Do Americans want 3 million new jobs in this country for the cost of a postage stamp a day? You bet.

We are going to pass this bill. Americans want it.

COMPETITION IS NEEDED FOR HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Mr. FLEMING asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FLEMING. Mr. Speaker, as we continue to learn more about the single-payer, government takeover of the health care system proposed by my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, I would like to point out why this isn’t a good idea.

First, we can’t afford it. Cost estimates are now up to $3.5 trillion of money we don’t have. Medicare, even with heavy subsidies from private insurance, is on the course of bankruptcy. How will we afford a Medicare-for-all program?

Let me be clear, the government cannot be both competitor and make up the rules of the game. It would be like Microsoft being put in control of the Internet. How would other companies compete with Microsoft?

A single-payer system option will erode the private insurance market that is propelling up the public health plan we have today. It is becoming very clear that the public option group has the ultimate goal of destroying competition and choice and substituting it with a government takeover of our health care system.

So what is the end game here? The end game is that once the Federal Government gains full control of our health care system and steps between you and your doctor, we will have exploding budgets which will lead to rationing.

REJECT THE CAP-AND-TRADE TAX

(Mr. DENT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DENT. Mr. Speaker, we just heard a few moments ago about how jobs will be created through this national energy tax. Apparently those jobs will not be created in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in any significant way.

I would like to share with my friends and the American people a letter from the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, three of the five commissioners who wrote me and told me about the impacts of this legislation. They said, “Pennsylvania is the fourth largest coal producer in the nation, distributing over 75 million tons of coal each year. Roughly 7 percent of the nation’s supply is in Pennsylvania and 38 percent of all coal used here comes from coal. However, if the Waxman-Manley bill were to pass, Pennsylvania is looking at a bleak scenario by 2020; a net loss of as many as 66,000 jobs, a sizeable hike in electric bills of residential customers, an increase in national gas prices, and significant downward pressure on the State gross product. The cost estimates are staggering.” Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

I urge my colleagues to reject this national energy tax. The industrial and agricultural heartland States of America will pay and will pay big. It’s time that we reject this tax.

PERMISSION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEBATE AND MODIFY AMENDMENT DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2647

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, at this time, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 2647, pursuant to House Resolution 572, debate on amendment Nos. 3 and 9 each be extended to 20 minutes, and that amendment No. 2 be modified in the following fashion:...

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the modification.

The Clerk read as follows:

At the end of section 1035, add a new section 1035A as follows:

SEC. 1035A. SENSE OF CONGRESS HONORING THE军工 LEADER M. McHugh.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) In 2003, Representative John M. McHugh was elected to represent New York’s 23rd Congressional district, which is located in northern New York and consists ofClinton, Hamilton, Lewis, Madison, and Saint Lawrence counties and parts of Essex, Franklin, Fulton, and Oneida counties.

(2) Representative McHugh also represents Fort Drum, home of the 10th Mountain Division.

(3) Prior to his service in Congress, Representative McHugh served for four terms in the New York State Senate, representing the 48th district from 1984 to 1992.

(4) Representative McHugh began his public service career in 1971 in his hometown of Rome, New York, where he served for five years as a Confidential Assistant to the City Manager.

(5) Subsequently, Representative McHugh served for nine years as Chief of Research and Liaison with local governments for New York State Senator H. Douglas Barclay.

(6) Representative McHugh is known by his colleagues as a leader on national defense and security issues and a tireless advocate for America’s military personnel and their families.

(7) During his tenure, he has led the effort to increase Army and Marine Corps end-strength levels, increase military personnel pay, reduce the unfair tax on veterans’ disability and military retiree pay (concurrent receipt) and safeguard military retiree benefits for our troops.

(8) Since the 103rd Congress, Representative McHugh has served on the Armed Services Committee of the House of Representatives and subsequently was appointed Chairman of the Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Panel before being appointed Chairman of the Military Personnel Subcommittee.

(9) Representative McHugh began serving on the United States Military Academy Board of Visitors in 1993, and he was appointed to the Board of Visitors by the Speaker of the House in 2007.

(10) In the 111th Congress, Representative McHugh was appointed Ranking Member of the Armed Services Committee of the House of Representatives by the Republican members of the House of Representatives.

(11) On June 2, 2009, the President announced his intention to nominate Representative McHugh to serve as the Secretary of the Army.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Honorable John M. McHugh, Representative from New York, has served the House of Representatives and the American people selflessly and with distinction and that he deserves the sincere and humble gratitude of Congress and the Nation.

Mr. McHugh (reading). I ask unanimous consent that the amendment be considered read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the initial request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the initial request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.