United States of America

# Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 114th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 161 WASHINGTON, MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2015 No. 100

# House of Representatives

The House was not in session today. Its next meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 23, 2015, at 12 p.m.

# Senate

MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2015

The Senate met at 3 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

## PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Eternal Father, strong to save, may this quiet moment prompt us to think thoughts about Your goodness and power throughout this day. May these thoughts keep us faithful and diligent in all our work, motivating us to labor for Your glory.

Lord, inspire our lawmakers to be honorable and generous in their dealing with each other, remembering Your great commandment to love their neighbors as they love themselves.

O God, You have been a refuge for Your people through many generations. Be our fortress in every moment and every need that we face this day. Guide us through the uncertainty and darkness, continually strengthening us for times of testing.

We pray in Your Holy Name. Amen.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

## RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. ERNST). The majority leader is recognized.

## TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, we will vote tonight to bring long-overdue permanent leadership to an agency in urgent need of reform and culture change, and that is the TSA. I wish the White House hadn't waited 6 months to send us a nominee to lead this troubled agency, but now that the administration finally has, we are pleased to see a highly qualified candidate such as Peter Neffenger.

It is never easy to ensure a Senate review process that is appropriately thorough yet necessarily expeditious in the face of so many months of White House delay, but that is just what Chairman THUNE and Chairman JOHNSON achieved with the nominee before us. I thank them both for their good work.

If confirmed this evening, Vice Admiral Neffenger will certainly have a tough job ahead of him. We are all aware of the recent inspector general report that questioned the TSA's ability to meet its security mission without some significant changes. The American people will be counting on Mr. Neffenger to validate the trust their elected representatives place in him tonight by pursuing every necessary reform in the wake of such troubled findings. The Senate appears to have confidence that he can achieve those goals. There is no doubt the Senate and the American people will be expecting that he will.

## TRADE

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, when it comes to keeping the American people safe, there are many things Congress can do. Encouraging reform at the TSA is one important step, but so is leading on trade.

President Obama's own Secretary of Defense recently said that the trade legislation before us was as important to him ''as another aircraft carrier.'' This is the Secretary of Defense of the United States who said that the trade legislation before us was as important to him as another aircraft carrier. But he cautioned that ''time's running out [to] cement our influence and leadership in the fastest-growing region in the world.''

We all know how critical this legislation is for lifting up American workers, American wages, and the American economy as well. We shouldn't let this opportunity for a significant bipartisan achievement slip past us. If we can continue working together in a spirit of trust and if we simply vote the same way we did a couple of weeks ago, we won't miss this opportunity.

I know how important it is—particularly for my friends on the other side of the aisle—to get both TPA and TAA, trade adjustment assistance. That linkage has been acknowledged from the beginning of this process. It is why I set in motion a process last week—filing cloture on the vehicles for both

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

TPA and TAA so that we get one done followed immediately by the other—that will put both pieces of legislation on the President's desk before the July 4 State work period.

I don't want anyone to think we are getting TPA done this week, with a promise to get TAA done at some other time. The process this week is very clear: We will vote on TPA and then we will vote on TAA. So this is how that will look. Tomorrow, we will begin the process of approving TPA. The next day, we will begin the process of approving TAA, along with the AGOA and preferences measure.

Before the week is out, I intend to go to conference on the Customs bill. This is a bill with broad bipartisan support. Members on both sides want to get it done, and we are going to formally begin the process to complete our work on the Customs bill. So I am committed to concluding work on that conference as quickly as possible. I am sure Members on both sides will hold us to that commitment.

So what does all this mean? It means that with continued bipartisan cooperation, we can ensure that TPA, TAA, and AGOA reach the President's desk this week, and it means we can ensure that the Customs bill is placed on a path to swift approval, too. It was always the goal to ensure that these bills passed Congress in the end. It remains the bipartisan goal today. We are now on the verge of achieving it. With just a little more trust, a little more cooperation, and simply voting consistently, we will get there.

## RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

## EXPORT-IMPORT BANK

Mr. REID. Madam President, over the past several years there has been a disturbing practice that has become commonplace in the Congress, and sadly it is with no regard for the average American. The Republicans have resolved to govern by staggering from one ludicrous crisis to another crisis—one today, one next week. Unless the Republican leader acts, in just 5 days, for example, we will see the consequences of another manufactured crisis. On June 30, the charter for the Export-Import Bank is set to expire. This is serious business. This institution creates jobs by providing loans and loan guarantees to foreign customers who purchase American exports. This year alone, the Ex-Im Bank supports 165,000 American jobs—165,000 jobs that allow American workers to earn a living. These jobs cost taxpayers zero—nothing. In fact, this Bank saves the American taxpayers money. In the last 10 years, the Bank has returned more than $7 billion to the U.S. Treasury.

With the threat of this Bank closing—other countries have similar institutions, such as China. People are going to China and other countries to get their loan guarantees and buy products—not from America but from countries where those banks will take care of their loans. This is so sad.

Despite the positive benefits the Bank provides for our economy and thousands of Americans, Republicans have decided that reauthorization is not a priority. The Republican leader has openly opposed reauthorization of this important Bank. I repeat, there are 165,000 jobs as we speak, and they are American jobs.

Quoting the senior Senator from Kentucky: ''I personally think the Ex-Im Bank has outlived its usefulness and ought to go away.'' Really? The Bank should be put out of business? I don't think so. This resource supports thousands of jobs for Americans and could not possibly have outgrown its usefulness. But in the 6 months since the Republicans have taken control of Congress, this mindset has governed their decisions. Sensible programs that benefit average Americans and strengthen our economy have taken a backseat to special interests. We can see that by what is going on in this body this week.

Just as he has on countless issues so far this Congress, the Republican leader is intentionally ignoring deadlines that are obvious—these deadlines are obvious weeks and even months ahead of time—and offering no plan or solution to these pertinent issues facing our Nation. Today, we are talking about two of those—surface transportation, and I have talked about the Export-Import Bank. No plan. No solution. No urgency. That is how Republicans have chosen to govern.

This misguided policy is not governing at all. It is all about crisis management and doing a very poor job. This crisis management could be avoided by not playing dodgeball with issues important to our country.

There are less than 5 days until thousands of jobs are threatened for no apparent reason. The senior Senator from Kentucky should put his partisan agenda aside, choose American jobs over political benefits, and allow a vote to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank. This measure would pass. It would pass overwhelmingly because Republican Senators want this to pass also. So if a vote were allowed on this, it would get a sizeable majority.

## FEDERAL HIGHWAY PROGRAM FUNDING

Mr. REID. Madam President, the Senate also faces another looming deadline manufactured by Republican leadership. At the end of July, Republican funding for the Federal highway program will expire. In 5 days, we will lose the Export-Import Bank. In just a few weeks, we are going to lose the highway program.

Our Nation's roads, bridges, highways, and transit systems are in desperate need of repair. Some 64,000 bridges are structurally deficient. This isn't 6,400; it is 64,000 bridges. Fifty percent of our roads are dangerously in need of repair. Still, Republicans in Congress have refused to work with Democrats in making an adequate long-term investment in our country's surface transportation programs.

Delaying and stalling has become their normal practice. Republicans are content to take a page straight out of their playbook. That playbook is called ''Republican Manufactured Crisis Playbook.'' They do this day after day. They just go to that playbook that has been used over and over again. We have seen it before, and we are seeing it again with highways. We are seeing it with Ex-Im Bank. Instead of working with Democrats to create long-term solutions to our Nation's woes, the Republican leader will wait until the deadline is imminent—and on highways, we can see it coming—and he will offer another short-term extension to stave off a disaster of his own making. That is unacceptable. We have already had 33 Republican short-term fixes—33. We don't need a 34th.

Governing by crisis is a reckless strategy that leaves the well-being of Americans and our economy hanging in the balance. The Republican leader should abandon this policy and stop dragging our country from one crisis to another crisis. Republicans can get started today by bringing up reauthorization of the Ex-Im Bank for a vote before the charter expires in just a few days. It will pass. Certainly he could focus on long-term, bipartisan reauthorization of the Federal highway program, which, as I have indicated, expires in a few weeks.

The American people should not be forced to endure manufactured crises at the hands of Republican leadership. I urge the Republican leadership to change course and govern with the well-being of the American people in mind.

Madam President, will the Chair announce the business of the day.

## RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

## MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business until 5 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The Senator from Utah.

## ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. HATCH. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the distinguished Senator from Florida be given 5 minutes and that I immediately follow him with my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Florida.

Mr. NELSON. Madam President, I thank the distinguished chairman of the Finance Committee. I will be back at 5 o'clock to speak on behalf of our nominee for TSA, Admiral Neffenger.

## YOUTUBE KIDS APP

Mr. NELSON. Madam President, I want to address something that I was absolutely shocked about when I saw it over the weekend. We hear the term ''age appropriate,'' and when it comes to our children, that is necessarily something that parents should be concerned about because we parents—all of us who are parents—want our children to be able to take advantage of the Internet's vast resources to learn, to stay connected. But we as parents do not want our children, especially small children, to encounter inappropriate content.

Well, unfortunately, there is a lot of violence, profanity, and sexualized material on the Internet, and kids can too often access this material with the click of a mouse. We have all been dealing with that. That is nothing unusual. And what are we parents to do? We can monitor our kids' activities, but we can also depend on parental controls and filters in the marketplace. We have seen the development of many of these services for kids that promise a safe space for children. The problem is when companies do not completely deliver on that promise.

So I have read recent news reports and I watched Google's YouTube Kids mobile application for smart phones, and I see that it contains material that is not, in fact, appropriate for small children. According to the press accounts—and what I saw repeated—the app has apparently been found to include videos with explicit language; mature subject matter, such as child abuse, drug use, pedophilia; demonstrations of unsafe behaviors; and—get this—advertisements for alcohol.

I want to show you a picture. This is on Google's YouTube Kids app. Here is a lady hawking red wine. This is an advertisement for little kids? It is there, and I hope the offending parties will take heed to my remarks.

We all recognize what is shown in this picture—most appropriate for advertisements for the Super Bowl, but on a Google YouTube app for little children, preceded by the Clydesdales pulling the wagon with the Dalmatian—an icon in America. But for little children, an ad, the King of Beers?

And how about unsafe behaviors. Here is someone striking a match and taking this match down to a pile of unlit matches, and then, of course, you know what happens—it all goes up in flame.

Have we lost our common sense? When Google rolled out its YouTube Kids app, it said: ''The app makes it safer and easier for children to find videos on topics they want to explore . . . .'' That is a good thing. It went on to say: ''Now, parents can rest a little easier knowing that videos in the YouTube Kids app are narrowed down to content appropriate for kids.'' Well, I certainly agree with Google on that statement. Parents should be able to trust these online venues for children, especially when they are designed and marketed as being safe. But is this safe for children? And, Madam President, is that safe for children? I do not think so.

If a company creates an online safe haven for kids, it must do everything it can to make sure children are not unnecessarily exposed to the very content parents want their children to avoid. Google certainly has the technical expertise to make sure that videos which are unsuitable for kids are screened or filtered out, especially when Google markets the app as being suitable for children. Indeed, section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits deceptive marketing practices.

I applaud Google for its efforts to create healthy online experiences for children, but in this case, their efforts fell short, and I would expect Google to change this right away.

Furthermore, YouTube Kids should also be sensitive to the fact that younger children often do not understand the difference between advertisements and noncommercial content. So kids' online services that have commercial advertising should make sure that advertising is clearly distinguished from the other content. Google should not take advantage of this well-known vulnerability among children. Video advertisements should be easily and clearly distinguishable from other videos the kids are watching.

I should not have to come here and the Senator from Utah be so gracious to give me the time. It ought to be common sense that we should not be doing this. But this Senator, who is the ranking member of the Senate Commerce Committee, is compelled to come here and speak of this kind of comment. We want companies to create online services and products that allow children safe access to age-appropriate content, and we understand that companies want to tap into the kids' market, but everyone knows just how much Internet content is out there that is completely unsuitable for children.

Madam President, need I say any more? It is very clear, and I hope there will be quick action for appropriate content.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Utah.

## TRAGEDY AT EMANUEL AME CHURCH

Mr. HATCH. Madam President, I rise to speak today on a matter of critical importance to our Nation's security, but first I wish to extend my most heartfelt condolences to our friends in Charleston, SC.

Last week, we witnessed an unspeakable tragedy with the shooting at the Emanuel AME Church. This heinous act has left families reeling and the Nation in disbelief. Words can little console nor can they heal the hearts of those who have lost. Still, I wish to say just a few words to the neighbors, families, and friends who have suffered most.

Know that your Nation suffers with you—no question about it. You are in our prayers, our thoughts. May you feel peace and love. May you find healing in God. And may the shooter be swiftly brought to justice.

## NUCLEAR AGREEMENT WITH IRAN

Mr. HATCH. Madam President, I now shift to a different threat we face.

Time and again—time and time again—the Islamic Republic of Iran has lied to the international community. The latest evidence emerged in the June 2 publication by the United Nations Security Council of a scathing report on Iranian noncompliance with the Joint Plan of Action. Written by a diverse panel of international experts, the report catalogs a growing list of Iran's violations of multiple U.N. mandates. It deserves to be read widely by all those who care deeply, as I do, about the ongoing P5+1 negotiations with Iran over its nuclear program.

The lesson to draw from the Security Council report is clear: If Iran continues to violate its current agreements with impunity, how can we expect that Tehran would adhere to a new deal to suspend its nuclear program? This is a matter of plain common sense.

The specifics of the report paint a profoundly troubling picture. Iranian arms transfer activities have continued uninterrupted, despite the sanctions imposed by the unified international community. These arms have found their way into a number of regional conflicts, fuelling instability in Syria, Iraq, Yemen, and elsewhere. Hezbollah and Hamas—Iran's perennial terrorist allies—continue to turn these weapons against Israel and our other allies in the region. Regional violence has been and continues to be Iran's export of choice.

According to this report, not only does Iran illegally export weapons and oil, it has also imported prohibited materials and technology, circumventing sanctions. The Iranians have long maintained a robust illicit procurement infrastructure. They have accomplished this through intermediaries controlled by Iranian and pro-Iranian interests, often involving false documentation, shell corporations, and foreign nationals.

For these and other reasons, our French allies have now declared that a rigorous inspection regime that includes military installations should be

a prerequisite to any agreement. This should have been our position from the start.

Additionally, the report describes violations of foreign travel restrictions of high-ranking Iranian Government officials. One particularly noteworthy violation is the case of Major General Qasem Soleimani, the commander of Iran's Special Forces Quds Force. Earlier this year, General Soleimani met with the Secretary General of Hezbollah in Lebanon. Just last month, photographs surfaced of General Soleimani surrounded by Shiite militia fighters in Iraq's embattled Anbar Province.

I am disappointed to hear some try to minimize these Iranian violations of Security Council resolutions because some Iranian arms and personnel are currently being used against the heinous Islamic State. We must not turn a blind eye to Iranian malfeasance. We must not fall into the trap of accepting Iran's transgressions simply because they are fighting a common foe. In this case, the enemy of our enemy is not our friend. Some of the armed Shia groups fighting the Islamic State are the same groups that were killing U.S. troops just a few short years ago. They might very well try to do so again.

A nuclear-armed Iran would be a disaster for the region and the wider world—not only for our Israel allies but also for our Saudi, Egyptian, Jordanian, Kuwaiti, Qatari, and Emirati allies as well. With the continuing turmoil in the region and the threat posed by the Islamic State, Al Qaeda, the Taliban, and other terrorist groups, the world cannot afford a nuclear arms race in the Middle East. Considering the hand-in-hand history between Iran and Hezbollah, one could easily translate a nuclear Iran into a nuclear Hezbollah.

It is therefore highly distressing that Iran has, to quote the Security Council, ''continued certain nuclear activities, including uranium enrichment and some work at Arak.'' If Iran has failed to sufficiently address even the core cause of the sanctions against them, what confidence do we have in them in moving forward?

It is particularly telling that the U.N. expert panel assessed that a decline in reports by member states of Iranian violations results from one of two factors: either Iran has decreased its prohibitive activities significantly or member states have refrained from reporting noncompliance so as not to interrupt the negotiations process. In light of the revelations contained in this report, the latter appears far more likely.

As the President continues to push for a permanent deal with Iran's leadership, this report is as alarming as it is timely. Past performance may not universally predict future behavior, but it certainly should be part of the consideration. Moreover, this report is far from the only sign of Iranian malfeasance. As recently as yesterday, the Iranian Parliament voted to prohibit international inspections of military sites, casting into serious doubt its commitment to a workable nuclear deal.

Given these troubling moves, the President should explain to the American people what level of confidence he has negotiating with Iran given how it repeatedly violates the international community's mandates with impunity. The stakes are too high to act as if Iran were a trustworthy partner.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, the Senate is not in a quorum call; is that correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is correct, Senator.

## KING V. BURWELL DECISION

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I come to the floor to speak for a few minutes about the disaster that is known as ObamaCare and specifically the Supreme Court's upcoming decision in King v. Burwell, which we anticipate will be handed down later this week or perhaps as late as Monday. This case will decide whether the IRS can rewrite the law, and it actually challenges the legality of the subsidies to health care policies affecting people in up to 37 States.

If the Court rules against the IRS, that would be the third strike against ObamaCare in the Supreme Court. What more evidence would we possibly need of this administration's routine overreach of its authority under the Constitution?

Not surprisingly, the President once again has failed to accept responsibility for this flawed law that bears his name, and he has suggested that Congress could simply fix the problem with a one-sentence provision. In other words, even though President Obama and congressional Democrats jammed this partisan monstrosity through all by themselves in 2010, somehow, after three strikes in the U.S. Supreme Court, it is now our responsibility to clean up the mess.

But what is wrong with ObamaCare far exceeds the issue at hand in King v. Burwell. I hear of the disastrous effects of ObamaCare every day from folks back home in Texas. They know, as do I, that a one-sentence provision won't fix a 2,700-page legislative disaster, unless that sentence were to repeal ObamaCare in its entirety.

If somehow this administration and congressional Democrats could be sued for misleading consumers under the usual legal standards, the case brought by millions of Americans against ObamaCare would be a slam dunk. The President claimed his law would help everyone—miraculously decreasing costs, increasing access, and reducing the deficit—when, in fact, time after time after time, the opposite has been shown to be the case. What we have seen instead has been great damage to the health care system in this country, leaving many Americans with their health care disrupted, their work hours cut, and higher costs for their health coverage.

Although proponents of the law, including the President and Democratic Members of Congress, claimed ObamaCare would reduce the financial burden of health care for American families, this has not been the case. In fact, one study found that ObamaCare actually increased individual market premiums by an average of almost 50 percent between 2013 and 2014. So rather than make health care more affordable, what ObamaCare did is to make it less affordable and more expensive by increasing individual market premiums by an average of almost 50 percent.

Recently, the administration released rate filings showing that insurers have requested double-digit premium increases for nearly 700 plans next year. So double-digit increases have been requested.

We can all remember the President's repeated promises that under ObamaCare those who wanted to keep their plans would be able to do so. In fact, the Associated Press has documented that more than 4.7 million Americans had insurance plans they liked that were canceled by ObamaCare.

And of course, just last week President Obama himself called the Web site platform for his trademark legislation—healthcare.gov—''a well-documented disaster.''

The fact that this failed law has hurt patients is bad enough, but the truth is it is also hurting the economy and hurting jobs. The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that ObamaCare is forcing employers to cut jobs and has projected that as many as 2 million jobs could be lost by 2017.

If the Court rules for King, the plaintiff in the lawsuit, millions more Americans could find their health care coverage temporarily disrupted—just one more painful consequence of this reckless piece of legislation.

Clearly, ObamaCare was not the silver bullet for our health care system or our economy. Instead, what we know today is that ObamaCare really just amounted to a trail of broken promises. But you will never find the President or those who foisted this flawed legislation upon the American people taking responsibility for it. Rather, as I said earlier, somehow they think it is for somebody else to clean up their mess.

I continue to believe the American people would be well served to see this entire law scrapped in favor of real patient-centered reforms that lower costs and increase access to care. I thought that was what health care reform was supposed to be about—lowering cost and improving access to care. But ObamaCare did the opposite.

I am here to say that while Republicans did not create this mess, we are

ready, willing, and able to do our best to protect the American people from any more harm caused by the President's flawed law. That is why we have been working hard for the last several months to provide the American people with a much needed off-ramp from ObamaCare, should the Court rule against the administration once again. But we really need to hit the reset button and start over again.

First and foremost, we are prepared to help the more than 6 million Americans, including nearly 1 million people in my home State of Texas, whose costs would suddenly skyrocket as yet another consequence of this disastrous piece of legislation. In doing so, we will empower the States to opt out of ObamaCare, allowing them the flexibility to more effectively lower costs and increase choices.

We will not promote command-and-control solutions emanating from here in Washington, under the philosophy that Washington knows best. We will promote market-based options without the threat of harmful, onerous, expensive mandates. Repealing these mandates will help the American people finally get the coverage they need at a price they can afford.

In short, we will do everything in our power to protect the people affected by this flawed piece of legislation, but we will not protect the President's failed law. It is time to scrap it and do better. It is my hope, if the Court rules against the administration once again, that Congress will find it within themselves to work together to protect the almost 1 million Texans and millions more Americans from yet another painful consequence of ObamaCare. I know Republicans stand ready to protect the American people from this failed law while providing a path forward for better health care for our country. That health care includes more freedom, more flexibility, and more choices.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SANDERS. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## TRAGEDY IN CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

Mr. SANDERS. Madam President, I wish to take this opportunity to send my condolences to the families of those who were murdered in Charleston, SC, on Wednesday evening and to the entire city of Charleston.

It is hard to understand how someone could walk into a church, be welcomed into a prayer meeting, and then take out a gun and slaughter nine people who were in the process of discussing the Bible. That is hard to believe, but that is what happened.

In the last 60 years, this country has made significant progress in civil rights and in trying to become a less discriminatory society. Sixty years ago, parts of our country were part of an apartheid-type system, segregated housing, segregated schools, segregated restaurants, segregated transportation, segregated water fountains, and, in fact, an entirely segregated way of life. Perhaps most significantly, African Americans in a number of Southern States were denied the basic right to vote and were unable to participate in the democratic process.

Today, as a nation, we have a right to be proud of the significant changes that have taken place in our country over the last 60 years and the many advances that have been made in civil rights and in the creation of a less discriminatory society.

We should be proud that in 2008, this country surprised the world by overcoming our racist history and electing our first African-American President and then reelecting him 4 years later with a strong majority. You may like Barrack Obama, and I do, or you may dislike Barrack Obama, and many Americans have that view, but it is no small thing that this country was able to judge a candidate by his ideas and character and not the color of his skin. But clearly, while we have made significant progress, the events of last week remind us how far we yet have to go in order to create a nonracist society.

I am not the Governor of South Carolina, I am not in the South Carolina legislature, and I do not live in South Carolina, but I do believe the time is long overdue for the people of South Carolina to remove the Confederate flag from the statehouse grounds in Columbia. That flag is a relic of our Nation's stained racial history. It should come down. If any good can come of the terrible tragedy in Charleston, it is that the people of South Carolina now have the opportunity to finally turn a page on our past. Frankly, the Confederate flag does not belong on statehouse grounds, it belongs in a museum.

I wish to also express my deep concern about the growth of extremist groups in this country, groups that are motivated by hatred—by hatred of African Americans, by hatred of immigrants, by hatred of Jews, by hatred of Muslims, and anyone else who is not exactly like them. According to the Southern Poverty Law Center, sadly, there are some 784 active hate groups in the United States and the number of those groups is growing. Let me express my agreement with NAACP President Cornell Williams Brooks that ''we need vigorous prosecution and vigorous investigation of these hate groups and the resources to do so.'' I call upon the FBI to do just that.

About 50 years ago, as a student at the University of Chicago, I was arrested in a civil rights demonstration to end segregated schools. I was also involved in helping to end segregated housing in Chicago. It is clear to me that over that period of time this Nation has come a very long way, but it is also clear to me—and I think to the majority of our people—that we still have a long way to go.

I will conclude by reminding my fellow Americans about those great words that appeared in the Declaration of Independence, that moment in history when the Colonies broke off from the British: ''We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men''—and we would add women—''are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness.'' That is the dream of America, that is our vision, and that is a goal we must obtain. The tragedy in Charleston reminds us how far we yet have to go.

I thank the Presiding Officer, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## SALTS ACT

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I come to the floor today to speak about a major problem across my State—the Presiding Officer has seen it in Iowa—and across the country, and that is the scourge of synthetic drugs.

We have all seen reports of people who have hurt themselves or others or who have died under the influence of dangerous drugs. This issue hit home in my State after Trevor Robinson, a 19-year-old from Blaine, MN, died after overdosing on a drug called 2C-E in 2010. I introduced a bill to outlaw 2C-E and other similar substances, and with the help of Senator GRASSLEY, as well as Senator SCHUMER, we were able to get that bill signed into law. But there is so much more that needs to be done, as we have learned since we passed that bill.

Here is one recent example. Law enforcement officials in Florida and throughout the country are dealing with a synthetic drug called Flakka. This extremely dangerous drug has been linked to hallucinations and other bizarre behavior. We are always trying to stay one step ahead of these new and dangerous compounds because the way the law works now, we have to keep adding new compounds. So what happens is that the crooks who are manufacturing these drugs—the drug leaders, the people who are running these drug rings—are actually just changing the compounds up so they are different, and they are staying one step ahead of the law in that way.

Before I was elected to the Senate, I spent 8 years serving as chief prosecutor in Minnesota's largest county.

Drug cases made up about one-third of our caseload, and I had an opportunity to see firsthand the devastating impacts of drug addiction.

Recent statistics have shown that almost half of all high school students have used addictive substances, and synthetic drugs are a growing problem in Minnesota and across the country. A recent survey of 15,000 Minnesota high school students found that 26 percent have used illegal drugs, and of that group, 12 percent have used synthetic drugs.

The problem with synthetic drugs, which we have realized as I have done events with law enforcement in places such as Fargo and in places such as the suburbs of Minneapolis, is that many times people who buy these synthetic drugs get much worse drugs than the actual substance. They get much harder-core drugs, much more difficult drugs—drugs that cause them to hallucinate and drugs that cause them to either kill themselves or to hurt others. That is why I have reintroduced bipartisan legislation with Senators GRAHAM, FEINSTEIN, and GRASSLEY that would make it easier to prosecute the sale and distribution of new synthetic drugs that are analogues—or substantially similar to current illegal drugs.

What we are looking at is the fact that the people who sell these drugs or manufacture them just keep changing a compound here or there so they can skirt the law. What we are trying to do with this bill is to make it easier to prosecute the new drugs that are substantially similar. The Supreme Court actually very recently issued a decision in McFadden focused on the mens rea standard in analogue drug cases.

My bill, the Synthetic Abuse and Labeling of Toxic Substances or SALTS Act is focused instead on the underlying factors for what makes something an analogue drug. Why do we need this new legislation? Because expert chemists are able to slightly alter the chemical makeup of synthetic drugs so they are no longer on the list of banned substances. To address this, current law provides the DEA with the mechanism to prosecute the sale and distribution of drugs that are analogues—analogues—that are substantially similar to controlled substances. However, the law specifically says that an analogue drug does not include any substance ''not intended for human consumption.'' This can be a big problem because synthetic drugs often are explicitly marked as ''not intended for human consumption.'' But manufacturers, distributors, sellers, and abusers of these substances all know exactly what to do with them—ingest them or snort them to get a dangerous and many times unpredictable high.

The SALTS Act amends the Controlled Substances Act to allow consideration of a number of factors when determining whether a controlled substance analogue was intended for human consumption, including looking at the marketing, advertising, and labeling of a substance and its known use. That is a much more honest way to look at what is actual consumption. You don't just look at the fact that there is a label on it that says it because that is what the drug dealers do to protect themselves. Instead what you do is you look at what is actually going on here. You look at the marketing, advertising, and the labeling of a substance and its known use.

The bill also says the existence of some pieces of evidence that a substance was not marketed, advertised or labeled for human consumption should not stop prosecutors from being able to establish, based on all the evidence—the totality of the evidence—that the substance was, in fact, intended for human consumption.

New synthetic drugs constantly come onto the market. We need to give our law enforcement agencies the tools they need to combat them. This legislation will make it easier for prosecutors to demonstrate that a given synthetic drug is, in fact, intended for human consumption. We know that it is going on. We know that is why these guys are selling it over the Internet. They are trying to get around the law. They have actually been quite successful, causing many deaths, many people hurt, many people addicted.

So all this does is get to the facts. Is this really being used for human consumption or not? This legislation is going to make it easier for prosecutors to demonstrate with the totality of circumstances and not just the label that says it is not intended for human consumption—but looking at how it is sold, what it is used for, to make it easier to meet that standard. That is the only way we are going to go after these guys who are constantly changing the compounds to get around the law.

I would also like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts, since we are talking about synthetic drugs, of the outgoing Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, my fellow Minnesotan, Michelle Leonhart. Administrator Leonhart has had a long career in law enforcement, serving with the DEA since 1980 and as Administrator since 2010. She started her career back in Minnesota and has served in the DEA since, for a very long time, over 30 years.

I would especially like to thank the Administrator for her work on the prescription drug take-back issue. During her tenure, the DEA has coordinated a series of national events that have collected over 2,400 tons of unused prescription drugs—2,400 tons. That is, by the way, why we worked with the Administrator—Senator CORNYN and I—to develop legislation which passed to make it easier for take-out programs, to do them more routinely, but meanwhile 2,400 tons were collected. These events are critical in preventing drug abuse and overdoses and getting old medicines out of the cabinet where people who are not prescribed them sometimes take them. I want to thank Administrator Leonhart for her law enforcement career.

Thank you, Madam President.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CARPER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

## NOMINATION OF PETER V. NEFFENGER TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY

## NOMINATION OF DANIEL R. ELLIOTT III TO BE A MEMBER OF THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to consider the following nominations en bloc, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nominations of Peter V. Neffenger, of Ohio, to be an Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security; and Daniel R. Elliott III, of Ohio, to be a Member of the Surface Transportation Board for a term expiring December 31, 2018.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, there will be 30 minutes for debate, equally divided in the usual form.

The Senator from Delaware.

Mr. CARPER. Madam President, I am delighted to serve on at least one committee with the Presiding Officer, and we have had the opportunity of late to have a number of folks come before us who have been nominated to serve. One of those is Coast Guard VADM Peter Neffenger, and I am delighted today to rise in strong support of Admiral Neffenger to serve as the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration, affectionately known as TSA.

The women and men of TSA work in a very challenging environment to keep our aviation system and those of us who use it safe and secure. The mission is made all the more challenging by the two difficult and diametrically opposed tasks that we ask them to perform. On the one hand, we ask the TSA to screen some 1.8 million passengers and their luggage every day, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, without allowing

a single dangerous individual—not one—or dangerous item to get through. On the other hand, we ask TSA to perform the screening as fast as possible so that travelers do not miss their flights, luggage and cargo get to their destination on time, and everybody is happy. That is what we ask them to do.

TSA's job is, on most days, a thankless one, for which the Agency's employees are rarely commended but often criticized. Can TSA do a better job? You bet they can. We all can do a better job. We can do a better job in the Senate.

A couple of weeks ago in the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, for example, we heard from the Department of Homeland Security's inspector general about several troubling security vulnerabilities at our airports. The IG's findings were more than troubling. They were unacceptable.

TSA can and must do better, but it is not all on them. We can help. Our Presiding Officer has oftentimes heard me talk about Home Depot: You can do it. We can help. The same is true here. TSA and employees can do it. We can help. We have an obligation to do that.

One of the ways we can help them do their jobs better is by voting in support of the President's nominee for TSA Administrator, Admiral Peter Neffenger. Admiral Neffenger has served as a commissioned officer in the Coast Guard since 1982, assuming the position of Vice Commandant in May of 2014. Throughout his nearly 34-year career in the U.S. Coast Guard, Admiral Neffenger has displayed exceptional leadership skills and the will to confront big challenges. These qualities will be very important if he is confirmed—and I hope he will be—as our next TSA Administrator.

Let me just take a moment if I can to share with my colleagues a few things that I learned about the admiral during the nominating process. First, Admiral Neffenger has a clear vision for TSA. He said the agency must strive to be an intelligence driven, risk-based counterterrorism agency.

Second, he has acknowledged the difficult challenges facing TSA today but, more importantly, he is committed to addressing them head on and striving for perfection. Finally, I learned that he is committed to working with Congress, with the inspector general, with GAO—the Government Accountability Office—and with the stakeholders to improve TSA.

But you don't have to take my word for it. Admiral Neffenger has received the support of all three former Secretaries of Homeland Security. One former Secretary of DHS, my old friend Tom Ridge, said the nominee's ''experience is broad, his reputation superb, and his commitment to public service profound and unquestionable.'' After meeting with and getting to know Admiral Neffenger, I could not agree more.

(Mr. JOHNSON assumed the Chair.)

I thank Chairman THUNE and Ranking Member NELSON, who is here on the floor today, of the committee on commerce for working closely with our committee. The current Presiding Officer of our session here is our chairman of homeland security. I thank all of you for working closely with our committee on Admiral Neffenger's nomination. I thank Chairman JOHNSON and his staff for acting swiftly on this nomination so that it could be considered by the Senate today.

In less than 2 weeks, we will celebrate the 239th anniversary of our Nation's independence. On the days surrounding that celebration, millions of Americans will be traveling to spend time with their families and friends. We owe it to each of them to have a permanent, Senate-confirmed TSA Administrator in place. The President has given us a great name, a good man, and a good leader, and I urge my colleagues to join me in voting today for Peter Neffenger.

With that, Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida.

TRAGEDY IN SOUTH CAROLINA

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, before I speak about the two nominees who are before us this afternoon, I feel compelled to make a couple of brief comments about the tragedy that occurred in South Carolina. Sometimes it is difficult to understand why there still seems to be so much hatred in the world.

I remember the President and First Lady of Rwanda telling my wife and me what had happened that led up to that genocide back years ago in which 1 million people were hacked to death with machetes because of the enmity and hatred between two tribes, where people didn't think of themselves as Rwandan, they thought of themselves as Hutu or Tutsi. And that enmity, that rivalry turned into hatred, and the hatred was spurred on by hate-talk over the airwaves. So we know about that sad chapter of two peoples who did unimaginable things, and here we see this continues.

I am reminded—because it is emblazoned in my mind's eye—of three decades ago and looking out the window of our spacecraft back at Earth. From that perspective, when you look back at Earth, which is so beautiful and so colorful, so creative as it is suspended in the middle of nothing, you don't see racial divisions, you don't see religious divisions, and you don't see ethnic divisions. What you see is this beautiful creation. My mind's eye carries that view constantly and that reminder that we are all in this together. Yet, on the face of the Earth, we always want to divide; we always want to separate; we want to say: You are different than I, and, as a result, I am going to take it out on you. The great genius of America is that we have overcome a lot of that by assimilating people of different colors and different races and different creeds and different backgrounds and different religions all together so that we think of ourselves as Americans first. In the world in which the Presiding Officer and I live—the world of politics—we have had a lot of that divisiveness, and we ought to be thinking of ourselves as Americans instead of as Republicans or Democrats.

This tragedy has riveted the Nation. It has riveted the Nation also on the question of the battle flag of the Confederacy.

This Senator's great-great grandfather, at the time of the Battle of Marianna, was well past 50 years. So he had not fought in the Civil War, but he was conscripted by the Home Guards to go into the Battle of Marianna, where he was taken prisoner and ended up in the northern prisoner-of-war camp, where so many of the prisoners died, in Elmira, NY. He probably survived because that winter that killed so many—the winter of 1864–1865—because he was past 50 years old, they probably did not put him in one of those cotton tents on the hillside where disease and cold took over.

But why should we attach our allegiance to a flag that represents separation instead of embracing ''out of many, one''; ''In God We Trust''; ''e pluribus unum''—''out of many, one''?

It was announced in the press this afternoon that the Governor of South Carolina said: Let's take that battle flag down from the capitol grounds in Columbia, SC, and put it in a museum.

We will see the ensuing fight that occurs with regard to the legislature and changing the law. It was a few years ago that a very courageous Republican Governor led the effort to take that battle flag off the top of the capitol in South Carolina and put it at that Confederate monument still on the capitol grounds. That courageous Republican Governor lost his next election as a result of that.

So it is time for us to move on. It is time for us to start thinking about unity and coming together. As the Good Book says, come, let us reason together.

Those are the remarks I wanted to make.

I wish to speak about our two nominees.

The nominee for TSA whom the Senator from Delaware just spoke about, Coast Guard VADM Peter Neffenger, has obviously had a distinguished career. His reputation precedes him, with 34 years in a variety of capacities. He has expertise in critical areas of crisis management and port security, which will serve him well as the head of TSA, and I believe the Senate will confirm him today. He was involved in that disastrous oilspill in the gulf. He was the national incident commander and he helped lead that emergency response. We are still seeing the results of that spill, those of us on the gulf coast, and that disaster required coordination between all levels of government and all of its agencies, as well as the management of people and technology.

Recently, it has been pointed out, as we receive new information about the status and condition of that ruptured well, the incident command had to weigh the risk and make difficult choices with a lot of incomplete information. Well, he exhibited strong leadership then, and I believe he will give that leadership to an agency which needs that strong leadership now.

The next nominee we will consider is Daniel Elliott to be a member of the Surface Transportation Board. That is an important agency which helps ensure we have a strong and efficient rail network to move goods throughout the United States.

We know how vital the railroad industry is to our economy and getting goods to market. We have to do that, and we can't do it with just trucks. We need the bulk of the materials to be carried on the rails. Decisions made by the Surface Transportation Board have long-lasting impacts on our Nation's economic competitiveness, and that is why last week the Senate passed the Surface Transportation Board Reauthorization Act of 2015—to make the agency more efficient and effective.

We need individuals who are qualified to serve, and Daniel Elliott is such an individual. Earlier this year, he was nominated to be reappointed as a member of the Board. He previously served as Chairman. He also has had a great deal of experience as an attorney, including close to two decades litigating in the transportation sector. I ask the Senate to join in and support Mr. Elliott's nomination.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oklahoma.

Mr. LANKFORD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to address the Senate as in morning business for 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

KING V. BURWELL DECISION

Mr. LANKFORD. Mr. President, in the next couple of days, the Supreme Court is going to rule on a case that will have a long-lasting impact not only on just what health care is going on in this country but a long-lasting impact on how the law is to be interpreted. This is a law called the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. It was hurried through Congress before anyone had time to read it, and it contained multiple mistakes and contradictions.

Already this administration has unilaterally changed this law over 30 times to try to make it work, including completely rewriting a section about who gets the subsidies and who lives underneath the mandates. The law says the States that set up an exchange as a State exchange are under the subsidies and also have those mandates, but the administration claims that, no, it was intended for everyone.

Within days, the Supreme Court will release their opinion on this matter in a case called King v. Burwell and basically answer this one question: Does the law mean what the law says or does the law mean what the administration interprets it to mean?

This is not a political problem; this is a health care problem for millions of people. These days, the discussion seems to circle around on who is to blame. Well, people and families were hurt in the ObamaCare chaos because of the way this law was written. They are not worried about blame; they are worried about the issues facing their family in the days ahead. I have the obligation to do whatever I can to protect the people of my State from the harmful effects of this law, and there are many.

The people in my State distinctly heard people say 5 years ago: If you like your health care, you can keep it, except for the people who were forced off the State-run exchange that already existed in Oklahoma and were pushed out—ObamaCare, that is 5 years old, came after Insure Oklahoma, which is 10 years old—except for the people who have higher deductibles in my State, except for the people who now have higher premiums in my State. In Oklahoma this year, the requested rate increase for health care is between 11 and 45 percent, depending on the plan and the county you live in. This year's rate increase is between 11 and 45 percent.

In addition, physician-owned hospitals are trapped in time, not allowed to grow larger than what they were 5 years ago. Many people in my State like the physician-owned hospitals, and they want to see it succeed, instead of being slowly bled to death.

People struggle to find a job in places in my State because of this 40-hour requirement that hangs over them. They now have to find two jobs, each having about 28 hours, so they can keep up the amount of pay. Those individuals were hurt in this process.

Higher premium costs in the plans will soon come to those in unions because they have too good of health care insurance. In the short days ahead, union members who have premium health care policies will now get a penalty for having insurance that is too good for this administration.

By next year, the Independent Payment Advisory Board kicks off its work. Its sole responsibility is to find areas to be able to save money by cutting options for patients.

This is not a mess that can be fixed with one sentence—unless that one sentence says ''the bill is repealed.''

So how do we solve this in the days ahead? Let me lay out a couple of ideas before the Senate because very soon we are going to be confronted with this when the Supreme Court actually responds.

First, do the basic things: Do no harm and stop the existing harm. We need to transition out of the subsidies and mandates of ObamaCare for millions of people who will lose their subsidy when the Court rules in favor of the American people and the law of the United States—the clear text reading of the law.

Those individuals who were forced into ObamaCare are not the problem. We are not angry at those individuals. They are trapped in a mess that was made around them that they were forced into.

I will never forget a conversation I had with a Democrat in my State who was participating in a plan called Insure Oklahoma—who liked their insurance plan. It was a subsidized plan from our State. They pulled me aside 5 years ago and said: Is there any way I can keep the State-based plan I have now? And all I could do is look at him and say, no, you can't, actually, and that is not my decision. The Affordable Care Act which was passed and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services and HHS forced the people in my State out of a State-based solution for health care and into the larger national solution. Many Oklahomans lost their health care coverage and were forced out of it. It was already a subsidized system, and now they were taken from one plan and pushed into another. Let's do no harm, and let's try to help those individuals to be able to find their way back to a plan they like and help in that transition.

The second thing is pretty straightforward: States should have the freedom to choose any path to help their citizens. States should not have to check in with the Federal Government to ask permission to take care of their neighbors and citizens. How ridiculous is that; that a State leadership would have to go to the Federal Government to say we want to develop a plan to be able to help our own citizens, and the Federal Government says, no, they have to check in with us instead.

This is basically a repeal option for all 50 States. For those States that like it, we would say, if you like your ObamaCare, you can keep it, and for all the States that don't, they have their own way out to be able to take care of their own citizens.

The tax money that is being supplemented for those came from those States. Why shouldn't it be returned to those States and give the States the ability to be able to speak to that issue for their own citizens. We have to stop this mentality that only the people of Washington, DC, love the individuals in each State and want to care for them and be able to manage what is happening in that State. That State leadership deeply cares about their own citizens. Let's let them step up and lead.

Third is probably the clearest of all of them: People should have the freedom to choose any health care plan they want. What a radical idea, to actually hand people freedom, to hand people opportunities. Free of the mandates and the penalties, patients should be able to pick their own doctor and their own plan for their own family.

I have to say, it is ironic. I hear people call this law either ObamaCare or the Affordable Care Act. I am fascinated with that because the law's name is the ''Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.'' Over the last 5 years, the words ''patient protection'' seem to have disappeared from every part of everyone's vernacular in this. I would only have to say, I agree.

When did we stop saying to the patient: You have no ability to make your own choices. I will tell you when. When ObamaCare passed and everything became about affordable rather than about patient. We have seen the consequences of this.

In the days ahead, the Supreme Court will rule on this, and I believe strongly they are going to rule for the plain text of the law, not just about ObamaCare but because they have to make the decision as the Supreme Court: Does the law mean what the law says or can any administration on any law in the future reinterpret it based on their preferences?

If there is one area that would be a great path for us to follow, it is in the days ahead that we get back to the government is about the law, and we follow the law because we are a nation of laws, not just a nation of leaders. The law is to be king in our Nation.

So let's interpret it the way it is written and let's give people back the freedom they want and need. Let's put the patient back in health care. That is the next step I think we should take in this U.S. Senate.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that all time be yielded back.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

All time is yielded back.

VOTE ON NEFFENGER NOMINATION

The question occurs on the Neffenger nomination.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Peter V. Neffenger, of Ohio, to be an Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security?

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

Mr. CORNYN. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BLUNT), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. COATS), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. CORKER), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPO), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. KIRK), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Alaska (Ms. MURKOWSKI), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. THUNE), and the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. TOOMEY).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN) would have voted ''yea.''

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. FRANKEN), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER) are necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 81, nays 1, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 217 Ex.]

YEAS—81

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Alexander | Feinstein | Murphy |
| Ayotte | Fischer | Murray |
| Baldwin | Flake | Nelson |
| Barrasso | Gardner | Paul |
| Bennet | Gillibrand | Perdue |
| Blumenthal | Grassley | Peters |
| Booker | Hatch | Portman |
| Boozman | Heinrich | Reed |
| Boxer | Heitkamp | Reid |
| Brown | Heller | Risch |
| Burr | Hirono | Roberts |
| Cantwell | Inhofe | Sanders |
| Capito | Isakson | Schatz |
| Cardin | Johnson | Schumer |
| Carper | Kaine | Sessions |
| Casey | King | Shaheen |
| Cassidy | Klobuchar | Shelby |
| Cochran | Lankford | Stabenow |
| Collins | Leahy | Sullivan |
| Coons | Manchin | Tillis |
| Cornyn | Markey | Udall |
| Cotton | McCain | Vitter |
| Daines | McCaskill | Warner |
| Donnelly | McConnell | Warren |
| Durbin | Merkley | Whitehouse |
| Enzi | Mikulski | Wicker |
| Ernst | Moran | Wyden |

NAYS—1

Sasse

NOT VOTING—18

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Blunt | Graham | Rounds |
| Coats | Hoeven | Rubio |
| Corker | Kirk | Scott |
| Crapo | Lee | Tester |
| Cruz | Menendez | Thune |
| Franken | Murkowski | Toomey |

The nomination was confirmed.

VOTE ON ELLIOTT NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Daniel R. Elliott III, of Ohio, to be a Member of the Surface Transportation Board for a term expiring December 31, 2018?

The nomination was confirmed.

VOTE EXPLANATION

● Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. President, I was necessarily absent for rollcall vote No. 217 and the voice vote that followed. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows: rollcall vote No. 217, the confirmation of Peter V. Neffenger to be an Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security, I would have voted yea; on the voice vote, the confirmation of Daniel R. Elliott III to be a member of the Surface Transportation Board, I would have voted yea.●

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motions to reconsider are considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

## LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume legislative session.

The Senator from Arizona.

## MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Ohio.

## KING V. BURWELL DECISION

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, nearly 12 million Americans, including 500,000 Iowans—more than that, actually—now have access to affordable health coverage because of the Affordable Care Act, and many for the first time in their lives.

We know what the health care law has meant in Ohio and across the country. Patients can't be dropped from coverage or charged higher rates just because they got sick. Also, 97,000 young Ohioans have been able to stay on their parents' health insurance until their 26th birthday, giving them the chance to focus on careers, education, and future plans. Lifetime insurance caps are no longer bankrupting people with chronic conditions. Those with preexisting conditions, such as children with diabetes and asthma, will no longer be denied coverage or charged higher premiums.

But despite all of these successes, the Supreme Court of the United States is currently considering a case that can take affordable health care away from hundreds of thousands of Ohioans, tens of thousands in the State of Oklahoma, and millions of Americans.

In Ohio alone, 161,000 people are at risk of losing access to affordable health coverage in the King v. Burwell decision that the Court will soon hand down. These Ohioans receive an annual subsidy of about $240 a month to help them purchase private insurance plans. That is an average of nearly $3,000 per person per year. Hard-working families stand to lose even more.

Taking away those subsidies—as many of my Republican colleagues have pushed the Court to do—would amount to a massive tax increase on Ohioans already struggling to get by. These same Republican colleagues have not come up with a workable solution if the Court rules their way. They have pushed this case all the way to the Supreme Court only to leave 161,000 Ohioans and nearly 12 million Americans without access to affordable coverage.

We know what this new access to health insurance has meant for families in my State. Let me read from a couple of letters.

This spring I met with Jumaane Cook from Parma Heights and his 5-year-old son James. Jumaane is 34 years old and has lived in Ohio for most of his life. He became insured for the first time in his adult life just last year when he purchased insurance for himself and his son on the Federal exchange.

Jumaane was a college athlete but he tore his ACL in 2007. His injury left him unable to play sports, and his lack of insurance left him unable to afford treatment. Jumaane came to Washington to tell us how important the Affordable Care Act and his subsidy are to him and to his son. Because his employer doesn't offer health insurance, without the Federal subsidy Jumaane would be forced to go without insurance again and his son would have to go on public assistance.

Jumaane is not alone.

Rachael in Cincinnati wrote to me when the Supreme Court decided to hear the Burwell case. She and her husband ''have insured ourselves through individual insurance since 2008. It has been difficult and, at times, we have had to go without insurance simply due to the incredibly high cost of insurance in our area. We have paid our premiums every month. I will be fit-to-betied if I have to look for health insurance again. I was disappointed with what I could afford on the Federal exchange. And, I fear I will not be able to afford any insurance if I lose my subsidy.''

Lisa in Athens wrote:

> I have been reviewing plans on Healthcare.gov. At my age—over 60, but not Medicare-eligible—the premiums are high, even for the Bronze plans. It concerns me that a court may rule that the subsidies are not available to those who access the Federal exchange.

That is why these subsidies exist—to help people such as Lisa afford coverage, regardless of where they live.

Jim from Streetsboro, near Akron, wrote, saying that he is ''62, drawing a pension, on Social Security, and paying COBRA health care to the tune of $1,200 a month.'' Jim is looking forward to buying cheaper insurance coverage through the Federal exchange, which he should be able to afford. He wrote: ''At least that was the plan until someone decided to try and derail the ACA for the umpteenth time. If things go bad with this decision, please do all you can to remember those who have worked their entire lives''—to remember those who have worked their entire lives.

What the Supreme Court could do, and what clearly most Republican Members of this body and the House of Representatives want the Supreme Court to do, is to strike this part of this law down so that 161,000 Ohioans will either lose their insurance by losing their subsidy or pay increased fees—taxes in the years ahead.

Connie in Cincinnati wrote to me after the lower court decision came down. She said the ACA has been ''a Godsend'' for her. Connie wrote:

> I believe strongly in the importance of having health care and have recently qualified for a catastrophic health plan with tax credits on healthcare.gov.
>
> As you know, Ohio is one of those States that opted out of establishing its own State plans. That was not a problem until yesterday. Now, facing a plan that may be ineligible for the federal tax credit, I face a dire financial situation.

Connie says:

> I have willingly paid my fair share of taxes throughout my life. My tax dollars helped bail out banks and automobile corporations. I need my government to look out for me.

The Supreme Court needs to hear from people such as Connie and Jim and Jumaane and Lisa and Rachael. These are hard-working Ohioans, most of whom have worked their entire life, but, unfortunately for them, have not worked for a company that has provided affordable health care. They have spent large chunks of their paychecks on health care, even with Federal subsidies. The Supreme Court should remember that before it takes away affordable coverage. That is a lifeline to these Ohioans and to so many millions of Americans.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## RECOGNIZING THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE MS. SENIOR NEVADA PAGEANT

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize Ms. Senior Nevada, Inc., on the organization's 30th anniversary.

Since it was founded in 1985, Ms. Senior Nevada, Inc., has honored the talents and accomplishments of senior women throughout the State of Nevada. The first Ms. Senior Nevada Pageant was held in 1986 at the former Sahara Hotel and Casino. At the time, only one contestant from each county was able to compete in the pageant. Today, the Ms. Senior Nevada Pageant is open to all women in Nevada who are age 60 or older, to celebrate the ''age of elegance.''

The contestants in the Ms. Senior Nevada pageant must demonstrate their strengths in four areas: talent, evening gown, philosophy of life, and an interview. The winner of the pageant represents Nevada on the national stage at the Ms. Senior America pageant. During her reigning year, Ms. Senior Nevada travels throughout the State to discuss a variety of issues affecting senior citizens and promotes her platform. Past Ms. Senior Nevada platforms have included ''for the good of seniors'' and ''responsible pet ownership.''

I commend Ms. Senior Nevada, Inc., on recognizing exceptional senior women in the Silver State for 30 years, and I applaud their service to the State of Nevada.

## RECOGNIZING WENLIANG WANG

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize entrepreneur and philanthropist Wenliang Wang for his commitment and dedication to restoring one of the world's most impressive wetlands, the Dandong Yalu River Estuary Wetland in China.

Mr. Wang is well-known in his country as the founder and chairman of the China Rilin Construction Group, which is among the largest private construction companies in China. Rilin is also the owner and operator of the Port of Dandong, a vital trading hub in Liaoning Province located in northeast China. Though Mr. Wang has important business ties to this region, it is his private efforts and personal connection to the area that has influenced him to invest millions of dollars in the restoration of the Dandong Yalu River Estuary Wetland.

Not far from Dandong Port, sit the Dandong wetlands. Bird watchers, scientists, and outdoor enthusiasts travel from around the world to Liaoning to study the area and watch the native species and migratory birds, including those that fly from New Zealand to Alaska each year. Mr. Wang recognized the significance of safeguarding and enhancing these wetlands. With his support, restoration work to revitalize the fields, shrimp pond, and tidal basin has made this important migratory destination a sanctuary for all of the species that depend on the wetland.

Today, the Dandong wetlands are among the most inhabited wetlands in the world, which includes feeding and resting areas for hundreds of thousands of migrating and wading birds, such as the rare saunders' gull. It is my understanding that there are approximately 14,000 of these birds left in the world and more than 1,300 have made the wetland their home.

I applaud Mr. Wang for his commitment to protecting the internationally significant Dandong Yalu River Estuary Wetland and wish him the very best in his continued efforts to protect our environment and restore these important sites.

# ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

## RECOGNIZING ARKANSAS POWER LINEMEN

• Mr. COTTON. Mr. President, I would like to recognize 12 Arkansas power linemen who recently traveled to Guatemala as volunteers with the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association's International Foundation to put into action cooperative principle number 6—cooperation among cooperatives. On their recent trip, Doug Evans, Kyle Metcalf, Andy Caywood, Michael Counts, Andy Ward, Brent Hufstedler, Kirk Kempson, Kris Rankin, Joey

Burk, Paul Garrison, Ryan Hayes, and Will Glover donated their time and expertise to help those less fortunate.

Over 19 days, these men built an electric distribution system that extended 4 miles to the agricultural communities of Jolom Ijix and Zapotal. Their work connected homes to an electric grid powered by a small hydroelectric plant, helping bring electricity to these communities for the first time. Electricity plays a critical role in providing health care, education, access to clean water, and economic opportunity, and I am proud to have these Arkansans help the people of Jolom Ijix and Zapotal along the path towards long-lasting growth.

These 12 men and the many other volunteers from electric co-ops in Arkansas have traveled to Guatemala for rural electrification projects in recent years. Their compassion and spirit represent the best of our State. As a result of their efforts, the residents of these Guatemalan communities will see an immeasurable improvement in their quality of life. These volunteers, like others before them, have created a lasting impact on regions that need help the most.●

## NATIVE AMERICAN CODE TALKERS

● Mr. DAINES. Mr. President, I wish to recognize four American heroes who exemplify the best Montana has to offer: Samson Birdinground, Cyril Not Afraid, Barney Old Coyote and Henry Old Coyote.

These men selflessly served our country during World War II by offering up the Apsaalooké Crow, language as part of a national ''code-talk'' program. This program used the unique style of several Native American languages to transmit and receive military intelligence, providing crucial communication to forces overseas.

These four brave gentlemen sacrificed much to defend their homeland during the war. Using skilled knowledge of the Apsaalooké; tongue, they worked closely with American defense forces. The beautiful complexity of the Crow language allowed it to be processed without fear of enemy breach, leading the program to successfully save countless lives.

All four heroes were recently awarded Congress' most prestigious honor: the Congressional Gold Medal. Their families will ceremoniously accept the medals on behalf of their forefathers this week.

Apsaalooké, or Crow, Nation has a rich heritage of members going above and beyond the call of duty, and these men are decidedly no exception. We Montanans are proud of our diverse heritage, and it is truly an honor to celebrate individuals who so humbly embody the spirit of patriotism.●

## RECOGNIZING DR. PAUL HOMMERT

● Mr. HEINRICH. Mr. President, I wish to recognize Dr. Paul Hommert on his retirement. I have had the pleasure of working with Paul on a number of occasions during his tenure with Sandia National Laboratories. I greatly appreciate and respect his professionalism, knowledge, and dedication as the director of Sandia National Laboratories and president of Sandia Corporation. Paul has given a great deal to this Nation and to its defense. The importance of his work directly involves the complex threats facing our country focusing on national security and technology innovation.

Paul began his career with Sandia as a member of the technical staff in 1976 and then gradually moved to positions of increased responsibility in a broad range of programs and management assignments. He initially led programs supporting energy research, and from the mid- to late-1990s, he was director of engineering sciences. Later in his career, Dr. Hommert became the Director of Research and Applied Science at the Atomic Weapons Establishment in the United Kingdom, where he led the science and engineering organization responsible for the United Kingdom's nuclear deterrent. From 2003 to 2006, Dr. Hommert led the Applied Physics Division at Los Alamos National Laboratory. The division was responsible for nuclear weapon design and assessment, weapon performance code development, and weapon science support. Dr. Hommert returned to Sandia to become vice president of Sandia's California site, a position he held until 2009, when he transitioned to Sandia's main site in Albuquerque to become Executive Vice President and Deputy Laboratories Director for the Nuclear Weapons Program. In July 2010, Dr. Hommert became the Director of Sandia National Laboratories and President of Sandia Corporation.

Throughout his distinguished career, Paul has received numerous awards, such as the Outstanding Alumnus Award for Professional Excellence from Purdue's School of Mechanical Engineering, and a Distinguished Engineering Alumni Award from Purdue's College of Engineering. In addition, Dr. Hommert was named Laboratory Director of the Year in 2013 by the Federal Laboratory Consortium for his support of Sandia's technology transfer activities. This award recognizes federal laboratories and their industry partners for outstanding technology transfer efforts and has become one of the most prestigious honors in technology transfer. Paul has represented our country with integrity and honor, and it is my privilege to thank him for his contribution to our nation's security. I wish him best of luck, continued happiness, and offer my congratulations on his retirement.●

## MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Pate, one of his secretaries.

## EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The messages received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

At 3:02 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 160. An act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the excise tax on medical devices.

## MEASURES READ THE FIRST TIME

The following bill was read the first time:

H.R. 160. An act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the excise tax on medical devices.

## EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC–1973. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Sethoxydim; Pesticide Tolerances'' (FRL No. 9928–20) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–1974. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Di-n-butyl carbonate; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance'' (FRL No. 9928–63–OCSPP) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–1975. A communication from the Administrator, Rural Housing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Reserve Account'' (RIN0575–AC99) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–1976. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Vice Admiral Michael J. Connor, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–1977. A communication from the Assistant Director, Senior Executive Management Office, Department of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Under Secretary of

Defense (Legislative Affairs), Department of Defense, received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–1978. A communication from the Assistant Director, Senior Executive Management Office, Department of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Deputy Chief Management Officer, Department of Defense, received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–1979. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of ten (10) officers authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of rear admiral or rear admiral (lower half), as indicated, in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–1980. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report relative to the national emergency that was originally declared in Executive Order 12938 of November 14, 1994; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1981. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; South Carolina; Charlotte-Rock Hill; Base Year Emissions Inventory and Emissions Statements Requirements for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard'' (FRL No. 9928–88–Region 4) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1982. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Revision to the New York State Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide'' (FRL No. 9929–11–Region 2) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1983. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Update of the Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets and General Conformity Budgets for the Scranton/Wilkes-Barre 1997 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard Maintenance Area'' (FRL No. 9929–07–Region 3) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1984. A communication from the Chair of the Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ''Report to Congress on Medicaid and CHIP'' to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1985. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–017); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1986. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–024); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1987. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–026); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1988. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to sections 36(c) and 36(d) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–047); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1989. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–056); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1990. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(d) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–030); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1991. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–038); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1992. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–037); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1993. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–042); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1994. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 14–149); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1995. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–033); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1996. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Admiral James A. Winnefeld, Jr., United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–1997. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting proposed legislation entitled ''Modernization of Military Retirement System''; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–1998. A communication from the Counsel, Legal Division, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Integrated Mortgage Disclosures Under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (Regulation X) and the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z)'' (RIN3170–AA19) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1999. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Implementation of the Australia Group (AG) November 2013 Intersessional Decisions'' (RIN0694–AG45) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–2000. A communication from the Chairman of the Appraisal Subcommittee, Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Appraisal Subcommittee's 2014 Annual Report; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–2001. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on the feasibility study that was undertaken to document the development of a project for navigation of Portsmouth Harbor and Piscataqua River, Maine and New Hampshire; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–2002. A communication from the Director, Office of Regulations and Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Sixty-Month Period of Employment Requirement for Government Pension Offset Exemption'' (RIN0960–AG50) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–2003. A communication from the Deputy Director, Office of Documents and Regulatory Management, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Removal of Obsolete Provisions'' (45 CFR Part 1) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–2004. A communication from the Deputy Director, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Summary of Benefits and Coverage and Uniform Glossary'' ((RIN0938–AS54) (CMS–9938-F)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 15, 2015; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–2005. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Summary of Benefits and Coverage and Uniform Glossary'' (RIN1210–AB69) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 15, 2015; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–2006. A communication from the Director of Regulations and Policy Management Staff, Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Listing of Color Additives Exempt from Certification; Mica-Based Pearlescent Pigments'' (Docket Nos. FDA–2014–C–1616 and FDA–2015–C–0245) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–2007. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ''Fiscal Year 2012 Annual Progress Report on the C.W. Bill Young Cell Transplantation Program and National Cord Blood Inventory Program''; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–2008. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ''Fiscal Year 2013 Annual Progress Report on the C.W. Bill Young Cell Transplantation Program and National Cord Blood Inventory

Program''; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–2009. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semi-Annual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015 and the Semi-Annual Report of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA); to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–2010. A communication from the Director, Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ''2014 Report to Congress on the Benefits and Costs of Federal Regulations and Unfunded Mandates on State, Local, and Tribal Entities''; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–2011. A communication from the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department's Semiannual Report from the Office of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015 and a report entitled ''Compendium of Unimplemented Recommendations''; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–2012. A communication from the Director, Policy and Planning Analysis, Office of Personnel Management, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Federal Employees Health Benefits Program; Rate Setting for Community-Rated Plans'' (RIN3206–AN00) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 15, 2015; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–2013. A communication from the Director, Recruitment and Hiring, Office of Personnel Management, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Designation of National Security Positions in the Competitive Service, and Related Matters'' (RIN3206–AM73) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 15, 2015; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–2014. A communication from the Executive Analyst (Political), Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, two (2) reports relative to vacancies in the Department of Health and Human Services, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

EC–2015. A communication from the Acting Director of Regulation Policy and Management, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Presumption of Herbicide Exposure and Presumption of Disability During Service For Reservists Presumed Exposed to Herbicide'' (RIN2900–AP43) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

EC–2016. A communication from the Acting Director of Regulation Policy and Management, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Delegations of Authority: Office of Regulation Policy and Management (ORPM)'' (RIN2900–AP47) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

EC–2017. A joint communication from the Deputy Secretary of Veterans Affairs and the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ''Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense Joint Executive Committee Fiscal Year 2014 Annual Report''; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

EC–2018. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations'' (RIN0648–BE83) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2019. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Small-Mesh Multispecies Specifications'' (RIN0648–BE87) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2020. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Special Management Zones for Delaware Artificial Reefs'' (RIN0648–BD42) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2021. A communication from the Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries'' (RIN0648–XD973) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2022. A communication from the Associate General Counsel for General Law, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Assistant Secretary/Administrator, Transportation Security Administration, Department of Homeland Security, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2023. A communication from the Chief of Staff, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Carriage of Digital Television Broadcast Signals: Amendment to Part 76 of the Commission's Rules'' (CS Docket No. 98–120, FCC 15–65) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 17, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2024. A communication from the Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; 2015 Commercial Accountability Measure and Closure for South Atlantic Gray Triggerfish'' (RIN0648–XD901) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–2025. A communication from the Chief of Staff, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ''Amendment to the Commission's Rules Concerning Effective Competition'' (MB Docket No. 15–53, FCC 15–62) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 12, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

## PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

The following petition or memorial was laid before the Senate and was referred or ordered to lie on the table as indicated:

POM–44. A joint resolution adopted by the Legislature of the State of Nevada urging the United States Congress to enact legislation allowing individual states to establish daylight saving time as the standard time in their respective states throughout the calendar year; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 4

Whereas, When Congress enacted The Emergency Daylight Saving Time Energy Conservation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. No. 93–182, 87 Stat. 707), it included in its findings and declarations of policy that ''various studies of governmental and nongovernmental agencies indicate that year-round daylight saving time would produce an energy saving in electrical power consumption''; and

Whereas, Congress also found and declared that ''the use of year-round daylight saving time could have other beneficial effects on the public interest, including the reduction of crime, improved traffic safety, more daylight outdoor playtime for children and youth of our Nation, [and] greater utilization of parks and recreation areas''; and

Whereas, Congress also found and declared that the use of year-round daylight saving time could result in ''expanded economic opportunity through extension of daylight hours to peak shopping hours and through extension of domestic office hours to periods of greater overlap with the European Economic Community''; now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Assembly and Senate of the State of Nevada, Jointly*, That the Nevada Legislature urges the members of Congress to enact appropriate legislation to give individual states the option of establishing daylight saving time as the standard time in their respective states throughout the calendar year; and be it further

*Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly prepare and transmit a copy of this resolution to the Vice President of the United States as the presiding officer of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives and each member of the Nevada Congressional Delegation; and be it further

*Resolved*, That this resolution becomes effective upon passage.

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. INHOFE, from the Committee on Environment and Public Works, with an amendment:

S. 544. A bill to prohibit the Environmental Protection Agency from proposing, finalizing, or disseminating regulations or assessments based upon science that is not transparent or reproducible (Rept. No. 114–69).

## INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. SESSIONS (for himself, Mr. COTTON, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. VITTER, and Mr. INHOFE):

S. 1640. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to improve immigration

law enforcement within the interior of the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. BALDWIN (for herself, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BROWN, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. KAINE, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. MORAN, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. SANDERS, and Mr. TESTER):

S. 1641. A bill to improve the use by the Department of Veterans Affairs of opioids in treating veterans, to improve patient advocacy by the Department, and to expand availability of complementary and integrative health, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. BOOZMAN (for himself, Mr. CARDIN, and Mr. TESTER):

S. 1642. A bill to reduce Federal, State, and local costs of providing high-quality drinking water to millions of people in the United States residing in rural communities by facilitating greater use of cost-effective alternative systems, including well water systems, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

## SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. WYDEN):

S. Res. 207. A resolution recognizing threats to freedom of the press and expression around the world and reaffirming freedom of the press as a priority in efforts of the United States Government to promote democracy and good governance; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. BURR (for himself, Mrs. MURRAY, and Mr. BLUMENTHAL):

S. Con. Res. 18. A concurrent resolution recongizing the daisy as the flower for military caregivers; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

## ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 33

At the request of Mr. BARRASSO, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. VITTER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 33, a bill to provide certainty with respect to the timing of Department of Energy decisions to approve or deny applications to export natural gas, and for other purposes.

S. 299

At the request of Mr. FLAKE, the names of the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER) and the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) were added as cosponsors of S. 299, a bill to allow travel between the United States and Cuba.

S. 313

At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the name of the Senator from Colorado (Mr. GARDNER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 313, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to add physical therapists to the list of providers allowed to utilize locum tenens arrangements under Medicare.

S. 497

At the request of Mrs. MURRAY, the name of the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. UDALL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 497, a bill to allow Americans to earn paid sick time so that they can address their own health needs and the health needs of their families.

S. 578

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. KIRK) was added as a cosponsor of S. 578, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to ensure more timely access to home health services for Medicare beneficiaries under the Medicare program.

S. 800

At the request of Mr. ISAKSON, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 800, a bill to improve, coordinate, and enhance rehabilitation research at the National Institutes of Health.

S. 804

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the name of the Senator from Alaska (Ms. MURKOWSKI) was added as a cosponsor of S. 804, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to specify coverage of continuous glucose monitoring devices, and for other purposes.

S. 843

At the request of Mr. BROWN, the names of the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER) and the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) were added as cosponsors of S. 843, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to count a period of receipt of outpatient observation services in a hospital toward satisfying the 3-day inpatient hospital requirement for coverage of skilled nursing facility services under Medicare.

S. 901

At the request of Mr. MORAN, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. ROBERTS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 901, a bill to establish in the Department of Veterans Affairs a national center for research on the diagnosis and treatment of health conditions of the descendants of veterans exposed to toxic substances during service in the Armed Forces that are related to that exposure, to establish an advisory board on such health conditions, and for other purposes.

S. 928

At the request of Mrs. GILLIBRAND, the name of the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 928, a bill to reauthorize the World Trade Center Health Program and the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001, and for other purposes.

S. 968

At the request of Mrs. GILLIBRAND, the names of the Senator from Maine (Mr. KING), the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) were added as cosponsors of S. 968, a bill to require the Commissioner of Social Security to revise the medical and evaluation criteria for determining disability in a person diagnosed with Huntington's Disease and to waive the 24-month waiting period for Medicare eligibility for individuals disabled by Huntington's Disease.

S. 1040

At the request of Mr. HELLER, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1040, a bill to direct the Consumer Product Safety Commission and the National Academy of Sciences to study the vehicle handling requirements proposed by the Commission for recreational off-highway vehicles and to prohibit the adoption of any such requirements until the completion of the study, and for other purposes.

S. 1135

At the request of Mrs. MCCASKILL, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1135, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for fairness in hospital payments under the Medicare program.

S. 1149

At the request of Mr. VITTER, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. KIRK) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1149, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to require reporting of certain data by providers and suppliers of air ambulance services for purposes of reforming reimbursements for such services under the Medicare program, and for other purposes.

S. 1190

At the request of Mrs. CAPITO, the name of the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. WICKER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1190, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to ensure equal access of Medicare beneficiaries to community pharmacies in underserved areas as network pharmacies under Medicare prescription drug coverage, and for other purposes.

S. 1212

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1212, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Small Business Act to expand the availability of employee stock ownership plans in S corporations, and for other purposes.

S. 1347

At the request of Mr. ISAKSON, the name of the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1347, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act with respect to the treatment of patient encounters in ambulatory surgical centers in determining meaningful EHR use, and for other purposes.

S. 1362

At the request of Mr. CARPER, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. ROBERTS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1362, a bill to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to clarify waiver authority regarding programs of all-inclusive care for the elderly (PACE programs).

S. 1427

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) was added as a cosponsor of

S. 1427, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to facilitate increased coordination and alignment between the public and private sector with respect to quality and efficiency measures.

S. 1509

At the request of Mr. CARPER, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. KIRK) and the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KLOBUCHAR) were added as cosponsors of S. 1509, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for the coordination of programs to prevent and treat obesity, and for other purposes.

S. 1512

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. REED) were added as cosponsors of S. 1512, a bill to eliminate discrimination and promote women's health and economic security by ensuring reasonable workplace accommodations for workers whose ability to perform the functions of a job are limited by pregnancy, childbirth, or a related medical condition.

S. 1538

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1538, a bill to reform the financing of Senate elections, and for other purposes.

S. 1544

At the request of Mr. FLAKE, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mrs. MCCASKILL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1544, a bill to rescind unused earmarks provided for the Department of Transportation, and for other purposes.

S. RES. 200

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the names of the Senator from Hawaii (Ms. HIRONO), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. LEAHY), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 200, a resolution wishing His Holiness the 14th Dalai Lama a happy 80th birthday on July 6, 2015, and recognizing the outstanding contributions His Holiness has made to the promotion of nonviolence, human rights, interfaith dialogue, environmental awareness, and democracy.

S. RES. 204

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 204, a resolution recognizing June 20, 2015 as ''World Refugee Day''.

## SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

### SENATE RESOLUTION 207—RECOGNIZING THREATS TO FREEDOM OF THE PRESS AND EXPRESSION AROUND THE WORLD AND REAFFIRMING FREEDOM OF THE PRESS AS A PRIORITY IN EFFORTS OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT TO PROMOTE DEMOCRACY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE

Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. WYDEN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 207

Whereas Article 19 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted at Paris December 10, 1948, states that ''everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive, and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers'';

Whereas, in 1993, the United Nations General Assembly proclaimed May 3 of each year as ''World Press Freedom Day'' to celebrate the fundamental principles of freedom of the press, to evaluate freedom of the press around the world, to defend the media from attacks on its independence, and to pay tribute to journalists who have lost their lives in the exercise of their profession;

Whereas, on December 18, 2013, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution (A/RES/68/163) on the safety of journalists and the issue of impunity, which unequivocally condemns all attacks and violence against journalists and media workers, including torture, extrajudicial killings, enforced disappearances, arbitrary detention, and intimidation and harassment in both conflict and non-conflict situations;

Whereas 2015 is the 22nd anniversary of World Press Freedom Day, which focuses on the theme ''Let Journalism Thrive! Towards Better Reporting, Gender Equality, and Media Safety in the Digital Age'';

Whereas the 2015 World Press Freedom prize was awarded to Syrian journalist and human rights activist Mazen Darwish, who remains imprisoned by the Assad regime;

Whereas the Daniel Pearl Freedom of the Press Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–166; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note), which was passed by unanimous consent in the Senate and signed into law by President Barack Obama in 2010, expanded the examination of freedom of the press around the world in the annual human rights report of the Department of State;

Whereas, according to Freedom House, only approximately 14 percent of the world's inhabitants—or one in seven people—live in countries with a press ranked as ''Free'' by Freedom House;

Whereas, according to Reporters Without Borders, 69 journalists and 19 citizen journalists were killed in 2014 in connection with their collection and dissemination of news and information;

Whereas, according to the Committee to Protect Journalists, the 3 deadliest countries for journalists on assignment in 2014 were Syria, Ukraine, and Iraq;

Whereas, according to the Committee to Protect Journalists, more than 40 percent of the journalists killed in 2014 were targeted for murder and 31 percent of journalists murdered reported receiving threats first;

Whereas, according to the Committee to Protect Journalists, 650 journalists have been killed between 1992 and April 2015 without the perpetrators of such crimes facing punishment;

Whereas, according to the Committee to Protect Journalists, the 5 countries with the highest number of journalist murders that go unpunished, measured from 2004 to 2014, are Iraq, Somalia, the Philippines, Sri Lanka, and Syria;

Whereas, according to Reporters Without Borders, 853 journalists and 122 citizen journalists were arrested in 2014;

Whereas, according to the Committee to Protect Journalists, 221 journalists worldwide were in prison as of December 1, 2014;

Whereas, according to Reporters Without Borders, the 5 countries with the highest number of journalists in prison as of December 8, 2014 were China, Eritrea, Iran, Egypt, and Syria;

Whereas, according to Reporters Without Borders' 2015 World Press Freedom Index, Eritrea, North Korea, Turkmenistan, Syria, and China ranked lowest according to a range of criteria that include ''media pluralism and independence, respect for the safety and freedom of journalists, and the legislative, institutional and infrastructural environment in which the media operate'';

Whereas, according to the Committee to Protect Journalists, in 2014 Syria was the world's deadliest country for journalists for the third year in a row;

Whereas, according to the International Federation of Journalists, more than 40 journalists and media staff have been killed since January 2015;

Whereas, according to Reporters Without Borders, the Government of the Russian Federation continued to intensify its pressure on the media to bring independent news outlets under control or be throttled out of existence;

Whereas Freedom House has cited a deteriorating environment for internet freedom around the world and ranked Iran, Syria, China, Cuba, and Ethiopia as ''Not Free'' and having the worst obstacles to access, limits on content, and violations of user rights among the 65 countries and territories rated by Freedom House in 2014;

Whereas freedom of the press is absolutely essential to the creation and maintenance of free and open societies and a key component of democratic governance, the activism of civil society, and socioeconomic development; and

Whereas freedom of the press enhances public accountability, transparency, and participation: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved,* That the Senate—

(1) commemorates World Press Freedom Day by commending journalists like Mazen Darwish and others around the world for the vital role they play in supporting open and democratic societies, promoting government accountability, and strengthening civil society;

(2) expresses concern about the threats to freedom of the press and expression around the world, and pays tribute to journalists who have lost their lives carrying out their work;

(3) pays tribute to the journalists who have lost their lives carrying out their work;

(4) calls on governments abroad to implement United Nations General Assembly Resolution (A/RES/68/163), by thoroughly investigating and seeking to resolve outstanding cases of violence against journalists, including murders and kidnappings, while ensuring the protection of witnesses;

(5) condemns all actions around the world that suppress freedom of the press, including: the brutal murders of journalists by the terrorist group ISIS, violent attacks against media outlets like the French satirical magazine Charlie Hebdo, and kidnappings of

journalists and media workers in eastern Ukraine by pro-Russian militant groups;

(6) reaffirms the centrality of freedom of the press to efforts by the United States Government to support democracy, mitigate conflict, and promote good governance domestically and around the world; and

(7) calls on the President and the Secretary of State—

(A) to improve the means by which the United States Government rapidly identifies, publicizes, and responds to threats against freedom of the press around the world;

(B) to urge foreign governments to transparently investigate and bring to justice the perpetrators of attacks against journalists; and

(C) to highlight the issue of threats against freedom of the press year-round.

## SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 18—RECOGNIZING THE DAISY AS THE FLOWER FOR MILITARY CAREGIVERS

Mr. BURR (for himself, Mrs. MURRAY, and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs:

S. CON. RES. 18

Whereas military caregivers are nameless, courageous, giving individuals whose determination and sacrifices are rarely acknowledged and little-known outside of the military community;

Whereas a military caregiver provides medical care to a member of the uniformed services or veteran who suffers from a physical, mental, or emotional wound or injury;

Whereas a military caregiver is a father, mother, spouse, sibling, family member, or loved one of an injured member of the uniformed services or veteran;

Whereas since the first armed conflict of the United States, injured veterans have been cared for by family members and loved ones after returning home from combat;

Whereas since the Revolutionary War, military caregivers in the United States have tended to injured veterans as the veterans have recovered from seen and unseen wounds from combat operations;

Whereas military caregivers have shown time and time again, regardless of the conflict, that caring for those who return home is a part of the character of the United States;

Whereas many of the members of the uniformed services and veterans who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom—

(1) suffered wounds or injuries; and

(2) require assistance from a caregiver to complete either activities of daily living such as bathing, dressing, and feeding, or instrumental activities such as transportation, meal preparation, and health management;

Whereas, according to a study of military caregivers conducted by the RAND Corporation, as many as 1,000,000 spouses, parents, and children of veterans have served or are currently serving as caregivers to veterans who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom;

Whereas section 1672 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 1071 note; 122 Stat. 481) introduced an expansion of medical care available to family caregivers;

Whereas the Caregivers and Veterans Omnibus Health Services Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-163; 124 Stat. 1130) facilitated a new program for access to health insurance, mental health services, caregiver training, and respite care by family caregivers of veterans who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom;

Whereas the love and loyalty of military caregivers—

(1) endures through the hardships of extended hospital stays, multiple surgeries, and lifetimes of care; and

(2) helps create a fresh start that is hopeful even during difficult times;

Whereas the daisy is a flower that symbolizes both—

(1) loyalty to love; and

(2) new beginnings; and

Whereas there is no more appropriate representation of the devotion and determination to overcome obstacles shown every day by military caregivers than the daisy: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring),* That Congress—

(1) honors military caregivers for service and sacrifice to the United States;

(2) encourages the people of the United States—

(A) to show support to military families; and

(B) to recognize the sacrifices endured by those families in service to the United States; and

(3) recognizes the daisy as the flower for military caregivers.

## AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2070. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2065 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for himself and Mr. HATCH) to the bill H.R. 1295, to extend the African Growth and Opportunity Act, the Generalized System of Preferences, the preferential duty treatment program for Haiti, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2071. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2146, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow Federal law enforcement officers, firefighters, and air traffic controllers to make penalty-free withdrawals from governmental plans after age 50, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2072. Mr. MCCAIN (for himself and Ms. AYOTTE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2146, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2073. Mr. BROWN (for himself and Ms. WARREN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2146, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2074. Ms. WARREN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2146, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2075. Mr. PORTMAN (for himself and Ms. STABENOW) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2146, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2076. Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. BLUMENTHAL) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 91, to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to issue, upon request, veteran identification cards to certain veterans.

## TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 2070.** Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2065 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for himself and Mr. HATCH) to the bill H.R. 1295, to extend the African Growth and Opportunity Act, the Generalized System of Preferences, the preferential duty treatment program for Haiti, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title VII, add the following:

**SEC. 7_____. REPEAL OF DUPLICATIVE INSPECTION AND GRADING PROGRAM.**

(a) FOOD, CONSERVATION, AND ENERGY ACT OF 2008.—Effective June 18, 2008, section 11016 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–246; 122 Stat. 2130) is repealed.

(b) AGRICULTURAL ACT OF 2014.—Effective February 7, 2014, section 12106 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–79; 128 Stat. 981) is repealed.

(c) APPLICATION.—The Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) and the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.) shall be applied and administered as if the provisions of law struck by this section had not been enacted.

**SA 2071.** Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2146, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow Federal law enforcement officers, firefighters, and air traffic controllers to make penalty-free withdrawals from governmental plans after age 50, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

**SEC. _____. REPEAL OF DUPLICATIVE INSPECTION AND GRADING PROGRAM.**

(a) FOOD, CONSERVATION, AND ENERGY ACT OF 2008.—Effective June 18, 2008, section 11016 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–246; 122 Stat. 2130) is repealed.

(b) AGRICULTURAL ACT OF 2014.—Effective February 7, 2014, section 12106 of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–79; 128 Stat. 981) is repealed.

(c) APPLICATION.—The Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) and the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.) shall be applied and administered as if the provisions of law struck by this section had not been enacted.

**SA 2072.** Mr. MCCAIN (for himself and Ms. AYOTTE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2146, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow Federal law enforcement officers, firefighters, and air traffic controllers to make penalty-free withdrawals from governmental plans after age 50, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

**SEC. _____. REPEAL OF DUPLICATIVE PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Effective on the date of enactment of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 8701 et seq.), section 11016 of that Act (Public Law 110–246; 122 Stat. 2130) and the amendments made by that section are repealed.

(b) APPLICATION.—The Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.) and the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) shall be applied and administered as if section 11016 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–246; 122 Stat. 2130) and the amendments made by that section had not been enacted.

**SA 2073.** Mr. BROWN (for himself and Ms. WARREN) submitted an amendment

intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2146, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow Federal law enforcement officers, firefighters, and air traffic controllers to make penalty-free withdrawals from governmental plans after age 50, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

## TITLE II—TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

### Subtitle A—Application of Provisions Relating to Trade Adjustment Assistance

**SEC. 201. APPLICATION OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE.**

(a) REPEAL OF SNAPBACK.—Section 233 of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Extension Act of 2011 (Public Law 112–40; 125 Stat. 416) is repealed.

(b) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS.—Except as otherwise provided in this title, the provisions of chapters 2 through 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on December 31, 2013, and as amended by this title, shall—

(1) take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) apply to petitions for certification filed under chapter 2, 3, or 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after such date of enactment.

(c) REFERENCES.—Except as otherwise provided in this title, whenever in this title an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a provision of chapters 2 through 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, the reference shall be considered to be made to a provision of any such chapter, as in effect on December 31, 2013.

### Subtitle B—Extension of Trade Adjustment Assistance Program

**SEC. 211. EXTENSION OF TERMINATION PROVISIONS.**

Section 285 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended by striking ''2013'' each place it appears and inserting ''2020''.

**SEC. 212. TRAINING FUNDS.**

Section 236(a)(2)(A) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2296(a)(2)(A)) is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by striking ''and 2013'' and inserting ''through 2020''; and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking ''2013'' each place it appears and inserting ''2020''.

**SEC. 213. REEMPLOYMENT TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE.**

Section 246(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2318(b)(1)) is amended by striking ''2013'' and inserting ''2020''.

**SEC. 214. AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

(a) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR WORKERS.—Section 245(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2317(a)) is amended by striking ''2013'' and inserting ''2020''.

(b) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.—Section 255(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2345(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking ''$16,000,000'' and inserting ''$50,000,000'';

(2) by striking ''and 2013'' and inserting ''through 2020'';

(3) by striking ''$4,000,000'' and inserting ''$6,250,000''; and

(4) by striking ''October 1, 2013, and ending on December 31, 2013'' and inserting ''October 1, 2020, and ending on December 31, 2020''.

(c) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR COMMUNITIES.—Section 272(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2372(a)) is amended by striking ''$40,000,000'' and all that follows through ''December 31, 2010'' and inserting ''such sums as may be necessary for each of the fiscal years 2015 through 2020 and for the period beginning October 1, 2020, and ending December 31, 2020''.

(d) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FARMERS.—Section 298(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2401g(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking ''and 2013'' and inserting ''through 2020''; and

(2) by striking ''October 1, 2013, and ending on December 31, 2013'' and inserting ''October 1, 2020, and ending on December 31, 2020''.

**SEC. 215. EXTENSION OF TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE TO PUBLIC AGENCY WORKERS.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 247 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2319) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking ''The'' and inserting ''Subject to section 222(d)(5), the''; and

(B) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''or service sector firm'' and inserting '', service sector firm, or public agency''; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

''(19) The term 'public agency' means a department or agency of a State or local government or of the Federal Government, or a subdivision thereof.''.

(b) GROUP ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.—Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2272) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively;

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following:

''(c) ADVERSELY AFFECTED WORKERS IN PUBLIC AGENCIES.—A group of workers in a public agency shall be certified by the Secretary as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under this chapter pursuant to a petition filed under section 221 if the Secretary determines that—

''(1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in the public agency have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

''(2) the public agency has acquired from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with services which are supplied by such agency; and

''(3) the acquisition of services described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation.'';

(3) in subsection (d) (as redesignated), by adding at the end the following:

''(5) REFERENCE TO FIRM.—For purposes of subsections (a) and (b), the term 'firm' does not include a public agency.''; and

(4) in paragraph (2) of subsection (e) (as redesignated), by striking ''subsection (a) or (b)'' and inserting ''subsection (a), (b), or (c)''.

**SEC. 216. LIMITATIONS ON TRADE READJUSTMENT ALLOWANCES.**

(a) LIMITATIONS.—Section 233(a)(3) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2293(a)(3)) is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking ''65 additional weeks in the 78-week period'' and inserting ''78 additional weeks in the 91-week period''.

(b) PAYMENT OF TRADE READJUSTMENT ALLOWANCES TO COMPLETE TRAINING.—Section 233(f) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2293(f)) is amended by striking ''13'' each place it appears and inserting ''26''.

**SEC. 217. JOB SEARCH AND RELOCATION ALLOWANCES.**

(a) JOB SEARCH ALLOWANCES.—Section 237 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2297) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking ''Each State'' and all that follows through ''an adversely affected worker'' and inserting ''An adversely affected worker''; and

(B) by striking ''to file'' and inserting ''may file'';

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''not more than 90 percent'' and inserting ''100 percent''; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking ''$1,250'' and inserting ''$1,500''; and

(3) in subsection (c), by striking ''a State may'' and inserting ''the Secretary shall''.

(b) RELOCATION ALLOWANCES.—Section 238 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2298) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking ''Each State'' and all that follows through ''an adversely affected worker'' and inserting ''An adversely affected worker''; and

(B) by striking ''to file'' and inserting ''may file''; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''not more than 90 percent'' and inserting ''100 percent''; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking ''$1,250'' and inserting ''$1,500''.

**SEC. 218. REEMPLOYMENT TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.**

Section 246(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2318(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)(B)—

(A) in clause (ii), by striking ''$50,000'' and inserting ''$55,000''; and

(B) in clause (iii)(I), by striking ''and is not enrolled'' and inserting ''whether or not the worker is enrolled''; and

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking ''$10,000'' each place it appears and inserting ''$12,000''.

### Subtitle C—General Provisions

**SEC. 221. APPLICABILITY OF TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE PROVISIONS.**

(a) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR WORKERS.—

(1) PETITIONS FILED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2014, AND BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(A) CERTIFICATIONS OF WORKERS NOT CERTIFIED BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(i) CRITERIA IF A DETERMINATION HAS NOT BEEN MADE.—If, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Labor has not made a determination with respect to whether to certify a group of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in clause (iii), the Secretary shall make that determination based on the requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment.

(ii) RECONSIDERATION OF DENIALS OF CERTIFICATIONS.—If, before the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary made a determination not to certify a group of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in clause (iii), the Secretary shall—

(I) reconsider that determination; and

(II) if the group of workers meets the requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment, certify the group of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

(iii) PETITION DESCRIBED.—A petition described in this clause is a petition for a certification of eligibility for a group of workers filed under section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after January 1, 2014, and before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) ELIGIBILITY FOR BENEFITS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), a worker certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in subparagraph (A)(iii) shall be eligible, on and after the date that is 90 days after the date of the enactment of

this Act, to receive benefits only under the provisions of chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment.

(ii) COMPUTATION OF MAXIMUM BENEFITS.—Benefits received by a worker described in clause (i) under chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 before the date of the enactment of this Act shall be included in any determination of the maximum benefits for which the worker is eligible under the provisions of chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) PETITIONS FILED BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2014.—A worker certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance pursuant to a petition filed under section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or before December 31, 2013, shall continue to be eligible to apply for and receive benefits under the provisions of chapter 2 of title II of such Act, as in effect on December 31, 2013.

(3) QUALIFYING SEPARATIONS WITH RESPECT TO PETITIONS FILED WITHIN 90 DAYS OF DATE OF ENACTMENT.—Section 223(b) of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, shall be applied and administered by substituting ''before January 1, 2014'' for ''more than one year before the date of the petition on which such certification was granted'' for purposes of determining whether a worker is eligible to apply for adjustment assistance pursuant to a petition filed under section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after the date of the enactment of this Act and on or before the date that is 90 days after such date of enactment.

(b) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.—

(1) CERTIFICATION OF FIRMS NOT CERTIFIED BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(A) CRITERIA IF A DETERMINATION HAS NOT BEEN MADE.—If, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce has not made a determination with respect to whether to certify a firm as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in subparagraph (C), the Secretary shall make that determination based on the requirements of section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment.

(B) RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF CERTAIN PETITIONS.—If, before the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary made a determination not to certify a firm as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in subparagraph (C), the Secretary shall—

(i) reconsider that determination; and

(ii) if the firm meets the requirements of section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment, certify the firm as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

(C) PETITION DESCRIBED.—A petition described in this subparagraph is a petition for a certification of eligibility filed by a firm or its representative under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after January 1, 2014, and before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) CERTIFICATION OF FIRMS THAT DID NOT SUBMIT PETITIONS BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 2014, AND DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Commerce shall certify a firm described in subparagraph (B) as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, if the firm or its representative files a petition for a certification of eligibility under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 not later than 90 days after such date of enactment.

(B) FIRM DESCRIBED.—A firm described in this subparagraph is a firm that the Secretary determines would have been certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance if—

(i) the firm or its representative had filed a petition for a certification of eligibility under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 on a date during the period beginning on January 1, 2014, and ending on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(ii) the provisions of chapter 3 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment, had been in effect on that date during the period described in clause (i).

**SEC. 222. SUNSET PROVISIONS.**

(a) APPLICATION OF PRIOR LAW.—Subject to subsection (b), beginning on January 1, 2021, the provisions of chapters 2, 3, 5, and 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271 et seq.), as in effect on January 1, 2014, shall be in effect and apply, except that in applying and administering such chapters—

(1) paragraph (1) of section 231(c) of that Act shall be applied and administered as if subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of that paragraph were not in effect;

(2) section 233 of that Act shall be applied and administered—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in paragraph (2), by substituting ''104-week period'' for ''104-week period'' and all that follows through ''130-week period)''; and

(ii) in paragraph (3)—

(I) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by substituting ''65'' for ''52''; and

(II) by substituting ''78-week period'' for ''52-week period'' each place it appears; and

(B) by applying and administering subsection (g) as if it read as follows:

''(g) PAYMENT OF TRADE READJUSTMENT ALLOWANCES TO COMPLETE TRAINING.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, in order to assist an adversely affected worker to complete training approved for the worker under section 236 that leads to the completion of a degree or industry-recognized credential, payments may be made as trade readjustment allowances for not more than 13 weeks within such period of eligibility as the Secretary may prescribe to account for a break in training or for justifiable cause that follows the last week for which the worker is otherwise entitled to a trade readjustment allowance under this chapter if—

''(1) payment of the trade readjustment allowance for not more than 13 weeks is necessary for the worker to complete the training;

''(2) the worker participates in training in each such week; and

''(3) the worker—

''(A) has substantially met the performance benchmarks established as part of the training approved for the worker;

''(B) is expected to continue to make progress toward the completion of the training; and

''(C) will complete the training during that period of eligibility.'';

(3) section 234 shall be applied and administered as in effect on December 31, 2013;

(4) section 245(a) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''2021'' for ''2007'';

(5) section 246(b)(1) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''December 31, 2021'' for ''the date that is 5 years'' and all that follows through ''State'';

(6) section 256(b) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''the 1-year period beginning on January 1, 2021'' for ''each of fiscal years 2003 through 2007, and $4,000,000 for the 3-month period beginning on October 1, 2007'';

(7) section 298(a) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''the 1-year period beginning on January 1, 2021'' for ''each of the fiscal years'' and all that follows through ''October 1, 2007''; and

(8) section 285 of that Act shall be applied and administered—

(A) in subsection (a), by substituting ''2021'' for ''2007'' each place it appears; and

(B) by applying and administering subsection (b) as if it read as follows:

''(b) OTHER ASSISTANCE.—

''(1) ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), assistance may not be provided under chapter 3 after December 31, 2021.

''(B) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any assistance approved under chapter 3 pursuant to a petition filed under section 251 on or before December 31, 2021, may be provided—

''(i) to the extent funds are available pursuant to such chapter for such purpose; and

''(ii) to the extent the recipient of the assistance is otherwise eligible to receive such assistance.

''(2) FARMERS.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), assistance may not be provided under chapter 6 after December 31, 2021.

''(B) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any assistance approved under chapter 6 on or before December 31, 2021, may be provided—

''(i) to the extent funds are available pursuant to such chapter for such purpose; and

''(ii) to the extent the recipient of the assistance is otherwise eligible to receive such assistance.''.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The provisions of chapters 2, 3, 5, and 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, shall continue to apply on and after January 1, 2021, with respect to—

(1) workers certified as eligible for trade adjustment assistance benefits under chapter 2 of title II of that Act pursuant to petitions filed under section 221 of that Act before January 1, 2021;

(2) firms certified as eligible for technical assistance or grants under chapter 3 of title II of that Act pursuant to petitions filed under section 251 of that Act before January 1, 2021; and

(3) agricultural commodity producers certified as eligible for technical or financial assistance under chapter 6 of title II of that Act pursuant to petitions filed under section 292 of that Act before January 1, 2021.

## Subtitle D—Health Coverage Tax Credit

**SEC. 231. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF HEALTH COVERAGE TAX CREDIT.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Subparagraph (B) of section 35(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ''before January 1, 2014'' and inserting ''before January 1, 2021''.

(b) INCREASE.—Subsection (a) of section 35 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ''72.5 percent'' and inserting ''80 percent''.

(c) COORDINATION WITH PPACA CREDIT FOR COVERAGE UNDER A QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 35 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ''In the case of an individual,'' and inserting ''In the case of an individual who elects the application of this section for the taxable year,''.

(2) COORDINATION RULE.—Subsection (g) of section 35 of such Code is amended by redesignating paragraph (11) as paragraph (12) and by inserting after paragraph (10) the following:

''(11) COORDINATION WITH PREMIUM TAX CREDIT.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a taxpayer who elects the application of this section for any taxable year, no credit shall be allowed under section 36B with respect to such taxpayer for such taxable year.

''(B) ELECTION.—Any election for this section to apply for a taxable year, once made, shall be irrevocable.''.

(3) ADVANCE PAYMENT.—Section 7527 of such Code is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

''(f) COORDINATION WITH ADVANCE PAYMENT OF PREMIUM TAX CREDIT.—No payment shall be made under this section on behalf of any individual with respect to whom any advance payment is made under section 1412 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act for the taxable year.''.

(4) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall issue such procedures and guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to coordinate, and facilitate taxpayer choices between, advance payments under section 7527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and section 1412 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

(d) HEALTH PLANS OFFERED THROUGH EXCHANGE TREATED AS QUALIFIED HEALTH INSURANCE.—Paragraph (1) of section 35(e) of such Code is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

''(L) Coverage under a qualified health plan which was enrolled in through an Exchange established under title I of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.''.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to coverage months beginning after December 31, 2013.

(2) ADVANCE PAYMENT PROVISIONS.—The amendment made by subsection (c)(3) shall apply to certificates issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 232. TAA PRE-CERTIFICATION RULE FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING WHETHER THERE IS A 63-DAY LAPSE IN CREDITABLE COVERAGE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The following provisions are each amended by striking ''January 1, 2014'' and inserting ''January 1, 2021'':

(1) Section 9801(c)(2)(D).

(2) Section 701(c)(2)(C) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

(3) Section 2701(c)(2)(C) of the Public Health Service Act (as in effect for plan years beginning before January 1, 2014).

(4) Section 2704(c)(2)(C) of the Public Health Service Act (as in effect for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2014).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to plan years beginning after December 31, 2013.

(2) TRANSITIONAL RULES.—

(A) BENEFIT DETERMINATIONS.—Notwithstanding the amendments made by this section (and the provisions of law amended thereby), a plan shall not be required to modify benefit determinations for the period beginning on January 1, 2014, and ending 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, but a plan shall not fail to be qualified health insurance within the meaning of section 35(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 during this period merely due to such failure to modify benefit determinations.

(B) GUIDANCE CONCERNING PERIODS BEFORE 30 DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT.—Except as provided in subparagraph (A), the Secretary of the Treasury (or his designee), in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Labor, may issue regulations or other guidance regarding the scope of the application of the amendments made by this section to periods before the date which is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(C) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO CERTAIN LOSS OF COVERAGE.—In the case of a TAA-related loss of coverage (as defined in section 4980B(f)(5)(C)(iv) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) that occurs during the period beginning on January 1, 2014, and ending 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the 7-day period described in section 9801(c)(2)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, section 701(c)(2)(C) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, and section 2701(c)(2)(C) of the Public Health Service Act shall be extended until 30 days after such date of enactment.

**SEC. 233. EXTENSION OF COBRA BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN TAA-ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS AND PBGC RECIPIENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The following provisions are each amended by striking ''January 1, 2014'' and inserting ''January 1, 2021'':

(1) Section 4980B(f)(2)(B)(i)(V).

(2) Section 4980B(f)(2)(B)(i)(VI).

(3) Section 602(2)(A)(v) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

(4) Section 602(2)(A)(vi) of such Act.

(5) Section 2202(2)(A)(iv) of the Public Health Service Act.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to periods of coverage which would (without regard to the amendments made by this section) end on or after the date which is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

## Subtitle E—Offsets

**SEC. 241. ADDITIONAL CUSTOMS USER FEES EXTENSION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 13031(j)(3) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(j)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(i), by striking ''September 30, 2024'' and inserting ''September 30, 2025''; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

''(D) Fees may be charged under paragraphs (9) and (10) of subsection (a) during the period beginning on July 29, 2025, and ending on September 30, 2025.''.

(b) RATE FOR MERCHANDISE PROCESSING FEES.—Section 503 of the United States–Korea Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 112–41; 125 Stat. 460) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(c) FURTHER ADDITIONAL PERIOD.—For the period beginning on July 15, 2025, and ending on September 30, 2025, section 13031(a)(9) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(a)(9)) shall be applied and administered—

''(1) in subparagraph (A), by substituting '0.3464' for '0.21'; and

''(2) in subparagraph (B)(i), by substituting '0.3464' for '0.21'.''.

**SEC. 242. TIME FOR PAYMENT OF CORPORATE ESTIMATED TAXES.**

Notwithstanding section 6655 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, in the case of a corporation with assets of not less than $1,000,000,000 (determined as of the end of the preceding taxable year)—

(1) the amount of any required installment of corporate estimated tax which is otherwise due in July, August, or September of 2020 shall be increased by 8 percent of such amount (determined without regard to any increase in such amount not contained in such Code); and

(2) the amount of the next required installment after an installment referred to in paragraph (1) shall be appropriately reduced to reflect the amount of the increase by reason of such paragraph.

**SEC. 243. PAYEE STATEMENT REQUIRED TO CLAIM CERTAIN EDUCATION TAX BENEFITS.**

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY CREDIT, HOPE SCHOLARSHIP CREDIT, AND LIFETIME LEARNING CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(8) PAYEE STATEMENT REQUIREMENT.—Except as otherwise provided by the Secretary, no credit shall be allowed under this section unless the taxpayer receives a statement furnished under section 6050S(d) which contains all of the information required by paragraph (2) thereof.''.

(2) STATEMENT RECEIVED BY DEPENDENT.—Section 25A(g)(3) of such Code is amended by striking ''and'' at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting '', and'', and by adding at the end the following:

''(C) a statement described in paragraph (8) and received by such individual shall be treated as received by the taxpayer.''.

(b) DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.—Section 222(d) of such Code is amended by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7), and by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraph:

''(6) PAYEE STATEMENT REQUIREMENT.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided by the Secretary, no deduction shall be allowed under subsection (a) unless the taxpayer receives a statement furnished under section 6050S(d) which contains all of the information required by paragraph (2) thereof.

''(B) STATEMENT RECEIVED BY DEPENDENT.—The receipt of the statement referred to in subparagraph (A) by an individual described in subsection (c)(3) shall be treated for purposes of subparagraph (A) as received by the taxpayer.''.

(c) INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE PROVIDED ON PAYEE STATEMENT.—Section 6050S(d)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

''(2) the information required by subsection (b)(2).''.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 244. SPECIAL RULE FOR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS UNABLE TO COLLECT TINS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH RESPECT TO HIGHER EDUCATION TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6724 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

''(f) SPECIAL RULE FOR RETURNS OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS RELATED TO HIGHER EDUCATION TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.—No penalty shall be imposed under section 6721 or 6722 solely by reason of failing to provide the TIN of an individual on a return or statement required by section 6050S(a)(1) if the eligible educational institution required to make such return contemporaneously makes a true and accurate certification under penalty of perjury (and in such form and manner as may be prescribed by the Secretary) that it has complied with standards promulgated by the Secretary for obtaining such individual's TIN.''.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to returns required to be made, and statements required to be furnished, after December 31, 2015.

**SEC. 245. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE CORRECT INFORMATION RETURNS AND PROVIDE PAYEE STATEMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6721(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(2) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(b) REDUCTION WHERE CORRECTION IN SPECIFIED PERIOD.—

(1) CORRECTION WITHIN 30 DAYS.—Section 6721(b)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$30'' and inserting ''$50'';

(B) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(C) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''.

(2) FAILURES CORRECTED ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 1.—Section 6721(b)(2) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$60'' and inserting ''$100'';

(B) by striking ''$100'' (prior to amendment by subparagraph (A)) and inserting ''$250''; and

(C) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(c) LOWER LIMITATION FOR PERSONS WITH GROSS RECEIPTS OF NOT MORE THAN $5,000,000.—Section 6721(d)(1) of such Code is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,000,000''; and

(B) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000'';

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking ''$75,000'' and inserting ''$175,000''; and

(B) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(3) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by striking ''$200,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(B) by striking ''$500,000'' (prior to amendment by subparagraph (A)) and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(d) PENALTY IN CASE OF INTENTIONAL DISREGARD.—Section 6721(e) of such Code is amended—

(1) by striking ''$250'' in paragraph (2) and inserting ''$500''; and

(2) by striking ''$1,500,000'' in paragraph (3)(A) and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(e) FAILURE TO FURNISH CORRECT PAYEE STATEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6722(a)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(B) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(2) REDUCTION WHERE CORRECTION IN SPECIFIED PERIOD.—

(A) CORRECTION WITHIN 30 DAYS.—Section 6722(b)(1) of such Code is amended—

(i) by striking ''$30'' and inserting ''$50'';

(ii) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(iii) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''.

(B) FAILURES CORRECTED ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 1.—Section 6722(b)(2) of such Code is amended—

(i) by striking ''$60'' and inserting ''$100'';

(ii) by striking ''$100'' (prior to amendment by clause (i)) and inserting ''$250''; and

(iii) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(3) LOWER LIMITATION FOR PERSONS WITH GROSS RECEIPTS OF NOT MORE THAN $5,000,000.—Section 6722(d)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,000,000''; and

(ii) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000'';

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking ''$75,000'' and inserting ''$175,000''; and

(ii) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(C) in subparagraph (C)—

(i) by striking ''$200,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(ii) by striking ''$500,000'' (prior to amendment by subparagraph (A)) and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(4) PENALTY IN CASE OF INTENTIONAL DISREGARD.—Section 6722(e) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$250'' in paragraph (2) and inserting ''$500''; and

(B) by striking ''$1,500,000'' in paragraph (3)(A) and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to returns and statements required to be filed after December 31, 2015.

**SEC. 246. CHILD TAX CREDIT NOT REFUNDABLE FOR TAXPAYERS ELECTING TO EXCLUDE FOREIGN EARNED INCOME FROM TAX.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 24(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(5) EXCEPTION FOR TAXPAYERS EXCLUDING FOREIGN EARNED INCOME.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any taxpayer for any taxable year if such taxpayer elects to exclude any amount from gross income under section 911 for such taxable year.''.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014.

**SEC. 247. COVERAGE AND PAYMENT FOR RENAL DIALYSIS SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY.**

(a) COVERAGE.—Section 1861(s)(2)(F) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(s)(2)(F)) is amended by inserting before the semicolon the following: '', including such renal dialysis services furnished on or after January 1, 2017, by a renal dialysis facility or provider of services paid under section 1881(b)(14) to an individual with acute kidney injury (as defined in section 1834(r)(2))''.

(b) PAYMENT.—Section 1834 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395m) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

''(r) PAYMENT FOR RENAL DIALYSIS SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY.—

''(1) PAYMENT RATE.—In the case of renal dialysis services (as defined in subparagraph (B) of section 1881(b)(14)) furnished under this part by a renal dialysis facility or provider of services paid under such section during a year (beginning with 2017) to an individual with acute kidney injury (as defined in paragraph (2)), the amount of payment under this part for such services shall be the base rate for renal dialysis services determined for such year under such section, as adjusted by any applicable geographic adjustment factor applied under subparagraph (D)(iv)(II) of such section and may be adjusted by the Secretary (on a budget neutral basis for payments under this paragraph) by any other adjustment factor under subparagraph (D) of such section.

''(2) INDIVIDUAL WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'individual with acute kidney injury' means an individual who has acute loss of renal function and does not receive renal dialysis services for which payment is made under section 1881(b)(14).''.

**SEC. 248. CLARIFICATION OF 6-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IN CASE OF OVERSTATEMENT OF BASIS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of Section 6501(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking ''and'' at the end of clause (i), by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iii), and by inserting after clause (i) the following new clause:

''(ii) An understatement of gross income by reason of an overstatement of unrecovered cost or other basis is an omission from gross income;'';

(2) by inserting ''(other than in the case of an overstatement of unrecovered cost or other basis)'' in clause (iii) (as so redesignated) after ''In determining the amount omitted from gross income''; and

(3) by inserting ''AMOUNT OMITTED FROM'' after ''DETERMINATION OF'' in the heading thereof.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to—

(1) returns filed after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) returns filed on or before such date if the period specified in section 6501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (determined without regard to such amendments for assessment of the taxes with respect to which such return relates has not expired as of such date.

---

**SA 2074.** Ms. WARREN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 2146, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow Federal law enforcement officers, firefighters, and air traffic controllers to make penalty-free withdrawals from governmental plans after age 50, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

**TITLE II—EXTENSION OF TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE**

**SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the ''Trade Adjustment Assistance Reauthorization Act of 2015''.

**SEC. 202. APPLICATION OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE.**

(a) REPEAL OF SNAPBACK.—Section 233 of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Extension Act of 2011 (Public Law 112–40; 125 Stat. 416) is repealed.

(b) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS.—Except as otherwise provided in this title, the provisions of chapters 2 through 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on December 31, 2013, and as amended by this title, shall—

(1) take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) apply to petitions for certification filed under chapter 2, 3, or 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after such date of enactment.

(c) REFERENCES.—Except as otherwise provided in this title, whenever in this title an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a provision of chapters 2 through 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, the reference shall be considered to be made to a provision of any such chapter, as in effect on December 31, 2013.

**SEC. 203. EXTENSION OF TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.**

(a) EXTENSION OF TERMINATION PROVISIONS.—Section 285 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended by striking ''December 31, 2013'' each place it appears and inserting ''June 30, 2021''.

(b) TRAINING FUNDS.—Section 236(a)(2)(A) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2296(a)(2)(A)) is amended by striking ''shall not exceed'' and all that follows and inserting ''shall not exceed $450,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2021.''.

(c) REEMPLOYMENT TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE.—Section 246(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2318(b)(1)) is amended by striking ''December 31, 2013'' and inserting ''June 30, 2021''.

(d) AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

(1) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR WORKERS.—Section 245(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2317(a)) is amended by striking ''December 31, 2013'' and inserting ''June 30, 2021''.

(2) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.—Section 255(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2345(a)) is amended by striking ''fiscal years 2012 and 2013'' and all that follows through ''December 31, 2013'' and inserting ''fiscal years 2015 through 2021''.

(3) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FARMERS.—Section 298(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2401g(a)) is amended by striking ''fiscal years 2012 and 2013'' and all that follows through ''December 31, 2013'' and inserting ''fiscal years 2015 through 2021''.

**SEC. 204. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND REPORTING.**

(a) PERFORMANCE MEASURES.—Section 239(j) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2311(j)) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking ''DATA REPORTING'' and inserting ''PERFORMANCE MEASURES'';

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking ''a quarterly'' and inserting ''an annual''; and

(ii) by striking ''data'' and inserting ''measures'';

(B) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''core'' and inserting ''primary''; and

(C) in subparagraph (C), by inserting ''that promote efficiency and effectiveness'' after ''assistance program'';

(3) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the paragraph heading, by striking ''CORE INDICATORS DESCRIBED'' and inserting ''INDICATORS OF PERFORMANCE''; and

(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

''(A) PRIMARY INDICATORS OF PERFORMANCE DESCRIBED.—

''(i) IN GENERAL.—The primary indicators of performance referred to in paragraph (1)(A) shall consist of—

''(I) the percentage and number of workers who received benefits under the trade adjustment assistance program who are in unsubsidized employment during the second calendar quarter after exit from the program;

''(II) the percentage and number of workers who received benefits under the trade adjustment assistance program and who are in unsubsidized employment during the fourth calendar quarter after exit from the program;

''(III) the median earnings of workers described in subclause (I);

''(IV) the percentage and number of workers who received benefits under the trade adjustment assistance program who, subject to clause (ii), obtain a recognized postsecondary credential or a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent, during participation in the program or within one year after exit from the program; and

''(V) the percentage and number of workers who received benefits under the trade adjustment assistance program who, during a year while receiving such benefits, are in an education or training program that leads to a recognized postsecondary credential or employment and who are achieving measurable gains in skills toward such a credential or employment.

''(ii) INDICATOR RELATING TO CREDENTIAL.—For purposes of clause (i)(IV), a worker who received benefits under the trade adjustment assistance program who obtained a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent shall be included in the percentage counted for purposes of that clause only if the worker, in addition to obtaining such a diploma or its recognized equivalent, has obtained or retained employment or is in an education or training program leading to a recognized postsecondary credential within one year after exit from the program.'';

(4) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in the paragraph heading, by striking ''DATA'' and inserting ''MEASURES'';

(B) by striking ''quarterly'' and inserting ''annual''; and

(C) by striking ''data'' and inserting ''measures''; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

''(4) ACCESSIBILITY OF STATE PERFORMANCE REPORTS.—The Secretary shall, on an annual basis, make available (including by electronic means), in an easily understandable format, the reports of cooperating States or cooperating State agencies required by paragraph (1) and the information contained in those reports.''.

(b) COLLECTION AND PUBLICATION OF DATA.—Section 249B of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2323) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (3)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''enrolled in'' and inserting ''who received'';

(ii) in subparagraph (B)—

(I) by striking ''complete'' and inserting ''exited''; and

(II) by striking ''who were enrolled in'' and inserting '', including who received'';

(iii) in subparagraph (E), by striking ''complete'' and inserting ''exited'';

(iv) in subparagraph (F), by striking ''complete'' and inserting ''exit''; and

(v) by adding at the end the following:

''(G) The average cost per worker of receiving training approved under section 236.

''(H) The percentage of workers who received training approved under section 236 and obtained unsubsidized employment in a field related to that training.''; and

(B) in paragraph (4)—

(i) in subparagraphs (A) and (B), by striking ''quarterly'' each place it appears and inserting ''annual''; and

(ii) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

''(C) The median earnings of workers described in section 239(j)(2)(A)(i)(III) during the second calendar quarter after exit from the program, expressed as a percentage of the median earnings of such workers before the calendar quarter in which such workers began receiving benefits under this chapter.''; and

(2) in subsection (e)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), respectively; and

(ii) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

''(B) the reports required under section 239(j);''; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking ''a quarterly'' and inserting ''an annual''.

(c) RECOGNIZED POSTSECONDARY CREDENTIAL DEFINED.—Section 247 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2319) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(19) The term 'recognized postsecondary credential' means a credential consisting of an industry-recognized certificate or certification, a certificate of completion of an apprenticeship, a license recognized by a State or the Federal Government, or an associate or baccalaureate degree.''.

**SEC. 205. APPLICABILITY OF TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE PROVISIONS.**

(a) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR WORKERS.—

(1) PETITIONS FILED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2014, AND BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(A) CERTIFICATIONS OF WORKERS NOT CERTIFIED BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(i) CRITERIA IF A DETERMINATION HAS NOT BEEN MADE.—If, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Labor has not made a determination with respect to whether to certify a group of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in clause (iii), the Secretary shall make that determination based on the requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment.

(ii) RECONSIDERATION OF DENIALS OF CERTIFICATIONS.—If, before the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary made a determination not to certify a group of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in clause (iii), the Secretary shall—

(I) reconsider that determination; and

(II) if the group of workers meets the requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment, certify the group of workers as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

(iii) PETITION DESCRIBED.—A petition described in this clause is a petition for a certification of eligibility for a group of workers filed under section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after January 1, 2014, and before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) ELIGIBILITY FOR BENEFITS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), a worker certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in subparagraph (A)(iii) shall be eligible, on and after the date that is 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to receive benefits only under the provisions of chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment.

(ii) COMPUTATION OF MAXIMUM BENEFITS.—Benefits received by a worker described in clause (i) under chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 before the date of the enactment of this Act shall be included in any determination of the maximum benefits for which the worker is eligible under the provisions of chapter 2 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) PETITIONS FILED BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2014.—A worker certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance pursuant to a petition filed under section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or before December 31, 2013, shall continue to be eligible to apply for and receive benefits under the provisions of chapter 2 of title II of such Act, as in effect on December 31, 2013.

(3) QUALIFYING SEPARATIONS WITH RESPECT TO PETITIONS FILED WITHIN 90 DAYS OF DATE OF ENACTMENT.—Section 223(b) of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, shall be applied and administered by substituting ''before January 1, 2014'' for ''more than one year before the date of the petition on which such certification was granted'' for purposes of determining whether a worker is eligible to apply for adjustment assistance pursuant to a petition filed under section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after the date of the enactment of this Act and on or before the date that is 90 days after such date of enactment.

(b) TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.—

(1) CERTIFICATION OF FIRMS NOT CERTIFIED BEFORE DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(A) CRITERIA IF A DETERMINATION HAS NOT BEEN MADE.—If, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce has not made a determination with respect to whether to certify a firm as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to a petition described in subparagraph (C), the Secretary shall make that determination based on the requirements of section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment.

(B) RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF CERTAIN PETITIONS.—If, before the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary made a determination not to certify a firm as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 pursuant to

a petition described in subparagraph (C), the Secretary shall—

(i) reconsider that determination; and

(ii) if the firm meets the requirements of section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment, certify the firm as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

(C) PETITION DESCRIBED.—A petition described in this subparagraph is a petition for a certification of eligibility filed by a firm or its representative under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 on or after January 1, 2014, and before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) CERTIFICATION OF FIRMS THAT DID NOT SUBMIT PETITIONS BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 2014, AND DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Commerce shall certify a firm described in subparagraph (B) as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, if the firm or its representative files a petition for a certification of eligibility under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 not later than 90 days after such date of enactment.

(B) FIRM DESCRIBED.—A firm described in this subparagraph is a firm that the Secretary determines would have been certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance if—

(i) the firm or its representative had filed a petition for a certification of eligibility under section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 on a date during the period beginning on January 1, 2014, and ending on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(ii) the provisions of chapter 3 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on such date of enactment, had been in effect on that date during the period described in clause (i).

**SEC. 206. SUNSET PROVISIONS.**

(a) APPLICATION OF PRIOR LAW.—Subject to subsection (b), beginning on July 1, 2021, the provisions of chapters 2, 3, 5, and 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2271 et seq.), as in effect on January 1, 2014, shall be in effect and apply, except that in applying and administering such chapters—

(1) paragraph (1) of section 231(c) of that Act shall be applied and administered as if subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of that paragraph were not in effect;

(2) section 233 of that Act shall be applied and administered—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in paragraph (2), by substituting ''104-week period'' for ''104-week period'' and all that follows through ''130-week period)''; and

(ii) in paragraph (3)—

(I) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by substituting ''65'' for ''52''; and

(II) by substituting ''78-week period'' for ''52-week period'' each place it appears; and

(B) by applying and administering subsection (g) as if it read as follows:

''(g) PAYMENT OF TRADE READJUSTMENT ALLOWANCES TO COMPLETE TRAINING.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, in order to assist an adversely affected worker to complete training approved for the worker under section 236 that leads to the completion of a degree or industry-recognized credential, payments may be made as trade readjustment allowances for not more than 13 weeks within such period of eligibility as the Secretary may prescribe to account for a break in training or for justifiable cause that follows the last week for which the worker is otherwise entitled to a trade readjustment allowance under this chapter if—

''(1) payment of the trade readjustment allowance for not more than 13 weeks is necessary for the worker to complete the training;

''(2) the worker participates in training in each such week; and

''(3) the worker—

''(A) has substantially met the performance benchmarks established as part of the training approved for the worker;

''(B) is expected to continue to make progress toward the completion of the training; and

''(C) will complete the training during that period of eligibility.'';

(3) section 245(a) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''June 30, 2022'' for ''December 31, 2007'';

(4) section 246(b)(1) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''June 30, 2022'' for ''the date that is 5 years'' and all that follows through ''State'';

(5) section 256(b) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''the 1-year period beginning on July 1, 2021'' for ''each of fiscal years 2003 through 2007, and $4,000,000 for the 3-month period beginning on October 1, 2007'';

(6) section 298(a) of that Act shall be applied and administered by substituting ''the 1-year period beginning on July 1, 2021'' for ''each of the fiscal years'' and all that follows through ''October 1, 2007''; and

(7) section 285 of that Act shall be applied and administered—

(A) in subsection (a), by substituting ''June 30, 2022'' for ''December 31, 2007'' each place it appears; and

(B) by applying and administering subsection (b) as if it read as follows:

''(b) OTHER ASSISTANCE.—

''(1) ASSISTANCE FOR FIRMS.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), assistance may not be provided under chapter 3 after June 30, 2022.

''(B) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any assistance approved under chapter 3 pursuant to a petition filed under section 251 on or before June 30, 2022, may be provided—

''(i) to the extent funds are available pursuant to such chapter for such purpose; and

''(ii) to the extent the recipient of the assistance is otherwise eligible to receive such assistance.

''(2) FARMERS.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), assistance may not be provided under chapter 6 after June 30, 2022.

''(B) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any assistance approved under chapter 6 on or before June 30, 2022, may be provided—

''(i) to the extent funds are available pursuant to such chapter for such purpose; and

''(ii) to the extent the recipient of the assistance is otherwise eligible to receive such assistance.''.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The provisions of chapters 2, 3, 5, and 6 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, shall continue to apply on and after July 1, 2021, with respect to—

(1) workers certified as eligible for trade adjustment assistance benefits under chapter 2 of title II of that Act pursuant to petitions filed under section 221 of that Act before July 1, 2021;

(2) firms certified as eligible for technical assistance or grants under chapter 3 of title II of that Act pursuant to petitions filed under section 251 of that Act before July 1, 2021; and

(3) agricultural commodity producers certified as eligible for technical or financial assistance under chapter 6 of title II of that Act pursuant to petitions filed under section 292 of that Act before July 1, 2021.

**SEC. 207. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF HEALTH COVERAGE TAX CREDIT.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Subparagraph (B) of section 35(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ''before January 1, 2014'' and inserting ''before January 1, 2020''.

(b) COORDINATION WITH CREDIT FOR COVERAGE UNDER A QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN.—Subsection (g) of section 35 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (11) as paragraph (13), and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (10) the following new paragraphs:

''(11) ELECTION.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—This section shall not apply to any taxpayer for any eligible coverage month unless such taxpayer elects the application of this section for such month.

''(B) TIMING AND APPLICABILITY OF ELECTION.—Except as the Secretary may provide—

''(i) an election to have this section apply for any eligible coverage month in a taxable year shall be made not later than the due date (including extensions) for the return of tax for the taxable year, and

''(ii) any election for this section to apply for an eligible coverage month shall apply for all subsequent eligible coverage months in the taxable year and, once made, shall be irrevocable with respect to such months.

''(12) COORDINATION WITH PREMIUM TAX CREDIT.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—An eligible coverage month to which the election under paragraph (11) applies shall not be treated as a coverage month (as defined in section 36B(c)(2)) for purposes of section 36B with respect to the taxpayer.

''(B) COORDINATION WITH ADVANCE PAYMENTS OF PREMIUM TAX CREDIT.—In the case of a taxpayer who makes the election under paragraph (11) with respect to any eligible coverage month in a taxable year or on behalf of whom any advance payment is made under section 7527 with respect to any month in such taxable year—

''(i) the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year shall be increased by the excess, if any, of—

''(I) the sum of any advance payments made on behalf of the taxpayer under section 1412 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and section 7527 for months during such taxable year, over

''(II) the sum of the credits allowed under this section (determined without regard to paragraph (1)) and section 36B (determined without regard to subsection (f)(1) thereof) for such taxable year, and

''(ii) section 36B(f)(2) shall not apply with respect to such taxpayer for such taxable year, except that if such taxpayer received any advance payments under section 7527 for any month in such taxable year and is later allowed a credit under section 36B for such taxable year, then section 36B(f)(2)(B) shall be applied by substituting the amount determined under clause (i) for the amount determined under section 36B(f)(2)(A).''.

(c) EXTENSION OF ADVANCE PAYMENT PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 7527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ''August 1, 2003'' and inserting ''the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Reauthorization Act of 2015''.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (1) of section 7527(e) of such Code is amended by striking ''occurring'' and all that follows and inserting ''occurring—

''(A) after the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Reauthorization Act of 2015, and

''(B) prior to the first month for which an advance payment is made on behalf of such individual under subsection (a).''.

(d) INDIVIDUAL INSURANCE TREATED AS QUALIFIED HEALTH INSURANCE WITHOUT REGARD TO ENROLLMENT DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (J) of section 35(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ''insurance if the eligible individual'' and all that follows through ''For purposes of'' and inserting ''insurance. For purposes of''.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—Subparagraph (J) of section 35(e)(1) of such Code, as amended by paragraph (1), is amended by striking ''insurance.'' and inserting ''insurance (other than coverage enrolled in through an Exchange established under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act).''.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (m) of section 6501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting '', 35(g)(11)'' after ''30D(e)(4)''.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to coverage months in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2013.

(2) PLANS AVAILABLE ON INDIVIDUAL MARKET FOR USE OF TAX CREDIT.—The amendment made by subsection (d)(2) shall apply to coverage months in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015.

(3) TRANSITION RULE.—Notwithstanding section 35(g)(11)(B)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as added by this title), an election to apply section 35 of such Code to an eligible coverage month (as defined in section 35(b) of such Code) (and not to claim the credit under section 36B of such Code with respect to such month) in a taxable year beginning after December 31, 2013, and before the date of the enactment of this Act—

(A) may be made at any time on or after such date of enactment and before the expiration of the 3-year period of limitation prescribed in section 6511(a) with respect to such taxable year; and

(B) may be made on an amended return.

(g) AGENCY OUTREACH.—As soon as possible after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretaries of the Treasury, Health and Human Services, and Labor (or such Secretaries' delegates) and the Director of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (or the Director's delegate) shall carry out programs of public outreach, including on the Internet, to inform potential eligible individuals (as defined in section 35(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the extension of the credit under section 35 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the availability of the election to claim such credit retroactively for coverage months beginning after December 31, 2013.

## TITLE III—IMPROVEMENTS TO ANTIDUMPING AND COUNTERVAILING DUTY LAWS

### SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the ''American Trade Enforcement Effectiveness Act''.

### SEC. 302. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION IN A PROCEEDING.

Section 776 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677e) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively, and by moving such subparagraphs, as so redesignated, 2 ems to the right;

(B) by striking ''ADVERSE INFERENCES.—If'' and inserting the following: ''ADVERSE INFERENCES.—

''(1) IN GENERAL.—If'';

(C) by striking ''under this title, may use'' and inserting the following: ''under this title—

''(A) may use''; and

(D) by striking ''facts otherwise available. Such adverse inference may include'' and inserting the following: ''facts otherwise available; and

''(B) is not required to determine, or make any adjustments to, a countervailable subsidy rate or weighted average dumping margin based on any assumptions about information the interested party would have provided if the interested party had complied with the request for information.

''(2) POTENTIAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION FOR ADVERSE INFERENCES.—An adverse inference under paragraph (1)(A) may include'';

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking ''CORROBORATION OF SECONDARY INFORMATION.—When the'' and inserting the following: ''CORROBORATION OF SECONDARY INFORMATION.—

''(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), when the''; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

''(2) EXCEPTION.—The administrative authority and the Commission shall not be required to corroborate any dumping margin or countervailing duty applied in a separate segment of the same proceeding.''; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

''(d) SUBSIDY RATES AND DUMPING MARGINS IN ADVERSE INFERENCE DETERMINATIONS.—

''(1) IN GENERAL.—If the administering authority uses an inference that is adverse to the interests of a party under subsection (b)(1)(A) in selecting among the facts otherwise available, the administering authority may—

''(A) in the case of a countervailing duty proceeding—

''(i) use a countervailable subsidy rate applied for the same or similar program in a countervailing duty proceeding involving the same country, or

''(ii) if there is no same or similar program, use a countervailable subsidy rate for a subsidy program from a proceeding that the administering authority considers reasonable to use, and

''(B) in the case of an antidumping duty proceeding, use any dumping margin from any segment of the proceeding under the applicable antidumping order.

''(2) DISCRETION TO APPLY HIGHEST RATE.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the administering authority may apply any of the countervailable subsidy rates or dumping margins specified under that paragraph, including the highest such rate or margin, based on the evaluation by the administering authority of the situation that resulted in the administering authority using an adverse inference in selecting among the facts otherwise available.

''(3) NO OBLIGATION TO MAKE CERTAIN ESTIMATES OR ADDRESS CERTAIN CLAIMS.—If the administering authority uses an adverse inference under subsection (b)(1)(A) in selecting among the facts otherwise available, the administering authority is not required, for purposes of subsection (c) or for any other purpose—

''(A) to estimate what the countervailable subsidy rate or dumping margin would have been if the interested party found to have failed to cooperate under subsection (b)(1) had cooperated, or

''(B) to demonstrate that the countervailable subsidy rate or dumping margin used by the administering authority reflects an alleged commercial reality of the interested party.''.

### SEC. 303. DEFINITION OF MATERIAL INJURY.

(a) EFFECT OF PROFITABILITY OF DOMESTIC INDUSTRIES.—Section 771(7) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(7)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(J) EFFECT OF PROFITABILITY.—The Commission may not determine that there is no material injury or threat of material injury to an industry in the United States merely because that industry is profitable or because the performance of that industry has recently improved.''.

(b) EVALUATION OF IMPACT ON DOMESTIC INDUSTRY IN DETERMINATION OF MATERIAL INJURY.—Subclause (I) of section 771(7)(C)(iii) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(7)(C)(iii)) is amended to read as follows:

''(I) actual and potential decline in output, sales, market share, gross profits, operating profits, net profits, ability to service debt, productivity, return on investments, return on assets, and utilization of capacity,''.

(c) CAPTIVE PRODUCTION.—Section 771(7)(C)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(7)(C)(iv)) is amended—

(1) in subclause (I), by striking the comma and inserting '', and'';

(2) in subclause (II), by striking '', and'' and inserting a comma; and

(3) by striking subclause (III).

### SEC. 304. PARTICULAR MARKET SITUATION.

(a) DEFINITION OF ORDINARY COURSE OF TRADE.—Section 771(15) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(15)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(C) Situations in which the administering authority determines that the particular market situation prevents a proper comparison with the export price or constructed export price.''.

(b) DEFINITION OF NORMAL VALUE.—Section 773(a)(1)(B)(ii)(III) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677b(a)(1)(B)(ii)(III)) is amended by striking ''in such other country.''.

(c) DEFINITION OF CONSTRUCTED VALUE.—Section 773(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677b(e)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''business'' and inserting ''trade''; and

(2) by striking the flush text at the end and inserting the following:

''For purposes of paragraph (1), if a particular market situation exists such that the cost of materials and fabrication or other processing of any kind does not accurately reflect the cost of production in the ordinary course of trade, the administering authority may use another calculation methodology under this subtitle or any other calculation methodology. For purposes of paragraph (1), the cost of materials shall be determined without regard to any internal tax in the exporting country imposed on such materials or their disposition that is remitted or refunded upon exportation of the subject merchandise produced from such materials.''.

### SEC. 305. DISTORTION OF PRICES OR COSTS.

(a) INVESTIGATION OF BELOW-COST SALES.—Section 773(b)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677b(b)(2)) is amended by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

''(A) REASONABLE GROUNDS TO BELIEVE OR SUSPECT.—

''(i) REVIEW.—In a review conducted under section 751 involving a specific exporter, there are reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that sales of the foreign like product have been made at prices that are less than the cost of production of the product if the administering authority disregarded some or all of the exporter's sales pursuant to paragraph (1) in the investigation or, if a review has been completed, in the most recently completed review.

''(ii) REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.—In an investigation initiated under section 732 or a review conducted under section 751, the administering authority shall request information necessary to calculate the constructed value and cost of production under subsections (e) and (f) to determine whether there are reasonable grounds to believe or suspect that sales of the foreign like product

have been made at prices that represent less than the cost of production of the product.''.

(b) PRICES AND COSTS IN NONMARKET ECONOMIES.—Section 773(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677b(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(5) DISCRETION TO DISREGARD CERTAIN PRICE OR COST VALUES.—In valuing the factors of production under paragraph (1) for the subject merchandise, the administering authority may disregard price or cost values without further investigation if the administering authority has determined that broadly available export subsidies existed or particular instances of subsidization occurred with respect to those price or cost values or if those price or cost values were subject to an antidumping order.''.

**SEC. 306. REDUCTION IN BURDEN ON DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BY REDUCING THE NUMBER OF VOLUNTARY RESPONDENTS.**

Section 782(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677m(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively, and by moving such clauses, as so redesignated, 2 ems to the right;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively, and by moving such subparagraphs, as so redesignated, 2 ems to the right;

(3) by striking ''INVESTIGATIONS AND REVIEWS.—In'' and inserting the following: ''INVESTIGATIONS AND REVIEWS.—

''(1) IN GENERAL.—In'';

(4) in paragraph (1), as designated by paragraph (3), by amending subparagraph (B), as redesignated by paragraph (2), to read as follows:

''(B) the number of exporters or producers subject to the investigation or review is not so large that any additional individual examination of such exporters or producers would be unduly burdensome to the administering authority and inhibit the timely completion of the investigation or review.''; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

''(2) DETERMINATION OF UNDULY BURDENSOME.—In determining if an individual examination under paragraph (1)(B) would be unduly burdensome, the administering authority may consider the following:

''(A) The complexity of the issues or information presented in the proceeding, including questionnaires and any responses thereto.

''(B) Any prior experience of the administering authority in the same or similar proceeding.

''(C) The total number of investigations under subtitle A or B and reviews under section 751 being conducted by the administering authority as of the date of the determination.

''(D) Such other factors relating to the timely completion of each such investigation and review as the administering authority considers appropriate.''.

**SEC. 307. APPLICATION TO CANADA AND MEXICO.**

Pursuant to article 1902 of the North American Free Trade Agreement and section 408 of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (19 U.S.C. 3438), the amendments made by this title shall apply with respect to goods from Canada and Mexico.

## TITLE IV—OFFSETS

**SEC. 401. CUSTOMS USER FEES EXTENSION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 13031(j)(3)(A) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(j)(3)(A)) is amended by striking ''September 30, 2024'' and inserting ''July 7, 2025''.

(b) RATE FOR MERCHANDISE PROCESSING FEES.—Section 503 of the United States–Korea Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 112–41; 125 Stat. 460) is amended by striking ''June 30, 2021'' and inserting ''June 30, 2025''.

**SEC. 402. ADDITIONAL CUSTOMS USER FEES EXTENSION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 13031(j)(3) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(j)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(i), by striking ''September 30, 2024'' and inserting ''September 30, 2025''; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

''(D) Fees may be charged under paragraphs (9) and (10) of subsection (a) during the period beginning on July 29, 2025, and ending on September 30, 2025.''.

(b) RATE FOR MERCHANDISE PROCESSING FEES.—Section 503 of the United States–Korea Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 112–41; 125 Stat. 460) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(c) FURTHER ADDITIONAL PERIOD.—For the period beginning on July 15, 2025, and ending on September 30, 2025, section 13031(a)(9) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(a)(9)) shall be applied and administered—

''(1) in subparagraph (A), by substituting '0.3464' for '0.21'; and

''(2) in subparagraph (B)(i), by substituting '0.3464' for '0.21'.''.

**SEC. 403. TIME FOR PAYMENT OF CORPORATE ESTIMATED TAXES.**

Notwithstanding section 6655 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, in the case of a corporation with assets of not less than $1,000,000,000 (determined as of the end of the preceding taxable year)—

(1) the amount of any required installment of corporate estimated tax which is otherwise due in July, August, or September of 2020 shall be increased by 8 percent of such amount (determined without regard to any increase in such amount not contained in such Code); and

(2) the amount of the next required installment after an installment referred to in paragraph (1) shall be appropriately reduced to reflect the amount of the increase by reason of such paragraph.

**SEC. 404. PAYEE STATEMENT REQUIRED TO CLAIM CERTAIN EDUCATION TAX BENEFITS.**

(a) AMERICAN OPPORTUNITY CREDIT, HOPE SCHOLARSHIP CREDIT, AND LIFETIME LEARNING CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 25A(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(8) PAYEE STATEMENT REQUIREMENT.—Except as otherwise provided by the Secretary, no credit shall be allowed under this section unless the taxpayer receives a statement furnished under section 6050S(d) which contains all of the information required by paragraph (2) thereof.''.

(2) STATEMENT RECEIVED BY DEPENDENT.—Section 25A(g)(3) of such Code is amended by striking ''and'' at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting '', and'', and by adding at the end the following:

''(C) a statement described in paragraph (8) and received by such individual shall be treated as received by the taxpayer.''.

(b) DEDUCTION FOR QUALIFIED TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.—Section 222(d) of such Code is amended by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7), and by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraph:

''(6) PAYEE STATEMENT REQUIREMENT.—

''(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided by the Secretary, no deduction shall be allowed under subsection (a) unless the taxpayer receives a statement furnished under section 6050S(d) which contains all of the information required by paragraph (2) thereof.

''(B) STATEMENT RECEIVED BY DEPENDENT.—The receipt of the statement referred to in subparagraph (A) by an individual described in subsection (c)(3) shall be treated for purposes of subparagraph (A) as received by the taxpayer.''.

(c) INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE PROVIDED ON PAYEE STATEMENT.—Section 6050S(d)(2) of such Code is amended to read as follows:

''(2) the information required by subsection (b)(2).''.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 405. SPECIAL RULE FOR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS UNABLE TO COLLECT TINS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH RESPECT TO HIGHER EDUCATION TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6724 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

''(f) SPECIAL RULE FOR RETURNS OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS RELATED TO HIGHER EDUCATION TUITION AND RELATED EXPENSES.—No penalty shall be imposed under section 6721 or 6722 solely by reason of failing to provide the TIN of an individual on a return or statement required by section 6050S(a)(1) if the eligible educational institution required to make such return contemporaneously makes a true and accurate certification under penalty of perjury (and in such form and manner as may be prescribed by the Secretary) that it has complied with standards promulgated by the Secretary for obtaining such individual's TIN.''.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to returns required to be made, and statements required to be furnished, after December 31, 2015.

**SEC. 406. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE CORRECT INFORMATION RETURNS AND PROVIDE PAYEE STATEMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6721(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(2) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(b) REDUCTION WHERE CORRECTION IN SPECIFIED PERIOD.—

(1) CORRECTION WITHIN 30 DAYS.—Section 6721(b)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$30'' and inserting ''$50'';

(B) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(C) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''.

(2) FAILURES CORRECTED ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 1.—Section 6721(b)(2) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$60'' and inserting ''$100'';

(B) by striking ''$100'' (prior to amendment by subparagraph (A)) and inserting ''$250''; and

(C) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(c) LOWER LIMITATION FOR PERSONS WITH GROSS RECEIPTS OF NOT MORE THAN $5,000,000.—Section 6721(d)(1) of such Code is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,000,000''; and

(B) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000'';

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking ''$75,000'' and inserting ''$175,000''; and

(B) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(3) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by striking ''$200,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(B) by striking ''$500,000'' (prior to amendment by subparagraph (A)) and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(d) PENALTY IN CASE OF INTENTIONAL DISREGARD.—Section 6721(e) of such Code is amended—

(1) by striking ''$250'' in paragraph (2) and inserting ''$500''; and

(2) by striking ''$1,500,000'' in paragraph (3)(A) and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(e) FAILURE TO FURNISH CORRECT PAYEE STATEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6722(a)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(B) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(2) REDUCTION WHERE CORRECTION IN SPECIFIED PERIOD.—

(A) CORRECTION WITHIN 30 DAYS.—Section 6722(b)(1) of such Code is amended—

(i) by striking ''$30'' and inserting ''$50'';

(ii) by striking ''$100'' and inserting ''$250''; and

(iii) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''.

(B) FAILURES CORRECTED ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 1.—Section 6722(b)(2) of such Code is amended—

(i) by striking ''$60'' and inserting ''$100'';

(ii) by striking ''$100'' (prior to amendment by clause (i)) and inserting ''$250''; and

(iii) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(3) LOWER LIMITATION FOR PERSONS WITH GROSS RECEIPTS OF NOT MORE THAN $5,000,000.—Section 6722(d)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking ''$500,000'' and inserting ''$1,000,000''; and

(ii) by striking ''$1,500,000'' and inserting ''$3,000,000'';

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking ''$75,000'' and inserting ''$175,000''; and

(ii) by striking ''$250,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(C) in subparagraph (C)—

(i) by striking ''$200,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''; and

(ii) by striking ''$500,000'' (prior to amendment by subparagraph (A)) and inserting ''$1,500,000''.

(4) PENALTY IN CASE OF INTENTIONAL DISREGARD.—Section 6722(e) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking ''$250'' in paragraph (2) and inserting ''$500''; and

(B) by striking ''$1,500,000'' in paragraph (3)(A) and inserting ''$3,000,000''.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to returns and statements required to be filed after December 31, 2015.

**SEC. 407. CHILD TAX CREDIT NOT REFUNDABLE FOR TAXPAYERS ELECTING TO EXCLUDE FOREIGN EARNED INCOME FROM TAX.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 24(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(5) EXCEPTION FOR TAXPAYERS EXCLUDING FOREIGN EARNED INCOME.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any taxpayer for any taxable year if such taxpayer elects to exclude any amount from gross income under section 911 for such taxable year.''.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014.

**SEC. 408. COVERAGE AND PAYMENT FOR RENAL DIALYSIS SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY.**

(a) COVERAGE.—Section 1861(s)(2)(F) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x(s)(2)(F)) is amended by inserting before the semicolon the following: '', including such renal dialysis services furnished on or after January 1, 2017, by a renal dialysis facility or provider of services paid under section 1881(b)(14) to an individual with acute kidney injury (as defined in section 1834(r)(2))''.

(b) PAYMENT.—Section 1834 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395m) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

''(r) PAYMENT FOR RENAL DIALYSIS SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY.—

''(1) PAYMENT RATE.—In the case of renal dialysis services (as defined in subparagraph (B) of section 1881(b)(14)) furnished under this part by a renal dialysis facility or provider of services paid under such section during a year (beginning with 2017) to an individual with acute kidney injury (as defined in paragraph (2)), the amount of payment under this part for such services shall be the base rate for renal dialysis services determined for such year under such section, as adjusted by any applicable geographic adjustment factor applied under subparagraph (D)(iv)(II) of such section and may be adjusted by the Secretary (on a budget neutral basis for payments under this paragraph) by any other adjustment factor under subparagraph (D) of such section.

''(2) INDIVIDUAL WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'individual with acute kidney injury' means an individual who has acute loss of renal function and does not receive renal dialysis services for which payment is made under section 1881(b)(14).''.

---

**SA 2075.** Mr. PORTMAN (for himself and Ms. STABENOW) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2146, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow Federal law enforcement officers, firefighters, and air traffic controllers to make penalty-free withdrawals from governmental plans after age 50, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Beginning on page 25, strike line 18, and all that follows through page 26, line 16, and insert the following:

(11) CURRENCY MANIPULATION.—The principal negotiating objective of the United States with respect to unfair currency exchange practices is to target protracted large-scale intervention in one direction in the exchange markets by a party to a trade agreement to gain an unfair competitive advantage in trade over other parties to the agreement, by establishing strong and enforceable rules against exchange rate manipulation that are subject to the same dispute settlement procedures and remedies as other enforceable obligations under the agreement and are consistent with existing principles and agreements of the International Monetary Fund and the World Trade Organization. Nothing in the previous sentence shall be construed to restrict the exercise of domestic monetary policy.

---

**SA 2076.** Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. BLUMENTHAL) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 91, to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to issue, upon request, veteran identification cards to certain veterans; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the ''Veterans Identification Card Act 2015''.

**SEC. 2. VETERANS IDENTIFICATION CARD.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Effective on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, veteran identification cards were issued to veterans who have either completed the statutory time-in-service requirement for retirement from the Armed Forces or who have received a medical-related discharge from the Armed Forces.

(2) Effective on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, a veteran who served a minimum obligated time in service, but who did not meet the criteria described in paragraph (1), did not receive a means of identifying the veteran's status as a veteran other than using the Department of Defense form DD–214 discharge papers of the veteran.

(3) Goods, services, and promotional activities are often offered by public and private institutions to veterans who demonstrate proof of service in the military, but it is impractical for a veteran to always carry Department of Defense form DD–214 discharge papers to demonstrate such proof.

(4) A general purpose veteran identification card made available to veterans would be useful to demonstrate the status of the veterans without having to carry and use official Department of Defense form DD–214 discharge papers.

(5) On the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Veterans Affairs had the infrastructure in place across the United States to produce photographic identification cards and accept a small payment to cover the cost of these cards.

(b) PROVISION OF VETERAN IDENTIFICATION CARDS.—Chapter 57 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 5705 the following new section:

**''§ 5706. Veterans identification card**

''(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall issue an identification card described in subsection (b) to each veteran who—

''(1) requests such card;

''(2) presents a copy of Department of Defense form DD–214 or other official document from the official military personnel file of the veteran that describes the service of the veteran; and

''(3) pays the fee under subsection (c)(1).

''(b) IDENTIFICATION CARD.—An identification card described in this subsection is a card issued to a veteran that—

''(1) displays a photograph of the veteran;

''(2) displays the name of the veteran;

''(3) explains that such card is not proof of any benefits to which the veteran is entitled to;

''(4) contains an identification number that is not a social security number; and

''(5) serves as proof that such veteran—

''(A) served in the Armed Forces; and

''(B) has a Department of Defense form DD–214 or other official document in the official military personnel file of the veteran that describes the service of the veteran.

''(c) COSTS OF CARD.—(1) The Secretary shall charge a fee to each veteran who receives an identification card issued under this section, including a replacement identification card.

''(2)(A) The fee charged under paragraph (1) shall equal such amount as the Secretary determines is necessary to issue an identification card under this section.

''(B) In determining the amount of the fee under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall ensure that the total amount of fees collected under paragraph (1) equals an amount necessary to carry out this section, including costs related to any additional equipment or personnel required to carry out this section.

''(C) The Secretary shall review and reassess the determination under subparagraph (A) during each five-year period in which the Secretary issues an identification card under this section.

''(3) Amounts collected under this subsection shall be deposited in an account of the Department available to carry out this section. Amounts so deposited shall be—

''(A) merged with amounts in such account;

''(B) available in such amounts as may be provided in appropriation Acts; and

''(C) subject to the same conditions and limitations as amounts otherwise in such account.

''(d) EFFECT OF CARD ON BENEFITS.—(1) An identification card issued under this section shall not serve as proof of any benefits that the veteran may be entitled to under this title.

''(2) A veteran who is issued an identification card under this section shall not be entitled to any benefits under this title by reason of possessing such card.

''(e) ADMINISTRATIVE MEASURES.—(1) The Secretary shall ensure that any information collected or used with respect to an identification card issued under this section is appropriately secured.

''(2) The Secretary may determine any appropriate procedures with respect to issuing a replacement identification card.

''(3) In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall coordinate with the National Personnel Records Center.

''(4) The Secretary may conduct such outreach to advertise the identification card under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate.

''(f) CONSTRUCTION.—This section shall not be construed to affect identification cards otherwise provided by the Secretary to veterans enrolled in the health care system established under section 1705(a) of this title.''.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 5705 the following new item:

''5706. Veterans identification card.''.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

## PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Benjamin Canavan, a State Department fellow in my office, receive Senate floor privileges for the duration of his current fellowship in the Senate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

On Thursday, June 18, 2015, the Senate passed H.R. 1735, as amended, as follows:

H.R. 1735

*Resolved*, That the bill from the House of Representatives (H.R. 1735) entitled ''An Act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2016 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.'', do pass with the following amendment:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

***SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.***

*This Act may be cited as the ''National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016''.*

***SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.***

*(a) DIVISIONS.—This Act is organized into four divisions as follows:*

*(1) Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.*

*(2) Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.*

*(3) Division C—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations.*

*(4) Division D—Funding tables.*

*(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:*

*Sec. 1. Short title.*
*Sec. 2. Organization of Act into divisions; table of contents.*
*Sec. 3. Congressional defense committees.*
*Sec. 4. Budgetary effects of this Act.*

*DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS*

*TITLE I—PROCUREMENT*

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.*

*Subtitle B—Navy Programs*

*Sec. 111. Amendment to cost limitation baseline for CVN–78 class aircraft carrier program.*
*Sec. 112. Limitation on availability of funds for USS JOHN F. KENNEDY (CVN–79).*
*Sec. 113. Limitation on availability of funds for USS ENTERPRISE (CVN–80).*
*Sec. 114. Modification of CVN–78 class aircraft carrier program.*
*Sec. 115. Limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship.*
*Sec. 116. Extension and modification of limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship.*
*Sec. 117. Construction of additional Arleigh Burke destroyer.*
*Sec. 118. Fleet Replenishment Oiler Program.*
*Sec. 119. Reporting requirement for Ohio-class replacement submarine program.*
*Sec. 120. Stationing of C–130 H aircraft avionics previously modified by the Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) in support of daily training and contingency requirements for Airborne and Special Operations Forces.*

*Subtitle C—Air Force Programs*

*Sec. 131. Limitations on retirement of B–1, B–2, and B–52 bomber aircraft.*
*Sec. 132. Limitation on retirement of Air Force fighter aircraft.*
*Sec. 133. Limitation on availability of funds for F–35A aircraft procurement.*
*Sec. 134. Prohibition on retirement of A–10 aircraft.*
*Sec. 135. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of EC–130H Compass Call aircraft.*
*Sec. 136. Limitation on transfer of C–130 aircraft.*
*Sec. 137. Limitation on use of funds for T–1A Jayhawk aircraft.*
*Sec. 138. Restriction on retirement of the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS), EC–130H Compass Call, and Airborne Early Warning and Control (AWACS) Aircraft.*
*Sec. 139. Sense of Congress regarding the OCONUS basing of the F–35A aircraft.*
*Sec. 140. Sense of Congress on F–16 Active Electronically Scanned Array (AESA) radar upgrade.*

*Subtitle D—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters*

*Sec. 151. Report on Army and Marine Corps modernization plan for small arms.*

*Subtitle E—Army Programs*

*Sec. 161. Stryker Lethality Upgrades.*

*TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION*

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.*

*Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations*

*Sec. 211. Centers for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership.*
*Sec. 212. Department of Defense technology offset program to build and maintain the military technological superiority of the United States.*
*Sec. 213. Reauthorization of defense research and development rapid innovation program.*
*Sec. 214. Reauthorization of Global Research Watch program.*
*Sec. 215. Science and technology activities to support business systems information technology acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 216. Expansion of eligibility for financial assistance under Department of Defense Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation program to include citizens of countries participating in The Technical Cooperation Program.*
*Sec. 217. Streamlining the Joint Federated Assurance Center.*
*Sec. 218. Limitation on availability of funds for development of the Shallow Water Combat Submersible.*
*Sec. 219. Limitation on availability of funds for distributed common ground system of the Army.*
*Sec. 220. Limitation on availability of funds for distributed common ground system of the United States Special Operations Command.*

*Subtitle C—Other Matters*

*Sec. 231. Assessment of air-land mobile tactical communications and data network requirements and capabilities.*
*Sec. 232. Study of field failures involving counterfeit electronic parts.*
*Sec. 233. Demonstration of Persistent Close Air Support capabilities.*
*Sec. 234. Airborne data link plan.*
*Sec. 235. Report on Technology Readiness Levels of the technologies and capabilities critical to the Long Range Strike Bomber aircraft.*

*TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE*

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 301. Authorization of appropriations.*

*Subtitle B—Energy and Environment*

*Sec. 311. Modification of energy management reporting requirements.*
*Sec. 312. Report on efforts to reduce high energy costs at military installations.*
*Sec. 313. Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas.*

*Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment*

*Sec. 321. Repeal of limitation on authority to enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul of the F117 engine.*

*Subtitle D—Reports*

*Sec. 331. Modification of annual report on prepositioned materiel and equipment.*

*Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority*

*Sec. 341. Modification of requirements for transferring aircraft within the Air Force inventory.*

*Sec. 342. Limitation on use of funds for Department of Defense sponsorships, advertising, or marketing associated with sports-related organizations or sporting events.*
*Sec. 342A. Prohibition on contracts to facilitate payments for honoring members of the Armed Forces at sporting events.*
*Sec. 343. Temporary authority to extend contracts and leases under ARMS initiative.*

*Subtitle F—Other Matters*

*Sec. 351. Streamlining of Department of Defense management and operational headquarters.*
*Sec. 352. Adoption of retired military working dogs.*
*Sec. 353. Modification of required review of projects relating to potential obstructions to aviation.*
*Sec. 354. Pilot program on intensive instruction in certain Asian languages.*

*TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS*

*Subtitle A—Active Forces*

*Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.*
*Sec. 402. Enhancement of authority for management of end strengths for military personnel.*

*Subtitle B—Reserve Forces*

*Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.*
*Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.*
*Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).*
*Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2016 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.*
*Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.*
*Sec. 416. Chief of the National Guard Bureau authority to increase certain end strengths applicable to the Army National Guard.*

*Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 421. Military personnel.*

*TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY*

*Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy*

*Sec. 501. Authority of promotion boards to recommend officers of particular merit be placed at the top of the promotion list.*
*Sec. 502. Minimum grades for certain corps and related positions in the Army, Navy, and Air Force.*
*Sec. 503. Enhancement of military personnel authorities in connection with the defense acquisition workforce.*
*Sec. 504. Enhanced flexibility for determination of officers to continue on active duty and for selective early retirement and early discharge.*
*Sec. 505. Authority to defer until age 68 mandatory retirement for age of a general or flag officer serving as Chief or Deputy Chief of Chaplains of the Army, Navy, or Air Force.*
*Sec. 506. Reinstatement of enhanced authority for selective early discharge of warrant officers.*
*Sec. 507. Authority to conduct warrant officer retired grade determinations.*

*Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management*

*Sec. 511. Authority to designate certain reserve officers as not to be considered for selection for promotion.*
*Sec. 512. Clarification of purpose of reserve component special selection boards as limited to correction of error at a mandatory promotion board.*
*Sec. 513. Reconciliation of contradictory provisions relating to citizenship qualifications for enlistment in the reserve components of the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 514. Authority for certain Air Force reserve component personnel to provide training and instruction regarding pilot instructor training.*

*Subtitle C—General Service Authorities*

*Sec. 521. Duty required for eligibility for preseparation counseling for members being discharged or released from active duty.*
*Sec. 522. Expansion of pilot programs on career flexibility to enhance retention of members of the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 523. Sense of Senate on development of gender-neutral occupational standards for occupational assignments in the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 524. Sense of Congress recognizing the diversity of the members of the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle D—Member Education and Training*

*PART I—EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE REFORM*

*Sec. 531. Limitation on tuition assistance for off-duty training or education.*
*Sec. 532. Termination of program of educational assistance for reserve component members supporting contingency operations and other operations.*
*Sec. 533. Reports on educational levels attained by certain members of the Armed Forces at time of separation from the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 534. Sense of Congress on transferability of unused education benefits to family members.*
*Sec. 535. No entitlement to unemployment insurance while receiving Post-9/11 Education Assistance.*

*PART II—OTHER MATTERS*

*Sec. 536. Repeal of statutory specification of minimum duration of in-resident instruction for courses of instruction offered as part of Phase II joint professional military education.*
*Sec. 537. Quality assurance of certification programs and standards for professional credentials obtained by members of the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 538. Support for athletic programs of the United States Military Academy.*
*Sec. 539. Online access to the higher education component of the Transition Assistance Program.*

*Subtitle E—Military Justice*

*Sec. 546. Modification of Rule 304 of the Military Rules of Evidence relating to the corroboration of a confession or admission.*
*Sec. 547. Modification of Rule 104 of the Rules for Courts-Martial to establish certain prohibitions concerning evaluations of Special Victims' Counsel.*
*Sec. 548. Right of victims of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice to timely disclosure of certain materials and information in connection with prosecution of offenses.*
*Sec. 549. Enforcement of certain crime victims' rights by the Court of Criminal Appeals.*
*Sec. 550. Release to victims upon request of complete record of proceedings and testimony of courts-martial in cases in which sentences adjudged could include punitive discharge.*
*Sec. 551. Representation and assistance of victims by Special Victims' Counsel in questioning by military criminal investigators.*
*Sec. 552. Authority of Special Victims' Counsel to provide legal consultation and assistance in connection with various Government proceedings.*
*Sec. 553. Enhancement of confidentiality of restricted reporting of sexual assault in the military.*
*Sec. 554. Establishment of Office of Complex Investigations within the National Guard Bureau.*
*Sec. 555. Modification of deadline for establishment of Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 556. Comptroller General of the United States reports on prevention and response to sexual assault by the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve.*
*Sec. 557. Sense of Congress on the service of military families and on sentencing retirement-eligible members of the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle F—Defense Dependents Education and Military Family Readiness*

*Sec. 561. Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.*
*Sec. 562. Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.*
*Sec. 563. Authority to use appropriated funds to support Department of Defense student meal programs in domestic dependent elementary and secondary schools located outside the United States.*
*Sec. 564. Biennial surveys of military dependents on military family readiness matters.*

*Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements*

*Sec. 571. Extension of semiannual reports on the involuntary separation of members of the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 572. Remotely piloted aircraft career field manning shortfalls.*

*Subtitle H—Other Matters*

*PART I—FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PREPAREDNESS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES*

*Sec. 581. Improvement of financial literacy and preparedness of members of the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 582. Financial literacy training with respect to certain financial services for members of the uniformed services.*
*Sec. 583. Sense of Congress on financial literacy and preparedness of members of the Armed Forces.*

*PART II—OTHER MATTERS*

*Sec. 586. Authority for applications for correction of military records to be initiated by the Secretary concerned.*
*Sec. 587. Recordation of obligations for installment payments of incentive pays, allowances, and similar benefits when payment is due.*
*Sec. 588. Enhancements to Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.*
*Sec. 589. Priority processing of applications for Transportation Worker Identification Credentials for members undergoing discharge or release from the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 590. Issuance of Recognition of Service ID Cards to certain members separating from the Armed Forces.*
*Sec. 591. Revised policy on network services for military services.*

*Sec. 592. Increase in number of days of active duty required to be performed by reserve component members for duty to be considered Federal service for purposes of unemployment compensation for ex-servicemembers.*

*Sec. 593. Improved enumeration of members of the Armed Forces in any tabulation of total population by Secretary of Commerce.*

*TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS*

*Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances*

*Sec. 601. Fiscal year 2016 increase in military basic pay.*

*Sec. 602. Modification of percentage of national average monthly cost of housing usable in computation of basic allowance for housing inside the United States.*

*Sec. 603. Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing.*

*Sec. 604. Basic allowance for housing for married members of the uniformed services assigned for duty within normal commuting distance and for other members living together.*

*Sec. 605. Repeal of inapplicability of modification of basic allowance for housing to benefits under the laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

*Sec. 606. Limitation on eligibility for supplemental subsistence allowances to members serving outside the United States and associated territory.*

*Sec. 607. Availability of information.*

*Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays*

*Sec. 611. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.*

*Sec. 612. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.*

*Sec. 613. One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.*

*Sec. 614. One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.*

*Sec. 615. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.*

*Sec. 616. Increase in maximum annual amount of nuclear officer bonus pay.*

*Sec. 617. Repeal of obsolete authority to pay bonus to encourage Army personnel to refer persons for enlistment in the Army.*

*Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances*

*Sec. 621. Repeal of obsolete special travel and transportation allowance for survivors of deceased members from the Vietnam conflict.*

*Sec. 622. Study and report on policy changes to the Joint Travel Regulations.*

*Sec. 623. Transportation to transfer ceremonies for family and next of kin of members of the Armed Forces who die overseas during humanitarian operations.*

*Sec. 624. Policies of the Department of Defense on travel of next of kin to participate in the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who die overseas.*

*Subtitle D—Disability Pay, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits*

*PART I—RETIRED PAY REFORM*

*Sec. 631. Thrift Savings Plan participation for members of the uniformed services.*

*Sec. 632. Modernized retirement system for members of the uniformed services.*

*Sec. 633. Lump sum payments of certain retired pay.*

*Sec. 634. Continuation pay after 12 years of service for members of the uniformed services participating in the modernized retirement systems.*

*Sec. 635. Authority for retirement flexibility for members of the uniformed services.*

*Sec. 636. Treatment of Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund as a qualified trust.*

*PART II—OTHER MATTERS*

*Sec. 641. Death of former spouse beneficiaries and subsequent remarriages under Survivor Benefit Plan.*

*Sec. 642. Transitional compensation and other benefits for dependents of members of the Armed Forces ineligible to receive retired pay as a result of court-martial sentence.*

*Subtitle E—Commissary and Non-Appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations*

*Sec. 651. Commissary system matters.*

*Sec. 652. Plan on privatization of the defense commissary system.*

*Sec. 653. Comptroller General of the United States report on the Commissary Surcharge, Non-appropriated Fund, and Privately-Financed Major Construction Program.*

*TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS*

*Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits*

*Sec. 701. Urgent care authorization under the TRICARE program.*

*Sec. 702. Modifications of cost-sharing requirements for the TRICARE Pharmacy Benefits Program.*

*Sec. 703. Expansion of continued health benefits coverage to include discharged and released members of the Selected Reserve.*

*Sec. 704. Expansion of reimbursement for smoking cessation services for certain TRICARE beneficiaries.*

*Sec. 705. Pilot program on treatment of members of the Armed Forces for post-traumatic stress disorder related to military sexual trauma.*

*Subtitle B—Health Care Administration*

*Sec. 711. Access to health care under the TRICARE program.*

*Sec. 712. Portability of health plans under the TRICARE program.*

*Sec. 713. Improvement of mental health care provided by health care providers of the Department of Defense.*

*Sec. 714. Comprehensive standards and access to contraception counseling for members of the Armed Forces.*

*Sec. 715. Waiver of recoupment of erroneous payments due to administrative error under the TRICARE program.*

*Sec. 716. Designation of certain non-Department mental health care providers with knowledge relating to treatment of members of the Armed Forces.*

*Sec. 717. Limitation on conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions.*

*Sec. 718. Extension of authority for joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.*

*Sec. 719. Extension of authority for DOD–VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund.*

*Sec. 720. Pilot program on incentive programs to improve health care provided under the TRICARE program.*

*Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters*

*Sec. 731. Publication of certain information on health care provided by the Department of Defense through the Hospital compare website of the Department of Health and Human Services.*

*Sec. 732. Publication of data on patient safety, quality of care, satisfaction, and health outcome measures under the TRICARE program.*

*Sec. 733. Annual report on patient safety, quality of care, and access to care at military medical treatment facilities.*

*Sec. 734. Report on plans to improve experience with and eliminate performance variability of health care provided by the Department of Defense.*

*Sec. 735. Report on plan to improve pediatric care and related services for children of members of the Armed Forces.*

*Sec. 736. Report on preliminary mental health screenings for individuals becoming members of the Armed Forces.*

*Sec. 737. Comptroller General report on use of quality of care metrics at military treatment facilities.*

*Sec. 738. Report on interoperability between electronic health records systems of Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs.*

*Sec. 739. Submittal of information to Secretary of Veterans Affairs relating to exposure to airborne hazards and open burn pits.*

*Sec. 740. Comptroller General study on gambling and problem gambling behavior among members of the Armed Forces.*

*Sec. 741. Report on implementation of data security and transmission standards for electronic health records.*

*TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS*

*Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management*

*Sec. 801. Role of service chiefs in the acquisition process.*

*Sec. 802. Expansion of rapid acquisition authority.*

*Sec. 803. Middle tier of acquisition for rapid prototyping and rapid fielding.*

*Sec. 804. Amendments to other transaction authority.*

*Sec. 805. Use of alternative acquisition paths to acquire critical national security capabilities.*

*Sec. 806. Secretary of Defense waiver of acquisition laws to acquire vital national security capabilities.*

*Sec. 807. Acquisition authority of the Commander of United States Cyber Command.*

*Sec. 808. Advisory panel on streamlining and codifying acquisition regulations.*

*Sec. 809. Review of time-based requirements process and budgeting and acquisition systems.*

*Sec. 810. Improvement of program and project management by the Department of Defense.*

*Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations*

*Sec. 821. Preference for fixed-price contracts in determining contract type for development programs.*

*Sec. 822. Applicability of cost and pricing data and certification requirements.*
*Sec. 823. Risk-based contracting for smaller contract actions under the Truth in Negotiations Act.*
*Sec. 824. Limitation on use of reverse auction and lowest price technically acceptable contracting methods.*
*Sec. 825. Rights in technical data.*
*Sec. 826. Procurement of supplies for experimental purposes.*
*Sec. 827. Extension of authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.*
*Sec. 828. Reporting related to failure of contractors to meet goals under negotiated comprehensive small business subcontracting plans.*
*Sec. 829. Competition for religious services contracts.*
*Sec. 830. Treatment of interagency and State and local purchases when the Department of Defense acts as contract intermediary for the General Services Administration.*
*Sec. 831. Pilot program for streamlining awards for innovative technology projects.*

*Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs*

*Sec. 841. Acquisition strategy required for each major defense acquisition program.*
*Sec. 842. Risk reduction in major defense acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 843. Designation of milestone decision authority.*
*Sec. 844. Revision of Milestone A decision authority responsibilities for major defense acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 845. Revision of Milestone B decision authority responsibilities for major defense acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 846. Tenure and accountability of program managers for program development periods.*
*Sec. 847. Tenure and accountability of program managers for program execution periods.*
*Sec. 848. Repeal of requirement for stand-alone manpower estimates for major defense acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 849. Penalty for cost overruns.*
*Sec. 850. Streamlining of reporting requirements applicable to Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering regarding major defense acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 851. Configuration Steering Boards for cost control under major defense acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 852. Sustainment enhancement.*

*Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Commercial Items*

*Sec. 861. Inapplicability of certain laws and regulations to the acquisition of commercial items and commercially available off-the-shelf items.*
*Sec. 862. Market research and preference for commercial items.*
*Sec. 863. Continuing validity of commercial item determinations.*
*Sec. 864. Treatment of commercial items purchased as major weapon systems.*
*Sec. 865. Limitation on conversion of procurements from commercial acquisition procedures.*
*Sec. 866. Treatment of goods and services provided by nontraditional contractors as commercial items.*

*Subtitle E—Other Matters*

*Sec. 871. Streamlining of requirements relating to defense business systems.*
*Sec. 872. Acquisition workforce.*
*Sec. 873. Unified information technology services.*
*Sec. 874. Cloud strategy for Department of Defense.*
*Sec. 875. Development period for Department of Defense information technology systems.*
*Sec. 876. Revisions to pilot program on acquisition of military purpose non-developmental items.*
*Sec. 877. Extension of the Department of Defense Mentor-Protégé pilot program.*
*Sec. 878. Improved auditing of contracts.*
*Sec. 879. Survey on the costs of regulatory compliance.*
*Sec. 880. Government Accountability Office report on bid protests.*
*Sec. 881. Steps to identify and address potential unfair competitive advantage of technical advisors to acquisition officials.*
*Sec. 882. HUBZone qualified disaster areas.*
*Sec. 883. Base closure HUBZones.*
*Sec. 884. Exception for AbilityOne goods from authority to acquire goods and services manufactured in Afghanistan, and central Asian states.*
*Sec. 885. Small business procurement ombudsman.*
*Sec. 886. Annual report on foreign procurements.*

*TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT*

*Sec. 901. Update of statutory specification of functions of Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff relating to advice on requirements, programs, and budget.*
*Sec. 902. Reorganization and redesignation of Office of Family Policy and Office of Community Support for Military Families with Special Needs.*
*Sec. 903. Repeal of requirement for annual Department of Defense funding for Ocean Research Advisory Panel.*

*TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS*

*Subtitle A—Financial Matters*

*Sec. 1001. General transfer authority.*
*Sec. 1002. Annual audit of financial statements of Department of Defense components by independent external auditors.*
*Sec. 1003. Treatment as part of the base budget of certain amounts authorized for overseas contingency operations upon enactment of an Act revising the Budget Control Act discretionary spending limits for fiscal year 2016.*
*Sec. 1004. Sense of Senate on sequestration.*
*Sec. 1005. Sense of Senate on finding efficiencies within the working capital fund activities of the Department of Defense.*

*Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities*

*Sec. 1011. Extension of authority to support unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia.*
*Sec. 1012. Extension and expansion of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments.*

*Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards*

*Sec. 1021. Studies of fleet platform architectures for the Navy.*
*Sec. 1022. Amendment to National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund.*
*Sec. 1023. Extension of authority for reimbursement of expenses for certain Navy mess operations afloat.*
*Sec. 1024. Additional information supporting long-range plans for construction of naval vessels.*
*Sec. 1025. Report and assessment of potential costs and benefits of privatizing Department of Defense commissaries.*
*Sec. 1026. Report on Department of Defense definition of and policy regarding software sustainment.*

*Subtitle D—Counterterrorism*

*Sec. 1031. Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
*Sec. 1032. Limitation on the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
*Sec. 1033. Reenactment and modification of certain prior requirements for certifications relating to transfer of detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to foreign countries and other foreign entities.*
*Sec. 1034. Authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States for emergency or critical medical treatment.*
*Sec. 1035. Prohibition on use of funds for transfer or release to Yemen of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
*Sec. 1036. Report on current detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, determined or assessed to be high risk or medium risk.*
*Sec. 1037. Report to Congress on memoranda of understanding with foreign countries regarding transfer of detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
*Sec. 1038. Semiannual reports on use of United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and any other Department of Defense or Bureau of Prisons prison or other detention or disciplinary facility in recruitment and other propaganda of terrorist organizations.*
*Sec. 1039. Extension and modification of authority to make rewards for combating terrorism.*
*Sec. 1040. Reaffirmation of the prohibition on torture.*

*Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations*

*Sec. 1041. Assistance to secure the southern land border of the United States.*
*Sec. 1042. Protection of Department of Defense installations.*
*Sec. 1043. Strategy to protect United States national security interests in the Arctic region.*
*Sec. 1044. Extension of limitations on the transfer to the regular Army of AH–64 Apache helicopters assigned to the Army National Guard.*
*Sec. 1045. Treatment of certain previously transferred Army National Guard helicopters as counting against number transferrable under exception to limitation on transfer of Army National Guard helicopters.*
*Sec. 1046. Management of military technicians.*
*Sec. 1047. Sense of Congress on consideration of the full range of Department of Defense manpower worldwide in decisions on the proper mix of military, civilian, and contractor personnel to accomplish the National Defense Strategy.*
*Sec. 1048. Sense of Senate on the United States Marine Corps.*

*Subtitle F—Studies and Reports*

*Sec. 1061. Repeal of reporting requirements.*

*Sec. 1062. Termination of requirement for submittal to Congress of reports required of the Department of Defense by statute.*
*Sec. 1063. Annual submittal to Congress of munitions assessments.*
*Sec. 1064. Potential role for United States ground forces in the Pacific theater.*
*Sec. 1065. Report on plans for the use of domestic airfields for homeland defense and disaster response.*
*Sec. 1066. Annual reports of the Chief of the National Guard Bureau on the ability of the National Guard to meet its missions.*

*Subtitle G—Other Matters*

*Sec. 1081. Technical and clerical amendments.*
*Sec. 1082. Authority to provide training and support to personnel of foreign ministries of defense.*
*Sec. 1083. Expansion of outreach for veterans transitioning from serving on active duty.*
*Sec. 1084. Modification of certain requirements applicable to major medical facility lease for a Department of Veterans Affairs outpatient clinic in Tulsa, Oklahoma.*
*Sec. 1085. Comptroller General briefing and report on major medical facility projects of Department of Veterans Affairs.*
*Sec. 1086. Sense of Senate.*
*Sec. 1087. Melville Hall of the United States Merchant Marine Academy.*
*Sec. 1088. Conflict of interest certification for investigations relating to whistleblower retaliation.*
*Sec. 1089. Authorization of certain major medical facility projects of the Department of Veterans Affairs for which amounts have been appropriated.*
*Sec. 1090. Reform and improvement of personnel security, insider threat detection and prevention, and physical security.*
*Sec. 1091. Designation of construction agent for certain construction projects by Department of Veterans Affairs.*

*TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS*

*Sec. 1101. Required probationary period for new employees of the Department of Defense.*
*Sec. 1102. Delay of periodic step increase for civilian employees of the Department of Defense based upon unacceptable performance.*
*Sec. 1103. Procedures for reduction in force of Department of Defense civilian personnel.*
*Sec. 1104. United States Cyber Command workforce.*
*Sec. 1105. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.*
*Sec. 1106. Five-year extension of expedited hiring authority for designated defense acquisition workforce positions.*
*Sec. 1107. One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to civilian personnel on official duty in a combat zone.*
*Sec. 1108. Extension of rate of overtime pay for Department of the Navy employees performing work aboard or dockside in support of the nuclear-powered aircraft carrier forward deployed in Japan.*
*Sec. 1109. Expansion of temporary authority to make direct appointments of candidates possessing bachelor's degrees to scientific and engineering positions at science and technology reinvention laboratories.*
*Sec. 1110. Extension of authority for the civilian acquisition workforce personnel demonstration project.*
*Sec. 1111. Pilot program on dynamic shaping of the workforce to improve the technical skills and expertise at certain Department of Defense laboratories.*
*Sec. 1112. Pilot program on temporary exchange of financial management and acquisition personnel.*
*Sec. 1113. Pilot program on enhanced pay authority for certain acquisition and technology positions in the Department of Defense.*
*Sec. 1114. Pilot program on direct hire authority for veteran technical experts into the defense acquisition workforce.*
*Sec. 1115. Direct hire authority for technical experts into the defense acquisition workforce.*

*TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS*

*Subtitle A—Training and Assistance*

*Sec. 1201. One-year extension of funding limitations for authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces.*
*Sec. 1202. Extension and expansion of authority for reimbursement to the Government of Jordan for border security operations.*
*Sec. 1203. Extension of authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.*
*Sec. 1204. Permanence and modification of authorities relating to National Guard State Partnership Program.*
*Sec. 1205. Authority to provide support to national military forces of allied countries for counterterrorism operations in Africa.*
*Sec. 1206. Authority to build the capacity of foreign military intelligence forces.*
*Sec. 1207. Prohibition on assistance to entities in Yemen controlled by the Houthi movement.*
*Sec. 1208. Report on potential support for the vetted Syrian opposition.*
*Sec. 1209. Support for security of afghan women and girls.*

*Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq*

*Sec. 1221. Drawdown of United States forces in Afghanistan.*
*Sec. 1222. Extension and modification of Commanders' Emergency Response Program.*
*Sec. 1223. Extension of authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Afghanistan.*
*Sec. 1224. Extension and modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.*
*Sec. 1225. Prohibition on transfer to violent extremist organizations of equipment or supplies provided by the United States to the Government of Iraq.*
*Sec. 1226. Report on lines of communication of Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant and other foreign terrorist organizations.*
*Sec. 1227. Modification of protection for Afghan allies.*
*Sec. 1228. Extension of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*
*Sec. 1229. Sense of Senate on support for the Kurdistan Regional Government.*
*Sec. 1230. Sense of Congress on the security and protection of Iranian dissidents living in Camp Liberty, Iraq.*

*Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Iran*

*Sec. 1241. Modification and extension of annual report on the military power of Iran.*

*Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation*

*Sec. 1251. Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative.*
*Sec. 1252. Eastern European Training Initiative.*
*Sec. 1253. Increased presence of United States ground forces in Eastern Europe to deter aggression on the border of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.*
*Sec. 1254. Sense of Congress on European defense and North Atlantic Treaty Organization spending.*
*Sec. 1255. Additional matters in annual report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation.*
*Sec. 1256. Report on alternative capabilities to procure and sustain nonstandard rotary wing aircraft historically procured through Rosoboronexport.*

*Subtitle E—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region*

*Sec. 1261. South China Sea Initiative.*
*Sec. 1262. Sense of Congress reaffirming the importance of implementing the rebalance to the Asia-Pacific region.*
*Sec. 1263. Sense of Senate on Taiwan asymmetric military capabilities and bilateral training activities.*
*Sec. 1264. Military exchanges between senior officers and officials of the United States and Taiwan.*
*Sec. 1265. Strategy to promote United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region.*

*Subtitle F—Reports and Related Matters*

*Sec. 1271. Item in quarterly reports on assistance to counter the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant on forces ineligible to receive assistance due to a gross violation of human rights.*
*Sec. 1272. United States-Israel anti-tunnel cooperation.*
*Sec. 1273. Sense of Senate and report on Qatar fighter aircraft capability contribution to regional security.*
*Sec. 1274. Report on the security relationship between the United States and the Republic of Cyprus.*

*Subtitle G—Other Matters*

*Sec. 1281. NATO Special Operations Headquarters.*
*Sec. 1282. Two-year extension and modification of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.*

*TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION*

*Sec. 1301. Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds.*
*Sec. 1302. Funding allocations.*

*TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS*

*Subtitle A—Military Programs*

*Sec. 1401. Working capital funds.*

*Sec. 1402. National Defense Sealift Fund.*
*Sec. 1403. Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.*
*Sec. 1404. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*
*Sec. 1405. Defense Inspector General.*
*Sec. 1406. Defense Health Program.*

*Subtitle B—Other Matters*

*Sec. 1411. Authority for transfer of funds to joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois.*
*Sec. 1412. Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.*
*Sec. 1413. Inspections of the Armed Forces Retirement Home by the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.*

*TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS*

*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 1501. Purpose.*
*Sec. 1502. Overseas contingency operations.*
*Sec. 1503. Procurement.*
*Sec. 1504. Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
*Sec. 1505. Operation and maintenance.*
*Sec. 1506. Military personnel.*
*Sec. 1507. Working capital funds.*
*Sec. 1508. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*
*Sec. 1509. Defense Inspector General.*
*Sec. 1510. Defense Health Program.*
*Sec. 1511. Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.*

*Subtitle B—Financial Matters*

*Sec. 1521. Treatment as additional authorizations.*
*Sec. 1522. Special transfer authority.*

*Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters*

*Sec. 1531. Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*
*Sec. 1532. Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.*
*Sec. 1533. Availability of Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund funds for training of foreign security forces to defeat improvised explosive devices.*

*TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS*

*Subtitle A—Space Activities*

*Sec. 1601. Integrated policy to deter adversaries in space.*
*Sec. 1602. Principal advisor on space control.*
*Sec. 1603. Exception to the prohibition on contracting with Russian suppliers of rocket engines for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program.*
*Sec. 1604. Elimination of launch capabilities contracts under evolved expendable launch vehicle program.*
*Sec. 1605. Allocation of funding for evolved expendable launch vehicle program.*
*Sec. 1606. Inclusion of plan for development and fielding of a full-up engine in rocket propulsion system development program.*
*Sec. 1607. Limitations on availability of funds for the Defense Meteorological Satellite program.*
*Sec. 1608. Quarterly reports on Global Positioning System III space segment, Global Positioning System operational control segment, and Military Global Positioning System user equipment acquisition programs.*
*Sec. 1609. Plan for consolidation of acquisition of commercial satellite communications services.*
*Sec. 1610. Council on Oversight of the Department of Defense Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Enterprise.*
*Sec. 1611. Analysis of alternatives for wideband communications.*
*Sec. 1612. Expansion of goals for pilot program for acquisition of commercial satellite communication services.*
*Sec. 1613. Streamline commercial space launch activities.*

*Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-related Activities*

*Sec. 1621. Report on Air National Guard contributions to the RQ–4 Global Hawk mission.*

*Subtitle C—Cyber Warfare, Cyber Security, and Related Matters*

*Sec. 1631. Authorization of military cyber operations.*
*Sec. 1632. Designation of Department of Defense entity responsible for acquisition of critical cyber capabilities.*
*Sec. 1633. Incentive for submittal to Congress by President of integrated policy to deter adversaries in cyberspace.*
*Sec. 1634. Authorization for procurement of relocatable Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility.*
*Sec. 1635. Evaluation of cyber vulnerabilities of major weapon systems of the Department of Defense.*
*Sec. 1636. Assessment of capabilities of United States Cyber Command to defend the United States from cyber attacks.*
*Sec. 1637. Biennial exercises on responding to cyber attacks against critical infrastructure.*
*Sec. 1638. Comprehensive plan of Department of Defense to support civil authorities in response to cyber attacks by foreign powers.*
*Sec. 1639. Sense of Congress on reviewing and considering findings and recommendations of Council of Governors on cyber capabilities of the Armed Forces.*

*Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces*

*Sec. 1641. Designation of Air Force officials to be responsible for policy on and procurement of nuclear command, control, and communications systems.*
*Sec. 1642. Comptroller General of the United States review of recommendations relating to the nuclear security enterprise.*
*Sec. 1643. Assessment of global nuclear environment.*
*Sec. 1644. Deadline for Milestone A decision on long-range standoff weapon.*
*Sec. 1645. Availability of Air Force procurement funds for certain commercial off-the-shelf parts for intercontinental ballistic missile fuzes.*
*Sec. 1646. Sense of Congress on policy on the nuclear triad.*
*Sec. 1647. Sense of Senate on the nuclear force improvement program of the Air Force.*

*Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs*

*Sec. 1651. Plan for expediting deployment time of continental United States interceptor site.*
*Sec. 1652. Additional missile defense sensor coverage for the protection of the United States homeland.*
*Sec. 1653. Air defense capability at North Atlantic Treaty Organization missile defense sites.*
*Sec. 1654. Availability of funds for Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system.*
*Sec. 1655. Israeli cooperative missile defense program codevelopment and potential coproduction.*
*Sec. 1656. Development and deployment of multiple-object kill vehicle for missile defense of the United States homeland.*
*Sec. 1657. Requirement to replace capability enhancement I exoatmospheric kill vehicles.*
*Sec. 1658. Airborne boost phase defense system.*
*Sec. 1659. Extension of limitation on providing certain sensitive missile defense information to the Russian Federation.*
*Sec. 1660. Extension of requirement for Comptroller General of the United States review and assessment of missile defense acquisition programs.*

*Subtitle F—Other Matters*

*Sec. 1671. Measures in response to violations of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty by the Russian Federation.*
*Sec. 1672. Modification of notification and assessment of proposal to modify or introduce new aircraft or sensors for flight by the Russian Federation under the Open Skies Treaty.*
*Sec. 1673. Milestone A decision for the Conventional Prompt Global Strike Weapons System.*
*Sec. 1674. Sense of Congress on maintaining and enhancing military intelligence support to force protection for installations, facilities, and personnel of the Department of Defense.*

*DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS*

*Sec. 2001. Short title.*
*Sec. 2002. Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.*

*TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION*

*Sec. 2101. Authorized army construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2102. Family housing.*
*Sec. 2103. Improvements to military family housing units.*
*Sec. 2104. Authorization of appropriations, Army.*
*Sec. 2105. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.*
*Sec. 2106. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
*Sec. 2107. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2013 projects.*
*Sec. 2108. Additional authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2016 project.*
*Sec. 2109. Limitation on construction of new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

*TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION*

*Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2202. Family housing.*
*Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.*
*Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.*
*Sec. 2205. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
*Sec. 2206. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2013 projects.*

*TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION*

*Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2302. Family housing.*
*Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.*
*Sec. 2304. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.*
*Sec. 2305. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2010 project.*
*Sec. 2306. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.*

*Sec. 2307. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2015 project.*
*Sec. 2308. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2012 project.*
*Sec. 2309. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2013 project.*

*TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION*

*Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2402. Authorized energy conservation projects.*
*Sec. 2403. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.*
*Sec. 2404. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 project.*
*Sec. 2405. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
*Sec. 2406. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2013 projects.*
*Sec. 2407. Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.*

*TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM*

*Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.*

*TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES*

*Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations*

*Sec. 2601. Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2602. Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2603. Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2604. Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2605. Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
*Sec. 2606. Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.*

*Subtitle B—Others Matters*

*Sec. 2611. Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.*
*Sec. 2612. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2015 projects.*
*Sec. 2613. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
*Sec. 2614. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2013 projects.*

*TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES*

*Sec. 2701. Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account.*
*Sec. 2702. Prohibition on conducting additional base realignment and closure (BRAC) round.*

*TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS*

*Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes*

*Sec. 2801. Authority for acceptance and use of contributions for certain mutually beneficial projects.*
*Sec. 2802. Change in authorities relating to scope of work variations for military construction projects.*
*Sec. 2803. Extension of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects outside the United States.*
*Sec. 2804. Modification of reporting requirement on in-kind construction and renovation payments.*
*Sec. 2805. Lab modernization pilot program.*
*Sec. 2806. Conveyance to Indian tribes of certain housing units.*

*Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration*

*Sec. 2811. Utility system conveyance authority.*
*Sec. 2812. Leasing of non-excess property of military departments and Defense Agencies; treatment of value provided by local education agencies and elementary and secondary schools.*
*Sec. 2813. Modification of facility repair notification requirement.*
*Sec. 2814. Increase of threshold of notice and wait requirement for certain facilities for reserve components and parity with authority for unspecified minor military construction and repair projects.*
*Sec. 2815. Sense of Congress on coordination of hunting, fishing, and other recreational activities on military land.*
*Sec. 2816. Exemption of Army off-site use and off-site removal only non-mobile properties from certain excess property disposal requirements.*

*Subtitle C—Land Conveyances*

*Sec. 2821. Release of reversionary interest retained as part of conveyance to the Economic Development Alliance of Jefferson County, Arkansas.*
*Sec. 2822. Land exchange, Navy Outlying Landing Field, Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Florida.*

*DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS*

*TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS*

*Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations*

*Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.*
*Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.*
*Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.*

*Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations*

*Sec. 3111. Responsive capabilities program.*
*Sec. 3112. Long-term plan for meeting national security requirements for unencumbered uranium.*
*Sec. 3113. Defense nuclear nonproliferation management plan.*
*Sec. 3114. Plan for deactivation and decommissioning of nonoperational defense nuclear facilities.*
*Sec. 3115. Hanford Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant contract oversight.*
*Sec. 3116. Assessment of emergency preparedness of defense nuclear facilities.*
*Sec. 3117. Laboratory- and facility-directed research and development programs.*
*Sec. 3118. Limitation on bonuses for employees of the National Nuclear Security Administration who engage in improper program management.*
*Sec. 3119. Modification of authorized personnel levels of the Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security.*
*Sec. 3120. Modification of submission of assessments of certain budget requests relating to the nuclear weapons stockpile.*
*Sec. 3121. Repeal of phase three review of certain defense environmental cleanup projects.*
*Sec. 3122. Modifications to cost-benefit analyses for competition of management and operating contracts.*
*Sec. 3123. Review of implementation of recommendations of the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise.*

*TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD*

*Sec. 3201. Authorization.*

*TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION*

*Sec. 3501. Cadet commitment agreements.*
*Sec. 3502. Student incentive payment agreements.*
*Sec. 3503. Federal Unemployment Tax Act.*
*Sec. 3504. Short sea transportation defined.*
*Sec. 3505. Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal years 2016 and 2017.*

*DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES*

*Sec. 4001. Authorization of amounts in funding tables.*
*Sec. 4002. Clarification of applicability of undistributed reductions of certain operation and maintenance funding among all operation and maintenance funding.*

*TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT*

*Sec. 4101. Procurement.*
*Sec. 4102. Procurement for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION*

*Sec. 4201. Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
*Sec. 4202. Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE*

*Sec. 4301. Operation and maintenance.*
*Sec. 4302. Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL*

*Sec. 4401. Military personnel.*
*Sec. 4402. Military personnel for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS*

*Sec. 4501. Other authorizations.*
*Sec. 4502. Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations.*

*TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION*

*Sec. 4601. Military construction.*

*TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS*

*Sec. 4701. Department of Energy national security programs.*

***SEC. 3. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.***

*In this Act, the term ''congressional defense committees'' has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 4. BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF THIS ACT.***

*The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purposes of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled ''Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation'' for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on the conference report or amendment between the Houses.*

## DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

## TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for procurement for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.*

### Subtitle B—Navy Programs

**SEC. 111. AMENDMENT TO COST LIMITATION BASELINE FOR CVN–78 CLASS AIRCRAFT CARRIER PROGRAM.**

*Section 122(a)(2) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2104), as amended by section 121(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 691), is further amended by striking ''$11,498,000,000'' and inserting ''$11,398,000,000''.*

**SEC. 112. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR USS JOHN F. KENNEDY (CVN–79).**

*(a) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for procurement for the USS JOHN F. KENNEDY (CVN–79), $100,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until the date on which the Secretary of the Navy submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives the certification required under subsection (b) and the reports required under subsection (c) and (d).*

*(b) CERTIFICATION REGARDING FULL SHIP SHOCK TRIALS.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives a certification that the Navy will conduct by not later than September 30, 2017, full ship shock trials on the USS GERALD R. FORD (CVN–78).*

*(c) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives a report that evaluates cost issues related to the USS JOHN F. KENNEDY (CVN–79) and the USS ENTERPRISE (CVN–80).*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) Options to achieve ship end cost of no more than $10,000,000,000.*

*(B) Options to freeze the design of CVN–79 for CVN–80, with exceptions only for changes due to full ship shock trials or other significant test and evaluation results.*

*(C) Options to reduce the plans cost for CVN–80 to less than 50 percent of the CVN–79 plans cost.*

*(D) Options to transition all non-nuclear government furnished equipment, including launch and arresting equipment, to contractor furnished equipment.*

*(E) Options to build the ships at the most economic pace, such as four years between ships.*

*(F) A business case analysis for the Enterprise Air Search Radar modification to CVN–79 and CVN–80.*

*(G) A business case analysis for the two-phase CVN–79 delivery proposal and impact on fleet deployments.*

*(d) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1, 2016, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives a report on potential requirements, capabilities, and alternatives for future development of aircraft carriers that would replace or supplement the CVN–78 class aircraft carrier.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) A description of fleet, sea-based tactical aviation capability requirements for a range of operational scenarios beginning in the 2025 timeframe.*

*(B) A description of alternative aircraft carrier designs that meet the requirements described under subparagraph (A).*

*(C) A description of nuclear and non-nuclear propulsion options.*

*(D) A description of tonnage options ranging from less than 20,000 tons to greater than 100,000 tons.*

*(E) Requirements for unmanned systems integration from inception.*

*(F) Developmental, procurement, and lifecycle cost assessment of alternatives.*

*(G) A notional acquisition strategy for development and construction of alternatives.*

*(H) A description of shipbuilding industrial base considerations and a plan to ensure opportunity for competition among alternatives.*

*(I) A description of funding and timing considerations related to developing the Annual Long-Range Plan for Construction of Naval Vessels required under section 231 of title 10, United States Code.*

**SEC. 113. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR USS ENTERPRISE (CVN–80).**

*(a) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for advance procurement for the USS ENTERPRISE (CVN–80), $191,400,000 may not be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the certification required under subsection (b) and the report required under subsection (c).*

*(b) CERTIFICATION REGARDING CVN–80 DESIGN.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a certification that the design of CVN–80 will repeat that of CVN–79, with modifications only for significant test and evaluation results or significant cost reduction initiatives that still meet threshold requirements.*

*(c) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that details the plans costs related to the USS ENTERPRISE (CVN–80).*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements, reported by total cost and cost by fiscal year, with a detailed description and a justification for why each cost is recurring and attributable to CVN–80:*

*(A) Overall plans.*

*(B) Propulsion plant detail design.*

*(C) Platform detail design.*

*(D) Lead yard services and hull planning yard.*

*(E) Platform detail design (Steam and Electric Plant Planning Yard).*

*(F) Other.*

**SEC. 114. MODIFICATION OF CVN–78 CLASS AIRCRAFT CARRIER PROGRAM.**

*Subsection (f) of section 122 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2104), as added by section 121(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 692), is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(3)(A) As part of the report required under paragraph (1), the Secretary of the Navy shall include a description of new design and engineering changes to CVN–78 class aircraft carriers if applicable.*

*''(B) The additional reporting requirement in subparagraph (A) shall include, with respect to CVN–78 class aircraft carriers in each reporting period—*

*''(i) any design or engineering change with an associated cost greater than $5,000,000;*

*''(ii) program or ship cost increases for each design or engineering change identified in subparagraph (A); and*

*''(iii) cost reduction achieved.*

*''(C) The Secretary of the Navy and Chief of Naval Operations shall each personally sign (not autopen) the additional reporting requirement in subparagraph (A). This certification may not be delegated. The certification shall include a determination that each change—*

*''(i) serves the national security interests of the United States;*

*''(ii) cannot be deferred to a future ship due to operational necessity, safety, or substantial cost reduction that still meets threshold requirements; and*

*''(iii) was personally reviewed and endorsed by the Secretary of the Navy and Chief of Naval Operations.''.*

**SEC. 115. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP.**

*Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for research and development, design, construction, procurement or advanced procurement of materials for the Littoral Combat Ships designated as LCS 33 or subsequent, not more than 25 percent may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives each of the following:*

*(1) A Capabilities Based Assessment to assess capability gaps and associated capability requirements and risks for the upgraded Littoral Combat Ship, which is proposed to commence with LCS 33. This assessment shall conform with the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System, including Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01H.*

*(2) A certification that the Joint Requirements Oversight Council has validated an updated Capabilities Development Document for the upgraded Littoral Combat Ship.*

*(3) A report describing the upgraded Littoral Combat Ship modernization, which shall, at a minimum, include the following elements:*

*(A) A description of capabilities that the LCS program delivers, and a description of how these relate to the characteristics of the future joint force identified in the Capstone Concept for Joint Operations, concept of operations, and integrated architecture documents.*

*(B) A summary of analyses and studies conducted on LCS modernization.*

*(C) A concept of operations for LCS modernization ships at the operational level and tactical level describing how they integrate and synchronize with joint and combined forces to achieve the Joint Force Commander's intent.*

*(D) A description of threat systems of potential adversaries that are projected or assessed to reach initial operational capability within 15 years against which the lethality and survivability of the LCS should be determined.*

*(E) A plan and timeline for LCS modernization program execution.*

*(F) A description of system capabilities required for LCS modernization, including key performance parameters and key system attributes.*

*(G) A plan for family of systems or systems of systems synchronization.*

*(H) A plan for information technology and national security systems supportability.*

*(I) A plan for intelligence supportability.*

*(J) A plan for electromagnetic environmental effects (E3) and spectrum supportability.*

*(K) A description of assets required to achieve initial operational capability (IOC) of an LCS modernization increment.*

*(L) A schedule and initial operational capability and full operational capability definitions.*

*(M) A description of doctrine, organization, training, materiel, leadership, education, personnel, facilities, and policy considerations.*

*(N) A description of other system attributes.*

*(4) A plan for future periodic combat systems upgrades, which are necessary to ensure relevant capability throughout the Littoral Combat Ship or Frigate class service lives, using the process described in paragraph (3).*

***SEC. 116. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP.***

*Section 124(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 693), as amended by section 123 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3314), is further amended—*

*(1) by striking ''this Act, the Carl Levin and Howard P. 'Buck' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, or otherwise made available for fiscal years 2014 or 2015'' and inserting ''this Act, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, or otherwise made available for fiscal years 2014, 2015, or 2016''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:*

*''(6) A Littoral Combat Ship seaframe acquisition strategy for the Littoral Combat Ships designated as LCS 25 through LCS 32, including upgrades to be installed on these ships that were identified for the upgraded Littoral Combat Ship, which is proposed to commence with LCS 33.*

*''(7) A Littoral Combat Ship mission module acquisition strategy to reach the total acquisition quantity of each mission module.*

*''(8) A cost and schedule plan to outfit Flight 0 and Flight 0+ Littoral Combat Ships with capabilities identified for the upgraded Littoral Combat Ship.*

*''(9) A current Test and Evaluation Master Plan for the Littoral Combat Ship Mission Modules, approved by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, which includes the performance levels expected to be demonstrated during developmental testing for each component and mission module prior to commencing the associated operational test phase.''.*

***SEC. 117. CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL ARLEIGH BURKE DESTROYER.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into a contract beginning with the fiscal year 2016 program year for the procurement of one Arleigh Burke class destroyer in addition to the ten DDG–51s in the fiscal year 2013 through 2017 multiyear procurement contract or for one DDG–51 in fiscal year 2018. The Secretary may employ incremental funding for such procurement.*

*(b) CONDITION ON OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under such contract for any fiscal year after fiscal year 2016 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such fiscal year.*

***SEC. 118. FLEET REPLENISHMENT OILER PROGRAM.***

*(a) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into one or more contracts to procure up to six Fleet Replenishment Oilers. Such procurements may also include advance procurement for Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) and long lead time materials, beginning with the lead ship, commencing not earlier than fiscal year 2016.*

*(b) LIABILITY.—Any contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose, and that total liability to the government for termination of any contract entered into shall be limited to the total amount of funding obligated at the time of termination.*

***SEC. 119. REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR OHIO-CLASS REPLACEMENT SUBMARINE PROGRAM.***

*The Secretary of Defense shall include in the budget justification materials for the Ohio-class replacement submarine program submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for that fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) a report including the following elements, described in terms of both fiscal 2010 and current fiscal year dollars:*

*(1) Lead ship end cost (with plans).*

*(2) Lead ship end cost (less plans).*

*(3) Lead ship non-recurring engineering cost.*

*(4) Average follow-on ship cost.*

*(5) Average operations and sustainment cost per hull per year.*

*(6) Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics average follow-on ship affordability target.*

*(7) Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics operations and sustainment cost per hull per year affordability target.*

***SEC. 120. STATIONING OF C–130 H AIRCRAFT AVIONICS PREVIOUSLY MODIFIED BY THE AVIONICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM (AMP) IN SUPPORT OF DAILY TRAINING AND CONTINGENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR AIRBORNE AND SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.***

*The Secretary of the Air Force shall station aircraft previously modified by the C–130 Avionics Modernization Program (AMP) to support United States Army Airborne and United States Army Special Operations Command daily training and contingency requirements in fiscal year 2017, and such aircraft shall not be required to deploy in the normal rotation of C–130 H units. The Secretary shall provide such personnel as required to maintain and operate the aircraft.*

***Subtitle C—Air Force Programs***

***SEC. 131. LIMITATIONS ON RETIREMENT OF B–1, B–2, AND B–52 BOMBER AIRCRAFT.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), no B–1, B–2, or B–52 bomber aircraft may be retired during a fiscal year prior to initial operational capability (IOC) of the LRS–B unless the Secretary of Defense certifies, in the materials submitted in support of the budget of the President for that fiscal year (as submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), that—*

*(1) the retirement of the aircraft is required to reallocate funding and manpower resources to enable LRS–B to reach IOC and full operational capability (FOC); and*

*(2) the Secretary has concluded that retirements of B–1, B–2, and B–52 bomber aircraft in the near-term will not detrimentally affect operational capability.*

*(b) EXCEPTION.—A certification described in sub-section (a) is not required with respect to the retirement of B–1 bomber aircraft carried out in accordance with section 132(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1320).*

***SEC. 132. LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OF AIR FORCE FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.***

*(a) INVENTORY REQUIREMENT.—Section 8062 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(i) INVENTORY REQUIREMENT.—(1) Effective October 1, 2015, the Secretary of the Air Force shall maintain a total aircraft inventory of fighter aircraft of not less than 1,950 aircraft, and a total primary mission aircraft inventory (combat-coded) of not less than 1,116 fighter aircraft.*

*''(2) In this subsection:*

*''(A) The term 'fighter aircraft' means an aircraft that—*

*''(i) is designated by a mission design series prefix of F– or A–;*

*''(ii) is manned by one or two crewmembers; and*

*''(iii) executes single-role or multi-role missions, including air-to-air combat, air-to-ground attack, air interdiction, suppression or destruction of enemy air defenses, close air support, strike control and reconnaissance, combat search and rescue support, or airborne forward air control.*

*''(B) The term 'primary mission aircraft inventory' means aircraft assigned to meet the primary aircraft authorization to a unit for the performance of its wartime mission.''.*

*(b) LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OF AIR FORCE FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.—*

*(1) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not proceed with a decision to retire fighter aircraft in any number that would reduce the total number of such aircraft in the Air Force total active inventory (TAI) below 1,950, and shall maintain a minimum of 1,116 fighter aircraft designated as primary mission aircraft inventory (PMAI).*

*(2) ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS ON RETIREMENT OF FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not retire fighter aircraft from the total active inventory as of the date of the enactment of this Act until the later of the following:*

*(A) The date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report required under paragraph (3).*

*(B) The date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that—*

*(i) the retirement of such fighter aircraft will not increase the operational risk of meeting the National Defense Strategy; and*

*(ii) the retirement of such aircraft will not reduce the total fighter force structure below 1,950 fighter aircraft or the primary mission aircraft inventory below 1,116.*

*(3) REPORT ON RETIREMENT OF AIRCRAFT.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:*

*(A) The rationale for the retirement of existing fighter aircraft and an operational analysis of replacement fighter aircraft that demonstrates performance of the designated mission at an equal or greater level of effectiveness as the retiring aircraft.*

*(B) An assessment of the implications for the Air Force, the Air National Guard, and the Air Force Reserve of the force mix ratio of fighter aircraft.*

*(C) Such other matters relating to the retirement of fighter aircraft as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(c) REPORTS ON FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—At least 90 days before the date on which a fighter aircraft is retired, the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with (where applicable) the Director of the Air National Guard or Chief of the Air Force Reserve, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the proposed force structure and basing of fighter aircraft.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) A list of each aircraft in the inventory of fighter aircraft, including for each such aircraft—*

*(i) the mission design series type;*

*(ii) the variant; and*

*(iii) the assigned unit and military installation where such aircraft is based.*

*(B) A list of each fighter aircraft proposed for retirement, including for each such aircraft—*

*(i) the mission design series type;*

*(ii) the variant; and*

*(iii) the assigned unit and military installation where such aircraft is based.*

*(C) A list of each unit affected by a proposed retirement listed under subparagraph (B) and a description of how such unit is affected.*

*(D) For each military installation and unit listed under subparagraph (B)(iii), a description of changes, if any, to the designed operational*

*capability (DOC) statement of the unit as a result of a proposed retirement.*

*(E) A description of any anticipated changes in manpower authorizations as a result of a proposed retirement listed under subparagraph (B).*

*(d) FIGHTER AIRCRAFT DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''fighter aircraft'' has the meaning given the term in subsection (i)(2)(A) of section 8062 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section.*

**SEC. 133. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR F–35A AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT.**

*Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for aircraft procurement, Air Force, not more than $4,285,000,000 may be made available for the procurement of F–35A aircraft until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that F–35A aircraft delivered in fiscal year 2018 will have full combat capability as currently planned with Block 3F hardware, software, and weapons carriage.*

**SEC. 134. PROHIBITION ON RETIREMENT OF A–10 AIRCRAFT.**

*(a) PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage or on backup aircraft inventory status any A–10 aircraft.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS ON RETIREMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to the limitation in subsection (a), during the period before December 31, 2016, the Secretary of the Air Force may not retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage or on backup flying status any A–10 aircraft.*

*(2) MINIMUM INVENTORY REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall ensure the Air Force maintains a minimum of 171 A–10 aircraft designated as primary mission aircraft inventory (PMAI).*

*(c) PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR SIGNIFICANT REDUCTIONS IN MANNING LEVELS.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to make significant reductions to manning levels with respect to any A–10 aircraft squadrons or divisions.*

*(d) ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON SIGNIFICANT REDUCTIONS IN MANNING LEVELS.—In addition to the limitation in subsection (c), during the period before December 31, 2016, the Secretary of the Air Force may not make significant reductions to manning levels with respect to any A–10 aircraft squadrons or divisions.*

*(e) STUDY ON REPLACEMENT CAPABILITY REQUIREMENTS OR MISSION PLATFORM FOR THE A–10 AIRCRAFT.—*

*(1) INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall commission an appropriate entity outside the Department of Defense to conduct an assessment of the required capabilities or mission platform to replace the A–10 aircraft. This assessment would represent preparatory work to inform an analysis of alternatives.*

*(B) ELEMENTS.—The assessment required under subparagraph (A) shall include each of the following:*

*(i) Future needs analysis for the current A–10 aircraft mission set to include troops-in-contact/close air support, air interdiction, strike control and reconnaissance, and combat search and rescue support in both contested and uncontested battle environments. At a minimum, the needs analysis should specifically address the following areas:*

*(I) The ability to safely and effectively conduct troops-in-contact/danger close missions or missions in close proximity to civilians in the presence of the air defenses found with enemy ground maneuver units.*

*(II) The ability to effectively target and destroy moving, camouflaged, or dug-in troops, artillery, armor, and armored personnel carriers.*

*(III) The ability to remain within visual range of friendly forces and targets to facilitate responsiveness to ground forces and minimize reattack times.*

*(IV) The ability to safely conduct close air support beneath low cloud ceilings and in reduced visibilities at low airspeeds in the presence of the air defenses found with enemy ground maneuver units.*

*(V) The capability to enable the pilot and aircraft to survive attacks stemming from small arms, machine guns, MANPADs, and lower caliber anti-aircraft artillery organic or attached to enemy ground forces and maneuver units.*

*(VI) The ability to communicate effectively with ground forces and downed pilots, including in communications jamming or satellite-denied environments.*

*(VII) The ability to execute the missions described in subclauses (I), (II), (III), and (IV) in a GPS- or satellite-denied environment with or without sensors.*

*(VIII) The ability to deliver multiple lethal firing passes and sustain long loiter endurance to support friendly forces throughout extended ground engagements.*

*(IX) The ability to operate from unprepared dirt, grass, and narrow road runways and to generate high sortie rates under these austere conditions.*

*(ii) Identification and assessment of gaps in the ability of existing and programmed mission platforms in providing required capabilities to conduct missions specified in clause (i) in both contested and uncontested battle environments.*

*(iii) Assessment of operational effectiveness of existing and programmed mission platforms to conduct missions specified in clause (i) in both contested and uncontested battle environments.*

*(iv) Assessment of probability of likelihood of conducting missions requiring troops-in-contact/close air support operations specified in clause (i) in contested environments as compared to uncontested environments.*

*(v) Any other matters the independent entity or the Secretary of the Air Force determines to be appropriate.*

*(2) REPORT.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than September 30, 2016, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the assessment required under paragraph (1).*

*(B) FORM.—The report required under subparagraph (A) may be submitted in classified form, but shall also contain an unclassified executive summary and may contain an unclassified annex.*

*(3) NONDUPLICATION OF EFFORT.—If any information required under paragraph (1) has been included in another report or notification previously submitted to Congress by law, the Secretary of the Air Force may provide a list of such reports and notifications at the time of submitting the report required under paragraph (2) in lieu of including such information in the report required under paragraph (2).*

**SEC. 135. PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF EC–130H COMPASS CALL AIRCRAFT.**

*(a) PROHIBITION ON RETIREMENT.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage or backup aircraft inventory status any EC–130H Compass Call aircraft.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS ON RETIREMENT OF EC–130H COMPASS CALL AIRCRAFT.—In addition to the limitation in subsection (a), during the period preceding December 31, 2016, the Secretary of the Air Force may not retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage or on backup flying status any EC–130H Compass Call aircraft.*

*(c) REPORT ON RETIREMENT OF EC–130H COMPASS CALL AIRCRAFT.—Not later than September 30, 2016, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:*

*(1) The rationale for the retirement of existing EC–130H Compass Call aircraft, including an operational analysis of the impact of such retirements on combatant commander warfighting requirements.*

*(2) A plan for how the Air Force will fulfill the capability requirement of the EC–130H mission, transition the mission capabilities of the EC–130H into a replacement platform, or integrate the required capabilities into other mission platforms.*

*(3) Such other matters relating to the required mission capabilities and transition of the EC–130H Compass Call fleet as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

**SEC. 136. LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OF C–130 AIRCRAFT.**

*None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to transfer from one facility of the Department of Defense to another any C–130H aircraft, initiate any C–130 manpower authorization adjustments, retire or prepare to retire any C–130H aircraft, or close any C–130H unit until 90 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army, and after certification by the commanders of the XVIII Airborne Corps, 82nd Airborne Division and United States Army Special Operations Command, certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives that—*

*(1) the United States Air Force will maintain dedicated C–130 wings to support the daily training and contingency requirements of the XVIII Airborne Corps, 82nd Airborne Division, and United States Army Special Operations Command at manning levels required to support and operate the number of aircraft that existed as part of regular and reserve Air Force operations in support of such units as of September 30, 2014; and*

*(2) failure to maintain such Air Force operations will not adversely impact the daily training requirement of those airborne and special operations units.*

**SEC. 137. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR T–1A JAYHAWK AIRCRAFT.**

*None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for avionics modification to the T–1A Jayhawk aircraft may be obligated or expended until 30 days after the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees the report required under section 142 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3320).*

**SEC. 138. RESTRICTION ON RETIREMENT OF THE JOINT SURVEILLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS), EC–130H COMPASS CALL, AND AIRBORNE EARLY WARNING AND CONTROL (AWACS) AIRCRAFT.**

*The Secretary of the Air Force may not retire any operational Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS), EC–130H Compass Call, or Airborne Early Warning and Control (AWACS) aircraft until the follow-on replacement aircraft program enters Low-Rate Initial Production.*

**SEC. 139. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE OCONUS BASING OF THE F–35A AIRCRAFT.**

*(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that the Department of Defense is continuing its process of permanently stationing the F–35 aircraft at installations in the Continental United States (in this section referred to as ''CONUS'') and forward-basing Outside the Continental United States (in this section referred to as ''OCONUS'').*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Air Force, in*

*the strategic basing process for the F–35A aircraft, should continue to consider the benefits derived from sites that—*

*(1) are capable of hosting fighter-based bilateral and multilateral training opportunities with international partners;*

*(2) have sufficient airspace and range capabilities and capacity to meet the training requirements;*

*(3) have existing facilities to support personnel, operations, and logistics associated with the flying mission;*

*(4) have limited encroachment that would adversely impact training or operations; and*

*(5) minimize the overall construction and operational costs.*

***SEC. 140. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON F–16 ACTIVE ELECTRONICALLY SCANNED ARRAY (AESA) RADAR UPGRADE.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) National Guard F–16 aircraft are protecting the United States from terrorist air attack from inside or outside the contiguous United States 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.*

*(2) These aircraft, stationed throughout the United States, are tasked with the zero-fail mission of guarding and securing United States airspace.*

*(3) The United States is facing an increased threat from both state and non-state actors.*

*(4) The National Guard F–16 aircraft performing the Aerospace Control Alert (ACA) mission are operating legacy radar systems.*

*(5) Air Force Chief of Staff General Mark Welsh testified to Congress in March 2015, stating, ''We need to develop an AESA radar plan for our F–16s who are conducting the homeland defense mission in particular.''*

*(6) First Air Force, United States Northern Command, issued a Joint Urgent Operational Need (JUON) request in March 2015 for radar upgrades to its F–16 fleet.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) it is essential to our Nation's defense that Air Force aircraft modification funding is made available to purchase these Active Electronically Scanned Array (AESA) radars as the United States Air Force bridges the gap between 4th and 5th generation fighters;*

*(2) the United States Government must invest in radar upgrades which ensure that 4th generation aircraft succeed at this zero-fail mission; and*

*(3) the First Air Force JUON request should be met as soon as possible.*

***Subtitle D—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters***

***SEC. 151. REPORT ON ARMY AND MARINE CORPS MODERNIZATION PLAN FOR SMALL ARMS.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy shall jointly submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the plan of the Army and the Marine Corps to modernize small arms for the Army and the Marine Corps during the 15-year period beginning on the date of such plan, including the mechanisms to be used to promote competition among suppliers of small arms and small arms parts in achieving the plan.*

*(b) SMALL ARMS.—The small arms covered by the plan under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) Pistols.*

*(2) Carbines.*

*(3) Rifles and automatic rifles.*

*(4) Light machine guns.*

*(5) Such other small arms as the Secretaries consider appropriate for purposes of the report required by subsection (a).*

*(c) NON-STANDARD SMALL ARMS.—In addition to the arms specified in subsection (b), the plan under subsection (a) shall also address non-standard small arms not currently in the small arms inventory of the Army or the Marine Corps.*

***Subtitle E—Army Programs***

***SEC. 161. STRYKER LETHALITY UPGRADES.***

*(a) ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR PROCUREMENT, ARMY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 by section 101 for procurement is hereby increased by $314,000,000, with the amount of the increase to be available for procurement for the Army for Wheeled and Tracked Combat Vehicles for Stryker (mod) Lethality Upgrades.*

*(2) SUPPLEMENT NOT SUPPLANT.—The amount available under paragraph (1) for procurement for Stryker (mod) Lethality Upgrades is in addition to any other amounts available in this Act for procurement for the Army for Stryker (mod) Lethality Upgrades.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR RDT&E, ARMY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 by section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation is hereby increased by $57,000,000, with the amount of the increase to be available for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Army for the Combat Vehicle Improvement Program for Stryker Lethality Upgrades.*

*(2) SUPPLEMENT NOT SUPPLANT.—The amount available under paragraph (1) for research, development, test, and evaluation for Stryker Lethality Upgrades is in addition to any other amounts available in this Act for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Army for Stryker Lethality Upgrades.*

*(c) OFFSET.—The aggregate amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 by division A is hereby reduced by $371,000,000, with the amount of the reduction to be achieved through anticipated foreign currency gains in addition to any other anticipated foreign currency gains specified in the funding tables in division D.*

***TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION***

***Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations***

***SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.***

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified in the funding table in section 4201.*

***Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations***

***SEC. 211. CENTERS FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, AND ENGINEERING PARTNERSHIP.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2367 the following new section:*

***''§2368. Centers for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership***

*''(a) DESIGNATION.—(1) The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments, shall designate each science and technology reinvention laboratory as a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership in the recognized core competencies of the designee.*

*''(2) The Secretary of Defense shall establish a policy to encourage the Secretary of each military department to reengineer management and business processes and adopt best-business and personnel practices at their Centers for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership in connection with their core competency requirements, so as to serve as recognized leaders in their core competencies throughout the Department of Defense and in the national technology and industrial base (as defined in section 2500 of this title).*

*''(3) The Secretary of Defense, acting through the directors of the Centers for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership, may conduct one or more pilot programs, consistent with applicable requirements of law, to test any practices referred to in paragraph (2) that the Directors determine could—*

*''(A) improve the efficiency and effectiveness of operations at Centers for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership;*

*''(B) improve the support provided by the Centers for the Department of Defense users of the services of the Centers; and*

*''(C) enhance capabilities by reducing the cost and improving the performance and efficiency of executing laboratory missions.*

*''(4) In this subsection, the term 'science and technology reinvention laboratory' means a science and technology reinvention laboratory designated under section 1105 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note).*

*''(b) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.—(1) To achieve one or more objectives set forth in paragraph (2), the Secretary may authorize and establish incentives for the Director of a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership to enter into public-private cooperative arrangements (in this section referred to as a 'public-private partnership') to provide for any of the following:*

*''(A) For employees of the Center, private industry, or other entities outside the Department of Defense to perform (under contract, subcontract, or otherwise) work related to the core competencies of the Center, including any work that involves one or more core competencies of the Center.*

*''(B) For private industry or other entities outside the Department of Defense to use, for any period of time determined to be consistent with the needs of the Department of Defense, any facilities or equipment of the Center that are not fully used for Department of Defense activities.*

*''(2) The objectives for exercising the authority provided in paragraph (1) are as follows:*

*''(A) To maximize the use of the capacity of a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership.*

*''(B) To reduce or eliminate the cost of ownership and maintenance of a Center by the Department of Defense.*

*''(C) To reduce the cost of research and testing activities of the Department of Defense.*

*''(D) To leverage private sector investment in—*

*''(i) such efforts as research and equipment recapitalization for a Center; and*

*''(ii) the promotion of the undertaking of commercial business ventures based on the core competencies of a Center, as determined by the director of the Center.*

*''(E) To foster cooperation between the armed forces, academia, and private industry.*

*''(F) To increase access by a Center to a skilled technical workforce that can contribute to the effective and efficient execution of Department of Defense missions.*

*''(c) PRIVATE SECTOR USE OF EXCESS CAPACITY.—Any facilities or equipment of a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership made available to private industry may be used to perform research and testing activities in order to make more efficient and economical use of Government-owned facilities and encourage the creation and preservation of jobs to ensure the availability of a workforce with the necessary research and technical skills to meet the needs of the armed forces.*

*''(d) CREDITING OF AMOUNTS FOR PERFORMANCE.—Amounts received by a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership for work performed under a public-private partnership may—*

*''(1) be credited to the appropriation or fund, including a working-capital fund, that incurs the cost of performing the work; or*

*''(2) be used by the Director of the Center as the Director considers appropriate and consistent with section 219 of the Duncan Hunter*

*National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note).*

*''(e) AVAILABILITY OF EXCESS EQUIPMENT TO PRIVATE-SECTOR PARTNERS.—Equipment or facilities of a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership may be made available for use by a private-sector entity under this section only if—*

*''(1) the use of the equipment or facilities will not have a significant adverse effect on the performance of the Center or the ability of the Center to achieve its mission, as determined by the Director of the Center; and*

*''(2) the private-sector entity agrees—*

*''(A) to reimburse the Department of Defense for the direct and indirect costs (including any rental costs) that are attributable to the entity's use of the equipment or facilities, as determined by that Secretary; and*

*''(B) to hold harmless and indemnify the United States from—*

*''(i) any claim for damages or injury to any person or property arising out of the use of the equipment or facilities, except under the circumstances described in section 2563(c)(3) of title 10, United States Code; and*

*''(ii) any liability or claim for damages or injury to any person or property arising out of a decision by the Secretary to suspend or terminate that use of equipment or facilities during a war or national emergency.*

*''(f) CONSTRUCTION OF PROVISION.—Nothing in this section may be construed to authorize a change, otherwise prohibited by law, from the performance of work at a Center for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership by Department of Defense personnel to performance by a contractor.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2367 the following new item:*

*''2368. Centers for Science, Technology, and Engineering Partnership.''.*

***SEC. 212. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY OFFSET PROGRAM TO BUILD AND MAINTAIN THE MILITARY TECHNOLOGICAL SUPERIORITY OF THE UNITED STATES.***

*(a) PROGRAM ESTABLISHED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a technology offset program to build and maintain the military technological superiority of the United States by—*

*(A) accelerating the fielding of offset technologies that would help counter technological advantages of potential adversaries of the United States, including directed energy, low-cost, high-speed munitions, autonomous systems, undersea warfare, cyber technology, and intelligence data analytics, developed using Department of Defense research funding and accelerating the commercialization of such technologies; and*

*(B) developing and implementing new policies and acquisition and business practices.*

*(2) GUIDELINES.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue guidelines for the operation of the program, including—*

*(A) criteria for an application for funding by a military department, defense agency, or a combatant command;*

*(B) the purposes for which such a department, agency, or command may apply for funds and appropriate requirements for technology development or commercialization to be supported using program funds;*

*(C) the priorities, if any, to be provided to field or commercialize offset technologies developed by certain types of Department research funding; and*

*(D) criteria for evaluation of an application for funding or changes to policies or acquisition and business practices by a department, agency, or command for purposes of the program.*

*(b) DEVELOPMENT OF DIRECTED ENERGY STRATEGY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with such officials and third-party experts as the Secretary considers appropriate, shall develop a directed energy strategy to ensure that the United States directed energy technologies are being developed and deployed at an accelerated pace.*

*(2) COMPONENTS OF STRATEGY.—The strategy required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) A technology roadmap for directed energy that can be used to manage and assess investments and policies of the Department in this high priority technology area.*

*(B) Proposals for legislative and administrative action to improve the ability of the Department to develop and deploy technologies and capabilities consistent with the directed energy strategy.*

*(C) An approach to program management that is designed to accelerate operational prototyping of directed energy technologies and develop cost-effective, real-world military applications for such technologies.*

*(3) BIENNIAL REVISIONS.—Not less frequently than once every 2 years, the Secretary shall revise the strategy required by paragraph (1).*

*(4) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—(A) Not later than 90 days after the date on which the Secretary completes the development of the strategy required by paragraph (1) and not later than 90 days after the date on which the Secretary completes a revision to such strategy under paragraph (3), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a copy of such strategy.*

*(B) The strategy submitted under subparagraph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

*(c) APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Under the program, the Secretary shall, not less frequently than annually, solicit from the heads of the military departments, the defense agencies, and the combatant commands applications for funding to be used to enter into contracts, cooperative agreements, or other transaction agreements entered into pursuant to section 845 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2371 note) with appropriate entities for the fielding or commercialization of technologies.*

*(2) TREATMENT PURSUANT TO CERTAIN CONGRESSIONAL RULES.—Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to require any official of the Department of Defense to provide funding under this section to any earmark as defined pursuant to House Rule XXI, clause 9, or any congressionally directed spending item as defined pursuant to Senate Rule XLIV, paragraph 5.*

*(d) FUNDING.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of appropriations for such purpose, of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide for fiscal year 2016, not more than $400,000,000 may be used for any such fiscal year for the program established under subsection (a).*

*(2) AMOUNT FOR DIRECTED ENERGY.—Of this amount, not more than $200,000,000 may be used for activities in the field of directed energy.*

*(e) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may transfer funds available for the program to the research, development, test, and evaluation accounts of a military department, defense agency, or a combatant command pursuant to an application, or any part of an application, that the Secretary determines would support the purposes of the program.*

*(2) SUPPLEMENT NOT SUPPLANT.—The transfer authority provided in this subsection is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense.*

*(f) TERMINATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The authority to carry out a program under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2020.*

*(2) TRANSFER AFTER TERMINATION.—Any amounts made available for the program that remain available for obligation on the date the program terminates may be transferred under subsection (e) during the 180-day period beginning on the date of the termination of the program.*

***SEC. 213. REAUTHORIZATION OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM.***

*(a) EXTENSION OF PROGRAM.—Section 1073 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 2359a note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (d), by striking ''2015'' and inserting ''2020''; and*

*(2) in subsection (g), by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2020''.*

*(b) MODIFICATION OF GUIDELINES FOR OPERATION OF PROGRAM.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—*

*(1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:*

*''(1) The issuance of an annual broad agency announcement or the use of any other competitive or merit-based processes by the Department of Defense for candidate proposals in support of defense acquisition programs as described in subsection (a).'';*

*(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the second sentence;*

*(3) in paragraph (4)—*

*(A) in the first sentence, by striking ''be funded under the program for more than two years'' and inserting ''receive more than a total of two years of funding under the program''; and*

*(B) by striking the second sentence; and*

*(4) by adding at the end, the following new paragraphs:*

*''(5) Mechanisms to facilitate transition of follow-on or current projects carried out under the program into defense acquisition programs, through the use of the authorities of section 819 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) or such other authorities as may be appropriate to conduct further testing, low rate production, or full rate production of technologies developed under the program.*

*''(6) Projects are selected using merit based selection procedures and the selection of projects is not subject to undue influence by Congress or other Federal agencies.''.*

*(c) REPEAL OF REPORT REQUIREMENT.—Such section is further amended—*

*(1) by striking subsection (f); and*

*(2) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (f).*

***SEC. 214. REAUTHORIZATION OF GLOBAL RESEARCH WATCH PROGRAM.***

*Section 2365 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b), by inserting ''and private sector persons'' after ''foreign nations'' both places it appears; and*

*(2) in subsection (f), by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2025''.*

***SEC. 215. SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ACTIVITIES TO SUPPORT BUSINESS SYSTEMS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Undersecretary of Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Deputy Chief Management Officer, and the Chief Information Officer shall establish a set of science, technology, and innovation activities to improve the acquisition outcomes of major automated information systems through improved performance and reduced developmental and life cycle costs.*

*(b) EXECUTION OF ACTIVITIES.—The activities established under subsection (a) shall be carried out by such military departments and defense agencies as the Under Secretary and the Deputy Chief Management Officer consider appropriate.*

*(c) ACTIVITIES.—The set of activities established under subsection (a) may include the following:*

*(1) Development of capabilities in Department of Defense laboratories, test centers, and Federally-funded research and development centers to provide technical support for acquisition program management and business process re-engineering activities.*

*(2) Funding of intramural and extramural research and development activities as described in subsection (d).*

*(d) FUNDING OF INTRAMURAL AND EXTRAMURAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the set of activities required by subsection (a), the Secretary may award grants or contracts to eligible entities to carry out intramural or extramural research and development in areas of interest described in paragraph (3).*

*(2) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.—For purposes of this subsection, an eligible entity includes the following:*

*(A) Entities in the defense industry.*

*(B) Institutions of higher education.*

*(C) Small businesses.*

*(D) Nontraditional defense contractors (as defined in section 2302 of title 10, United States Code).*

*(E) Federally-funded research and development centers, primarily for the purpose of improving technical expertise to support acquisition efforts.*

*(F) Nonprofit research institutions.*

*(G) Government laboratories and test centers, primarily for the purpose of improving technical expertise to support acquisition efforts.*

*(3) AREAS OF INTEREST.—The areas of interest described in this paragraph are the following:*

*(A) Management innovation, including personnel and financial management policy innovation.*

*(B) Business process re-engineering.*

*(C) Systems engineering of information technology business systems.*

*(D) Cloud computing to support business systems and business processes.*

*(E) Software development, including systems and techniques to limit unique interfaces and simplify processes to customize commercial software to meet the needs of the Department of Defense.*

*(F) Hardware development, including systems and techniques to limit unique interfaces and simplify processes to customize commercial hardware to meet the needs of the Department of Defense.*

*(G) Development of methodologies and tools to support development and operational test of large and complex business systems.*

*(H) Analysis tools to allow decision makers to balance between requirements, costs, technical risks, and schedule in major automated information system acquisition programs*

*(I) Information security in major automated information system systems.*

*(J) Innovative acquisition policies and practices to streamline acquisition of information technology systems.*

*(K) Such other areas as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(e) PRIORITIES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the set of activities required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall give priority to—*

*(A) projects that—*

*(i) address the innovation and technology needs of the Department of Defense; and*

*(ii) support activities of initiatives, programs and offices identified by the Under Secretary and Deputy Chief Management Officer; and*

*(B) the projects and programs identified in paragraph (2).*

*(2) PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS IDENTIFIED.—The projects and programs identified in this paragraph are the following:*

*(A) Major automated information system programs.*

*(B) Projects and programs under the oversight of the Deputy Chief Management Officer.*

*(C) Projects and programs relating to defense procurement acquisition policy.*

*(D) Projects and programs of the Defense Contract Audit Agency.*

*(E) Military and civilian personnel policy development for information technology workforce.*

***SEC. 216. EXPANSION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS, AND RESEARCH FOR TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM TO INCLUDE CITIZENS OF COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE TECHNICAL COOPERATION PROGRAM.***

*Section 2192a(b)(1)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting ''or a country the government of which is a party to The Technical Cooperation Program (TTCP) memorandum of understanding of October 24, 1995'' after ''United States''.*

***SEC. 217. STREAMLINING THE JOINT FEDERATED ASSURANCE CENTER.***

*Section 937(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 10 U.S.C. 2224 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking '', in coordination with the Center for Assured Software of the National Security Agency,''; and*

*(2) in subparagraph (E), by striking '', in coordination with the Defense Microelectronics Activity,''.*

***SEC. 218. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE SHALLOW WATER COMBAT SUBMERSIBLE.***

*(a) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for Special Operations Command for development of the Shallow Water Combat Submersible, not more than 25 percent may be obligated or expended until the date that is 15 days after the later of the date on which—*

*(1) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics designates a civilian official responsible for oversight and assistance to Special Operations Command for all undersea mobility programs; and*

*(2) the Under Secretary, in coordination with the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict, submits to the congressional defense committees the report described in subsection (b).*

*(b) REPORT DESCRIBED.—The report described in this subsection is a report on the Shallow Water Combat Submersible that includes the following:*

*(1) An analysis of the reasons for cost and schedule overruns associated with the Shallow Water Combat Submersible program.*

*(2) A revised timeline for initial and full operational capability of the Shallow Water Combat Submersible.*

*(3) The projected cost to meet the total unit acquisition objective.*

*(4) A plan to prevent, identify, and mitigate any additional cost and schedule overruns.*

*(5) A description of such opportunities as may be to recover cost or schedule.*

*(6) A description of such lessons as the Under Secretary may have learned from the Shallow Water Combat Submersible program that could be applied to future undersea mobility acquisition programs.*

*(7) Such other matters as the Under Secretary considers appropriate.*

***SEC. 219. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEM OF THE ARMY.***

*(a) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense by section 201 and available for research, development, test, and evaluation, Army, for the distributed common ground system of the Army as specified in the funding tables in title XLII, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Army—*

*(1) conducts a review of the program planning for the distributed common ground system of the Army; and*

*(2) submits to the appropriate congressional committees the report required by subsection (b)(1).*

*(b) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the review of the distributed common ground system of the Army conducted under subsection (a)(1).*

*(2) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) A review of the segmentation of Increment 2 of the distributed common ground system program of the Army into discrete software components with the associated requirements of each component.*

*(B) Identification of each component of Increment 2 of the distributed common ground system of the Army for which commercial software exists that is capable of fulfilling most or all of the system requirements for each such component.*

*(C) A cost analysis of each such commercial software that compares performance with projected cost.*

*(D) Determination of the degree to which commercial software solutions are compliant with the standards required by the framework and guidance for the Intelligence Community Information Technology Enterprise, the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise, and the Joint Information Environment.*

*(E) Identification of each component of Increment 2 of the distributed common ground system of the Army that the Secretary determines may be acquired through competitive means.*

*(F) An acquisition plan for Increment 2 of the distributed common ground system of the Army that prioritizes the acquisition of commercial software components, including a data integration layer, in time to meet the projected deployment schedule for Increment 2.*

*(G) A review of the timetable for the distributed common ground system program of the Army in order to determine whether there is a practical, executable acquisition strategy, including the use of operational capability demonstrations, that could lead to an initial operating capability of Increment 2 of the distributed common ground system of the Army prior to fiscal year 2017.*

*(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''appropriate congressional committees'' means—*

*(1) the congressional defense committees; and*

*(2) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

***SEC. 220. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.***

*(a) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense by section 201 and available for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, for the United States Special Operations Command for the distributed common ground system, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command submits to the congressional defense committees the report required by subsection (b).*

*(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—The Commander shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the distributed common ground system. Such report shall include the following:*

*(1) A review of the segmentation of the distributed common ground system special operations forces program into discrete software components with the associated requirements of each component.*

*(2) Identification of each component of the distributed common ground system special operations forces program for which commercial software exists that is capable of fulfilling most or all of the system requirements for each such component.*

*(3) A cost analysis of each such commercial software that compares performance with projected cost.*

*(4) A determination of the degree to which commercial software solutions are compliant with the standards required by the framework and guidance for the Intelligence Community Information Technology Enterprise, the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise, and the Joint Information Environment.*

*(5) Identification of each component of the distributed common ground system special operations forces program that the Commander determines may be acquired through competitive means.*

*(6) An assessment of the extent to which elements of the distributed common ground system special operations forces program could be modified to increase commercial acquisition opportunities.*

*(7) An acquisition plan that leads to full operational capability prior to fiscal year 2019.*

***Subtitle C—Other Matters***

***SEC. 231. ASSESSMENT OF AIR-LAND MOBILE TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND DATA NETWORK REQUIREMENTS AND CAPABILITIES.***

*(a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—The Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, in consultation with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, shall contract with an independent entity to conduct a comprehensive assessment of current and future requirements and capabilities of the Department of Defense with respect to an air-land ad hoc, mobile tactical communications, and data network, including the technological feasibility, suitability, and survivability of such a network.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:*

*(1) Concepts, capabilities, and capacities of current or future communications and data network systems to meet the requirements of current or future tactical operations effectively, efficiently, and affordably.*

*(2) Software requirements and capabilities, particularly with respect to communications and data network waveforms.*

*(3) Hardware requirements and capabilities, particularly with respect to receiver/transmission technology, tactical communications, and data radios at all levels and on all platforms, all associated technologies, and their integration, compatibility, and interoperability.*

*(4) Any other matters that in the judgment of the independent entity are relevant or necessary to a comprehensive assessment of tactical networks or networking.*

*(c) INDEPENDENT ENTITY.—The Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation shall select an independent entity with direct, longstanding, and demonstrated experience and expertise in program test and evaluation of concepts, requirements, and technologies for joint tactical communications and data networking to perform the assessment under subsection (a).*

*(d) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than April 30, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense commitments a report including the findings and recommendations of the assessment conducted under subsection (a), together with the Secretary's comments.*

*(e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The Secretary of Defense shall use funds authorized by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide to carry out activities under this section.*

*(f) LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.—The Secretary of the Army may not obligate or expend more than 50 percent of the funds authorized by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for Other Procurement, Army and available for the Warfighter Information Network—Tactical (Increment 2) until the Secretary of Defense submits the report required under subsection (d).*

***SEC. 232. STUDY OF FIELD FAILURES INVOLVING COUNTERFEIT ELECTRONIC PARTS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a hardware assurance study to assess the presence, scope, and effect on Department of Defense operations of counterfeit electronic parts that have passed through the Department supply chain and into field systems.*

*(b) EXECUTION AND TECHNICAL ANALYSIS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall direct the federation established under section 937(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 10 U.S.C. 2224 note) to coordinate execution of the study required by subsection (a) using capabilities of the Department in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act to conduct technical analysis on a sample of failed electronic parts in field systems.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The technical analysis required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) Selection of a representative sample of electronic component types, including digital, mixed-signal, and analog integrated circuits.*

*(B) An assessment of the presence of counterfeit parts, including causes and attributes of failures of any identified counterfeit part.*

*(C) For components found to have counterfeit parts present, an assessment of the impact of the counterfeit part in the failure mechanism.*

*(D) For cases with counterfeit parts contributing to the failure, a determination of the failure attributes, factors, and effects on subsystem and system level reliability, readiness, and performance.*

*(c) RECOMMENDATIONS.—As part of the study required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall develop recommendations for such legislative and administrative action, including budget requirements, as the Secretary considers necessary to conduct sampling and technical hardware analysis of counterfeit parts in identified areas of high concern.*

*(d) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 540 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the study carried out under subsection (a).*

*(2) CONTENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) The findings of the Secretary with respect to the study conducted under subsection (a).*

*(B) The recommendations developed under subsection (c).*

***SEC. 233. DEMONSTRATION OF PERSISTENT CLOSE AIR SUPPORT CAPABILITIES.***

*(a) JOINT DEMONSTRATION REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Air Force, the Secretary of the Army, and the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency shall jointly conduct a demonstration of the Persistent Close Air Support (PCAS) capability in fiscal year 2016.*

*(b) PARAMETERS OF DEMONSTRATION.—*

*(1) SELECTION AND EQUIPMENT OF AIRCRAFT.—As part of the demonstration required by subsection (a), the Secretary of the Air Force shall select and equip at least two aircraft for use in the demonstration that the Secretary otherwise intends to use for close air support, as identified by the United States Air Force Close Air Support Forum.*

*(2) CLOSE AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS.—The demonstration required by subsection (a) shall include close air support operations that involve the following:*

*(A) Multiple tactical radio networks representing diverse ground force user communities.*

*(B) Two-way digital exchanges of situational awareness data, video, and calls for fire between aircraft and ground users without modification to aircraft operational flight profiles.*

*(C) Real-time sharing of blue force, aircraft, and target location data to reduce risks of fratricide.*

*(D) Lightweight digital tools based on commercial-off-the-shelf technology for pilots and joint tactical air controllers.*

*(E) Operations in simple and complex operating environments.*

*(c) ASSESSMENT.—The Secretary of the Air Force, the Secretary of the Army, and the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency shall jointly—*

*(1) assess the effect of the capabilities demonstrated as part of the demonstration required by subsection (a) on—*

*(A) the time required to conduct close air support operations;*

*(B) the effectiveness of blue force in achieving tactical objectives; and*

*(C) the risk of fratricide and collateral damage; and*

*(2) estimate the costs that would be incurred in transitioning the technology used in the Persistent Close Air Support capability to the Army and the Air Force.*

***SEC. 234. AIRBORNE DATA LINK PLAN.***

*(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly, in consultation with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of the Navy, develop a plan—*

*(1) to provide objective survivable communications gateways to enable—*

*(A) the secure dissemination of national and tactical intelligence information to fourth-generation fighter aircraft and supporting airborne platforms and to low-observable penetrating platforms such as the F–22 and F–35; and*

*(B) the secure reception and dissemination of sensor data from low-observable penetrating aircraft, such as the F–22 and F–35;*

*(2) to provide secure data sharing between the fifth-generation fighter aircraft of the Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps, with minimal changes to the outer surfaces of the aircraft and to aircraft operational flight programs; and*

*(3) to enable secure data sharing between fifth-generation and fourth-generation aircraft in jamming environments.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL PLAN REQUIREMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include non-proprietary and open systems approaches that are compatible with the Rapid Capabilities Office Open Mission Systems initiative of the Air Force and the Future Airborne Capability Environment initiative of the Navy.*

*(c) PROHIBITION.—No funds may be obligated or expended by the Department of Defense on the interim communications initiatives identified as Talon Hate and Multi-Domain Adaptable Processing System until the congressional defense committees are briefed by the Under Secretary or the Vice Chairman about the plan required by subsection (a).*

***SEC. 235. REPORT ON TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS OF THE TECHNOLOGIES AND CAPABILITIES CRITICAL TO THE LONG RANGE STRIKE BOMBER AIRCRAFT.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) of the technologies and capabilities critical to the Long Range Strike Bomber aircraft.*

*(b) REVIEW BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.—Not later than 60 days after the report of the Secretary is submitted under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall review the report and submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the matters contained in the report.*

## TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 301. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301.*

### Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

**SEC. 311. MODIFICATION OF ENERGY MANAGEMENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

*Section 2925(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by striking paragraphs (4) and (7);*

*(2) by redesignating paragraphs (5), (6), (8), (9), (10), (11), and (12) as paragraphs (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10), respectively;*

*(3) by amending paragraph (7), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this section, to read as follows:*

*''(7) A description and estimate of the progress made by the military departments in meeting current high performance and sustainable building standards under the Unified Facilities Criteria.'';*

*(4) by amending paragraph (9), as redesignated by such paragraph (2), to read as follows:*

*''(9) Details of all commercial utility outages caused by threats and those caused by hazards at military installations that last eight hours or longer, whether or not the outage was mitigated by backup power, including non-commercial utility outages and Department of Defense-owned infrastructure, including the total number and location of outages, the financial impact of the outages, and measure taken to mitigate outages in the future at the affected locations and across the Department of Defense.''; and*

*(5) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(11) At the discretion of the Secretary of Defense, a classified annex, as appropriate.''.*

**SEC. 312. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO REDUCE HIGH ENERGY COSTS AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

*(a) REPORT.—*

*(1) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in conjunction with the assistant secretaries responsible for installations and environment for the military services and the Defense Logistics Agency, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report detailing the efforts to achieve cost savings at military installations with high energy costs.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) A comprehensive, installation-specific assessment of feasible and mission-appropriate energy initiatives supporting energy production and consumption at military installations with high energy costs.*

*(B) An assessment of current sources of energy in areas with high energy costs and potential future sources that are technologically feasible, cost-effective, and mission-appropriate for military installations.*

*(C) A comprehensive implementation strategy to include required investment for feasible energy efficiency options determined to be the most beneficial and cost-effective, where appropriate, and consistent with Department of Defense priorities.*

*(D) An explanation on how military services are working collaboratively in order to leverage lessons learned on potential energy efficiency solutions.*

*(E) An assessment of extent of which activities administered under the Federal Energy Management Program could be used to assist with the implementation strategy.*

*(F) An assessment of State and local partnership opportunities that could achieve efficiency and cost savings, and any legislative authorities required to carry out such partnerships or agreements.*

*(3) COORDINATION WITH STATE AND LOCAL AND OTHER ENTITIES.—In preparing the report required under paragraph (1), the Under Secretary may work in conjunction and coordinate with the States containing areas of high energy costs, local communities, and other Federal departments and agencies.*

*(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the term ''high energy costs'' means costs for the provision of energy by kilowatt of electricity or British Thermal Unit of heat or steam for a military installation in the United States that is in the highest 20 percent of all military installations for a military department.*

**SEC. 313. SOUTHERN SEA OTTER MILITARY READINESS AREAS.**

*(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SOUTHERN SEA OTTER MILITARY READINESS AREAS.—Chapter 631 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 7235. Establishment of the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas***

*''(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of the Navy shall establish areas, to be known as 'Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas', for national defense purposes. Such areas shall include each of the following:*

*''(1) The area that includes Naval Base Ventura County, San Nicolas Island, and Begg Rock and the adjacent and surrounding waters within the following coordinates:*

*''N. Latitude/W. Longitude*

*33°27.8?/119°34.3?*
*33°20.5?/119°15.5?*
*33°13.5?/119°11.8?*
*33°06.5?/119°15.3?*
*33°02.8?/119°26.8?*
*33°08.8?/119°46.3?*
*33°17.2?/119°56.9?*
*33°30.9?/119°54.2?.*

*''(2) The area that includes Naval Base Coronado, San Clemente Island and the adjacent and surrounding waters running parallel to shore to 3 nautical miles from the high tide line designated by part 165 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, on May 20, 2010, as the San Clemente Island 3NM Safety Zone.*

*''(b) ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE SOUTHERN SEA OTTER MILITARY READINESS AREAS.—*

*''(1) INCIDENTAL TAKINGS UNDER ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973.—Sections 4 and 9 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533, 1538) shall not apply with respect to the incidental taking of any southern sea otter in the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas in the course of conducting a military readiness activity.*

*''(2) INCIDENTAL TAKINGS UNDER MARINE MAMMAL PROTECTION ACT OF 1972.—Sections 101 and 102 of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1371, 1372) shall not apply with respect to the incidental taking of any southern sea otter in the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas in the course of conducting a military readiness activity.*

*''(3) TREATMENT AS SPECIES PROPOSED TO BE LISTED.—For purposes of conducting a military readiness activity, any southern sea otter while within the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas shall be treated for the purposes of section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536) as a member of a species that is proposed to be listed as an endangered species or a threatened species under section 4 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533).*

*''(c) REMOVAL.—Nothing in this section or any other Federal law shall be construed to require that any southern sea otter located within the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas be removed from the Areas.*

*''(d) REVISION OR TERMINATION OF EXCEPTIONS.—The Secretary of the Interior may revise or terminate the application of subsection (b) if the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy and the Marine Mammal Commission, determines that military activities occurring in the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas are impeding the southern sea otter conservation or the return of southern sea otters to optimum sustainable population levels.*

*''(e) MONITORING.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy shall conduct monitoring and research within the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas to determine the effects of military readiness activities on the growth or decline of the southern sea otter population and on the near-shore ecosystem. Monitoring and research parameters and methods shall be determined in consultation with the Service and the Marine Mammal Commission.*

*''(2) REPORTS.—Not later than 24 months after the date of the enactment of this section and every three years thereafter, the Secretary of the Navy shall report to Congress and the public on monitoring undertaken pursuant to paragraph (1).*

*''(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) SOUTHERN SEA OTTER.—The term 'southern sea otter' means any member of the subspecies Enhydra lutris nereis.*

*''(2) TAKE.—The term 'take'—*

*''(A) when used in reference to activities subject to regulation by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), shall have the meaning given such term in that Act; and*

*''(B) when used in reference to activities subject to regulation by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.) shall have the meaning given such term in that Act.*

*''(3) INCIDENTAL TAKING.—The term 'incidental taking' means any take of a southern sea otter that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity.*

*''(4) MILITARY READINESS ACTIVITY.—The term 'military readiness activity' has the meaning given that term in section 315(f) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (16 U.S.C. 703 note) and includes all training and operations of the armed forces that relate to combat and the adequate and realistic testing of military equipment, vehicles, weapons, and sensors for proper operation and suitability for combat use.*

*''(5) OPTIMUM SUSTAINABLE POPULATION.—The term 'optimum sustainable population' means, with respect to any population stock, the number of animals that will result in the maximum*

*productivity of the population or the species, keeping in mind the carrying capacity of the habitat and the health of the ecosystem of which they form a constituent element.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''7235. Establishment of the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas.''.*

*(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1 of Public Law 99–625 (16 U.S.C. 1536 note) is repealed.*

### *Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment*

**SEC. 321. REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR THE SUSTAINMENT, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, OR OVERHAUL OF THE F117 ENGINE.**

*Section 341 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3345) is repealed.*

### *Subtitle D—Reports*

**SEC. 331. MODIFICATION OF ANNUAL REPORT ON PREPOSITIONED MATERIEL AND EQUIPMENT.**

*Section 2229a(a)(8) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

*''(8) A list of any equipment used in support of contingency operations slated for retrograde and subsequent inclusion in the prepositioned stocks.''.*

### *Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority*

**SEC. 341. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSFERRING AIRCRAFT WITHIN THE AIR FORCE INVENTORY.**

*(a) MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS.—Section 345 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 8062 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a)—*

*(A) by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: ''Before making an aircraft transfer described in subsection (c), the Secretary of the Air Force shall ensure that a written agreement regarding such transfer has been entered into between the Chief of Staff of the Air Force and the Director of the Air National Guard or the Chief of Air Force Reserve.''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (3), by striking ''depot'';*

*(2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:*

*''(b) SUBMITTAL OF AGREEMENTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND CONGRESS.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not take any action to transfer an aircraft until the Secretary ensures that the Air Force has complied with applicable Department of Defense regulations and, for a transfer described in subsection (c)(1), until the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees an agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) regarding the transfer of the aircraft.''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subsections:*

*''(c) COVERED AIRCRAFT TRANSFERS.—(1) An aircraft transfer described in this subsection is the transfer (other than as specified in paragraph (2)) from a reserve component of the Air Force to the regular component of the Air Force of—*

*''(A) the permanent assignment of an aircraft that terminates a reserve component's equitable interest in the aircraft; or*

*''(B) possession of an aircraft for a period in excess of 90 days.*

*''(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply to the following:*

*''(A) A routine temporary transfer of possession of an aircraft from a reserve component that is made solely for the benefit of the reserve component for the purpose of maintenance, upgrade, conversion, modification, or testing and evaluation.*

*''(B) A routine permanent transfer of assignment of an aircraft that terminates a reserve component's equitable interest in the aircraft if notice of the transfer has previously been provided to the congressional defense committees and the transfer has been approved by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to Department of Defense regulations.*

*''(C) A transfer described in paragraph (1)(A) when there is a reciprocal permanent assignment of an aircraft from the regular component of the Air Force to the reserve component that does not degrade the capability of, or reduce the total number of, aircraft assigned to the reserve component.*

*''(d) RETURN OF AIRCRAFT AFTER ROUTINE TEMPORARY TRANSFER.—In the case of an aircraft transferred from a reserve component of the Air Force to the regular component of the Air Force for which an agreement under subsection (a) is not required by reason of subparagraph (A) of subsection (c)(2), possession of the aircraft shall be transferred back to the reserve component upon completion of the work described in such subparagraph.''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a)(7) of such section is amended by striking ''Commander of the Air Force Reserve Command'' and inserting ''Chief of Air Force Reserve''.*

*(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO DELETE REFERENCES TO AIRCRAFT OWNERSHIP.—Subsection (a) of such section is further amended by striking ''the ownership of'' each place it appears.*

**SEC. 342. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPONSORSHIPS, ADVERTISING, OR MARKETING ASSOCIATED WITH SPORTS-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS OR SPORTING EVENTS.**

*No amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense by this Act or otherwise made available to the Department may be used for any sponsorship, advertising, or marketing associated with a sports-related organization or sporting event until the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, in consultation with the Director of Accessions Policy—*

*(1) conducts a review of current contracts and task orders for such sponsorships, advertising, and marketing (as awarded by the regular and reserve components of the Armed Forces) in order to assess—*

*(A) whether such sponsorships, advertising, and marketing are effective in meeting the recruiting objectives of the Department;*

*(B) whether consistent metrics are used to evaluate the effectiveness of each such activity in generating leads and recruit accessions; and*

*(C) whether the return on investment for such activities is sufficient to warrant continuing use of Department funds for such activities; and*

*(2) submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that includes—*

*(A) a description of the actions being taken to coordinate efforts of the Department relating to such sponsorships, advertising, and marketing, and to minimize duplicative contracts for such sponsorships, advertising, and marketing, as applicable; and*

*(B) the results of the review required by paragraph (1), including an assessment of the extent to which continuing use of Department funds for such sponsorships, advertising, and marketing is warranted in light of the review and the actions described pursuant to subparagraph (A).*

**SEC. 342A. PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTS TO FACILITATE PAYMENTS FOR HONORING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AT SPORTING EVENTS.**

*(a) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the Army National Guard has paid professional sports organizations to honor members of the Armed Forces;*

*(2) any organization wishing to honor members of the Armed Forces should do so on a voluntary basis, and the Department of Defense should take action to ensure that no payments be made for such activities in the future; and*

*(3) any organization, including the National Football League, that has accepted taxpayer funds to honor members of the Armed Forces should consider directing an equivalent amount of funding in the form of a donation to a charitable organization that supports members of the Armed Forces, veterans, and their families.*

*(b) PROHIBITION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 134 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2241a the following new section:*

***''§2241b. Prohibition on contracts providing payments for activities to honor members of the armed forces***

*''(a) PROHIBITION.—The Department of Defense may not enter into any contract or other agreement under which payments are to be made in exchange for activities by the contractor intended to honor, or giving the appearance of honoring, members of the armed forces (whether members of the regular components or the reserve components) at any form of sporting event.*

*''(b) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (a) shall be construed as prohibiting the Department from taking actions to facilitate activities intended to honor members of the armed forces at sporting events that are provided on a pro bono basis or otherwise funded with non-Federal funds if such activities are provided and received in accordance with applicable rules and regulations regarding the acceptance of gifts by the military departments, the armed forces, and members of the armed forces.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 134 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2241a the following new item:*

*''2241b. Prohibition on contracts providing payments for activities to honor members of the armed forces at sporting events.''.*

**SEC. 343. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO EXTEND CONTRACTS AND LEASES UNDER ARMS INITIATIVE.**

*Contracts or subcontracts entered into pursuant to section 4554(a)(3)(A) of title 10, United States Code, on or before the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act may include an option to extend the term of the contract or subcontract for an additional 25 years.*

### *Subtitle F—Other Matters*

**SEC. 351. STREAMLINING OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS.**

*(a) COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF HEADQUARTERS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a comprehensive review of the management and operational headquarters of the Department of Defense for purposes of consolidating and streamlining headquarters functions.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The review required by paragraph (1) shall address the following:*

*(A) The extent, if any, to which the staff of the Secretaries of the military departments and the Chiefs of Staff of the Armed Forces have duplicative staff functions and services and could be consolidated into a single service staff.*

*(B) The extent, if any, to which the staff of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the military departments, the Defense Agencies, and temporary organizations have duplicative staff functions and services and could be streamlined with respect to—*

*(i) performing oversight and making policy;*

*(ii) performing staff functions and services specific to the military department concerned;*

*(iii) performing multi-department staff functions and services; and*

*(iv) performing functions and services across the Department of Defense with respect to intelligence collection and analysis.*

*(C) The extent, if any, to which the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and their subordinate service component commands have duplicative staff functions and services that could be shared, consolidated, eliminated, or otherwise streamlined with—*

*(i) the Joint Staff performing oversight and execution;*

*(ii) the staff of the combatant commands performing only staff functions and services specific to the combatant command concerned; and*

*(iii) the staff of the service component commands of the combatant commands performing only staff functions and services specific to the service component command concerned.*

*(D) The extent, if any, to which reductions in military and civilian end-strength in management or operational headquarters could be used to create, build, or fill shortages in force structure for operational units.*

*(E) The extent, if any, to which revisions are required to the Defense Officers Personnel Management Act, including requirements for officers to serve in joint billets, the number of qualifying billets, the rank structure in the joint billets, and the joint qualification requirement for officers to be promoted while serving for extensive periods in critical positions such as program managers of major defense acquisition programs, and officers in units of component forces supporting joint commands, in order to achieve efficiencies, provide promotion fairness and equity, and obtain effective governance in the management of the Department of Defense.*

*(F) The structure and staffing of the Joint Staff, and the number, structure, and staffing of the combatant commands and their subordinate service component commands, including, in particular—*

*(i) whether or not the staff organization of each such entity has documented and periodically validated requirements for such entity;*

*(ii) whether or not there are an appropriate number of combatant commands relative to the requirements of the National Security Strategy, the Quadrennial Defense Review, and the National Military Strategy; and*

*(iii) whether or not opportunities exist to consolidate staff functions and services common to the Joint Staff and the service component commands into a single staff organization that provides the required functions, services, capabilities, and capacities to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and supported combatant commanders, and if so—*

*(I) where in the organizational structure such staff functions, services, capabilities, and capacities would be established; and*

*(II) whether or not the military departments could execute such staff functions, services, capabilities, and capacities while executing their requirements to organize, train, and equip the Armed Forces.*

*(G) The statutory and regulatory authority of the combatant commands to establish subordinate joint commands or headquarters, including joint task forces, led by a general or flag officer, and the extent, if any, to which the combatant commands have used such authority—*

*(i) to establish temporary or permanent subordinate joint commands or headquarters, including joint task forces, led by general or flag officers;*

*(ii) to disestablish temporary or permanent subordinate joint commands or headquarters, including joint task forces, led by general or flag officers;*

*(iii) to increase requirements for general and flag officers in the joint pool which are exempt from the end strength limitations otherwise applicable to general and flag officers in the Armed Forces;*

*(iv) to participate in the management of joint officer qualification in order to ensure the efficient and effective quality and quantity of officers needed to staff headquarters functions and services and return to the services officers with required professional experience and skills necessary to remain competitive for increased responsibility and authority through subsequent assignment or promotion, including by identifying—*

*(I) circumstances, if any, in which officers spend a disproportionate amount of time in their careers to attain joint officer qualifications with corresponding loss of opportunities to develop in the service-specific assignments needed to gain the increased proficiency and experience to qualify for service and command assignments; and*

*(II) circumstances, if any, in which the military departments detail officers to joint headquarters staffs in order to maximize the number of officers receiving joint duty credit with a focus on the quantity, instead of the quality, of officers achieving joint duty credit;*

*(v) to establish commanders' strategic planning groups, advisory groups, or similar parallel personal staff entities that could risk isolating function and staff processes, including an assessment of the justification used to establish such personal staff organizations and their impact on the effectiveness and efficiency of organizational staff functions, services, capabilities, and capacities; and*

*(vi) to ensure the identification and management of officers serving or having served in units in subordinate service component or joint commands during combat operations and did not receive joint credit for such service.*

*(3) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall, to the extent practicable and as the Secretary considers appropriate, conduct the review required by paragraph (1) in consultation with such experts on matters covered by the review who are independent of the Department of Defense.*

*(4) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 2016, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the results of the review required by paragraph (1).*

*(b) PLAN ON REDUCTION IN AMOUNTS USED FOR ADMINISTRATION IN FISCAL YEARS 2016 THROUGH 2019.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 31, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, and implement, a plan designed to ensure that the amount used by the Department of Defense for administration from amounts authorized to be appropriated for a fiscal year for operation and maintenance shall be as follows:*

*(A) In fiscal year 2016, an amount that is 7.5 percent less than the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, and available for administration (in this paragraph referred to as the ''fiscal year 2015 administration amount'').*

*(B) In fiscal year 2017, an amount that is 15 percent less than the fiscal year 2015 administration amount.*

*(C) In fiscal year 2018, an amount that is 22.5 percent less than the fiscal year 2015 administration amount.*

*(D) In fiscal year 2019, an amount that is 30 percent less than the fiscal year 2015 administration amount.*

*(2) ACHIEVEMENT OF REDUCTIONS.—As part of meeting the requirements in paragraph (1), the plan shall provide for reductions in personnel (including military and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense and contract personnel in support of the Department) in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the secretariats and military staffs of the military departments, the staffs of the Defense Agencies, the staffs of the Joint Staff, the staffs of the combatant commands, and the staffs of their subordinate service component commands.*

*(3) EXCLUSION.—The plan may not meet the requirements in paragraph (1) through reductions in funding for administration for the following:*

*(A) The United States Special Operations Command.*

*(B) The Department of Defense Education Activity.*

*(C) Any classified program.*

*(D) Any program relating to sexual assault prevention and response.*

*(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REPORTS.—Not later than 90 days after the end of each of fiscal years 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the assessment of the Comptroller General of the extent to which the Department of Defense met the applicable requirement in subsection (b)(1) during such fiscal year.*

*(d) LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CONTRACT PERSONNEL SUPPORT FOR OSD.—In each of fiscal years 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense and available for the Office of the Secretary of Defense may not be obligated or expended for contract personnel in support of the Office of the Secretary of Defense until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the applicable requirement in subsection (b)(1) was met during the preceding fiscal year.*

***SEC. 352. ADOPTION OF RETIRED MILITARY WORKING DOGS.***

*(a) TRANSFER FOR ADOPTION.—Subsection (f) of section 2583 of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking ''may transfer'' and inserting ''shall transfer''.*

*(b) LOCATION OF RETIREMENT.—Subsection (f) of such section is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;*

*(2) by inserting ''(1)'' before ''If the Secretary'';*

*(3) in paragraph (1), as designated by paragraph (2) of this subsection—*

*(A) by striking '', and no suitable adoption is available at the military facility where the dog is location,''; and*

*(B) in subparagraph (B), as designated by paragraph (1) of this subsection, by inserting ''within the United States'' after ''to another location''; and*

*(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph (2):*

*''(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply if a United States citizen living abroad adopts the dog at the time of retirement.''.*

*(c) PREFERENCE IN ADOPTION FOR FORMER HANDLERS.—Such section is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection (g):*

*''(g) PREFERENCE IN ADOPTION OF RETIRED MILITARY WORKING DOGS FOR FORMER HANDLERS.—(1) In providing for the adoption under this section of a retired military working dog described in paragraph (1) or (3) of subsection (a), the Secretary of the military department concerned shall accord a preference to the former handler of the dog unless the Secretary determines that adoption of the dog by the former handler would not be in the best interests of the dog.*

*''(2) In the case of a dog covered by paragraph (1) with more than one former handler seeking adoption of the dog at the time of adoption, the Secretary shall provide for the adoption of the dog by such former handler whose adoption of the dog will best serve the interests of the dog and such former handlers. The Secretary shall make any determination required by this paragraph with respect to a dog following consultation with the kennel master of the unit at which the dog was last located before adoption under this section.*

*''(3) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed as altering, revising, or overriding any policy of a military department for the adoption*

*of military working dogs by law enforcement agencies before the end of the dogs' useful lives.''.*

**SEC. 353. MODIFICATION OF REQUIRED REVIEW OF PROJECTS RELATING TO POTENTIAL OBSTRUCTIONS TO AVIATION.**

*Section 358 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4200; 49 U.S.C. 44718 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (c)—*

*(A) in paragraph (3), by striking ''from State and local officials or the developer of a renewable energy development or other energy project'' and inserting ''from a State government, an Indian tribal government, a local government, a landowner, or the developer of an energy project''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (4), by striking ''readiness, and'' and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting ''readiness and to clearly communicate actions being taken by the Department of Defense to the party requesting an early project review under this section.'';*

*(2) in subsection (d)(2)(B), by striking ''as high, medium, or low''; and*

*(3) in subsection (j), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(4) The term 'landowner' means a person or other legal entity that owns a fee interest in real property on which a proposed energy project is planned to be located.''.*

**SEC. 354. PILOT PROGRAM ON INTENSIVE INSTRUCTION IN CERTAIN ASIAN LANGUAGES.**

*(a) PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may, in consultation with the National Security Education Board, carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of providing scholarships in accordance with the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1901 et seq.) to individuals otherwise eligible for scholarships under that Act for intensive language instruction in a covered Asian language.*

*(b) COVERED ASIAN LANGUAGE.—For purposes of this section, a covered Asian language is any of the five Asian languages that would be treated as a language in which deficiencies exist for purposes of section 802(a)(1)(A) of the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1902(a)(2)(A)) if the National Security Education Board could treat an additional five Asian languages as a language in which such deficiencies exist.*

*(c) USE OF SCHOLARSHIPS.—Notwithstanding any provision of the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991, a scholarship awarded pursuant to the pilot program may be used for intensive language instruction in—*

*(1) the United States; or*

*(2) a country in which the covered Asian language concerned is spoken by a significant portion of the population (as determined by the Secretary for purposes of the pilot program).*

*(d) NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION BOARD DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''National Security Education Board'' means the National Security Education Board established pursuant to section 803 of the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1903).*

*(e) TERMINATION.—No scholarship may be awarded under the pilot program after the date that is five years after the date on which the pilot program is established.*

## TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

### Subtitle A—Active Forces

**SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES.**

*The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active duty personnel as of September 30, 2016, as follows:*

*(1) The Army, 475,000.*

*(2) The Navy, 329,200.*

*(3) The Marine Corps, 184,000.*

*(4) The Air Force, 317,000.*

**SEC. 402. ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY FOR MANAGEMENT OF END STRENGTHS FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

*(a) REPEAL OF SPECIFICATION OF PERMANENT END STRENGTHS TO SUPPORT TWO MAJOR REGIONAL CONTINGENCIES.—*

*(1) REPEAL.—Section 691 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 39 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 691.*

*(b) ENHANCED AUTHORITY FOR END STRENGTH MANAGEMENT.—*

*(1) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AUTHORITY.—Subsection (f) of section 115 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''increase'' each place it appears and inserting ''vary''.*

*(2) SERVICE SECRETARY AUTHORITY.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''increase'' each place it appears and inserting ''vary''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (2), by striking ''increase'' each place it appears and inserting ''variance''.*

### Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

**SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 2016, as follows:*

*(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 342,000.*

*(2) The Army Reserve, 198,000.*

*(3) The Navy Reserve, 57,400.*

*(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 38,900.*

*(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 105,500.*

*(6) The Air Force Reserve, 69,200.*

*(7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 7,000.*

*(b) END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS.—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component shall be proportionately reduced by—*

*(1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year; and*

*(2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.*

*(c) END STRENGTH INCREASES.—Whenever units or individual members of the Selected Reserve of any reserve component are released from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be increased proportionately by the total authorized strengths of such units and by the total number of such individual members.*

**SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.**

*(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:*

*(1) Several States routinely recruit and retain members of the Army National Guard of the United States in excess of State authorizations to offset States that do not recruit to State authorizations.*

*(2) The States that routinely recruit and retain members of the Army National Guard of the United States in excess of authorizations do not receive any extra full-time operational support duty personnel to support excess members.*

*(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that the National Guard Bureau should account for States that routinely recruit and retain members in excess of State authorizations when allocating full-time operational support duty personnel.*

*(c) END STRENGTHS.—Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411(a), the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 2016, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or full-time duty, in the case of members of the National Guard, for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components:*

*(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 30,770.*

*(2) The Army Reserve, 16,261.*

*(3) The Navy Reserve, 9,934.*

*(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 2,260.*

*(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 14,748.*

*(6) The Air Force Reserve, 3,032.*

*(d) ALLOCATION AMONG STATES.—In allocating Reserves on full-time duty in the Army National Guard of the United States authorized by subsection (c)(1) among the States, the Chief of the National Guard Bureau shall take into account the actual number of members of the Army National Guard of the United States serving in each State as of September 30 each year.*

**SEC. 413. END STRENGTHS FOR MILITARY TECHNICIANS (DUAL STATUS).**

*The minimum number of military technicians (dual status) as of the last day of fiscal year 2016 for the reserve components of the Army and the Air Force (notwithstanding section 129 of title 10, United States Code) shall be the following:*

*(1) For the Army National Guard of the United States, 26,099.*

*(2) For the Army Reserve, 7,395.*

*(3) For the Air National Guard of the United States, 22,104.*

*(4) For the Air Force Reserve, 9,814.*

**SEC. 414. FISCAL YEAR 2016 LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.**

*(a) LIMITATIONS.—*

*(1) NATIONAL GUARD.—Within the limitation provided in section 10217(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, the number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard as of September 30, 2016, may not exceed the following:*

*(A) For the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600.*

*(B) For the Air National Guard of the United States, 350.*

*(2) ARMY RESERVE.—The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Army Reserve as of September 30, 2016, may not exceed 595.*

*(3) AIR FORCE RESERVE.—The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Air Force Reserve as of September 30, 2016, may not exceed 90.*

*(b) NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''non-dual status technician'' has the meaning given that term in section 10217(a) of title 10, United States Code.*

**SEC. 415. MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESERVE PERSONNEL AUTHORIZED TO BE ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.**

*During fiscal year 2016, the maximum number of members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who may be serving at any time on full-time operational support duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, is the following:*

*(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 17,000.*

*(2) The Army Reserve, 13,000.*

*(3) The Navy Reserve, 6,200.*

*(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 3,000.*

*(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 16,000.*

*(6) The Air Force Reserve, 14,000.*

**SEC. 416. CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU AUTHORITY TO INCREASE CERTAIN END STRENGTHS APPLICABLE TO THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.**

*(a) AUTHORITY.—Subject to subsection (b), the Chief of the National Guard Bureau may increase each of the end strengths for fiscal year*

*2016 applicable to the Army National Guard as follows:*

*(1) The end strength for Selected Reserve personnel of the Army National Guard of the United States in section 411(a)(1) by up to 3,000 members in addition to the number specified in section 411(a)(1).*

*(2) The end strength for Reserves serving on full-time duty for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training for the Army National Guard of the United States specified in section 412(1) by up to 615 Reserves in addition to the number specified in section 412(1).*

*(3) The end strength for military technicians (dual status) for the Army National Guard of the United States specified in section 413(1) by up to 1,111 technicians in addition to the number specified in section 413(1).*

*(b) LIMITATION.—The Chief of the National Guard Bureau may increase an end strength using the authority in subsection (a) only if such increase is paid for out of funds appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.*

***Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations***

***SEC. 421. MILITARY PERSONNEL.***

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4401.*

*(b) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.—The authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) supersedes any other authorization of appropriations (definite or indefinite) for such purpose for fiscal year 2016.*

***TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY***

***Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy***

***SEC. 501. AUTHORITY OF PROMOTION BOARDS TO RECOMMEND OFFICERS OF PARTICULAR MERIT BE PLACED AT THE TOP OF THE PROMOTION LIST.***

*(a) AUTHORITY OF PROMOTION BOARDS TO RECOMMEND OFFICERS OF PARTICULAR MERIT BE PLACED AT TOP OF PROMOTION LIST.—Section 616 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(g)(1) In selecting the officers to be recommended for promotion, a selection board may, when authorized by the Secretary of the military department concerned, recommend officers of particular merit, from among those officers selected for promotion, to be placed at the top of the promotion list promulgated by the Secretary under section 624(a)(1) of this title.*

*''(2) The determination whether an officer is an officer of particular merit for purposes of this subsection shall be made in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Secretary of the military department concerned for such purposes.*

*''(3) The number of such officers placed at the top of the promotion list may not exceed the number equal to 10 percent of the maximum number of officers that the board is authorized to recommend for promotion in such competitive category. If the number determined under this subsection is less than one, the board may recommend one such officer.*

*''(4) No officer may be recommended to be placed at the top of the promotion list unless the officer receives the recommendation of at least three-quarters of the members of a board for such placement.*

*''(5) For the officers recommended to be placed at the top of the promotion list, the board shall recommend the order in which these officers should be promoted.''.*

*(b) OFFICERS OF PARTICULAR MERIT APPEARING AT TOP OF PROMOTION LIST.—Section 624(a)(1) of such title is amended by inserting '', except such officers of particular merit who were approved by the President and recommended by the board to be placed at the top of the promotion list under section 616(g) of this title as these officers shall be placed at the top of the promotion list in the order recommended by the board'' after ''officers on the active-duty list''.*

***SEC. 502. MINIMUM GRADES FOR CERTAIN CORPS AND RELATED POSITIONS IN THE ARMY, NAVY, AND AIR FORCE.***

*(a) ARMY.—*

*(1) CHIEF OF LEGISLATIVE LIAISON.—Section 3023(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking ''the grade of major general'' and inserting ''a grade above the grade of colonel''.*

*(2) ASSISTANT SURGEON GENERAL.—Section 3039(b) of such title is amended by striking the last sentence and inserting the following new sentence: ''An officer appointed to that position shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of colonel.''.*

*(3) CHIEF OF THE NURSE CORPS.—Section 3069(b) of such title is amended by striking ''whose regular grade'' and all that follows through ''major general.'' and inserting ''. An officer appointed to that position shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of colonel.''.*

*(4) CHIEF OF THE VETERINARY CORPS.—Section 3084 of such title is amended by striking the last sentence and inserting the following new sentence: ''An officer appointed to that position shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of lieutenant colonel.''.*

*(b) NAVY.—*

*(1) CHIEF OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS.—Section 5027(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''the grade of rear admiral'' and inserting ''a grade above the grade of captain''.*

*(2) CHIEF OF THE DENTAL CORPS.—Section 5138 of such title is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following new subsection (a):*

*''(a) There is a Chief of the Dental Corps in the Department of the Navy. An officer assigned to that position shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of captain.''; and*

*(B) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (b) and (c), respectively.*

*(3) DIRECTORS OF MEDICAL CORPS.—Section 5150(c) of such title is amended—*

*(A) in the first sentence, by striking ''for promotion'' and all that follows through the end of the sentence and inserting a period; and*

*(B) by inserting after the first sentence the following new sentence: ''An officer so selected shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of captain.''.*

*(c) AIR FORCE.—*

*(1) CHIEF OF LEGISLATIVE LIAISON.—Section 8023(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking ''the grade of major general'' and inserting ''a grade above the grade of colonel''.*

*(2) CHIEF OF THE NURSE CORPS.—Section 8069(b) of such title is amended by striking ''whose regular grade'' and all that follows through ''major general.'' and inserting ''. An officer appointed to that position shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of colonel.''.*

*(3) ASSISTANT SURGEON GENERAL FOR DENTAL SERVICES.—Section 8081 of such title is amended by striking the second sentence and inserting the following new sentence: ''An officer appointed to that position shall be an officer in a grade above the grade of colonel.''.*

*(d) TRANSITION.—In the case of an officer who on the date of the enactment of this Act is serving in a position that is covered by an amendment made by this section, the continued service of that officer in such position after the date of the enactment of this Act shall not be affected by that amendment.*

***SEC. 503. ENHANCEMENT OF MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORITIES IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.***

*(a) INCLUSION OF ACQUISITION MATTERS WITHIN JOINT MATTERS FOR OFFICER MANAGEMENT.—*

*(1) JOINT MATTERS.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 688 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking ''or'' at the end;*

*(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; or''; and*

*(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(E) acquisition addressed by military personnel acting under chapter 87 of this title.''.*

*(2) JOINT DUTY ASSIGNMENT.—Subsection (b)(1)(A) of such section is amended by striking ''limited to assignments in which'' and all that follows and inserting ''limited to—*

*''(i) assignments in which the officer gains significant experience in joint matters; and*

*''(ii) assignments pursuant to chapter 87 of this title; and''.*

*(b) REQUIREMENTS FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL IN THE ACQUISITION FIELD.—*

*(1) CONSULTATION OF SERVICE CHIEFS IN POLICIES AND GUIDANCE.—Subsection (a) of section 1722a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after ''such military department)'' the following: '', in consultation with the Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps (with respect to the armed force under the jurisdiction of each),''.*

*(2) ENHANCED CAREER PATHS FOR PERSONNEL.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting ''single-tracked'' before ''career path'';*

*(B) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively; and*

*(C) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):*

*''(2) A dual-tracked career path that attracts the highest quality officers and enlisted personnel and allows them to gain experience in, and receive credit for, a primary career in combat arms and a functional secondary career in the acquisition field in order to more closely align the military operational requirements and acquisition workforces of each armed force.''.*

*(c) JOINT PROFESSIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION.—*

*(1) INCLUSION OF BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL TRAINING IN JOINT PROFESSIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION.—Subsection (a) of section 2151 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) by inserting ''(1)'' before ''Joint professional military education''; and*

*(B) by striking the second sentence and inserting the following new paragraphs:*

*''(2) The subject matter to be covered by joint professional military education shall include at least the following:*

*''(A) National Military Strategy.*

*''(B) Joint planning at all levels of war.*

*''(C) Joint doctrine.*

*''(D) Joint command and control.*

*''(E) Joint force and joint requirements development.*

*''(F) Operational contract support.*

*''(3) In lieu of the subject matters covered by paragraph (2), or in supplement to one or more of such matters, the subject matter to be covered by joint professional military education may include subjects addressed in training programs under section 2013(a) of this title by, in, or through organizations described in paragraph (2)(D) of that section.''.*

*(2) SENIOR LEVEL SERVICE SCHOOLS.—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(E) A training program section 2013(a) of this title by, in, or through an organization described in paragraph (2)(D) of that section.''.*

*(3) THREE-PHASE APPROACH.—Section 2154(a)(2) of such title is amended—*

*(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking ''in residence at'';*

*(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraph (A):*

*''(A) in residence at the Joint Forces Staff College;''; and*

*(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking ''a senior level service school'' and inserting ''in residence*

*at a senior level service school, or by, in, or though a senior level service school described in section 2151(b)(1)(E) of this title,''.*

*(4) JOINT PROFESSIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION PHASE II.—Section 2155 of such title is amended—*

*(A) in subsection (b)—*

*(i) in the subsection caption, by inserting ''FOR JOINT MILITARY SUBJECTS'' after ''PHASE II REQUIREMENTS''; and*

*(ii) by inserting ''described in section 2151(a)(2) of this title'' after ''joint professional military education'';*

*(B) in subsection (c)—*

*(i) in the subsection caption, by inserting ''FOR JOINT MILITARY SUBJECTS'' after ''CURRICULUM CONTENT'';*

*(ii) by striking ''section 2151(a)'' and inserting ''section 2151(a)(2)''; and*

*(iii) by inserting ''described in such section'' after ''joint professional military education'';*

*(C) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e);*

*(D) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):*

*''(d) CURRICULUM CONTENT FOR BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL TRAINING.—The curriculum for Phase II joint professional military education described in section 2151(a)(3) of this title shall include such matters as the Secretary shall specify in connection with training programs described in that section in order to satisfy requirements for successful performance in the acquisition or acquisition-related field.''; and*

*(E) in subsection (e), as redesignated by subparagraph (C), by inserting ''(other than a service school described in section 2151(b)(1)(E) of this title)'' after ''senior level service school''.*

*(d) ACQUISITION-RELATED FUNCTIONS OF SERVICE CHIEFS.—Section 2547 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b), by striking ''this subsection'' the first place it appears and inserting ''subsection (a)'';*

*(2) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and*

*(3) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):*

*''(c) ANNUAL REPORT ON PROMOTION RATES FOR OFFICERS IN ACQUISITION POSITIONS.—(1) Not later than January 1 each year, the Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps shall each submit to Congress a report on the promotion rates during the preceding fiscal year of officers who are serving in, or have served in, positions covered by chapter 87 of this title, and officers who have been certified under that chapter, in the grades specified in paragraph (2). If promotion rates for any such grade of officers failed to meet objectives for the fiscal year concerned for promotion rates for such grade, the chief of the armed force concerned shall include in the report for such fiscal year information on such failure and on the actions taken or to be taken by such chief to prevent further such failures.*

*''(2) The grades specified in this paragraph are as follows:*

*''(A) The grade of colonel (or captain, in the case of the Navy).*

*''(B) The grade of lieutenant colonel (or commander, in the case of the Navy).*

*''(C) The grade of major (or lieutenant commander, in the case of the Navy).''.*

***SEC. 504. ENHANCED FLEXIBILITY FOR DETERMINATION OF OFFICERS TO CONTINUE ON ACTIVE DUTY AND FOR SELECTIVE EARLY RETIREMENT AND EARLY DISCHARGE.***

*Section 638a(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''officers considered—'' and all that follows and inserting ''officers considered.''.*

***SEC. 505. AUTHORITY TO DEFER UNTIL AGE 68 MANDATORY RETIREMENT FOR AGE OF A GENERAL OR FLAG OFFICER SERVING AS CHIEF OR DEPUTY CHIEF OF CHAPLAINS OF THE ARMY, NAVY, OR AIR FORCE.***

*(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 1253 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(c) EXCEPTION FOR CHIEFS OF CHAPLAINS AND DEPUTY CHIEFS OF CHAPLAINS.—The Secretary of the military department concerned may defer the retirement under subsection (a) of an officer serving in a general or flag officer grade who is the Chief of Chaplains or Deputy Chief of Chaplains of that officer's armed force. Such a deferment may not extend beyond the first day of the month following the month in which the officer becomes 68 years of age.''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended by striking ''**exception**'' and inserting ''**exceptions**''.*

*(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 63 of such title is amended in the item relating to section 1253 by striking ''exception'' and inserting ''exceptions''.*

***SEC. 506. REINSTATEMENT OF ENHANCED AUTHORITY FOR SELECTIVE EARLY DISCHARGE OF WARRANT OFFICERS.***

*Section 580a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by striking ''November 30, 1993, and ending on October 1, 1999'' and inserting ''October 1, 2015, and ending on October 1, 2019''; and*

*(2) in subsection (c)—*

*(A) by striking paragraph (3); and*

*(B) by redesignating paragraphs (4) and (5) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively.*

***SEC. 507. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT WARRANT OFFICER RETIRED GRADE DETERMINATIONS.***

*Section 1371 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by inserting ''highest'' after ''in the''; and*

*(2) by striking ''that he held on the day before the date of his retirement, or in any higher warrant officer grade''.*

***Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management***

***SEC. 511. AUTHORITY TO DESIGNATE CERTAIN RESERVE OFFICERS AS NOT TO BE CONSIDERED FOR SELECTION FOR PROMOTION.***

*Section 14301 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(j) CERTAIN OFFICERS NOT TO BE CONSIDERED FOR SELECTION FOR PROMOTION.—The Secretary of the military department concerned may provide that an officer who is in an active status, but is in a duty status in which the only points the officer accrues under section 12732(a)(2) of this title are pursuant to subparagraph (C)(i) of that section (relating to membership in a reserve component), shall not be considered for selection for promotion at any time the officer otherwise would be so considered. Any such officer may remain on the reserve active-status list.''.*

***SEC. 512. CLARIFICATION OF PURPOSE OF RESERVE COMPONENT SPECIAL SELECTION BOARDS AS LIMITED TO CORRECTION OF ERROR AT A MANDATORY PROMOTION BOARD.***

*Section 14502(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1)—*

*(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking ''a selection board'' and inserting ''a mandatory promotion board convened under section 14101(a) of this title''; and*

*(B) in subparagraphs (A) and (B), by striking ''selection board'' and inserting ''mandatory promotion board''; and*

*(2) in the first sentence of paragraph (3), by striking ''selection board'' and inserting ''mandatory promotion board''.*

***SEC. 513. RECONCILIATION OF CONTRADICTORY PROVISIONS RELATING TO CITIZENSHIP QUALIFICATIONS FOR ENLISTMENT IN THE RESERVE COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*Section 12102(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:*

*''(1) that person has met the citizenship or residency requirements established in section 504(b)(1) of this title; or*

*''(2) that person is authorized to enlist by the Secretary concerned under section 504(b)(2) of this title.''.*

***SEC. 514. AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN AIR FORCE RESERVE COMPONENT PERSONNEL TO PROVIDE TRAINING AND INSTRUCTION REGARDING PILOT INSTRUCTOR TRAINING.***

*(a) AUTHORITY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—During fiscal year 2016, the Secretary of the Air Force may authorize personnel described in paragraph (2) to provide training and instruction regarding pilot instructor training to the following:*

*(A) Members of the Armed Forces on active duty.*

*(B) Members of foreign military forces who are in the United States.*

*(2) PERSONNEL.—The personnel described in this paragraph are the following:*

*(A) Members of the reserve components of the Air Force on active Guard and Reserve duty (as that term is defined in section 101(d) of title 10, United States Code) who are not otherwise authorized to conduct the training described in paragraph (1) due to the limitations in section 10216 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(B) Members of the Air Force who are military technicians (dual status) who are not otherwise authorized to conduct the training described in paragraph (1) due to the limitations in section 328(b) of title 32, United States Code*

*(3) LIMITATION.—The total number of personnel described in paragraph (2) who may provide training and instruction under the authority in paragraph (1) at any one time may not exceed 50.*

*(4) FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT.—Members of the uniformed services described in paragraph (2) who provide training and instruction pursuant to the authority in paragraph (1) shall be covered by the Federal Tort Claims Act for purposes of any claim arising from the employment of such individuals under that authority.*

*(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth a plan to eliminate pilot instructor shortages within the Air Force using authorities available to the Secretary under current law.*

***Subtitle C—General Service Authorities***

***SEC. 521. DUTY REQUIRED FOR ELIGIBILITY FOR PRESEPARATION COUNSELING FOR MEMBERS BEING DISCHARGED OR RELEASED FROM ACTIVE DUTY.***

*(a) REQUIREMENT FOR 180 CONTINUOUS DAYS OF ACTIVE DUTY SERVICE FOR ELIGIBILITY.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1142(a)(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting ''continuous'' after ''first 180''.*

*(b) EXCLUSION OF TRAINING FROM PERIODS OF ACTIVE DUTY.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(C) For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term 'active duty' does not include full-time training duty, annual training duty, and attendance, while in the active military service, at a school designated as a service school by law or by the Secretary of the military department concerned.''.*

***SEC. 522. EXPANSION OF PILOT PROGRAMS ON CAREER FLEXIBILITY TO ENHANCE RETENTION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*Section 533 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009*

*(10 U.S.C. prec. 701 note) is amended by striking subsections (b) and (c).*

**SEC. 523. SENSE OF SENATE ON DEVELOPMENT OF GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STANDARDS FOR OCCUPATIONAL ASSIGNMENTS IN THE ARMED FORCES.**

*(a) FINDING.—The Senate remains interested in the integration of women into the combat arms of the Armed Forces and the development of gender-neutral occupational standards for occupational assignments in the Armed Forces.*

*(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the development of gender-neutral occupational standards is vital in determining the occupational assignments of all members of the Armed Forces;*

*(2) studies being conducted by the Armed Forces are important to the development of these standards and should incorporate the best scientific practices available; and*

*(3) the Armed Forces should consider such studies on these standards carefully in order to ensure that—*

*(A) such studies do not result in unnecessary barriers to service in the Armed Forces; and*

*(B) all decisions on occupational assignments in the Armed Forces—*

*(i) are based on an objective analysis of the tasks required to perform the occupational assignment concerned; and*

*(ii) do not negatively impact the required combat capabilities of the Armed Forces, including units whose primary mission is to engage in direct combat at the tactical level.*

**SEC. 524. SENSE OF CONGRESS RECOGNIZING THE DIVERSITY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:*

*(1) The United States military includes individuals with a variety of national, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds that have roots all over the world.*

*(2) In addition to diverse backgrounds, members of the Armed Forces come from numerous religious traditions, including Christian, Hindu, Jewish, Muslim, Sikh, non-denominational, nonpracticing, and many more.*

*(3) Members of the Armed Forces from diverse backgrounds and religious traditions have lost their lives or been injured defending the national security of the United States.*

*(4) Diversity contributes to the strength of the Armed Forces, and service members from different backgrounds and religious traditions share the same goal of defending the United States.*

*(5) The unity of the Armed Forces reflects the strength in diversity that makes the United States a great Nation.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the United States should—*

*(1) continue to recognize and promote diversity in the Armed Forces; and*

*(2) honor those from all diverse backgrounds and religious traditions who have made sacrifices in serving the United States through the Armed Forces.*

## *Subtitle D—Member Education and Training*

### *PART I—EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE REFORM*

**SEC. 531. LIMITATION ON TUITION ASSISTANCE FOR OFF-DUTY TRAINING OR EDUCATION.**

*Section 2007(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", but only if the Secretary determines that such education or training is likely to contribute to the member's professional development" after "during the member's off-duty periods".*

**SEC. 532. TERMINATION OF PROGRAM OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS SUPPORTING CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS AND OTHER OPERATIONS.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***"§ 16167. Sunset***

*"(a) SUNSET.—The authority to provide educational assistance under this chapter shall terminate on the date that is four years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.*

*"(b) LIMITATION ON PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE PENDING SUNSET.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 and ending on the date that is four years after the date of the enactment of that Act, educational assistance may be provided under this chapter only to a member otherwise eligible for educational assistance under this chapter who received educational assistance under this chapter for a course of study at an educational institution for the enrollment period at the educational institution that immediately preceded the date of the enactment of that Act.".*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1607 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*"16167. Sunset.".*

**SEC. 533. REPORTS ON EDUCATIONAL LEVELS ATTAINED BY CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AT TIME OF SEPARATION FROM THE ARMED FORCES.**

*(a) ANNUAL REPORTS REQUIRED.—Each Secretary concerned shall submit to Congress each year a report on the educational levels attained by members of the Armed Forces described in subsection (b) under the jurisdiction of such Secretary who separated from the Armed Forces during the preceding year.*

*(b) COVERED MEMBERS.—The members of the Armed Forces described in this subsection are members of the Armed Forces who transferred unused education benefits to family members pursuant to section 3319 of title 38, United States Code, while serving as members of the Armed Forces.*

*(c) SECRETARY CONCERNED DEFINED.—In this section, the term "Secretary concerned" has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.*

**SEC. 534. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON TRANSFERABILITY OF UNUSED EDUCATION BENEFITS TO FAMILY MEMBERS.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—It is the sense of Congress that each Secretary concerned should—*

*(1) exercise the authority in section 3319(a) of title 38, United States Code, relating to the transferability of unused education benefits to family members, in a manner that encourages the retention of individuals in the Armed Forces; and*

*(2) be more selective in permitting such transferability.*

*(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms "Armed Forces" and "Secretary concerned" have the meaning given such terms in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.*

**SEC. 535. NO ENTITLEMENT TO UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE WHILE RECEIVING POST-9/11 EDUCATION ASSISTANCE.**

*Section 8525(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking "or" after the semicolon;*

*(2) in paragraph (2), by striking the period and inserting "; or"; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*"(3) an educational assistance allowance under chapter 33 of title 38.".*

### *PART II—OTHER MATTERS*

**SEC. 536. REPEAL OF STATUTORY SPECIFICATION OF MINIMUM DURATION OF IN-RESIDENT INSTRUCTION FOR COURSES OF INSTRUCTION OFFERED AS PART OF PHASE II JOINT PROFESSIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION.**

*(a) REPEAL OF STATUTORY REQUIREMENT FOR IN-RESIDENT INSTRUCTION.—Section 2154(a)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "taught in residence at" and inserting "offered through".*

*(b) REPEAL OF STATUTORY DURATIONAL MINIMUM.—*

*(1) REPEAL.—Section 2156 of such title is repealed.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 107 of such title amended by striking the item relating to section 2156.*

**SEC. 537. QUALITY ASSURANCE OF CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS AND STANDARDS FOR PROFESSIONAL CREDENTIALS OBTAINED BY MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

*Section 2015 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 551 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3376), is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):*

*"(c) QUALITY ASSURANCE OF CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS AND STANDARDS.—(1) Commencing not later than three years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, each Secretary concerned shall ensure that any credentialing program used in connection with the program under subsection (a) is accredited by an accreditation body that meets the requirements specified in paragraph (2).*

*"(2) The requirements for accreditation bodies specified in this paragraph are requirements that an accreditation body—*

*"(A) be an independent body that has in place mechanisms to ensure objectivity and impartiality in its accreditation activities;*

*"(B) meet a recognized national or international standard that directs its policy and procedures regarding accreditation;*

*"(C) apply a recognized national or international certification standard in making its accreditation decisions regarding certification bodies and programs;*

*"(D) conduct on-site visits, as applicable, to verify the documents and records submitted by credentialing bodies for accreditation;*

*"(E) have in place policies and procedures to ensure due process when addressing complaints and appeals regarding its accreditation activities;*

*"(F) conduct regular training to ensure consistent and reliable decisions among reviewers conducting accreditations; and*

*"(G) meet such other criteria as the Secretary concerned considers appropriate in order to ensure quality in its accreditation activities.".*

**SEC. 538. SUPPORT FOR ATHLETIC PROGRAMS OF THE UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 403 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***"§ 4362. Support of athletic and physical fitness programs***

*"(a) AUTHORITY.—*

*"(1) CONTRACTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary of the Army may enter into contracts and cooperative agreements with the Army West Point Athletic Association for the purpose of supporting the athletic and physical fitness programs of the Academy. Notwithstanding section 2304(k) of this title, the Secretary may enter such contracts or cooperative agreements on a sole source basis pursuant to section 2304(c)(5) of this title. Notwithstanding chapter 63 of title 31, a cooperative agreement under this section may be used to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the Academy.*

*"(2) FINANCIAL CONTROLS.—(A) Before entering into a contract or cooperative agreement*

*under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ensure that such contract or agreement includes appropriate financial controls to account for Academy and Association resources in accordance with accepted accounting principles.*

*''(B) Any such contract or cooperative agreement shall contain a provision that allows the Secretary, at the Secretary's discretion, to review the financial accounts of the Association to determine whether the operations of the Association—*

*''(i) are consistent with the terms of the contract or cooperative agreement; and*

*''(ii) will not compromise the integrity or appearance of integrity of any program of the Department of the Army.*

*''(3) LEASES.—Section 2667(h) of this title shall not apply to any leases the Secretary may enter into with the Association for the purpose of supporting the athletic and physical fitness programs of the Academy.*

*''(b) SUPPORT SERVICES.—*

*''(1) AUTHORITY.—To the extent required by a contract or cooperative agreement under subsection (a), the Secretary may provide support services to the Association while the Association conducts its support activities at the Academy. The Secretary may provide support services described in paragraph (2) only if the Secretary determines that the provision of such services is essential for the support of the athletic and physical fitness programs of the Academy.*

*''(2) SUPPORT SERVICES DEFINED.—(A) In this subsection, the term 'support services' includes utilities, office furnishings and equipment, communications services, records staging and archiving, audio and video support, and security systems in conjunction with the leasing or licensing of property.*

*''(B) Such term includes—*

*''(i) housing for Association personnel on United States Army Garrison, West Point, New York; and*

*''(ii) enrollment of dependents of Association personnel in elementary and secondary schools under the same criteria applied to dependents of Federal employees under section 2164(a) of this title, except that educational services provided pursuant to this clause shall be provided on a reimbursable basis.*

*''(3) NO LIABILITY OF THE UNITED STATES.—Any such support services may only be provided without any liability of the United States to the Association.*

*''(c) ACCEPTANCE OF SUPPORT.—*

*''(1) SUPPORT RECEIVED FROM THE ASSOCIATION.—Notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31, the Secretary may accept from the Association funds, supplies, and services for the support of the athletic and physical fitness programs of the Academy. For the purposes of this section, employees or personnel of the Association may not be considered to be employees of the United States.*

*''(2) FUNDS RECEIVED FROM NCAA.—The Secretary may accept funds from the National Collegiate Athletic Association to support the athletic and physical fitness programs of the Academy.*

*''(3) LIMITATION.—The Secretary shall ensure that contributions under this subsection and expenditure of funds pursuant to subsection (e) do not reflect unfavorably on the ability of the Department of the Army, any of its employees, or any member of the armed forces to carry out any responsibility or duty in a fair and objective manner, or compromise the integrity or appearance of integrity of any program of the Department of the Army, or any individual involved in such a program.*

*''(d) TRADEMARKS AND SERVICE MARKS.—*

*''(1) LICENSING, MARKETING, AND SPONSORSHIP AGREEMENTS.—An agreement under subsection (a) may, consistent with section 2260 of this title (other than subsection (d) of such section), authorize the Association to enter into licensing, marketing, and sponsorship agreements relating to trademarks and service marks identifying the Academy, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Army.*

*''(2) LIMITATIONS.—No licensing, marketing, or sponsorship agreement may be entered into under paragraph (1) if—*

*''(A) such agreement would reflect unfavorably on the ability of the Department of the Army, any of its employees, or any member of the armed forces to carry out any responsibility or duty in a fair and objective manner; or*

*''(B) the Secretary determines that the use of the trademark or service mark would compromise the integrity or appearance of integrity of any program of the Department of the Army, or any individual involved in such a program.*

*''(e) RETENTION AND USE OF FUNDS.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—Any funds received by the Secretary under this section other than money rentals received for property leased pursuant to section 2667 of this title shall be used by the Academy for one or more of the following purposes:*

*''(A) To benefit participating cadets.*

*''(B) To enhance the ability of the Academy to compete against other colleges and universities.*

*''(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds described in paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended.*

*''(f) SERVICE ON ASSOCIATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—The Association is a designated entity for which authorization under sections 1033(a) and 1589(a) of this title may be provided.*

*''(g) CONDITIONS.—The authority provided in this section with respect to the Association is available only so long as the Association continues—*

*''(1) to qualify as a nonprofit organization under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and operates in accordance with this section, the law of the State of New York, and the constitution and bylaws of the Association; and*

*''(2) to operate exclusively to support the athletic and physical fitness programs of the Academy.*

*''(h) ASSOCIATION DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'Association' means the Army West Point Athletic Association.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 403 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''4362. Support of athletic and physical fitness programs.''.*

***SEC. 539. ONLINE ACCESS TO THE HIGHER EDUCATION COMPONENT OF THE TRANSITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.***

*(a) NOTICE TO PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS OF AVAILABILITY OF COMPONENT ONLINE THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—If a member of the Armed Forces, veteran, or dependent requests a certificate of eligibility from the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to prove the eligibility of the member, veteran, or dependent, as the case may be, for educational assistance under chapter 33 of title 38, United States Code, the Secretary shall notify the member, veteran, or dependent of the availability of the higher education component of the Transition Assistance Program (TAP) on the Transition GPS Standalone Training Internet website of the Department of Defense.*

*(b) AVAILABILITY OF COMPONENT ONLINE THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall, in collaboration with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, assess the feasibility of—*

*(A) providing access for veterans and dependents to the higher education component of the Transition Assistance Program on the eBenefits Internet website of the Department of Veterans Affairs; and*

*(B) tracking the completion of that component through that Internet website.*

*(2) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report setting forth a description of the cost and length of time required to provide access and begin tracking completion of the higher education component of the Transition Assistance Program as described in paragraph (1).*

***Subtitle E—Military Justice***

***SEC. 546. MODIFICATION OF RULE 304 OF THE MILITARY RULES OF EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE CORROBORATION OF A CONFESSION OR ADMISSION.***

*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Rule 304(c) of the Military Rules of Evidence shall be modified as follows:*

*(1) To provide that an admission or a confession of the accused may be considered as evidence against the accused on the question of guilt or innocence only if independent evidence, either direct or circumstantial, has been admitted into evidence which would tend to establish the trustworthiness of the admission or confession.*

*(2) To provide that not every element or fact contained in the admission or confession must be independently proven for the admission or confession to be admitted into evidence in its entirety.*

*(3) To strike the rule that if independent evidence raises an inference of the truth of some but not all of the essential facts admitted, the confession or admission may be considered as evidence against the accused only with respect to those essential facts stated in the confession or admission that are corroborated by the independent evidence.*

*(4) With respect to the quantum of evidence needed to establish corroboration, to provide that the independent evidence need raise only an inference of the truth of the admission or confession.*

***SEC. 547. MODIFICATION OF RULE 104 OF THE RULES FOR COURTS-MARTIAL TO ESTABLISH CERTAIN PROHIBITIONS CONCERNING EVALUATIONS OF SPECIAL VICTIMS' COUNSEL.***

*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Rule 104(b) of the Rules for Courts-Martial shall be modified to provide that the prohibitions concerning evaluations established by that Rule shall apply to the giving of a less favorable rating or evaluation to any member of the Armed Forces serving as a Special Victims' Counsel because of the zeal with which such counsel represented a victim.*

***SEC. 548. RIGHT OF VICTIMS OF OFFENSES UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE TO TIMELY DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN MATERIALS AND INFORMATION IN CONNECTION WITH PROSECUTION OF OFFENSES.***

*Section 806b(a) of title 10, United States Code (article 6b(a) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraphs (3) through (8) as paragraphs (4) through (9), respectively; and*

*(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):*

*''(3) The right to the timely disclosure by trial counsel to the victim (or the Special Victims' Counsel of the victim if the victim is so represented) of the following:*

*''(A) Any charges and specifications related to the offense.*

*''(B) Any motions filed by trial counsel or defense counsel in connection with the court-martial of the offense, unless otherwise protected from disclosure.*

*''(C) All statements by the accused related to the offense.*

*''(D) Any statement by the victim in connection with the offense that is in the possession of the government.*

*''(E) Any portions relating to the victim in any report of an investigation of the offense that is in the possession of the government.*

*''(F) In the event the staff judge advocate advises pursuant to section 834 of this title (article*

*34) that any charge or specification in connection with the offense not be referred for trial, the advice making such recommendation, with such advice to be so provided before the convening authority acts on the advice.''.*

***SEC. 549. ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS BY THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS.***

*Section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):*

*''(d) ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN RIGHTS BY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS.—(1)(A) If the victim of an offense under this chapter believes that a preliminary hearing ruling under section 832 of this title (article 32), or a court-martial ruling, violates the victim's rights afforded by a section (article) or rule specified in paragraph (2), the victim may file an interlocutory appeal of such ruling by petitioning the Court of Criminal Appeals for an order to require the judge advocate conducting such preliminary hearing, or the court-martial, as the case may be, to comply with the section (article) or rule, as applicable.*

*''(B) A victim of an offense under this chapter who is subject to an order to submit to a deposition notwithstanding the fact that the victim shall be available to testify at the court-martial of the offense may file an interlocutory appeal of such order by petitioning the Court of Criminal Appeals for an order to quash such order.*

*''(C) The Court of Criminal Appeals shall provide a de novo review of the question or questions raised by a petition filed under this paragraph. A single judge or panel of judges shall take up and decide the petition within 72 hours after the petition is filed.*

*''(2) Paragraph (1)(A) applies with respect to the protections afforded by the following:*

*''(A) This section (article).*

*''(B) Military Rule of Evidence 412, relating to the admission of evidence regarding a victim's sexual background.*

*''(C) Military Rule of Evidence 513, relating to the psychotherapist-patient privilege.*

*''(D) Military Rule of Evidence 514, relating to the victim advocate-victim privilege.*

*''(E) Military Rule of Evidence 615, relating to the exclusion of witnesses.*

*''(3) The proceedings of a preliminary hearing under section 832 of this title (article 32), or a court-martial, may not be stayed or subject to a continuance of more than five days for purposes of enforcing this subsection. If the Court of Criminal Appeals denies the relief sought, the reasons for the denial shall be clearly stated on the record in a written opinion.''.*

***SEC. 550. RELEASE TO VICTIMS UPON REQUEST OF COMPLETE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY OF COURTS-MARTIAL IN CASES IN WHICH SENTENCES ADJUDGED COULD INCLUDE PUNITIVE DISCHARGE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 854(e) of title 10, United States Code (article 54(e) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—*

*(1) by inserting ''(1)'' after ''(e)'';*

*(2) in paragraph (1), as so designated, by inserting ''or the victim requests such records'' before the period at the end of the first sentence; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:*

*''(2) In the case of a general or special court-martial involving an offense (other than an offense covered by paragraph (1)) for which the sentence as adjudged could include punitive discharge from the armed forces, a copy of all prepared records of the proceedings of the court-martial shall be given to the victim of the offense if the victim requests such records.*

*''(3) Records given to a victim under this subsection at the request of the victim in a case where the court-martial concerned resulted in the acquittal of the accused may include restrictions on release or use of such records or information in such records in order to protect the privacy or other interests of the accused.''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to courts-martial first convened on or after that date.*

***SEC. 551. REPRESENTATION AND ASSISTANCE OF VICTIMS BY SPECIAL VICTIMS' COUNSEL IN QUESTIONING BY MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS.***

*Section 1044e(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(3)(A) In carrying out paragraph (1), a military criminal investigator seeking to question an individual eligible for the assistance of a Special Victims' Counsel under this section shall inform the individual of the individual's right to be represented by a Special Victims' Counsel in connection with such questioning.*

*''(B) If an individual described in subparagraph (A) requests representation by a Special Victims' Counsel in connection with questioning described in that subparagraph—*

*''(i) a Special Victims' Counsel shall represent and assist the individual during and in connection with such questioning;*

*''(ii) the military criminal investigator shall contact and question the individual only through the Special Victims' Counsel representing the individual; and*

*''(iii) the military criminal investigation may not contact or question the individual without the consent of such Special Victims' Counsel.*

*''(C) Nothing in this paragraph confers any right on an accused under investigation.*

*''(D) A violation of this paragraph shall not be a basis for the suppression of any statement of an individual described in subparagraph (A), or derivative evidence of such a statement, in a proceeding against a person accused with committing an offense against such individual.''.*

***SEC. 552. AUTHORITY OF SPECIAL VICTIMS' COUNSEL TO PROVIDE LEGAL CONSULTATION AND ASSISTANCE IN CONNECTION WITH VARIOUS GOVERNMENT PROCEEDINGS.***

*Section 1044e(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraph (9) as paragraph (10); and*

*(2) by inserting after paragraph (8) the following new paragraph (9):*

*''(9) Legal consultation and assistance in connection with—*

*''(A) any complaint against the Government, including an allegation under review by an inspector general and a complaint regarding equal employment opportunities;*

*''(B) any request to the Government for information, including a request under section 552a of title 5 (commonly referred to as a 'Freedom of Information Act request'); and*

*''(C) any correspondence or other communications with Congress.''.*

***SEC. 553. ENHANCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESTRICTED REPORTING OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY.***

*(a) PREEMPTION OF STATE LAW TO ENSURE CONFIDENTIALITY OF REPORTING.—Subsection (b) of section 1565b of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(3) In the case of information disclosed pursuant to paragraph (1), any State law or regulation that would require an individual specified in paragraph (2) to disclose the personally identifiable information of the adult victim or alleged perpetrator of the sexual assault to a State or local law enforcement agency shall not apply, except when reporting is necessary to prevent or mitigate a serious and imminent threat to the health or safety of an individual.''.*

*(b) CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE.—Paragraph (1) of such subsection is amended by striking ''a dependent'' and inserting ''an adult dependent''.*

*(c) DEFINITIONS.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) SEXUAL ASSAULT.—The term 'sexual assault' includes the offenses of rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, and attempts to commit such offenses, as punishable under applicable Federal or State law.*

*''(2) STATE.—The term 'State' includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and any territory or possession of the United States.''.*

***SEC. 554. ESTABLISHMENT OF OFFICE OF COMPLEX INVESTIGATIONS WITHIN THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 10509. Office of Complex Investigations***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—There is in the National Guard Bureau an Office of Complex Investigations (in this section referred to as the 'Office') under the authority, direction, and control of the Chief of the National Guard Bureau.*

*''(b) DISPOSITION AND FUNCTIONS.—The Office shall be organized, trained, equipped, and managed to conduct administrative investigations in order to assist the States in the organization, maintenance, and operation of the National Guard as follows:*

*''(1) In investigations of allegations of sexual assault involving members of the National Guard.*

*''(2) In Investigations in circumstances involving members of the National Guard in which other law enforcement agencies within the Department of Defense do not have, or have limited, jurisdiction or authority to investigate.*

*''(3) In investigations in such other circumstances involving members of the National Guard as the Chief of the National Guard Bureau may direct.*

*''(c) SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIVE AUTHORITY.—Individuals performing investigations described in subsection (b)(1) are authorized—*

*''(1) to have access to all records, reports, audits, reviews, documents, papers, recommendations, or other material available to the applicable establishment which relate to programs and operations with respect to the National Guard; and*

*''(2) to request such information or assistance as may be necessary for carrying out those duties from any Federal, State, or local governmental agency or unit thereof.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1101 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''10509. Office of Complex Investigations.''.*

***SEC. 555. MODIFICATION OF DEADLINE FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION, PROSECUTION, AND DEFENSE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE ARMED FORCES.***

*Section 546(a)(2) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3374; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by striking ''not later than'' and all that follows and inserting ''not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.''.*

***SEC. 556. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REPORTS ON PREVENTION AND RESPONSE TO SEXUAL ASSAULT BY THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD AND THE ARMY RESERVE.***

*(a) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 2016, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report on the preliminary assessment of the Comptroller General (made pursuant to a review conducted by*

*the Comptroller General for purposes of this section) of the extent to which the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve—*

*(1) have in place policies and programs to prevent and respond to incidents of sexual assault involving members of the Army National Guard or the Army Reserve, as applicable;*

*(2) provide medical and mental health care services to members of the Army National Guard or the Army Reserve, as applicable, following a sexual assault; and*

*(3) have identified whether the nature of service in the Army National Guard or the Army Reserve, as the case may be, poses challenges to the prevention of or response to sexual assault.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL REPORTS.—If after submitting the report required by subsection (a) the Comptroller General makes additional assessments as a result of the review described in that subsection, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress such reports on such additional assessments as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.*

***SEC. 557. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE SERVICE OF MILITARY FAMILIES AND ON SENTENCING RETIREMENT-ELIGIBLE MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) Military families serve alongside their member of the Armed Forces, enduring hardships, lending support, and contributing to the member's career. These family members endure frequent moves, long periods of separation, and other unique hardships associated with military life.*

*(2) Innocent family members are sometimes inadvertently punished when the member they depend on forfeits retirement benefit eligibility due to a court-martial sentence.*

*(3) When a retirement-eligible member forfeits retirement eligibility, that member's innocent family members lose the security of benefits they had planned for and helped earn.*

*(4) Military juries may choose to impose unjustly light sentences on convicted members out of concern for the innocent family members when a just sentence would require stripping the member of retirement eligibility.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress—*

*(1) that military juries should not face the difficult choice between imposing a fair sentence or protecting the benefits of a member of the Armed Forces for the sake of innocent family members;*

*(2) that innocent military family members of retirement-eligible members should not be made to forgo benefits they have sacrificed for and helped to earn; and*

*(3) to welcome the opportunity to work with the Department of Defense to develop the necessary laws and regulations to improve the military justice system and to protect the benefits that military families have helped earn.*

***Subtitle F—Defense Dependents Education and Military Family Readiness***

***SEC. 561. CONTINUATION OF AUTHORITY TO ASSIST LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES THAT BENEFIT DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.***

*(a) ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF MILITARY DEPENDENT STUDENTS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, $25,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (a) of section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 20 U.S.C. 7703b).*

*(b) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''local educational agency'' has the meaning given that term in section 8013(9) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(9)).*

***SEC. 562. IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES.***

*Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 pursuant to section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, $5,000,000 shall be available for payments under section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398; 114 Stat. 1654A–77; 20 U.S.C. 7703a).*

***SEC. 563. AUTHORITY TO USE APPROPRIATED FUNDS TO SUPPORT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE STUDENT MEAL PROGRAMS IN DOMESTIC DEPENDENT ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.***

*(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 2243 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a)—*

*(A) by striking ''the defense dependents' education system'' and inserting ''overseas defense dependents' schools''; and*

*(B) by striking ''students enrolled in that system'' and inserting ''students enrolled in such a school'';*

*(2) in subsection (d), by striking ''Department of Defense dependents' schools which are located outside the United States'' and inserting ''overseas defense dependents' schools''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(e) OVERSEAS DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' SCHOOL DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'overseas defense dependents' school' means the following:*

*''(1) A school established as part of the defense dependents' education system provided for under the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 921 et seq.).*

*''(2) An elementary or secondary school established pursuant to section 2164 of this title that is located in a territory, commonwealth, or possession of the United States.''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) HEADING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended by inserting ''**defense**'' after ''**overseas**''.*

*(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 134 of such title is amended in the item relating to section 2243 by inserting ''defense'' after ''overseas''.*

***SEC. 564. BIENNIAL SURVEYS OF MILITARY DEPENDENTS ON MILITARY FAMILY READINESS MATTERS.***

*(a) BIENNIAL SURVEYS REQUIRED.—The Director of the Office of Family Policy of the Department of Defense shall undertake every other year a survey of adult dependents of members of the Armed Forces on the matters specified in subsection (b). Participation by dependents in the survey shall be voluntary.*

*(b) MATTERS.—The matters specified in this subsection are the following:*

*(1) Mental health of dependents of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(2) Incidence of suicide and suicidal ideation among dependents of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(3) Incidence of divorce among dependents of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(4) Incidence of spousal abuse, child abuse, sexual assault, and harassment among dependents of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(5) Financial health and financial literacy of military families.*

*(6) Employment and education of dependents of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(7) Adequacy and availability of child care for dependents of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(8) Quality of programs for military families.*

*(9) Such other matters relating to military family readiness as the Director considers appropriate.*

***Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements***

***SEC. 571. EXTENSION OF SEMIANNUAL REPORTS ON THE INVOLUNTARY SEPARATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*Section 525(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1724) is amended by striking ''calendar years 2013 and 2014'' and ''each of calendar years 2013 through 2017''.*

***SEC. 572. REMOTELY PILOTED AIRCRAFT CAREER FIELD MANNING SHORTFALLS.***

*(a) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for operation and maintenance for the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force, not more than 85 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees the report described in subsection (b).*

*(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on remotely piloted aircraft career field manning levels and actions the Air Force will take to rectify personnel shortfalls.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) A description of current and projected manning requirements and inventory levels for remotely piloted aircraft systems.*

*(B) A description of rated and non-rated officer and enlisted manning policies for authorization and inventory levels in effect for remotely piloted aircraft systems and units, to include whether remotely piloted aircraft duty is considered as a permanent Air Force Specialty Code or treated as an ancillary single assignment duty, and if both are used, the division of authorizations between permanently assigned personnel and those who will return to a different primary career field.*

*(C) Comparisons to other Air Force manned combat aircraft systems and units with respect to personnel policies, manpower authorization levels, and projected personnel inventory.*

*(D) Identification and assessment of mitigation actions to increase unit manning levels, including recruitment and retention bonuses, incentive pay, use of enlisted personnel, and increased weighting to remotely piloted aircraft personnel on promotion boards, and to ensure the school house for remotely piloted aircraft personnel is sufficient to meet increased manning demands.*

*(E) Analysis demonstrating the requirements determination for how remotely piloted aircraft pilot and sensor operators are selected, including whether individuals are prior rated or non-rated qualified, what prerequisite training or experience is necessary, and required and types of basic and advanced qualification training for each mission design series of remotely piloted aircraft in the Air Force inventory.*

*(F) Recommendations for changes to existing legislation required to implement mitigation actions.*

*(G) An assessment of the authorization levels of government civilian and contractor support required for sufficiency of remotely piloted aircraft career field manning.*

*(H) A description and associated timeline of actions the Air Force will take to increase remotely piloted aircraft career field manpower authorizations and manning levels to at least the equal of the normative levels of manning and readiness of all other combat aircraft career fields.*

*(I) A description of any other matters concerning remotely piloted aircraft career field manning levels the Secretary of the Air Force determines to be appropriate.*

*(3) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) may be submitted in classified form, but shall also contain an unclassified executive summary and may contain an unclassified annex.*

*(4) NONDUPLICATION OF EFFORT.—If any information required under paragraph (1) has been included in another report or notification previously submitted to Congress by law, the Secretary of the Air Force may provide a list of such reports and notifications at the time of submitting the report required under this subsection in lieu of including such information in the report.*

***Subtitle H—Other Matters***

***PART I—FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PREPAREDNESS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES***

***SEC. 581. IMPROVEMENT OF FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PREPAREDNESS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 992 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a)—*

*(A) in the subsection heading, by striking ''CONSUMER EDUCATION'' and inserting ''FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING'';*

*(B) in paragraph (1), by striking ''education'' in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting ''financial literacy training'';*

*(C) in paragraph (2)—*

*(i) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking ''as'';*

*(ii) in subparagraph (A)—*

*(I) by inserting ''as'' before ''a component'';*

*(II) by striking ''orientation''; and*

*(III) by striking ''and'' after the semicolon;*

*(iii) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (J); and*

*(iv) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraphs:*

*''(B) upon arrival at the first duty station;*

*''(C) upon arrival at each duty station following the first duty station in the case of each member in pay grade E–4 or below or in pay grade O–3 or below;*

*''(D) on the date of promotion, in the case of each member in pay grade E–5 or below or in pay grade O–4 or below;*

*''(E) when the member vests in the Thrift Savings Plan (TSP);*

*''(F) at each major life event during the member's service, such as—*

*''(i) marriage;*

*''(ii) divorce;*

*''(iii) birth of first child; or*

*''(iv) disabling sickness or condition;*

*''(G) during leadership training;*

*''(H) during pre-deployment training and during post-deployment training;*

*''(I) at transition points in military service, such as—*

*''(i) transition from a regular component to a reserve component;*

*''(ii) separation from service; or*

*''(iii) retirement; and''; and*

*(v) in subparagraph (J), as redesignated by clause (iii), by inserting ''as'' before ''a component'';*

*(D) in paragraph (3), by striking ''(2)(B)'' and inserting ''(2)(J)''; and*

*(E) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(4) The Secretary concerned shall prescribe regulations setting forth any additional events and circumstances (other than those described in paragraph (2)) for which the Secretary determines that training under this subsection shall be required.''.*

*(b) FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PREPAREDNESS SURVEY.—Such section is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):*

*''(d) FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PREPAREDNESS SURVEY.—(1) The Director of the Defense Manpower Data Center shall annually include in the status of forces survey a survey of the status of the financial literacy and preparedness of members of the armed forces.*

*''(2) The results of the annual financial literacy and preparedness survey—*

*''(A) shall be used by each of the Secretaries concerned as a benchmark to evaluate and update training provided under this section; and*

*''(B) shall be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.''.*

*(c) ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES COVERED BY LITERACY TRAINING.—Subsection (e) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (b)(1) of this section, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(4) Health insurance, budget management, Thrift Savings Plan (TSP), retirement lump sum payments (including rollover options and tax consequences), and Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP).''.*

*(d) CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:*

***''§992. Financial literacy training: financial services''.***

*(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 50 of such title is amended by striking the item related to section 992 and inserting the following new item:*

*''992. Financial literacy training: financial services.''.*

***SEC. 582. FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN FINANCIAL SERVICES FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary concerned shall provide the financial literacy training under section 992 of title 10, United States Code, for the financial services described in paragraph (4) of section 992(e) of such title (as amended and added by section 581 of this Act) to members of the uniformed services under the jurisdiction of such Secretary commencing not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ''uniformed services'' and ''Secretary concerned'' have the meaning given such terms in section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 583. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PREPAREDNESS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) the Secretary of Defense should strengthen arrangements with other departments and agencies of the Federal Government, as well as with nonprofit organizations, in order to improve the financial literacy and preparedness of members of the Armed Forces; and*

*(2) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Chiefs of Staff of the Armed Forces should provide support for the financial literacy and preparedness training carried out under section 992 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 581 of this Act).*

***PART II—OTHER MATTERS***

***SEC. 586. AUTHORITY FOR APPLICATIONS FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS TO BE INITIATED BY THE SECRETARY CONCERNED.***

*Section 1552(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by striking ''or his heir or legal representative'' and inserting ''(or the claimant's heir or legal representative) or the Secretary concerned''; and*

*(2) by striking ''he discovers'' and inserting ''discovering''.*

***SEC. 587. RECORDATION OF OBLIGATIONS FOR INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS OF INCENTIVE PAYS, ALLOWANCES, AND SIMILAR BENEFITS WHEN PAYMENT IS DUE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 19 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§1015. Recordation of installment payment obligations for incentive pays and similar benefits***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any pay, allowance, bonus, or other benefit described in subsection (b) that is paid to a member of the uniformed services on an installment basis, each installment payment shall be charged to appropriations that are available for obligation at the time such payment is payable.*

*''(b) COVERED PAY AND BENEFITS.—Subsection (a) applies to any incentive pay, special pay, or bonus, or similar periodic payment of pay or allowances, or of educational benefits or stipends, that is paid to a member of the uniformed services under this title or title 10.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 19 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''1015. Recordation of installment payment obligations for incentive pays and similar benefits.''.*

***SEC. 588. ENHANCEMENTS TO YELLOW RIBBON REINTEGRATION PROGRAM.***

*(a) SCOPE AND PURPOSE.—Section 582 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 10101 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by striking ''combat veteran''; and*

*(2) in subsection (b), by striking ''informational events and activities'' and inserting ''information, events, and activities''.*

*(b) ELIGIBILITY.—Such section is further amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by striking ''National Guard and Reserve members and their families'' and inserting ''eligible individuals'';*

*(2) in subsection (b), by striking ''members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces, their families,'' and inserting ''eligible individuals'';*

*(3) in subsection (d)(2)(C), by striking ''members of the Armed Forces and their families'' and inserting ''eligible individuals'';*

*(4) in subsection (h), in the matter preceding paragraph (1)—*

*(A) by striking ''members of the Armed Forces and their family members'' and inserting ''eligible individuals''; and*

*(B) by striking ''such members and their family members'' and inserting ''such eligible individuals'';*

*(5) in subsection (j), by striking ''members of the Armed Forces and their families'' and inserting ''eligible individuals'';*

*(6) in subsection (k), by striking ''individual members of the Armed Forces and their families'' and inserting ''eligible individuals''; and*

*(7) by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(l) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—For the purposes of this section, the term 'eligible individual' means a member of a reserve component, a member of their family, or a designated representative who the Secretary of Defense determines to be eligible for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.''.*

*(c) OFFICE FOR REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS.—*

*(1) OVERSIGHT OF YELLOW RIBBON REINTEGRATION PROGRAM.—Paragraph (1)(A) of subsection (d) of such section is amended by striking the second and third sentence and inserting ''The office shall exercise oversight over the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, and shall be responsible for coordination with State National Guard and Reserve organizations, including existing family and support programs.''.*

*(2) PARTNERSHIPS TO PROVIDE QUALITY OF LIFE SERVICES.—Paragraph (1)(B) of such subsection is amended by striking ''substance abuse and mental health treatment services'' and inserting ''substance abuse, mental health treatment, and other quality of life services''.*

*(3) GRANT AUTHORITY.—Such subsection is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

''(3) GRANTS.—The Office for Reintegration Programs may make grants to conduct data collection, trend analysis, and curriculum development, and to prepare reports, in support of activities under this section.''.

(d) COORDINATION WITH COAST GUARD RESERVE.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (d)(1)(A), by striking ''and Air Force Reserve'' and inserting ''Air Force Reserve, and Coast Guard Reserve''; and

(2) in subsection (e)(1), by striking ''and Air Force Reserve'' and inserting ''Air Force Reserve, and Coast Guard Reserve''.

(e) DUE DATE OF ADVISORY BOARD ANNUAL REPORT.—Subsection (e)(4) of such section is amended by striking ''March'' and inserting ''April''.

(f) SUPPORT TEAMS.—Subsection (f) of such section is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking ''administer the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program at the State level'' and inserting ''support and assist State National Guard and Reserve organization reintegration efforts''; and

(2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

''(1) to provide reintegration curriculum and information;''.

(g) OPERATION OF PROGRAM.—

(1) ENHANCED FLEXIBILITY.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended to read as follows:

''(g) OPERATION OF PROGRAM.—

''(1) IN GENERAL.—The Office for Reintegration Programs shall assist State National Guard and Reserve organizations with the development and provision of information, events, and activities to support the health and well-being of eligible individuals before, during, and after periods of activation, mobilization, or deployment.

''(2) FOCUS OF INFORMATION, EVENTS, AND ACTIVITIES.—

''(A) BEFORE ACTIVATION, MOBILIZATION, OR DEPLOYMENT.—Before such a period, the information, events, and activities described in paragraph (1) should focus on preparing eligible individuals and affected communities for the rigors of activation, mobilization, and deployment.

''(B) DURING ACTIVATION, MOBILIZATION, OR DEPLOYMENT.—During such a period, the information, events, and activities described in paragraph (1) should focus on—

''(i) helping eligible individuals cope with the challenges and stress associated with such period;

''(ii) decreasing the isolation of eligible individuals during such period; and

''(iii) preparing eligible individuals for the challenges associated with reintegration.

''(C) AFTER ACTIVATION, MOBILIZATION, OR DEPLOYMENT.—After such a period, the information, events, and activities described in paragraph (1) should focus on—

''(i) reconnecting the member with their families, friends, and communities;

''(ii) providing information on employment opportunities;

''(iii) helping eligible individuals deal with the challenges of reintegration;

''(iv) ensuring that eligible individuals understand what benefits they are entitled to and what resources are available to help them overcome the challenges of reintegration; and

''(v) providing a forum for addressing negative behaviors related to operational stress and reintegration.

''(3) MEMBER PAY.—Members shall receive appropriate pay for days spent attending such events and activities.

''(4) MINIMUM NUMBER OF EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES.—State National Guard and Reserve organizations shall provide to eligible individuals—

''(A) one event or activity before a period of activation, mobilization, or deployment;

''(B) one event or activity during a period of activation, mobilization, or deployment; and

''(C) two events or activities after a period of activation, mobilization, or deployment.''.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking ''throughout the entire deployment cycle'';

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in the subsection heading, by striking ''; DEPLOYMENT CYCLE''; and

(ii) by striking ''well-being through the 4 phases'' through the end of the subsection and inserting ''well-being.'';

(C) in subsection (d)(2)(C), by striking ''throughout the deployment cycle described in subsection (g)''; and

(D) in subsection (f), by striking ''STATE DEPLOYMENT CYCLE'' in the subsection heading.

(h) ADDITIONAL PERMITTED OUTREACH SERVICE.—Subsection (h) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(16) Stress management and positive coping skills.''.

(i) SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENT-WIDE SUICIDE PREVENTION EFFORTS.—Such section is further amended by inserting after subsection (h) the following new subsection:

''(i) SUPPORT OF SUICIDE PREVENTION EFFORTS.—The Office for Reintegration Programs shall assist the Defense Suicide Prevention Office and the Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury to collect and analyze information, suggestions, and best practices from State National Guard and Reserve organizations with respect to suicide prevention and community response programs.''.

(j) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (d)(1)(B), by striking ''Substance Abuse and the Mental Health Services Administration'' and inserting ''Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration''; and

(2) in subsection (e)(3)(C), by striking ''Office of Reintegration Programs'' and inserting ''Office for Reintegration Programs''.

**SEC. 589. PRIORITY PROCESSING OF APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSPORTATION WORKER IDENTIFICATION CREDENTIALS FOR MEMBERS UNDERGOING DISCHARGE OR RELEASE FROM THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) PRIORITY PROCESSING.—The Secretary of Defense shall consult with the Secretary of Homeland Security to afford a priority in the processing of applications for a Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC) to applications submitted by members of the Armed Forces who are undergoing separation, discharge, or release from the Armed Forces under honorable conditions, with such priority to provide for the review and adjudication of such an application by not later than 14 days after submittal, unless an appeal or waiver applies or further application documentation is necessary. The priority shall be so afforded commencing not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act to members who undergo separation, discharge, or release from the Armed Forces after the date on which the priority so commences being afforded.

(b) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall enter into a memorandum of understanding in connection with achieving the requirement in subsection (a).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall jointly submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of the requirements of this section. The report shall set forth the following:

(1) The memorandum of understanding required pursuant to subsection (b).

(2) A description of the number of individuals who applied for, and the number of individuals who have been issued, a Transportation Worker Identification Credential pursuant to the memorandum of understanding as of the date of the report.

(3) If any applications for a Transportation Worker Identification Credential covered by paragraph (2) were not reviewed and adjudicated within the deadline specified in subsection (a), a description of the reasons for the failure and of the actions being taken to assure that future applications for a Credential are reviewed and adjudicated within the deadline.

**SEC. 590. ISSUANCE OF RECOGNITION OF SERVICE ID CARDS TO CERTAIN MEMBERS SEPARATING FROM THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) ISSUANCE REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall issue to each covered individual a card that identifies such individual as a veteran and includes a photo of the individual and the name of the individual.

(2) DESIGNATION.—A card issued under paragraph (1) may be known as a ''Recognition of Service ID Card''.

(b) COVERED INDIVIDUALS.—For purposes of this section, a ''covered individual'' is an individual who is undergoing discharge or release from the Armed Forces (other than as the result of a punitive discharge adjudicated as part of a sentence at a court-martial after the effective date of this section) on or after the effective date provided for in subsection (e).

(c) COLLECTION OF AMOUNTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may collect from civilian employees of the Department of Defense and contractor personnel of the Department who are issued a replacement card for a lost or stolen Department of Defense identification card such amount as the Secretary considers appropriate to defray the cost of the issuance of cards under subsection (a), and to implement the issuance of cards without the assignment of additional personnel for that purpose.

(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS.—The Secretary shall deposit amounts collected under this subsection to the account or accounts providing funds for the issuance of cards under subsection (a).

(d) RECOGNITION OF SERVICE ID CARDS FOR REDUCED PRICES OF SERVICES, CONSUMER PRODUCTS, AND PHARMACEUTICALS.—The Secretary of Defense may work with national retail chains that offer reduced prices on services, consumer products, and pharmaceuticals to veterans to ensure that such retail chains recognize cards issued under subsection (a) for purposes of offering reduced prices on services, consumer products, and pharmaceuticals.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect on the date that is one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 591. REVISED POLICY ON NETWORK SERVICES FOR MILITARY SERVICES.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States that the Secretary of Defense shall minimize and reduce, to the maximum extent practicable, the number of uniformed military personnel providing network services to military installations within the United States.

(b) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in subsection (c), each military service shall be prohibited from using uniform military personnel to provide network services to military installations within the United States 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) EXCEPTION.—Nothing in subsection (b) shall be construed as prohibiting the use of military personnel providing network services in support of combatant commands, special operations, the intelligence community, or the United States Cyber Command, including training for these organizations.

*(d) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense or the Chief Information Officer may waive the prohibition in subsection (b) if necessary for the safety of human life, protection of property, or providing network services in support of a combat operation.*

*(e) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 30, 2016, the Chief Information Officer shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the transition of the current performance of network services from military personnel to other means.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) An assessment of the costs of using military personnel versus other means to provide network services for the military services.*

*(B) An estimate of the savings of transitioning the current performance of network services from military personnel to other means.*

*(C) An estimate of the number of military personnel that could be reallocated for military-unique missions.*

*(f) VALIDATION OF COST AND SAVINGS ESTIMATES.—The report required under subsection (e) shall be validated by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.*

***SEC. 592. INCREASE IN NUMBER OF DAYS OF ACTIVE DUTY REQUIRED TO BE PERFORMED BY RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS FOR DUTY TO BE CONSIDERED FEDERAL SERVICE FOR PURPOSES OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION FOR EX-SERVICEMEMBERS.***

*(a) INCREASE OF NUMBER OF DAYS.—Section 8521(a)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking ''90 days'' in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting ''180 days''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to periods of Federal service commencing on or after that date.*

***SEC. 593. IMPROVED ENUMERATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES IN ANY TABULATION OF TOTAL POPULATION BY SECRETARY OF COMMERCE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 141 of title 13, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following:*

*''(g) Effective beginning with the 2020 decennial census of population, in taking any tabulation of total population by States, the Secretary shall take appropriate measures to ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that all members of the Armed Forces deployed abroad on the date of taking such tabulation are—*

*''(1) fully and accurately counted; and*

*''(2) properly attributed to the State in which their permanent duty station or homeport is located on such date.''.*

*(b) CONSTRUCTION.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall not be construed to affect the residency status of any member of the Armed Forces under any provision of law other than title 13, United States Code.*

## *TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS*

### *Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances*

***SEC. 601. FISCAL YEAR 2016 INCREASE IN MILITARY BASIC PAY.***

*(a) WAIVER OF SECTION 1009 ADJUSTMENT.—The adjustment to become effective during fiscal year 2016 required by section 1009 of title 37, United States Code, in the rates of monthly basic pay authorized members of the uniformed services shall not be made.*

*(b) INCREASE IN BASIC PAY.—Effective on January 1, 2016, the rates of monthly basic pay for members of the uniformed services are increased by 1.3 percent for enlisted member pay grades, warrant officer pay grades, and commissioned officer pay grades below pay grade O–7.*

*(c) APPLICATION OF EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE LEVEL II CEILING ON PAYABLE RATES FOR GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS.—Section 203(a)(2) of title 37, United States Code, shall be applied for rates of basic pay payable for commissioned officers in pay grades O–7 through O–10 during calendar year 2016 by using the rate of pay for level II of the Executive Schedule in effect during 2014.*

***SEC. 602. MODIFICATION OF PERCENTAGE OF NATIONAL AVERAGE MONTHLY COST OF HOUSING USABLE IN COMPUTATION OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.***

*(a) MODIFICATION OF PERCENTAGE USABLE.—Section 403(b)(3)(B) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking ''one percent'' and inserting ''five percent''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2016, and shall apply with respect to computations of monthly amounts of basic allowance for housing inside the United States that occur for years beginning on or after that date.*

***SEC. 603. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY INCREASE IN RATES OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.***

*Section 403(b)(7)(E) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''.*

***SEC. 604. BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING FOR MARRIED MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES ASSIGNED FOR DUTY WITHIN NORMAL COMMUTING DISTANCE AND FOR OTHER MEMBERS LIVING TOGETHER.***

*(a) BAH FOR MARRIED MEMBERS ASSIGNED FOR DUTY WITHIN NORMAL COMMUTING DISTANCE.—Section 403 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(p) SINGLE ALLOWANCE FOR MARRIED MEMBERS ASSIGNED FOR DUTY WITHIN NORMAL COMMUTING DISTANCE.—In the event two members of the uniformed services entitled to receive a basic allowance for housing under this section are married to one another and are each assigned for duty within normal commuting distance, basic allowance for housing under this section shall be paid only to the member having the higher pay grade, or to the member having rank in grade if both members have the same pay grade, and at the rate payable for a member of such pay grade with dependents (regardless of whether or not such members have dependents).''.*

*(b) BAH FOR OTHER MEMBERS LIVING TOGETHER.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(q) REDUCED ALLOWANCE FOR MEMBERS LIVING TOGETHER.—(1) In the event two or more members of the uniformed services who are entitled to receive a basic allowance for housing under this section live together, basic allowance for housing under this section shall be paid to each such member at the rate as follows:*

*''(A) In the case of such a member in a pay grade below pay grade E–4, the rate otherwise payable to such member under this section.*

*''(B) In the case of such a member in a pay grade above pay grade E–3, the rate equal to the greater of—*

*''(i) 75 percent of the rate otherwise payable to such member under this section; or*

*''(ii) the rate payable for a member in pay grade E–4 without dependents.*

*''(2) This subsection does not apply to members covered by subsection (p).''.*

*(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 2015, and shall, except as provided in paragraph (2), apply with respect to allowances for basic housing payable for months beginning on or after that date.*

*(2) PRESERVATION OF CURRENT BAH FOR MEMBERS WITH UNINTERRUPTED ELIGIBILITY FOR BAH.—Notwithstanding any amendment made by this section, the monthly amount of basic allowance for housing payable to a member of the uniformed services under section 403 of title 37, United States Code, as of September 30, 2015, shall not be reduced by reason of such amendment so long as the member retains uninterrupted eligibility for such basic allowance for housing within an area of the United States or within an overseas location (as applicable).*

*(3) PRESERVATION OF CURRENT BAH FOR CERTAIN OTHER MARRIED MEMBERS.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the amount of basic allowance for housing payable to a member of the uniformed services under section 403 of title 37, United States Code, as of September 30, 2015, shall not be reduced by reason of the amendment made by subsection (a) unless—*

*(A) the member and the member's spouse undergo a permanent change of station requiring a change of residence;*

*(B) the member and the member's spouse move into or commence living in on-base housing;*

***SEC. 605. REPEAL OF INAPPLICABILITY OF MODIFICATION OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING TO BENEFITS UNDER THE LAWS ADMINISTERED BY THE SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.***

*(a) REPEAL.—Subsection (b) of section 604 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is repealed.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2016.*

***SEC. 606. LIMITATION ON ELIGIBILITY FOR SUPPLEMENTAL SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCES TO MEMBERS SERVING OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES AND ASSOCIATED TERRITORY.***

*Section 402a(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting ''and paragraph (4)'' after ''subsection (d)''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(4) After September 30, 2016, a member is eligible for a supplemental subsistence allowance under this section only if the member is serving outside the United States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or Guam.''.*

***SEC. 607. AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.***

*In administering the supplemental nutrition assistance program established under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.), the Secretary of Agriculture shall ensure that any safeguards that prevent the use or disclosure of information obtained from applicant households shall not prevent the use of that information by, or the disclosure of that information to, the Secretary of Defense for purposes of determining the number of applicant households that contain one or more members of a regular component or reserve component of the Armed Forces.*

### *Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays*

***SEC. 611. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR RESERVE FORCES.***

*The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016'':*

*(1) Section 308b(g), relating to Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus.*

*(2) Section 308c(i), relating to Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus.*

*(3) Section 308d(c), relating to special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units.*

*(4) Section 308g(f)(2), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service.*

*(5) Section 308h(e), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.*

*(6) Section 308i(f), relating to Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.*

*(7) Section 478a(e), relating to reimbursement of travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance.*

*(8) Section 910(g), relating to income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.*

***SEC. 612. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS.***

*(a) TITLE 10 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016'':*

*(1) Section 2130a(a)(1), relating to nurse officer candidate accession program.*

*(2) Section 16302(d), relating to repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve.*

*(b) TITLE 37 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016'':*

*(1) Section 302c–1(f), relating to accession and retention bonuses for psychologists.*

*(2) Section 302d(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for registered nurses.*

*(3) Section 302e(a)(1), relating to incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists.*

*(4) Section 302g(e), relating to special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties.*

*(5) Section 302h(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for dental officers.*

*(6) Section 302j(a), relating to accession bonus for pharmacy officers.*

*(7) Section 302k(f), relating to accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties.*

*(8) Section 302l(g), relating to accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.*

***SEC. 613. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF SPECIAL PAY AND BONUS AUTHORITIES FOR NUCLEAR OFFICERS.***

*The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016'':*

*(1) Section 312(f), relating to special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active service.*

*(2) Section 312b(c), relating to nuclear career accession bonus.*

*(3) Section 312c(d), relating to nuclear career annual incentive bonus.*

***SEC. 614. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO TITLE 37 CONSOLIDATED SPECIAL PAY, INCENTIVE PAY, AND BONUS AUTHORITIES.***

*The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016'':*

*(1) Section 331(h), relating to general bonus authority for enlisted members.*

*(2) Section 332(g), relating to general bonus authority for officers.*

*(3) Section 333(i), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers.*

*(4) Section 334(i), relating to special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers.*

*(5) Section 335(k), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions.*

*(6) Section 336(g), relating to contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.*

*(7) Section 351(h), relating to hazardous duty pay.*

*(8) Section 352(g), relating to assignment pay or special duty pay.*

*(9) Section 353(i), relating to skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus.*

*(10) Section 355(h), relating to retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.*

***SEC. 615. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PAYMENT OF OTHER TITLE 37 BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAYS.***

*The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016'':*

*(1) Section 301b(a), relating to aviation officer retention bonus.*

*(2) Section 307a(g), relating to assignment incentive pay.*

*(3) Section 308(g), relating to reenlistment bonus for active members.*

*(4) Section 309(e), relating to enlistment bonus.*

*(5) Section 316a(g), relating to incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency.*

*(6) Section 324(g), relating to accession bonus for new officers in critical skills.*

*(7) Section 326(g), relating to incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage.*

*(8) Section 327(h), relating to incentive bonus for transfer between Armed Forces.*

*(9) Section 330(f), relating to accession bonus for officer candidates.*

***SEC. 616. INCREASE IN MAXIMUM ANNUAL AMOUNT OF NUCLEAR OFFICER BONUS PAY.***

*(a) INCREASE.—Section 333(d)(1)(A) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking ''$35,000'' and inserting ''$50,000''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2016, and shall apply with respect to agreements entered into under section 333 of title 37, United States Code, on or after that date.*

***SEC. 617. REPEAL OF OBSOLETE AUTHORITY TO PAY BONUS TO ENCOURAGE ARMY PERSONNEL TO REFER PERSONS FOR ENLISTMENT IN THE ARMY.***

*(a) REPEAL.—Section 3252 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 333 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 3252.*

***Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances***

***SEC. 621. REPEAL OF OBSOLETE SPECIAL TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCE FOR SURVIVORS OF DECEASED MEMBERS FROM THE VIETNAM CONFLICT.***

*Section 481f of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (d).*

***SEC. 622. STUDY AND REPORT ON POLICY CHANGES TO THE JOINT TRAVEL REGULATIONS.***

*(a) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the impact of the policy changes to the Joint Travel Regulations for the Uniformed Service Members and Department of Defense Civilian Employees related to flat rate per diem for long term temporary duty travel that took effect on November 1, 2014. The study shall assess the following:*

*(1) The impact of such changes on shipyard workers who travel on long-term temporary duty assignments.*

*(2) Whether such changes have discouraged employees of the Department of Defense, including civilian employees at shipyards and depots, from volunteering for important temporary duty travel assignments.*

*(b) REPORT.—Not later than June 1, 2016, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report on the study required by subsection (a).*

***SEC. 623. TRANSPORTATION TO TRANSFER CEREMONIES FOR FAMILY AND NEXT OF KIN OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO DIE OVERSEAS DURING HUMANITARIAN OPERATIONS.***

*Section 481f(e)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting ''(including during a humanitarian relief operation)'' after ''located or serving overseas''.*

***SEC. 624. POLICIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ON TRAVEL OF NEXT OF KIN TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DIGNIFIED TRANSFER OF REMAINS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WHO DIE OVERSEAS.***

*(a) REVIEW OF POLICIES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a review of the current policies of the Department of Defense on the travel for next of kin to participate in the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department who die overseas.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The review required by this subsection shall include the following:*

*(A) An assessment of the changes to Department instructions and Federal regulations necessary to provide Government funded travel to the next of kin to participate in the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department who die overseas, regardless whether the death occurred in a combat area or a non-combat area.*

*(B) An action plan and timeline for making the changes described in subparagraph (A).*

*(b) MODIFICATION OF POLICIES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), not later than February 1, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall take appropriate actions to modify the policies of the Department in order to provide Government funded travel for the next of kin to participate in the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who die overseas, regardless whether the death occurs in a combat area or a non-combat area.*

*(2) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary is not required to modify the policies of the Department as described in paragraph (1) if, by not later than March, 1, 2016, the Secretary certifies, in writing, to the congressional defense committees that such action is not in the best interest of the United States. The certification shall include the following:*

*(A) An assessment and reevaluation by the Secretary of the rational for excluding the next of kin from Government funded travel if the death of a member of the Armed Forces or civilian employee of the Department overseas occurs in a non-combat area.*

*(B) Recommendations for alternative plans to ensure that the next of kin of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department who die overseas in a non-combat area may participate in the dignified transfer of the remains of the deceased at Dover Port Mortuary, including through the actions of appropriate non-governmental organizations.*

***Subtitle D—Disability Pay, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits***

***PART I—RETIRED PAY REFORM***

***SEC. 631. THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN PARTICIPATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.***

*(a) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—Section 8440e of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:*

*''(e) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—*

*''(1) TSP CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall make contributions to the Thrift Savings Fund, in accordance with section 8432, except to the extent the requirements under such section are modified by this subsection, for the benefit of a member who—*

*''(A) first enters a uniformed service on or after January 1, 2018; or*

*''(B) makes an election described in section 1409(b)(4)(B) or 12739(f) of title 10.*

*''(2) MAXIMUM AMOUNT.—The amount contributed under this subsection by the Secretary*

*concerned for the benefit of a member described in paragraph (1) for any pay period shall be not more than 5 percent of such member's basic pay for such pay period.*

*''(3) TIMING AND DURATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—*

*''(A) AUTOMATIC CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall make a contribution described in section 8432(c)(1) under this subsection for the benefit of a member described in paragraph (1) for any pay period during the period that—*

*''(i) begins on or after the day that is 60 days after the date the member first enters a uniformed service; and*

*''(ii) ends on the day such member completes 20 years of service as a member of the uniformed services.*

*''(B) MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall make a contribution described in section 8432(c)(2) under this subsection for the benefit of a member described in paragraph (1) for any pay period during the period that—*

*''(i) begins on or after the day that is 2 years and 1 day after the date the member first enters a uniformed service; and*

*''(ii) ends on the day such member completes 20 years of service as a member of the uniformed services.*

*''(4) PROTECTIONS FOR SPOUSES AND FORMER SPOUSES.—Section 8435 shall apply to a member described in paragraph (1) in the same manner as such section is applied to an employee or Member under such section.*

*''(5) DEFINITION OF SECRETARY CONCERNED.—In this subsection the term 'Secretary concerned' has the meaning given the term in section 101 of title 37.''.*

*(b) AUTOMATIC ENROLLMENT IN TSP.—Section 8432(b)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (D)(ii)—*

*(A) by striking ''(ii) Members'' and inserting ''(ii)(I) Except as provided in subclause (II), members''; and*

*(B) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(II) A member described in section 8440e(e)(1) shall be an eligible individual for purposes of this paragraph.''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(F) Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, a member described in section 8440e(e)(1) who has declined automatic enrollment into the Thrift Savings Plan shall be automatically reenrolled, on January 1 of the year succeeding the year for which the determination is made, to make contributions under subsection (a) at the default percentage of basic pay.*

*''(G) In this paragraph the term 'member' has the meaning given the term in section 211 of title 37.''.*

*(c) VESTING.—Section 8432(g) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (2)—*

*(A) in subparagraph (A)(iii), by striking ''or'' after the semicolon;*

*(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period and inserting ''; or''; and*

*(C) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(C) 2 years of service in the case of a member of the uniformed services.''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(6) For purposes of this subsection, a member of the uniformed services shall be considered to have separated from Government employment if the member is discharged or released from service in the uniformed services.''.*

*(d) THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN DEFAULT INVESTMENT FUND.—Section 8438(c)(2) of title 5, United States Code, as amended by section 2(a) of the Smarter Savings Act (Public Law 113–255), is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''(A) Consistent with the requirements of subparagraph (B), if an'' and inserting ''If an''; and*

*(2) by striking subparagraph (B).*

*(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) Section 211 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsection (d); and*

*(B) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (d).*

*(2) Section 8432b(c)(2)(B) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking ''(including pursuant to an agreement under section 211(d) of title 37)''.*

*(f) ACTIONS TO ASSURE IMPLEMENTATION BY EFFECTIVE DATE.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretaries concerned, the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, and the Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board shall each and jointly take appropriate actions to ensure the full and effective commencement of the implementation of the amendments made by this section as of January 1, 2018.*

*(2) SECRETARY CONCERNED DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ''Secretary concerned'' has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 37, United States Code.*

*(g) EFFECTIVE DATES.—*

*(1) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(2) OTHER AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by subsections (b) through (e) shall take effect on January 1, 2018.*

***SEC. 632. MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.***

*(a) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1409(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(4) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—*

*''(A) REDUCED MULTIPLIERS FOR MEMBERS RECEIVING TSP MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS.—Notwithstanding paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), in the case of a member who first becomes a member of the uniformed services after January 1, 2018, or a member who makes the election described in subparagraph (B)—*

*''(i) subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) shall be applied by substituting '2' for '2½'';*

*''(ii) clause (i) of paragraph (3)(B) shall be applied by substituting '60 percent' for '75 percent'; and*

*''(iii) subclause (I) of paragraph (3)(B)(ii) shall be applied by substituting '2' for '2½'.*

*''(B) ELECTION TO PARTICIPATE IN MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—*

*''(i) ELECTION.—A member of a uniformed service serving on January 1, 2018, may elect to accept the reduced multipliers described in subparagraph (A) for purposes of calculating the retired pay of the member.*

*''(ii) EFFECT OF ELECTION.—A member making the election described in clause (i) shall—*

*''(I) have the retired pay of the member calculated using the reduced multipliers described in subparagraph (A);*

*''(II) receive Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) matching contributions pursuant to section 8440e(e) of title 5 for periods of service between the completion of 2 years of service and the completion of 20 years of service in accordance with paragraph (3)(B) of such section; and*

*''(III) be eligible for lump sum payments under section 1415 of this title.*

*''(iii) ELECTION PERIOD.—*

*''(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclauses (II) and (III), a member of a uniformed service may make the election described in clause (i) during the period that begins on July 1, 2018, and ends on December 31, 2018.*

*''(II) HARDSHIP EXTENSION.—The Secretary concerned may extend the election period described in subclause (I) for a member who experiences a hardship as determined by the Secretary concerned.*

*''(III) MEMBERS EXPERIENCING BREAK IN SERVICE.—A member of a uniformed service returning to service after a break in service in which falls the election period specified in subclause (I) shall make the election described in clause (i) on the date of the reentry into service of the member.*

*''(iv) NO RETROACTIVE MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS PURSUANT TO ELECTION.—Thrift Savings Plan matching contributions may not be made for a member under this subparagraph for any pay period beginning before the date of the member's election under clause (i).*

*''(C) REGULATIONS.—Each Secretary concerned shall prescribe regulations to implement this paragraph.''.*

*(2) NON-REGULAR SERVICE.—Section 12739 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(f) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—*

*''(1) REDUCED MULTIPLIERS FOR PERSONS RECEIVING TSP MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS.—In the case of a person who first performs reserve component service after January 1, 2018, after not having performed regular or reserve component service on or before that date, or a person who makes the election described in paragraph (2)—*

*''(A) paragraph (2) of subsection (a) shall be applied by substituting '2 percent' for '2½ percent';*

*''(B) subparagraph (A) of subsection (c)(2) shall be applied by substituting '60 percent' for '75 percent'; and*

*''(C) clause (ii) of subsection (c)(2)(B) shall be applied by substituting '2 percent' for '2½ percent'.*

*''(2) ELECTION TO PARTICIPATE IN MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—*

*''(A) ELECTION.—A person performing reserve component service on January 1, 2018, may elect to accept the reduced multipliers described in paragraph (1) for purposes of calculating the retired pay of the person.*

*''(B) EFFECT OF ELECTION.—A person making the election described in subparagraph (A) shall—*

*''(i) have the retired pay of the person calculated using the reduced multipliers described in paragraph (1):*

*''(ii) receive Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) matching contributions pursuant to section 8440e(e) of title 5 for periods of service between the completion of 2 years of service and the completion of 20 years of service in accordance with paragraph (3)(B) of such section; and*

*''(iii) be eligible for lump sum payments under section 1415 of this title.*

*''(C) ELECTION PERIOD.—*

*''(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clauses (ii) and (iii), a person performing reserve component service may make the election described in subparagraph (A) during the period that begins on July 1, 2018, and ends on December 31, 2018.*

*''(ii) HARDSHIP EXTENSION.—The Secretary concerned may extend the election period described in clause (i) for a person who experiences a hardship as determined by the Secretary concerned.*

*''(iii) PERSONS EXPERIENCING BREAK IN SERVICE.—A person returning to reserve component service after a break in reserve component service in which falls the election period specified in clause (i) shall make the election described in subparagraph (A) on the date of the reentry into service of the person.*

*''(iv) NO RETROACTIVE MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS PURSUANT TO ELECTION.—Thrift Savings Plan matching contributions may not be made for a person under this paragraph for any pay period beginning before the date of the person's election under subparagraph (A).*

*''(3) REGULATIONS.—Each Secretary concerned shall prescribe regulations to implement this subsection.''.*

*(b) COORDINATING AMENDMENTS TO OTHER RETIREMENT AUTHORITIES.—*

*(1) DISABILITY, WARRANT OFFICERS, AND DOPMA RETIRED PAY.—*

*(A) COMPUTATION OF RETIRED PAY.—The table in section 1401(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(i) in paragraph (1) in column 2 of formula number 1, by striking ''2½% of years of service*

*credited to him under section 1208'' and inserting ''the retired pay multiplier determined for the member under section 1409 of this title'';*

*(ii) in paragraph (1) in column 2 of formula number 2, by striking ''2½% of years of service credited to him under section 1208'' and inserting ''the retired pay multiplier determined for the member under section 1409 of this title''; and*

*(iii) in column 2 of each of formula number 4 and formula number 5, by striking ''section 1409(a)'' and inserting ''section 1409''.*

*(B) CLARIFICATION REGARDING MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—Section 1401a(b) of such title is amended—*

*(i) by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (6); and*

*(ii) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph (5):*

*''(5) ADJUSTMENTS FOR PARTICIPANTS IN MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—Notwithstanding paragraph (3), if a member makes the election described in section 1409(b)(4) of this title, the Secretary shall increase the retired pay of such member in accordance with paragraph (2).''.*

*(2) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION COMMISSIONED OFFICER CORPS ACT OF 2002.—Paragraph (2) of section 245(a) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps Act of 2002 (33 U.S.C. 3045(a)) is amended to read as follows:*

*''(2) the retired pay multiplier determined under section 1409 of such title for the number of years of service that may be credited to the officer under section 1405 of such title as if the officer's service were service as a member of the Armed Forces.''.*

*(3) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—*

*(A) 15-YEAR CAREER STATUS BONUS REPAYMENT.—Subsection (f) of section 354 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—*

*(i) by striking ''If a'' and inserting ''(1) If a''; and*

*(ii) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(2) If a person who is paid a bonus under this section subsequently makes an election described in section 1409(b)(4) or 12739(f) of title 10, the person shall repay any bonus payments received under this section in the same manner as repayments are made under section 373 of this title.''.*

*(B) SUNSET AND CONTINUATION OF PAYMENTS.—Such section 354 is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(g) SUNSET AND CONTINUATION OF PAYMENTS.—(1) A Secretary concerned may not pay a new bonus under this section after December 31, 2017.*

*''(2) Subject to subsection (f)(2), the Secretary concerned may continue to make payments after December 31, 2017, for bonuses that were awarded under this section on or before that date.''.*

*(4) PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE ACT.—Paragraph (4) of section 211(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 212) is amended—*

*(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking ''at the rate of 2 ½ per centum of the basic pay of the highest grade held by him as such officer'' and inserting ''calculated by multiplying the retired pay base determined under section 1406 of title 10, United States Code, by the retired pay multiplier determined under section 1409 of such title for the numbers of years of service credited to the officer under this paragraph''; and*

*(B) in the matter following subparagraph (B)(iii)—*

*(i) in subparagraph (C), by striking ''such pay, and'' and inserting ''such pay,''; and*

*(ii) in subparagraph (D), by striking ''such basic pay.'' and inserting ''such basic pay, and (E) in the case of any officer who makes the election described in section 1409(b)(4) of title 10, United States Code, subparagraph (C) shall be applied by substituting '40 per centum' for '50 per centum' each place the term appears and subparagraph (D) shall be applied by substituting '60 per centum' for '75 per centum'.''.*

*(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—*

*(1) MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEMS.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(2) COORDINATING AMENDMENTS.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by subsection (b) shall take effect on January 1, 2018.*

*(B) TITLE 37 AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by paragraph (3) of subsection (b) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

***SEC. 633. LUMP SUM PAYMENTS OF CERTAIN RETIRED PAY.***

*(a) LUMP SUM PAYMENTS OF CERTAIN RETIRED PAY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 71 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 1415. Lump sum payment of certain retired pay***

*''(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) COVERED RETIRED PAY.—The term 'covered retired pay' means retired pay under—*

*''(A) this title;*

*''(B) title 14;*

*''(C) the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps Act of 2002 (33 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.); or*

*''(D) the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.).*

*''(2) ELIGIBLE PERSON.—The term 'eligible person' means a person who—*

*''(A)(i) first becomes a member of a uniformed service on or after January 1, 2018; or*

*''(ii) makes the election described in section 1409(b)(4) or 12739(f) of this title; and*

*''(B) does not retire or separate under chapter 61 of this title.*

*''(3) RETIREMENT AGE.—The term 'retirement age' has the meaning given the term in section 216(l) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 416(l)).*

*''(b) ELECTION OF LUMP SUM PAYMENT OF CERTAIN RETIRED PAY.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—An eligible person entitled to covered retired pay (including an eligible person who is entitled to such pay by reason of an election described in subsection (a)(2)(A)(ii)) may elect—*

*''(A) to receive a lump sum payment of the discounted present value at the time of the election of the amount of the covered retired pay that the eligible person is otherwise entitled to receive for the period beginning on the date of retirement and ending on the date the eligible person attains the eligible person's retirement age; or*

*''(B) to receive—*

*''(i) a lump sum payment of an amount equal to 50 percent of the amount otherwise receivable by the eligible person pursuant to subparagraph (A); and*

*''(ii) a monthly amount during the period described in subparagraph (A) equal to 50 percent of the amount of monthly covered retired pay the eligible person is otherwise entitled to receive during such period.*

*''(2) DISCOUNTED PRESENT VALUE.—The Secretary of Defense shall compute the discounted present value of amounts of covered retired pay that an eligible person is otherwise entitled to receive for a period for purposes of paragraph (1)(A) by—*

*''(A) estimating the aggregate amount of retired pay the person would receive for the period, taking into account cost-of-living adjustments under section 1401a of this title projected by the Secretary at the time the person separates from service and would otherwise begin receiving covered retired pay; and*

*''(B) reducing the aggregate amount estimated pursuant to subparagraph (A) by an appropriate percentage determined by the Secretary—*

*''(i) using average personal discount rates (as defined and calculated by the Secretary taking into consideration applicable and reputable studies of personal discount rates for military personnel and past actuarial experience in the calculation of personal discount rates under this paragraph); and*

*''(ii) in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.*

*''(3) TIMING OF ELECTION.—An eligible person shall make the election under this subsection not later than 90 days before the date of the retirement of the eligible person from the uniformed services.*

*''(4) SINGLE PAYMENT OR COMBINATION OF PAYMENTS.—An eligible person may elect to receive a lump sum payment under this subsection in a single payment or in a combination of payments.*

*''(5) COMMENCEMENT OF PAYMENT.—An eligible person who makes an election under this subsection shall receive the lump sum payment, or the first installment of a combination of payments of the lump sum payment if elected under paragraph (4), as follows:*

*''(A) Not later than 60 days after the date of the retirement of the eligible person from the uniformed services.*

*''(B) In the case of an eligible person who is a member of a reserve component, not later than 60 days after the later of—*

*''(i) the date on which the eligible person attains 60 years of age; or*

*''(ii) the date on which the eligible person first becomes entitled to covered retired pay.*

*''(6) NO SUBSEQUENT ADJUSTMENT.—An eligible person who accepts payment of a lump sum under this subsection may not seek the review of or otherwise challenge the amount of the lump sum in light of any variation in cost-of-living adjustments under section 1401a of this title, actuarial assumptions, or other factors used by the Secretary in calculating the amount of the lump sum that occur after the Secretary pays the lump sum.*

*''(c) RESUMPTION OF MONTHLY ANNUITY.—*

*''(1) GENERAL RULE.—Subject to paragraph (2), an eligible person who makes an election described in subsection (b) shall be entitled to receive the eligible person's monthly covered retired pay calculated in accordance with paragraph (2) after the eligible person attains the eligible person's retirement age.*

*''(2) RESTORATION OF FULL RETIREMENT AMOUNT AT RETIREMENT AGE.—The retired pay of an eligible person who makes an election described in subsection (a) shall be recomputed, effective on the first day of the first month beginning after the person attains the eligible person's retirement age, so as to be an amount equal to the amount of covered retired pay to which the eligible person would otherwise be entitled on that date if the annual increases, in the retired pay of the eligible person made to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index, had been made in accordance with section 1401a of this title.*

*''(d) PAYMENT OF RETIRED PAY TO PERSONS NOT MAKING ELECTION.—An eligible person who does not make the election described in subsection (b) shall be paid the retired pay to which the eligible person is otherwise entitled under the applicable provisions of law referred to in subsection (a)(1).*

*''(e) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense concerned shall prescribe regulations to carry out the provisions of this section.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 71 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''1415. Lump sum payment of certain retired pay.''.*

*(3) PAYMENTS FROM DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY RETIREMENT FUND.—Section 1463(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''or 1414'' and inserting '', 1414, or 1415''.*

*(b) OFFSET OF VETERANS PENSION AND COMPENSATION BY AMOUNT OF LUMP SUM PAYMENTS.—Section 5304 of title 38, United States*

*Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(d)(1) Other than amounts payable under section 1413a or 1414 of title 10, the amount of pension and compensation benefits payable to a person under this title shall be reduced by the amount of any lump sum payment made to such person under section 1415 of title 10.*

*''(2) The Secretary shall collect any reduction under paragraph (1) from amounts otherwise payable to the person under this title, including pension and compensation payable under this title, before any pension and compensation payments under this title may be paid to the person.''.*

***SEC. 634. CONTINUATION PAY AFTER 12 YEARS OF SERVICE FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES PARTICIPATING IN THE MODERNIZED RETIREMENT SYSTEMS.***

*(a) CONTINUATION PAY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sections:*

***''§ 356. Continuation pay after 12 years of service: members participating in modernized retirement systems***

*''(a) CONTINUATION PAY.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary concerned shall make a payment of continuation pay to each member of the uniformed services under the jurisdiction of the Secretary who—*

*''(A)(i) first becomes a member of a uniformed service after January 1, 2018; or*

*''(ii) subject to paragraph (2), makes the election described in section 1409(b)(4) or 12739(f) of title 10; and*

*''(B) after the date on which the member satisfies the applicable requirement in subparagraph (A)—*

*''(i) completes 12 years of service; and*

*''(ii) enters into an agreement with the Secretary to serve for an additional 4 years of obligated service.*

*''(2) ELIGIBILITY DEPENDENT ON ELECTION BEFORE COMPLETION OF 12 YEARS OF SERVICE.—A member who makes an election described in paragraph (1)(A)(ii) after the member completes 12 years of service is not eligible for continuation pay under this section.*

*''(b) AMOUNT.—The amount of continuation pay payable to a member under this section shall be the amount that is equal to—*

*''(1) in the case of a member of a regular component—*

*''(A) the monthly basic pay of the member at 12 years of service multiplied by 2.5; plus*

*''(B) at the discretion of the Secretary concerned, the monthly basic pay of the member at 12 years of service multiplied by such number of months (not to exceed 13 months) as the Secretary concerned shall specify in the agreement of the member under subsection (a); and*

*''(2) in the case of a member of a reserve component—*

*''(A) the amount of monthly basic pay to which the member would be entitled at 12 years of service if the member were a member of a regular component multiplied by 0.5; plus*

*''(B) at the discretion of the Secretary concerned, the amount of monthly basic pay described in subparagraph (A) multiplied by such number of months (not to exceed 6 months) as the Secretary concerned shall specify in the agreement of the member under subsection (a).*

*''(c) TIMING OF PAYMENT.—The Secretary concerned shall pay continuation pay under this section to a member when the member completes 12 years of service.*

*''(d) LUMP SUM OR INSTALLMENTS.—A member may elect to receive continuation pay under this section in a lump sum or in a series of not more than 4 payments.*

*''(e) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PAY AND ALLOWANCES.—Continuation pay under this section is in addition to any other pay or allowance to which the member is entitled.*

*''(f) REPAYMENT.—A member who receives continuation pay under this section and fails to complete the obligated service required under subsection (a)(2)(B)(ii) shall be subject to the repayment provisions of section 373 of this title.*

*''(g) REGULATIONS.—Each Secretary concerned shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 5 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''356. Continuation pay after 12 years of service: members participating in modernized retirement systems.''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2018, and shall apply with respect to agreements entered into under section 356 of title 37, United States Code, after that date.*

***SEC. 635. AUTHORITY FOR RETIREMENT FLEXIBILITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.***

*(a) AUTHORITY FOR RETIREMENT FLEXIBILITY.—Chapter 63 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

***''§ 1276. Retirement flexibility: authority to modify years of service required for retirement for particular occupational specialities or other groupings***

*''(a) AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary concerned may modify the years of service required for an eligible member to retire, to greater than or fewer than 20 years of service, in order to facilitate management actions that shape the personnel profile or correct manpower shortages within an occupational specialty or other grouping of members of the uniformed services.*

*''(b) ELIGIBLE MEMBER DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'eligible member' means a member of the uniformed services working in an occupational specialty or other grouping designated by the Secretary concerned as in need of a management action described in subsection (a).*

*''(c) NOTICE-AND-WAIT.—*

*''(1) NOTICE REQUIRED.—The Secretary concerned shall submit to Congress notice of any proposed modification under subsection (a).*

*''(2) LIMITATION.—The Secretary concerned may not implement a proposed modification under subsection (a) until one year after the day on which the notice of the modification is submitted to Congress under paragraph (1).*

*''(d) APPLICABILITY.—The Secretary concerned may only modify the required years of service under subsection (a) for an eligible member who first becomes a member of a uniformed service on or after the date of the expiration of the one year period described in subsection (c)(2) that is applicable to the occupational specialty or other grouping in which the eligible member works.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 63 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''1276. Retirement flexibility: authority to modify years of service required for retirement for particular occupational specialities or other groupings.''.*

***SEC. 636. TREATMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY RETIREMENT FUND AS A QUALIFIED TRUST.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 74 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 1468. Treatment as a qualified trust***

*''For purposes of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 1 et seq.)—*

*''(1) the Fund shall be treated as a trust described in section 401(a) of such Code (26 U.S.C. 401(a)) which is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code (26 U.S.C. 501(a)); and*

*''(2) any contribution to, or distribution from, the Fund shall be treated in the same manner as contributions to or distributions from such a trust.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 74 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''1468. Treatment as a qualified trust.''.*

***PART II—OTHER MATTERS***

***SEC. 641. DEATH OF FORMER SPOUSE BENEFICIARIES AND SUBSEQUENT REMARRIAGES UNDER SURVIVOR BENEFIT PLAN.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1448(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(7) EFFECT OF DEATH OF FORMER SPOUSE BENEFICIARY.—*

*''(A) TERMINATION OF PARTICIPATION IN PLAN.—A person who elects to provide an annuity to a former spouse under paragraph (2) or (3) and whose former spouse subsequently dies is no longer a participant in the Plan, effective on the date of death of the former spouse.*

*''(B) AUTHORITY FOR ELECTION OF NEW SPOUSE BENEFICIARY.—If a person's participation in the Plan is discontinued by reason of the death of a former spouse beneficiary, the person may elect to resume participation in the Plan and to elect a new spouse beneficiary as follows:*

*''(i) MARRIED ON THE DATE OF DEATH OF FORMER SPOUSE.—A person who is married at the time of the death of the former spouse beneficiary may elect to provide coverage to that person's spouse. Such an election must be received by the Secretary concerned within one year after the date of death of the former spouse beneficiary.*

*''(ii) MARRIAGE AFTER DEATH OF FORMER SPOUSE BENEFICIARY.—A person who is not married at the time of the death of the former spouse beneficiary and who later marries may elect to provide spouse coverage. Such an election must be received by the Secretary concerned within one year after the date on which that person marries.*

*''(C) EFFECTIVE DATE OF ELECTION.—The effective date of election under this paragraph shall be as follows:*

*''(i) An election under subparagraph (B)(i) is effective as of the first day of the first calendar month following the death of the former spouse beneficiary.*

*''(ii) An election under subparagraph (B)(ii) is effective as of the first day of the first calendar month following the month in which the election is received by the Secretary concerned.*

*''(D) LEVEL OF COVERAGE.—A person making an election under subparagraph (B) may not reduce the base amount previously elected.*

*''(E) PROCEDURES.—An election under this paragraph shall be in writing, signed by the participant, and made in such form and manner as the Secretary concerned may prescribe.*

*''(F) IRREVOCABILITY.—An election under this paragraph is irrevocable.''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Paragraph (7) of section 1448(b) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to any person whose former spouse beneficiary dies on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(c) APPLICABILITY TO FORMER SPOUSE DEATHS BEFORE ENACTMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—A person—*

*(A) who before the date of the enactment of this Act had a former spouse beneficiary under the Survivor Benefit Plan who died before that date; and*

*(B) who on the date of the enactment of this Act is married,*

*may elect to provide spouse coverage for such spouse under the Plan, regardless of whether the person married such spouse before or after the death of the former spouse beneficiary. Any such election may only be made during the one-*

*year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(2) EFFECTIVE DATE OF ELECTION IF MARRIED AT LEAST A YEAR AT DEATH FORMER SPOUSE.—If the person providing the annuity was married to the spouse beneficiary for at least one year at the time of the death of the former spouse beneficiary, the effective date of such election shall be the first day of the first month after the death of the former spouse beneficiary.*

*(3) OTHER EFFECTIVE DATE.—If the person providing the annuity married the spouse beneficiary after (or during the one-year period preceding) the death of the former spouse beneficiary, the effective date of the election shall be the first day of the first month following the first anniversary of the person's marriage to the spouse beneficiary.*

*(4) RESPONSIBILITY FOR PREMIUMS.—A person electing to participate in the Plan under this subsection shall be responsible for payment of all premiums due from the effective date of the election.*

***SEC. 642. TRANSITIONAL COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS FOR DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES INELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE RETIRED PAY AS A RESULT OF COURT-MARTIAL SENTENCE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1059 the following new section:*

***"§ 1059a. Dependents of members of the armed forces ineligible to receive retired pay as a result of court-martial sentence: transitional compensation and other benefits; commissary and exchange benefits***

*''(a) AUTHORITY TO PAY COMPENSATION.—The Secretary of Defense, with respect to the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy), and the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, may each carry out a program under which the Secretary may pay monthly transitional compensation in accordance with this section to dependents or former dependents of a member of the armed forces described in subsection (b) who is under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.*

*''(b) MEMBERS COVERED.—This section applies in the case of a member of the armed forces eligible for retired or retainer pay under this title for years of service who—*

*''(1) is separated from the armed forces pursuant to the sentence of a court-martial as a result of misconduct while a member; and*

*''(2) has eligibility to receive retired pay terminated pursuant to such sentence.*

*''(c) RECIPIENT OF PAYMENTS.—(1) In the case of a member of the armed forces described in subsection (b), the Secretary may pay compensation under this section to dependents or former dependents of the member as follows:*

*''(A) If the member was married at the time of the commission of the offense resulting in separation from the armed forces, such compensation may be paid to the spouse or former spouse to whom the member was married at that time, including an amount for each, if any, dependent child of the member who resides in the same household as that spouse or former spouse.*

*''(B) If there is a spouse or former spouse who is or, but for subsection (d)(2), would be eligible for compensation under this section and if there is a dependent child of the member who does not reside in the same household as that spouse or former spouse, compensation under this section may be paid to each such dependent child of the member who does not reside in that household.*

*''(C) If there is no spouse or former spouse who is or, but for subsection (d)(2), would be eligible under this section, compensation under this section may be paid to the dependent children of the member.*

*''(2) A dependent or former dependent of a member described in subsection (b) is not eligible for transitional compensation under this section if the Secretary concerned determines (under regulations prescribed under subsection (g)) that the dependent or former dependent either—*

*''(A) was an active participant in the conduct constituting the offense under chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) for which the member was convicted and separated from the armed forces; or*

*''(B) did not cooperate with the investigation of such conduct.*

*''(d) COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION OF PAYMENT.—(1) Payment of transitional compensation under this section shall commence—*

*''(A) as of the date the court-martial sentence is adjudged if the sentence, as adjudged, includes—*

*''(i) a dismissal, dishonorable discharge, or bad conduct discharge; and*

*''(ii) forfeiture of all pay and allowances; or*

*''(B) if there is a pretrial agreement that provides for disapproval or suspension of the dismissal, dishonorable discharge, bad conduct discharge, or forfeiture of all pay and allowances, as of the date of the approval of the court-martial sentence by the person acting under section 860(c) of this title (article 60(c) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) if the sentence, as approved, includes—*

*''(i) an unsuspended dismissal, dishonorable discharge, or bad conduct discharge; and*

*''(ii) forfeiture of all pay and allowances.*

*''(2) Paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (e), paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (g), and subsections (f) and (h) of section 1059 of this title shall apply in determining—*

*''(A) the amount of transitional compensation to be paid under this section;*

*''(B) the period for which such compensation may be paid; and*

*''(C) the circumstances under which the payment of such compensation may or will cease.*

*''(e) COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE BENEFITS.—A dependent or former dependent who receives transitional compensation under this section shall, while receiving such payments, be entitled to use commissary and exchange stores in the same manner as provided in subsection (j) of section 1059 of this title.*

*''(f) COORDINATION OF BENEFITS.—(1) The Secretary concerned may not make payments to a spouse or former spouse under both this section, on the one hand, and section 1059, 1408(h), or 1408(i) of this title, on the other hand. In the case of a spouse or former spouse for whom a court order provides for payments pursuant to section 1408(h) or 1408(i) of this title and to whom the Secretary offers payments under this section or section 1059 of this title, the spouse or former spouse shall elect which payments to receive.*

*''(2) Upon the cessation of payments of transitional compensation to a spouse or former spouse under this section pursuant to subsection (d)(2), a spouse or former spouse who elected payments of transitional compensation under this section and either remains or becomes eligible for payments under section 1408(h) or 1408(i) of this title, as applicable, may commence receipt of payments under such section 1408(h) or 1408(i) in accordance with such section.*

*''(g) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section with respect to the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy). The Secretary of Homeland Security shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy.*

*''(h) DEPENDENT CHILD DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'dependent child', with respect to a member or former member of the armed forces referred to in subsection (b), has the meaning given such term in subsection (l) of section 1059 of this title, except that status as a 'dependent child' shall be determined as of the date on which the member described in subsection (b) is convicted of the offense concerned.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 53 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1059 the following new item:*

*''1059a. Dependents of members of the armed forces ineligible to receive retired pay as a result of court-martial sentence: transitional compensation and other benefits; commissary and exchange benefits.''.*

*(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (i) of section 1059 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

*''(i) COORDINATION OF BENEFITS.—The Secretary concerned may not make payments to a spouse or former spouse under both this section, on the one hand, and section 1059a, 1408(h), or 1408(i) of this title, on the other hand. In the case of a spouse or former spouse for whom a court order provides for payments pursuant to section 1408(h) or 1408(i) of this title and to whom the Secretary offers payments under this section or section 1059a of this title, the spouse or former spouse shall elect which payments to receive.''.*

***Subtitle E—Commissary and Non-Appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations***

***SEC. 651. COMMISSARY SYSTEM MATTERS.***

*(a) OPERATING EXPENSES.—Section 2483 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b)—*

*(A) in paragraph (4), by striking ''supplies and'';*

*(B) by striking (5); and*

*(C) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (5); and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new subsections:*

*''(d) TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR CERTAIN GOODS AND SUPPLIES.—Appropriated funds may be used to pay any costs associated with the transportation of commissary goods and supplies to overseas areas, but only to the extent that the working capital fund for commissary operations is reimbursed for the payment of such costs. The sales prices in commissary stores worldwide shall be adjusted in an equal percentage to the extent necessary to provide sufficient gross revenues from such sales to make such reimbursements.*

*''(e) UNIFORM SYSTEM-WIDE PRICING.—The defense commissary system shall be managed with the objective of attaining uniform system-wide pricing.''.*

*(b) PRICING AND SURCHARGES.—Section 2484 of such title is amended—*

*(1) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following new subsection (e):*

*''(e) SALES PRICE ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish the sales price of merchandise sold in, at, or by commissary stores in amounts sufficient to finance operating expenses as prescribed in section 2483(b) of this title and the replenishment of inventories.''; and*

*(2) in subsection (h)—*

*(A) in the subsection caption, by striking ''AND MAINTENANCE'' and inserting ''MAINTENANCE, AND PURCHASE OF OPERATING SUPPLIES''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (1)(A)—*

*(i) in clause (i), by striking ''and'' at the end;*

*(ii) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; and''; and*

*(iii) by adding at the end the following new clause:*

*''(iii) to purchase operating supplies for commissary stores.''.*

*(c) OVERSEAS TRANSPORTATION.—Section 2643(b) of such title is amended by striking the first sentence and inserting the following new sentence: ''Defense working capital funds may be used to cover the transportation costs of commissary goods and supplies as provided in section 2483(d) of this title.''.*

***SEC. 652. PLAN ON PRIVATIZATION OF THE DEFENSE COMMISSARY SYSTEM.***

*(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 1, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth a plan for the privatization, in whole or in part, of the defense commissary system of the Department of Defense.*

*(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with major grocery retailers in the continental United States in developing the plan.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—*

*(1) PLAN ELEMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall ensure the provision of high quality grocery goods and products, discount savings to patrons, and high levels of customer satisfaction while achieving savings for the Department of Defense.*

*(2) REPORT ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) should include—*

*(A) an evaluation of the current rates of basic pay and basic allowance for subsistence payable to members of the Armed Forces, and an assessment whether such pay and allowance should be adjusted to ensure that members maintain purchasing power for grocery goods and products under the plan;*

*(B) an estimate of any initial and long-term costs or savings to the Department as a result of the implementation of the plan;*

*(C) an assessment whether the privatized defense commissary system under the plan can sustain the current savings to patrons of the defense commissary system;*

*(D) an assessment of the impact that privatization of the defense commissary system under the plan would have on all eligible beneficiaries;*

*(E) an assessment whether the privatized defense commissary system under the plan can sustain the continued operation of existing commissaries; and*

*(F) an assessment whether privatization of the defense commissary system is feasible for overseas commissaries.*

*(3) RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE ACTION.—The plan shall include recommendations for such legislative action as the Secretary considers appropriate to implement the plan.*

*(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES ASSESSMENT OF PLAN.—Not later than 120 days after the submittal of the report required by subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the committees of Congress referred to in that subsection a report setting forth an assessment by the Comptroller General of the plan set forth in the report required by that subsection.*

*(d) PILOT PROGRAM ON PRIVATIZATION.—*

*(1) PILOT PROGRAM REQUIRED.—Commencing as soon as practicable after the submittal to Congress of the report required by subsection (c), the Secretary shall carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of the plan set forth in the report required by subsection (a).*

*(2) NUMBER AND LOCATION OF COMMISSARIES.—The pilot program shall involve not fewer than five commissaries selected by the Secretary for purposes of the pilot program from among commissaries in the largest markets of the defense commissary system in the United States.*

*(3) SCOPE OF PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program in accordance with the plan described in paragraph (1) as modified by the Secretary in light of the assessment of the plan by the Comptroller General pursuant to subsection (c). The Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a notice on any modifications made to the plan for purposes of the pilot program in light of the assessment.*

*(4) ADDITIONAL ELEMENT ON ONLINE PURCHASES.—In an addition to any requirements under paragraph (3), the Secretary may include in the pilot program a component designed to permit eligible beneficiaries of the defense commissary system in the catchment areas of the commissaries selected for participation in the pilot program to order and purchase grocery goods and products otherwise available through the defense commissary system through the Internet and to receive items so ordered through home delivery.*

*(5) DURATION.—The duration of the pilot program shall be two years.*

*(6) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the completion of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the pilot program, including—*

*(A) an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of carrying out the plan described in paragraph (1), as modified, if at all, as described in paragraph (3); and*

*(B) a description of any modifications to the plan the Secretary considers appropriate in light of the pilot program.*

***SEC. 653. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REPORT ON THE COMMISSARY SURCHARGE, NON-APPROPRIATED FUND, AND PRIVATELY-FINANCED MAJOR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the Commissary Surcharge, Non-appropriated Fund and Privately-Financed Major Construction Program of the Department of Defense.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) An assessment whether the Secretary of Defense has established policies and procedures to ensure the timely submittal to the committees of Congress referred to in subsection (a) of notice on construction projects proposed to be funded through the program referred to in that subsection.*

*(2) An assessment whether the Secretaries of the military departments have developed and implemented policies and procedures to comply with the policies and directives of the Department of Defense for the submittal to such committees of Congress of notice on such construction projects.*

*(3) An assessment whether the Secretary of Defense has established policies and procedures to notify such committees of Congress when such construction projects have been commenced without notice to Congress.*

*(4) An assessment whether construction projects described in paragraph (3) have been completed before submittal of notice to Congress as described in that paragraph and, if so, a list of such projects.*

## *TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS*

### *Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits*

***SEC. 701. URGENT CARE AUTHORIZATION UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.***

*(a) URGENT CARE.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the regulations prescribed under this section, a covered beneficiary under the TRICARE program shall have access to up to four urgent care visits per year under that program without the need for preauthorization for such visits.*

*(2) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out paragraph (1).*

*(b) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall—*

*(1) publish information on any modifications made pursuant to subsection (a) to the authorization requirements for the receipt of urgent care under the TRICARE program—*

*(A) on the primary Internet website that is available to the public of the Department; and*

*(B) on the primary Internet website that is available to the public of each military medical treatment facility; and*

*(2) ensure that such information is made available on the primary Internet website that is available to the public of each current managed care contractor that has established a health care provider network under the TRICARE program.*

*(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ''covered beneficiary'' and ''TRICARE program'' have the meaning given such terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 702. MODIFICATIONS OF COST-SHARING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TRICARE PHARMACY BENEFITS PROGRAM.***

*Paragraph (6) of section 1074g(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

*''(6)(A) In the case of any of the years 2016 through 2025, the cost-sharing amounts under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with the following table:*

| *''For:* | *The cost-sharing amount for 30-day supply of a retail generic is:* | *The cost-sharing amount for 30-day supply of a retail formulary is:* | *The cost-sharing amount for a 90-day supply of a mail order generic is:* | *The cost-sharing amount for a 90-day supply of a mail order formulary is:* | *The cost-sharing amount for a 90-day supply of a mail order non-formulary is:* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *2016* | *$8* | *$28* | *$0* | *$28* | *$54* |
| *2017* | *$8* | *$30* | *$0* | *$30* | *$58* |
| *2018* | *$8* | *$32* | *$0* | *$32* | *$62* |
| *2019* | *$9* | *$34* | *$9* | *$34* | *$66* |
| *2020* | *$10* | *$36* | *$10* | *$36* | *$70* |
| *2021* | *$11* | *$38* | *$11* | *$38* | *$75* |
| *2022* | *$12* | *$40* | *$12* | *$40* | *$80* |

| ''For: | The cost-sharing amount for 30-day supply of a retail generic is: | The cost-sharing amount for 30-day supply of a retail formulary is: | The cost-sharing amount for a 90-day supply of a mail order generic is: | The cost-sharing amount for a 90-day supply of a mail order formulary is: | The cost-sharing amount for a 90-day supply of a mail order non-formulary is: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2023 | $13 | $43 | $13 | $43 | $85 |
| 2024 | $14 | $45 | $14 | $45 | $90 |
| 2025 | $14 | $46 | $14 | $46 | $92 |

''(B) For any year after 2025, the cost-sharing amounts under this subsection shall be equal to the cost-sharing amounts for the previous year adjusted by an amount, if any, determined by the Secretary to reflect changes in the costs of pharmaceutical agents and prescription dispensing, rounded to the nearest dollar.

''(C) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), the cost-sharing amounts under this subsection for any year for a dependent of a member of the uniformed services who dies while on active duty, a member retired under chapter 61 of this title, or a dependent of such a member shall be equal to the cost-sharing amounts, if any, for 2015.''.

**SEC. 703. EXPANSION OF CONTINUED HEALTH BENEFITS COVERAGE TO INCLUDE DISCHARGED AND RELEASED MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED RESERVE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b) of section 1078a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (4) as paragraphs (3) through (5), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

''(2) A member of the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of a reserve component of the armed forces who—

''(A) is discharged or released from service in the Selected Reserve, whether voluntarily or involuntarily, under other than adverse conditions, as characterized by the Secretary concerned;

''(B) immediately preceding that discharge or release, is eligible to enroll in TRICARE Standard coverage under section 1076d of this title; and

''(C) after that discharge or release, would not otherwise be eligible for any benefits under this chapter.''.

(b) NOTIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY.—Subsection (c)(2) of such section is amended by inserting ''or subsection (b)(2)'' after ''subsection (b)(1)''.

(c) ELECTION OF COVERAGE.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (4) as paragraphs (3) through (5), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

''(2) In the case of a member described in subsection (b)(2), the written election shall be submitted to the Secretary concerned before the end of the 60-day period beginning on the later of—

''(A) the date of the discharge or release of the member from service in the Selected Reserve; and

''(B) the date the member receives the notification required pursuant to subsection (c).''.

(d) COVERAGE OF DEPENDENTS.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended by inserting ''or subsection (b)(2)'' after ''subsection (b)(1)''.

(e) PERIOD OF CONTINUED COVERAGE.—Subsection (g)(1) of such section is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) through (D) as subparagraphs (C) through (E); and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

''(B) in the case of a member described in subsection (b)(2), the date which is 18 months after the date the member ceases to be eligible to enroll in TRICARE Standard coverage under section 1076d of this title;''.

(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (3), by striking ''subsection (b)(2)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(3)''; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking ''subsection (b)(3)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(4)'';

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (3), as redesignated by subsection (c)(1), by striking ''subsection (b)(2)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(3)'';

(B) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by striking ''subsection (b)(3)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(4)''; and

(C) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by striking ''subsection (b)(4)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(5)'';

(3) in subsection (e), by striking ''subsection (b)(2) or subsection (b)(3)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(3) or subsection (b)(4)''; and

(4) in subsection (g)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (C), as redesignated by subsection (e)(1), by striking ''subsection (b)(2)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(3)'';

(ii) in subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, by striking ''subsection (b)(3)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(4)''; and

(iii) in subparagraph (E), as so redesignated, by striking ''subsection (b)(4)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(5)'';

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking ''paragraph (1)(B)'' and inserting ''paragraph (1)(C)''; and

(ii) by striking ''subsection (b)(2)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(3)''; and

(C) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by striking ''paragraph (1)(C)'' and inserting ''paragraph (1)(D)''; and

(ii) by striking ''subsection (b)(3)'' and inserting ''subsection (b)(4)''.

**SEC. 704. EXPANSION OF REIMBURSEMENT FOR SMOKING CESSATION SERVICES FOR CERTAIN TRICARE BENEFICIARIES.**

Section 713(f) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4503) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking ''during fiscal year 2009'';

(2) in paragraph (1)(B), by striking ''during such period''; and

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking ''during fiscal year 2009'' and inserting ''after September 30, 2008''.

**SEC. 705. PILOT PROGRAM ON TREATMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER RELATED TO MILITARY SEXUAL TRAUMA.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may conduct a pilot program to provide intensive outpatient programs to treat members of the Armed Forces suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder resulting from military sexual trauma, including treatment for substance abuse, depression, and other issues related to such conditions.

(b) GRANTS TO COMMUNITY PARTNERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may carry out the pilot program through the award of grants to community partners described in paragraph (2).

(2) COMMUNITY PARTNERS.—A community partner described in this paragraph is a private health care organization or institution that—

(A) provides health care to members of the Armed Forces;

(B) provides evidence-based treatment for psychological and neurological conditions that are common among members of the Armed Forces, including post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, substance abuse, and depression;

(C) provides health care, support, and other benefits to family members of members of the Armed Forces; and

(D) provides health care under the TRICARE program (as that term is defined in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code).

(c) REQUIREMENTS OF GRANT RECIPIENTS.—Each community partner awarded a grant under subsection (b) shall—

(1) carry out intensive outpatient programs of short duration to treat members of the Armed Forces suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder resulting from military sexual trauma, including treatment for substance abuse, depression, and other issues related to such conditions;

(2) use evidence-based and evidence-informed treatment strategies in carrying out such programs;

(3) share clinical and outreach best practices with other community partners participating in the pilot program; and

(4) annually assess outcomes for members of the Armed Forces individually and throughout the community partner with respect to the treatment of conditions described in paragraph (1).

(d) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the costs of a program carried out by a community partner using a grant under this section may not exceed 50 percent.

(e) TERMINATION.—The Secretary of Defense may not carry out the conduct of the pilot program after the date that is three years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

## Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

**SEC. 711. ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.**

(a) ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program seeking an appointment for health care under such program at a military medical treatment facility obtain such an appointment at such facility within the wait-time goals specified for the receipt of such health care pursuant to the health care access standards established under subsection (b).

(2) USE OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—If a covered beneficiary is unable to obtain an appointment within the wait-time goals described in paragraph (1), such covered beneficiary shall be offered an appointment within such wait-time goals with a health care provider with which a contract has been entered into under the TRICARE program.

(b) STANDARDS FOR ACCESS TO CARE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish health care access standards, including wait-time goals for appointments, for the receipt of health care under the TRICARE program, whether received at military medical treatment facilities or from health care providers with which a contract has been entered into under such program.

(2) CATEGORIES OF CARE.—The health care access standards established under paragraph (1)

*shall include standards with respect to the following categories of health care:*

*(A) Primary care, including pediatric care, maternity care, gynecological care, and other subcategories of primary care.*

*(B) Specialty care, including behavioral health care and other subcategories of specialty care.*

*(3) MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary may modify the health care access standards established under paragraph (1) whenever the Secretary considers the modification of such standards appropriate.*

*(4) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall publish the health care access standards established under paragraph (1), and any modifications to such standards, in the Federal Register and on a publicly accessible Internet website of the Department of Defense.*

*(c) PUBLICATION OF APPOINTMENT WAIT TIMES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish on a publicly accessible Internet website of each military medical treatment facility that offers a category or subcategory of health care covered by the standards under subsection (b)(2) the average wait-time for a covered beneficiary for an appointment at such facility for the receipt of each such category and subcategory of health care.*

*(2) MODIFICATIONS.—Whenever there is a modification of a wait-time for a category or subcategory of health care published under this subsection, the Secretary shall publish on a publicly accessible Internet website of each military medical treatment facility that provides such category or subcategory of health care the modified wait-time for such category or subcategory of health care.*

*(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ''covered beneficiary'' and ''TRICARE program'' have the meaning given such terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 712. PORTABILITY OF HEALTH PLANS UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.***

*(a) HEALTH PLAN PORTABILITY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program who are covered under a health plan under such program are able to seamlessly access health care under such health plan in each TRICARE program region.*

*(2) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out paragraph (1).*

*(b) MECHANISMS TO ENSURE PORTABILITY.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall do the following:*

*(1) Provide for the automatic electronic transfer of demographic, enrollment, and claims information between the contractors responsible for administering the TRICARE program in each TRICARE region when covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program relocate between such regions.*

*(2) Ensure such covered beneficiaries are able to obtain a new primary health care provider within ten days of undergoing such relocation.*

*(3) Develop a process for such covered beneficiaries to receive urgent care without preauthorization while undergoing such relocation.*

*(c) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall—*

*(1) publish information on any modifications made pursuant to subsection (a) with respect to the ability of covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program who are covered under a health plan under such program to access health care in each TRICARE region on the primary Internet website of the Department that is available to the public; and*

*(2) ensure that such information is made available on the primary Internet website that is available to the public of each current contractor responsible for administering the TRICARE program.*

*(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ''covered beneficiary'' and ''TRICARE program'' have the meaning given such terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 713. IMPROVEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CARE PROVIDED BY HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) TRAINING ON RECOGNITION AND MANAGEMENT OF RISK OF SUICIDE.—*

*(1) INITIAL TRAINING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that all primary care and mental health care providers of the Department of Defense receive, or have already received, evidence-based training on the recognition and assessment of individuals at risk for suicide and the management of such risk.*

*(2) ADDITIONAL TRAINING.—The Secretary shall ensure that providers who receive, or have already received, training described in paragraph (1) receive such additional training thereafter as may be required based on evidence-based changes in health care practices.*

*(b) ASSESSMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH WORKFORCE.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report assessing the mental health workforce of the Department of Defense and the long-term mental health care needs of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents for purposes of determining the long-term requirements of the Department for mental health care providers.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include an assessment of the following:*

*(A) The number of mental health care providers of the Department of Defense as of the date of the submittal of the report, disaggregated by specialty, including psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, mental health counselors, and marriage and family therapists.*

*(B) The number of mental health care providers that are anticipated to be needed by the Department.*

*(C) The types of mental health care providers that are anticipated to be needed by the Department.*

*(D) Locations in which mental health care providers are anticipated to be needed by the Department.*

*(c) PLAN FOR DEVELOPMENT OF PROCEDURES TO MEASURE MENTAL HEALTH DATA.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a plan for the Department of Defense to develop procedures to compile and assess data relating to the following:*

*(1) Outcomes for mental health care provided by the Department.*

*(2) Variations in such outcomes among different medical facilities of the Department.*

*(3) Barriers, if any, to the implementation by mental health care providers of the Department of the clinical practice guidelines and other evidence-based treatments and approaches recommended for such providers by the Secretary.*

***SEC. 714. COMPREHENSIVE STANDARDS AND ACCESS TO CONTRACEPTION COUNSELING FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to ensure that all health care providers employed by the Department of Defense who provide care for members of the Armed Forces, including general practitioners, are provided, through clinical practice guidelines, the most current evidence-based and evidence-informed standards of care with respect to methods of contraception and counseling on methods of contraception.*

*(b) CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall compile clinical practice guidelines for health care providers described in subsection (a) on standards of care with respect to methods of contraception and counseling on methods of contraception for members of the Armed Forces.*

*(2) SOURCES.—The Secretary shall compile clinical practice guidelines under this subsection from among clinical practice guidelines established by appropriate health agencies and professional organizations, including the following:*

*(A) The United States Preventive Services Task Force.*

*(B) The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

*(C) The Office of Population Affairs of the Department of Health and Human Services.*

*(D) The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.*

*(E) The Association of Reproductive Health Professionals.*

*(F) The American Academy of Family Physicians.*

*(G) The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.*

*(3) UPDATES.—The Secretary shall from time to time update the list of clinical practice guidelines compiled under this subsection to incorporate into such guidelines new or updated standards of care with respect to methods of contraception and counseling on methods of contraception.*

*(4) DISSEMINATION.—*

*(A) INITIAL DISSEMINATION.—As soon as practicable after the compilation of clinical practice guidelines pursuant to paragraph (1), but commencing not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide for rapid dissemination of the clinical practice guidelines to health care providers described in subsection (a).*

*(B) UPDATES.—As soon as practicable after the adoption under paragraph (3) of any update to the clinical practice guidelines compiled pursuant to this subsection, the Secretary shall provide for the rapid dissemination of such clinical practice guidelines, as so updated, to health care providers described in subsection (a).*

*(C) PROTOCOLS.—Clinical practice guidelines, and any updates to such guidelines, shall be disseminated under this paragraph in accordance with administrative protocols developed by the Secretary for that purpose.*

*(c) CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall, in order to assist health care providers described in subsection (a), develop and implement clinical decision support tools that reflect, through the clinical practice guidelines compiled pursuant to subsection (b), the most current evidence-based and evidence-informed standards of care with respect to methods of contraception and counseling on methods of contraception.*

*(2) UPDATES.—The Secretary shall from time to time update the clinical decision support tools developed under this subsection to incorporate into such tools new or updated guidelines on methods of contraception and counseling on methods of contraception.*

*(3) DISSEMINATION.—Clinical decision support tools, and any updates to such tools, shall be disseminated under this subsection in accordance with administrative protocols developed by the Secretary for that purpose. Such protocols shall be similar to the administrative protocols developed under subsection (b)(4)(C).*

*(d) ACCESS TO CONTRACEPTION COUNSELING.—As soon as practicable after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall ensure that women members of the Armed Forces have access to comprehensive counseling on the full range of methods of contraception provided by health care providers described in subsection (a)*

*during health care visits, including visits as follows:*

*(1) During predeployment health care visits, including counseling that provides specific information women need regarding the interaction between anticipated deployment conditions and various methods of contraception.*

*(2) During health care visits during deployment.*

*(3) During annual physical examinations.*

*(e) INCORPORATION INTO SURVEYS OF QUESTIONS ON SERVICEWOMEN EXPERIENCES WITH FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES AND COUNSELING.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall integrate into the surveys by the Department of Defense specified in paragraph (2) questions designed to obtain information on the experiences of women members of the Armed Forces—*

*(A) in accessing family planning services and counseling;*

*(B) in using family planning methods, including information on which method was preferred and whether deployment conditions affected the decision on which family planning method or methods to be used; and*

*(C) with respect to women members of the Armed Forces who are pregnant, whether the pregnancy was intended.*

*(2) COVERED SURVEYS.—The surveys into which questions shall be integrated as described in paragraph (1) are the following:*

*(A) The Health Related Behavior Survey of Active Duty Military Personnel.*

*(B) The Health Care Survey of Department of Defense Beneficiaries.*

*(f) EDUCATION ON FAMILY PLANNING FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.—*

*(1) EDUCATION PROGRAMS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a uniform standard curriculum to be used in education programs on family planning for all members of the Armed Forces, including both men and women members.*

*(2) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the education programs described in paragraph (1) should use the latest technology available to efficiently and effectively deliver information to members of the Armed Forces.*

*(3) ELEMENTS.—The uniform standard curriculum under paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) Information for members of the Armed Forces on active duty to make informed decisions regarding family planning.*

*(B) Information about the prevention of unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections, including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).*

*(C) Information on the importance of providing comprehensive family planning for members of the Armed Forces, and their commanding officers, and on the positive impact family planning can have on the health and readiness of the Armed Forces.*

*(D) Current, medically accurate information.*

*(E) Clear, user-friendly information on the full range of methods of contraception and where members of the Armed Forces can access their chosen method of contraception.*

*(F) Information on all applicable laws and policies so that members are informed of their rights and obligations.*

*(G) Information on patients' rights to confidentiality.*

*(H) Information on the unique circumstances encountered by members of the Armed Forces, and the effects of such circumstances on the use of contraception.*

***SEC. 715. WAIVER OF RECOUPMENT OF ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS DUE TO ADMINISTRATIVE ERROR UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1095f the following new section:*

***"§ 1095g. TRICARE program: waiver of recoupment of erroneous payments due to administrative error***

*''(a) WAIVER OF RECOUPMENT.—The Secretary of Defense may waive recoupment from a covered beneficiary who has benefitted from an erroneous TRICARE payment in a case in which each of the following applies:*

*''(1) The payment was made due to an administrative error by an employee of the Department of Defense or a contractor under the TRICARE program.*

*''(2) The covered beneficiary (or in the case of a minor, the parent or guardian of the covered beneficiary) had a good faith, reasonable belief that the covered beneficiary was entitled to the benefit of such payment under this chapter.*

*''(3) The covered beneficiary relied on the expectation of such entitlement.*

*''(4) The Secretary determines that a waiver of recoupment of such payment is necessary to prevent an injustice.*

*''(b) RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTRACTOR.—In any case in which the Secretary waives recoupment under subsection (a) and the administrative error was on the part of a contractor under the TRICARE program, the Secretary shall, consistent with the requirements and procedures of the applicable contract, impose financial responsibility on the contractor for the erroneous payment.*

*''(c) FINALITY OF DETERMINATIONS.—Any determination by the Secretary under this section to waive or decline to waive recoupment under subsection (a) is a final determination and shall not be subject to appeal or judicial review.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 55 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1095f the following new item:*

*''1095g. TRICARE program: waiver of recoupment of erroneous payments due to administrative error.''.*

***SEC. 716. DESIGNATION OF CERTAIN NON-DEPARTMENT MENTAL HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS WITH KNOWLEDGE RELATING TO TREATMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDER READINESS DESIGNATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a system by which any non-Department mental health care provider that meets eligibility criteria established by the Secretary relating to the knowledge described in paragraph (2) receives a mental health provider readiness designation from the Department of Defense.*

*(2) KNOWLEDGE DESCRIBED.—The knowledge described in this paragraph is the following:*

*(A) Knowledge and understanding with respect to the culture of members of the Armed Forces and family members and caregivers of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(B) Knowledge with respect to evidence-based treatments that have been approved by the Department for the treatment of mental health issues among members of the Armed Forces.*

*(b) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION ON DESIGNATION.—*

*(1) REGISTRY.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish and update as necessary a registry that is available to the public of all non-Department mental health care providers that are currently designated under subsection (a)(1).*

*(2) PROVIDER LIST.—The Secretary shall update all lists maintained by the Secretary of non-Department mental health care providers that provide mental health care under the laws administered by the Secretary by indicating the providers that are currently designated under subsection (a)(1).*

*(c) NON-DEPARTMENT MENTAL HEALTH CARE PROVIDER DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''non-Department mental health care provider''—*

*(1) means a health care provider that—*

*(A) specializes in mental health;*

*(B) is not a health care provider of the Department of Defense; and*

*(C) provides health care to members of the Armed Forces; and*

*(2) includes psychiatrists, psychologists, psychiatric nurses, social workers, mental health counselors, marriage and family therapists, and other mental health care providers designated by the Secretary of Defense.*

***SEC. 717. LIMITATION ON CONVERSION OF MILITARY MEDICAL AND DENTAL POSITIONS TO CIVILIAN MEDICAL AND DENTAL POSITIONS.***

*(a) LIMITED AUTHORITY FOR CONVERSION.—Chapter 49 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 976 the following new section:*

***"§ 977. Conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions: limitation***

*''(a) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO CONVERSION.—A military medical or dental position within the Department of Defense may not be converted to a civilian medical or dental position unless the Secretary of Defense determines that—*

*''(1) the position is not a military essential position;*

*''(2) conversion of the position would not result in the degradation of medical or dental care or the medical or dental readiness of the armed forces; and*

*''(3) conversion of the position to a civilian medical or dental position is more cost effective than retaining the position as a military medical or dental position, consistent with Department of Defense Instruction 7041.04.*

*''(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) The term 'military medical or dental position' means a position for the performance of health care functions within the armed forces held by a member of the armed forces.*

*''(2) The term 'civilian medical or dental position' means a position for the performance of health care functions within the Department of Defense held by an employee of the Department or of a contractor of the Department.*

*''(3) The term 'military essential', with respect to a position, means that the position must be held by a member of the armed forces, as determined in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary.*

*''(4) The term 'conversion', with respect to a military medical or dental position, means a change of the position to a civilian medical or dental position, effective as of the date of the manning authorization document of the military department making the change (through a change in designation from military to civilian in the document, the elimination of the listing of the position as a military position in the document, or through any other means indicating the change in the document or otherwise).''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 49 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 976 the following new item:*

*''977. Conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions: limitation.''.*

*(c) REPEAL OF RELATED PROHIBITION.—Section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 129c note) is repealed.*

***SEC. 718. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR JOINT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE-DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND.***

*Section 1704(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2573), as amended by section 722 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further*

*amended by striking ''September 30, 2016'' and inserting ''September 30, 2017''.*

**SEC. 719. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR DOD–VA HEALTH CARE SHARING INCENTIVE FUND.**

*Section 8111(d)(3) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2020''.*

**SEC. 720. PILOT PROGRAM ON INCENTIVE PROGRAMS TO IMPROVE HEALTH CARE PROVIDED UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.**

*(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program to assess whether a reduction in the rate of increase in health care spending by the Department of Defense and an enhancement of the operation of the military health system may be achieved by developing and implementing value-based incentive programs to encourage health care providers under the TRICARE program (including physicians, hospitals, and others involved in providing health care to patients) to improve the following:*

*(1) The quality of health care provided to covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program.*

*(2) The experience of covered beneficiaries in receiving health care under the TRICARE program.*

*(3) The health of covered beneficiaries.*

*(b) INCENTIVE PROGRAMS.—*

*(1) DEVELOPMENT.—In developing an incentive program under this section, the Secretary shall—*

*(A) consider the characteristics of the population of covered beneficiaries affected by the incentive program;*

*(B) consider how the incentive program would impact the receipt of health care under the TRICARE program by such covered beneficiaries;*

*(C) establish or maintain a reasonable assurance that such covered beneficiaries will have timely access to health care during operation of the incentive program;*

*(D) ensure that there are no additional financial costs to such covered beneficiaries of implementing the incentive program; and*

*(E) consider such other factors as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—With respect to an incentive program developed and implemented under this section, the Secretary shall ensure that—*

*(A) the size, scope, and duration of the incentive program is reasonable in relation to the purpose of the incentive program; and*

*(B) appropriate criteria and data collection are used to ensure adequate evaluation of the feasibility and advisability of implementing the incentive program throughout the TRICARE program.*

*(3) USE OF EXISTING MODELS.—In developing an incentive program under this section, the Secretary may adapt a value-based incentive program conducted by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services or any other governmental or commercial health care program.*

*(c) TERMINATION.—The authority of the Secretary to carry out the pilot program under this section shall terminate on December 31, 2019.*

*(d) REPORT.—Not later than March 15, 2019, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the pilot program that includes the following:*

*(1) An assessment of each incentive program developed and implemented under this section, including whether such incentive program—*

*(A) improves the quality of health care provided to covered beneficiaries, the experience of covered beneficiaries in receiving health care under the TRICARE program, or the health of covered beneficiaries;*

*(B) reduces the rate of increase in health care spending by the Department of Defense; or*

*(C) enhances the operation of the military health system.*

*(2) Such recommendations for administrative or legislative action as the Secretary considers appropriate in light of the pilot program, including to implement any such incentive program or programs throughout the TRICARE program.*

*(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms ''covered beneficiary'' and ''TRICARE program'' have the meanings given those terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

***Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters***

**SEC. 731. PUBLICATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION ON HEALTH CARE PROVIDED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE THROUGH THE HOSPITAL COMPARE WEBSITE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.**

*(a) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Secretary of Health and Human Services for the provision by the Secretary of Defense of such information as the Secretary of Health and Human Services may require to report and make publicly available information on quality of care and health outcomes regarding patients at military medical treatment facilities through the Hospital Compare Internet website of the Department of Health and Human Services, or any successor Internet website.*

*(b) INFORMATION PROVIDED.—The information provided by the Secretary of Defense to the Secretary of Health and Human Services under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) Measures of the timeliness and effectiveness of the health care provided by the Department of Defense.*

*(2) Measures of the prevalence of—*

*(A) readmissions, including the 30-day readmission rate;*

*(B) complications resulting in death, including the 30-day mortality rate;*

*(C) surgical complications; and*

*(D) health care related infections.*

*(3) Survey data of patient experiences, including the Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems or any similar survey developed by the Department of Defense.*

*(4) Any other measures or data required of or reported with respect to hospitals participating in the Medicare program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).*

**SEC. 732. PUBLICATION OF DATA ON PATIENT SAFETY, QUALITY OF CARE, SATISFACTION, AND HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall publish on an Internet website of the Department of Defense that is available to the public data on all measures used by the Department to assess patient safety, quality of care, patient satisfaction, and health outcomes for health care provided under the TRICARE program at each military medical treatment facility.*

*(b) UPDATES.—The Secretary shall publish an update to the data published under subsection (a) not less frequently than once each quarter during each fiscal year.*

*(c) ACCESSIBILITY.—The Secretary shall ensure that the data published under subsection (a) and updated under subsection (b) is accessible to the public through the primary Internet website of the Department and the primary Internet website of the military medical treatment facility with respect to which such data applies.*

*(d) TRICARE PROGRAM DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''TRICARE program'' has the meaning given such terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

**SEC. 733. ANNUAL REPORT ON PATIENT SAFETY, QUALITY OF CARE, AND ACCESS TO CARE AT MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 1 each year beginning in 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a comprehensive report on patient safety, quality of care, and access to care at military medical treatment facilities.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—Each report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) The number of sentinel events, as defined by the Joint Commission, that occurred at military medical treatment facilities during the year preceding the submittal of the report, disaggregated by—*

*(A) military medical treatment facility; and*

*(B) military department with jurisdiction over such facilities.*

*(2) With respect to each sentinel event described in paragraph (1)—*

*(A) a synopsis of such event; and*

*(B) a description of any actions taken by the Secretary of the military department concerned in response to such event, including any actions taken to hold individuals accountable.*

*(3) The number of practitioners providing health care in military medical treatment facilities that were reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank during the year preceding the submittal of the report.*

*(4) The results of any internal analyses conducted by the Patient Safety Center of the Department of Defense during such year on matters relating to patient safety at military medical treatment facilities.*

*(5) With respect to each military medical treatment facility—*

*(A) the current accreditation status of such facility, including any recommendations for corrective action made by the relevant accrediting body;*

*(B) any policies or procedures implemented during such year by the Secretary of the military department concerned that were designed to improve patient safety, quality of care, and access to care at such facility;*

*(C) data on surgical and maternity care outcomes during such year;*

*(D) data on appointment wait times during such year; and*

*(E) data on patient safety, quality of care, and access to care as compared to standards established by the Department with respect to patient safety, quality of care, and access to care.*

**SEC. 734. REPORT ON PLANS TO IMPROVE EXPERIENCE WITH AND ELIMINATE PERFORMANCE VARIABILITY OF HEALTH CARE PROVIDED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

*(a) COMPREHENSIVE REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a comprehensive report setting forth the current and future plans of the Secretary, with estimated dates of completion, to carry out the following:*

*(A) To improve the experience of beneficiaries with health care provided in military medical treatment facilities and through purchased care.*

*(B) To eliminate performance variability with respect to the provision of such health care.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The comprehensive report required by paragraph (1) shall include the plans of the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments, as follows:*

*(A) To align performance measures for health care provided in military medical treatment facilities with performance measures for health care provided through purchased care.*

*(B) To improve underperformance in the provision of health care by the Department of Defense by eliminating performance variability with respect to the provision of health care in military medical treatment facilities and through purchased care.*

*(C) To use innovative, high-technology services to improve access to care, coordination of care, and the experience of care in military medical treatment facilities and through purchased care.*

*(D) To collect and analyze data throughout the Department with respect to health care provided in military medical treatment facilities and through purchased care to improve the quality of such care, patient safety, and patient satisfaction.*

*(E) To develop a performance management system, including by adoption of common measures for access to care, quality of care, safety, and patient satisfaction, that holds medical leadership throughout the Department personally accountable for sustained improvement of performance.*

*(F) To use such other methods as the Secretary considers appropriate to improve the experience of beneficiaries with and eliminate performance variability with respect to health care received from the Department.*

*(b) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the submittal of the comprehensive report required by subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the plans of the Secretary of Defense set forth in the comprehensive report submitted under such subsection.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) An assessment whether the plans included in the comprehensive report submitted under subsection (a) will, with respect to members of the Armed Forces and covered beneficiaries under the TRICARE program—*

*(i) improve health outcomes;*

*(ii) create lasting health value; and*

*(iii) ensure that such individuals are able to equitably obtain quality health care in all military medical treatment facilities and through purchased care.*

*(B) An assessment whether such plans can be reasonably achieved within the estimated dates of completion set forth by the Department under such subsection.*

*(C) An assessment whether any such plan would require legislative action for the implementation of such plan.*

*(D) An assessment whether the Department of Defense has adequately budgeted amounts to fund the carrying out of such plans.*

*(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''purchased care'' means health care provided pursuant to a contract entered into under the TRICARE program.*

*(2) The terms ''covered beneficiary'' and ''TRICARE program'' have the meaning given such terms in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 735. REPORT ON PLAN TO IMPROVE PEDIATRIC CARE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR CHILDREN OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth a plan of the Department of Defense to improve pediatric care and related services for children of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) In order to ensure that children receive developmentally-appropriate and age-appropriate health care services from the Department, a plan to align preventive pediatric care under the TRICARE program with—*

*(A) standards for such care as required by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111–148);*

*(B) guidelines established for such care by the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment program under the Medicaid program carried out under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.); and*

*(C) recommendations by organizations that specialize in pediatrics.*

*(2) A plan to develop a uniform definition of ''pediatric medical necessity'' for the Department that aligns with recommendations of organizations that specialize in pediatrics in order to ensure that a consistent definition of such term is used in providing health care in military medical treatment facilities and by health care providers under the TRICARE program.*

*(3) A plan to revise certification requirements for residential treatment centers of the Department to expand the access of children of members of the Armed Forces to services at such centers.*

*(4) A plan to develop measures to evaluate and improve access to pediatric care, coordination of pediatric care, and health outcomes for such children.*

*(5) A plan to include an assessment of access to pediatric specialty care in the annual report to Congress on the effectiveness of the TRICARE program.*

*(6) A plan to improve the quality of and access to behavioral health care under the TRICARE program for such children, including intensive outpatient and partial hospitalization services.*

*(7) A plan to mitigate the impact of permanent changes of station and other service-related relocations of members of the Armed Forces on the continuity of health care services received by such children who have special medical or behavioral health needs.*

*(8) A plan to mitigate deficiencies in data collection, data utilization, and data analysis to improve pediatric care and related services for children of members of the Armed Forces.*

*(c) TRICARE PROGRAM DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''TRICARE program'' has the meaning given such term in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 736. REPORT ON PRELIMINARY MENTAL HEALTH SCREENINGS FOR INDIVIDUALS BECOMING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) REPORT ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH SCREENINGS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on mental health screenings of individuals enlisting or accessioning into the Armed Forces before enlistment or accession.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) Recommendations with respect to establishing a secure, electronically-based preliminary mental health screening of members of the Armed Forces to bring mental health screenings to parity with physical screenings of members.*

*(2) Recommendations with respect to the composition of the mental health screening, evidenced-based best practices, and how to track changes in mental health screenings relating to traumatic brain injuries, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other conditions.*

*(c) COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall prepare the report under subsection (a)—*

*(1) in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and the surgeons general of the military departments; and*

*(2) in consultation with experts in the field, including the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health.*

***SEC. 737. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON USE OF QUALITY OF CARE METRICS AT MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITIES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the use by the Department of Defense of metrics with respect to the quality of care provided at military treatment facilities.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) The extent to which the Department of Defense and each military department use metrics to monitor and assess the quality of care provided at military treatment facilities.*

*(2) How, if at all, the use of such metrics varies among the Department of Defense and each military department.*

*(3) The extent to which the Department of Defense and each military department use the information from such metrics to identify and address issues such as the performance of individual health care providers and areas in need of improvement system-wide.*

*(4) The extent to which the Department of Defense and each military department oversee the process of using metrics to monitor and assess the quality of care provided at military treatment facilities.*

***SEC. 738. REPORT ON INTEROPERABILITY BETWEEN ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS SYSTEMS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.***

*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to Congress a report that sets forth a timeline with milestones for achieving interoperability between the electronic health records systems of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.*

***SEC. 739. SUBMITTAL OF INFORMATION TO SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS RELATING TO EXPOSURE TO AIRBORNE HAZARDS AND OPEN BURN PITS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and periodically thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs such information in the possession of the Secretary of Defense as the Secretary of Veterans Affairs considers necessary to supplement and support—*

*(1) the development of information to be included in the Airborne Hazards and Open Burn Pit Registry established by the Department of Veterans Affairs under section 201 of the Dignified Burial and Other Veterans' Benefits Improvement Act of 2012 (Public Law 112–260; 38 U.S.C. 527 note); and*

*(2) research and development activities conducted by the Department of Veterans Affairs to explore the potential health risks of exposure by members of the Armed Forces to environmental factors in Iraq and Afghanistan, in particular the connection of such exposure to respiratory illnesses such as chronic cough, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, constrictive bronchiolitis, and pulmonary fibrosis.*

*(b) INCLUSION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall include in the information submitted to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs under subsection (a) information on any research and surveillance efforts conducted by the Department of Defense to evaluate the incidence and prevalence of respiratory illnesses among members of the Armed Forces who were exposed to open burn pits while deployed overseas.*

***SEC. 740. COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY ON GAMBLING AND PROBLEM GAMBLING BEHAVIOR AMONG MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on gaming facilities at military installations and problem gambling among members of the Armed Forces.*

*(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The study conducted under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) With respect to gaming facilities at military installations, disaggregated by each branch of the Armed Forces—*

*(A) the number, type, and location of such gaming facilities;*

*(B) the total amount of cash flow through such gaming facilities; and*

*(C) the amount of revenue generated by such gaming facilities for morale, welfare, and recreation programs of the Department of Defense.*

*(2) An assessment of the prevalence of and particular risks for problem gambling among members of the Armed Forces, including such recommendations for policies and programs to be carried out by the Department to address problem gambling as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(3) An assessment of the ability and capacity of military health care personnel to adequately diagnose and provide dedicated treatment for problem gambling, including—*

*(A) a comparison of treatment programs of the Department for alcohol abuse, illegal substance abuse, and tobacco addiction with treatment programs of the Department for problem gambling; and*

*(B) an assessment of whether additional training for military health care personnel on providing treatment for problem gambling would be beneficial.*

*(4) An assessment of the financial counseling and related services that are available to members of the Armed Forces and their dependents who are impacted by problem gambling.*

*(c) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the results of the study conducted under subsection (a).*

*(2) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

*(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.*

***SEC. 741. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF DATA SECURITY AND TRANSMISSION STANDARDS FOR ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than June 1, 2016, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to Congress a report on the standards for security and transmission of data to be implemented by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs in deploying the new or updated, as the case may be, electronic health record system of each such Department (required to be deployed by each such Department under section 713 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note)) at military installations and in field environments.*

*(b) TRANSMISSION OF DATA.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include information on standards for transmission of data between the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs and standards for transmission of data between each such Department and private sector entities.*

## *TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS*

### *Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management*

***SEC. 801. ROLE OF SERVICE CHIEFS IN THE ACQUISITION PROCESS.***

*(a) SERVICE CHIEFS AS CUSTOMER OF ACQUISITION PROCESS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 149 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2546 the following new section:*

***''§ 2546a. Customer-oriented acquisition system***

*''(a) OBJECTIVE.—It shall be the objective of the defense acquisition system to meet the needs of its customers in the most cost-effective manner practicable. The acquisition policies, directives, and regulations of the Department of Defense shall be modified as necessary to ensure the development and implementation of a customer-oriented acquisition system.*

*''(b) CUSTOMER.—The customer of the defense acquisition system is the military service that will have primary responsibility for fielding the system or systems acquired. The customer is represented with regard to a major defense acquisition program by the Secretary of the relevant military department and the Chief of the relevant military service.*

*''(c) ROLE OF CUSTOMER.—The customer of a major defense acquisition program shall be responsible for balancing resources against priorities on the acquisition program and ensuring that appropriate trade-offs are made among cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance on a continuing basis throughout the life of the acquisition program.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 149 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2546 the following new item:*

*''2546a. Customer-oriented acquisition system.''.*

*(b) RESPONSIBILITIES OF CHIEFS.—Section 2547(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (6) as paragraphs (3) through (7), respectively;*

*(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:*

*''(2) Decisions regarding the balancing of resources and priorities, and associated trade-offs among cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance on major defense acquisition programs.''; and*

*(3) in paragraph (6), as redesignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection, by striking ''The development'' and inserting ''The development and management''.*

*(c) RESPONSIBILITIES OF MILITARY DEPUTIES.—Section 908(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 278; 10 U.S.C. 2430 note) is amended to read as follows:*

*''(d) DUTIES OF PRINCIPAL MILITARY DEPUTIES.—Each Principal Military Deputy to a service acquisition executive shall be responsible for—*

*''(1) keeping the Chief of Staff of the Armed Force concerned informed of the progress of major defense acquisition programs;*

*''(2) informing the Chief of Staff on a continuing basis of any developments on major defense programs, which may require new or revisited trade-offs among cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance, including—*

*''(A) significant cost growth or schedule slippage; and*

*''(B) requirements creep (as defined in section 2547(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code); and*

*''(3) ensuring that the views of the Chief of Staff on cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance trade-offs are strongly considered by program managers and program executive officers in all phases of the acquisition process.''.*

*(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) JOINT REQUIREMENTS OVERSIGHT COUNCIL.—Section 181(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(3) The Council shall seek, and strongly consider, the views of the Chiefs of Staff of the Armed Forces, in their roles as customers of the acquisition system, on matters pertaining to trade-offs among cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance under subsection (b)(1)(C) and the balancing of resources with priorities pursuant to subsection (b)(3).''.*

*(2) MILESTONE A DECISIONS.—The chief of the relevant military service shall advise the milestone decision authority for a major defense acquisition program of the chief's views on cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance trade-offs that have been made with regard to the program, as provided in section 2366a(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 844 of this Act, prior to a Milestone A decision on the program.*

*(3) MILESTONE B DECISIONS.—The chief of the relevant military service shall advise the milestone decision authority for a major defense acquisition program of the chief's views on cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance trade-offs that have been made with regard to the program, as provided in section 2366b(b)(3) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 845 of this Act, prior to a Milestone B decision on the program.*

*(4) DUTIES OF CHIEFS.—*

*(A) Section 3033(d)(5) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''section 171'' and inserting ''sections 171 and 2547''.*

*(B) Section 5033(d)(5) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''section 171'' and inserting ''sections 171 and 2547''.*

*(C) Section 5043(e)(5) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''section 171'' and inserting ''sections 171 and 2547''.*

*(D) Section 8033(d)(5) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''section 171'' and inserting ''sections 171 and 2547''.*

***SEC. 802. EXPANSION OF RAPID ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.***

*Section 806(c) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended to read as follows:*

*''(c) RESPONSE TO COMBAT EMERGENCIES AND CERTAIN URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS.—*

*''(1) DETERMINATION OF NEED FOR RAPID ACQUISITION AND DEPLOYMENT.—(A) In the case of any supplies and associated support services that, as determined in writing by the Secretary of Defense, are urgently needed to eliminate a documented deficiency that has resulted in combat casualties, or is likely to result in combat casualties, the Secretary may use the procedures developed under this section in order to accomplish the rapid acquisition and deployment of the needed supplies and associated support services.*

*''(B) In the case of any supplies and associated support services that, as determined in writing by the Secretary of Defense, are urgently needed to eliminate a documented deficiency that impacts an ongoing or anticipated contingency operation and that, if left unfulfilled, could potentially result in loss of life or critical mission failure, the Secretary may use the procedures developed under this section in order to accomplish the rapid acquisition and deployment of the needed supplies and associated support services.*

*''(C)(i) In the case of any supplies and associated support services that, as determined in writing by the Secretary of Defense without delegation, are urgently needed to eliminate a deficiency that as the result of a cyber attack has resulted in critical mission failure, the loss of life, property destruction, or economic effects, or if left unfilled is likely to result in critical mission failure, the loss of life, property destruction, or economic effects, the Secretary may use the procedures developed under this section in order to accomplish the rapid acquisition and deployment of the needed offensive or defensive cyber capabilities, supplies, and associated support services.*

*''(ii) In this subparagraph, the term 'cyber attack' means a deliberate action to alter, disrupt, deceive, degrade, or destroy computer systems or networks or the information or programs resident in or transiting these systems or networks.*

*''(2) DESIGNATION OF SENIOR OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE.—(A) Whenever the Secretary makes a determination under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of paragraph (1) that certain supplies and associated support services are urgently needed to eliminate a deficiency described in that subparagraph, the Secretary shall designate a senior official of the Department of Defense to ensure that the needed supplies and associated support services are acquired and deployed as quickly as possible, with a goal of awarding a contract for the acquisition of the supplies and associated support services within 15 days.*

''(B) Upon designation of a senior official under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall authorize that official to waive any provision of law, policy, directive, or regulation described in subsection (d) that such official determines in writing would unnecessarily impede the rapid acquisition and deployment of the needed supplies and associated support services. In a case in which the needed supplies and associated support services cannot be acquired without an extensive delay, the senior official shall require that an interim solution be implemented and deployed using the procedures developed under this section to minimize adverse consequences resulting from the urgent need.

''(3) USE OF FUNDS.—(A) In any fiscal year in which the Secretary makes a determination described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of paragraph (1), the Secretary may use any funds available to the Department of Defense for acquisitions of supplies and associated support services if the determination includes a written finding that the use of such funds is necessary to address the deficiency in a timely manner.

''(B) The authority of this section may only be used to acquire supplies and associated support services—

''(i) in the case of determinations by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(A), in an amount aggregating not more than $200,000,000 during any fiscal year;

''(ii) in the case of determinations by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(B), in an amount aggregating not more than $200,000,000 during any fiscal year; and

''(iii) in the case of determinations by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(C), in an amount aggregating not more than $200,000,000 during any fiscal year.

''(4) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.—(A) In the case of a determination by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of the determination within 15 days after the date of the determination.

''(B) In the case of a determination by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(B) the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of the determination at least 10 days before the date on which the determination is effective.

''(C) A notice under this paragraph shall include the following:

''(i) The supplies and associated support services to be acquired.

''(ii) The amount anticipated to be expended for the acquisition.

''(iii) The source of funds for the acquisition.

''(D) A notice under this paragraph shall be sufficient to fulfill any requirement to provide notification to Congress for a new start program.

''(E) A notice under this paragraph shall be provided in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

''(5) TIME FOR TRANSITIONING TO NORMAL ACQUISITION SYSTEM.—Any acquisition initiated under this subsection shall transition to the normal acquisition system not later than two years after the date on which the Secretary makes the determination described in paragraph (1) with respect to the supplies and associated support services concerned.

''(6) LIMITATION ON OFFICERS WITH AUTHORITY TO MAKE A DETERMINATION.—The authority to make a determination under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of paragraph (1) may be exercised only by the Secretary or Deputy Secretary of Defense.''.

**SEC. 803. MIDDLE TIER OF ACQUISITION FOR RAPID PROTOTYPING AND RAPID FIELDING.**

(a) GUIDANCE REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Comptroller of the Department of Defense and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, shall establish guidance for a ''middle tier'' of acquisition programs that are intended to be completed in a period of two to five years.

(b) ACQUISITION PATHWAYS.—The guidance required by subsection (a) shall cover the following two acquisition pathways:

(1) RAPID PROTOTYPING.—The rapid prototyping pathway shall provide for the use of innovative technologies to rapidly develop fieldable prototypes to demonstrate new capabilities and meet emerging military needs. The objective of an acquisition program under this pathway shall be to field a prototype that can be demonstrated in an operational environment and provide for a residual operational capability within five years of the development of an approved requirement.

(2) RAPID FIELDING.—The rapid fielding pathway shall provide for the use of proven technologies to field production quantities of new or upgraded systems with minimal development required. The objective of an acquisition program under this pathway shall be to begin production within six months and complete fielding within five years of the development of an approved requirement.

(c) EXPEDITED PROCESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The guidance required by subsection (a) shall provide for a streamlined and coordinated requirements, budget, and acquisition process that results in the development of an approved requirement for each program in a period of not more than six months from the time that the process is initiated. Programs that are subject to the guidance shall not be subject to the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System Manual and Department of Defense Directive 5000.01, except to the extent specifically provided in the guidance.

(2) RAPID PROTOTYPING.—With respect to the rapid prototyping pathway, the guidance shall include—

(A) a merit-based process for the consideration of innovative technologies and new capabilities to meet needs communicated by the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the combatant commanders;

(B) a process for developing and implementing acquisition and funding strategies for the program;

(C) a process for cost-sharing with the military departments on rapid prototype projects, to ensure an appropriate commitment to the success of such projects;

(D) a process for demonstrating and evaluating the performance of fieldable prototypes developed pursuant to the program in an operational environment; and

(E) a process for transitioning successful prototypes to new or existing acquisition programs for production and fielding under the rapid fielding pathway or the traditional acquisition system.

(3) RAPID FIELDING.—With respect to the rapid fielding pathway, the guidance shall include—

(A) a merit-based process for the consideration of existing products and proven technologies to meet needs communicated by the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the combatant commanders;

(B) a process for demonstrating performance and evaluating for current operational purposes the proposed products and technologies;

(C) a process for developing and implementing acquisition and funding strategies for the program; and

(D) a process for considering lifecycle costs and addressing issues of logistics support and system interoperability.

(4) STREAMLINED PROCEDURES.—The guidance for the programs may provide for any of the following streamlined procedures:

(A) The service acquisition executive of the military department concerned shall appoint a program manager for such program from among candidates from among civilian employees or members of the armed forces who have significant and relevant experience managing large and complex programs.

(B) The program manager for each program shall report with respect to such program directly, without intervening review or approval, to the service acquisition executive of the military department concerned.

(C) The service acquisition executive of the military department concerned shall evaluate the job performance of such manager on an annual basis. In conducting an evaluation under this paragraph, a service acquisition executive shall consider the extent to which the manager has achieved the objectives of the program for which the manager is responsible, including quality, timeliness, and cost objectives.

(D) The program manager of a defense streamlined program shall be authorized staff positions for a technical staff, including experts in business management, contracting, auditing, engineering, testing, and logistics, to enable the manager to manage the program without the technical assistance of another organizational unit of an agency to the maximum extent practicable.

(E) The program manager of a defense streamlined program shall be authorized, in coordination with the users of the equipment and capability to be acquired and the test community, to make trade-offs among life-cycle costs, requirements, and schedules to meet the goals of the program.

(F) The service acquisition executive, acting in coordination with the defense acquisition executive, shall serve as the milestone decision authority for the program.

(G) The program manager of a defense streamlined program shall be provided a process to expeditiously seek a waiver from Congress from any statutory or regulatory requirement that the program manager determines adds little or no value to the management of the program.

(d) RAPID PROTOTYPING FUND.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a fund to be known as the ''Department of Defense Rapid Prototyping Fund'' to provide funds, in addition to other funds that may be available for acquisition programs under the rapid prototyping pathway established pursuant to this section. The Fund shall be managed by a senior official of the Department of Defense designated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. The Fund shall consist of amounts appropriated to the Fund and amounts credited to the Fund pursuant to section 849 of this Act.

(2) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—Amounts available in the Fund may be transferred to a military department for the purpose of carrying out an acquisition program under the rapid prototyping pathway established pursuant to this section. Any amount so transferred shall be credited to the account to which it is transferred. The transfer authority provided in this subsection is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense.

(3) CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE.—The senior official designated to manage the Fund shall notify the congressional defense committees of all transfers under paragraph (2). Each notification shall specify the amount transferred, the purpose of the transfer, and the total projected cost and estimated cost to complete the acquisition program to which the funds were transferred.

**SEC. 804. AMENDMENTS TO OTHER TRANSACTION AUTHORITY.**

(a) AUTHORITY OF THE DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN PROTOTYPE PROJECTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 193 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2371a the following new section:

***''§ 2371b. Authority of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects***

''(a) AUTHORITY.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Secretary of a military department, or any other official designated by the Secretary of Defense may, under the authority of section 2371 of this title, carry

*out prototype projects that are directly relevant to enhancing the mission effectiveness of military personnel and the supporting platforms, systems, components, or materials proposed to be acquired or developed by the Department of Defense, or to improvement of platforms, systems, components, or materials in use by the armed forces.*

*''(2) The authority of this section—*

*''(A) may be exercised for a prototype project that is expected to cost the Department of Defense in excess of $50,000,000 but not in excess of $250,000,000 (including all options) only upon a written determination by the senior procurement executive for the agency as designated for the purpose of section 1702(c) of title 41, or, for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the Missile Defense Agency, the director of the agency that—*

*''(i) the requirements of subsection (d) will be met; and*

*''(ii) the use of the authority of this section is essential to promoting the success of the prototype project; and*

*''(B) may be exercised for a prototype project that is expected to cost the Department of Defense in excess of $250,000,000 (including all options) only if—*

*''(i) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics determines in writing that—*

*''(I) the requirements of subsection (d) will be met; and*

*''(II) the use of the authority of this section is essential to meet critical national security objectives; and*

*''(ii) the congressional defense committees are notified in writing at least 30 days before such authority is exercised.*

*''(3) The authority of a senior procurement executive or director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or Missile Defense Agency under paragraph (2)(A), and the authority of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics under paragraph (2)(B), may not be delegated.*

*''(b) EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.—*

*''(1) Subsections (e)(1)(B) and (e)(2) of such section 2371 shall not apply to projects carried out under subsection (a).*

*''(2) To the maximum extent practicable, competitive procedures shall be used when entering into agreements to carry out projects under subsection (a).*

*''(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL ACCESS TO INFORMATION.—(1) Each agreement entered into by an official referred to in subsection (a) to carry out a project under that subsection that provides for payments in a total amount in excess of $5,000,000 shall include a clause that provides for the Comptroller General, in the discretion of the Comptroller General, to examine the records of any party to the agreement or any entity that participates in the performance of the agreement.*

*''(2) The requirement in paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a party or entity, or a subordinate element of a party or entity, that has not entered into any other agreement that provides for audit access by a Government entity in the year prior to the date of the agreement.*

*''(3)(A) The right provided to the Comptroller General in a clause of an agreement under paragraph (1) is limited as provided in subparagraph (B) in the case of a party to the agreement, an entity that participates in the performance of the agreement, or a subordinate element of that party or entity if the only agreements or other transactions that the party, entity, or subordinate element entered into with Government entities in the year prior to the date of that agreement are cooperative agreements or transactions that were entered into under this section or section 2371 of this title.*

*''(B) The only records of a party, other entity, or subordinate element referred to in subparagraph (A) that the Comptroller General may examine in the exercise of the right referred to in that subparagraph are records of the same type as the records that the Government has had the right to examine under the audit access clauses of the previous agreements or transactions referred to in such subparagraph that were entered into by that particular party, entity, or subordinate element.*

*''(4) The head of the contracting activity that is carrying out the agreement may waive the applicability of the requirement in paragraph (1) to the agreement if the head of the contracting activity determines that it would not be in the public interest to apply the requirement to the agreement. The waiver shall be effective with respect to the agreement only if the head of the contracting activity transmits a notification of the waiver to Congress and the Comptroller General before entering into the agreement. The notification shall include the rationale for the determination.*

*''(5) The Comptroller General may not examine records pursuant to a clause included in an agreement under paragraph (1) more than three years after the final payment is made by the United States under the agreement.*

*''(d) APPROPRIATE USE OF AUTHORITY.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that no official of an agency enters into a transaction (other than a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement) for a prototype project under the authority of this section unless one of following conditions is met:*

*''(A) There is at least one nontraditional defense contractor participating to a significant extent in the prototype project.*

*''(B) All parties to the transaction other than the Federal Government are innovative small businesses and non-traditional contractors with unique capabilities relevant to the prototype project.*

*''(C) At least one third of the total cost of the prototype project is to be paid out of funds provided by parties to the transaction other than the Federal Government.*

*''(D) The senior procurement executive for the agency determines in writing that exceptional circumstances justify the use of a transaction that provides for innovative business arrangements or structures that would not be feasible or appropriate under a contract.*

*''(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amounts counted for the purposes of this subsection as being provided, or to be provided, by a party to a transaction with respect to a prototype project that is entered into under this section other than the Federal Government do not include costs that were incurred before the date on which the transaction becomes effective.*

*''(B) Costs that were incurred for a prototype project by a party after the beginning of negotiations resulting in a transaction (other than a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement) with respect to the project before the date on which the transaction becomes effective may be counted for purposes of this subsection as being provided, or to be provided, by the party to the transaction if and to the extent that the official responsible for entering into the transaction determines in writing that—*

*''(i) the party incurred the costs in anticipation of entering into the transaction; and*

*''(ii) it was appropriate for the party to incur the costs before the transaction became effective in order to ensure the successful implementation of the transaction.*

*''(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) The term 'nontraditional defense contractor' has the meaning given the term under section 2302(9) of this title.*

*''(2) The term 'small business' means a small business concern as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).*

*''(f) FOLLOW-ON PRODUCTION CONTRACTS OR TRANSACTIONS.—(1) A transaction entered into under this section for a prototype project may provide for the award of a follow-on production contract or transactions to the participants in the transaction.*

*''(2) A follow-on production contract or transaction provided for in a transaction under paragraph (1) may be awarded to the participants in the transaction without the use of competitive procedures, notwithstanding the requirements of section 2304 of this title, if—*

*''(A) competitive procedures were used for the selection of parties for participation in the transaction; and*

*''(B) the participants in the transaction successfully completed the prototype project provided for in the transaction.*

*''(3) Contracts and transactions entered into pursuant to this subsection may be awarded using the authority in subsection (a), under the authority of chapter 137 of this title, or under such procedures, terms, and conditions as the Secretary of Defense may establish by regulation.*

*''(g) AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE PROTOTYPES AND FOLLOW-ON PRODUCTION ITEMS AS GOVERNMENT FURNISHED EQUIPMENT.—An agreement entered pursuant to the authority of subsection (a) or a follow-on contract entered pursuant to the authority of subsection (f) may provide for prototypes or follow-on production items to be provided to another contractor as government-furnished equipment.*

*''(h) APPLICABILITY OF PROCUREMENT ETHICS REQUIREMENTS.—An agreement entered into under the authority of this section shall be treated as a Federal agency procurement for the purposes of chapter 21 of title 41.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2371a the following new item:*

*''2371b. Authority of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects.''.*

*(b) MODIFICATION TO DEFINITION OF NON-TRADITIONAL CONTRACTOR.—Section 2302(9) of such title is amended to read as follows:*

*''(9) The term 'nontraditional defense contractor', with respect to a procurement or with respect to a transaction authorized under section 2371(a) of this title, means an entity that—*

*''(A) is not currently performing and has not performed, for at least the one-year period preceding the solicitation of sources by the Department of Defense for the procurement or transaction, any contract or subcontract that is subject to full coverage under the cost accounting standards prescribed pursuant to 1502 of title 41 and the regulations implementing such section; and*

*''(B) has not been awarded, for at least the one-year period preceding the solicitation of sources by the Department of Defense for the procurement or transaction, any other contract under which the contractor was required to submit certified cost or pricing data under section 2306a of this title.''.*

*(c) REPEAL OF OBSOLETE AUTHORITY.—Section 845 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2371 note) is hereby repealed.*

*(d) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1601(c)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 2370a note) is amended by restating subparagraph (B) to read as follows:*

*''(B) sections 2371 and 2371b of title 10, United States Code.''.*

***SEC. 805. USE OF ALTERNATIVE ACQUISITION PATHS TO ACQUIRE CRITICAL NATIONAL SECURITY CAPABILITIES.***

*(a) GUIDELINES.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish procedures and guidelines for alternative acquisition pathways to acquire capital assets and services that meet critical national security needs. The guidelines shall—*

*(1) be separate from existing acquisition procedures and guidelines;*

*(2) be supported by streamlined contracting, budgeting, and requirements processes;*

*(3) establish alternative acquisition paths based on the capabilities being bought and the time needed to deploy these capabilities; and*

*(4) maximize the use of flexible authorities in existing law and regulation.*

*(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes a summary of the guidelines established under subsection (a) and recommendations for any legislation necessary to meet the objectives set forth in subsection (a) and to implement the guidelines established under such subsection.*

***SEC. 806. SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WAIVER OF ACQUISITION LAWS TO ACQUIRE VITAL NATIONAL SECURITY CAPABILITIES.***

*(a) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to waive any provision of acquisition law or regulation described in subsection (c) for the purpose of acquiring a capability that would not otherwise be available to the Armed Forces of the United States, upon a determination that—*

*(1) the acquisition of the capability is in the vital national security interest of the United States;*

*(2) the application of the law or regulation to be waived would impede the acquisition of the capability in a manner that would undermine the national security of the United States; and*

*(3) the underlying purpose of the law or regulation to be waived can be addressed in a different manner or at a different time.*

*(b) DESIGNATION OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL.—Whenever the Secretary of Defense makes a determination under subsection (a)(1) that the acquisition of a capability is in the vital national security interest of the United States, the Secretary shall designate a senior official of the Department of Defense who shall be personally responsible and accountable for the rapid and effective acquisition and deployment of the needed capability. The Secretary shall provide the designated official such authority as the Secretary determines necessary to achieve this objective, and may use the waiver authority in subsection (a) for this purpose.*

*(c) ACQUISITION LAWS AND REGULATIONS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon a determination described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense is authorized to waive any provision of law or regulation addressing—*

*(A) the establishment of a requirement or specification for the capability to be acquired;*

*(B) research, development, test, and evaluation of the capability to be acquired;*

*(C) production, fielding, and sustainment of the capability to be acquired; or*

*(D) solicitation, selection of sources, and award of contracts for the capability to be acquired.*

*(2) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this subsection authorizes the waiver of—*

*(A) the requirements of this section;*

*(B) any provision of law imposing civil or criminal penalties; or*

*(C) any provision of law governing the proper expenditure of appropriated funds.*

*(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees at least 30 days before exercising the waiver authority under subsection (a). Each such notice shall include—*

*(1) an explanation of the basis for determining that the acquisition of the capability is in the vital national security interest of the United States;*

*(2) an identification of each provision of law or regulation to be waived; and*

*(3) for each provision identified pursuant to paragraph (2)—*

*(A) an explanation of why the application of the provision would impede the acquisition in a manner that would undermine the national security of the United States; and*

*(B) a description of the time or manner in which the underlying purpose of the law or regulation to be waived will be addressed.*

*(e) NON-DELEGATION.—The authority of the Secretary to waive provisions of laws and regulations under subsection (a) is non-delegable.*

***SEC. 807. ACQUISITION AUTHORITY OF THE COMMANDER OF UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND.***

*(a) AUTHORITY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commander of the United States Cyber Command shall be responsible for, and shall have the authority to conduct, the following acquisition activities:*

*(A) Development and acquisition of cyber operations-peculiar equipment and capabilities.*

*(B) Acquisition of cyber capability-peculiar equipment, capabilities, and services.*

*(2) ACQUISITION FUNCTIONS.—Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the Commander shall have authority to exercise the functions of the head of an agency under chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(b) COMMAND ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The staff of the Commander shall include a command acquisition executive, who shall be responsible for the overall supervision of acquisition matters for the United States Cyber Command. The command acquisition executive shall have the authority—*

*(A) to negotiate memoranda of agreement with the military departments to carry out the acquisition of equipment, capabilities, and services described in subsection (a)(1) on behalf of the Command;*

*(B) to supervise the acquisition of equipment, capabilities, and services described in subsection (a)(1);*

*(C) to represent the Command in discussions with the military departments regarding acquisition programs for which the Command is a customer; and*

*(D) to work with the military departments to ensure that the Command is appropriately represented in any joint working group or integrated product team regarding acquisition programs for which the Command is a customer.*

*(2) DELIVERY OF ACQUISITION SOLUTIONS.—The command acquisition executive of the United States Cyber Command shall be—*

*(A) responsible to the Commander for rapidly delivering acquisition solutions to meet validated cyber operations-peculiar requirements;*

*(B) subordinate to the defense acquisition executive in matters of acquisition;*

*(C) subject to the same oversight as the service acquisition executives; and*

*(D) included on the distribution list for acquisition directives and instructions of the Department of Defense.*

*(c) ACQUISITION PERSONNEL.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide the United States Cyber Command with the personnel or funding equivalent to ten full-time equivalent personnel to support the Commander in fulfilling the acquisition responsibilities provided for under this section with experience in—*

*(A) program acquisition;*

*(B) the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System Process;*

*(C) program management;*

*(D) system engineering; and*

*(E) costing.*

*(2) EXISTING PERSONNEL.—The personnel provided under this subsection shall be provided from among the existing personnel of the Department of Defense.*

*(d) INSPECTOR GENERAL ACTIVITIES.—The staff of the Commander of the United States Cyber Command shall on a periodic basis include a representative from the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General who shall conduct internal audits and inspections of purchasing and contracting actions through the United States Cyber Command and such other Inspector General functions as may be assigned.*

*(e) BUDGET.—In addition to the activities of a combatant command for which funding may be requested under section 166(b) of title 10, United States Code, the budget proposal of the United States Cyber Command shall include requests for funding for—*

*(1) development and acquisition of cyber operations-peculiar equipment; and*

*(2) acquisition of other capabilities or services that are peculiar to offensive cyber operations activities.*

*(f) CYBER OPERATIONS PROCUREMENT FUND.—There is authorized to be appropriated for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2021, out of funds made available for procurement, Defense-wide, $75,000,000 for a Cyber Operations Procurement Fund to support acquisition activities provided for under this section.*

*(g) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION REGARDING INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to constitute authority to conduct any activity which, if carried out as an intelligence activity by the Department of Defense, would require a notice to the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives under title V of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3091 et seq.).*

*(h) SUNSET.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The authority under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2021.*

*(2) LIMITATION ON DURATION OF ACQUISITIONS.—The authority under this section does not include major defense acquisitions or acquisitions of foundational infrastructure or software architectures the duration of which is expected to last more than five years.*

***SEC. 808. ADVISORY PANEL ON STREAMLINING AND CODIFYING ACQUISITION REGULATIONS.***

*(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish under the sponsorship of the Defense Acquisition University and the National Defense University an advisory panel on streamlining acquisition regulations.*

*(b) MEMBERSHIP.—The panel shall be composed of at least nine individuals who are recognized experts in acquisition and procurement policy. In making appointments to the advisory panel, the Under Secretary shall ensure that the members of the panel reflect diverse experiences in the public and private sectors.*

*(c) DUTIES.—The panel shall—*

*(1) review the acquisition regulations applicable to the Department of Defense with a view toward streamlining and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the defense acquisition process and maintaining defense technology advantage; and*

*(2) make any recommendations for the amendment or repeal of such regulations that the panel considers necessary, as a result of such review, to—*

*(A) establish and administer appropriate buyer and seller relationships in the procurement system;*

*(B) improve the functioning of the acquisition system;*

*(C) ensure the continuing financial and ethical integrity of defense procurement programs;*

*(D) protect the best interests of the Department of Defense; and*

*(E) eliminate any regulations that are unnecessary for the purposes described in subparagraphs (A) through (D).*

*(d) ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide the advisory panel established pursuant to subsection (a) with timely access to appropriate information, data, resources, and analysis so that the advisory panel may conduct a thorough and independent assessment as required under such subsection.*

*(2) INAPPLICABILITY OF FACA.—The requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the advisory panel established pursuant to subsection (a).*

*(e) REPORT.—*

*(1) PANEL REPORT.—Not later than two years after the date on which the Secretary of Defense establishes the advisory panel, the panel shall transmit a final report to the Secretary.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The final report shall contain a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the panel, including—*

*(A) a history of each current acquisition regulation and a recommendation as to whether the regulation and related law (if applicable) should be retained, modified, or repealed; and*

*(B) such additional recommendations for legislation as the panel considers appropriate.*

*(3) INTERIM REPORTS.—(A) Not later than 6 months and 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to or brief the congressional defense committees on the interim findings of the panel with respect to the elements set forth in paragraph (2).*

*(B) The panel shall provide regular updates to the Secretary of Defense for purposes of providing the interim reports required under this paragraph.*

*(4) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 30 days after receiving the final report of the advisory panel, the Secretary of Defense shall transmit the final report, together with such comments as the Secretary determines appropriate, to the congressional defense committees.*

*(f) DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND SUPPORT.—The Secretary of Defense may use amounts available in the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund established under section 1705 of title 10, United States Code, to support activities of the advisory panel under this section.*

***SEC. 809. REVIEW OF TIME-BASED REQUIREMENTS PROCESS AND BUDGETING AND ACQUISITION SYSTEMS.***

*(a) TIME-BASED REQUIREMENTS PROCESS.—The Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall review the requirements process with the goal of establishing an agile and streamlined system that develops requirements that provide stability and foundational direction for acquisition programs. The requirements system should be informed by technological market research and provide a time-based or phased distinction between capabilities needed to be deployed urgently, within 2 years, within 5 years, and longer than 5 years.*

*(b) BUDGETING AND ACQUISITION SYSTEMS.—The Secretary of Defense shall review and ensure that the acquisition and budgeting systems are structured to meet time-based or phased requirements in a manner that is predictable, cost effective, and efficient and takes advantage of emerging technological developments. The Secretary shall make all necessary changes in regulation and policy to achieve a time-based requirements, budgeting, and acquisition system and shall identify and report to Congress within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act on any statutory impediments to achieving such a system.*

***SEC. 810. IMPROVEMENT OF PROGRAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) DEPARTMENT-WIDE RESPONSIBILITIES OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—In fulfilling the responsibilities under chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall—*

*(1) develop Department-wide standards, policies, and guidelines for program and project management for the Department of Defense based on appropriate and applicable nationally accredited standards for program and project management;*

*(2) develop polices to monitor compliance with the standards, policies, and guidelines developed under paragraph (1); and*

*(3) engage with the private sector on matters relating to program and project management for the Department.*

*(b) RESPONSIBILITIES OF USD (ATL).—In fulfilling the responsibilities under chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code, for the military departments and the Defense Agencies, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall—*

*(1) advise and assist Secretary of Defense with respect Department of Defense practices related to program and project management;*

*(2) review programs identified as high-risk in program and project management by the Government Accountability Office, and make recommendations for actions to be taken by the Secretary to mitigate such risks;*

*(3) assess matters of importance to the workforce in program and project management, including—*

*(A) career development and workforce development;*

*(B) policies to support continuous improvement in program and project management; and*

*(C) major challenges of the Department in managing programs and projects; and*

*(4) advise on the development and applicability of standards Department-wide for program and project management transparency.*

*(c) RESPONSIBILITIES OF ACQUISITION EXECUTIVES.—In fulfilling the responsibilities under chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code, for the military departments, the service acquisition executives (in consultation with the Chiefs of the Armed Forces with respect to military program managers), and the component acquisition executives for the Defense Agencies, shall—*

*(1) ensure the compliance of the department or Agency concerned with standards, policies, and guidelines for program and project management for the Department of Defense developed by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1); and*

*(2) ensure the effective career development of program managers through—*

*(A) training and educational opportunities for program managers, including exchange programs with the private sector;*

*(B) mentoring of current and future program managers by experienced public and private sector senior executives and program managers;*

*(C) continued refinement of career paths and career opportunities for program managers;*

*(D) incentives for the recruitment of highly qualified individuals to serve as program managers;*

*(E) improved means of collecting and disseminating best practices and lessons learned to enhance program management; and*

*(F) improved methods to support improved data gathering and analysis for program management and oversight purposes.*

*(d) DEADLINE FOR STANDARDS, POLICIES, AND GUIDELINES.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue the standards, policies, and guidelines required by subsection (a)(1). The Secretary shall provide Congress an interim update on the progress made in implementing this section not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

***Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations***

***SEC. 821. PREFERENCE FOR FIXED-PRICE CONTRACTS IN DETERMINING CONTRACT TYPE FOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.***

*(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PREFERENCE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement shall be revised to establish a preference for fixed-price contracts, including fixed-price incentive fee contracts, in the determination of contract type for development programs.*

*(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING CHANGES.—Section 818(c) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2329) is amended—*

*(1) in the first sentence, by inserting ''or major automated information system'' after ''major defense acquisition program''; and*

*(2) by striking the second sentence.*

***SEC. 822. APPLICABILITY OF COST AND PRICING DATA AND CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.***

*Section 2306a(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking ''; or'' and inserting a semicolon;*

*(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; or''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(D) to the extent such data relates to an offset agreement in connection with a contract for the sale of a weapon system or defense-related item to a foreign country or foreign firm.''.*

***SEC. 823. RISK-BASED CONTRACTING FOR SMALLER CONTRACT ACTIONS UNDER THE TRUTH IN NEGOTIATIONS ACT.***

*(a) INCREASE IN THRESHOLDS.—Subsection (a) of section 2306a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1)—*

*(A) by striking ''December 5, 1990'' each place it appears and inserting ''January 15, 2016'';*

*(B) by striking ''$500,000'' each place it appears and inserting ''$5,000,000''; and*

*(C) by striking ''$100,000'' each place it appears and inserting ''$750,000''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (7), by striking ''fiscal year 1994 constant dollar value'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2016 constant dollar value''.*

*(b) RISK-BASED CONTRACTING.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:*

*''(c) COST OR PRICING DATA ON BELOW-THRESHOLD CONTRACTS.—*

*''(1) AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE SUBMISSION.—Subject to paragraph (4), when certified cost or pricing data are not required to be submitted by subsection (a) for a contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, such data may nevertheless be required to be submitted by the head of the procuring activity, if the head of the procuring activity—*

*''(A) determines that such data are necessary for the evaluation by the agency of the reasonableness of the price of the contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract; or*

*''(B) requires the submission of such data in accordance with a risk-based contracting approach established pursuant to paragraph (3).*

*''(2) WRITTEN DETERMINATION REQUIRED.—In any case in which the head of the procuring activity requires certified cost or pricing data to be submitted under paragraph (1)(A), the head of the procuring activity shall justify in writing the reason for such requirement.*

*''(3) RISK-BASED CONTRACTING.—The head of an agency shall establish a risk-based sampling approach under which the submission of certified cost or pricing data may be required for a risk-based sample of contracts, the price of which is expected to exceed the dollar amount in subsection (a)(1)(A)(ii), but not the amount in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i). The authority to require certified cost or pricing data under this paragraph shall not apply to any contract of an offeror that has not been awarded, for at least the one-year period preceding the issuance of a solicitation for the contract, any other contract in excess of the amount in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i) under which the offeror was required to submit certified cost or pricing data under this section.*

*''(4) EXCEPTION.—The head of the procuring activity may not require certified cost or pricing data to be submitted under this subsection for any contract or subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, covered by the exceptions in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (b)(1).*

*''(5) DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY PROHIBITED.—The head of a procuring activity may not delegate functions under this subsection.''.*

***SEC. 824. LIMITATION ON USE OF REVERSE AUCTION AND LOWEST PRICE TECHNICALLY ACCEPTABLE CONTRACTING METHODS.***

*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Federal Acquisition*

*Regulation and the Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be amended—*

*(1) to prohibit the use by the Department of Defense of reverse auction or lowest price technically acceptable contracting methods for the procurement of personal protective equipment where the level of quality or failure of the item could result in combat casualties; and*

*(2) to establish a preference for the use of best value contracting methods for the procurement of such equipment.*

***SEC. 825. RIGHTS IN TECHNICAL DATA.***

*(a) RIGHTS IN TECHNICAL DATA RELATING TO MAJOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.—Paragraph (2) of section 2321(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

*''(2) In the case of a challenge to a use or release restriction that is asserted with respect to technical data of a contractor or subcontractor for a major system or a subsystem or component thereof on the basis that the major weapon system, subsystem, or component was developed exclusively at private expense—*

*''(A) the presumption in paragraph (1) shall apply—*

*''(i) with regard to a commercial subsystem or component of a major system, if the major system was acquired as a commercial item in accordance with section 2379(a) of this title;*

*''(ii) with regard to a component of a subsystem, if the subsystem was acquired as a commercial item in accordance with section 2379(b) of this title; and*

*''(iii) with regard to any other component, if the component is a commercially available off-the-shelf item or a commercially available off-the-shelf item with modifications of a type customarily available in the commercial marketplace or minor modifications made to meet Federal Government requirements; and*

*''(B) in all other cases, the challenge to the use or release restriction shall be sustained unless information provided by the contractor or subcontractor demonstrates that the item was developed exclusively at private expense.''.*

*(b) GOVERNMENT-INDUSTRY ADVISORY PANEL.—*

*(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, shall establish a government-industry advisory panel for the purpose of reviewing sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code, regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interests of the taxpayers and the national defense.*

*(2) MEMBERSHIP.—The panel shall be chaired by an individual selected by the Under Secretary, and the Under Secretary shall ensure that—*

*(A) the government members of the advisory panel are knowledgeable about technical data issues and appropriately represent the three military departments, as well as the legal, acquisition, logistics, and research and development communities in the Department of Defense; and*

*(B) the private sector members of the advisory panel include independent experts and individuals appropriately representative of the diversity of interested parties, including large and small businesses, traditional and non-traditional government contractors, prime contractors and subcontractors, suppliers of hardware and software, and institutions of higher education.*

*(3) SCOPE OF REVIEW.—In conducting the review required by paragraph (1), the advisory panel shall give appropriate consideration to the following factors:*

*(A) Ensuring that the Department of Defense does not pay more than once for the same work.*

*(B) Ensuring that Department of Defense contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention.*

*(C) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to Department of Defense systems.*

*(D) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the Department of Defense.*

*(E) Ensuring that the Department of Defense has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.*

*(4) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than September 30, 2016, the advisory panel shall submit its final report and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense. Not later than 60 days after receiving the report, the Secretary shall submit a copy of the report, together with any comments or recommendations, to the congressional defense committees.*

***SEC. 826. PROCUREMENT OF SUPPLIES FOR EXPERIMENTAL PURPOSES.***

*(a) ADDITIONAL PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of section 2373 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting ''transportation, energy, medical, space-flight,'' before ''and aeronautical supplies''.*

*(b) APPLICABILITY OF CHAPTER 137 OF TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking ''only when such purchases are made in quantity'' and inserting ''only when such purchases are made in quantities greater than necessary for experimentation, technical evaluation, assessment of operational utility, or safety or to provide a residual operational capability''.*

***SEC. 827. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRODUCED IN COUNTRIES ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN.***

*Section 801(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2399), as most recently amended by section 832(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 814), is further amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''.*

***SEC. 828. REPORTING RELATED TO FAILURE OF CONTRACTORS TO MEET GOALS UNDER NEGOTIATED COMPREHENSIVE SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLANS.***

*Paragraph (2) of section 834(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (15 U.S.C. 637 note), as added by section 821(d)(2) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3434) is amended by striking ''may not negotiate'' and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting ''shall report to Congress on any negotiated comprehensive subcontracting plan that the Secretary determines did not meet the subcontracting goals negotiated in the plan for the prior fiscal year.''.*

***SEC. 829. COMPETITION FOR RELIGIOUS SERVICES CONTRACTS.***

*The Department of Defense may not preclude a non-profit organization from competing for a contract for religious related services on a United States military installation.*

***SEC. 830. TREATMENT OF INTERAGENCY AND STATE AND LOCAL PURCHASES WHEN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACTS AS CONTRACT INTERMEDIARY FOR THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.***

*Contracts executed by the Department of Defense as a result of the transfer of contracts from the General Services Administration or for which the Department serves as an item manager for products on behalf of the General Services Administration shall not be subject to requirements under chapter 148 of title 10, United States Code, to the extent such contracts are for purchases of products by other Federal agencies or State or local governments.*

***SEC. 831. PILOT PROGRAM FOR STREAMLINING AWARDS FOR INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS.***

*(a) EXCEPTION FROM CERTIFIED COST AND PRICING DATE REQUIREMENTS.—The requirements under section 2306a(a) of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply to a contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract valued at less than $7,500,000 awarded to a small business or non-traditional defense contractor pursuant to—*

*(1) a technical merit based selection procedure, such as a broad agency announcement; or*

*(2) the Small Business Innovation Research Program,*

*unless the head of the agency determines that submission of cost and pricing data should be required based on past performance of the specific small business or non-traditional defense contractor, or based on analysis of other information specific to the award.*

*(b) EXCEPTION FROM RECORDS EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT.—The requirements under section 2313 of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply to a contract valued at less than $7,500,000 awarded to a small business or non-traditional defense contractor pursuant to—*

*(1) a technical merit based selection procedure, such as a broad agency announcement; or*

*(2) the Small Business Innovation Research Program,*

*unless the head of the agency determines that auditing of records should be required based on past performance of the specific small business or non-traditional defense contractor, or based on analysis of other information specific to the award.*

*(c) SUNSET.—The exceptions under subsections (a) and (b) shall terminate on October 1, 2020.*

***Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs***

***SEC. 841. ACQUISITION STRATEGY REQUIRED FOR EACH MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAM.***

*(a) CONSOLIDATION OF REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO ACQUISITION STRATEGY.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2431 the following new section:*

***''§ 2431a. Acquisition strategy***

*''(a) REQUIREMENT.—(1) There shall be an acquisition strategy for each major defense acquisition program. The acquisition strategy, which includes a sustainment strategy, for a major defense acquisition program shall be reviewed by the milestone decision authority for the program at each time specified in paragraph (2). The milestone decision authority may approve, disapprove, or revise the acquisition strategy at any such time.*

*''(2) The times at which the acquisition strategy for a major defense acquisition program shall be reviewed by the milestone decision authority for the program under paragraph (1) are the following:*

*''(A) Program initiation.*

*''(B) Each subsequent milestone.*

*''(C) Full-Rate Production Decision Review.*

*''(D) Any other time considered relevant by the milestone decision authority.*

*''(b) GUIDANCE.—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall issue policies and procedures governing the contents of, and the review and approval process for, the acquisition strategy for a major defense acquisition program.*

*''(c) CONTENTS.—The acquisition strategy for a major defense acquisition program shall present a top-level description of the business and technical management approach designed to achieve the objectives of the program within the resource constraints imposed. The strategy shall be tailored to address program requirements and constraints, and shall express the*

*program manager's approach to the program in sufficient detail to allow the milestone decision authority to assess the viability of approach, method of implementation of laws and policies, and program objectives. Subject to guidance issued pursuant to subsection (b), each acquisition strategy shall address the following:*

*''(1) An acquisition approach, including industrial base considerations in accordance with section 2440 of this title, and consideration of alternative acquisition approaches.*

*''(2) A risk management strategy, addressing cost, schedule, and technical risk.*

*''(3) An approach to ensuring the maturity of technologies and avoiding unnecessary or excessive concurrency.*

*''(4) A strategy for dividing the acquisition into increments or spirals, and continuously adopting commercial and defense technologies, where appropriate.*

*''(5) A business strategy, including measures to ensure continuing competition in through the life of the acquisition program.*

*''(6) A contracting strategy addressing the selection of sources, contract types, and small business participation.*

*''(7) An intellectual property strategy, in accordance with section 2320 of this title.*

*''(8) An approach to international involvement, including foreign military sales and cooperative opportunities, in accordance with section 2350a of this title.*

*''(9) A sustainment strategy which includes all aspects of the total life cycle management of the weapon system, including product support, logistics, product support engineering, supply chain integration, maintenance, acquisition logistics, and all aspects of software sustainment.*

*''(d) INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATE.—The Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation shall perform an evaluation of the sustainment portion of the acquisition strategy required by subsection (c)(9) prior to the Milestone B decision.*

*''(e) In this section, the term 'milestone decision authority', with respect to a major defense acquisition program, means the official within the Department of Defense designated with the overall responsibility and authority for acquisition decisions for the program, including authority to approve entry of the program into the next phase of the acquisition process.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2431 the following new item:*

*''2431a. Acquisition strategy.''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) Section 2350a(e) of such title is amended—*

*(A) in the subsection heading, by striking ''DOCUMENT'';*

*(B) in paragraph (1), by striking ''the Under Secretary of Defense for'' and all that follows through ''of the Board'' and inserting ''opportunities for such cooperative research and development shall be addressed in the acquisition strategy for the project''; and*

*(C) in paragraph (2)—*

*(i) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—*

*(I) by striking ''document'' and inserting ''discussion''; and*

*(II) by striking ''include'' and inserting ''consider'';*

*(ii) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''A statement indicating whether'' and inserting ''Whether'';*

*(iii) in subparagraph (B)—*

*(I) by striking ''by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics''; and*

*(II) by striking ''of the United States under consideration by the Department of Defense''; and*

*(iv) in subparagraph (D)—*

*(I) by striking ''The'' and inserting ''A''; and*

*(II) by striking ''of the Under Secretary'' and inserting ''to the milestone decision authority''.*

*(2) Section 803 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 2430 note) is repealed.*

***SEC. 842. RISK REDUCTION IN MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*(a) GUIDANCE ON RISK REDUCTION IN MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the acquisition strategy developed pursuant to section 2431a of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 841, for each major defense acquisition program for which development activities are required includes the following elements:*

*(1) A comprehensive approach to continuously identifying and addressing risk (including technical, cost, and schedule risk) beginning at program initiation and continuing until the start of full rate production as a means to improve programmatic decision making and appropriately minimize and manage program concurrency.*

*(2) Documentation of the major sources of risk identified and the approach to retiring that risk.*

*(b) ELEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO RISK REDUCTION.—The comprehensive approach to identifying and addressing risk for purposes of subsection (a)(1) shall include some combination of the following elements as appropriate for the item or system being acquired:*

*(1) Development planning.*

*(2) Systems engineering.*

*(3) Integrated developmental and operational testing.*

*(4) Preliminary and critical design reviews and technical reviews.*

*(5) Prototyping (including prototyping at the system or subsystem level and competitive prototyping, where appropriate).*

*(6) Modeling and simulation.*

*(7) Technology demonstrations and technology off ramps.*

*(8) Manufacturability and industrial base availability.*

*(9) Multiple design approaches.*

*(10) Alternative, lower risk reduced performance designs.*

*(11) Schedule and funding margins for or specific risks.*

*(12) Independent risk element assessments by outside subject matter experts.*

*(13) Program phasing to address high risk areas as early as possible.*

*(c) PREFERENCE FOR PROTOTYPING.—To the maximum extent practicable and consistent with the economical use of available financial resources, the milestone decision authority for each major defense acquisition program shall ensure that the acquisition strategy for the program provides for—*

*(1) the production of competitive prototypes at the system or subsystem level before Milestone B approval; or*

*(2) if the production of competitive prototypes is not practicable, the production of single prototypes at the system or subsystem level.*

*(d) REPEAL OF MANDATORY PROTOTYPING PROVISION.—Section 203 of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–23; 10 U.S.C. 2430 note) is repealed.*

***SEC. 843. DESIGNATION OF MILESTONE DECISION AUTHORITY.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2430 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(d)(1) The milestone decision authority for major defense acquisition programs shall be the service acquisition executive of the military service that is managing the program, unless the Secretary of Defense designates another official to serve as the milestone decision authority.*

*''(2) The Secretary of Defense may designate an alternate milestone decision authority in programs where—*

*''(A) the Secretary determines that the program is addressing a joint requirement;*

*''(B) the Secretary determines that the program is best managed by a defense agency;*

*''(C) the program has incurred a unit cost increase greater than the significant cost threshold or critical cost threshold under section 2433 of this title;*

*''(D) the program has failed to develop an acquisition program baseline within 2 years of program initiation;*

*''(E) the program is critical to a major interagency requirement or technology development effort, or has significant international partner involvement; or*

*''(F) the Secretary certifies that an alternate official serving as the milestone decision authority will best position the program to achieve desired cost, schedule, and performance outcomes.*

*''(3)(A) The Secretary of Defense may redelegate the position of milestone decision authority for a program designated above upon request of the Secretary of the military department concerned. A decision on redelegation must be made within 180 days of the request of the Secretary of the military department concerned.*

*''(B) If the Secretary of Defense denies the request for redelegation, the Secretary shall certify to the congressional defense committees that an alternate official serving as milestone decision authority will best position the program to achieve desired cost, schedule, and performance outcomes. No such redelegation is authorized after a program has incurred a unit cost increase greater than the significant cost threshold or critical cost threshold under section 2433 of this title, except for exceptional circumstances.*

*''(4) For major defense acquisition programs where the service acquisition executive of the military service that is managing the program is the milestone decision authority—*

*''(A) the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that no documentation is required outside of the military service organization, without a determination by the Deputy Chief Management Officer that the documentation supports a specific statutory requirement and is implemented in a manner that will not result in program delays or increased costs, and no acquisition programmatic approvals shall be required outside of the military service organization, with the exception of approval of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Test and Evaluation Master Plan; and*

*''(B) the Secretary of the military department concerned and the chief of the Armed Force concerned shall, in each Selected Acquisition Report required under section 2432 of this title, certify that program requirements are stable and funding is adequate to meet cost, schedule, and performance objectives for the program and identify and report to the congressional defense committees on any increased risk to the program since the last report.''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 133(b)(5) of such title is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: '', except that the Under Secretary shall exercise only advisory authority over service acquisition programs for which the service acquisition executive is the milestone decision authority''.*

*(c) IMPLEMENTATION.—*

*(1) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for implementing subsection (d) of section 2430 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section.*

*(2) GUIDANCE.—The Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the service acquisition executives, shall issue guidance to ensure that by not later than October 1, 2016, the acquisition policy, guidance, and practices of the Department of Defense conform to the requirements of subsection (d) of section 2430 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section. The guidance shall be designed to ensure a streamlined decision-making and approval process and*

*to minimize any information requests, consistent with the requirement of paragraph (4)(A) of such subsection (d).*

*(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on October 1, 2016.*

***SEC. 844. REVISION OF MILESTONE A DECISION AUTHORITY RESPONSIBILITIES FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*(a) REVISION TO MILESTONE A REQUIREMENTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2366a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

***"§ 2366a. Major defense acquisition programs: responsibilities at Milestone A approval***

*''(a) RESPONSIBILITIES.—Before granting Milestone A approval for a major defense acquisition program or a major subprogram, the milestone decision authority for the program or subprogram shall ensure that—*

*''(1) information about the program or subprogram is sufficient to warrant entry of the program or subprogram into the risk reduction phase;*

*''(2) the Secretary of the relevant military department and the chief of the relevant military service concur in cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance trade-offs that have been made with regard to the program; and*

*''(3) there are sound plans for progression of the program or subprogram to the development phase.*

*''(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the milestone decision authority shall take appropriate action to ensure that—*

*''(1) the program or subprogram—*

*''(A) meets a joint military requirement and responds to an anticipated or likely threat;*

*''(B) has been developed in light of appropriate market research and a review of alternative approaches and does not unnecessarily duplicate a capability already provided by an existing system; and*

*''(C) is affordable in light of cost estimates developed pursuant to the guidance of the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation; and*

*''(2) the acquisition strategy for the program or subprogram—*

*''(A) identifies areas of risk and, for each such identified area of risk, includes a plan to reduce the risk;*

*''(B) addresses planning for sustainment; and*

*''(C) complies with the requirements of section 2431a of this title and the policies and procedures implementing such section; and*

*''(3) the program or subprogram meets any other considerations the milestone decision authority considers relevant.*

*''(c) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 30 days after granting Milestone A approval for a major defense acquisition program or major subprogram, the milestone decision authority for that program or subprogram shall submit to the congressional defense committees notice of the approval in writing. The milestone decision authority's decision memorandum with respect to such approval shall be available to the congressional defense committees upon request, consistent with any relevant classification requirements.*

*''(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) The term 'major defense acquisition program' means a Department of Defense acquisition program that is a major defense acquisition program for purposes of section 2430 of this title.*

*''(2) The term 'major subprogram' means a major subprogram of a major defense acquisition program designated under section 2430a(a)(1) of this title.*

*''(3) The term 'milestone decision authority', with respect to a major defense acquisition program or a major subprogram, means the official within the Department of Defense designated with the overall responsibility and authority for acquisitions decisions for the program or subprogram, including authority to approve entry of the program or subprogram into the next phase of the acquisition process.*

*''(4) The term 'Milestone A approval' means a decision to enter into a risk reduction phase pursuant to guidance prescribed by the Secretary of Defense for the management of Department of Defense acquisition programs.*

*''(5) The term 'joint military requirement' has the meaning given that term in section 181(g)(1) of this title.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2366a and inserting the following:*

*''2366a. Major defense acquisition programs: responsibilities at Milestone A approval.''.*

*(b) CONSIDERATIONS IN MAKING MILESTONE A DETERMINATIONS.—In making a Milestone A determination pursuant to section 2366a of title 10, United States Code, the milestone decision authority shall include consideration of the following:*

*(1) With respect to joint military requirements, the factors outlined under section 181(b) of title 10, United States Code.*

*(2) With respect to alternative approaches, the factors outlined under section 201(a) of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–23; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note).*

*(3) With respect to affordability and cost estimates and analyses, the factors outlined under section 2334(a) of title 10, United States Code.*

*(4) With respect to risk, the factors outlined under—*

*(A) section 138b(b) of title 10, United States Code; and*

*(B) section 842.*

*(5) With respect to sustainment, the factors outlined under section 2337 and section 2464 of this title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 845. REVISION OF MILESTONE B DECISION AUTHORITY RESPONSIBILITIES FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*(a) REVISION TO MILESTONE B REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2366b of title 10, United Stated Code, is amended to read as follows:*

***"§ 2366b. Major defense acquisition programs: certification required before Milestone B approval***

*''(a) CERTIFICATION.—A major defense acquisition program may not receive Milestone B approval until the milestone decision authority certifies that the technology in the program has been demonstrated in a relevant environment, as determined by the Milestone Decision Authority on the basis of an independent review and assessment by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, in consultation with the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Developmental Test and Evaluation.*

*''(b) DETERMINATION.—A major defense acquisition program may not receive Milestone B approval until the milestone decision authority determines that appropriate steps have been taken to ensure that—*

*''(1) the program is affordable when considering the ability of the Department of Defense to accomplish the program's mission using alternative systems;*

*''(2) trade-offs among cost, schedule, technical feasibility, and performance objectives have been made to ensure that the program is affordable when considering the per unit cost and the total acquisition cost in the context of the total resources available during the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted during the fiscal year in which the certification is made;*

*''(3) the Secretary of the relevant military department and the chief of the relevant military service concur in the trade-offs made in accordance with paragraph (2);*

*''(4) reasonable cost and schedule estimates have been developed to execute, with the concurrence of the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, the product development and production plan under the program;*

*''(5) funding is available to execute the product development and production plan under the program, through the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted during the fiscal year in which the certification is made, consistent with the estimates described in paragraph (4) for the program;*

*''(6) market research has been conducted prior to technology development to reduce duplication of existing technology and products;*

*''(7) the Department of Defense has completed an analysis of alternatives and a business case analysis with respect to the program;*

*''(8) the Joint Requirements Oversight Council has accomplished its duties with respect to the program pursuant to section 181(b) of this title, including an analysis of the operational requirements for the program;*

*''(9) life-cycle sustainment planning, including corrosion prevention and mitigation planning, has identified and evaluated relevant sustainment costs throughout development, production, operation, sustainment, and disposal of the program, and any alternatives, and that such costs are reasonable and have been accurately estimated;*

*''(10) an estimate has been made of the requirements for core logistics capabilities and the associated sustaining workloads required to support such requirements;*

*''(11) there is a plan to mitigate and account for any costs in connection with any anticipated de-certification of cryptographic systems and components during the production and procurement of the major defense acquisition program to be acquired;*

*''(12) a preliminary design review or assessment of engineering design knowledge of the system has been satisfactorily completed; and*

*''(13) the program complies with all relevant policies, regulations, and directives of the Department of Defense.*

*''(c) CHANGES TO CERTIFICATION.—(1) The program manager for a major defense acquisition program that has received milestone B approval under this section shall immediately notify the milestone decision authority of any changes to the program or a designated major subprogram of such program that—*

*''(A) alter the substantive basis for the certification of the milestone decision authority under subsection (a) or any element of the determination of the milestone decision authority under subsection (b); or*

*''(B) otherwise cause the program or subprogram to deviate significantly from the material provided to the milestone decision authority in support of such certification or determination.*

*''(2) Upon receipt of information under paragraph (1), the milestone decision authority may withdraw the certification or determination concerned or rescind Milestone B approval if the milestone decision authority determines that such certification, determination, or approval is no longer valid.*

*''(d) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—(1) The certification required under subsection (a) and the determination under subsection (b) with respect to a major defense acquisition program shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees with the first Selected Acquisition Report submitted under section 2432 of this title after completion of the certification.*

*''(2) A summary of any information provided to the milestone decision authority pursuant to subsection (c) and a description of the actions taken as a result of such information shall be submitted with the first Selected Acquisition Report submitted under section 2432 of this title after receipt of such information by the milestone decision authority.*

*''(e) WAIVER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY.—(1) The milestone decision authority may waive the*

*applicability to a major defense acquisition program of the certification requirement in subsection (a) or one or more components of the determination requirement in subsection (b) if the milestone decision authority determines that, but for such a waiver, the Department would be unable to meet critical national security objectives.*

*''(2) Whenever the milestone decision authority makes such a determination and authorizes such a waiver the waiver, the determination, and the reasons for the determination shall be submitted in writing to the congressional defense committees within 30 days after the waiver is authorized.*

*''(f) NONDELEGATION.—The milestone decision authority may not delegate the certification requirement under subsection (a), the determination requirement under subsection (b), or the authority to waive any component of such requirement under subsection (e).*

*''(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) The term 'major defense acquisition program' means a Department of Defense acquisition program that is a major defense acquisition program for purposes of section 2430 of this title.*

*''(2) The term 'designated major subprogram' means a major subprogram of a major defense acquisition program designated under section 2430a(a)(1) of this title.*

*''(3) The term 'milestone decision authority', with respect to a major defense acquisition program, means the individual within the Department of Defense designated with overall responsibility for the program.*

*''(4) The term 'Milestone B approval' has the meaning provided that term in section 2366(e)(7) of this title.*

*''(5) The term 'core logistics capabilities' means the core logistics capabilities identified under section 2464(a) of this title.''.*

*(b) CONSIDERATIONS IN MAKING MILESTONE B DETERMINATIONS.—In making a Milestone B determination pursuant to section 2366b of title 10, United States Code, the milestone decision authority shall review the acquisition strategy required by section 2431a of title 10, as added by section 841 of this Act and include consideration of the following:*

*(1) With respect to affordability, the factors outlined under section 2334 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(2) With respect to risk, the factors outlined under—*

*(A) section 842; and*

*(B) section 138b(b) of title 10, United States Code.*

*(3) With respect to fulfilling a joint military requirement, the factors outlined under section 181 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(4) With respect to competition—*

*(A) the factors outlined under section 202 of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–23; 10 U.S.C. 2430 note); and*

*(B) the requirements of section 2304 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(5) With respect to sustainment, the factors outlined under section 2337 and section 2464 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(c) CONFORMING CHANGE.—Section 2334(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in paragraph (6)(A)(i) by striking ''any certification under'' and inserting in lieu thereof ''any decision to grant milestone approval pursuant to''.*

***SEC. 846. TENURE AND ACCOUNTABILITY OF PROGRAM MANAGERS FOR PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT PERIODS.***

*(a) REVISED GUIDANCE REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall revise Department of Defense guidance for defense acquisition programs to address the tenure and accountability of program managers for the program development period of defense acquisition programs.*

*(b) PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT PERIOD.—For the purpose of this section, the term ''program development period'' refers to the period before a decision on Milestone B approval (or Key Decision Point B approval in the case of a space program).*

*(c) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The revised guidance required by subsection (a) shall provide that the program manager for the program development period of a defense acquisition program is responsible for—*

*(1) bringing to maturity the technologies and manufacturing processes that will be needed to carry out the program;*

*(2) ensuring continuing focus during program development on meeting stated mission requirements and other requirements of the Department of Defense;*

*(3) making trade-offs between program cost, schedule, and performance for the life-cycle of the program;*

*(4) developing a business case for the program; and*

*(5) ensuring that appropriate information is available to the milestone decision authority to make a decision on Milestone B approval (or Key Decision Point B approval in the case of a space program), including information necessary to make the certification required by section 2366a of title 10, United States Code.*

*(d) QUALIFICATIONS, RESOURCES, AND TENURE.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each program manager for the program development period of a defense acquisition program—*

*(1) has the appropriate management, engineering, technical, and financial expertise needed to meet the responsibilities assigned pursuant to subsection (c);*

*(2) is provided the resources and support (including systems engineering expertise, cost estimating expertise, and software development expertise) needed to meet such responsibilities; and*

*(3) is assigned to the program manager position for such program until such time as such program is ready for a decision on Milestone B approval (or Key Decision Point B approval in the case of a space program), unless removed for cause or due to exceptional circumstances.*

***SEC. 847. TENURE AND ACCOUNTABILITY OF PROGRAM MANAGERS FOR PROGRAM EXECUTION PERIODS.***

*(a) REVISED GUIDANCE REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall revise Department of Defense guidance for defense acquisition programs to address the tenure and accountability of program managers for the program execution period of defense acquisition programs.*

*(b) PROGRAM EXECUTION PERIOD.—For purposes of this section, the term ''program execution period'' refers to the period after Milestone B approval (or Key Decision Point B approval in the case of a space program).*

*(c) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The revised guidance required by subsection (a) shall—*

*(1) require the program manager for the program execution period of a defense acquisition program to enter into a performance agreement with the milestone decision authority for such program within six months of assignment, that—*

*(A) establishes expected parameters for the cost, schedule, and performance of the program consistent with the business case for the program;*

*(B) provides the commitment of the milestone decision authority to provide the level of funding and resources required to meet such parameters; and*

*(C) provides the assurance of the program manager that such parameters are achievable and that the program manager will be accountable for meeting such parameters; and*

*(2) provide the program manager with the authority to—*

*(A) veto the addition of new program requirements that would be inconsistent with the parameters established in the performance agreement entered into pursuant to paragraph (1), subject to the authority of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to override the veto based on critical national security reasons;*

*(B) make trade-offs between cost, schedule, and performance, provided that such trade-offs are consistent with the parameters established in the performance agreement entered into pursuant to paragraph (1);*

*(C) redirect funding within such program, to the extent necessary to achieve the parameters established in the performance agreement entered into pursuant to paragraph (1);*

*(D) develop such interim goals and milestones as may be required to achieve the parameters established in the performance agreement entered into pursuant to paragraph (1); and*

*(E) use program funds to recruit and hire such technical experts as may be required to carry out the program, if necessary expertise is not otherwise provided by the Department of Defense.*

*(d) QUALIFICATIONS, RESOURCES, AND TENURE.—The Secretary shall ensure that each program manager for the program execution period of a defense acquisition program—*

*(1) has the appropriate management, engineering, technical, and financial expertise needed to meet the responsibilities assigned pursuant to subsection (c);*

*(2) is provided the resources and support (including systems engineering expertise, cost estimating expertise, and software development expertise) needed to meet such responsibilities; and*

*(3) is assigned to the program manager position for such program at the time of Milestone B approval (or Key Decision Point B approval in the case of a space program) and continues in such position until the delivery of the first production units of the program, unless removed for cause or due to exceptional circumstances.*

*(e) LIMITED WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary may waive the requirement in paragraph (3) of subsection (d) that a program manager for the program execution period of a defense acquisition program serve in that position until the delivery of the first production units of such program upon submitting to the congressional defense committees a written determination that—*

*(1) the program is so complex, and the delivery of the first production units will take so long, that it would not be feasible for a single individual to serve as program manager for the entire period covered by such paragraph; and*

*(2) the complexity of the program, and length of time that will be required to deliver the first production units, are not the result of a failure to meet the certification requirements under section 2366a of title 10, United States Code.*

***SEC. 848. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR STAND-ALONE MANPOWER ESTIMATES FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*(a) REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 2434 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''and a manpower estimate for the program have'' and inserting ''has''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS RELATING TO REGULATIONS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—*

*(1) by striking paragraph (2);*

*(2) by striking ''shall require—'' and all that follows through ''that the independent'' and inserting ''shall require that the independent'';*

*(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively, and moving those paragraphs, as so redesignated, two ems to the left; and*

*(4) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated—*

*(A) by striking ''and operations and support,'' and inserting ''operations and support, and manpower to operate, maintain, and support the program upon full operational deployment,''; and*

*(B) by striking ''; and'' and inserting a period.*

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 2434. Independent cost estimates".**

(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 144 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2434 and inserting the following:

''2434. Independent cost estimates.''.

**SEC. 849. PENALTY FOR COST OVERRUNS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2015, the Secretary of each military department shall pay a penalty for cost overruns on the covered major defense acquisition programs of the military department.

(b) CALCULATION OF PENALTY.—For the purposes of this section:

(1) The amount of the cost overrun or underrun on any major defense acquisition program or subprogram in a fiscal year is the difference between the current program acquisition unit cost for the program or subprogram and the program acquisition unit cost for the program as shown in the original Baseline Estimate for the program or subprogram, multiplied by the quantity of items to be purchased under the program or subprogram, as reported in the final Selected Acquisition Report for the fiscal year in accordance with section 2432 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) Cost overruns or underruns for covered major defense acquisition programs that are joint programs of more than one military department shall be allocated among the military departments in percentages determined by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

(3) The cumulative amount of cost overruns for a military department in a fiscal year is the sum of the cost overruns and cost underruns for all covered major defense acquisition programs of the department in the fiscal year (including cost overruns or underruns allocated to the military department in accordance with paragraph (2)).

(4) The cost overrun penalty for a military department in a fiscal year is three percent of the cumulative amount of cost overruns of the military department in the fiscal year, as determined pursuant to paragraph (3), except that the cost overrun penalty may not be a negative amount.

(c) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—

(1) REDUCTION OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION ACCOUNTS.—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2015, the Secretary of each military department shall reduce each research, development, test, and evaluation account of the military department by the percentage determined under paragraph (2), and remit such amount to the Secretary of Defense.

(2) DETERMINATION OF AMOUNT.—The percentage reduction to research, development, test, and evaluation accounts of a military department referred to in paragraph (1) is the percentage reduction to such accounts necessary to equal the cost overrun penalty for the fiscal year for such department determined pursuant to subsection (b)(4).

(3) CREDITING OF FUNDS.—Any amount remitted under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the Rapid Prototyping Fund established pursuant to section 803 of this Act.

(d) COVERED PROGRAMS.—A major defense acquisition program is covered under this section if the original Baseline Estimate was established for such program under section 2435(d) (1) or (2) on or after the date of the enactment of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–23).

**SEC. 850. STREAMLINING OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING REGARDING MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.**

(a) REPORTING TO UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR ACQUISITION, TECHNOLOGY, AND LOGISTICS BEFORE MILESTONE B APPROVAL.—Subparagraph (A) of paragraph (8) of section 138(b) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 901(h)(2) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3466), is further amended—

(1) by striking ''periodically'';

(2) by striking ''the major defense acquisition programs'' and inserting ''each major defense acquisition program'';

(3) by inserting ''before the Milestone B approval for that program'' after ''Department of Defense''; and

(4) by striking ''such reviews and assessments'' and inserting ''such review and assessment''.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT TO SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AND CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.—Subparagraph (B) of such paragraph is amended by inserting ''for which a Milestone B approval occurred during the preceding fiscal year'' after ''Department of Defense''.

**SEC. 851. CONFIGURATION STEERING BOARDS FOR COST CONTROL UNDER MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.**

Section 814(c)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4529) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) as subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after ''for the following:'' the following new subparagraph:

''(A) Monitoring changes in program requirements and ensuring all such changes receive the approval of the Chief of the relevant military service, in consultation with the Secretary of the relevant military department.''.

**SEC. 852. SUSTAINMENT ENHANCEMENT.**

(a) ASSESSMENT EXPANSION OF FUNCTIONS OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR LOGISTICS AND MATERIEL READINESS TO INCLUDE SUSTAINMENT FUNCTIONS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of—

(1) assigning to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness—

(A) functions relating to the sustainment strategy required under section 2431a(c)(9) of Title 10, United States Code, as added by section 841 of this Act; and

(B) functions relating to manufacturing and industrial base policy currently being carried out within the Office of the Secretary of Defense; and

(2) redesignating such Assistant Secretary (with such functions so assigned and together with the current logistics and material readiness functions of such Assistant Secretary) as the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Sustainment.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Department of Defense does not place sufficient emphasis on sustainment of a weapon system during the entire acquisition process; and

(2) the Department of Defense should address this deficiency and ensure that all aspect of weapon system sustainment are carefully considered throughout the entire Integrated Defense Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics Life Cycle Management System.

## Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Commercial Items

**SEC. 861. INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN LAWS AND REGULATIONS TO THE ACQUISITION OF COMMERCIAL ITEMS AND COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE OFF-THE-SHELF ITEMS.**

(a) AMENDMENT TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Section 2375 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 2375. Relationship of commercial item provisions to other provisions of law**

''(a) APPLICABILITY OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATUTES.—(1) No contract for the procurement of a commercial item entered into by the head of an agency shall be subject to any law properly listed in the Federal Acquisition Regulation pursuant to section 1906(b) of title 41.

''(2) No subcontract under a contract for the procurement of a commercial item entered into by the head of an agency shall be subject to any law properly listed in the Federal Acquisition Regulation pursuant to section 1906(c) of title 41.

''(3) No contract for the procurement of a commercially available off-the-shelf item entered into by the head of an agency shall be subject to any law properly listed in the Federal Acquisition Regulation pursuant to section 1907 of title 41.

''(b) APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSE-UNIQUE STATUTES TO CONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.—(1) The Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement shall include a list of defense-unique provisions of law that are inapplicable to contracts for the procurement of commercial items. A provision of law properly included on the list pursuant to paragraph (2) does not apply to purchases of commercial items by the Department of Defense. This section does not render a provision of law not included on the list inapplicable to contracts for the procurement of commercial items.

''(2) A provision of law described in subsection (e) that is enacted after January 1, 2015, shall be included on the list of inapplicable provisions of law required by paragraph (1) unless the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics makes a written determination that it would not be in the best interest of the Department of Defense to exempt contracts for the procurement of commercial items from the applicability of the provision.

''(c) APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSE-UNIQUE STATUTES TO SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.—(1) The Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement shall include a list of provisions of law that are inapplicable to subcontracts under a Department of Defense contract or subcontract for the procurement of commercial items. A provision of law properly included on the list pursuant to paragraph (2) does not apply to those subcontracts. This section does not render a provision of law not included on the list inapplicable to subcontracts under a contract for the procurement of commercial items.

''(2) A provision of law described in subsection (e) shall be included on the list of inapplicable provisions of law required by paragraph (1) unless the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics makes a written determination that it would not be in the best interest of the Department of Defense to exempt subcontracts under a contract for the procurement of commercial items from the applicability of the provision.

''(3) In this subsection, the term 'subcontract' includes a transfer of commercial items between divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of a contractor or subcontractor. The term does not include agreements entered into by a contractor for the supply of commodities that are intended for use in the performance of multiple contracts with the Department of Defense and other parties and are not identifiable to any particular contract.

''(4) This subsection does not authorize the waiver of the applicability of any provision of law with respect to any first-tier subcontract under a contract with a prime contractor reselling or distributing commercial items of another contractor without adding value.

''(d) APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSE-UNIQUE STATUTES TO CONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE, OFF-THE-SHELF ITEMS.—(1) The Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement

*shall include a list of provisions of law that are inapplicable to contracts for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items. A provision of law properly included on the list pursuant to paragraph (2) does not apply to Department of Defense contracts for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items. This section does not render a provision of law not included on the list inapplicable to contracts for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items.*

*''(2) A provision of law described in subsection (e) shall be included on the list of inapplicable provisions of law required by paragraph (1) unless the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics makes a written determination that it would not be in the best interest of the Department of Defense to exempt contracts for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items from the applicability of the provision.*

*''(e) COVERED PROVISION OF LAW.—A provision of law referred to in subsections (b)(2), (c)(2), and (d)(2) is a provision of law that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics determines sets forth policies, procedures, requirements, or restrictions for the procurement of property or services by the Federal Government, except for a provision of law that—*

*''(1) provides for criminal or civil penalties; or*

*''(2) specifically refers to this section and provides that, notwithstanding this section, it shall be applicable to contracts for the procurement of commercial items.''.*

*(b) CHANGES TO DEFENSE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—To the maximum extent practicable, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall ensure that—*

*(A) the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement does not require the inclusion of contract clauses in contracts for the procurement of commercial items or contracts for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items, unless such clauses are—*

*(i) required to implement provisions of law or executive orders applicable to such contracts; or*

*(ii) determined to be consistent with standard commercial practice; and*

*(B) the flow-down of contract clauses to subcontracts under contracts for the procurement of commercial items or commercially available off-the-shelf items is prohibited unless such flow-down is required to implement provisions of law or executive orders applicable to such subcontracts.*

*(2) SUBCONTRACTS.—In this subsection, the term ''subcontract'' includes a transfer of commercial items between divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of a contractor or subcontractor. The term does not include agreements entered into by a contractor for the supply of commodities that are intended for use in the performance of multiple contracts with the Department of Defense and other parties and are not identifiable to any particular contract.*

*(c) REPORT ON INCLUSION OF CONTRACT CLAUSES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report listing all standard contract clauses included in contracts awarded using commercial acquisition procedures under part 12 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, including a justification for the inclusion of each such clause.*

***SEC. 862. MARKET RESEARCH AND PREFERENCE FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.***

*(a) GUIDANCE REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall issue guidance to ensure that acquisition officials of the Department of Defense fully comply with the requirements of section 2377 of title 10, United States Code, regarding market research and commercial items. The guidance issued pursuant to this subsection shall, at a minimum—*

*(1) provide that the head of an agency may not enter into a contract in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold for information technology products or services that are not commercial items unless the head of the agency determines in writing that no commercial items are suitable to meet the agency's needs as provided in subsection (c)(2) of such section; and*

*(2) ensure that market research conducted in accordance with subsection (c) of such section is used, where appropriate, to inform price reasonableness determinations.*

*(b) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chairman and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, shall review Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01, the Manual for the Operation of the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System, and other documents governing the requirements development process and revise these documents as necessary to ensure that the Department of Defense fully complies with the requirement in section 2377(c) of title 10, United States Code, and section 10.001 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation for Federal agencies to conduct appropriate market research before developing new requirements.*

*(c) MARKET RESEARCH DEFINED.—For the purposes of this section, the term ''market research'' means a review of existing systems, subsystems, capabilities, and technologies that are available or could be made available to meet the needs of the Department of Defense in whole or in part. The review may include any of the techniques for conducting market research provided in section 10.002(b)(2) of the Federal Acquisition Regulation and shall include, at a minimum, contacting knowledgeable individuals in Government and industry regarding existing market capabilities.*

***SEC. 863. CONTINUING VALIDITY OF COMMERCIAL ITEM DETERMINATIONS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement shall be modified to address the validity of commercial item determinations for multiple procurements.*

*(b) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The modification required by paragraph (1) shall, at a minimum—*

*(1) provide that a written determination by an authorized agency official that an item is a commercial item for the purposes of section 2306a of title 10, United States Code, shall be presumed to be valid for any subsequent procurement unless the contracting officer for such procurement determines in writing that the earlier determination was made in error or was based on inadequate information; and*

*(2) establish a process by which the contractor may appeal a determination by a contracting officer that an earlier determination was made in error or was based on inadequate information to the head of contracting for the agency.*

*(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude the contracting officer for the procurement of a commercial item from requiring the contractor to supply information that is sufficient to determine the reasonableness of price, regardless whether or not the contractor was required to provide such information in connection with any earlier procurement.*

***SEC. 864. TREATMENT OF COMMERCIAL ITEMS PURCHASED AS MAJOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.***

*(a) AMENDMENTS TO REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO MAJOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.—Section 2379 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a)—*

*(A) in paragraph (1)—*

*(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))'' and inserting ''section 103 of title 41, United States Code''; and*

*(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting ''; and'';*

*(B) by striking paragraph (2); and*

*(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2);*

*(2) in subsection (b)—*

*(A) by striking ''section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))'' and inserting ''section 104 of title 41, United States Code,''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (2)—*

*(i) by striking ''in writing that—'' and all that follows through ''(A) the subsystem'' and inserting ''in writing that the subsystem'';*

*(ii) by striking ''section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)); and'' and inserting ''section 103 of title 41, United States Code.''; and*

*(iii) by striking subparagraph (B);*

*(3) in subsection (c)(1)—*

*(A) by striking ''section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))'' and inserting ''section 104 of title 41, United States Code,''; and*

*(B) in subparagraph (B)—*

*(i) by striking ''in writing that—'' and all that follows through ''(i) the component'' and inserting ''in writing that the component'';*

*(ii) by striking ''section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)); and'' and inserting ''section 103 of title 41, United States Code.''; and*

*(iii) by striking clause (ii); and*

*(4) by amending subsection (d) to read as follows:*

*''(d) INFORMATION SUBMITTED.—(1) To the extent necessary to determine the reasonableness of the price for items acquired under this section, the contracting officer shall require the offeror to submit—*

*''(A) prices paid for the same or similar commercial items under comparable terms and conditions by both government and commercial customers;*

*''(B) if the contracting officer determines that the offeror does not have access to and cannot provide sufficient information described in subparagraph (A) to determine the reasonableness of price, information on—*

*''(i) prices for the same or similar items sold under different terms and conditions;*

*''(ii) prices for similar levels of work or effort on related products or services;*

*''(iii) prices for alternative solutions or approaches; and*

*''(iv) other relevant information that can serve as the basis for a price assessment; and*

*''(C) if the contracting officer determines that the information submitted pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B) is not sufficient to determine the reasonableness of price, other relevant information regarding the basis for price or cost, including information on labor costs, material costs, and overhead rates.*

*''(2) An offeror may not be required to submit information described in paragraph (1)(C) with regard to a commercially available off-the-shelf item or any other item that was developed exclusively at private expense.''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO TRUTH IN NEGOTIATIONS ACT.—Section 2306a(d)(1) of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: ''If the contracting officer determines that the offeror does not have access to and cannot provide sufficient information on prices for the same or similar items to determine the reasonableness of price, the contracting officer shall require the submission of information on prices for similar levels or work or effort on related products or services, prices for alternative solutions or approaches, and other information that is relevant to the determination of a fair and reasonable price.''.*

***SEC. 865. LIMITATION ON CONVERSION OF PROCUREMENTS FROM COMMERCIAL ACQUISITION PROCEDURES.***

*(a) LIMITATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may not convert the procurement of commercial items or services from commercial acquisition procedures under part 12 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation to non-commercial acquisition procedures under part 15 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation unless the Secretary, in consultation with the head of the acquisition component, certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Department of Defense will realize a significant cost savings compared to the cost of procuring a similar quantity or level of such item or service using commercial acquisition procedures.*

*(2) CERTIFICATION FACTORS.—In making a certification under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall consider the following factors:*

*(A) The estimated cost of foregone research and development to be performed by the existing contractor to improve future products or services.*

*(B) The transaction costs for the Department of Defense and the contractor in assessing and responding to data requests to support a conversion to non-commercial acquisition procedures.*

*(C) Changes in purchase quantities.*

*(D) Costs associated with potential procurement delays resulting from the conversion.*

*(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—*

*(1) INVENTORY.—The Secretary of Defense shall prepare an inventory of all contracts and subcontracts converted from commercial acquisition procedures to non-commercial procedures during the previous five years.*

*(2) REPORTS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on each conversion identified in the inventory prepared under paragraph (1) that identifies and compares per unit costs and prices paid for the item or service under commercial acquisition procedures with those paid under non-commercial procurement procedures.*

*(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.—*

*(1) REVIEW OF REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the Secretary of Defense submits a report under subsection (b)(2), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a review of the accuracy of the report.*

*(2) RECOMMENDATIONS.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report including any recommendations for additional costs and benefits that should be considered when the Department of Defense is planning to convert a procurement of items or services from commercial to non-commercial procurement procedures.*

*(B) FACTORS.—In making recommendations under subparagraph (A), the Comptroller General shall consider the following factors:*

*(i) Industrial base considerations.*

*(ii) The estimated cost of foregone research and development to be performed by existing contractors to improve future products or services.*

*(iii) The transaction costs for the Department of Defense and contractors in assessing and responding to data requests to support conversions to non-commercial acquisition procedures.*

*(iv) Costs associated with potential procurement delays resulting from conversions.*

*(d) SUNSET.—The requirements of this section shall terminate 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

***SEC. 866. TREATMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES PROVIDED BY NONTRADITIONAL CONTRACTORS AS COMMERCIAL ITEMS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 140 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 2380. Treatment of goods and services provided by nontraditional contractors as commercial items***

*''Notwithstanding section 2376(1) of this title, items and services provided by nontraditional contractors (as that term is defined in section 2302(9) of this title) may be treated by the head of an agency as commercial items for purposes of this chapter.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 140 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2379 the following new item:*

*''2380. Treatment of goods and services provided by nontraditional contractors as commercial items.''.*

***Subtitle E—Other Matters***

***SEC. 871. STREAMLINING OF REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEMS.***

*(a) STREAMLINING OF REQUIREMENTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

***''§ 2222. Defense business systems: business process reengineering; enterprise architecture; management***

*''(a) DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEMS GENERALLY.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each covered defense business system developed, deployed, and operated by the Department of Defense—*

*''(1) is integrated into a comprehensive defense business enterprise architecture;*

*''(2) is managed in a manner that provides visibility into, and traceability of, expenditures for the system; and*

*''(3) uses an acquisition and sustainment strategy that prioritizes use of commercial software and business practices.*

*''(b) DEFENSE BUSINESS PROCESSES GENERALLY.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that defense business processes are reviewed, and as appropriate revised through business process reengineering to match best commercial practices, to the maximum extent practicable, so as to minimize customization of commercial business systems.*

*''(c) ISSUANCE OF GUIDANCE.—*

*''(1) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall issue guidance to provide for the coordination of, and decision making for, the planning, programming, and control of investments in covered defense business systems.*

*''(2) SUPPORTING GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall direct the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Chief Information Officer, and the Chief Management Officer of each of the military departments to issue and maintain supporting guidance for the guidance of the Secretary issued under paragraph (1), within their respective areas of responsibility, as necessary.*

*''(d) GUIDANCE ELEMENTS.—The guidance issued pursuant to subsection (c)(1) shall include the following elements:*

*''(1) Policy to ensure that the business processes of the Department of Defense are continuously evolved to—*

*''(A) implement the most streamlined and efficient business process practicable; and*

*''(B) eliminate or reduce the need to tailor commercial-off-the-shelf systems to meet unique requirements or incorporate unique requirements or incorporate unique interfaces to the maximum extent practicable.*

*''(2) A process to establish requirements for covered defense business systems.*

*''(3) Policy requiring the periodic review of covered defense business systems that have been fully deployed, by portfolio, to ensure that investments in such portfolios are appropriate.*

*''(4) Policy to ensure full consideration of sustainability and technological refreshment requirements, and the appropriate use of open architectures.*

*''(e) DEFENSE BUSINESS COUNCIL.—The Secretary shall establish a Defense Business Council to provide advice to the Secretary on reengineering the Department's business processes and developing and deploying defense business systems. The Council shall be chaired by the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense, and shall include membership from the public sector, defense industry, and commercial industry.*

*''(f) APPROVALS REQUIRED FOR DEVELOPMENT.—(1) The Secretary shall ensure that a covered defense business system program cannot proceed into development (or, if no development is required, into production or fielding) unless the appropriate approval officials (as specified in paragraph (3)) have determined that—*

*''(A) a business process has been, or is being, reengineered to be as streamlined and efficient as practicable, and the implementation of the business process will maximize the elimination of unique software requirements and unique interfaces;*

*''(B) the system has valid, achievable requirements and a viable plan for implementing those requirements (including, as appropriate, market research, business process reengineering, and prototyping activities);*

*''(C) the system has an acquisition strategy designed to eliminate or reduce the need to tailor commercial-off-the-shelf systems to meet unique requirements or incorporate unique requirements or incorporate unique interfaces to the maximum extent practicable; and*

*''(D) the system is in compliance with the Department's auditability requirements.*

*''(2)(A) For any fiscal year in which funds are expended for development or sustainment pursuant to a covered defense business system program, the appropriate approval officials shall review the system and certify, certify with conditions, or decline to certify, as the case may be, that—*

*''(i) it continues to satisfy the requirements of paragraph (1);*

*''(ii) an acquisition program baseline has been established within two years of program initiation; and*

*''(iii) program requirements and have not changed in a manner that is increasing acquisition costs or schedule, without sufficient cause and only after maximum efforts to reengineer business processes prior to changing requirements.*

*''(B) If an approval officially determines that full certification cannot be granted, the approval official shall notify the acquisition milestone decision authority for the program and provide a recommendation for corrective action, and provide a copy of such recommendations to the congressional defense committees within 60 days.*

*''(3) For purposes of paragraph (1), the appropriate approval officials with respect to a covered defense business system are the following:*

*''(A) In the case of a priority defense business system, the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.*

*''(B) In the case of other covered business systems, an official designated under procedures established by the Secretary of Defense.*

*''(g) RESPONSIBILITY OF MILESTONE DECISION AUTHORITY.—The milestone decision authority for a covered defense business system program shall be responsible for the acquisition of such system and shall ensure that acquisition process approvals are not considered for such system until the relevant certifications and approvals have been made under this section.*

*''(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM.—(A) The term 'defense business system' means an information system that is operated by, for, or on behalf of the Department of Defense, including any of the following:*

*''(i) A financial system.*

''(ii) A financial data feeder system.

''(iii) A contracting system.

''(iv) A logistics system.

''(v) A planning and budgeting system.

''(vi) An installations management system.

''(vii) A human resources management system.

''(viii) A training and readiness system.

''(B) The term does not include—

''(i) a national security system; or

''(ii) an information system used exclusively by and within the defense commissary system or the exchange system or other instrumentality of the Department of Defense conducted for the morale, welfare, and recreation of members of the armed forces using nonappropriated funds.

''(2) COVERED DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM.—The term 'covered defense business system' means a defense business system that is expected to have a total amount of budget authority over the period of the current future-years defense program submitted to Congress under section 221 of this title, in excess of $50,000,000.

''(3) COVERED DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM PROGRAM.—The term 'covered defense business system program' means a defense acquisition program to develop and field a covered defense business system or an increment of a covered defense business system.

''(4) PRIORITY DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM PROGRAM.—The term 'priority defense business system' means a defense business system that is—

''(A) expected to have a total amount of budget authority over the period of the current future-years defense program submitted to Congress under section 221 of this title in excess of $250,000,000; or

''(B) designated by the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense as a priority defense business system, based on specific program analyses of factors including complexity, scope, and technical risk, and after notification to Congress of such designation.

''(5) ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE.—The term 'enterprise architecture' has the meaning given that term in section 3601(4) of title 44.

''(6) INFORMATION SYSTEM.—The term 'information system' has the meaning given that term in section 11101 of title 40, United States Code.

''(7) NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM.—The term 'national security system' has the meaning given that term in section 3552(b)(2) of title 44.

''(8) MILESTONE DECISION AUTHORITY.—The term 'milestone decision authority', with respect to a defense acquisition program, means the individual within the Department of Defense designated with the responsibility to grant milestone approvals for that program.

''(9) BUSINESS PROCESS MAPPING.—The term 'business process mapping' means a procedure in which the steps in a business process are clarified and documented in both written form and in a flow chart.''.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 131 of such title is amended to read as follows:

''2222. Defense business systems: business process reengineering; enterprise architecture; management.''.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION OF PREVIOUSLY ENACTED TITLE CHANGE.—Effective February 1, 2017, section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), is further amended by striking ''the Deputy Chief Management Officer'' each place that it appears and inserting ''the Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information''.

(c) DEADLINE FOR GUIDANCE.—The guidance required by subsection (b)(1) of section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a)(1), shall be issued not later than December 31, 2016.

(d) MODIFICATION OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.—Section 332(d) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 1856) is amended to read as follows:

''(d) COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.—In each odd-numbered year, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the extent to which the actions taken by the Department of Defense comply with the requirements of such section.''.

**SEC. 872. ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.**

(a) MODIFICATIONS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND.—Section 1705 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by amending subparagraph (C) to read as follows:

''(C) For purposes of this paragraph, the applicable percentage for a fiscal year is the percentage that results in the credit to the Fund of $500,000,000 in each fiscal year.''; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking ''24-month period'' and inserting ''36-month period'';

(2) in subsection (f), by striking ''60 days'' and inserting ''120 days''; and

(3) in subsection (g)(2), by striking ''September 30, 2017'' and inserting ''September 30, 2023''.

(b) MODIFICATIONS TO BIENNIAL STRATEGIC WORKFORCE PLAN.—Section 115b(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''the defense acquisition workforce, including both military and civilian personnel'' and inserting ''the military, civilian, and contractor personnel that directly support the acquisition processes of the Department of Defense, including persons serving in acquisition-related positions designated by the Secretary of Defense under section 1721 of this title'';

(2) in paragraph (2)(D)—

(A) in clause (i), by striking ''; and'' and inserting a semicolon;

(B) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iii); and

(C) by inserting after clause (i) the following new clause:

''(ii) a description of steps that will be taken to address any new or expanded critical skills and competencies the civilian employee workforce will need to address recent trends in defense acquisition, emerging best practices, changes in the government and commercial marketplace, and new requirements established in law or regulation; and''; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(3) For the purposes of paragraph (1), contractor personnel shall be treated as directly supporting the acquisition processes of the Department if, and to the extent that, such contractor personnel perform functions in support of personnel in Department of Defense positions designated by the Secretary of Defense under section 1721 of this title.''.

**SEC. 873. UNIFIED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES.**

(a) BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Deputy Chief Management Officer, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics shall jointly complete a business case analysis, using the resources of the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation, to determine the most effective and efficient way to procure and deploy information technology services.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The business case analysis required by paragraph (1) shall include an assessment of whether the Department of Defense should—

(A)(i) acquire a unified set of commercially provided common or enterprise information technology services, including such services as messaging, collaboration, directory, security, and content delivery; or

(ii) allow the military departments and other components of the Department to acquire such services separately;

(B)(i) acquire such services from a single provider that bundles all of the services; or

(ii) require that each common service be independently defined and use open standards to enable continuous adoption of best commercial technology; and

(C) enable availability of multiple versions of each type of service and application to enable choice and competition while supporting interoperability where necessary.

(b) GOVERNANCE MECHANISM AND PROCESS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Deputy Chief Management Officer and the Chief Information Officer, establish a governance mechanism and process to ensure essential interoperability across Department networks through the imposition of a minimum set of standards or common solutions.

**SEC. 874. CLOUD STRATEGY FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) CLOUD STRATEGY FOR SECRET INTERNET PROTOCOL NETWORK.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Director of National Intelligence, the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, and the chief information officers of the military departments, develop a cloud strategy for the Secret Internet Protocol Network (SIPRNet) of the Department.

(2) MATTERS ADDRESSED.—This strategy required by paragraph (1) shall address the following:

(A) Security requirements.

(B) The compatibility of applications currently utilized within the Secret Internet Protocol Network with a cloud computing environment.

(C) How a Secret Internet Protocol Network cloud capability should be competitively acquired.

(D) How a Secret Internet Protocol Network cloud system would achieve interoperability with the cloud systems of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003)) operating at the security level Sensitive Compartmented Information.

(b) PRICING POLICY AND COST RECOVERY PROCESS FOR CERTAIN CLOUD SERVICES.—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence and in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, develop a consistent pricing policy and cost recovery process for the use by Department of Defense components of the cloud services provided through the Intelligence Community Information Technology Environment.

(c) ASSESSMENT OF FEASIBILITY AND ADVISABILITY OF IMPOSING MINIMUM STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall assess the feasibility and advisability of imposing a minimum set of open standards for cloud infrastructure, middle-ware, metadata, and application programming interfaces to promote interoperability, information sharing, and ease of access to data, and competition across all of the cloud computing systems and services utilized by components of the Department of Defense.

(2) COORDINATION.—The Chief Information Officer shall coordinate the assessment required by paragraph (1) with the Director of National Intelligence with respect to the cloud services offered through the Intelligence Community Information Technology Environment.

**SEC. 875. DEVELOPMENT PERIOD FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS.**

(a) FLEXIBLE LIMITATION ON DEVELOPMENT PERIOD.—Section 2445b of title 10, United States Code is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

''(d) TIME-CERTAIN DEVELOPMENT.—If the baseline documents prepared under subsection (c) for a major automated information system that is not a national security system provide for a period in excess of five years from the time of program initiation to the time of a full deployment decision, the documents submitted pursuant to subsection (a) shall include a written determination by the senior Department of Defense official responsible for the program justifying the need for the longer period.''.

(b) REPEAL OF INCONSISTENT REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) Section 2445c(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting ''; or'';

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking ''; or'' and inserting a period; and

(C) by striking subparagraph (D), as added by section 802(a)(3) of the Carl Levin and Howard ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3427).

(2) Section 811 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2316) is repealed.

**SEC. 876. REVISIONS TO PILOT PROGRAM ON ACQUISITION OF MILITARY PURPOSE NON-DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS.**

Section 866 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), by striking ''with non-traditional defense contractors''; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''awarded using competitive procedures in accordance with chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code''; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking ''$50,000,000'' and inserting ''$100,000,000''.

**SEC. 877. EXTENSION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MENTOR-PROTÉGÉ PILOT PROGRAM.**

Section 831(j) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2016''; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking ''September 30, 2018'' and inserting ''September 30, 2019''.

**SEC. 878. IMPROVED AUDITING OF CONTRACTS.**

(a) ADDRESSING AUDIT BACKLOG.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning October 1, 2016, the Defense Contract Audit Agency may provide audit support for non-Defense Agencies once the Secretary of Defense certifies that the backlog for incurred cost audits is less than 12 months of incurred cost inventory.

(2) ADJUSTMENT IN FUNDING FOR REIMBURSEMENTS FROM NON-DEFENSE AGENCIES.—The amount appropriated and otherwise available to the Defense Contract Audit Agency for a fiscal year beginning after September 30, 2016, shall be reduced by an amount equivalent to any reimbursements received by the Agency from non-Defense Agencies for support provided in violation of the limitation under paragraph (1).

(b) USE OF THIRD PARTY AUDITS.—The Secretary of Defense shall use up to 5 percent of the auditing staff of the service audit agencies augmented by private sector auditors to help eliminate the audit backlog in incurred cost, pre-award accounting systems audits and to reduce the time to complete pre-award audits.

(c) USE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDITING STAFF.—The Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall make available 5 percent of its auditing staff to the Defense Contract Audit Agency to help eliminate the audit backlog in incurred cost, pre-award accounting systems audits and to reduce the time to complete pre-award audits.

(d) DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY ANNUAL REPORT.—Section 2313a(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by amending subparagraph (D) to read as follows:

''(D) the total costs of sustained or recovered costs both as a total number and as a percentage of questioned costs; and'';

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking ''; and'' and inserting a semicolon;

(3) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (6); and

(4) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraphs:

''(4) a description of actions taken to ensure alignment of policies and practices across the Defense Contract Audit Agency regional organizations, offices, and individual auditors;

''(5) a description of outreach actions toward industry to promote more effective use of audit resources; and''.

(e) ACQUISITION OVERSIGHT AND AUDITS.—The Secretary of Defense shall review the oversight and audit structure of the Department of Defense with the goal of enhancing the productivity of oversight and program and contract auditing to avoid duplicative audits and the streamlining of oversight reviews. The Secretary shall take all necessary measures to streamline oversight reviews and avoid duplicative audits and make recommendation for any necessary changes in law.

(f) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on actions taken to avoid duplicative audits and streamline oversight reviews.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) A description of actions taken to avoid duplicative audits and streamline oversight reviews based on the review conducted under subsection (e).

(B) A comparison of commercial industry accounting practices, including requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–204), with the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) to determine if some portions of CAS compliance can be met through such practices or requirements.

(C) A description of standards of materiality used by the Defense Contract Audit Agency and the Inspector General of the Department of Defense for defense contract audits.

(D) An estimate of average delay and range of delays in contract awards due to time necessary for the Defense Contract Audit Agency to complete pre-award audits.

(g) INCURRED COST INVENTORY DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''incurred cost inventory'' means the level of contractor incurred cost proposals in inventory from prior fiscal years that are currently being audited by the Defense Contract Audit Agency.

**SEC. 879. SURVEY ON THE COSTS OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.**

(a) SURVEY.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a survey of the top ten contractors with the highest level of reimbursements for cost type contracts with the Department of Defense during fiscal year 2014 to estimate industry's cost of regulatory compliance (as a percentage of total costs) with government unique acquisition regulations and requirements in the categories of quality assurance, accounting and financial management, contracting and purchasing, program management, engineering, logistics, material management, property administration, and other unique requirements not imposed on contracts for commercial items.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the findings of the survey conducted under subsection (a). The data received as a result of the survey and included in the report shall be aggregated to protect against the public release of proprietary information.

**SEC. 880. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REPORT ON BID PROTESTS.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the prevalence and impact of bid protests on Department of Defense acquisitions over the previous 10 years, including both protests to the Government Accountability Office and protests filed in Federal court.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

(1) A description of trends in the number of bid protests filed, and the rate of such bid protests compared to the number of procurements.

(2) A description of comparative rates for bid protests filed by incumbent contractors and bid protests filed by non-incumbent contractors.

(3) An assessment of the cost and schedule impact of successful and unsuccessful bid protests filed by incumbent contractors on contracts for services with a value in excess of $100,000,000.

(4) A description of trends in the number of bid protests filed and the rate of such bid protests on contracts for the procurement of major defense acquisition programs.

(5) An assessment of the cost and schedule impact of successful and unsuccessful bid protests filed on contracts for the procurement of major defense acquisition programs.

(6) A description of any views the Comptroller General may have on the likely impact of a provision requiring a losing protester on a contract for the procurement of a major defense acquisition program to pay the legal fees of the government.

**SEC. 881. STEPS TO IDENTIFY AND ADDRESS POTENTIAL UNFAIR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE OF TECHNICAL ADVISORS TO ACQUISITION OFFICIALS.**

(a) GUIDANCE REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall issue guidance on steps that should be taken to identify and evaluate, and to avoid, neutralize, or mitigate, any potentially unfair competitive advantage of entities providing technical advice to acquisition officials in the award of research and development work by such officials.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this section—

(1) the term ''potentially unfair competitive advantage'' means unequal access to acquisition officials responsible for award decisions or allocation of resources or to acquisition information relevant to award decisions or allocation of resources; and

(2) the term ''entity providing technical advice to acquisition officials'' means a contractor, Federally-funded research and development center and other non-profit entity, or Federal laboratory that provides systems engineering and technical direction, participates in technical evaluations, helps prepare specifications or work statements, or otherwise provides technical advice to acquisition officials on the conduct of defense acquisition programs.

**SEC. 882. HUBZONE QUALIFIED DISASTER AREAS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.)) is amended—

(1) in section 3(p) (15 U.S.C. 632(p))—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (D), by striking ''or'';

(ii) in subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; or''; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

''(F) qualified disaster areas.''; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by adding at the end the following:

''(E) QUALIFIED DISASTER AREA.—

''(i) IN GENERAL.—The term 'qualified disaster area' means any census tract or nonmetropolitan county located in an area for which the President has declared a major disaster under section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster

*Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170) or located in an area in which a catastrophic incident has occurred, if—*

*''(I) in the case of a census tract, the census tract ceased to be a qualified census tract during the period beginning 5 years before and ending 2 years after the date on which—*

*''(aa) the President declared the major disaster; or*

*''(bb) the catastrophic incident occurred; or*

*''(II) in the case of a nonmetropolitan county, the nonmetropolitan county ceased to be a qualified nonmetropolitan county during the period beginning 5 years before and ending 2 years after the date on which—*

*''(aa) the President declared the major disaster; or*

*''(bb) the catastrophic incident occurred.*

*''(ii) TREATMENT.—A qualified disaster area shall only be treated as a HUBZone—*

*''(I) in the case of a major disaster declared by the President, during the 5-year period beginning on the date on which the President declared the major disaster for the area in which the census tract or nonmetropolitan county, as applicable, is located; and*

*''(II) in the case of a catastrophic incident, during the 10-year period beginning on the date on which the catastrophic incident occurred in the area in which the census tract or nonmetropolitan county, as applicable, is located.''; and*

*(2) in section 31(c)(3) (15 U.S.C. 657a(c)(3)), by inserting ''the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency,'' after ''the Secretary of Labor,''.*

*(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to a major disaster declared by the President under section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170) or a catastrophic incident that occurs on or after the date of enactment of this Act.*

***SEC. 883. BASE CLOSURE HUBZONES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3(p)(5)(A)(i)(I) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(5)(A)(i)(I)) is amended—*

*(1) in item (aa), by striking ''or'' at the end;*

*(2) by redesignating item (bb) as item (cc); and*

*(3) by inserting after item (aa) the following:*

*''(bb) pursuant to subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D), or (E) of paragraph (3), that its principal office is located in a HUBZone described in paragraph (1)(E) (relating to base closure areas) (in this item referred to as the 'base closure HUBZone'), and that not fewer than 35 percent of its employees reside in—*

*''(AA) a HUBZone;*

*''(BB) the census tract in which the base closure HUBZone is wholly contained;*

*''(CC) a census tract the boundaries of which intersect the boundaries of the base closure HUBZone; or*

*''(DD) a census tract the boundaries of which are contiguous to a census tract described in subitem (BB) or (CC); or''.*

*(b) PERIOD FOR BASE CLOSURE AREAS.—*

*(1) AMENDMENTS.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 152(a)(2) of title I of division K of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (15 U.S.C. 632 note) is amended by striking ''5 years'' and inserting ''8 years''.*

*(B) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1698(b)(2) of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (15 U.S.C. 632 note) is amended by striking ''5 years'' and inserting ''8 years''.*

*(2) EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by paragraph (1) shall—*

*(A) take effect on the date of enactment of this Act; and*

*(B) apply to—*

*(i) a base closure area (as defined in section 3(p)(4)(D) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(4)(D))) that, on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, is treated as a HUBZone described in section 3(p)(1)(E) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(1)(E)) under—*

*(I) section 152(a)(2) of title I of division K of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (15 U.S.C. 632 note); or*

*(II) section 1698(b)(2) of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (15 U.S.C. 632 note); and*

*(ii) a base closure area relating to the closure of a military instillation under the authority described in clauses (i) through (iv) of section 3(p)(4)(D) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(4)(D)) that occurs on or after the date of enactment of this Act.*

***SEC. 884. EXCEPTION FOR ABILITYONE GOODS FROM AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE GOODS AND SERVICES MANUFACTURED IN AFGHANISTAN, AND CENTRAL ASIAN STATES.***

*(a) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN ITEMS NOT MANUFACTURED IN AFGHANISTAN.—Section 886 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by inserting ''and except as provided in subsection (d),'' after ''subsection (b),''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(d) EXCLUSION OF ITEMS ON THE ABILITYONE PROCUREMENT CATALOG.—The authority under subsection (a) shall not be available for the procurement of any good that is contained in the procurement catalog described in section 8503(a) of title 41 in Afghanistan if such good can be produced and delivered by a qualified non-profit agency for the blind or a non-profit agency for other severely disabled in a timely fashion to support mission requirements.''.*

*(b) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN ITEMS NOT MANUFACTURED IN CENTRAL ASIAN STATES.—Section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2399) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by inserting ''and except as provided in subsection (h),'' after ''subsection (b),''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(h) EXCLUSION OF ITEMS ON THE ABILITYONE PROCUREMENT CATALOG.—The authority under subsection (a) shall not be available for the procurement of any good that is contained in the procurement catalog described in section 8503(a) of title 41 if such good can be produced and delivered by a qualified non-profit agency for the blind or a non-profit agency for other severely disabled in a timely fashion to support mission requirements.''.*

***SEC. 885. SMALL BUSINESS PROCUREMENT OMBUDSMAN.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The small business offices in the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the military departments shall serve as intermediaries between small businesses and contracting officials prior to the award of contracts in cases where a small business prospective contractor notifies the small business office that it has reason to believe that the contracting process has been modified to preclude a small business from bidding on the contract or would give another contractor an unfair competitive advantage.*

*(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude a contractor from exercising the right to initiate a bid protest under a contract.*

***SEC. 886. ANNUAL REPORT ON FOREIGN PROCUREMENTS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 2338. Reporting on foreign purchases***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the end of fiscal year 2016, and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional defense committees a report listing specific procurements by the Department of Defense in that fiscal year of articles, materials, or supplies valued greater than $5,000,000, indexed to inflation, using the exception under section 8302(a)(2)(A) of title 41. This report may be submitted as part of the report required under section 8305 of such title.*

*''(b) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'appropriate congressional committees' means the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2337 the following new item:*

*''2338. Reporting on foreign purchases.''.*

***TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT***

***SEC. 901. UPDATE OF STATUTORY SPECIFICATION OF FUNCTIONS OF CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF RELATING TO ADVICE ON REQUIREMENTS, PROGRAMS, AND BUDGET.***

*Section 153(a)(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(H) Advising the Secretary on development of joint command, control, communications, and cyber capabilities, including integration and interoperability of such capabilities, through requirements, integrated architectures, data standards, and assessments.''.*

***SEC. 902. REORGANIZATION AND REDESIGNATION OF OFFICE OF FAMILY POLICY AND OFFICE OF COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR MILITARY FAMILIES WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.***

*(a) OFFICE OF FAMILY POLICY.—*

*(1) REDESIGNATION AS OFFICE OF MILITARY FAMILY READINESS POLICY.—Section 1781(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) by striking ''Office of Family Policy'' and inserting ''Office of Military Family Readiness Policy''; and*

*(B) by striking ''Director of Family Policy'' and inserting ''Director of Military Family Readiness Policy''.*

*(2) REQUIREMENT FOR DIRECTOR TO BE MEMBER OF SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE OR GENERAL OR FLAG OFFICER.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: ''The Director shall be a member of the Senior Executive Service or a general officer or flag officer.''.*

*(3) INCLUSION OF DIRECTOR ON MILITARY FAMILY READINESS COUNCIL.—Subsection (b)(1)(E) of section 1781a of such title is amended by striking ''Office of Community Support for Military Families with Special Needs'' and inserting ''Office of Military Family Readiness Policy''.*

*(4) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 131(b)(7)(F) of such title is amended by striking ''Director of Family Policy'' and inserting ''Director of Military Family Readiness Policy''.*

*(5) HEADING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—*

*(A) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of section 1781 of such title is amended to read as follows:*

***''§ 1781. Office of Military Family Readiness Policy''.***

*(B) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 88 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1781 and inserting the following new item:*

*''1781. Office of Military Family Readiness Policy.''.*

*(b) OFFICE OF COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR MILITARY FAMILIES WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.—*

*(1) REDESIGNATION AS OFFICE OF SPECIAL NEEDS.—Subsection (a) of section 1781c of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''Office of Community Support for Military Families with Special Needs'' and inserting ''Office of Special Needs''.*

*(2) REORGANIZATION UNDER OFFICE OF MILITARY FAMILY READINESS POLICY.—Such subsection is further amended by striking ''Office of*

*the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness'' and inserting ''Office of Military Family Readiness Policy''.*

*(3) REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR HEAD OF OFFICE TO BE MEMBER OF SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE OR GENERAL OR FLAG OFFICER.—Such section is further amended by striking subsection (c).*

*(4) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—*

*(A) by redesignating subsections (d) through (i) as subsections (c) through (h), respectively;*

*(B) by striking ''subsection (e)'' each place it appears and inserting ''subsection (d)'';*

*(C) in subsection (c), as so redesignated, by striking ''subsection (f)'' in paragraph (2) and inserting ''subsection (e)''; and*

*(D) in subsection (g), as so redesignated, by striking ''subsection (d)(4)'' in paragraph (2)(B) and inserting ''subsection (c)(4)''.*

*(5) HEADING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—*

*(A) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:*

***''§ 1781c. Office of Special Needs''.***

*(B) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 88 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1781c and inserting the following new item:*

*''1781c. Office of Special Needs.''.*

***SEC. 903. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNDING FOR OCEAN RESEARCH ADVISORY PANEL.***

*Section 7903 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c).*

## *TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS*

### *Subtitle A—Financial Matters*

***SEC. 1001. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.***

*(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—*

*(1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 2016 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.*

*(2) LIMITATION.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed $4,500,000,000.*

*(3) EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.—A transfer of funds between military personnel authorizations under title IV shall not be counted toward the dollar limitation in paragraph (2).*

*(b) LIMITATIONS.—The authority provided by subsection (a) to transfer authorizations—*

*(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and*

*(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.*

*(c) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this section shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.*

*(d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under subsection (a).*

***SEC. 1002. ANNUAL AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMPONENTS BY INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL AUDITORS.***

*(a) AUDITS REQUIRED.—For purposes of satisfying the requirement under section 3521(e) of title 31, United States Code, for audits of financial statements of Department of Defense components identified by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget under section 3515(c) of such title, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall obtain each year audits of the financial statements of each such component by an independent external auditor.*

*(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL SELECTION AND OVERSIGHT.—The Inspector General shall—*

*(1) select independent external auditors for purposes of subsection (a) based, among other appropriate criteria, on their qualifications, independence, and capacity to conduct audits described in subsection (a) in accordance with applicable generally accepted government auditing standards; and*

*(2) shall monitor the conduct of such audits.*

*(c) REPORTS ON AUDITS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Inspector General shall require the independent external auditors conducting audits under subsection (a) to submit a report on their audits each year to the Secretary of Defense, the Controller of the Office of Federal Financial Management in the Office of Management and Budget, and the appropriate committees of Congress.*

*(2) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

*(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.*

*(d) RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING LAW.—The requirements of this section—*

*(1) shall be implemented in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of section 1008 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (10 U.S.C. 2222 note);*

*(2) shall not be construed to alter the requirement under section 3521(e) of title 31, United States Code, that the financial statements of the Department of Defense as a whole be audited by the Inspector General or by an independent external auditor, as determined by the Inspector General; and*

*(3) shall not be construed to limit or alter the authorities of the Comptroller General of the United States under section 3521(g) of title 31, United States Code.*

***SEC. 1003. TREATMENT AS PART OF THE BASE BUDGET OF CERTAIN AMOUNTS AUTHORIZED FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS UPON ENACTMENT OF AN ACT REVISING THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—In the event of the enactment of an Act revising in proportionally equal amounts the defense and non-defense discretionary spending limits for fiscal year 2016, the amount authorized to be appropriated by title XV that is in excess of the $50,900,000,000 that is authorized to be appropriated by that title for revised security category activities, and is also not greater than the amount of the increase in the discretionary spending limit for revised security category activities revised by that Act, shall be deemed to have been authorized to be appropriated by title III.*

*(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''Act revising the defense and non-defense discretionary spending limits for fiscal year 2016'' means an Act—*

*(A) enacted after the date of enactment of this Act; and*

*(B) that—*

*(i) increases in proportionally equal amounts the discretionary spending limits for fiscal year 2016 for the revised security category and the revised nonsecurity category; and*

*(ii) may include increases to the discretionary spending limits for fiscal years 2017 through 2021.*

*(2) The terms ''discretionary spending limit'', ''revised nonsecurity category'', and ''revised security category'' have the meanings given such terms in section 250 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900).*

***SEC. 1004. SENSE OF SENATE ON SEQUESTRATION.***

*It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the nation's fiscal challenges are a top priority for Congress, and sequestration—non-strategic, across-the-board budget cuts—remains an unreasonable and inadequate budgeting tool to address the nation's deficits and debt;*

*(2) sequestration relief must be accomplished for fiscal years 2016 and 2017;*

*(3) sequestration relief should include equal defense and non-defense relief; and*

*(4) sequestration relief should be offset through targeted changes in mandatory and discretionary categories and revenues.*

***SEC. 1005. SENSE OF SENATE ON FINDING EFFICIENCIES WITHIN THE WORKING CAPITAL FUND ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*It is the sense of the Senate that the Secretary of Defense should, through the military departments, continue to find efficiencies within the working capital fund activities of the Department of Defense with specific emphasis on optimizing the existing workload plans of such activities to ensure a strong organic industrial base workforce.*

### *Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities*

***SEC. 1011. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT UNIFIED COUNTERDRUG AND COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOMBIA.***

*(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by section 1011(a) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended—*

*(1) In subsection (a), by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2017''; and*

*(2) In subsection (c), by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2017''.*

*(b) EXTENSION OF ANNUAL NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON ASSISTANCE.—Section 1011(b) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 is amended by striking ''(as amended by subsection (a)) using funds available for fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''using funds available for any fiscal year''.*

***SEC. 1012. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.***

*(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most recently amended by section 1013 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 844), is further amended by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2017''.*

*(b) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SUPPORT.—Subsection (e)(2) of such section 1033, as so amended, is further amended by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2017''.*

*(c) ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENTS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE SUPPORT.—Subsection (b) of such section 1033, as so amended, is further amended by adding at the end of the following new paragraphs:*

*''(40) Government of Kenya.*

*''(41) Government of Tanzania.*

*''(42) Government of Somalia.''.*

### *Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards*

***SEC. 1021. STUDIES OF FLEET PLATFORM ARCHITECTURES FOR THE NAVY.***

*(a) INDEPENDENT STUDIES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for the performance of three independent studies of alternative future fleet platform architectures for the Navy in the 2030 timeframe.*

*(2) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than May 1, 2016, the Secretary shall forward the results of each study to the congressional defense committees.*

*(3) FORM.—Each such study shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex as necessary.*

*(b) ENTITIES TO PERFORM STUDIES.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for the studies under subsection (a) to be performed as follows:*

*(1) One study shall be performed by the Department of the Navy and shall include participants from—*

*(A) the Office of Net Assessment within the Office of the Secretary of Defense; and*

*(B) the Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division.*

*(2) The second study shall be performed by a federally funded research and development center.*

*(3) The final study shall be conducted by an independent, non-governmental institute which is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code, and has recognized credentials and expertise in national security and military affairs.*

*(c) PERFORMANCE OF STUDIES.—*

*(1) INDEPENDENT PERFORMANCE.—The Secretary of Defense shall require the three studies under this section to be conducted independently of each other.*

*(2) MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED.—In performing a study under this section, the organization performing the study, while being aware of the current and projected fleet platform architectures, shall not be limited by the current or projected fleet platform architecture and shall consider the following matters:*

*(A) The National Security Strategy of the United States.*

*(B) Potential future threats to the United States and to United States naval forces in the 2030 timeframe.*

*(C) Traditional roles and missions of United States naval forces.*

*(D) Alternative roles and missions for United States naval forces.*

*(E) Other government and non-government analyses that would contribute to the study through variations in study assumptions or potential scenarios.*

*(F) The role of evolving technology on future naval forces, including unmanned systems.*

*(G) Opportunities for reduced personnel and sustainment costs.*

*(H) Current and projected capabilities of other United States military services that could affect force structure capability and capacity requirements of United States naval forces.*

*(d) STUDY RESULTS.—The results of each study under this section shall—*

*(1) present the alternative fleet platform architectures considered, with assumptions and possible scenarios identified for each;*

*(2) provide for presentation of minority views of study participants; and*

*(3) for the recommended architecture, provide—*

*(A) the numbers, kinds, and sizes of vessels, the numbers and types of associated manned and unmanned vehicles, and the basic capabilities of each of those platforms;*

*(B) other information needed to understand that architecture in basic form and the supporting analysis;*

*(C) deviations from the current Annual Long-Range Plan for Construction of Naval Vessels required under section 231 of title 10, United States Code;*

*(D) options to address ship classes that begin decommissioning prior to 2035; and*

*(E) implications for naval aviation, including the future carrier air wing and land-based aviation platforms.*

***SEC. 1022. AMENDMENT TO NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND.***

*Section 1022(b)(1) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended by striking ''for the Navy for the Ohio Replacement Program''.*

***SEC. 1023. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR CERTAIN NAVY MESS OPERATIONS AFLOAT.***

*(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (b) of section 1014 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4585), as amended by section 1021 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4348), is further amended by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2020''.*

*(b) TECHNICAL AND CLARIFYING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (a) of such section, as so amended, is further amended—*

*(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking ''not more that'' and inserting ''not more than''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (2), by striking ''Naval vessels'' and inserting ''such vessels''.*

***SEC. 1024. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING LONG-RANGE PLANS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF NAVAL VESSELS.***

*Section 231(b)(2)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting ''by ship class in both graphical and tabular form'' after ''The estimated levels of annual funding''.*

***SEC. 1025. REPORT AND ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL COSTS AND BENEFITS OF PRIVATIZING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMMISSARIES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than February 1, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report assessing the viability of privatizing, in whole or in part, the Department of Defense commissary system. The report shall be so submitted to Congress before the development of any plans or pilot program to privatize defense commissaries or the defense commissary system.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:*

*(1) A methodology for defining the total number and locations of commissaries.*

*(2) An evaluation of commissary use by location in the following beneficiary categories:*

*(A) Pay grades E–1 through E–4.*

*(B) Pay grades E–5 through E–7.*

*(C) Pay grades E–8 and E–9.*

*(D) Pay grades O–1 through O–3.*

*(E) Pay grades O–4 through O–6.*

*(F) Pay grades O–7 through O–10.*

*(G) Military retirees.*

*(3) An evaluation of commissary use in locations outside the continental United States and in remote and isolated locations in the continental United States when compared with other locations.*

*(4) An evaluation of the cost of commissary operations during fiscal years 2009 through 2014.*

*(5) An assessment of potential savings and efficiencies to be achieved through implementation of some or all of recommendations of the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission.*

*(6) A description and evaluation of the strategy of the Defense Commissary Agency for pricing products sold at commissaries.*

*(7) A description and evaluation of the transportation strategy of the Defense Commissary Agency for products sold at commissaries.*

*(8) A description and evaluation of the formula of the Defense Commissary Agency for calculating savings for its customers as a result of its pricing strategy.*

*(9) An evaluation of the average savings per household garnered by commissary use.*

*(10) A description and evaluation of the use of private contractors and vendors as part of the defense commissary system.*

*(11) An assessment of costs or savings, and potential impacts to patrons and the Government, of privatizing the defense commissary system, including potential increased use of Government assistance programs.*

*(12) A description and assessment of potential barriers to privatization of the defense commissary system.*

*(13) An assessment of the extent to which patron savings would remain after the privatization of the defense commissary system.*

*(14) An assessment of the impact of any recommended changes to the operation of the defense commissary system on commissary patrons, including morale and retention.*

*(15) An assessment of the actual interest of major grocery retailers in the management and operations of all, or part, of the existing defense commissary system.*

*(16) An assessment of the impact of privatization of the defense commissary system on off-installation prices of similar products available in the system.*

*(17) An assessment of the impact of privatization of the defense commissary system, and conversion of the Defense Commissary Agency workforce to non-appropriated fund status, on employment of military family members, particularly with respect to pay, benefits, and job security.*

*(18) An assessment of the impact of privatization of the defense commissary system on Exchanges and Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) quality-of-life programs.*

*(c) USE OF PREVIOUS STUDIES.—The Secretary shall consult previous studies and surveys on matters appropriate to the report required by subsection (a), including, but not limited to, the following:*

*(1) The January 2015 Final Report of the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission.*

*(2) The 2014 Military Family Lifestyle Survey Comprehensive Report.*

*(3) The 2013 Living Patterns Survey.*

*(4) The report required by section 634 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) on the management, food, and pricing options for the defense commissary system.*

*(d) COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF REPORT.—Not later than May 1, 2016, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth an assessment by the Comptroller General of the report required by subsection (a). Section 652 of this Act shall be null and void.*

***SEC. 1026. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEFINITION OF AND POLICY REGARDING SOFTWARE SUSTAINMENT.***

*(a) REPORT ON ASSESSMENT OF DEFINITION AND POLICY.—Not later than March 15, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the President pro tempore of the Senate a report setting forth an assessment, obtained by the Secretary for purposes of the report, on the definition used by the Department of Defense for and the policy of the Department regarding software maintenance, particularly with respect to the totality of the term ''software sustainment'' in the definition of ''depot-level maintenance and repair'' under section 2460 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(b) INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.—The assessment obtained for purposes of subsection (a) shall be conducted by a federally funded research and development center (FFRDC), or another appropriate independent entity with expertise in matters described in subsection (a), selected by the Secretary for purposes of the assessment.*

*(c) ELEMENTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The assessment obtained for purposes of subsection (a) shall address, with respect to software and weapon systems of the Department of Defense (including space systems), each of the following:*

*(A) Fiscal ramifications of current programs with regard to the size, scope, and cost of software to the program's overall budget, including embedded and support software, percentage of weapon systems' functionality controlled by software, and reliance on proprietary data, processes, and components.*

*(B) Legal status of the Department in regards to adhering to section 2464(a)(1) of such title with respect to ensuring a ready and controlled source of maintenance and sustainment on software for its weapon systems.*

*(C) Operational risks and reduction to materiel readiness of current Department weapon systems related to software costs, delays, rework, integration and functional testing, defects, and documentation errors.*

*(D) Other matters as identified by the Secretary.*

*(2) ADDITIONAL MATTERS.—For each of subparagraphs (A) through (C) of paragraph (1), the assessment obtained for purposes of subsection (a) shall include review and analysis regarding sole-source contracts, range of competition, rights in technical data, public and private capabilities, integration lab initial costs and sustaining operations, and total obligation authority costs of software, disaggregated by armed service, for the Department.*

*(d) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide the independent entity described in subsection (b) with timely access to appropriate information, data, resources, and analysis so that the entity may conduct a thorough and independent assessment as required under such subsection.*

***Subtitle D—Counterterrorism***

***SEC. 1031. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.***

*(a) PROHIBITION.—No amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available for the Department of Defense may be used, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the effective date specified in section 1032(f), to construct or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house an individual detained at Guantanamo for the purpose of detention or imprisonment in the custody or control of the United States Government unless authorized by Congress.*

*(b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to any modification of facilities at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

*(c) INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''individual detained at Guantanamo'' means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

*(1) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(2) is—*

*(A) in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

*(B) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

*(d) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROHIBITION.—Section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 850), as amended by section 1032 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is repealed.*

***SEC. 1032. LIMITATION ON THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), no amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available for the Department of Defense may be used to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—*

*(1) is not a United States citizen or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(2) is or was held on or after January 20, 2009, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.*

*(b) TRANSFER FOR DETENTION AND TRIAL.—The Secretary of Defense may transfer a detainee described in subsection (a) to the United States for detention pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40), trial, and incarceration if the Secretary—*

*(1) determines that the transfer is in the national security interest of the United States;*

*(2) determines that appropriate actions have been taken, or will be taken, to address any risk to public safety that could arise in connection with detention and trial in the United States; and*

*(3) notifies the appropriate committees of Congress not later than 30 days before the date of the proposed transfer.*

*(c) NOTIFICATION ELEMENTS.—A notification on a transfer under subsection (b)(3) shall include the following:*

*(1) A statement of the basis for the determination that the transfer is in the national security interest of the United States.*

*(2) A description of the action the Secretary determines have been taken, or will be taken, to address any risk to the public safety that could arise in connection with the detention and trial in the United States.*

*(d) STATUS WHILE IN THE UNITED STATES.—A detainee who is transferred to the United States under this section—*

*(1) shall not be permitted to apply for asylum under section 208 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1158) or be eligible to apply for admission into the United States;*

*(2) shall be considered to be paroled into the United States temporarily pursuant to section 212(d)(5)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(d)(5)(A));*

*(3) shall not at any time be subject to, and may not apply for or obtain, or be deemed to enjoy, any right, privilege, status, benefit, or eligibility for any benefit under any provision of the immigration laws (as defined in section 101(a)(17) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(17)), or any other law or regulation; and*

*(4) shall not, as a result of such transfer, have a change in designation as an unprivileged enemy belligerent eligible for detention pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force, as determined in accordance with applicable law and regulations.*

*(e) LIMITATIONS ON JUDICIAL REVIEW.—*

*(1) LIMITATIONS.—Except as provided for in paragraph (2), no court, justice, or judge shall have jurisdiction to hear or consider any action against the United States or its agents relating to any aspect of the detention, transfer, treatment, or conditions of confinement of a detainee described in subsection (a) who is held by the Armed Forces of the United States.*

*(2) EXCEPTION.—A detainee who is transferred to the United States under this section shall not be deprived of the right to challenge his designation as an unprivileged enemy belligerent by filing a writ of habeas corpus as provided by the Supreme Court in Hamdan v. Rumsfeld (548 U.S. 557 (2006)) and Boumediene v. Bush (553 U.S. 723 (2008)).*

*(3) NO CAUSE OF ACTION IN DECISION NOT TO TRANSFER.—A decision not to transfer a detainee to the United States under this section shall not give rise to a judicial cause of action.*

*(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e) shall take effect on the effective date of a joint resolution approved pursuant to subsection (h) on the plan on the disposition of detainees held at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, submitted pursuant to subsection (g).*

*(g) PLAN FOR DISPOSITION OF DETAINEES.—*

*(1) REPORT ON PLAN REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth a comprehensive plan on the disposition of detainees held at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall contain the following:*

*(A) A case-by-case determination made for each individual detained at Guantanamo of whether such individual is intended to be transferred to a foreign country, transferred to the United States for the purpose of civilian or military trial, or transferred to the United States or another country for continued detention under the law of armed conflict.*

*(B) The specific facility or facilities that are intended to be used, or modified to be used, to hold individuals inside the United States for the purpose of trial, for detention in the aftermath of conviction, or for continued detention under the law of armed conflict.*

*(C) The estimated costs associated with the detention inside the United States of individuals detained at Guantanamo.*

*(D) A description of the legal implications associated with the detention inside the United States of an individual detained at Guantanamo, including but not limited to the right to challenge such detention as unlawful.*

*(E) A detailed description and assessment, made in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, of the actions that would be taken prior to the transfer to a foreign country of an individual detained at Guantanamo that would substantially mitigate the risk of such individual engaging or re-engaging in any terrorist or other hostile activity that threatens the United States or United States person or interests.*

*(F) What additional authorities, if any, may be necessary to detain an individual detained at Guantanamo inside the United States as an unprivileged enemy belligerent pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force, pending the end of hostilities or a future determination by the Secretary of Defense that such individual no longer poses a threat to the United States or United States persons or interests.*

*(G) A plan for the disposition of any individuals who are detained by the United States under the law of armed conflict after the date of the report, including a plan to detain and interrogate such individuals for the purposes of—*

*(i) protecting the security of the United States, its persons, allies, and interests; and*

*(ii) collecting intelligence necessary to ensure the security of the United States, its person, allies, and interests.*

*(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

*(h) CONSIDERATION BY CONGRESS OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE PLAN.—*

*(1) TERMS OF THE RESOLUTION.—For purposes of this section the term ''joint resolution'' means only a joint resolution which is introduced within the 10-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a report under subsection (g) and—*

*(A) which does not have a preamble;*

*(B) the matter after the resolving clause of which is as follows: ''That Congress approves the plan of the Secretary of Defense on the disposition of detainees held at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, under section 1032(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 as submitted by the Secretary of Defense to Congress on _____'', the blank space being filled in with the appropriate date; and*

*(C) the title of which is as follows: ''Joint resolution approving the plan of the Secretary of Defense on the disposition of detainees held at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.''.*

*(2) REFERRAL.—A resolution described in paragraph (1) that is introduced in the House of*

*Representatives shall be referred to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives. A resolution described in paragraph (1) introduced in the Senate shall be referred to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.*

*(3) DISCHARGE.—If the committee to which a resolution described in paragraph (1) is referred has not reported such resolution (or an identical resolution) by the end of the 20-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits to Congress a report under subsection (g), such committee shall be, at the end of such period, discharged from further consideration of such resolution, and such resolution shall be placed on the appropriate calendar of the House involved.*

*(4) CONSIDERATION.—(A) On or after the third day after the date on which the committee to which such a resolution is referred has reported, or has been discharged (under paragraph (3)) from further consideration of, such a resolution, it is in order (even though a previous motion to the same effect has been disagreed to) for any Member of the respective House to move to proceed to the consideration of the resolution. A Member may make the motion only on the day after the calendar day on which the Member announces to the House concerned the Member's intention to make the motion, except that, in the case of the House of Representatives, the motion may be made without such prior announcement if the motion is made by direction of the committee to which the resolution was referred. All points of order against the resolution (and against consideration of the resolution) are waived. The motion is highly privileged in the House of Representatives and is privileged in the Senate and is not debatable. The motion is not subject to amendment, or to a motion to postpone, or to a motion to proceed to the consideration of other business. A motion to reconsider the vote by which the motion is agreed to or disagreed to shall not be in order. If a motion to proceed to the consideration of the resolution is agreed to, the respective House shall immediately proceed to consideration of the joint resolution without intervening motion, order, or other business, and the resolution shall remain the unfinished business of the respective House until disposed of.*

*(B) Debate on the resolution, and on all debatable motions and appeals in connection therewith, shall be limited to not more than 2 hours, which shall be divided equally between those favoring and those opposing the resolution. An amendment to the resolution is not in order. A motion further to limit debate is in order and not debatable. A motion to postpone, or a motion to proceed to the consideration of other business, or a motion to recommit the resolution is not in order. A motion to reconsider the vote by which the resolution is agreed to or disagreed to is not in order.*

*(C) Immediately following the conclusion of the debate on a resolution described in paragraph (1) and a single quorum call at the conclusion of the debate if requested in accordance with the rules of the appropriate House, the vote on final passage of the resolution shall occur.*

*(D) Appeals from the decisions of the Chair relating to the application of the rules of the Senate or the House of Representatives, as the case may be, to the procedure relating to a resolution described in paragraph (1) shall be decided without debate.*

*(5) CONSIDERATION BY OTHER HOUSE.—(A) If, before the passage by one House of a resolution of that House described in paragraph (1), that House receives from the other House a resolution described in paragraph (1), then the following procedures shall apply:*

*(i) The resolution of the other House shall not be referred to a committee and may not be considered in the House receiving it except in the case of final passage as provided in clause (ii)(II).*

*(ii) With respect to a resolution described in paragraph (1) of the House receiving the resolution—*

*(I) the procedure in that House shall be the same as if no resolution had been received from the other House; but*

*(II) the vote on final passage shall be on the resolution of the other House.*

*(B) Upon disposition of the resolution received from the other House, it shall no longer be in order to consider the resolution that originated in the receiving House.*

*(6) RULES OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—This subsection is enacted by Congress—*

*(A) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of the Senate and House of Representatives, respectively, and as such it is deemed a part of the rules of each House, respectively, but applicable only with respect to the procedure to be followed in that House in the case of a resolution described in paragraph (1), and it supersedes other rules only to the extent that it is inconsistent with such rules; and*

*(B) with full recognition of the constitutional right of either House to change the rules (so far as relating to the procedure of that House) at any time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as in the case of any other rule of that House.*

*(i) LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF DETAINEES TRANSFERRED TO THE UNITED STATES.—*

*(1) LIMITATION PENDING ENACTMENT OF JOINT RESOLUTION APPROVING PLAN.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law and subject to paragraph (2), any individual detained at Guantanamo who is transferred to the United States after the date of the enactment of this Act shall not be released within the United States or its territories, and may only be transferred or released in accordance with the procedures under section 1033.*

*(2) LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OVERSEAS AFTER ENACTMENT OF JOINT RESOLUTION APPROVING PLAN.—Effective on the effective date specified in subsection (f)—*

*(A) the provisions of section 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 851; 10 U.S.C. 801 note), as previously repealed by section 1033, shall be revived;*

*(B) the procedures under such section 1035, as so revived, shall apply to the transfer of individuals detained at Guantanamo to foreign countries rather than the procedures under section1033; and*

*(C) in the application of procedures under such section 1035 as described in subparagraph (B), any reference to an individual detained at Guantanamo shall be deemed to refer also to any such individual transferred to the United States after such effective date.*

*(j) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROHIBITION.—Section 1034 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 851), as amended by section 1033 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is repealed.*

*(k) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

*(2) The term ''individual detained at Guantanamo'' means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

*(A) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(B) is—*

*(i) in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

*(ii) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

***SEC. 1033. REENACTMENT AND MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN PRIOR REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATIONS RELATING TO TRANSFER OF DETAINEES AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES AND OTHER FOREIGN ENTITIES.***

*(a) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED PRIOR TO TRANSFER.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may not use any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity unless the Secretary submits to the appropriate committees of Congress the certification described in subsection (b) not later than 30 days before the transfer of the individual.*

*(2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any action taken by the Secretary to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effectuate an order affecting the disposition of the individual that is issued by a court or competent tribunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction (which the Secretary shall notify the appropriate committees of Congress of promptly after issuance).*

*(b) CERTIFICATION.—A certification described in this subsection is a written certification made by the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, that—*

*(1) the government of the foreign country or the recognized leadership of the foreign entity to which the individual detained at Guantanamo is to be transferred—*

*(A) is not a designated state sponsor of terrorism or a designated foreign terrorist organization;*

*(B) maintains control over each detention facility in which the individual is to be detained if the individual is to be housed in a detention facility;*

*(C) is not, as of the date of the certification, facing a threat that is likely to substantially affect its ability to exercise control over the individual;*

*(D) has taken or agreed to take effective actions to ensure that the individual cannot take action to threaten the United States, its citizens, or its allies in the future;*

*(E) has taken or agreed to take such actions as the Secretary of Defense determines are necessary to ensure that the individual cannot engage or reengage in any terrorist activity; and*

*(F) has agreed to share with the United States any information that—*

*(i) is related to the individual or any associates of the individual; and*

*(ii) could affect the security of the United States, its citizens, or its allies;*

*(2) the United States Government and the government of the foreign country have entered into a written memorandum of understanding (MOU) regarding the transfer of the individual and such memorandum of understanding has previously been transmitted to the appropriate committees of Congress; and*

*(3) includes an assessment, in classified or unclassified form, of the capacity, willingness, and past practices (if applicable) of the foreign country or entity in relation to the Secretary's certifications.*

*(c) PROHIBITION IN CASES OF PRIOR CONFIRMED RECIDIVISM.—*

*(1) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in paragraph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may not use any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the*

*Department of Defense to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity if there is a confirmed case of any individual who was detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, at any time after September 11, 2001, who was transferred to such foreign country or entity and subsequently engaged in any terrorist activity.*

*(2) EXCEPTION.—Subject to subsection (e), paragraph (1) shall not apply to any action taken by the Secretary to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effectuate an order affecting the disposition of the individual that is issued by a court or competent tribunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction (which the Secretary shall notify the appropriate committees of Congress of promptly after issuance).*

*(d) NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (e), the Secretary of Defense may waive the applicability to a detainee transfer of a certification requirement specified in subparagraph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), or the prohibition in subsection (c), if the Secretary certifies the rest of the criteria required by subsection (b) for transfers prohibited by subsection (c) and, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, determines that—*

*(A) alternative actions will be taken to address the underlying purpose of the requirement or requirements to be waived;*

*(B) in the case of a waiver of subparagraph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), it is not possible to certify that the risks addressed in the paragraph to be waived have been completely eliminated, but the actions to be taken under subparagraph (A) will substantially mitigate such risks with regard to the individual to be transferred;*

*(C) in the case of a waiver of subsection (c), the Secretary has considered any confirmed case in which an individual who was transferred to the country subsequently engaged in terrorist activity, and the actions to be taken under subparagraph (A) will substantially mitigate the risk of recidivism with regard to the individual to be transferred; and*

*(D) the transfer is in the national security interests of the United States.*

*(2) REPORTS.—Whenever the Secretary makes a determination under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, not later than 30 days before the transfer of the individual concerned, the following:*

*(A) A copy of the determination and the waiver concerned.*

*(B) A statement of the basis for the determination, including—*

*(i) an explanation why the transfer is in the national security interests of the United States;*

*(ii) in the case of a waiver of paragraph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), an explanation why it is not possible to certify that the risks addressed in the paragraph to be waived have been completely eliminated; and*

*(iii) a classified summary of—*

*(I) the individual's record of cooperation while in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense; and*

*(II) the agreements and mechanisms in place to provide for continuing cooperation.*

*(C) A summary of the alternative actions to be taken to address the underlying purpose of, and to mitigate the risks addressed in, the paragraph or subsection to be waived.*

*(D) The assessment required by subsection (b)(2).*

*(e) RECORD OF COOPERATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In assessing the risk that an individual detained at Guantanamo will engage in terrorist activity or other actions that could affect the security of the United States if released for the purpose of making a certification under subsection (b) or a waiver under subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may give favorable consideration to any such individual—*

*(A) who has substantially cooperated with United States intelligence and law enforcement authorities, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, while in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense; and*

*(B) for whom agreements and effective mechanisms are in place, to the extent relevant and necessary, to provide for continued cooperation with United States intelligence and law enforcement authorities.*

*(2) REPORTS.—Each certification under subsection (b) or report under subsection (d)(2) that includes an assessment in which favorable consideration was given an individual as described in paragraph (1) shall also include the following:*

*(A) A description of the cooperation for which favorable consideration was so given.*

*(B) A description of operational outcomes, if any, affected by such cooperation.*

*(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1)(A) The term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(i) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(ii) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

*(B) In connection with a certification made under subsection (b), the term also includes the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, but only with respect to the submittal to such committees of a copy of the written memorandum of understanding concerned described in subsection (b)(2).*

*(2) The term ''individual detained at Guantanamo'' means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

*(A) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(B) is—*

*(i) in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

*(ii) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

*(3) The term ''foreign terrorist organization'' means any organization so designated by the Secretary of State under section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189).*

*(4) The term ''state sponsor of terrorism'' has the meaning given that term in section 301(13) of the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Divestment Act of 2010 (22 U.S.C. 8541(13)).*

*(g) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS.—Section 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 851; 10 U.S.C. 801 note) is repealed.*

***SEC. 1034. AUTHORITY TO TEMPORARILY TRANSFER INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, TO THE UNITED STATES FOR EMERGENCY OR CRITICAL MEDICAL TREATMENT.***

*(a) TRANSFER FOR EMERGENCY OR CRITICAL MEDICAL TREATMENT AUTHORIZED.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle, or any other provision of law enacted after September 30, 2013, but subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may temporarily transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to a Department of Defense medical facility in the United States for the sole purpose of providing the individual medical treatment if the Secretary determines that—*

*(1) the Senior Medical Officer, Joint Task Force–Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, has determined that the medical treatment is necessary to prevent death or imminent significant injury or harm to the health of the individual;*

*(2) based on the recommendation of the Senior Medical Officer, Joint Task Force–Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, the medical treatment is not available to be provided at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, without incurring excessive and unreasonable costs;*

*(3) the Department of Defense has provided for appropriate security measures for the custody and control of the individual during any period in which the individual is temporarily in the United States under this subsection; and*

*(4) except in cases involving the especially immediate need for the provision of medical treatment to prevent death or imminent significant injury or harm to the health of the individual, the estimated aggregate cost of providing the individual medical treatment in a Department of Defense medical facility in the United States (including the cost of transferring and securing the individual in such facility during any period in which the individual is temporarily in the United States for treatment and the cost of treatment) would be less than the estimated cost of providing the individual such medical treatment at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay.*

*(b) NOTICE TO CONGRESS REQUIRED BEFORE TRANSFER.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to the requirements in subsection (a), an individual may not be temporarily transferred under the authority in that subsection unless the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate committees of Congress the notice described in paragraph (2)—*

*(A) not later than 30 days before the date of the proposed transfer; or*

*(B) if notice cannot be provided in accordance with subparagraph (A) because of an especially immediate need for the provision of medical treatment to prevent death or imminent significant injury or harm to the health of the individual, as soon as is practicable, but not later than 5 days after the date of transfer.*

*(2) NOTICE ELEMENTS.—The notice on the transfer of an individual under this subsection shall include the following:*

*(A) A statement of the basis for the determination that the transfer is necessary to prevent death or imminent significant injury or harm to the health of the individual.*

*(B) The specific Department of Defense medical facility that will provide medical treatment to the individual.*

*(C) A description of the actions the Secretary determines have been taken, or will be taken, to address any risk to the public safety that could arise in connection with the provision of medical treatment to the individual in the United States.*

*(c) LIMITATION ON EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a) may be exercised only by the Secretary of Defense or by another official of the Department of Defense at the level of Under Secretary of Defense or higher.*

*(d) CONDITIONS OF TRANSFER.—An individual who is temporarily transferred under the authority in subsection (a) shall—*

*(1) while in the United States, remain in the custody and control of the Secretary of Defense at all times; and*

*(2) be returned to United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as soon as feasible after a Department of Defense physician determines that—*

*(A) the individual is medically cleared to travel; and*

*(B) in consultation with the Commander, Joint Task Force–Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, any necessary follow-up medical care may reasonably be provided the individual at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

*(e) STATUS WHILE IN UNITED STATES.—An individual who is temporarily transferred under the authority in subsection (a), while in the United States—*

*(1) shall be deemed at all times and in all respects to be in the uninterrupted custody of the Secretary of Defense, as though the individual remained physically at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba;*

*(2) shall not at any time be subject to, and may not apply for or obtain, or be deemed to enjoy, any right, privilege, status, benefit, or eligibility for any benefit under any provision of the immigration laws (as defined in section 101(a)(17) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(17)), or any other law or regulation;*

*(3) shall not be permitted to avail himself of any right, privilege, or benefit of any law of the United States beyond those available to individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba; and*

*(4) shall not, as a result of such transfer, have a change in any designation that may have attached to that detainee while detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40), as determined in accordance with applicable law and regulations..*

*(f) JUDICIAL REVIEW PRECLUDED.—*

*(1) NO CREATION OF ENFORCEABLE RIGHTS.—Nothing in this section is intended to create any enforceable right or benefit, or any claim or cause of action, by any party against the United States, or any other person or entity.*

*(2) LIMITATION ON JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), no court, justice, or judge shall have jurisdiction to hear or consider any claim or action against the United States or its agents relating to any aspect of the detention, transfer, treatment, or conditions of confinement of an individual transferred under this section.*

*(3) HABEAS CORPUS.—*

*(A) JURISDICTION.—The United States District Court for the District of Columbia shall have exclusive jurisdiction to consider an application for writ of habeas corpus challenging the fact or duration of detention and seeking release from custody filed by or on behalf of an individual who is in the United States pursuant to a temporary transfer under subsection (a). Such jurisdiction shall be limited to that required by the Constitution with respect to the fact or duration of detention.*

*(B) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—A court order in a proceeding covered by paragraph (3) may not—*

*(i) review, halt, or stay the return of the individual who is the object of the application to United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, including pursuant to subsection (d); or*

*(ii) order the release of the individual within the United States.*

*(g) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall notify the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives of any temporary transfer of an individual under the authority in subsection (a) not later than 5 days after the transfer of the individual under that authority.*

*(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

*(2) The term ''individual detained at Guantanamo'' means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

*(A) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(B) is—*

*(i) in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

*(ii) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

***SEC. 1035. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR TRANSFER OR RELEASE TO YEMEN OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.***

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available for the Department of Defense may be used, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2016, to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release of any individual detained in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the custody or control of the Republic of Yemen or any entity within Yemen.*

***SEC. 1036. REPORT ON CURRENT DETAINEES AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, DETERMINED OR ASSESSED TO BE HIGH RISK OR MEDIUM RISK.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees and members of Congress a report, in unclassified form, setting forth a list of the individuals detained at Guantanamo as of the date of the enactment of this Act who have been determined or assessed by Joint Task Force Guantanamo, at any time before the date of the report, to be a high-risk or medium-risk threat to the United States, its interests, or its allies.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall set forth, for each individual covered by the report, the following:*

*(1) The name and country of origin.*

*(2) The date on which first designated or assessed as a high-risk or medium-risk threat to the United States, its interests, or its allies.*

*(3) Whether, as of the date of the report, currently designated or assessed as a high-risk or medium-risk threat to the United States, its interests, or its allies.*

*(4) If the designation or assessment changed between the date specified pursuant to paragraph (2) and the date of the report, the year and month in which the designation or assessment changed and the designation or assessment to which changed.*

*(5) To the extent practicable, without jeopardizing intelligence sources and methods—*

*(A) prior actions in support of terrorism, hostile actions against the United States or its allies, gross violations of human rights, and other violations of international law; and*

*(B) any affiliations with al Qaeda, al Qaeda affiliates, or other terrorist groups.*

*(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''appropriate committees and members of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;*

*(B) the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader of the Senate;*

*(C) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and*

*(D) the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives.*

*(2) The term ''individual detained at Guantanamo'' means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

*(A) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(B) is—*

*(i) in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

*(ii) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

***SEC. 1037. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING WITH FOREIGN COUNTRIES REGARDING TRANSFER OF DETAINEES AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall transmit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth the written memorandum of understanding between the United States Government and the government of the foreign country concerned regarding each individual detained at Guantanamo who was transferred to a foreign country during the 18-month period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(2) STATEMENT ON LACK OF MOU.—If an individual detained at Guantanamo was transferred to a foreign country during the period described in paragraph (1) and no memorandum of understanding exists between the United States Government and the government of the foreign country regarding such individual, the report under paragraph (1) shall include an unclassified statement of that fact.*

*(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

*(2) The term ''individual detained at Guantanamo'' means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—*

*(A) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and*

*(B) is—*

*(i) in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense; or*

*(ii) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

***SEC. 1038. SEMIANNUAL REPORTS ON USE OF UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, AND ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OR BUREAU OF PRISONS PRISON OR OTHER DETENTION OR DISCIPLINARY FACILITY IN RECRUITMENT AND OTHER PROPAGANDA OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every six months thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, submit to Congress a report on the use by terrorist organizations and their leaders of images and symbols relating to United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and any other Department of Defense or Bureau of Prisons prison or other detention or disciplinary facility for recruitment and other propaganda purposes during the six-month period ending on the date of such report. Each report shall include the following:*

*(1) A description and assessment of the effectiveness of the use of such images and symbols for recruitment and other propaganda purposes.*

*(2) A description and assessment of the efforts of the United States Government to counter the use of such images and symbols for such purposes and to disseminate accurate information about such facilities.*

*(b) ADDITIONAL MATERIAL IN FIRST REPORT.—The first report under subsection (a) shall include a description of the use by terrorist organizations and their leaders of images and symbols relating to United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and any other Department of Defense or Bureau of Prisons prison or*

*other detention or disciplinary facility for recruitment and other propaganda purposes before the date of the enactment of this Act.*

**SEC. 1039. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE REWARDS FOR COMBATING TERRORISM.**

*(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE REWARDS THROUGH GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL OF ALLIED FORCES.—Subsection (c)(3)(C) of section 127b of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''.*

*(b) MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (f)(2) of such section is amended—*

*(1) by striking subparagraph (D);*

*(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (E), (F), and (G), as subparagraphs (D), (E), and (F), respectively; and*

*(3) in subparagraph (D), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by inserting before the period at the end the following: '', including in which countries the program is being operated''.*

*(c) REPORT ON DESIGNATION OF COUNTRIES FOR WHICH REWARDS MAY BE PAID.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(h) REPORT ON DESIGNATION OF COUNTRIES FOR WHICH REWARDS MAY BE PAID.—Not later than 15 days after the date on which the Secretary designates a country as a country in which an operation or activity of the armed forces is occurring in connection with which rewards may be paid under this section, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the designation. Each report shall include the following:*

*''(1) The country so designated.*

*''(2) The reason for the designation of the country.*

*''(3) A justification for the designation of the country for purposes of this section.''.*

*(d) CHANGE OF SECTION HEADING TO REFLECT NAME OF PROGRAM.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:*

***''§ 127b. Department of Defense Rewards Program''.***

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 127b and inserting the following new item:*

*''127b. Department of Defense Rewards Program.''.*

**SEC. 1040. REAFFIRMATION OF THE PROHIBITION ON TORTURE.**

*(a) LIMITATION ON INTERROGATION TECHNIQUES TO THOSE IN THE ARMY FIELD MANUAL.—*

*(1) ARMY FIELD MANUAL 2–22.3 DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ''Army Field Manual 2–22.3'' means the Army Field Manual 2–22.3 entitled ''Human Intelligence Collector Operations'' in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act or any similar successor Army Field Manual.*

*(2) RESTRICTION.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—An individual described in subparagraph (B) shall not be subjected to any interrogation technique or approach, or any treatment related to interrogation, that is not authorized by and listed in the Army Field Manual 2–22.3.*

*(B) INDIVIDUAL DESCRIBED.—An individual described in this subparagraph is an individual who is—*

*(i) in the custody or under the effective control of an officer, employee, or other agent of the United States Government; or*

*(ii) detained within a facility owned, operated, or controlled by a department or agency of the United States, in any armed conflict.*

*(3) IMPLEMENTATION.—Interrogation techniques, approaches, and treatments described in Army Field Manual 2–22.3 shall be implemented strictly in accord with the principles, processes, conditions, and limitations prescribed by Army Field Manual 2–22.3.*

*(4) AGENCIES OTHER THAN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—If a process required by Army Field Manual 2–22.3, such as a requirement of approval by a specified Department of Defense official, is inapposite to a department or an agency other than the Department of Defense, the head of such department or agency shall ensure that a process that is substantially equivalent to the process prescribed by Army Field Manual 2–22.3 for the Department of Defense is utilized by all officers, employees, or other agents of such department or agency.*

*(5) INTERROGATION BY FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT.—Nothing in this subsection shall preclude an officer, employee, or other agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation or other Federal law enforcement agency from continuing to use authorized, non-coercive techniques of interrogation that are designed to elicit voluntary statements and do not involve the use of force, threats, or promises.*

*(6) UPDATE OF THE ARMY FIELD MANUAL.—*

*(A) REQUIREMENT TO UPDATE.—*

*(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and once every three years thereafter, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Attorney General, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Director of National Intelligence, shall complete a thorough review of Army Field Manual 2–22.3, and revise Army Field Manual 2–22.3, as necessary to ensure that Army Field Manual 2–22.3 complies with the legal obligations of the United States and reflects current, evidence-based, best practices for interrogation that are designed to elicit reliable and voluntary statements and do not involve the use or threat of force.*

*(ii) AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC.—Army Field Manual 2–22.3 shall remain available to the public and any revisions to the Army Field Manual 2–22.3 adopted by the Secretary of Defense shall be made available to the public 30 days prior to the date the revisions take effect.*

*(B) REPORT ON BEST PRACTICES OF INTERROGATIONS.—*

*(i) REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the interagency body established pursuant to Executive Order 13491 (commonly known as the High-Value Detainee Interrogation Group) shall submit to the Secretary of Defense, the Director of National Intelligence, the Attorney General, and other appropriate officials a report on current, evidence-based, best practices for interrogation that are designed to elicit reliable and voluntary statements and do not involve the use of force.*

*(ii) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The report required by clause (i) may include recommendations for revisions to Army Field Manual 2–22.3 based on the body of research commissioned by the High-Value Detainee Interrogation Group.*

*(iii) AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC.—Not later than 30 days after the report required by clause (i) is submitted such report shall be made available to the public.*

*(b) INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS ACCESS TO DETAINEES.—*

*(1) REQUIREMENT.—The head of any department or agency of the United States Government shall provide the International Committee of the Red Cross with notification of, and prompt access to, any individual detained in any armed conflict in the custody or under the effective control of an officer, employee, contractor, subcontractor, or other agent of the United States Government or detained within a facility owned, operated, or effectively controlled by a department, agency, contractor, or subcontractor of the United States Government, consistent with Department of Defense regulations and policies.*

*(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed—*

*(A) to create or otherwise imply the authority to detain; or*

*(B) to limit or otherwise affect any other individual rights or state obligations which may arise under United States law or international agreements to which the United States is a party, including the Geneva Conventions, or to state all of the situations under which notification to and access for the International Committee of the Red Cross is required or allowed.*

***Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations***

**SEC. 1041. ASSISTANCE TO SECURE THE SOUTHERN LAND BORDER OF THE UNITED STATES.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide assistance to United States Customs and Border Protection for purposes of increasing ongoing efforts to secure the southern land border of the United States.*

*(b) CONCURRENCE IN ASSISTANCE.—Assistance under subsection (a) shall be provided with the concurrence of the Secretary of Homeland Security.*

*(c) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.—The assistance provided under subsection (a) may include the following:*

*(1) Deployment of members and units of the regular and reserve components of the Armed Forces to the southern land border of the United States.*

*(2) Deployment of manned aircraft, unmanned aerial surveillance systems, and ground-based surveillance systems to support continuous surveillance of the southern land border of the United States.*

*(3) Intelligence analysis support.*

*(d) MATERIEL AND LOGISTICAL SUPPORT.—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to deploy such materiel and equipment and logistics support as is necessary to ensure the effectiveness of assistance provided under subsection (a).*

*(e) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense by this Act, the Secretary of Defense may use up to $75,000,000 to provide assistance under this section.*

*(f) REPORTS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on any provision of assistance under subsection (a) during the 90-day period ending on the date of such report. Each report shall include, for the period covered by such report, the following:*

*(1) A description of the assistance provided.*

*(2) A description of the sources and amounts of funds used to provide such assistance.*

*(3) A description of the amounts obligated to provide such assistance.*

**SEC. 1042. PROTECTION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS.**

*(a) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AUTHORITY.—Chapter 159 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2671 the following new section:*

***''§ 2672. Protection of buildings, grounds, property, and persons***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall protect the buildings, grounds, and property that are under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Department of Defense and the persons on that property.*

*''(b) OFFICERS AND AGENTS.—(1)(A) The Secretary of Defense may designate military or civilian personnel of the Department of Defense as officers and agents to perform the functions of the Secretary under subsection (a), including, with regard to civilian officers and agents, duty in areas outside the property specified in that subsection to the extent necessary to protect that property and persons on that property.*

*''(B) A designation under subparagraph (A) may be made by individual, by position, by installation, or by such other category of personnel as the Secretary determines appropriate.*

*''(C) In making a designation under subparagraph (A) with respect to any category of personnel, the Secretary shall specify each of the following:*

''(i) The personnel or positions to be included in the category.

''(ii) Which authorities provided for in paragraph (2) may be exercised by personnel in that category.

''(iii) In the case of civilian personnel in that category—

''(I) which authorities provided for in paragraph (2), if any, are authorized to be exercised outside the property specified in subsection (a); and

''(II) with respect to the exercise of any such authorities outside the property specified in subsection (a), the circumstances under which coordination with law enforcement officials outside of the Department of Defense should be sought in advance.

''(D) The Secretary may make a designation under subparagraph (A) only if the Secretary determines, with respect to the category of personnel to be covered by that designation, that—

''(i) the exercise of each specific authority provided for in paragraph (2) to be delegated to that category of personnel is necessary for the performance of the duties of the personnel in that category and such duties cannot be performed as effectively without such authorities; and

''(ii) the necessary and proper training for the authorities to be exercised is available to the personnel in that category.

''(2) Subject to subsection (h) and to the extent specifically authorized by the Secretary, while engaged in the performance of official duties pursuant to this section, an officer or agent designated under this subsection may—

''(A) enforce Federal laws and regulations for the protection of persons and property;

''(B) carry firearms;

''(C) make arrests—

''(i) without a warrant for any offense against the United States committed in the presence of the officer or agent; or

''(ii) for any felony cognizable under the laws of the United States if the officer or agent has reasonable grounds to believe that the person to be arrested has committed or is committing a felony;

''(D) serve warrants and subpoenas issued under the authority of the United States; and

''(E) conduct investigations, on and off the property in question, of offenses that may have been committed against property under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Department of Defense or persons on such property.

''(c) REGULATIONS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may prescribe regulations, including traffic regulations, necessary for the protection and administration of property under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Department of Defense and persons on that property. The regulations may include reasonable penalties, within the limits prescribed in paragraph (2), for violations of the regulations. The regulations shall be posted and remain posted in a conspicuous place on the property to which they apply.

''(2) A person violating a regulation prescribed under this subsection shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 30 days, or both.

''(d) LIMITATION ON DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Defense under subsections (b) and (c) may be exercised only by the Secretary or the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

''(e) DISPOSITION OF PERSONS ARRESTED.—A person who is arrested pursuant to authority exercised under subsection (b) may not be held in a military confinement facility, other than in the case of a person who is subject to chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

''(f) FACILITIES AND SERVICES OF OTHER AGENCIES.—In implementing this section, when the Secretary of Defense determines it to be economical and in the public interest, the Secretary may utilize the facilities and services of Federal, State, Indian tribal, and local law enforcement agencies, with the consent of those agencies, and may reimburse those agencies for the use of their facilities and services. Such services of State, Indian tribal, and local law enforcement, including application of their powers of law enforcement, may be provided notwithstanding that the property is subject to the legislative jurisdiction of the United States.

''(g) AUTHORITY OUTSIDE FEDERAL PROPERTY.—For the protection of property under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Department of Defense and persons on that property, the Secretary of Defense may enter into agreements with Federal agencies and with State, Indian tribal, and local governments to obtain authority for civilian officers and agents designated under this section to enforce Federal laws and State, Indian tribal, and local laws concurrently with other Federal law enforcement officers and with State, Indian tribal, and local law enforcement officers.

''(h) ATTORNEY GENERAL APPROVAL.—The powers granted pursuant to subsection (b)(2) to officers and agents designated under subsection (b)(1) shall be exercised in accordance with guidelines approved by the Attorney General. Such guidelines may include specification of the geographical extent of property outside of the property specified in subsection (a) within which those powers may be exercised.

''(i) LIMITATION WITH REGARD TO OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as affecting the authority of the Secretary of Homeland Security to provide for the protection of facilities (including the buildings, grounds, and properties of the General Services Administration) that are under the jurisdiction, custody, or control, in whole or in part, of a Federal agency other than the Department of Defense and that are located off of a military installation.

