

With that, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

LIHEAP

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I am here today to talk about the importance of sustained funding and support for the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program, better known as LIHEAP. I know it is something my colleague, the Presiding Officer, cares very much about as well.

LIHEAP helps households pay home heating costs and targets funds for those families with the lowest incomes and the highest energy costs. In 2010, nearly 165,000 families in Minnesota used this critical lifeline.

As the Presiding Officer knows, our home State may be known as the land of ice hockey and ice fishing and other winter sports, but our tough winters can be downright dangerous to families struggling to pay their utility bills and trying to keep the heat on.

Even as Minnesota's economy has weathered the recession better than most, we have seen a great increase in need for assistance with heating bills. From 2008 to 2010, there was a 30-percent increase in families who needed energy assistance. Without sustained funding for LIHEAP at current levels, we risk pushing these 38,000 families out into the cold.

This October, I joined with Members from many cold weather States, as my colleague did, in a letter that urged the Department of Health and Human Services to release LIHEAP funds as quickly and at as high a level as possible. We must follow up on this action by fully funding LIHEAP.

On October 28, the Department of Health and Human Services released \$1.7 billion for LIHEAP. This is a start, but we need another \$3 billion to ensure we sustain level funding from last year. Depending on how and what the final appropriations are for fiscal year 2012, it is important to recognize we will need over \$1 billion to fully fund LIHEAP.

I believe seniors should not have to choose between paying for medication and their heating bills; that families should not have to choose between putting food on the table or keeping their furnaces on at night, and children should always have a warm home to sleep in at night. LIHEAP is targeting those families who are most in need. In fact, the average household served by LIHEAP in Minnesota had an income of \$16,000, and 85 percent of the homes served by LIHEAP included at least one senior, a person with a disability, or a child under the age of 18. These

families are struggling. Now is not the time to pull the rug out from under their feet.

LIHEAP is supported by nonprofit organizations such as Community Action of Minneapolis, the Salvation Army, State and local governments, and utility companies. These organizations know the value this program has to ensure that families have the tools they need to stay safe during the coldest winter nights. They also see how it creates economic activity by maintaining demand for utilities when household budgets are under the greatest strain and may be forced to go without.

According to economists, LIHEAP is a smart investment. For every dollar in benefits paid, \$1.13 is generated in economic activity. As a cosponsor of the LIHEAP Protection Act, introduced by Senator JACK REED of Rhode Island, I want to commend my colleagues on their leadership on this issue, and I look forward to working with them to ensure this legislation is passed and that funding for the critical program is maintained.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST— H.R. 3630

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I am going to eventually make a unanimous consent request. We have alerted our Republican friends to it. But before I do, I want to set the stage for why I am going to eventually ask we be allowed to go to H.R. 3630, which is at the desk, and that there be a debate and a vote on the Republican-passed payroll tax cut.

For the life of me, I don't understand why, as we approach the end of this year, Republicans do not want, right now, to have a vote on their own bill. Maybe it is because they do not have a lot of votes for it because it is a disaster. The President has spoken out very strongly for a payroll tax cut. We need that. It has been in effect, and if we don't extend it in this time of recovering from a deep dark recession, economists of all stripes have said we are going to see a reduction in economic growth. That is something we don't need right now.

Initially, Republicans said they didn't want anything to do with this tax cut. They loved the tax cuts for the millionaires and billionaires. Oh, that one they have a heart for but this one, they don't really like.

I think they took the heat back home, and good for the American people. They then decided they had to pass it because if they didn't pass it, working people were going to notice that \$1,000 increase in their taxes.

So we are facing a very odd situation. Having served in the House for 10 years—I had left before Newt Gingrich became Speaker; I ran for the Senate. I know how things work over there. I can almost see—though I have no accuracy

on this; it is simply my own feeling—the mindset: The President wants this tax cut so badly, let's do it, but let's load this up with things he is not going to be able to abide. Frankly, that is what they did.

Let's look at some of the things that are in this payroll tax cut. First of all, they added environmental riders. One of them I am very familiar with, and I want to spend a minute explaining.

The EPA passed a rule to control the filthiest and dirtiest boiler operations. These boilers are located in our communities. They spew forth things you really don't want to know about, but we better know. They are things such as mercury, arsenic, and lead. All these things cause cancer, and all of these things are dangerous to all of us, particularly to children and to pregnant women. So the EPA has crafted a rule—listen to this—that only goes after 5,500 of the 1.6 million boilers. Again, these are the filthiest and the dirtiest.

In crafting this rule, they had peer review science that showed this rule would prevent 8,100 premature deaths every single year. That is because we are talking about mercury, lead, and arsenic. These are not our friends.

Now, not being able to abide by this, those in the House are standing with the dirtiest polluters, and they put a stop to that rule. To me, this is shocking, as chairman of the Environment and Public Works Committee. If I saw you were driving a car in a certain direction, Mr. President, and I said to you, if you continue to drive your car in that direction, you are going to hurt people; you are actually going to be responsible for the deaths of 8,100 people in the course of a year, you would turn that car around. But, no, they are barreling forward. I am not even citing the stats—because I don't have them in my memory—on the number of missed workdays, the number of asthma cases, and the lost schooldays, but it is in the tens of thousands in a year.

So they attached what I call a real poison pill to the payroll tax cut. But that wasn't enough. Despite the objections from the Republican Governor of Nebraska, they pushed forward on the tar sands pipeline before the studies were done. By the way, the environmental impact report was done by a company that had ties to the developer. So before we rush to judgment on this, colleagues, we need to have more information. But, no, they are going to jam that through.

So those are two environmental riders that are in the bill that are very dangerous for the American people. So it is sort of like, here is \$1,000 for you with the payroll tax cut, but we have just increased your risk of getting asthma or perhaps dying of cancer or a heart attack. Maybe that is why they object to having a vote on this bill.

Now, in this bill, the way they pay for things is unbelievable. They are so fearful of hurting the upper income people—those earning over \$1 million a