"Aye" on rollcall vote 453; "Aye" on rollcall vote 454; "No" on rollcall vote 455; "No" on rollcall vote 456; "Aye" on rollcall vote 457; "Aye" on rollcall vote 458; "No" on rollcall vote 459; "Aye" on rollcall vote 460.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CAPUANO. Mr. Speaker, earlier today, June 25, 2009, due to a medical situation involving a member of my family, I was not present for rollcall votes 453 through 460. Had I been present, I would have voted in the following manner:


PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to place in the RECORD on the bill that was just passed.

There was no objection.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. WEINER. Mr. Speaker, because I was attending a conference at the White House on immigration reform, I was unavoidably detained and would like to state for the RECORD that had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on the McGovern-Jones amendment, would have voted "yes" on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, would have voted "no" on the Franks amendment, would have voted "no" on the Akin amendment, would have voted "yes" on the Holt amendment, would have voted "yes" on the Connolly amendment, and would have voted "no" on the Republican motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. I ask unanimous consent to place in the RECORD the bill as I would have voted because I was unavoidably detained at a 2-hour meeting with the President at the White House, on immigration and unavoidably missed the votes. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on the McGovern-Jones amendment, "yes" on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, "no" on the Franks amendment, "no" on the Akin amendment, "yes" on the Holt amendment, "yes" on the Connolly amendment, and "no" on the motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. LARSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, on June 25, 2009 I missed rollcall votes 453 and 460. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on both.

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN EN-GROSSMENT OF H.R. 2647. NA-TIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZA-TION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 2647, the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, punctuation, cross-references, and the table of contents, and to make such other technical and conforming changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House in amending the bill, and that the Clerk be authorized to make the additional technical corrections, which are at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend remarks and in which to insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill that was just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. WEINER. Mr. Speaker, because I was attending a conference at the White House on immigration reform, I was unavoidably detained and would like to state for the RECORD that had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on the McGovern-Jones amendment, would have voted "yes" on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, would have voted "no" on the Franks amendment, would have voted "no" on the Akin amendment, would have voted "yes" on the Holt amendment, would have voted "yes" on the Connolly amendment, and would have voted "no" on the Republican motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. LOFGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to be recognized to note that I also was at a meeting for the last 2 hours, with the President at the White House, on immigration and unavoidably missed the votes. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on the McGovern-Jones amendment, "yes" on the McGovern-Sestak amendment, "no" on the Franks amendment, "no" on the Akin amendment, "yes" on the Holt amendment, "yes" on the Connolly amendment, and "no" on the motion to recommit.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. I ask unanimous consent to place in the RECORD how I would have voted because I was unavoidably detained at a 2-hour meeting with the President on the issue of immigration. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on the adoption of the McGovern-Jones. I would have voted "yes" on the adoption of the McGovern-Sestak. I would have voted "no" on the Franks-Cantor. I would have voted "no" on the Akin-Forbes amendment. I would have voted "yes" on the Holt amendment. I would have voted "yes" on the Connolly amendment and "no" on the Republican motion to recommit.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2996, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2010

SEC. 2. After consideration of the bill for 1 hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, the Chair may entertain a motion for the Committee to rise only if offered by Representative Flake or his designee; (4) not to exceed one of the amendments printed in part D of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Campbell of California or his designee; and (5) not to exceed one of the amendments printed in part E of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Hensarling of Texas or his designee. Each such amendment shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI and except that an amendment printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules; (3) not to exceed three of the amendments printed in part C of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Flake of Arizona or his designee; (4) not to exceed one of the amendments printed in part D of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Campbell of California or his designee; and (5) not to exceed one of the amendments printed in part E of the report of the Committee on Rules if offered by Representative Hensarling of Texas or his designee. Each such amendment shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI and except that an amendment printed in part B, C, D, or E of the report of the Committee on Rules may be offered only at the appropriate point in the reading. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and re-port the bill to the House with such amend-ments as may have been adopted. In case of sundry amendments reported from the Com-mittee, the question of their adoption shall be decided on by a rollcall vote. Points of order against provisions in the bill shall be in the discretion of the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees each may offer one pro forma amendment to the bill for the purpose of debate, which shall be controlled by the proponent.

SUC. 3. The Chair may entertain a motion that the Committee rise only if offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropria-tions or his designee. The Chair may not ent-eertain a motion to strike out the enacting words of the bill (as described in clause 9 of rule XVIII).

SUC. 4. During consideration of H.R. 2996, the Chair may reduce the minimum time for electronic voting under clause 6 of rule XVIII and clauses 8 and 9 of rule XX.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. TAUSCHER). The gentleman from Colorado is recognized.

Mr. POLIS. Madam Speaker, for the purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlelady...