“They would have to ask for it,” he said. “I could certainly see us saying, ‘Yeah, makes sense.’”

He emphasized, however, that no such decision had been made yet.

White House officials said that Crocker’s comments were consistent with it previously stated position.

“The United States has never excluded the possibility that there would be some U.S. forces here, but he stressed that security would be under Afghan lead by 2014,” said Eileen O’Connor, the embassy spokeswoman.

Crocker’s comments came as the administration is engaged in discussions with the Afghan government on what arrangements should be made for a conference in Bonn, Germany, last week, President Hamid Karzai and other Afghan officials called for political and military support for at least another decade.

U.S. DEATHS

The Department of Defense recently confirmed the deaths of these American military personnel:


They were assigned to the 2nd Battalion, 3rd Brigade Combat Team, Fort Bliss, Texas.

Sgt. Ryan D. Sharp, 28, of Idaho Falls, Idaho, died Dec. 3, 2011, at Landstuhl Regional Medical Center, Landstuhl, Germany, of wounds suffered Nov. 21 at Kandahar province, when insurgents attacked his unit with an improvised explosive device.

He was assigned to the 1st Battalion, 34th Armor Regiment, 3rd Brigade Combat Team, Fort Riley, Kan.

JEAN BONNEY SMITH, 1550 E. HOLLY STREET, Boise, ID, October 25, 2011.

To: Rep. WALTER JONES

Re Your “General Speech” This morning

You were excellent on the House floor this morning, regarding ENDING THE WARS!

Everything you said made perfect sense—Things I have been thinking for 2 or 3 years, too! But I am not sure remarks were just the last slap in our face!

How can you convince your fellow Republican of these truths?

We can’t just stay on this “War Inc.”

course, waiting for the next election—it is criminal to our troops.

Thank you,

JEAN B. SMITH.

AMERICA’S UNSUSTAINABLE PATH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. One thing that most of the Occupy Wall Street protesters and the majority of the Tea Party advocates agree upon is that the United States is not on a sustainable path.

The economy is still floundering. We are in too many cases losing the competition to other countries in things like building, maintaining our infrastructure, and in keeping up with the advances of education.

We have the world’s most expensive health care system that leaves too many people without coverage and provides the Nation overall with mediocre results.

Americans get sick more often, take longer to get well, and die sooner than most of our European competitors; and half that cost is loaded on the backs of the employers and embedded in the prices of goods.

But perhaps the most glaring example of unsustainability is not our health care system or our tax system; it is the massive defense and security spending with escalating costs, which is, sadly, not strategically oriented.

We cannot continue to spend almost as much as the rest of the world, friend and foe alike, combined. Our military was stressed, and continues to be hobbled by the reckless action in Iraq and further challenged by the war in Afghanistan.

Yet we have a defense reauthorization that we will be considering on the floor today that ignores the big picture, does not lay the foundation for a dramatic scaling back of open-ended commitments, especially in dealing with issues like a nuclear weapons system far more expensive and out of proportion to what we will ever need or use. There are patterns of deployment that cry out for reform.

There are long overdue elements to deal with cost-effectiveness and the environmental footprint. Energy costs of $400 a gallon for fuel to the front, billions of dollars just for air-conditioning are symbols of a system that is not sustainable. We need key improvements.

Unfortunately, we are on a path of trying to do more than we can or that we should do.

The greatest threat to our future is losing control of our ability to sustain the military because we can’t sustain the economy. Unlike the past, we feel now that we don’t have enough money to train and educate our next generation. It is a problem now that American infrastructure is not keeping pace with the demands of our communities, let alone the military.

We should reject this blueprint. We should begin the process now of right-sizing the military, of getting rid of the burdensome nuclear overreach and patterns from the past—spending on things that would help us with the Cold War or World War II, maybe even do a slightly better job on the misguided mission in Iraq—but not the most pressing challenges for American security in this century.

We have the most powerful military in the world and will, by far, even if we invest substantially less. Our problem is that the American public is being ill-served by a government that is not investing in our future and in an economy that will not be able to sustain ever-increasing military commitments, to say nothing of the demands of investing in our communities and our people, especially the young.

I was, from the beginning, appalled at the burden we were asking of our young men and women to bear when we put them in the reckless Iraq adventure. People who are in the front download our best in terms of equipment and facilities. They and their families need to be well cared for, not just in the field but when they come home. We can do this, even in difficult times, if we get our priorities right. And we can get our priorities straight and the job done with less money.

The cuts initiated by Secretary Gates and the Obama administration, plus what would be required by sequestration, would only bolster our defense establishment to the level of 2007, adjusted for inflation. There is no question that over the next 10 years, we can manage that transition and that we will have to do it. What is sad is that the bill we will be considering today doesn’t make the progress we need to get us there.

A TRIBUTE TO PHYLLIS CAUSEY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE) for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to a great friend and a remarkable Kentuckian, Mrs. Phyllis Causey. In January, after 39 years of honorable and selfless public service, she will retire.

Her Lewisburg High School yearbook in 1968 contained a prophecy for her, saying, “Phyllis will be in President Nixon’s cabinet.” And although President Nixon resigned while she was at basic training for the Army Reserve in ’74 and she never did make it to the White House, lucky for us, she still decided to follow her passion for politics and public service.

Phyllis graduated from Hopkinsville Community College in 1970 and received her bachelor’s degree from Western Kentucky University in 1972. Upon her graduation, Phyllis worked for the FBI for the following 23 years.

In 1995, she was hired as a field representative for Congressman Ron Lewis. And when I was elected to replace Congressman Lewis upon his retirement, Phyllis was kind enough to continue working for me.

While traveling as a candidate for Congress, I met so many individuals whose first question to me was, Are you going to keep Phyllis if you are elected? Their question was a testament to Phyllis’ hard work, and dedication to the individuals in the counties she served. She was and still is irreplaceable.

Phyllis grew up on a farm in Logan County, where her parents taught her the value of hard work and the importance of giving and caring for others. And throughout the nearly 20 years I have known Phyllis, she has exemplified these values every day. She has been such an inspiration to me, and she has always been devoted to the causes she believes in—church, family, and friends.

Phyllis is an incredible wife, daughter, sister, and mother. I know her...
family—especially her husband, Larry—will be happy to have her around more often.

And although I will miss her, I know this is in no way a goodbye. I am positive she will continue to be active and touch the lives of those of us who have had the privilege of call her a friend.

I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring Mrs. Phyllis Causey, who exemplifies what it means to be an American, a Kentuckian, a Christian, and a public servant.

THE PENTAGON MUST BE AUDITED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Well, we’re all heard of too big to fail when the Secretary of the Treasury Hank Paulson and President Bush bailed out a bunch of miscreants on Wall Street for their blundering and mistakes and putting taxpayers at risk, some principle that does not belong in the policy of this country. But now we have another one: Too big to be counted. Too big to be counted.

This year, the Pentagon will spend $670 billion, about $2 million a day, and it doesn’t know where its money is. In fact, it often doesn’t even know if it has spent money. Here are a few examples:

In March 2000, the Pentagon inspector general found that of the $7.6 trillion—"t," trillion dollars—in accounting entries, about one-third of them—$2.3 trillion, or $8,000 for every man, woman, and child in America, was completely untraceable, completely untraceable. $2.3 trillion, don’t know where it went. Don’t know if they bought something, if it was delivered. Who knows.

Then, in 2003, they found—and this is something I’ve talked about all through my years in Congress, the so-called inventory system at the Pentagon, which is absolutely absurd. The Army lost track of 56 airplanes, 32 tanks, and 36 missile command launch units. And while military leaders back in 2003 were scrambling around trying to find chemical and biological suits for our troops because of the risks in the Middle East, in Afghanistan, the Pentagon was selling suits at surplus on the 2 cents on the dollar. No suits for the troops. They’re very expensive. Over here, we’re selling them for 2 cents on the dollar to the general public. What is this all about?

