

This is what Buckley said about the President of the United States. He is allowed to do that because this rubber-stamp Republican Congress allows him to do it.

I would like to yield to Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, and hopefully Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ will yield to Mr. DELAHUNT and then yield to you, to talk about, Madam Speaker, what Newt Gingrich, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, former Speaker, is saying about this Congress.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, if you will indulge me, please.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. I would be glad to.

In fact, what is really interesting about these comments from Speaker Gingrich was that he was sitting on a panel of the American Enterprise Institute, a conservative think tank, with former Speaker Foley, the Democratic Speaker who Gingrich succeeded, and they were literally trading head nods back and forth from what one another was saying. And one of the things that Speaker Gingrich commented on was as follows:

“Congress has to think about how fundamentally wrong the current system is. When facing crises at home and abroad,” he said, “it’s important to have an informed, independent legislative branch coming to grips with this reality, and not sitting around waiting for presidential leadership.” And he said so much more than that. Mr. DELAHUNT, I would yield to you. And he went on, on the same day and in the same panel discussion.

Mr. DELAHUNT. Well, I think what he said in a quote that appears here, really, is the summation, if you will, of his disgust with what is occurring in the American political system. He described it as a broken system. These are his words, Newt Gingrich’s words:

“The correct answer,” Gingrich said, and he is speaking to the remedy, “is for the American people to just start firing people.”

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. DELAHUNT, before you yield to Mr. RYAN, he actually went on and I have the rest of his comments from that point. He actually went on and suggested that Congress rediscover its power to supervise the administration. And he said, “The failure to do effective aggressive oversight disservices the country and disservices the President.”

I mean, disservices the country and disservices the President. We are not talking about the namby pamby liberals that the Republican leadership always refers to. We are talking about the former Speaker of this House and the leader of the Republican Revolution. This is damning criticism. Damning criticism. Mr. RYAN.

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. I want to thank Mr. MEEK for the opportunity to speak on this point, which Mr. Gingrich stated back in March that they, the Republican majority, are seen by the country as being in charge of a government that can’t function.

When you look at what he is talking about, and what even Mr. Gingrich stated the other day on Meet the Press, is that the institutions haven’t kept up with the times. And the majority has had now 12 years to try to reform these institutions, and they have made them worse, not better. Because, in the example of FEMA where they appointed horse attorneys, equestrian attorneys to run FEMA, or all the graft and patronage that is going on in Iraq, Mr. DELAHUNT, which you know about better than us and spoke very eloquently about at 11:30 last night by yourself, all of these issues add up.

When you have higher tuition costs, the paycheck you get doesn’t buy as much, when you have higher health care costs, when you are worried about your pension, when you have the auto industry collapsing before its very eyes, you have a low minimum wage that hasn’t been raised since 1997, you are unable to govern, as Mr. Gingrich said.

Mr. MEEK of Florida. Mr. RYAN, Mr. DELAHUNT, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, this is what Republicans are saying. I mean, making history in all the wrong ways.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ and I will be back at 11:32 for the last hour here tonight. We hope that you gentlemen will be able to join us.

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. We want to congratulate our 30-something. Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ here was rated “One of the Most Beautiful People on Capitol Hill.” And that is quite an honor. It is an honor for us to be here with you. KENDRICK and I and Mr. DELAHUNT didn’t even make the list. I don’t even think we were nominated. But we have all have roles to play, and unfortunately, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ covers them all. [WWW.HouseDemocrats.gov/30-Something](http://WWW.HouseDemocrats.gov/30-Something). All the charts you saw here tonight, and we could maybe get a copy of the Hill newspaper.

Mr. DELAHUNT. That should be put on the Web site. Congresswoman WASSERMAN SCHULTZ.

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. And I thank the leader and our leadership, STENY HOYER and JIM CLYBURN and JOHN LARSON for the opportunity to be here.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. FOXX). The Chair must remind members that remarks in debate should not include words that might be construed as vulgar or profane.

Mr. MEEK of Florida. Madam Speaker, can you clarify what is vulgar or profane? Just an inquiry of the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will be pleased to consult off the record on that question.

#### ASSURING THE FUTURE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of Jan-

uary 4, 2005, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. BARTLETT) is recognized for 60 minutes.

Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to revise and extend.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland. Madam Speaker, in the last year and a half I have come here to the well of the House a number of times to talk about subjects ranging from embryonic stem cells and the challenge of deriving these cells ethically so that we might hopefully enjoy the great potential medical benefits. I have come here to talk about electromagnetic pulse, a very interesting consequence of the detonation of a nuclear weapon above the atmosphere that produces a surge which is very much like a lightning strike everywhere all at once or an enormously enhanced solar storm. And I have come here I think maybe as many as 18 times in the last year and a half to talk about a problem which we as a country and we as a world face, and that is the peaking of oil. We are shortly, I believe, if we haven’t already, going to reach the maximum production rate of oil in the world, and then the world will need to deal with how we substitute renewables.

But tonight I come to the floor to talk about something that could very easily become a victim, a casualty of the tyranny of the urgent. All of us are familiar with this phenomenon in our personal lives, in our professional lives; it is true for our country that very frequently the urgent pushes the important off the table. Things you have got to deal with today frequently push things off until tomorrow that you might wait until tomorrow to address.

I want to spend a few moments this evening talking about something that concerns me. We have 10 children in our family, I have 15 grandchildren and two great grandchildren, and I am concerned that I leave them a country as good and great as I found when I was born into this country in 1926.

The story that I want to spend a few moments on tonight begins with a quote from Benjamin Franklin. There are several versions of this. I have one here from the Dictionary of Quotations, requested from the Congressional Research Service. It says, “On leaving Independence Hall at the end of the constitutional convention in 1787, Franklin was asked, ‘Well, Doctor, what have we got, a republic or a monarchy?’” Of course, they were very used to a monarchy because that is what they lived under as a colony of England.

According to Dr. James McHenry, a Maryland delegate, he replied, “A republic, if you can keep it.”

Another version of this has the question asked by a woman who asked him as he came out of the constitutional convention, “Mr. Franklin, what have