

TABLE 1.—INSTANCES WHERE OPPORTUNITIES FOR FLOOR AMENDMENT WERE LIMITED BY THE SENATE MAJORITY LEADER OR HIS DESIGNEE FILLING OF PARTIALLY FILLING THE “AMENDMENT TREE”: 1987–2008¹—Continued

Congress & Years	Senate Majority Leader	Measure(s)	Notes & Citations
		H.R. 2206, U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans' Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act, 2007.	On May 15, 2007, Sen. Reid filled the tree on the measure and the motion to commit, offering SA1123–1128. Floor debate indicates this was an action taken with the knowledge and cooperation of the minority leader, in an attempt to structure floor consideration and move the measure to conference. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, May 15, 2007, p. S6116–S6117.)
		S. 1348, Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007. PARTIAL TREE	On June 7, 2007, Sen. Reid used his right of first recognition to offer two amendments to the measure, SA1492–1493. While this action does not appear to have completely filled the amendment tree, remarks made by the Senator in debate (“What I am going to do is send a couple of amendments to the desk so there is some control over amendments that are offered”) suggest it was done to limit or obtain a measure of control over the next amendment offered by filling some available limbs and refusing consent to lay aside amendments. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, June 7, 2007, p. S7303–7304)
		S. 1639, A bill to provide comprehensive immigration reform, and for other purposes..	On June 26, 2007, Sen. Reid proposed SA1934, and filled the “insert” tree multiple times when the amendment was subsequently divided into several components, an action which some colloquially referred to as the “clay pigeon.”
		S.1. Honest Leadership and Open Government Act of 2007.	On July 31, 2007, Sen. Reid filled the tree on the motion to concur in the House amendment to the measure, offering amendments SA2589–2590. The leader then filed cloture on the motion. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, July 31, 2007, pp. S10400–10401.)
		H.R. 1585, FY 2008 National Defense Authorization Act.	On Sept. 25, 2007, Sen. Reid offered SA3038–3040 to the motion to commit the bill, filling the recommit tree. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, Sept. 25, 2007, p. S12024.)
		H.R. 976, Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007.	On Sept. 26, 2007, Sen. Reid moved to concur in the House amendments to the Senate amendments to H.R. 976. He then filed cloture on the motion and filled that tree, offering SA3071–3072. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, Sept. 26, 2007, pp. S12122–12123.)
		H.R. 2419 Farm, Nutrition, and Bioenergy Act of 2007.	On Nov. 6, 2007, Sen. Reid filled the “strike and insert” tree as well as the motion to commit tree, offering SA3509–3514. In debate, the Senator indicated he would be willing to lay aside pending amendments in order for Senators to offer germane or relevant amendments. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, Nov. 6, 2007, pp. S13946–13949.)
		H.R. 6, Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.	On Dec. 12, 2007, Sen. Reid filled the tree on the motion to concur with two amendments SA3841–3842 and immediately filed cloture on the motion. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 153, Dec. 12, 2007, p. S15218.)
		H.R. 5140, Economic Stimulus Act of 2008.	On Feb. 5, 2008, Sen. Reid filled the insert tree as well as on the motion to commit tree with amendments SA3983–3987. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 154, Feb. 5, 2008, p. S656.)
		H.R. 2881, FAA Reauthorization Act of 2007.	On May 1, 2008, Sen. Reid filled the tree on the measure with amendments SA4628–4631 and on the motion to commit with instructions with SA4636–4637. (Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 154, May 1, 2008, p. S3581–3582.)

¹ As of May 2, 2008. Information from the Legislative Information System of the U.S. Congress (LIS) and cited issues of the Congressional Record.

Mr. SPECTER. I again call on the Rules Committee to take up my pending rule change which would stop this abhorrent practice.

I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Texas.

GASOLINE PRICES

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I wish to join my distinguished colleague, the ranking member of the Judiciary Committee, in talking about the importance of moving judicial nominations through the Senate.

I also, though, wish to start by briefly mentioning a couple numbers. The first is \$3.61. This is the average price of a gallon of gasoline in America today. The next number I would like to show my colleagues is 743. That is how many days it has been since Speaker PELOSI said she would—if elected Speaker—how long ago she said the Democrats would offer their commonsense plan for bringing down prices of gasoline at the pump. I would note we continue to wait for that commonsense plan, and Americans across this country are waiting for Congress to do something about it.

I would note last Friday I joined a number of my colleagues, including the Senator from New Mexico, Mr. DOMENICI, and others in introducing a plan we think will help bring down the price of gasoline at the pump. Our colleagues, not surprisingly, may disagree. But we are waiting for their plan, all these 743 days. I think the American people are wondering and watching and wondering why we have not acted and why Speaker PELOSI, in particular, has not followed through on her commitment made more than 2 years ago.

JUDICIAL NOMINATIONS

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, this morning, in North Carolina, Senator

JOHN MCCAIN, the presumptive Republican nominee for President of the United States, is giving a very important speech. He may be speaking even as I am speaking. But he is talking about the role of judges in our Government. I think it is a very important speech. I hope our colleagues and the American people will pay close attention when he talks about the important role Federal judges play in our American Government.

I hope Senator OBAMA and Senator CLINTON will likewise take the opportunity, at the first chance they have, to talk about their philosophy, about the types of judges they believe should be nominated by the next President of the United States, were they to have that privilege and that opportunity.

Five years ago, on April 30, 2003, I, along with nine other of the newest Members of the Senate, wrote a letter on this issue to Senator Frist and Senator Daschle, the respective leaders of our parties. That letter was important not only because it was a bipartisan statement acknowledging the judicial confirmation process was broken and needed fixing but also important because it called, on a bipartisan basis, by the newest Members of the Senate, for a clean break or as we called it, a fresh start when it came to the issue of judicial confirmations and, notably, we said to “leave the bitterness of the past behind us.”

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that letter be printed in the RECORD at the end of my remarks.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See exhibit 1.)

Mr. CORNYN. I would like to read from a passage in that letter, signed by we 10 freshmen at the time. In 2003, we wrote to our leaders:

In some instances, when a well qualified nominee for the federal bench is denied a

vote, the obstruction is justified on the ground of how prior nominees—typically, the nominees of a previous President—were treated. All of these recriminations, made by members on both sides of the aisle, relate to circumstances which occurred before any of us [actually] arrived in the United States Senate. None of us were parties to any of the reported past offenses, whether real or perceived. None of us believe that the ill will of the past should dictate the terms and direction of the future.

Unfortunately, 5 years later, when it comes to judicial nominations, the grievances of the past are still dictating the terms and direction of the future when it comes to judicial nominees. There is still time for that fresh start we called for, still time for a clean slate but, unfortunately, no signs that is likely to occur in the current environment.

So it will likely come to pass once again that last year's and the previous year's grievances will be used again, not without some justification, by Senate Republicans to justify the obstruction of a future Democratic President's judicial nominees, which shows the death spiral we are involved in when it comes to not taking care of the Nation's work, not allowing an up-or-down vote of judicial nominees on the floor of the Senate.

When it comes to judicial nominations, the Senate is supposed to be, as Senator SPECTER said, the world's greatest deliberative body. But it often acts more like the Hatfields and the McCoys, or perhaps, for those who remember Huck Finn, the Grangerfords and the Shepherdsons, who do not know how the feud began but, nonetheless, continue to escalate the violence.

Let's step back and consider the basic facts. Right now across America there are 46 Federal judicial vacancies—12 on the circuit court of appeals, 34 on the district courts. Of these 46 vacancies, 13 are considered “judicial emergencies,” including a handful on the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals,