advanced simulation and computing	660,830	605,830
Pit manufacturing and certification		
W88 pit manufacturing	120,926	120,926
W88 pit certification	61,895	61,895
Pit manufacturing capability	23,071	23,071
Modern pit facility	7,686	---
Pit campaign support activities at NTS	35,182	35,182
Subtotal, Pit manufacturing and certification	248,760	241,074
Readiness campaign		
Stockpile readiness	31,400	31,400
High explosives readiness/assembly campaign	17,097	17,097
Non-nuclear readiness	28,630	28,630
Advanced design and production technologies	54,040	54,040
Tritium readiness	62,694	62,694
Construction		
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR	24,894	24,894
Subtotal, Tritium readiness	87,588	87,588
Subtotal, Readiness campaign	218,755	218,755
Total, Campaigns	2,080,444	2,144,607
Readiness in technical base and facilities		
Operations of facilities	1,160,783	1,170,901
Program readiness	105,738	105,738
Special projects	6,619	---
Material recycle and recovery	72,730	72,730
Containers	17,247	17,247
Storage	25,222	25,222
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac	1,388,339	1,391,838
Construction		
06-D-140 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	14,113	14,113
06-D-402 NTS replace fire stations 1 & 2 Nevada Test Site, NV	8,284	8,284

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
06-D-403 Tritium facility modernization Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA	2,600	2,600
06-D-404 Building remediation, restoration, and upgrade, Nevada Test Site, NV	16,000	16,000
05-D-140 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	5,000	7,000
05-D-401 Building 12-64 production bays upgrades, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	11,000	11,000
05-D-402 Berylium capability (BEC) project, Y-12 National security complex, Oak Ridge, TN	7,700	7,700
04-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	2,000	2,000
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	55,000	55,000
04-D-128 TA-18 mission relocation project, Los Alamos Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	13,000	13,000
03-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	29,000	29,000
03-D-122 Purification facility, Y-12 plant,		
01-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	9,000	9,000
01-D-124 HEU materials facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	70,350	81,350
Subtotal, Construction	243,047	256,047
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,631,386	1,647,885
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	233,484	100,848
Construction		
06-D-160 Project engioneering and design (PED), various locations	5,811	5,811
06-D-601 Electrical distribution system upgrade, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	4,000	4,000
06-D-602 Gas main and distribution system upgrade, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	3,700	3,700
06-D-603 Steam plant life extension project (SLEP), Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN	729	729
05-D-160 Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program project engineering design (PED), various locations	10,644	10,644
05-D-601 Compressed air upgrades project (CAUP), Y-12, National security complex, Oak Ridge, TN	9,741	9,741
05-D-602 Power grid infrastructure upgrade (PGIU), Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	8,500	8,500

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
05-D-603 New master substation (NMSU), SNL	6,900	6,900
Subtotal, Construction	50,025	50,025
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	283,509	150,873
Secure transportation asset		
Operations and equipment	143,766	143,766
Program direction	68,334	68,334
Total, Secure transportation asset	212,100	212,100
Nuclear weapons incident response	118,796	118,796
Environmental projects and operations		
Environmental projects and operations program	156,504	---
Program direction	17,885	---
Subtotal, Environmental projects and operations	174,389	---
Safeguards and security	699,478	764,486
Construction		
05-D-170 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	41,000	41,000
Total, Safeguards and security	740,478	805,486
Subtotal, Weapons activities	6,662,133	6,465,936
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-32,000	-32,000
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	6,630,133	6,433,936
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D	267,218	309,000
Construction		
06-D-180 Project engineering and design (PED), National Security Laboratory, PNNL	5,000	13,000
Subtotal, Nonproliferation & verification R & D	272,218	322,000
Nonproliferation and international security	80,173	75,000
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation	343,435	427,000
Accelerated highly enriched uranium (HEU) Russian transition initiative	37,890	40,000
HEU transparency implementation	20,483	19,483
Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program	132,000	176,185
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus materials disposition	226,500	195,000
Russian surplus materials disposition	64,000	34,508
Construction		
01-D-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend 99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River, SC	24,000	24,000
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	338,565	220,000

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Subtotal, Construction	362,565	244,000
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition	653,065	473,508
Global threat reduction initiative	97,975	97,975
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	1,637,239	1,631,151
NAVAL REACTORS		
Naval reactors development	738,800	728,800
Construction		
06-D-901 Central office building II	7,000	7,000
Transfer to Nuclear Energy	---	13,500
05-N-900 Materials development facility building, Schenectady, NY	9,900	9,900
Subtotal, Construction	16,900	30,400
Total, Naval reactors development	755,700	759,200
Program direction	30,300	30,300
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS	786,000	789,500
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		
Office of the Administrator	350,765	348,765
Use of prior year balances	-6,896	-6,896
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR	343,869	341,869
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	9,397,241	9,196,456
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP		
Closure Sites:		
Ashtabula	16,000	16,000
Columbus	9,500	9,500
Fernald	327,609	327,609
Miamisburg	75,530	105,530
Rocky Flats	579,950	569,950
Total, closure sites	1,008,589	1,028,589
Savannah River site:		
04-D-414 Project Engineering and Design, 105-K	---	18,600
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition 2012	250,303	250,303
Subtotal, 2012 accelerated completions	250,303	268,903
SNF stabilization, disposition/storage	13,889	13,889
SR community and regulatory support	13,046	13,046
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	75,105	75,105
Spent nuclear fuel stabilization and disposition	11,273	11,273
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	112,993	112,993
Soil and water remediation	103,665	94,365

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Nuclear facility D&D	66,516	57,216
Subtotal, 2035 accelerated completions	396,487	377,887
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabil. & disposition	500,975	500,975
03-D-414, Salt waste processing facility PED SR	4,342	35,342
04-D-408, Glass waste storage building #2	6,975	6,975
05-D-405, Salt waste processing facility	70,000	500
SWPF FY 2005 uncosted balances	---	-20,000
Subtotal, Tank farm activities	582,292	523,792
Total, Savannah River site	1,229,082	1,170,582
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant:		
Operate WIPP	111,948	117,948
Central Characterization Project	38,502	38,502
Transportation	37,631	37,631
Community and regulatory support	24,548	36,548
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	212,629	230,629
Idaho National Laboratory:		
SNF stabilization and disposition/storage	12,666	12,666
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	1,555	1,555
SNF stabilization and disposition - 2012	19,158	19,158
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	140,015	140,015
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	124,965	92,195
06-D-401, Sodium bearing waste treatment project, ID	15,000	54,270
04-D-414, Sodium bearing waste treatment facility, PED ID	9,200	9,200
Soil and water remediation - 2012	161,489	161,489
Nuclear facility D&D	5,026	5,026
Non-nuclear facility D&D	39,105	39,105
Idaho community and regulatory support	3,546	3,546
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	531,725	538,225
Oak Ridge Reservation:		
Solid waste stabilization and completion - 2006	---	4,630
Soil and water remediation - Melton Valley	15,146	46,776
Solid waste stabilization and disposition - 2012	68,360	68,360
Soil and water remediation - offsites	16,483	16,483
Nuclear facility D&D, E. Tenn. Technology Park	6,034	6,034
Nuclear facility D&D Y-12	40,558	40,558
Nuclear facility D&D ORNL	16,034	16,034
Solid waste stabilization & disp. - science current gen	18,267	18,267
OR reservation community & regulatory support	5,670	5,670
Building 3019	---	18,000
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	186,552	240,812
Hanford Site:		
Nuclear material stabilization & disposition PFP	190,772	198,668
SNF stabilization and disposition	58,479	58,479
Nuclear facility D&D, river corridor closure project	168,501	178,501
HAMMER facility	---	7,500
B-reactor museum	---	2,000
Subtotal, 2012 accelerated completions	417,752	445,148
Solid waste stabilization & disposition 200 Area	165,113	167,113
Soil & water remediation - groundwater/vadose zone	72,955	74,495

