

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. KING of New York. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 421, noes 2, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 127]

AYES—421

Abercrombie	Costello	Hastings (WA)
Ackerman	Cramer	Hayes
Aderholt	Crenshaw	Hayworth
Akin	Crowley	Hefley
Alexander	Cubin	Hensarling
Allen	Cuellar	Hergert
Baca	Culberson	Herseth
Bachus	Cummings	Higgins
Baird	Davis (AL)	Hinchee
Baker	Davis (CA)	Hinojosa
Baldwin	Davis (FL)	Hobson
Barrett (SC)	Davis (IL)	Hoekstra
Barrow	Davis (KY)	Holden
Bartlett (MD)	Davis (TN)	Holt
Barton (TX)	Davis, Jo Ann	Honda
Bass	Davis, Tom	Hooley
Bean	Deal (GA)	Hostettler
Beauprez	DeFazio	Hoyer
Becerra	DeGette	Hulshof
Berkley	Delahunt	Hunter
Berman	DeLauro	Hyde
Berry	DeLay	Inglis (SC)
Biggert	Dent	Inslee
Bilirakis	Diaz-Balart, L.	Israel
Bishop (GA)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Issa
Bishop (NY)	Dicks	Istook
Bishop (UT)	Dingell	Jackson (IL)
Blackburn	Doggett	Jackson-Lee
Blumenauer	Doolittle	(TX)
Blunt	Doyle	Jefferson
Boehlert	Drake	Jenkins
Boehner	Dreier	Jindal
Bonilla	Duncan	Johnson (CT)
Bonner	Edwards	Johnson (IL)
Bono	Ehlers	Johnson, E. B.
Boozman	Emanuel	Johnson, Sam
Boren	Emerson	Jones (NC)
Boswell	Engel	Jones (OH)
Boucher	English (PA)	Kanjorski
Boustany	Eshoo	Kaptur
Boyd	Etheridge	Keller
Bradley (NH)	Everett	Kelly
Brady (PA)	Farr	Kennedy (MN)
Brady (TX)	Fattah	Kennedy (RI)
Brown (OH)	Feeney	Kildee
Brown (SC)	Ferguson	Kilpatrick (MI)
Brown, Corrine	Filner	Kind
Brown-Waite,	Fitzpatrick (PA)	King (IA)
Ginny	Foley	King (NY)
Burgess	Forbes	Kingston
Burton (IN)	Ford	Kirk
Butterfield	Fortenberry	Kline
Buyer	Fossella	Knollenberg
Calvert	Fox	Kolbe
Camp (MI)	Franks (AZ)	Kucinich
Campbell (CA)	Frelinghuysen	Kuhl (NY)
Cannon	Gallegly	LaHood
Cantor	Garrett (NJ)	Langevin
Capito	Gerlach	Lantos
Capps	Gibbons	Larsen (WA)
Capuano	Gilchrest	Larson (CT)
Cardin	Gillmor	Latham
Cardoza	Gingrey	LaTourette
Carnahan	Gohmert	Leach
Carson	Gonzalez	Lee
Carter	Goode	Levin
Case	Goodlatte	Lewis (CA)
Castle	Gordon	Lewis (KY)
Chabot	Granger	Linder
Chandler	Graves	Lipinski
Chocola	Green (WI)	LoBiondo
Clay	Green, Al	Lofgren, Zoe
Cleaver	Green, Gene	Lowe
Clyburn	Grijalva	Lucas
Coble	Gutierrez	Lungren, Daniel
Cole (OK)	Hall	E.
Conaway	Harman	Lynch
Conyers	Harris	Mack
Cooper	Hart	Maloney
Costa	Hastings (FL)	Manzullo

Marchant	Peterson (PA)
Marshall	Petri
Matheson	Pickering
Matsui	Pitts
McCarthy	Platts
McCaul (TX)	Poe
McCollum (MN)	Pombo
McCotter	Pomeroy
McCrery	Porter
McDermott	Price (GA)
McGovern	Price (NC)
McHenry	Pryce (OH)
McHugh	Putnam
McIntyre	Radanovich
McKeon	Rahall
McKinney	Ramstad
McMorris	Rangel
McNulty	Regula
Meehan	Rehberg
Meek (FL)	Reichert
Meeks (NY)	Renzi
Melancon	Reyes
Mica	Reynolds
Michaud	Rogers (AL)
Millender	Rogers (KY)
Heger	Rogers (MI)
McDonald	Rohrabacher
Miller (FL)	Ros-Lehtinen
Miller (MI)	Ross
Miller (NC)	Rothman
Miller, Gary	Roybal-Allard
Mollohan	Royce
Moore (KS)	Ruppersberger
Moore (WI)	Rush
Moran (KS)	Ryan (OH)
Moran (VA)	Ryan (WI)
Murphy	Ryun (KS)
Murtha	Sabo
Musgrave	Salazar
Myrick	Sanchez, Linda
Nadler	T.
Napolitano	Sanchez, Loretta
Neal (MA)	Sanders
Neugebauer	Saxton
Ney	Schakowsky
Northup	Schiff
Norwood	Schmidt
Nunes	Schwartz (PA)
Doggett	Schwarz (MI)
(TX)	Scott (GA)
Oberstar	Scott (VA)
Obey	Sensenbrenner
Oliver	Serrano
Ortiz	Sessions
Otter	Shadegg
Owens	Shaw
Pallone	Shays
Pascrell	Sherman
Pastor	Sherrill
Paul	Sherwood
Payne	Shimkus
Perce	Shuster
Peterson (MN)	Simmons
Peterson (MN)	Simpson

NOES—2

Flake	Markey
Andrews	Gutknecht
Evans	Lewis (GA)
Frank (MA)	Miller, George
Osborne	Oxley
Slaughter	

NOT VOTING—9

□ 1438

So the bill was passed.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall votes 125, 126, and 127. Had I been represent, I would have voted “aye” for 125, 126, and 127.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, when a Member of the minority party offers a motion to recommit on a bill and the Speaker asks the Member if they are opposed to the bill and the Member announces to the House that they are opposed to the bill and then votes for the bill on final passage, is that a violation of the rules?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. As Members are aware, the first element of priority in recognition for a motion to recommit is whether the Member seeking recognition is opposed to the main measure. Under the practice of the House exemplified in Cannon’s Precedents, volume 8, section 2770, the Chair accepts without question an assertion by a Member of the House that he is opposed to the measure in its current form.

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, I have a further parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to note for the record that one of the Members who was on the motion to recommit, the gentleman from Massachusetts, voted against the bill. The Member that offered the motion to recommit voted for the bill, and I assume that then that is a violation of the rules.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair takes a Member at his word when he says he is opposed to the bill in its current form.

The gentleman from Illinois’s statement is noted.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, if the Speaker takes the Member at their word, obviously we are dealing with either confusion or some other circumstance.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is not stating a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to speak out of order for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Maryland is recognized.

There was no objection.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, this is the second week in a row that it is my perception that the motivations and intentions of a Member are being put in question. Now it is being put as a question of parliamentary procedure. Particularly the second speaker who spoke on this clearly implied that and meant to imply it.

First of all, I would say, Mr. Speaker, if Members’ amendments were made in order, if in a democratic fashion these amendments could be on the floor, if in fact you were to subject yourself to debate and a fair vote on these issues, perhaps this issue would never come up.

Secondly, I would say, Mr. Speaker, as I said last week when another Member’s actions were questioned, whether they were within the ambit of the rules