会长, 但是有—赞成 389, 反对 22，

将进行 5 分钟的投票。

投票结果宣布。

反对的票数被记录。

结果的投票被宣布为上述记录。

投票为“赞成”。

这个投票的时间是关于的投票的选票。

问题是被询问的; 而且在相同的选票宣布的在有选票的听证会。

投票是被电子设备的。

投票被选票——是是 389，是 22，

回答为“呈递”，是 21，反对 22，

在表上。

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title was amended so as to read:

“A bill to authorize appropriated policies of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.”

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for: Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 460, had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, this afternoon, I was present at a two-hour meeting at the White House with the President of the United States. As such, I was unfortunately not able to be present for the following votes:

On the inclusion of the McGovern/Jones/Pingree Amendment. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

On the inclusion of the McGovern/Sestak Amendment. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

On the inclusion of the Franks Amendment. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

On the inclusion of the Akin/Forbes Amendment. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

On the inclusion of the Connolly Amendment. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

As the motion to recommit H.R. 2647. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

On final passage of H.R. 2647. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”
PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CAPUANO. Mr. Speaker, earlier today, June 25, 2009, due to a medical situation involving a member of my family, I was not present for rollcall votes 453 through 460. Had I been present, I would have voted in the following manner:


PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, I was meeting with President Obama at the White House on immigration reform earlier today and missed rollcall votes 453–460. If present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall votes 453, 454, 457, 458 and 460 and “nay” on rollcall votes 455, 456, and 459.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. I ask unanimous consent to place in the RECORD how I would have voted because I was unavoidably detained and would not be able to attend the President at the White House, on immigration and unavoidably missed the votes. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on the McGovern-Jones amendment, “yes” on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, “no” on the Akin amendment, “yes” on the Holt amendment, and “no” on the Republican motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. WEINER. Mr. Speaker, because I was attending a conference at the White House on immigration reform, I was unavoidably detained and would not like to state in the RECORD that, had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on the McGovern-Jones amendment, would have voted “yes” on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, would have voted “no” on the Franks-Santorum amendment, “no” on the Akin amendment, would have voted “yes” on the Holt amendment, would have voted “yes” on the Connolly amendment, and would have voted “no” on the Republican motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. LOFGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to be recognized to note that I also was at a meeting in Philadelphia with the President at the White House, on immigration and unavoidably missed the votes. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on the McGovern-Jones amendment, “yes” on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, “no” on the Franks-Santorum amendment, “no” on the Akin amendment, “yes” on the Holt amendment, “yes” on the Connolly amendment, and “no” on the motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend remarks and in which to insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill that was just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. POLIS. Madam Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 578 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 578
Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2996) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the special rule. Points of order against provisions in the bill for failure to comply with clause 2 of rule XXI are waived. Notwithstanding clause 11 of rule XVIII, except as provided in section 2, no amendment shall be in order except: (1) the amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution; (2) the amendments printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules; (3) not to exceed three of the amendments printed in part C of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Flake of Arizona or his designee; (4) not to exceed one of the amendments printed in part D of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Campbell of California or his designee; and (5) not to exceed one of the amendments printed in part E of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Hensarling of Texas or his designee. Each such amendment shall be debated as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order arising from such amendments are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI and except that an amendment printed in part B, C, D, or E of the report of the Committee on Rules may be offered only at the appropriate point in the reading. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. In case of sundry amendments reported from the Committee, the question of their adoption shall be put to the House en gros and without intervening amendments unless agreed to by three or more of the members of the Committee on Rules, unless agreed to by three or more of the members of the Committee on Appropriations, unless agreed to by three or more of the members of the Committee of the Whole, unless agreed to by the House, unless agreed to by Representative Flake of Arizona or his designee; unless agreed to by Representative Campbell of California or his designee; and unless agreed to by Representative Hensarling of Texas or his designee. The previous question shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. Points of order may be raised only to object to the reference of the bill to Committee of the Whole, or to the report of the Committee on Rules, or to the amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules. After general debate, the Committee may report the amendments printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules, together with a report of the Committee on Rules; as amendments to the bill, either with or without instructions. The Committee may report the amendments printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules only after consideration of the bill for amendment, the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations on their designees each may offer one pro forma amendment to the bill for the purpose of debate, which shall be controlled by the proponent. Sec. 2. After consideration of the bill for amendment, the Committee may report the bill to the House without any amendments except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI and except that an amendment printed in part B, C, D, or E of the report of the Committee on Rules may be offered only at the appropriate point in the reading. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. In case of sundry amendments reported from the Committee, the question of their adoption shall be put to the House en gros and without intervening amendments unless agreed to by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations on his designee. The Chair may not entertain a motion to strike out the enacting words of the bill (as described in clause 9 of rule XVIII). Sec. 3. The Chair may entertain a motion that the Committee rise only if offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations on his designee. The Chair may not entertain a motion to strike out the enacting words of the bill (as described in clause 9 of rule XVIII). Sec. 4. During consideration of H.R. 2996, the Chair may reduce to two minutes the minimum time for electronic voting under clause 6 of rule XVIII and clauses 8 and 9 of rule XX. The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. TAUSCHER). The gentleman from Colorado is recognized.

Mr. POLIS. Madam Speaker, for the purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend remarks and in which to insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill that was just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.