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Nuclear facility D&D - remainder of Hanford	70,812	70,812
Operate waste disposal facility	5,861	5,861
SNF stabilization and disposition/storage	1,813	1,813
Richland community and regulatory support	15,411	15,411
Subtotal, 2035 accelerated completions	331,965	335,505
Total, Hanford Site	749,717	780,653
Office of River Protection:		
01-D-416 Waste treatment & immobilization plant	625,893	---
Pretreatment facility	---	149,000
High-level waste facility	---	104,000
Low activity waste facility	---	163,000
Analytical laboratory	---	45,000
Balance of facilities	---	65,000
Subtotal, Waste treatment & immobilization plant	625,893	526,000
Tank Farm activities		
Rad liquid tank waste stabil. and disposition	294,447	329,000
03-D-403 Immobilized HLW interim storage facility	7,495	---
River protection community and regulatory support	471	471
Subtotal, Tank Farm activities	302,413	329,471
Total, Office of River Protection	928,306	855,471
Program direction	230,931	243,816
Program support	32,846	32,846
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	451,000	451,000
Technology development	21,389	30,065
NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites:		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	---	29,578
NNSA Service Center	---	8,304
Nevada	---	85,024
Kansas City Plant	---	4,526
California site support	---	550
Pantex	---	19,654
Sandia National Laboratories	---	9,769
Nevada off-sites	2,846	2,846
Los Alamos National Laboratory	142,209	142,209
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	145,055	302,460
Safeguards and Security:		
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,223	4,223
Oak Ridge Reservation	28,855	28,855
Fernald	1,391	1,391
West Valley	1,800	1,800
Paducah	11,014	11,014
Portsmouth	17,842	17,842
Richland/Hanford Site	82,155	82,155
Rocky Flats	3,200	3,200
Savannah River Site	136,743	136,743
Total, Safeguards and Security	287,223	287,223
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN UP	6,015,044	6,192,371

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Office of Security and safety performance assurance		
Nuclear safeguards and security	176,878	186,878
Security investigations	48,725	46,725
Program direction	75,492	73,492
Subtotal, Office of Security and safety performance assurance	301,095	307,095
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	56,483	57,483
Program direction - EH	20,546	19,546
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	77,029	77,029
Office of Legacy Management		
Legacy management	31,421	31,421
Program direction	13,655	13,655
Subtotal, Office of Legacy Management	45,076	45,076
Nuclear energy		
Infrastructure		
Idaho facilities management	17,762	17,762
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	75,008	75,008
Subtotal, Infrastruture	92,770	92,770
Program direction	31,103	31,103
Subtotal, Nuclear energy	123,873	123,873
Defense related administrative support	87,575	87,575
Office of hearings and appeals	4,353	4,353
Subtotal, Other Defense Activities	639,001	645,001
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-3,003	-3,003
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	635,998	641,998
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	351,447	350,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	16,399,730	16,380,825
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Purchase power and wheeling	32,713	32,713
Program direction	5,600	5,600
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	38,313	38,313
Offsetting collections	-38,313	-32,713
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	---	5,600

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(Amounts in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operating expenses	7,042	7,042
Purchase power and wheeling	1,235	3,000
Program direction	19,958	19,958
Construction	3,166	3,166
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	31,401	33,166
Offsetting collections	-28,235	-3,000
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	3,166	30,166
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Construction and rehabilitation	53,957	53,957
Operation and maintenance	47,295	47,295
Purchase power and wheeling	148,500	279,000
Program direction	143,667	130,202
Utah mitigation and conservation	---	6,700
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	393,419	517,154
Offsetting collections	-335,300	-279,000
Offsetting collections (P.L. 98-381)	-4,162	-4,162
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	53,957	233,992
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	2,692	2,692
Offsetting collections	-2,692	---
TOTAL, FALCON AND AMISTAD O&M FUND	---	2,692
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	57,123	272,450
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission	220,400	220,400
FERC revenues	-220,400	-220,400
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	24,213,307	24,289,863
(Total amount appropriated)	(23,920,307)	(24,253,863)
(Advance appropriations from previous years)	(36,000)	(36,000)
(Advance appropriations, FY 2007)	(257,000)	---

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPLACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $66,472,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission, instead of $38,500,000 as proposed by the House and $65,482,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds provided, the conference agreement includes the following activities:

Central West Virginia public water and wastewater facilities	$2,000,000
Southern West Virginia public water and wastewater treatment facilities	2,000,000
Scioto County, Ohio sanitary sewer pump station renovations and improvements	750,000
Copeland low water bridge, Breathitt County, Kentucky	1,800,000
Watershed coordination activities, Athens, Meigs, Gallia, Lawrence and Scioto counties, Ohio	500,000
Logan County, West Virginia flood warning system	305,000
Perry County, Ohio, State Route 13 railroad crossing	500,000

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides $22,032,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFS), the same as the request.

The conferees support the mission of the DNFSB, notably the providing of advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Energy regarding public health and safety issues at the Department's defense nuclear facilities. However, the conferees are concerned regarding DNFSB's opinions on seismic criteria, especially the timing and emphasis to which these concerns have been communicated over the past two years to the Department. As recent as the October 17, 2005 letter from the DNFSB to the Secretary of Energy regarding the Hanford Waste Treatment Plant (WTP), DNFSB notes that ''some important uncertainties remain'', that can only be resolved by measurement under the WTP site—which will take up to two years. However, the DNFSB concludes in the same letter this does not ''preclude continuing with the design and construction'' of the facilities. The DNFSB cannot have it both ways. Such guidance leaves the Department vulnerable to continuing a multi-billion dollar project only to have DNFSB decide in two years that criteria must change again. The conferees remind the DNFSB of its authorizing legislation, 42 U.S.C. 2286a.(a)(5), which states, ''In making its recommendations, the Board shall consider the technical and economic feasibility of implementing the recommended measures.''

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

The conference agreement includes $12,000,000 for the Delta Regional Authority as proposed by the Senate instead of $6,000,000 as proposed by the House.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $50,000,000 for the Denali Commission, instead of $2,562,000 as proposed by the House and $60,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees acknowledge our country faces difficult fiscal circumstances. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and the on-going war on terrorism have impacted the amount of federal funding available for the Denali Commission. The conferees expect the Denali Commission to continue to fund projects which provide: community showers and washeterias in villages with homes with no running water; multi-purpose community facilities; teacher housing in remote villages where there is limited housing available for teachers; facilities servicing Native elders and senior citizens; and to fund projects which allow (1) the Rural Communications Service to provide broadcast facilities in communities with no television or radio station; (2) the Pubic Broadcasting Digital Distribution Network to link rural broadcasting facilities together to improve economies to scale, share programming, and reduce operating costs; and (3) rural public broadcasting facilities and equipment upgrades, Priority consideration should be given to the Juneau/Green's Creek/Hoonah Intertie project; the Fire Island Transmission line project; the Humpback Creek Hydroelectric project; and the Falls Creek Hydroelectric project. The Denali Commission is instructed to prepare a report to be submitted to the Senate and House Appropriations Committees, which details how the fiscal year 2006 funds are to be allocated. The conferees request this report no later than July 1, 2006.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(SALARIES AND EXPENSES)

The conference agreement provides $734,376,000 for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission salaries and expenses, an increase of $41,000,000 over the budget request. This amount is offset by estimated revenues of $617,182,000, resulting in a net appropriation of $117,194,000. The fee recovery is consistent with that authorized by Section 637 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Public Law 109–58). The recommendation includes $46,118,000 to be made available from the Nuclear Waste Fund to support the Department of Energy's effort to develop a permanent geologic repository for spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste. This amount is reduced from the request because the appropriation for the repository program is reduced.

The conferees provide an additional $21,000,000, as proposed by the House and Senate, to conduct site-specific assessments of spent fuel pools at reactor sites consistent with the recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences. The conferees also provide an additional $20,000,000, as proposed by the Senate, to support preparatory activities and pre-application consultations for expected combined license applications.

The conferees are aware that the Energy Policy Act of 2005 places additional responsibilities on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Funds to execute these additional responsibilities were not included in the budget request and are not provided in this conference report. However, to the extent that the Commission may be able to execute some of these new responsibilities through the reprogramming of available fiscal year 2006 funds, the conferees encourage the Commission to submit promptly a reprogramming request to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

The conferees direct the Commission to provide a report on the status of its licensing and regulatory activities on a quarterly basis.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes $8,316,000 for the Office of the Inspector General in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This amount is offset by revenues of $7,485,000, for a net appropriation of $831,000.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement provides $3,608,000 for the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, the same as the request.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement does not include the requested $9,000,000 to establish a Congressionally-funded Office of the Inspector General for the Tennessee Valley Authority. The conferees support continuation of the existing arrangement for funding this office.

TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 501. The conference agreement includes language directing that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress except to communicate with Members of Congress.

Sec. 502. The conference agreement includes language regarding the transfer of funds made available in this Act to other departments or agencies of the federal government.

The conference agreement does not include a provision proposed by the House regarding the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

The conference agreement does not include a provision proposed by the House dealing with the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor.

The conference agreement does not include a provision proposed by the Senate regarding fully funded continuing contracts.

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL - FY 2006 (H.R. 2419)
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE - CIVIL						
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Corps of Engineers - Civil						
Investigations	143,344	95,000	100,000	180,000	164,000	+20,656
Construction	1,781,720	1,637,000	1,900,000	2,086,664	2,372,000	+590,280
Flood control, Mississippi River and tributaries, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee	321,904	270,000	290,000	433,336	400,000	+78,096
Hurricane Disasters Assistance (emergency)	6,000	---	---	---	---	-6,000
Operation and maintenance	1,943,428	1,979,000	2,000,000	2,100,000	1,989,000	+45,572
Offsetting collection	---	-181,000	---	---	---	---
Hurricane Disasters Assistance (emergency)	145,400	---	---	---	---	-145,400
Storm damage - (P.L. 108-234, Sec. 401) (emergency)	10,000	---	---	---	---	-10,000
Hurricane Katrina Supplemental (P.L. 109-62) (emergency)	200,000	---	---	---	---	-200,000
Subtotal, Operation and mantenance	2,298,828	1,798,000	2,000,000	2,100,000	1,989,000	-309,828
Regulatory program	143,840	160,000	160,000	150,000	160,000	+16,160
FUSRAP	163,680	140,000	140,000	140,000	140,000	-23,680
Flood control and coastal emergencies	---	70,000	---	43,000	---	---
Hurricane Disasters Assistance (emergency)	148,000	---	---	---	---	-148,000
Hurricane Katrina Supplemental (P.L. 109-62) (emergency)	200,000	---	---	---	---	-200,000
General expenses	165,664	162,000	152,021	165,000	154,000	-11,664
Office of Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works)	3,968	---	4,000	---	4,000	+32
Total, title I, Department of Defense - Civil	5,376,948	4,332,000	4,746,021	5,298,000	5,383,000	+6,052
TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR						
Central Utah Project Completion Account						
Central Utah project construction	30,560	31,668	31,668	31,668	31,668	+1,108
Fish, wildlife, and recreation mitigation and conservation	15,345	946	946	946	946	-14,399
Subtotal	45,905	32,614	32,614	32,614	32,614	-13,291
Program oversight and administration	1,720	1,736	1,736	1,736	1,736	+16
Total, Central Utah project completion account	47,625	34,350	34,350	34,350	34,350	-13,275
Bureau of Reclamation						
Water and related resources	852,605	801,569	832,000	899,569	883,514	+30,909
Offsetting collection	---	-30,000	---	---	---	---
Subtotal, water and related resources	852,605	771,569	832,000	899,569	883,514	+30,909
Central Valley project restoration fund	54,628	52,219	52,219	52,219	52,219	-2,409
California Bay-Delta restoration	---	35,000	35,000	37,000	37,000	+37,000
Policy and administration	57,688	57,917	57,917	57,917	57,917	+229
Drought conditions Nevada (P.L. 108-324) (emergency)	5,000	---	---	---	---	-5,000
Total, Bureau of Reclamation	969,921	916,705	977,136	1,046,705	1,030,650	+60,729
Total, title II, Department of the Interior	1,017,546	951,055	1,011,486	1,081,055	1,065,000	+47,454

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL - FY 2006 (H.R. 2419)
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE III - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY						
Energy supply and conservation	1,806,936	1,749,446	1,763,888	1,945,330	1,830,936	+24,000
Clean coal technology:						
Deferral of unobligated balances, FY 2005	-257,000	257,000	257,000	257,000	257,000	+514,000
Deferral of unobligated balances, FY 2007	---	---	-257,000	-257,000	-257,000	-257,000
Rescission request	---	-257,000	---	---	---	---
Rescission, uncommitted balances	---	---	---	---	-20,000	-20,000
Total, Clean coal technology	-257,000	---	---	---	-20,000	+237,000
Fossil Energy Research and Development	571,854	491,456	502,467	641,646	597,994	+26,140
Advance appropriations, FY 2007	---	257,000	---	---	---	---
Total, Fossil Energy Research and Development	571,854	748,456	502,467	641,646	597,994	+26,140
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves	17,750	18,500	18,500	21,500	21,500	+3,750
Elk Hills School Lands Fund	72,000	84,000	84,000	84,000	84,000	+12,000
Strategic petroleum reserve	169,710	166,000	166,000	166,000	166,000	-3,710
Northeast home heating oil reserve	4,930	---	---	---	---	-4,930
Energy Information Administration	83,819	85,926	86,426	85,926	86,176	+2,357
Non-defense environmental clean up	439,601	349,934	319,934	353,219	353,219	-86,382
Uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning fund	495,015	591,498	591,498	561,498	562,228	+67,213
Science	3,599,871	3,462,718	3,666,055	3,702,718	3,632,718	+32,847
Nuclear Waste Disposal	343,232	300,000	310,000	300,000	150,000	-193,232
Departmental administration	238,503	279,976	252,909	280,976	252,817	+14,314
Miscellaneous revenues	-121,024	-123,000	-123,000	-123,000	-123,000	-1,976
Net appropriation	117,479	156,976	129,909	157,976	129,817	+12,338
Office of the Inspector General	41,176	43,000	43,000	43,000	42,000	+824
Atomic Energy Defense Activities						
National Nuclear Security Administration:						
Weapons activities	6,331,590	6,630,133	6,181,121	6,574,024	6,433,936	+102,346
Transfer from Department of Defense approps	(300,000)	---	---	---	---	(-300,000)
Total, Weapons activities (program level)	(6,631,590)	(6,630,133)	(6,181,121)	(6,574,024)	(6,433,936)	(-197,654)
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,409,033	1,637,239	1,500,959	1,729,066	1,631,151	+222,118
Emergency appropriations (H.R.1268)	84,000	---	---	---	---	-84,000
Subtotal, Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,493,033	1,637,239	1,500,959	1,729,066	1,631,151	+138,118
Naval reactors	801,437	786,000	799,500	799,500	789,500	-11,937
Office of the Administrator	353,350	343,869	366,869	343,869	341,869	-11,481
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Administration	8,979,410	9,397,241	8,848,449	9,446,459	9,196,456	+217,046
Defense environmental cleanup	6,808,319	6,015,044	6,468,336	6,366,771	6,192,371	-615,948
Other defense activities	687,149	635,998	702,498	645,001	641,998	-45,151
Defense nuclear waste disposal	229,152	351,447	351,447	277,000	350,000	+120,848
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	16,704,030	16,399,730	16,370,730	16,735,231	16,380,825	-323,205
Power Marketing Administrations						
Operation and maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration	5,158	38,313	38,313	38,313	38,313	+33,155
Offsetting collection	---	-38,313	-32,713	-32,713	-32,713	-32,713
Subtotal, O&M, Southeastern Power Administration	5,158	---	5,600	5,600	5,600	+442
Operation and maintenance, Southwestern Power Administration	29,117	31,401	31,401	33,166	33,166	+4,049
Offsetting collection	---	-28,235	-1,235	-3,000	-3,000	-3,000
Subtotal, O&M, Southwestern Power Administration	29,117	3,166	30,166	30,166	30,166	+1,049
Construction, rehabilitation, operation and maintenance, Western Area Power Administration	171,715	393,419	379,654	523,919	517,154	+345,439
Offsetting collection	---	-335,300	-148,500	-279,000	-279,000	-279,000
Offsetting collection (P.L. 98-381)	---	-4,162	-4,162	-4,162	-4,162	-4,162
Subtotal, O&M, Western Area Power Administration	171,715	53,957	226,992	240,757	233,992	+62,277
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	2,804	2,692	2,692	2,692	2,692	-112