Another year, they spent $100 million for refundable airline tickets that they didn’t use. Hey, what’s $100 million at the Pentagon? Chump change. They didn’t ask for the refunds. They just stuck them in a drawer. That is $100 million that didn’t go to serve our national defense, supply our troops, or be saved and used.

In fiscal year ’10, half of the Pentagon’s $366 billion in contract awards were not competed. Half.

Now, these are pretty shocking numbers. And actually, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GARRETT) and I on the floor here last spring got a little amendment in the Department of Defense bill to require that they conform to the Federal Register by 1994. In 1994, Congress said the Pentagon should have a mandatory way by 2014. I mean, that’s only 2 years from now. That’s only a couple more trillion dollars from now. Boy, we wouldn’t want to know where that money is. We wouldn’t want to know whether they are surplussing out stuff our troops need while they’re paying for a contractor who didn’t have to compete to buy the same stuff, and they say there is a shortage and we don’t have enough. We wouldn’t want to know these things. So we closed the conference and cut these stinking deals.

So here it is, once again, too big to be counted. This does not serve our men and women in uniform well. It does not serve our national defense needs of the United States of America, and it sure as heck doesn’t serve the interests of the American taxpayers. The Pentagon must be audited like every other agency of Federal Government, and we should also throw in the Federal Reserve.

TRICIA MILLER, 2012 TEACHER OF THE YEAR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. THOMPSON) for 5 minutes.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, first today, I rise today to congratulate Tricia Miller of Centre County on receiving the 2012 Pennsylvania Teacher of the Year award. An English teacher from the Penns Valley Area School District since 1994, Tricia is the first Centre County educator to receive this award in the 54-year history. In addition to teaching English, in 2009 Trish became the Penns Valley literacy coach for grades 7 through 12, where she has introduced new instructional strategies in the classroom.

Many variables go into a great education, but it’s having great teachers that matter most. Tricia Miller is the type of teacher that goes above and beyond. I think particularly committed to high achievement and that demonstrates year after year.

Tricia Miller is deserving of this award and recognition. We thank her for her commitment to the teaching profession and are proud that she will go to represent the State in the National Teacher of the Year competition. Congratulations, Teacher Tricia Miller.

HOUSE PASSES EXTENSION LEGISLATION

Mr. Speaker. I also would like to take time this morning to address and celebrate a piece of legislation that we passed out of the House of Representatives last evening, largely, almost solely with just Republican support, but a bill that deserved bipartisan support because it’s great for the entire Nation.

This is a bill that addresses many of the extension bills that are running out of the House and will soon expire at the end of the year. In particular, there are three parts I just want to touch on briefly this morning that are incredibly important for the citizens of this Nation, that also part of transformational. And it’s rare that we see a transformational piece of legislation out of this body.

First of all, the tax cuts. Tax cuts for all Americans. This is a tax cut that was actually paid for, not one that added to the national debt or certainly one that threatened in any way the integrity of the Social Security fund. I am very proud to be able to support this bill and to do it in a proper way, to pay for and allow the citizens of this country to keep money in their own pockets. Certainly they are better prepared to make decisions on how money is spent.

Secondly, the changes in the extension of the unemployment compensation. We have taken steps to move unemployment towards a workforce development program as opposed to just an entitlement program. Unemployment is important and should be used to return people to work, and the provisions of the bill that were approved yesterday do just that. It allows States to do drug screening. We’ve put a lot of money into retraining people for jobs when they are on unemployment or through the Workforce Investment Act only to find that there is a percentage that is ineligible to work because they can’t pass a drug test. This provision gives people a reason to clean their lives up. It takes it from 99 to 59 weeks, which is an appropriate move.

One of the last provisions, which I think is maybe one of the most important: If you are an individual and need unemployment compensation, and you don’t have a high school degree or a