

Wilson (OH)	Wu	Yarmuth
Woolsey	Wynn	Young (FL)

NOES—140

Aderholt	Gallegly	Musgrave
Akin	Garrett (NJ)	Myrick
Alexander	Gingrey	Neugebauer
Bachmann	Gohmert	Nunes
Bachus	Goode	Pearce
Barrett (SC)	Goodlatte	Pence
Bartlett (MD)	Graves	Peterson (PA)
Barton (TX)	Hall (TX)	Pitts
Bilirakis	Hastings (WA)	Poe
Bishop (UT)	Hayes	Price (GA)
Blunt	Heller	Putnam
Boehner	Hensarling	Radanovich
Bonner	Herger	Rehberg
Boozman	Hoekstra	Reynolds
Boustany	Hulshof	Rogers (AL)
Brady (TX)	Hunter	Rogers (KY)
Broun (GA)	Issa	Rohrabacher
Brown (SC)	Johnson, Sam	Royce
Burgess	Jones (NC)	Ryan (WI)
Burton (IN)	Jordan	Sali
Calvert	Keller	Schmidt
Camp (MI)	King (IA)	Sensenbrenner
Campbell (CA)	King (NY)	Sessions
Cannon	Kingston	Shadegg
Cantor	Kline (MN)	Shimkus
Carter	Knollenberg	Shuster
Chabot	Lamborn	Smith (NE)
Coble	Latham	Smith (TX)
Cole (OK)	Latta	Souder
Conaway	Lewis (CA)	Stearns
Crenshaw	Lewis (KY)	Sullivan
Cubin	Lucas	Tancredo
Culberson	Lungren, Daniel	Terry
Davis (KY)	E.	Thornberry
Davis, David	Manzullo	Tiahrt
Deal (GA)	Marchant	Turner
Doolittle	McCarthy (CA)	Walberg
Drake	McCaul (TX)	Walden (OR)
Dreier	McCrery	Walsh (NY)
Duncan	McHenry	Wamp
Emerson	McHugh	Weldon (FL)
Everett	McKeon	Westmoreland
Fallin	McMorris	Wilson (SC)
Flake	Rodgers	Wittman (VA)
Forbes	Mica	Wolf
Fossella	Miller (FL)	Young (AK)
Foxx	Miller, Gary	
Franks (AZ)	Moran (KS)	

NOT VOTING—12

Abercrombie	Feeney	Paul
Blackburn	Ferguson	Rush
Buyer	Granger	Shays
Diaz-Balart, L.	Larson (CT)	Sires

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1810

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION FOR MEMBER TO BE CONSIDERED AS FIRST SPONSOR OF H.R. 3368

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may hereafter be considered to be the first sponsor of H.R. 3368, a bill originally introduced by Representative Lantos of California, for the purposes of adding cosponsors and requesting reprintings pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO GO TO CONFERENCE ON H.R. 2419, FOOD AND ENERGY SECURITY ACT OF 2007

□ 1815

Mr. HOLDEN. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Agriculture, I move to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 2419) to provide for the continuation of agricultural programs through fiscal year 2012, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The motion was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT OFFERED BY MR.

GOODLATTE

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct conferees.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Goodlatte moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes on the two Houses on the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 2419 be instructed, within the scope of the conference, to—

Disagree to any provision which will result in an increase in taxes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Florida). Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XXII, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) and the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOLDEN) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I offer this motion to instruct conferees to make clear that tax increases do not belong in a farm bill. While there are still some funding issues that need to be worked out and many policy decisions to be negotiated, these instructions are very clear in stating that tax increases cannot be used to fund the remaining elements of the farm bill.

Again I will read the actual language of the motion, which is that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes on the two Houses on the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 2419 be instructed within the scope of the conference to disagree to any provision which will result in an increase in taxes.

These instructions are very clear in stating that tax increases cannot be used to fund the remaining elements of the farm bill. Farm bills have long enjoyed bipartisan support in this body, and it would be devastating to American agriculture to add a divisive element such as tax increases to this bill.

This conference has been a long time coming, and we are ready to put together a reform-minded farm bill that addresses a variety of issues, including conservation, nutrition, energy, rural development, fruits and vegetables, and forestry, while maintaining a strong safety net for America's farmers and ranchers so that they can continue to meet the growing demand for their products in the global market.

This motion is very important. I expect that there will be strong bipartisan support for this motion, and it's important because we have been down this road of attempting to pass a farm bill for quite some time.

When the bill came out of the House Agriculture Committee last summer, many of the Members on this side of the aisle expected that the amount of money that was added outside of the committee's jurisdiction would not constitute tax increases. We are very disappointed to find that it did include tax increases, and that has definitely bogged down this process for the many, many, many months since.

We have now had some very good discussions with members of other committees that are involved in making sure that we have the ability to move forward and to pay for measures that exceed the amount of money within the jurisdiction of the Agriculture Committee, and those do not include tax increases.

This is, I think, an important statement to be made here and in the other body that we can complete this work without tax increases. We do not need to repeat the mistakes that were made earlier in that regard.

I also think it's very clear that the President of the United States has been very strong in his statement that this bill would be vetoed if it included tax increases. While we continue to work with the administration on a number of other issues, we are making progress there as well.

Again, this would reaffirm, I think, the kind of bipartisan support that it takes to pass a farm bill and get it signed into law.

I urge my colleagues to support this motion to instruct.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HOLDEN. I want to commend the ranking member of the committee for the hard work that he has done with the chairman of the committee, who is now in a meeting with leadership, as we are trying to iron out the final details of a long process where a lot of people, particularly Chairman PETERSON and Ranking Member GOODLATTE, have put a lot of time and effort to get to this spot in the process where we are. We are hoping that we can meet our deadline of next Friday to see that we can have this conference report completed.

The ranking member has correctly evaluated the process that we have gone through as we try to get to this process, and we are in agreement. We believe that we can pass this farm bill without any tax increases.

Before I yield back the balance of my time, Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. KIND).

Mr. KIND. I thank my friend from Pennsylvania for yielding me this time.

Mr. Speaker, we need a farm bill, and we need it soon. Planting season is