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL - FY 2006 (H.R. 2419)
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Offsetting collection	---	-2,692	---	---	---	---
Subtotal, Falcon and Amistad O&M fund	2,804	---	2,692	2,692	2,692	-112
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	208,794	57,123	265,450	279,215	272,450	+63,656
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission						
Salaries and expenses	210,000	220,400	220,400	220,400	220,400	+10,400
Revenues applied	-210,000	-220,400	-220,400	-220,400	-220,400	-10,400
Total, title III, Department of Energy	24,419,197	24,213,307	24,317,857	25,077,259	24,289,863	-129,334
Appropriations	(24,263,197)	(23,920,307)	(24,281,857)	(25,041,259)	(24,253,863)	(-9,334)
Advance appropriations from previous years	(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	---
Advance appropriations, FY 2007	(36,000)	(257,000)	---	---	---	(-36,000)
Emergency appropriations	(84,000)	---	---	---	---	(-84,000)
TITLE IV - INDEPENDENT AGENCIES						
Appalachian Regional Commission	65,472	65,472	38,500	65,482	65,472	---
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board	20,106	22,032	22,032	22,032	22,032	+1,926
Delta Regional Authority	6,000	6,000	6,000	12,000	12,000	+6,000
Denali Commission	66,464	2,562	2,562	67,000	50,000	-16,464
Nuclear Regulatory Commission:						
Salaries and expenses	657,475	693,376	714,376	734,376	734,376	+76,901
Revenues	-530,079	-559,643	-580,643	-598,643	-617,182	-87,103
Subtotal	127,396	133,733	133,733	135,733	117,194	-10,202
Office of Inspector General	7,458	8,316	8,316	8,316	8,316	+858
Revenues	-6,712	-7,485	-7,485	-7,485	-7,485	-773
Subtotal	746	831	831	831	831	+85
Total, Nuclear Regulatory Commission	128,142	134,564	134,564	136,564	118,025	-10,117
Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	3,152	3,608	3,608	3,608	3,608	+456
Tennessee Valley Authority: Office of Inspector General	---	9,000	---	---	---	---
Offset	---	-9,000	---	---	---	---
Total, title IV, Independent agencies	289,336	234,238	207,266	306,686	271,137	-18,199
Grand total	31,103,027	29,730,600	30,282,630	31,763,000	31,009,000	-94,027
Appropriations	(30,489,627)	(29,437,600)	(30,246,630)	(31,727,000)	(30,993,000)	(+503,373)
Emergency appropriations	(798,400)	---	---	---	---	(-798,400)
Advance appropriations from previous years	(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	---
Advance appropriations, FY 2006 and 2007	(36,000)	(257,000)	---	---	---	(-36,000)

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2006 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2005 amount, the 2006 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2006 follows:

[In thousands of dollars]

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	$31,166,027
Budget estimate of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2006	29,730,600
House bill, fiscal year 2006	30,283,530
Senate bill, fiscal year 2006	31,763,050
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2006	31,009,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year	−157,027
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2006	+1,278,400
House bill, fiscal year 2006	+725,470
Senate bill, fiscal year 2006	−754,050

DAVID L. HOBSON,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
TOM LATHAM,
ZACH WAMP,
JO ANN EMERSON,
JOHN DOOLITTLE,
MICHAEL K. SIMPSON,
DENNIS R. REHBERG,
JERRY LEWIS,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
JAMES E. CLYBURN,
MARION BERRY,
DAVID R. OBEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND,
KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON,
WAYNE ALLARD,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
PATTY MURRAY,
BRRON L. DORGAN,
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,
TIM JOHNSON,
MARY L. LANDRIEU,
DANIEL K. INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4176

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have the gentleman from Nevada (Mr. GIBBONS) removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 4176.

This bill involves public lands that cross our adjacent districts. The gentleman's name was added to the bill erroneously.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Nevada?

There was no objection.

THE NOMINATION OF JUDGE ALITO TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, I hope that as this Congress, particularly the other body, begins to proceed with their hearings on Judge Alito, that no predecisions will be made.

I, frankly, believe that this is one of the most important confirmation processes that we will see in our lifetimes, for it has the possibility of altering the Court drastically to one position versus another. For any Member of this body or Congress to suggest that there may not be a need for a filibuster to me is suggesting that there is no need for principles.

The legacy or the history of Judge Alito is of many different kinds, many different decisions. My view is that his nomination and confirmation will alter this Court and not make it the balanced Court that Americans have come to believe in. So I would warn those who would automatically suggest that a filibuster is not appropriate. Hearings are appropriate and maybe an up-or-down vote ultimately, but it may be that a filibuster is appropriate to save the United States Supreme Court.

PRAYERS FOR TORNADO VICTIMS

(Mr. PENCE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PENCE. Two a.m. Sunday morning in Newburgh, Indiana, the rains came down, the winds blew and beat against the homes of that community, and it fell with a great crash.

□ 1930

It was the worst loss of life for a tornado in the State of Indiana since April 1974.

Nancy Grimes said to me today in an e-mail of the experience, ''It had to be the scariest thing I have ever been through. The noise and the debris hitting our house was incredible. I think I screamed for 5 to 10 minutes during the duration, and then there was total calm. And the next morning I cried when I walked out onto our front porch and saw the destruction.'' She spoke of finding a needlepoint Christmas ornament with the word ''Hope'' stitched into it. She wrote, ''It will certainly find a place on our Christmas tree this year.''

I urge my fellow Hoosiers and all Americans who have watched the grim reports from southern Indiana and northern Kentucky to be generous in prayer, to take every opportunity to come to the material aid of the families and communities affected by this horrendous tornado.

WORKING TOWARDS ALTERNATIVE FUEL SOURCES

(Mr. KINGSTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KINGSTON. Madam Speaker, I wanted to say that while I cannot stand filling up my car at $3 a gallon, like anybody else, there is one good thing about it, and that is that there are a lot of people out there thinking, well, what alternatives are there to fossil fuel energy? What other ways can we get fossil fuel out of the ground? What other ways can we buy it from other countries? We do not really like being 58 percent dependent on the Middle East for fossil fuels.

So, at $3 a gallon, people have almost daily reminders: What can we come up with? Hydrogen-powered cars such as the type General Motors is working on, and they had it last week at the Capitol. They will be really up and running probably in 5 to 10 years. Very exciting.

Ethanol. In Brazil, 40 percent of the cars run on ethanol. In America, only 3 percent do. There are fuel cells, there is even nuclear power. There are all kinds of things, new types of electrical cars that we need to be putting money into.

The Republican Congress has put money and tax credits towards research and development so that our universities, our labs, our start-up enterprises can come up with alternative fuel sources, and I am proud that we are moving in the right direction, but we need to do it faster.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. DRAKE). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2005, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

INFLUENZA PREPAREDNESS AND PREVENTION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. LEWIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Madam Speaker, today I have introduced the Influenza Preparedness and Prevention Act, authorizing legislation that takes urgently needed steps towards preparing our Nation for the threat of pandemic flu. As an appropriator, I have rarely introduced authorizing legislation. It is something I have only done a handful of times in my career in the House, but I firmly believe this looming catastrophe calls for action.

In 1918, the Spanish flu killed 40 million people worldwide, and more died from the flu than through combat during World War I. Experts warn us that we are overdue for another pandemic flu outbreak. Although this avian flu