

order, which was something along the lines of the Bowles-Simpson plan. We don't have that luxury right now. Perhaps the CALM Act will not only soften the blow of the fiscal cliff, but it will also give us a sense of urgency about a grand bargain to repair our financial house.

I am not so naive as to believe everybody is going to check their politics at the door, even at this late hour, but this is not a time for politicking, bickering, or partisan games. To allow the country to plunge over the fiscal cliff without any alternative plans to soften the landing is completely unacceptable. I cannot think of anything more irresponsible than to play games with the lives of Americans in such a callous way and let this great country go over the fiscal cliff. This would jeopardize the financial standing of our country and alarm our financial markets in ways that could trigger another recession.

Something has gone terribly wrong when the biggest threat to the American economy is the American Congress. I repeat: Something has gone terribly wrong when the biggest threat to our American economy is our American Congress.

It does not have to be that way. I am putting something on the table that is fair and balanced. It includes a slow phase-in of the tax increases that are going to happen inevitably if we go over the cliff. It includes a slow phase-in of all the tax increases, it includes targeted spending decreases, and it moves us closer to tax reforms. Everybody helps, and we do it in a way that keeps our country strong and prosperous.

This is one of those moments that the Senate was intended to live up to and provide leadership, find common ground, level with the American people, and be honest with each other. With our debt continuing to soar and too many Americans still looking for jobs, these are times that demand the very best of the Senate.

Everywhere in West Virginia—and, in fact, all over this country—families are making tough choices about how to make ends meet. It is time for Washington to do the same.

Here in the Senate it seems to me that we are always fighting about something. Well, that might not change anytime soon, but more often than not, I believe we can rise to the common ground of great national purpose. I believe with all of my heart that this is one of those times.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 3445. Mr. DURBIN (for Mr. CORNYN (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. LEAHY)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3250, to amend the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 to provide for Debbie Smith grants for auditing sexual assault evidence backlogs and to establish a Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Registry, and for other purposes.

SA 3446. Mr. DURBIN (for Mrs. HUTCHISON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 114, to expand the boundary of the San Antonio Missions National Historical Park.

SA 3447. Mr. DURBIN (for Mrs. HUTCHISON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 114, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 3445. Mr. DURBIN (for Mr. CORNYN (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. LEAHY)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3250, to amend the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 to provide for Debbie Smith grants for auditing sexual assault evidence backlogs and to establish a Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Registry, and for other purposes; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Sexual Assault Forensic Evidence Reporting Act of 2012" or the "SAFER Act of 2012".

SEC. 2. DEBBIE SMITH GRANTS FOR AUDITING SEXUAL ASSAULT EVIDENCE BACKLOGS.

Section 2 of the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 14135) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(6) To conduct an audit consistent with subsection (n) of the samples of sexual assault evidence that are in the possession of the State or unit of local government and are awaiting testing.

"(7) To ensure that the collection and processing of DNA evidence by law enforcement agencies from crimes, including sexual assault and other violent crimes against persons, is carried out in an appropriate and timely manner and in accordance with the protocols and practices developed under subsection (o)(1).";

(2) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) ALLOCATION OF GRANT AWARDS FOR AUDITS.—For each of fiscal years 2014 through 2017, not less than 5 percent, but not more than 7 percent, of the grant amounts distributed under paragraph (1) shall, if sufficient applications to justify such amounts are received by the Attorney General, be awarded for purposes described in subsection (a)(6), provided that none of the funds required to be distributed under this paragraph shall decrease or otherwise limit the availability of funds required to be awarded to States or units of local government under paragraph (3)."; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

"(n) USE OF FUNDS FOR AUDITING SEXUAL ASSAULT EVIDENCE BACKLOGS.—

"(1) ELIGIBILITY.—The Attorney General may award a grant under this section to a State or unit of local government for the purpose described in subsection (a)(6) only if the State or unit of local government—

"(A) submits a plan for performing the audit of samples described in such subsection; and

"(B) includes in such plan a good-faith estimate of the number of such samples.

"(2) GRANT CONDITIONS.—A State or unit of local government receiving a grant for the purpose described in subsection (a)(6)—

"(A) may not enter into any contract or agreement with any non-governmental vendor laboratory to conduct an audit described in subsection (a)(6); and

"(B) shall—

"(i) not later than 1 year after receiving the grant, complete the audit referred to in paragraph (1)(A) in accordance with the plan submitted under such paragraph;

"(ii) not later than 60 days after receiving possession of a sample of sexual assault evidence that was not in the possession of the State or unit of local government at the time of the initiation of an audit under paragraph (1)(A), subject to paragraph (4)(F), include in any required reports under clause (v), the information listed under paragraph (4)(B);

"(iii) for each sample of sexual assault evidence that is identified as awaiting testing as part of the audit referred to in paragraph (1)(A)—

"(I) assign a unique numeric or alphanumeric identifier to each sample of sexual assault evidence that is in the possession of the State or unit of local government and is awaiting testing; and

"(II) identify the date or dates after which the State or unit of local government would be barred by any applicable statutes of limitations from prosecuting a perpetrator of the sexual assault to which the sample relates;

"(iv) provide that—

"(I) the chief law enforcement officer of the State or unit of local government, respectively, is the individual responsible for the compliance of the State or unit of local government, respectively, with the reporting requirements described in clause (v); or

"(II) the designee of such officer may fulfill the responsibility described in subclause (I) so long as such designee is an employee of the State or unit of local government, respectively, and is not an employee of any governmental laboratory or non-governmental vendor laboratory; and

"(v) comply with all grantee reporting requirements described in paragraph (4).

"(3) EXTENSION OF INITIAL DEADLINE.—The Attorney General may grant an extension of the deadline under paragraph (2)(B)(i) to a State or unit of local government that demonstrates that more time is required for compliance with such paragraph.

"(4) SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC EVIDENCE REPORTS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—For not less than 12 months after the completion of an initial count of sexual assault evidence that is awaiting testing during an audit referred to in paragraph (1)(A), a State or unit of local government that receives a grant award under subsection (a)(6) shall, not less than every 60 days, submit a report to the Department of Justice, on a form prescribed by the Attorney General, which shall contain the information required under subparagraph (B).

"(B) CONTENTS OF REPORTS.—A report under this paragraph shall contain the following information:

"(i) The name of the State or unit of local government filing the report.

"(ii) The period of dates covered by the report.

"(iii) The cumulative total number of samples of sexual assault evidence that, at the end of the reporting period—

"(I) are in the possession of the State or unit of local government at the reporting period;

"(II) are awaiting testing; and

"(III) the State or unit of local government has determined should undergo DNA or other appropriate forensic analyses.

"(iv) The cumulative total number of samples of sexual assault evidence in the possession of the State or unit of local government that, at the end of the reporting period, the State or unit of local government has determined should not undergo DNA or other appropriate forensic analyses, provided that the reporting form shall allow for the State