''(j) COOPERATION WITH LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.—Before authorizing civilian officers and agents to perform duty in areas outside the property specified in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consult with, and is encouraged to enter into agreements with, local law enforcement agencies exercising jurisdiction over such areas for the purposes of avoiding conflicts of jurisdiction, promoting notification of planned law enforcement actions, and otherwise facilitating productive working relationships.

''(k) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed—

''(1) to preclude or limit the authority of any Federal law enforcement agency;

''(2) to restrict the authority of the Secretary of Homeland Security under the Homeland Security Act of 2002 or of the Administrator of General Services, including the authority to promulgate regulations affecting property under the custody and control of that Secretary or the Administrator, respectively;

''(3) to expand or limit section 21 of the Internal Security Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 797);

''(4) to affect chapter 47 of this title;

''(5) to restrict any other authority of the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department; or

''(6) to restrict the authority of the Director of the National Security Agency under section 11 of the National Security Agency Act of 1959 (50 U.S.C. 3609).''.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 159 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2671 the following new item:

''2672. Protection of buildings, grounds, property, and persons.''.

**SEC. 1043. STRATEGY TO PROTECT UNITED STATES NATIONAL SECURITY INTERESTS IN THE ARCTIC REGION.**

(a) REPORT ON STRATEGY REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that sets forth an updated military strategy for the protection of United States national security interests in the Arctic region.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of United States military interests in the Arctic region.

(2) A description of operational plans and associated military requirements for the protection of United States national security interests in the Arctic region, including United States citizens, territory, freedom of navigation, and economic and trade interests.

(3) An identification of any operational seams and a plan to enhance unity of effort among the combatant commands with responsibility for the Arctic region, as well as among the Armed Forces.

(4) A description of the security environment in the Arctic region, including the activities of foreign nations operating within the Arctic region.

(5) A description of United States military capabilities required to implement the strategy required by subsection (a).

(6) An identification of any capability gaps and resource gaps, including in installations, infrastructure, communications and domain awareness, and personnel in the Arctic region, that would impact the implementation of the strategy required by subsection (a) or the execution of any associated operational plan, and a mitigation plan to address such gaps.

(7) A plan to enhance military-to-military cooperation with partner nations that have mutual security interests in the Arctic region, including by exploring opportunities for sharing installations and maintenance facilities.

(c) FORM.—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 1044. EXTENSION OF LIMITATIONS ON THE TRANSFER TO THE REGULAR ARMY OF AH–64 APACHE HELICOPTERS ASSIGNED TO THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 1712 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended by striking ''March 31, 2016'' each place it appears and inserting ''September 30, 2016''.

(b) READINESS OF AIRCRAFT AND PERSONNEL.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''fiscal years 2015 and 2016''.

**SEC. 1045. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PREVIOUSLY TRANSFERRED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HELICOPTERS AS COUNTING AGAINST NUMBER TRANSFERRABLE UNDER EXCEPTION TO LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OF ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HELICOPTERS.**

(a) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the number of AH–64D Apache helicopters that have been transferred from the Army National Guard to the original equipment manufacturer for the purpose of remanufacture to the AH–64E Apache helicopter variant.

(b) TREATMENT AS COUNTING AGAINST NUMBER TRANSFERRABLE.—The Secretary of the Army shall treat the number of helicopters specified in the report under subsection (a) as counting against the total number of AH–64 Apache helicopters that may be transferred from the Army National Guard to the regular Army pursuant to subsection (e) of section 1712 of the Carl Levin and Howard B. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3668).

(c) CONSTRUCTION WITH REQUIRED CERTIFICATION.—Nothing in this subsection may be construed to alter or terminate the requirement for a certification by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to subsection (f) of section 1712 of the Carl

*Levin and Howard B. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 as a precondition for any action under subsection (e) of such section.*

**SEC. 1046. MANAGEMENT OF MILITARY TECHNICIANS.**

*(a) CONVERSION OF CERTAIN MILITARY TECHNICIAN (DUAL STATUS) POSITIONS TO CIVILIAN POSITIONS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall convert not fewer than 20 percent of the positions described in paragraph (2) as of January 1, 2017, from military technician (dual status) positions to positions filled by individuals who are employed under section 3101 of title 5, United States Code, and are not military technicians.*

*(2) COVERED POSITIONS.—The positions described in this paragraph are military technician (dual status) positions as follows:*

*(A) Military technician (dual status) positions identified as general administration, clerical, and office service occupations in the report of the Secretary of Defense under section 519 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1397).*

*(B) Such other military technician (dual status) positions as the Secretary shall specify for purposes of this subsection.*

*(b) PHASED-IN TERMINATION OF ARMY RESERVE, AIR FORCE RESERVE, AND NATIONAL GUARD NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 10217 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(d) PHASED-IN TERMINATION OF POSITIONS.—(1) No individual may be newly hired or employed, or rehired or reemployed, as a non-dual status technician for the purposes of this section after December 31, 2016.*

*''(2) Commencing January 1, 2017, the maximum number of non-dual status technicians employable by the Army Reserve and by the Air Force Reserve shall be reduced from the number otherwise provided by subsection (c)(1) by one for each individual who retires, is separated from, or otherwise ceases service as a non-dual status technician of the Army Reserve or the Air Force Reserve, as the case may be, after such date until the maximum number of non-dual status technicians employable by the Army Reserve or the Air Force Reserve, as the case may be, is zero.*

*''(3) Commencing January 1, 2017, the maximum number of non-dual status technicians employable by the National Guard shall be reduced from the number otherwise provided by subsection (c)(2) by one for each individual who retires, is separated from, or otherwise ceases service as a non-dual status technician of the National Guard after such date until the maximum number of non-dual status technicians employable by the National Guard is zero.*

*''(4) Any individual newly hired or employed, or rehired or employed, to a position required to be filled by reason of the amendment made by paragraph (1) shall be an individual employed in such position under section 3101 of title 5, and may not be a military technician.*

*''(5) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to terminate the status as a non-dual status technician under this section after December 31, 2016, of any individual who is a non-dual status technician for the purposes of this section on that date.''.*

*(2) REPORT ON PHASED-IN TERMINATIONS.—Not later than February 1, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report setting forth a plan for implementing the amendment made by paragraph (1).*

**SEC. 1047. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CONSIDERATION OF THE FULL RANGE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANPOWER WORLDWIDE IN DECISIONS ON THE PROPER MIX OF MILITARY, CIVILIAN, AND CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL TO ACCOMPLISH THE NATIONAL DEFENSE STRATEGY.**

*It is the sense of Congress that, as the Department of Defense makes decisions on military end strength requests, proper sizing of the civilian workforce, and the proper mix of these sources of manpower with contractor personnel to accomplish the National Defense Strategy, the Secretary of Defense should consider the full range of manpower available to the Secretary in all locations worldwide in order to arrive at the proper mix and size of manpower to accomplish that Strategy without arbitrarily protecting or exempting any particular group or location of manpower.*

**SEC. 1048. SENSE OF SENATE ON THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS.**

*(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:*

*(1) As senior United States statesmen Dr. Henry Kissinger wrote in testimony submitted to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate on January 29, 2015, ''[t]he United States has not faced a more diverse and complex array of crises since the end of the Second World War.''.*

*(2) The rise of committed, non-state forces and near peer competitors has introduced destabilizing pressures around the globe.*

*(3) Advances in information and weapons technology have reduced the time available for the United States to prepare for a respond to crises against either known or unknown threats.*

*(4) The importance of the maritime domain cannot be overstated. As acknowledged in the March 2015 Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard maritime strategy entitled ''A Cooperative Strategy for 21st Century Seapower: Forward, Engaged, Ready'', ''[o]ceans are the lifeblood of the interconnected global community. . .90 percent of trade by volume across the oceans. Approximately 70 percent of the world's population lives within 100 miles of the coastline''.*

*(5) In this global security environment, it is critical that the United States possess a maritime forces whose mission and ethos is readiness, a fight tonight force, forward deployed, that can respond immediately to emergent crises across the full range of military operations around the globe either from the sea or home station.*

*(6) The need for such forces was recognized by the 82nd Congress during the Korean War, when it mandated a core mission for the Nation's leanest force, the Marine Corps, to be most ready when the nation is least ready.*

*(7) In recognition of this continued need and the wisdom of the 82nd Congress, the Senate reaffirms section 5063 of title 10, United States Code, uniquely charging the United States Marine Corps with this responsibility.*

*(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the Marine Corps, within the Department of the Navy, should remain the Nation's expeditionary, crisis response force; and*

*(2) as provided in section 5063 of title 10, United States Code, the Marine Corps should—*

*(A) be organized to include no less than three combat divisions and three air wings, and such other land combat, aviation, and other services as may be organic to it;*

*(B) be organized, trained, and equipped to provide fleet marine forces of combined arms, together with supporting air components, for service with the fleet in the seizure or defense of advanced naval bases and for the conduct of such land operations as may be essential to the prosecution of a naval campaign; and*

*(C) provide detachments and organizations for service on armed vessels of the Navy, provide security detachments for the protection of naval property at naval stations and bases, and perform such other duties as the President may direct;*

*(D) develop, in coordination with the Army and the Air Force, those phases of amphibious operations that pertain to the tactics, techniques, and equipment used by landing forces; and*

*(E) be responsible, in accordance with the integrated joint mobilization plans, for the expansion of peacetime components of the Marine Corps to meet the needs of war.*

***Subtitle F—Studies and Reports***

**SEC. 1061. REPEAL OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

*(a) REPORTS UNDER TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—*

*(1) ANNUAL REPORT ON GIFTS MADE FOR THE BENEFIT OF MILITARY MUSICAL UNITS.—Section 974(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (3).*

*(2) BIENNIAL REPORT ON SPACE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY STRATEGY.—Section 2272(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (5).*

*(3) ANNUAL REPORT ON PRIZES FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ACHIEVEMENTS.—Section 2374a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsection (e); and*

*(B) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (e).*

*(b) REPORTS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 113–66.—*

*(1) REPORTS ON USE OF TEMPORARY AUTHORITIES FOR CERTAIN POSITIONS AT DOD RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING FACILITIES.—Section 1107 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsection (g); and*

*(B) by redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (g).*

*(2) ANNUAL REPORT ON ADVANCING SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.—Section 1611 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (127 Stat. 946) is amended by striking subsection (d).*

*(c) REPORTS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 112–239.—*

*(1) ANNUAL REPORTS ON QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMS FOR MEDICAL EVALUATION BOARDS AND PHYSICIAN EVALUATION BOARDS AND RELATED PERSONNEL.—Section 524 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1723; 10 U.S.C. 1222 note) is amended by striking subsection (c).*

*(2) ANNUAL IMPACT STATEMENT ON NUMBER OF MEMBERS IN INTEGRATED DISABILITY EVALUATION SYSTEM ON READINESS REQUIREMENTS.—Section 528 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (126 Stat. 1725) is repealed.*

*(3) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON NOTICE ON UNFUNDED PRIORITIES.—Section 1003 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (126 Stat. 1903) is repealed.*

*(d) ANNUAL UPDATES ON IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR WHOLE-OF-GOVERNMENT VISION PRESCRIBED IN THE NATIONAL SECURITY STRATEGY.—Section 1072 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1592; 50 U.S.C. 3043 note) is amended—*

*(1) by striking subsection (b); and*

*(2) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).*

*(e) REPORTS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 111–383.—*

*(1) REPORTS ON DEFENSE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM.—Section 1073 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4366; 10 U.S.C. 2359 note) is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsection (f); and*

*(B) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (f).*

*(2) REPORT ON TASK FORCE FOR BUSINESS AND STABILITY OPERATIONS IN AFGHANISTAN.—Section 1535(a) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (124 Stat. 4426) is amended by striking paragraph (6).*

*(f) ANNUAL REPORT ON THE ELECTRONIC WARFARE STRATEGY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—Section 1053 of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2458) is repealed.*

*(g) REPORTS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 110–417.—*

*(1) MITIGATION OF POWER OUTAGE RISKS FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES AND ACTIVITIES.—Section 335 of the Duncan Hunter Nation Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009*

*(Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4422; 10 U.S.C. 2911 note) is amended by striking subsection (c).*

*(2) UPDATES OF INCREASES IN NUMBER OF UNITS OF JROTC.—Section 548 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (122 Stat. 4466) is amended by striking subsection (e).*

*(3) ANNUAL REPORTS ON CENTER OF EXCELLENCE ON TRAUMATIC EXTREMITY INJURIES AND AMPUTATIONS.—Section 723 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (122 Stat. 4508) is amended by striking (d).*

*(4) SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT ON STATUS OF NAVY NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORKS PROGRAM.—Section 1034 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (122 Stat. 4593) is hereby repealed.*

*(h) REPORTS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 110–181.—*

*(1) BIENNIAL UPDATE OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Section 904(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 275) is amended by striking paragraph (3).*

*(2) REPORTS ON ACCESS OF RECOVERING SERVICEMEMBERS TO ADEQUATE OUTPATIENT RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES.—Section 1662 of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 479; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note) is amended—*

*(A) by striking ''(a) REQUIRED INSPECTIONS OF FACILITIES.—''; and*

*(B) by striking subsection (b).*

*(i) REPORTS UNDER PUBLIC LAW 109–364.—*

*(1) ROADMAPS AND REPORTS ON HYPERSONICS DEVELOPMENT.—Section 218 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended—*

*(A) in subsection (d), by striking paragraph (4); and*

*(B) by striking subsection (f).*

*(2) UPDATES OF ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES EXPERIENCING GROWTH IN ENROLLMENT DUE TO FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGE AND OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES.—Section 574 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (20 U.S.C. 7703b note) is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsection (c); and*

*(B) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively.*

*(3) ANNUAL REPORT ON OVERHAUL, REPAIR, AND MAINTENANCE OF VESSELS UNDER ACQUISITION POLICY ON OBTAINING CARRIAGE BY VESSEL.—Section 1017 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (120 Stat. 2379) is amended—*

*(A) by striking subsection (e); and*

*(B) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (e).*

*(j) REPORTS ON ANNUAL REVIEW OF ROLES AND MISSIONS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.—Section 513(h) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 1882; 10 U.S.C. 10101 note) is amended—*

*(1) by striking paragraph (2); and*

*(2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).*

*(k) ANNUAL SUBMITTAL OF INFORMATION REGARDING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL ASSETS.—Section 351 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) is hereby repealed.*

*(l) REPORTS ON EXPERIMENTAL PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL.—Section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note) is amended by striking subsection (g).*

***SEC. 1062. TERMINATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS OF REPORTS REQUIRED OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BY STATUTE.***

*(a) TERMINATION.—Effective on the date that is two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, each report described in subsection (b) that is still required to be submitted to Congress as of such effective date shall no longer be required to be submitted to Congress.*

*(b) COVERED REPORTS.—A report described in this subsection is a report that is required to be submitted to Congress by the Department of Defense, or by any officer, official, component, or element of the Department, by a provision of statute (including title 10, United States Code, and any annual national defense authorization Act) as of April 1, 2015.*

***SEC. 1063. ANNUAL SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS OF MUNITIONS ASSESSMENTS.***

*Not later than March 1, 2016, and each year thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees each of the following:*

*(1) The most current Munitions Assessments, as defined by Department of Defense Instruction Number 3000.04, relating to the Department of Defense munitions process.*

*(2) The most current Sufficiency Assessments, as defined by that Department of Defense Instruction.*

*(3) The most current approved memorandum of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council resulting from the Munitions Requirements Process (MRP).*

***SEC. 1064. POTENTIAL ROLE FOR UNITED STATES GROUND FORCES IN THE PACIFIC THEATER.***

*(a) GENERAL ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly conduct a comprehensive operational assessment of a potential future role for United States ground forces in the island chains of the western Pacific in creating anti-access and area denial capabilities in cooperation with host nations in order to deter and defeat aggression in the western Pacific region.*

*(2) CAPABILITIES TO BE EXAMINED.—In conducting the assessment, the Secretary and the Chairman shall assess the feasibility and potential effectiveness of the deployment by United States ground forces, jointly with host nations, of the following:*

*(A) Anti-ship mines and mobile missiles as a means of neutralizing adversary naval forces, including amphibious forces, and inhibiting their movement, and protecting the shores of host nations and friendly naval forces and supply operations.*

*(B) Mobile air defense surveillance and missile systems to protect host-nation territory and ground, naval, and air forces, and to deny access to defended airspace by adversaries.*

*(C) Electronic warfare capabilities to support air and naval operations.*

*(D) Hardened ground-based communications capabilities for host-nation defense and for augmentation and extension of naval, air, and satellite communications.*

*(E) Maneuver forces to assist in host-nation defense, deny access to adversaries, and provide security for air and naval deployments.*

*(b) GEOPOLITICAL IMPACT OF ENHANCED GROUND FORCE ROLE.—The Secretary and the Chairman shall also jointly assess the potential geopolitical impact on the United States posture in the Pacific theater of a strategy of long-term engagement by United States ground forces with the island nations of the western Pacific to enhance United States strategic relationships with potential partners in the region.*

*(c) TYPES OF ANALYSES TO BE CONDUCTED.—The Secretary and the Chairman shall conduct the assessment required by subsection (a) using operations research methods and war gaming, in addition to historical analysis of the use of ground forces by the United States and Japan in the Pacific theater during World War II.*

*(d) RESOURCES.—In conducting the assessment required by subsection (a), the Secretary and the Chairman shall use the following, as appropriate:*

*(1) The United States Pacific Command.*

*(2) The Joint Requirements and Analysis Division and the war gaming resources of the Warfighting Analysis Division of the Force Structure, Resources, and Assessment Directorate of the Joint Staff, augmented as necessary and appropriate from the war colleges of the military departments.*

*(3) The Office of Net Assessment.*

*(4) Appropriate Federally funded research and development centers (FFRDCs).*

*(e) COMPLETION DATE.—The assessments required by this section shall be completed not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act*

*(f) BRIEFING OF CONGRESS.—Upon the completion of the assessments required by this section, the Secretary and the Chairman shall provide a briefing on the assessments to—*

*(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.*

***SEC. 1065. REPORT ON PLANS FOR THE USE OF DOMESTIC AIRFIELDS FOR HOMELAND DEFENSE AND DISASTER RESPONSE.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of Transportation, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth an assessment of the plans for airfields in the United States that are required to support homeland defense and local disaster response missions.*

*(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—The report shall include the following items:*

*(1) The criteria used to determine the capabilities and locations of airfields in the United States needed to support safe operations of military aircraft in the execution of homeland defense and local disaster response missions.*

*(2) A description of the processes and procedures in place to ensure that contingency plans for the use of airfields in the United States that support both military and civilian air operations are coordinated among the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies with jurisdiction over those airfields.*

*(3) An assessment of the impact, if any, to logistics and resource planning as a result of the reduction of certain capabilities of airfields in the United States that support both military and civilian air operations.*

*(4) A review of the existing agreements and authorities between the Commander of the United States Northern Command and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration that allow for consultation on decisions that impact the capabilities of airfields in the United States that support both military and civilian air operations.*

*(c) FORM.—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

*(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs, and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate; and*

*(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security, and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.*

*(2) CAPABILITIES OF AIRFIELDS.—The term ''capabilities of airfields'' means the length and width of runways, taxiways, and aprons, the operation of navigation aids and lighting, the operation of fuel storage, distribution, and refueling systems, and the availability of air traffic control services.*

*(3) AIRFIELDS IN THE UNITED STATES THAT SUPPORT BOTH MILITARY AND CIVILIAN AIR OPERATIONS.—The term ''airfields in the United*

*States that support both military and civilian air operations'' means the following:*

*(A) Airports that are designated as joint use facilities pursuant to section 47175 of title 49, United States Code, in which both the military and civil aviation have shared use of the airfield.*

*(B) Airports used by the military that have a permanent military aviation presence at the airport pursuant to a memorandum of agreement or tenant lease with the airport owner that is in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.*

***SEC. 1066. ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU ON THE ABILITY OF THE NATIONAL GUARD TO MEET ITS MISSIONS.***

*Section 10504(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) by inserting ''(1)'' before ''The Chief of the National Guard Bureau'';*

*(2) in paragraph (1), as so designated, by striking '', through the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force,'';*

*(3) by striking the second sentence; and*

*(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:*

*''(2) Each report shall include the following:*

*''(A) An assessment, prepared in conjunction with the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force, of the ability of the National Guard to carry out its Federal missions.*

*''(B) An assessment, prepared in conjunction with the chief executive officers of the States and territories, of the ability of the National Guard to carry out emergency support functions of the National Response Framework.*

*''(3) Each report may be submitted in classified and unclassified versions.''.*

***Subtitle G—Other Matters***

***SEC. 1081. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.***

*(a) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:*

*(1) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part I of such subtitle, are each amended by striking the item relating to chapter 19 and inserting the following new item:*

***''19. Cyber Matters ..... 391''.***

*(2) The heading of section 130e is amended to read as follows:*

***''§ 130e. Treatment under Freedom of Information Act of certain critical infrastructure security information''.***

*(3) The heading of section 153(a)(5) is amended to read as follows: ''JOINT FORCE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES.—''.*

*(4) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 19 is amended by striking the item relating to section 391 and inserting the following new item:*

*''391. Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors and certain other contractors.''.*

*(5) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 21 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 429 the following new item:*

*''430. Tactical exploitation of national capabilities executive agent.''.*

*(6) Section 2006a is amended—*

*(A) in subsection (a), by striking ''August, 1'' and inserting ''August 1''; and*

*(B) by striking ''the such program or authorities'' and inserting ''the program''.*

*(7) Sections 2222(j)(5), 2223(c)(3), and 2315 are each amended by striking ''section 3552(b)(5)'' and inserting ''section 3552(b)(6)''.*

*(8) Section 2229(d)(1) is amended by striking ''certification'' and inserting ''a certification''.*

*(9) Section 2679, as transferred, redesignated, and amended by section 351 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3346), is amended in subsection (a)(1) by striking ''with'' before '', on a sole source''.*

*(10) Section 2684(d)(1) is amended by striking ''section 101(a) of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470a(a))'' and inserting ''section 302101 of title 54''.*

*(11) Section 2687a(d)(2) is amended by inserting ''fair market'' before ''value''.*

*(12) Section 2926, as added and amended by section 901(g) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (128 Stat. 3464), is amended in subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) by striking ''for Installations, Energy,'' each place it appears and inserting ''for Energy, Installations,''.*

*(13) Section 9314a(b) is amended by striking ''only so long at'' and inserting ''only so long as''.*

*(b) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015.—Effective as of December 19, 2014, and as if included therein as enacted, the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended as follows:*

*(1) Section 351(b)(1) (128 Stat. 3346) is amended by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting ''; and''.*

*(2) Section 901(g)(1)(F) (128 Stat. 3465) is amended by inserting ''paragraph (4) of'' before ''subsection (b) of section 2926''.*

*(3) Section 1072(a)(2) (128 Stat. 3516) is amended by inserting ''in the table of sections'' before ''at the beginning of''.*

*(4) Section 1079(a)(1) (128 Stat. 3561) is amended by striking ''section 12102 of title 42, United States Code'' and inserting ''section 3 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12102)''.*

*(5) Section 1104(b)(2) (128 Stat. 3526) is amended by striking ''paragraph (2)'' and inserting ''paragraph (1)(A)''.*

*(6) Section 1208 (128 Stat. 3551) is amended by striking ''of Fiscal Year'' each place it appears and inserting ''for Fiscal Year''.*

*(7) Section 2803(a) (128 Stat. 3696) is amended in paragraph (2) of the subsection (f) being added by the amendment to be made by that section by inserting ''section'' before ''1105 of title 31''.*

*(8) Section 2832(c)(3) (128 Stat. 3704) is amended by striking ''United State Code'' and inserting ''United States Code''.*

*(9) Section 3006(i) (128 Stat. 3744) is amended—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''Section 8'' and inserting ''Section 18''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (2), by striking ''S1/2 N1/2 SE'' and inserting ''S1/2 N1/2 SE1/4''.*

*(10) Section 3023 (128 Stat. 3762) is amended—*

*(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as paragraphs (2), (3), and (4), respectively;*

*(B) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated, in the matter being added by subparagraph (C)—*

*(i) by inserting ''has been waived,'' after ''expired,''; and*

*(ii) by striking ''the permit or lease required'' and inserting ''the allotment management plan, permit, or lease required'';*

*(C) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated, in the matter being added as subsection (h)(1)—*

*(i) by striking ''a grazing permit or lease'' in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) of such subsection and inserting ''an allotment management plan or grazing permit or lease'';*

*(ii) in subparagraph (A) of such subsection, by striking ''permit or lease'' and inserting ''allotment management plan, permit, or lease''; and*

*(iii) in subparagraph (B)(i) of such subsection, by striking ''lease or permit'' and inserting ''allotment management plan, permit, or lease''; and*

*(D) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following new paragraph:*

*''(1) in subsection (a), by striking 'by the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to lands within National Forests in the sixteen contiguous Western States' and inserting 'on National Forest System land by the Secretary of Agriculture (notwithstanding, for purposes of this section, the definition in section 103(p))';''.*

*(11) Section 3024 (16 U.S.C. 6214; 128 Stat. 3764) is amended—*

*(A) in subsection (e), by inserting before the period at the end the following: ''report using National Median Price values''; and*

*(B) in subsection (f)(3)—*

*(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''by regulation establish criteria pursuant to which the annual fee determined in accordance with this section may be suspended or reduced temporarily'' and inserting ''provide for suspension or reduction temporarily of the annual fee determined in accordance with this section''; and*

*(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking ''by regulation''.*

*(c) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014.—Section 1709(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 962; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended—*

*(1) by striking ''RETALIATION AND PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED.—'' and all that follows through ''For purposes of the'' and inserting ''RETALIATION DESCRIBED.—For purposes of the'';*

*(2) by striking ''at a minimum—'' and that follows through ''ostracism'' and inserting ''at a minimum ostracism''; and*

*(3) by striking paragraph (2).*

*(d) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009.—Section 943(d)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4578) by striking the second period at the end of the first sentence.*

*(e) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005.—Section 1208(f)(2) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2086), as amended by section 1202(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 363) and section 1202(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat 2512), is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating the paragraphs (1) through (8) added by section 1202(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat 2512) as subparagraphs (A) through (H), respectively; and*

*(2) by moving the margins of such subparagraphs, as so redesignated, two ems to the right.*

*(f) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amendments made by provisions of this Act other than this section, the amendments made by this section shall be treated as having been enacted immediately before any such amendments by other provisions of this Act.*

***SEC. 1082. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TRAINING AND SUPPORT TO PERSONNEL OF FOREIGN MINISTRIES OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 168 note), as amended by section 1047 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsections (b) through (e) as subsections (c) through (f), respectively; and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):*

*''(b) TRAINING OF PERSONNEL OF FOREIGN MINISTRIES WITH SECURITY MISSIONS.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, carry out a program to provide training*

*and associated training support services to personnel of foreign ministries of defense (or ministries with security force oversight) or regional organizations with security missions—*

*''(A) for the purpose of—*

*''(i) enhancing civilian oversight of foreign security forces;*

*''(ii) establishing responsible defense governance and internal controls in order to help build effective, transparent, and accountable defense institutions;*

*''(iii) assessing organizational weaknesses and establishing a roadmap for addressing shortfalls; and*

*''(iv) enhancing ministerial, general or joint staff, or service level core management competencies; and*

*''(B) for such other purposes as the Secretary considers appropriate, consistent with the authority in subsection (a).*

*''(2) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—Each fiscal year quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on activities under the program under paragraph (1) during the preceding fiscal year quarter. Each report shall include, for the fiscal year quarter covered by such report, the following:*

*''(A) A list of activities under the program.*

*''(B) A list of any organization described in paragraph (1) to which the Secretary assigned employees under the program, including the number of such employees so assigned, the duration of each assignment, a brief description of each assigned employee's activities, and a statement of the cost of each assignment.*

*''(C) A comprehensive justification of any activities conducted pursuant to paragraph (1)(B).''.*

*(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by inserting ''MINISTRY OF DEFENSE ADVISOR'' before ''AUTHORITY'';*

*(2) in subsections (d) and (e), as redesignated by subsection (a)(1) of this section, by striking ''the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives'' and inserting ''the appropriate committees of Congress''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(g) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'appropriate committees of Congress' means—*

*''(1) the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate; and*

*''(2) the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.''.*

*(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO SECTION HEADING TO REFLECT NAME OF PROGRAM.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:*

***''SEC. 1081. DEFENSE INSTITUTION CAPACITY BUILDING PROGRAM.''.***

***SEC. 1083. EXPANSION OF OUTREACH FOR VETERANS TRANSITIONING FROM SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY.***

*(a) EXPANSION OF PILOT PROGRAM.—Subsection (c)(2) of section 5 of the Clay Hunt Suicide Prevention for American Veterans Act (Public Law 114–2; 38 U.S.C. 1712A note) is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking ''; and'' and inserting a semicolon;*

*(2) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; and''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(E) conducts outreach to individuals transitioning from serving on active duty in the Armed Forces who are participating in the Transition Assistance Program of the Department of Defense or other similar transition programs to inform such individuals of the community oriented veteran peer support network under paragraph (1) and other support programs and opportunities that are available to such individuals.''.*

*(b) INCLUSION OF INFORMATION IN INTERIM REPORT.—Subsection (d)(1) of such section is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking ''; and'' and inserting a semicolon;*

*(2) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; and''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(E) the number of veterans who—*

*''(i) received outreach from the Department of Veterans Affairs while serving on active duty as a member of the Armed Forces; and*

*''(ii) participated in a peer support program under the pilot program for veterans transitioning from serving on active duty.''.*

***SEC. 1084. MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MAJOR MEDICAL FACILITY LEASE FOR A DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS OUTPATIENT CLINIC IN TULSA, OKLAHOMA.***

*Section 601(b) of the Veterans Access, Choice, and Accountability Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–146; 128 Stat. 1793) is amended—*

*(1) by striking out ''IN TULSA.—'' and all that follows through ''In carrying out'' and inserting ''IN TULSA.—In carrying out'';*

*(2) by striking paragraph (2);*

*(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (E) as paragraphs (1) through (5), respectively, and adjusting the indentation of the margin of such paragraphs, as so redesignated, two ems to the left;*

*(4) in paragraph (1), as so redesignated, by striking ''140,000 gross square feet'' and inserting ''140,000 net usable square feet'';*

*(5) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated, by striking ''not more than the average'' and all that follows and inserting ''not more than the average of equivalent medical facility leases executed by the Department of Veterans Affairs over the last five years, plus 20 percent;''; and*

*(6) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by striking ''30-year life cycle'' and inserting ''20-year life cycle''.*

***SEC. 1085. COMPTROLLER GENERAL BRIEFING AND REPORT ON MAJOR MEDICAL FACILITY PROJECTS OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.***

*(a) BRIEFING.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall provide to the appropriate committees of Congress a briefing on the administration and oversight by the Department of Veterans Affairs of contracts for the design and construction of major medical facility projects, as defined in section 8104(a)(3)(A) of title 38, United States Code.*

*(b) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the administration and oversight described in subsection (a).*

*(c) ELEMENTS.—The briefing required by subsection (a) and the report required by subsection (b) shall each include an examination of the following:*

*(1) The processes used by the Department for overseeing and assuring the performance of construction design and construction contracts for major medical facility projects, as so defined.*

*(2) Any actions taken by the Department to improve the administration of such contracts.*

*(3) Such opportunities for further improvement of the administration of such contracts as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.*

*(d) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(1) the Committee on Veterans' Affairs and the Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Veterans' Affairs and the Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.*

***SEC. 1086. SENSE OF SENATE.***

*It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the accidental transfer of live Bacillus anthracis, also known as anthrax, from an Army laboratory to more than 28 laboratories located in at least 12 states and three countries discovered in May 2015 represents a serious safety lapse;*

*(2) the Department of Defense, in cooperation with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, should continue to investigate the cause of this lapse and determine if protective protocols should be strengthened;*

*(3) the Department of Defense should reassess standards on a regular basis to ensure they are current and effective to prevent a reoccurrence; and*

*(4) the Department of Defense should keep Congress apprised of the investigation, any potential public health or safety risk, remedial actions taken and plans to regularly reassess standards.*

***SEC. 1087. MELVILLE HALL OF THE UNITED STATES MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY.***

*(a) GIFT TO THE MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY.—The Maritime Administrator may accept a gift of money from the Foundation under section 51315 of title 46, United States Code, for the purpose of renovating Melville Hall on the campus of the United States Merchant Marine Academy.*

*(b) COVERED GIFTS.—A gift described in this subsection is a gift under subsection (a) that the Maritime Administrator determines exceeds the sum of—*

*(1) the minimum amount that is sufficient to ensure the renovation of Melville Hall in accordance with the capital improvement plan of the United States Merchant Marine Academy that was in effect on the date of enactment of this Act; and*

*(2) 25 percent of the amount described in paragraph (1).*

*(c) OPERATION CONTRACTS.—Subject to subsection (d), in the case that the Maritime Administrator accepts a gift of money described in subsection (b), the Maritime Administrator may enter into a contract with the Foundation for the operation of Melville Hall to make available facilities for, among other possible uses, official academy functions, third-party catering functions, and industry events and conferences.*

*(d) CONTRACT TERMS.—The contract described in subsection (c) shall be for such period and on such terms as the Maritime Administrator considers appropriate, including a provision, mutually agreeable to the Maritime Administrator and the Foundation, that—*

*(1) requires the Foundation—*

*(A) at the expense solely of the Foundation through the term of the contract to maintain Melville Hall in a condition that is as good as or better than the condition Melville Hall was in on the later of—*

*(i) the date that the renovation of Melville Hall was completed; or*

*(ii) the date that the Foundation accepted Melville Hall after it was tendered to the Foundation by the Maritime Administrator; and*

*(B) to deposit all proceeds from the operation of Melville Hall, after expenses necessary for the operation and maintenance of Melville Hall, into the account of the Regimental Affairs Non-Appropriated Fund Instrumentality or successor entity, to be used solely for the morale and welfare of the cadets of the United States Merchant Marine Academy; and*

*(2) prohibits the use of Melville Hall as lodging or an office by any person for more than 4 days in any calendar year other than—*

*(A) by the United States; or*

*(B) for the administration and operation of Melville Hall.*

*(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) CONTRACT.—The term ''contract'' includes any modification, extension, or renewal of the contract.*

*(2) FOUNDATION.—In this section, the term ''Foundation'' means the United States Merchant Marine Academy Alumni Association and Foundation, Inc.*

*(f) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section may be construed under section 3105 of title 41, United States Code, as requiring the Maritime Administrator to award a contract for the operation of Melville Hall to the Foundation.*

***SEC. 1088. CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION FOR INVESTIGATIONS RELATING TO WHISTLEBLOWER RETALIATION.***

*(a) DEFINITION.—In this section—*

*(1) the term ''covered employee'' means a whistleblower who is an employee of the Department of Defense or a military department, or an employee of a contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or subgrantee thereof;*

*(2) the term ''covered investigation'' means an investigation carried out by an Inspector General of a military department or the Inspector General of the Department of Defense relating to—*

*(A) a retaliatory personnel action taken against a member of the Armed Forces under section 1034 of title 10, United States Code; or*

*(B) any retaliatory action taken against a covered employee; and*

*(3) the term ''military department'' means each of the departments described in section 104 of title 5, United States Code.*

*(b) CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Each investigator involved in a covered investigation shall submit to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense or the Inspector General of the military department, as applicable, a certification that there was no conflict of interest between the investigator, any witness involved in the covered investigation, and the covered employee or member of the Armed Forces, as applicable, during the conduct of the covered investigation.*

*(2) STANDARDIZED FORM.—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall develop a standardized form to be used by each investigator to submit the certification required under paragraph (1).*

*(3) INVESTIGATIVE FILE.—Each certification submitted under paragraph (1) shall be included in the file of the applicable covered investigation.*

***SEC. 1089. AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN MAJOR MEDICAL FACILITY PROJECTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS FOR WHICH AMOUNTS HAVE BEEN APPROPRIATED.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:*

*(1) The Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Public Law 113–235) appropriated to the Department of Veterans Affairs—*

*(A) $35,000,000 to make seismic corrections to Building 205 in the West Los Angeles Medical Center of the Department in Los Angeles, California, which, according to the Department, is a building that is designated as having an exceptionally high risk of sustaining substantial damage or collapsing during an earthquake;*

*(B) $101,900,000 to replace the community living center and mental health facilities of the Department in Long Beach, California, which, according to the Department, are designated as having an exceptionally high risk of sustaining substantial damage or collapsing during an earthquake;*

*(C) $187,500,000 to replace the existing spinal cord injury clinic of the Department in San Diego, California, which, according to the Department, is designated as having an extremely high risk of sustaining major damage during an earthquake; and*

*(D) $122,400,000 to make renovations to address substantial safety and compliance issues at the medical center of the Department in Canandaigua, New York, and for the construction of a new clinic and community living center at such medical center.*

*(2) The Department is unable to obligate or expend the amounts described in paragraph (1) because it lacks an explicit authorization by an Act of Congress pursuant to section 8104(a)(2) of title 38, United States Code, to carry out the major medical facility projects described in such paragraph.*

*(3) Among the major medical facility projects described in paragraph (1), three are critical seismic safety projects in California.*

*(4) Every day that the critical seismic safety projects described in paragraph (3) are delayed puts the lives of veterans and employees of the Department at risk.*

*(5) According to the United States Geological Survey—*

*(A) California has a 99 percent chance or greater of experiencing an earthquake of magnitude 6.7 or greater in the next 30 years;*

*(B) even earthquakes of less severity than magnitude 6.7 can cause life threatening damage to seismically unsafe buildings; and*

*(C) in California, earthquakes of magnitude 6.0 or greater occur on average once every 1.2 years.*

*(b) AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs may carry out the major medical facility projects of the Department of Veterans Affairs specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Public Law 113–235) at the locations and in the amounts specified in such explanatory statement, including by obligating and expending such amounts.*

***SEC. 1090. REFORM AND IMPROVEMENT OF PERSONNEL SECURITY, INSIDER THREAT DETECTION AND PREVENTION, AND PHYSICAL SECURITY.***

*(a) PERSONNEL SECURITY AND INSIDER THREAT PROTECTION IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—*

*(1) PLANS AND SCHEDULES.—Consistent with the Memorandum of the Secretary of Defense dated March 18, 2014, regarding the recommendations of the reviews of the Washington Navy Yard shooting, the Secretary of Defense shall develop plans and schedules—*

*(A) to implement a continuous evaluation capability for the national security population for which clearance adjudications are conducted by the Department of Defense Central Adjudication Facility, in coordination with the Suitability Executive Agent, the Security Executive Agent, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget;*

*(B) to produce a Department-wide insider threat strategy and implementation plan, which includes—*

*(i) resourcing for the Defense Insider Threat Management and Analysis Center (DITMAC) and component insider threat programs, and*

*(ii) alignment of insider threat protection programs with continuous evaluation capabilities and processes for personnel security;*

*(C) to centralize the authority, accountability, and programmatic integration responsibilities, including fiscal control, for personnel security and insider threat protection under the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence;*

*(D) to align the Department's consolidated Central Adjudication Facility under the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence;*

*(E) to develop a defense security enterprise reform investment strategy to ensure a consistent, long-term focus on funding to strengthen all of the Department's security and insider threat programs, policies, functions, and information technology capabilities, including detecting threat behaviors conveyed in the cyber domain, in a manner that keeps pace with evolving threats and risks;*

*(F) to resource and expedite deployment of the Identity Management Enterprise Services Architecture (IMESA); and*

*(G) to implement the recommendations contained in the study conducted by the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation required by section 907 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 10 U.S.C. 1564 note), including, specifically, the recommendations to centrally manage and regulate Department of Defense requests for personnel security background investigations.*

*(2) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report describing the plans and schedules required under paragraph (1).*

*(b) PHYSICAL AND LOGICAL ACCESS.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act—*

*(1) the Secretary of Defense shall define physical and logical access standards, capabilities, and processes applicable to all personnel with access to Department of Defense installations and information technology systems, including—*

*(A) periodic or regularized background or records checks appropriate to the type of physical or logical access involved, the security level, the category of individuals authorized, and the level of access to be granted;*

*(B) standards and methods for verifying the identity of individuals seeking access; and*

*(C) electronic attribute-based access controls that are appropriate for the type of access and facility or information technology system involved;*

*(2) the Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the Chair of the Performance Accountability Council, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, and the Administrator of General Services, and in consultation with representatives from stakeholder organizations, shall design a capability to share and apply electronic identity information across the Government to enable real-time, risk-managed physical and logical access decisions; and*

*(3) the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in conjunction with the Director of the Office of Personnel Management and in consultation with representatives from stakeholder organizations, shall establish investigative and adjudicative standards for the periodic or regularized reevaluation of the eligibility of an individual to retain credentials issued pursuant to Homeland Security Presidential Directive 12 (dated August 27, 2004), as appropriate, but not less frequently than the authorization period of the issued credentials.*

*(c) SECURITY ENTERPRISE MANAGEMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall—*

*(1) formalize the Security, Suitability, and Credentialing Line of Business;*

*(2) submit a report to the appropriate congressional committee that describes plans—*

*(A) for oversight by the Office of Management and Budget of activities of the executive branch of the Government for personnel security, suitability, and credentialing;*

*(B) to designate enterprise shared services to optimize investments;*

*(C) to define and implement data standards to support common electronic access to critical Government records; and*

*(D) to reduce the burden placed on Government data providers by centralizing requests for records access and ensuring proper sharing of the data with appropriate investigative and adjudicative elements.*

*(d) RECIPROCITY MANAGEMENT.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Performance Accountability Council shall ensure that—*

*(1) a centralized system is available to serve as the reciprocity management system for the Federal Government; and*

*(2) the centralized system described in paragraph (1) is aligned with, and incorporates results from, continuous evaluation and other enterprise reform initiatives.*

*(e) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chair of the Performance Accountability Council, in coordination with the Security Executive Agent, the Suitability Executive Agent, and the Secretary of Defense, shall jointly develop a plan to—*

*(1) implement the Security Executive Agent Directive on common, standardized employee and contractor security reporting requirements;*

*(2) establish and implement uniform reporting requirements for employees and Federal contractors, according to risk, relative to the safety of the workforce and protection of the most sensitive information of the Government; and*

*(3) ensure that reported information is shared appropriately.*

*(f) ACCESS TO CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AND OTHER PURPOSES.—*

*(1) DEFINITION.—Section 9101(a) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(7) The terms 'Security Executive Agent' and 'Suitability Executive Agent' mean the Security Executive Agent and the Suitability Executive Agent, respectively, established under Executive Order 13467 (73 Fed. Reg. 38103), or any successor thereto.''.*

*(2) COVERED AGENCIES.—Section 9101(a)(6) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(G) The Department of Homeland Security.*

*''(H) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence.*

*''(I) An Executive agency that—*

*''(i) is authorized to conduct background investigations under a Federal statute; or*

*''(ii) is delegated authority to conduct background investigations in accordance with procedures established by the Security Executive Agent or the Suitability Executive Agent under subsection (b) or (c)(iv) of section 2.3 of Executive Order 13467 (73 Fed. Reg. 38103), or any successor thereto.*

*''(J) A contractor that conducts a background investigation on behalf of an agency described in subparagraphs (A) through (I).''.*

*(3) APPLICABLE PURPOSES OF INVESTIGATIONS.—Section 9101(b)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (D) as clauses (i) through (iv), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly;*

*(B) in the matter preceding clause (i), as redesignated—*

*(i) by striking ''the head of'';*

*(ii) by inserting ''all'' before ''criminal history record information''; and*

*(iii) by striking ''for the purpose of determining eligibility for any of the following:'' and inserting '', in accordance with Federal Investigative Standards jointly promulgated by the Suitability Executive Agent and Security Executive Agent, for the purpose of—*

*''(A) determining eligibility for—'';*

*(C) in clause (i), as redesignated—*

*(i) by striking ''Access'' and inserting ''access''; and*

*(ii) by striking the period and inserting a semicolon;*

*(D) in clause (ii), as redesignated—*

*(i) by striking ''Assignment'' and inserting ''assignment''; and*

*(ii) by striking the period and inserting ''or positions;'';*

*(E) in clause (iii), as redesignated—*

*(i) by striking ''Acceptance'' and inserting ''acceptance''; and*

*(ii) by striking the period and inserting ''; or'';*

*(F) in clause (iv), as redesignated—*

*(i) by striking ''Appointment'' and inserting ''appointment'';*

*(ii) by striking ''or a critical or sensitive position''; and*

*(iii) by striking the period and inserting ''; or''; and*

*(G) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(B) conducting a basic suitability or fitness assessment for Federal or contractor employees, using Federal Investigative Standards jointly promulgated by the Security Executive Agent and the Suitability Executive Agent in accordance with—*

*''(i) Executive Order 13467 (73 Fed. Reg. 38103), or any successor thereto; and*

*''(ii) the Office of Management and Budget Memorandum 'Assignment of Functions Relating to Coverage of Contractor Employee Fitness in the Federal Investigative Standards', dated December 6, 2012;*

*''(C) credentialing under the Homeland Security Presidential Directive 12 (dated August 27, 2004); and*

*''(D) Federal Aviation Administration checks required under—*

*''(i) the Federal Aviation Administration Drug Enforcement Assistance Act of 1988 (subtitle E of title VII of Public Law 100–690; 102 Stat. 4424) and the amendments made by that Act; or*

*''(ii) section 44710 of title 49.''.*

*(4) BIOMETRIC AND BIOGRAPHIC SEARCHES.—Section 9101(b)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:*

*''(2)(A) A State central criminal history record depository shall allow a covered agency to conduct both biometric and biographic searches of criminal history record information.*

*''(B) Nothing in subparagraph (A) shall be construed to prohibit the Federal Bureau of Investigation from requiring a request for criminal history record information to be accompanied by the fingerprints of the individual who is the subject of the request.''.*

*(5) USE OF MOST COST-EFFECTIVE SYSTEM.—Section 9101(e) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(6) If a criminal justice agency is able to provide the same information through more than 1 system described in paragraph (1), a covered agency may request information under subsection (b) from the criminal justice agency, and require the criminal justice agency to provide the information, using the system that is most cost-effective for the Federal Government.''.*

*(6) SEALED OR EXPUNGED RECORDS; JUVENILE RECORDS.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 9101(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(i) in the first sentence, by inserting before the period the following: '', and includes any analogous juvenile records''; and*

*(ii) by striking the third sentence and inserting the following: ''The term includes those records of a State or locality sealed pursuant to law if such records are accessible by State and local criminal justice agencies for the purpose of conducting background checks.''.*

*(B) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Federal Government should not uniformly reject applicants for employment with the Federal Government or Federal contractors based on—*

*(i) sealed or expunged criminal records; or*

*(ii) juvenile records.*

*(7) INTERACTION WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AND INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES ABROAD.—Section 9101 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(g) Upon request by a covered agency and in accordance with the applicable provisions of this section, the Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Overseas Citizens Services shall make available criminal history record information collected by the Deputy Assistant Secretary with respect to an individual who is under investigation by the covered agency regarding any interaction of the individual with a law enforcement agency or intelligence agency of a foreign country.''.*

*(8) CLARIFICATION OF SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRACTORS CONDUCTING BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS.—Section 9101 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this subsection, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(h) If a contractor described in subsection (a)(6)(J) uses an automated information delivery system to request criminal history record information, the contractor shall comply with any necessary security requirements for access to that system.''.*

*(9) CLARIFICATION REGARDING ADVERSE ACTIONS.—Section 7512 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking ''or'';*

*(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the period and inserting '', or''; and*

*(C) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(F) a suitability action taken by the Office under regulations prescribed by the Office, subject to the rules prescribed by the President under this title for the administration of the competitive service.''.*

*(10) ANNUAL REPORT BY SUITABILITY AND SECURITY CLEARANCE PERFORMANCE ACCOUNTABILITY COUNCIL.—Section 9101 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this subsection, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(i) The Suitability and Security Clearance Performance Accountability Council established under Executive Order 13467 (73 Fed. Reg. 38103), or any successor thereto, shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, an annual report that—*

*''(1) describes efforts of the Council to integrate Federal, State, and local systems for sharing criminal history record information;*

*''(2) analyzes the extent and effectiveness of Federal education programs regarding criminal history record information;*

*''(3) provides an update on the implementation of best practices for sharing criminal history record information, including ongoing limitations experienced by investigators working for or on behalf of a covered agency with respect to access to State and local criminal history record information; and*

*''(4) provides a description of limitations on the sharing of information relevant to a background investigation, other than criminal history record information, between—*

*''(A) investigators working for or on behalf of a covered agency; and*

*''(B) State and local law enforcement agencies.''.*

*(11) GAO REPORT ON ENHANCING INTEROPERABILITY AND REDUCING REDUNDANCY IN FEDERAL CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION ACCESS CONTROL, BACKGROUND CHECK, AND CREDENTIALING STANDARDS.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate a report on the background check, access control, and credentialing requirements of Federal programs for the protection of critical infrastructure and key resources.*

*(B) CONTENTS.—The Comptroller General shall include in the report required under subparagraph (A)—*

*(i) a summary of the major characteristics of each such Federal program, including the types of infrastructure and resources covered;*

*(ii) a comparison of the requirements, whether mandatory or voluntary in nature, for regulated entities under each such program to—*

*(I) conduct background checks on employees, contractors, and other individuals;*

*(II) adjudicate the results of a background check, including the utilization of a standardized set of disqualifying offenses or the consideration of minor, non-violent, or juvenile offenses; and*

*(III) establish access control systems to deter unauthorized access, or provide a security credential for any level of access to a covered facility or resource;*

*(iii) a review of any efforts that the Screening Coordination Office of the Department of Homeland Security has undertaken or plans to undertake to harmonize or standardize background check, access control, or credentialing requirements for critical infrastructure and key resource protection programs overseen by the Department; and*

*(iv) recommendations, developed in consultation with appropriate stakeholders, regarding—*

*(I) enhancing the interoperability of security credentials across critical infrastructure and key resource protection programs;*

*(II) eliminating the need for redundant background checks or credentials across existing critical infrastructure and key resource protection programs;*

*(III) harmonizing, where appropriate, the standards for identifying potentially disqualifying criminal offenses and the weight assigned to minor, nonviolent, or juvenile offenses in adjudicating the results of a completed background check; and*

*(IV) the development of common, risk-based standards with respect to the background check, access control, and security credentialing requirements for critical infrastructure and key resource protection programs.*

*(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—*

*(1) the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(A) the congressional defense committees;*

*(B) the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and*

*(C) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives; and*

*(2) the term ''Performance Accountability Council'' means the Suitability and Security Clearance Performance Accountability Council established under Executive Order 13467 (73 Fed. Reg. 38103), or any successor thereto.*

***SEC. 1091. DESIGNATION OF CONSTRUCTION AGENT FOR CERTAIN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS BY DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall seek to enter into an agreement subject to subsections (b), (c), and (e) of section 1535 of title 31, United States Code, with the Army Corps of Engineers or another entity of the Federal Government to serve, on a reimbursable basis, as the construction agent on all construction projects of the Department of Veterans Affairs specifically authorized by Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act that involve a total expenditure of more than $100,000,000, excluding any acquisition by exchange.*

*(b) AGREEMENT.—Under the agreement entered into under subsection (a), the construction agent shall provide design, procurement, and construction management services for the construction, alteration, and acquisition of facilities of the Department.*

## *TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS*

***SEC. 1101. REQUIRED PROBATIONARY PERIOD FOR NEW EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) REQUIRED PROBATIONARY PERIOD.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 81 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 1599e. Probationary period for employees***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding sections 3321 and 3393(d) of title 5, the appointment of a covered employee shall become final only after such employee has served a probationary period of two years. The Secretary of the military department concerned may extend a probationary period under this subsection at the discretion of such Secretary.*

*''(b) COVERED EMPLOYEE DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'covered employee' means any individual—*

*''(1) appointed to a permanent position within the competitive service at the Department of Defense; or*

*''(2) appointed as a career appointee (as that term is defined in section 3132(a)(4) of title 5) within the Senior Executive Service at the Department.*

*''(c) EMPLOYMENT BECOMES FINAL.—Upon the expiration of a covered employee's probationary period under subsection (a), the supervisor of the employee shall determine whether the appointment becomes final based on regulations prescribed for such purpose by the Secretary.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 81 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''1599e. Probationary period for employees.''.*

*(b) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to any covered employee (as that term is defined in section 1599e of title 10, United States Code, as added by such subsection) appointed after the date of the enactment of this section.*

*(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 5, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in section 3321(c)—*

*(A) by striking ''Service or'' and inserting ''Service,''; and*

*(B) by inserting at the end before the period the following: '', or any individual covered by section 1599e of title 10''; and*

*(2) in section 3393(d), by adding at the end the following: ''The preceding sentence shall not apply to any individual covered by section 1599e of title 10.''.*

***SEC. 1102. DELAY OF PERIODIC STEP INCREASE FOR CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASED UPON UNACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE.***

*(a) DELAY.—Under procedures established by the Secretary of Defense, upon a determination by the Secretary that the work of an employee is not at an acceptable level of competence, the period of time during which the work of the employee is not at an acceptable level of competence shall not count toward completion of the period of service required for purposes of subsection (a) of section 5335 of title 5, United States Code, or subsection (e)(1) or (e)(2) of section 5343 of such title.*

*(b) APPLICABILITY TO PERIODS OF SERVICE.—Subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to any period of service performed before the date of the enactment of this Act.*

***SEC. 1103. PROCEDURES FOR REDUCTION IN FORCE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL.***

*Section 1597 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(f) REDUCTIONS BASED PRIMARILY ON PERFORMANCE.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish procedures to provide that, in implementing any reduction in force for civilian positions in the Department of Defense in the competitive service or the excepted service, the determination of which employees shall be separated from employment in the Department shall be made primarily on the basis of performance, as determined under any applicable performance management system.''.*

***SEC. 1104. UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND WORKFORCE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 81 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 1599e. United States Cyber Command recruitment and retention***

*''(a) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may—*

*''(A) establish, as positions in the excepted service, such qualified positions in the Department as the Secretary determines necessary to carry out the responsibilities of the United States Cyber Command including—*

*''(i) staff of the headquarters of the United States Cyber Command provided to the Command by the Air Force;*

*''(ii) elements of the United States Cyber Command enterprise relating to cyberspace operations;*

*''(iii) elements of the United States Cyber Command provided by the armed forces; and*

*''(iv) positions formerly identified as—*

*''(I) senior level positions designated under section 5376 of title 5; and*

*''(II) positions in the Senior Executive Service;*

*''(B) appoint an individual to a qualified position (after taking into consideration the availability of preference eligibles for appointment to the position); and*

*''(C) subject to the requirements of subsections (b) and (c), fix the compensation of an individual for service in a qualified position.*

*''(2) The authority of the Secretary under this subsection applies without regard to the provisions of any other law relating to the appointment, number, classification, or compensation of employees.*

*''(b) BASIC PAY.—(1) In accordance with this section, the Secretary shall fix the rates of basic pay for any qualified position established under subsection (a)—*

*''(A) in relation to the rates of pay provided for employees in comparable positions in the Department, in which the incumbent performs, manages, or supervises functions that execute the cyber mission of the Department; and*

*''(B) subject to the same limitations on maximum rates of pay established for such employees by law or regulation.*

*''(2) The Secretary may—*

*''(A) consistent with section 5341 of title 5, adopt such provisions of that title as provide for prevailing rate systems of basic pay; and*

*''(B) apply those provisions to qualified positions for employees in or under which the Department may employ individuals described by section 5342(a)(2)(A) of such title.*

*''(c) ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION, INCENTIVES, AND ALLOWANCES.—(1) The Secretary may provide employees in qualified positions compensation (in addition to basic pay), including benefits, incentives, and allowances, consistent with, and not in excess of the level authorized for, comparable positions authorized by title 5.*

*''(2) An employee in a qualified position whose rate of basic pay is fixed under subsection (b)(1) shall be eligible for an allowance under section 5941 of title 5 on the same basis and to the same extent as if the employee was an employee covered by such section, including eligibility conditions, allowance rates, and all other terms and conditions in law or regulation.*

*''(d) PLAN FOR EXECUTION OF AUTHORITIES.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit a report to the appropriate committees of Congress with a plan for the use of the authorities provided under this section.*

*''(e) COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS.—Nothing in subsection (a) may be construed to impair the continued effectiveness of a collective bargaining agreement with respect to an office, component, subcomponent, or equivalent of the Department that is a successor to an office, component, subcomponent, or equivalent of the Department covered by the agreement before the succession.*

*''(f) REQUIRED REGULATIONS.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, shall prescribe regulations for the administration of this section.*

''(g) ANNUAL REPORT.—(1) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section and not less frequently than once each year thereafter until the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this section, the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, in coordination with the Secretary, shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a detailed report on the administration of this section during the most recent one-year period.

''(2) Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include, for the period covered by the report, the following:

''(A) A discussion of the process used in accepting applications, assessing candidates, ensuring adherence to veterans' preference, and selecting applicants for vacancies to be filled by an individual for a qualified position.

''(B) A description of the following:

''(i) How the Secretary plans to fulfill the critical need of the Department to recruit and retain employees in qualified positions.

''(ii) The measures that will be used to measure progress.

''(iii) Any actions taken during the reporting period to fulfill such critical need.

''(C) A discussion of how the planning and actions taken under subparagraph (B) are integrated into the strategic workforce planning of the Department.

''(D) The metrics on actions occurring during the reporting period, including the following:

''(i) The number of employees in qualified positions hired, disaggregated by occupation, grade, and level or pay band.

''(ii) The placement of employees in qualified positions, disaggregated by directorate and office within the Department.

''(iii) The total number of veterans hired.

''(iv) The number of separations of employees in qualified positions, disaggregated by occupation and grade and level or pay band.

''(v) The number of retirements of employees in qualified positions, disaggregated by occupation, grade, and level or pay band.

''(vi) The number and amounts of recruitment, relocation, and retention incentives paid to employees in qualified positions, disaggregated by occupation, grade, and level or pay band.

''(E) A description of the training provided to supervisors of employees in qualified positions at the Department on the use of the new authorities.

''(h) THREE-YEAR PROBATIONARY PERIOD.—The probationary period for all employees hired under the authority established in this section shall be three years.

''(i) INCUMBENTS OF EXISTING COMPETITIVE SERVICE POSITIONS.—(1) An individual serving in a position on the date of enactment of this section that is selected to be converted to a position in the excepted service under this section shall have the right to refuse such conversion.

''(2) After the date on which an individual who refuses a conversion under paragraph (1) stops serving in the position selected to be converted, the position may be converted to a position in the excepted service.

''(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

''(1) The term 'appropriate committees of Congress' means—

''(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

''(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

''(2) The term 'collective bargaining agreement' has the meaning given that term in section 7103(a)(8) of title 5.

''(3) The term 'excepted service' has the meaning given that term in section 2103 of title 5.

''(4) The term 'preference eligible' has the meaning given that term in section 2108 of title 5.

''(5) The term 'qualified position' means a position, designated by the Secretary for the purpose of this section, in which the incumbent performs, manages, or supervises functions that execute the responsibilities of the United States Cyber Command relating to cyber operations.

''(6) The term 'Senior Executive Service' has the meaning given that term in section 2101a of title 5.''.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended in the matter following subparagraph (E)—

(1) in clause (ii), by striking ''or'' at the end;

(2) in clause (iii), by inserting ''or'' after the semicolon; and

(3) by inserting after clause (iii) the following new clause:

''(iv) any position established as a qualified position in the excepted service by the Secretary of Defense under section 1599e of title 10;''.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 81 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1599d the following new item:

''1599e. United States Cyber Command recruitment and retention.''.

**SEC. 1105. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE ANNUAL LIMITATION ON PREMIUM PAY AND AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON PAY FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WORKING OVERSEAS.**

Effective January 1, 2016, section 1101(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4615), as most recently amended by section 1101 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended by striking ''through 2015'' and inserting ''through 2016''.

**SEC. 1106. FIVE-YEAR EXTENSION OF EXPEDITED HIRING AUTHORITY FOR DESIGNATED DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE POSITIONS.**

Section 1705(g)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''September 30, 2017'' and inserting ''September 30, 2022''.

**SEC. 1107. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY TO GRANT ALLOWANCES, BENEFITS, AND GRATUITIES TO CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ON OFFICIAL DUTY IN A COMBAT ZONE.**

Paragraph (2) of section 1603(a) of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109–234; 120 Stat. 443), as added by section 1102 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4616) and most recently amended by section 1102 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2017''.

**SEC. 1108. EXTENSION OF RATE OF OVERTIME PAY FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY EMPLOYEES PERFORMING WORK ABOARD OR DOCKSIDE IN SUPPORT OF THE NUCLEAR-POWERED AIRCRAFT CARRIER FORWARD DEPLOYED IN JAPAN.**

Section 5542(a)(6)(B) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2017''.

**SEC. 1109. EXPANSION OF TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO MAKE DIRECT APPOINTMENTS OF CANDIDATES POSSESSING BACHELOR'S DEGREES TO SCIENTIFIC AND ENGINEERING POSITIONS AT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY REINVENTION LABORATORIES.**

(a) EXPANSION.—Section 1107(c)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by striking ''3 percent'' and inserting ''5 percent''.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2016, and shall apply with respect to appointments of candidates under section 1107(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 on or after that date.

**SEC. 1110. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR THE CIVILIAN ACQUISITION WORKFORCE PERSONNEL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 1762(g) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''September 30, 2017'' and inserting ''December 31, 2020''.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Such section is further amended by striking ''demonstration program'' and inserting ''demonstration project''.

**SEC. 1111. PILOT PROGRAM ON DYNAMIC SHAPING OF THE WORKFORCE TO IMPROVE THE TECHNICAL SKILLS AND EXPERTISE AT CERTAIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE LABORATORIES.**

(a) PILOT PROGRAM REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program to assess the feasability and advisability of the use of the authorities specified in subsection (b) at the Department of Defense laboratories specified in subsection (c) to permit the directors of such laboratories to dynamically shape the mix of technical skills and expertise in the workforces of such laboratories in order to achieve one or more of the following:

(1) To meet organizational and Department-designated missions in the most cost-effective and efficient manner.

(2) To upgrade and enhance the scientific quality of the workforces of such laboratories.

(3) To shape such workforces to better respond to such missions.

(4) To reduce the average unit cost of such workforces.

(b) WORKFORCE SHAPING AUTHORITIES.—The authorities that may be used by the director of a Department of Defense laboratory under the pilot program are the following:

(1) FLEXIBLE LENGTH AND RENEWABLE TERM TECHNICAL APPOINTMENTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the provisions of this paragraph, authority otherwise available to the director by law (and within the available budgetary resources of the laboratory) to appoint qualified scientific and technical personnel who are not currently Department of Defense civilian employees into any scientific or technical position in the laboratory for a period of more than one year but not more than six years.

(B) BENEFITS.—Personnel appointed under this paragraph shall be provided with benefits comparable to those provided to similar employees at the laboratory concerned, including professional development opportunities, eligibility for all laboratory awards programs, and designation as ''status applicants'' for the purposes of eligibility for positions in the Federal service.

(C) EXTENSION OF APPOINTMENTS.—The appointment of any individual under this paragraph may be extended at any time during any term of service of the individual under this paragraph for an additional period of up to six years under such conditions as the director concerned shall establish for purposes of this paragraph.

(D) CONSTRUCTION WITH CERTAIN LIMITATION.—For purposes of determining the workforce size of a laboratory in connection with compliance with section 955 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1896; 10 U.S.C. 129a note), any individual serving in an appointment under this paragraph shall be treated as a fractional employee of the laboratory, which fraction is—

(i) the current term of appointment of the individual under this paragraph; divided by

(ii) the average length of tenure of a career employee at the laboratory, as calculated at the end of the last fiscal year ending before the date of the most recent appointment or extension of the individual under this paragraph.

*(2) REEMPLOYMENT OF ANNUITANTS.—Authority to reemploy annuitants in accordance with section 9902(g) of title 5, United States Code, except that as a condition for reemployment the director may authorize the deduction from the pay of any annuitant so reemployed of an amount up to the amount of the annuity otherwise payable to such annuitant allocable to the period of actual employment of such annuitant, which amount shall be determined in a manner specified by the director for purposes of this paragraph to ensure the most cost effective execution of designated missions by the laboratory while retaining critical technical skills.*

*(3) EARLY RETIREMENT INCENTIVES.—Authority to authorize voluntary early retirement of employees in accordance with section 8336 of title 5, United States Code, without regard to section 8336(d)(2)(D) or 3522 of such title, and with employees so separated voluntarily from service under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of the pilot program.*

*(4) SEPARATION INCENTIVE PAY.—Authority to pay voluntary separation pay to employees in accordance with section 8414(b)(1)(B) of title 5, United States Code, without regard to clause (iv) or (v) of such section or section 3522, of such title, and with—*

*(A) employees so separated voluntarily from service under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of the pilot program; and*

*(B) payments to employees so separated authorized under section 3523 of such title without regard to—*

*(i) the plan otherwise required by section 3522 of such title; and*

*(ii) paragraph (1) or (3) of section 3523(b) of such title.*

*(c) LABORATORIES.—The Department of Defense laboratories specified in this subsection are the laboratories specified in section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2486; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note).*

*(d) EXPIRATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The authority in this section shall expire on December 31, 2023.*

*(2) CONTINUATION OF AUTHORITIES EXERCISED BEFORE TERMINATION.—The expiration in paragraph (1) shall not be construed to effect the continuation after the date specified in paragraph (1) of any term of employment or other benefit authorized under this section before that date in accordance with the terms of such authorization.*

***SEC. 1112. PILOT PROGRAM ON TEMPORARY EXCHANGE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND ACQUISITION PERSONNEL.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of the temporary assignment of covered employees of the Department of Defense to nontraditional defense contractors and of covered employees of such contractors to the Department.*

*(b) COVERED EMPLOYEES; NONTRADITIONAL DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.—*

*(1) COVERED EMPLOYEES.—An employee of the Department of Defense or a nontraditional Defense contractor is a covered employee for purposes of this section if the employee—*

*(A) works in the field of financial management or in the acquisition field;*

*(B) is considered by the Secretary of Defense to be an exceptional employee; and*

*(C) is compensated at not less than the GS–11 level (or the equivalent).*

*(2) NONTRADITIONAL DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.—For purposes of this section, the term ''nontraditional defense contractor'' has the meaning given that term in section 2302(9) of title 10, United States Code.*

*(c) AGREEMENTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for a written agreement among the Department of Defense, the nontraditional defense contractor concerned, and the employee concerned regarding the terms and conditions of the employee's assignment under this section.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—An agreement under this subsection—*

*(A) shall require, in the case of an employee of the Department, that upon completion of the assignment, the employee will serve in the civil service for a period at least equal to three times the length of the assignment, unless the employee is sooner involuntarily separated from the service of the employee's agency; and*

*(B) shall provide that if the employee of the Department or of the contractor (as the case may be) fails to carry out the agreement, or if the employee is voluntarily separated from the service of the employee's agency before the end of the period stated in the agreement, the employee shall be liable to the United States for payment of all expenses of the assignment unless that failure or voluntary separation was for good and sufficient reason, as determined by the Secretary.*

*(3) DEBT TO THE UNITED STATES.—An amount for which an employee is liable under paragraph (2)(B) shall be treated as a debt due the United States. The Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, collection of such a debt based on a determination that the collection would be against equity and good conscience and not in the best interests of the United States.*

*(d) TERMINATION.—An assignment under this section may, at any time and for any reason, be terminated by the Department of Defense or the nontraditional defense contractor concerned.*

*(e) DURATION.—An assignment under this section shall be for a period of not less than three months and not more than one year.*

*(f) STATUS OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES ASSIGNED TO CONTRACTORS.—An employee of the Department of Defense who is assigned to a nontraditional defense contractor under this section shall be considered, during the period of assignment, to be on detail to a regular work assignment in the Department for all purposes. The written agreement established under subsection (c) shall address the specific terms and conditions related to the employee's continued status as a Federal employee.*

*(g) TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYEES.—An employee of a nontraditional defense contractor who is assigned to a Department of Defense organization under this section—*

*(1) shall continue to receive pay and benefits from the contractor from which such employee is assigned;*

*(2) shall be deemed to be an employee of the Department of Defense for the purposes of—*

*(A) chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code;*

*(B) sections 201, 203, 205, 207, 208, 209, 603, 606, 607, 643, 654, 1905, and 1913 of title 18, United States Code, and any other conflict of interest statute;*

*(C) sections 1343, 1344, and 1349(b) of title 31, United States Code;*

*(D) the Federal Tort Claims Act and any other Federal tort liability statute;*

*(E) the Ethics in Government Act of 1978;*

*(F) section 1043 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;*

*(G) chapter 21 of title 41, United States Code; and*

*(H) subchapter I of chapter 81 of title 5, United States Code, relating to compensation for work-related injuries; and*

*(3) may not have access, while the employee is assigned to a Department organization, to any trade secrets or to any other nonpublic information which is of commercial value to the contractor from which such employee is assigned.*

*(h) PROHIBITION AGAINST CHARGING CERTAIN COSTS TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—A nontraditional defense contractor may not charge the Department of Defense or any other agency of the Federal Government, as direct or indirect costs under a Federal contract, the costs of pay or benefits paid by the contractor to an employee assigned to a Department organization under this section for the period of the assignment.*

*(i) CONSIDERATION.—In providing for assignments of employees under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall take into consideration the question of how assignments might best be used to help meet the needs of the Department of Defense with respect to the training of employees in financial management or in acquisition.*

*(j) NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS.—*

*(1) DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES.—The number of employees of the Department of Defense who may be assigned to nontraditional defense contractors under this section at any given time may not exceed the following:*

*(A) Five employees in the field of financial management.*

*(B) Five employees in the acquisition field.*

*(2) NONTRADITIONAL DEFENSE CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES.—The total number of nontraditional defense contractor employees who may be assigned to the Department under this section at any given time may not exceed 10 such employees.*

*(k) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY FOR ASSIGNMENTS.—No assignment of an employee may commence under this section after September 30, 2019.*

***SEC. 1113. PILOT PROGRAM ON ENHANCED PAY AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN ACQUISITION AND TECHNOLOGY POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of using the pay authority specified in subsection (d) to fix the rate of basic pay for positions described in subsection (c) in order to assist the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the military departments in attracting and retaining high quality acquisition and technology experts in positions responsible for managing and developing complex, high cost, technological acquisition efforts of the Department of Defense.*

*(b) APPROVAL REQUIRED.—The pilot program may be carried out only with approval as follows:*

*(1) Approval of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in the case of positions in the Office of the Secretary of Defense.*

*(2) Approval of the Service Acquisition Executive of the military department concerned, in the case of positions in a military department.*

*(c) POSITIONS.—The positions described in this subsection are positions that—*

*(1) require expertise of an extremely high level in a scientific, technical, professional, or acquisition management field; and*

*(2) are critical to the successful accomplishment of an important acquisition or technology development mission.*

*(d) RATE OF BASIC PAY.—The pay authority specified in this subsection is authority as follows:*

*(1) Authority to fix the rate of basic pay for a position at a rate not to exceed 150 percent of the rate of basic pay payable for level I of the Executive Schedule, upon the approval of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics or the Service Acquisition Executive concerned, as applicable.*

*(2) Authority to fix the rate of basic pay for a position at a rate in excess of 150 percent of the rate of basic pay payable for level I of the Executive Schedule, upon the approval of the Secretary of Defense.*

*(e) LIMITATIONS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The authority in subsection (a) may be used only to the extent necessary to competitively recruit or retain individuals exceptionally well qualified for positions described in subsection (c).*

*(2) NUMBER OF POSITIONS.—The authority in subsection (a) may not be used with respect to*

*more than five positions in the Office of the Secretary of Defense and more than five positions in each military department at any one time.*

*(3) TERM OF POSITIONS.—The authority in subsection (a) may be used only for positions having terms less than five years.*

*(f) TERMINATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The authority to fix rates of basic pay for a position under this section shall terminate on October 1, 2020.*

*(2) CONTINUATION OF PAY.—Nothing in paragraph (1) shall be construed to prohibit the payment after October 1, 2020, of basic pay at rates fixed under this section before that date for positions whose terms continue after that date.*

***SEC. 1114. PILOT PROGRAM ON DIRECT HIRE AUTHORITY FOR VETERAN TECHNICAL EXPERTS INTO THE DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.***

*(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of appointing qualified veteran candidates to positions described in subsection (b) in the defense acquisition workforce of the military departments without regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code. The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program in each military department through the Service Acquisition Executive of such military department.*

*(b) POSITIONS.—The positions described in this subsection are scientific, technical, engineering, and mathematics positions, including technicians, within the defense acquisition workforce.*

*(c) LIMITATION.—Authority under subsection (a) may not, in any calendar year and with respect to any military department, be exercised with respect to a number of candidates greater than the number equal to 1 percent of the total number positions the acquisition workforce of that military department that are filled as of the close of the fiscal year last ending before the start of such calendar year.*

*(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''employee'' has the meaning given that term in section 2105 of title 5, United States Code.*

*(2) The term ''veteran'' has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.*

*(e) TERMINATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The authority to appoint candidates to positions under the pilot program shall expire on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

*(2) EFFECT ON EXISTING APPOINTMENTS.—The termination by paragraph (1) of the authority in subsection (a) shall not affect any appointment made under that authority before the termination date specified in paragraph (1) in accordance with the terms of such appointment.*

***SEC. 1115. DIRECT HIRE AUTHORITY FOR TECHNICAL EXPERTS INTO THE DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.***

*(a) AUTHORITY.—Each Secretary of a military department may appoint qualified candidates possessing a scientific or engineering degree to positions described in subsection (b) for that military department without regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code.*

*(b) APPLICABILITY.—Positions described in this subsection are scientific and engineering positions within the defense acquisition workforce.*

*(c) LIMITATION.—Authority under this section may not, in any calendar year and with respect to any military department, be exercised with respect to a number of candidates greater than the number equal to 5 percent of the total number of scientific and engineering positions within the acquisition workforce of that military department that are filled as of the close of the fiscal year last ending before the start of such calendar year.*

*(d) NATURE OF APPOINTMENT.—Any appointment under this section shall be treated as an appointment on a full-time equivalent basis, unless such appointment is made on a term or temporary basis.*

*(e) EMPLOYEE DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''employee'' has the meaning given that term in section 2105 of title 5, United States Code.*

*(f) TERMINATION.—The authority to make appointments under this section shall not be available after December 31, 2020.*

## *TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS*

### *Subtitle A—Training and Assistance*

***SEC. 1201. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF FUNDING LIMITATIONS FOR AUTHORITY TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN SECURITY FORCES.***

*Section 1205(d) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1)—*

*(A) by striking ''for fiscal year 2015'' and all that follows through ''section 4301'' and inserting ''for fiscal year 2015 or 2016 for the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance''; and*

*(B) by inserting '', in such fiscal year'' before the period; and*

*(2) in paragraph (2), by striking ''for fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''for a fiscal year specified in that paragraph''.*

***SEC. 1202. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT TO THE GOVERNMENT OF JORDAN FOR BORDER SECURITY OPERATIONS.***

*(a) EXPANSION TO GOVERNMENT OF LEBANON.—Subsection (a) of section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 902; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note) is amended—*

*(1) by inserting ''and the Government of Lebanon'' after ''the Government of Jordan'' each place it appears; and*

*(2) by striking ''armed forces of Jordan'' each place it appears and inserting ''armed forces of the country concerned''.*

*(b) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of such section is further amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1)—*

*(A) by striking ''maintaining'' and inserting ''enhancing''; and*

*(B) by striking ''increase security and sustain increased security along the border between Jordan and Syria'' and inserting ''sustain security along the border of Jordan with Syria and Iraq and increase or sustain security along the border of Lebanon with Syria, as applicable''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (3)—*

*(A) by striking ''maintain'' and inserting ''enhance''; and*

*(B) by striking ''increase security or sustain increased security along the border between Jordan and Syria'' and inserting ''sustain security along the border of Jordan with Syria and Iraq or increase or sustain security along the border of Lebanon with Syria, as applicable''.*

*(c) FUNDS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended to read as follows:*

*''(b) FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR ASSISTANCE.—While the authority in this section is in effect, amounts may be used to provide assistance under the authority in subsection (a) as follows:*

*''(1) Amounts authorized to be appropriated for a fiscal year for the Department of Defense and available for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations pursuant to section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–81).*

*''(2) Amounts authorized to be appropriated for a fiscal year for the Department of Defense for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.''.*

*(d) LIMITATIONS.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''may not exceed $150,000,000'' and inserting ''in any fiscal year may not exceed $125,000,000''; and*

*(2) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraph (2):*

*''(2) ASSISTANCE TO GOVERNMENT OF LEBANON.—Assistance provided under the authority in subsection (a) to the Government of Lebanon may be used only for the armed forces of Lebanon, and may not be used for or to reimburse Hezbollah or any forces other than the armed forces of Lebanon.''.*

*(e) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (f) of such section is amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2020''.*

*(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:*

***''SEC. 1207. ASSISTANCE TO THE GOVERNMENT OF JORDAN AND THE GOVERNMENT OF LEBANON FOR BORDER SECURITY OPERATIONS.''.***

***SEC. 1203. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE THE CAPABILITY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO RESPOND TO INCIDENTS INVOLVING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.***

*Section 1204(h) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 897; 10 U.S.C. 401 note) is amended by striking ''September 30, 2017'' and inserting ''September 30, 2018''.*

***SEC. 1204. REDESIGNATION, MODIFICATION, AND EXTENSION OF NATIONAL GUARD STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.***

*(a) REDESIGNATION.—The heading of section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 897; 32 U.S.C. 107 note) is amended to read as follows:*

***''SEC. 1205. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.''.***

*(b) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of such section is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''a program of exchanges'' and all that follows and inserting ''a program of activities described in paragraph (2) between members of the National Guard of a State or territory and any of the following:*

*''(A) The military forces of a foreign country.*

*''(B) The security forces of a foreign country.*

*''(C) Governmental organizations of a foreign country whose primary functions include disaster response or emergency response.''; and*

*(2) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraph (2):*

*''(2) STATE PARTNERSHIP.—Each program established under this subsection shall be known as a 'State Partnership'.''.*

*(c) LIMITATION.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking ''activity under a program'' and all that follows through ''State or territory,'' and inserting ''activity with forces referred to in subsection (a)(1)(B) or organizations described in subsection (a)(1)(C) under a program established under subsection (a)''.*

*(d) STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM FUND.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a joint assessment of the feasibility and advisability of establishing a central fund to manage funds for programs and activities under the Department of Defense State Partnership Program under section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as amended by this section.*

*(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (e)(2) of such section is amended—*

*(1) by striking ''a program'' and inserting ''each program''; and*

*(2) by striking ''the program'' and inserting ''such program''.*

*(f) PERMANENT AUTHORITY.—Such section is further amended by striking subsection (i).*

*(g) ENHANCED SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a)(1) of such section, as amended by subsection (b)(1) of this section, is further amended by inserting after ''activities described*

*in paragraph (2)'' the following: '', to support the security cooperation objectives of the United States,''.*

*(h) PROCEDURES.—Such section, as amended by subsections (b) through (f) of this section, is further amended—*

*(1) by redesignating subsections (c) through (g) as subsections (d) through (h), respectively; and*

*(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):*

*''(c) COORDINATION OF ACTIVITIES.—The Chief of the National Guard Bureau shall designate a director for each State and territory to be responsible for the coordination of activities under a program established under subsection (a) for such State or territory and reporting on activities under the program.''.*

*(i) ANNUAL REPORT.—Paragraph (2)(B) of subsection (f) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (h)(1) of this section, is amended—*

*(1) in clause (iii), by inserting ''or other government organizations'' after ''and security forces'';*

*(2) in clause (iv), by adding at the end before the period the following: ''and country'';*

*(3) in clause (v), by striking ''training'' and inserting ''activities''; and*

*(4) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(vi) An assessment of the extent to which the activities conducted during the previous year met the objectives described in clause (v).''.*

***SEC. 1205. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO NATIONAL MILITARY FORCES OF ALLIED COUNTRIES FOR COUNTERTERRORISM OPERATIONS IN AFRICA.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense is authorized, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide, on a nonreimbursable basis, logistic support, supplies, and services to the national military forces of an allied country conducting counterterrorism operations in Africa if the Secretary of Defense determines that the provision of such logistic support, supplies, and services, on a nonreimbursable basis, is—*

*(1) in the national security interests of the United States; and*

*(2) critical to the timely and effective participation of such national military forces in such operations.*

*(b) NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON SUPPORT PROVIDED.—Not later than 15 days after providing logistic support, supplies, or services under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notice setting forth the following:*

*(1) The determination of the Secretary specified in subsection (a).*

*(2) The type of logistic support, supplies, or services provided.*

*(3) The national military forces supported.*

*(4) The purpose of the operations for which such support was provided, and the objectives of such support.*

*(5) The estimated cost of such support.*

*(6) The intended duration of such support.*

*(c) LIMITATIONS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority in subsection (a) to provide any type of support that is otherwise prohibited by any other provision of law.*

*(2) AMOUNT.—The aggregate amount of logistic support, supplies, and services provided under subsection (a) in any fiscal year may not exceed $100,000,000.*

*(d) REPORTS.—Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every six months thereafter through the expiration date in subsection (f) of the authority provided by this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a description of the use of the authority provided by this section during the six-month period ending on the date of such report. Each report shall include the following:*

*(1) An assessment of the extent to which the support provided under this section during the period covered by such report facilitated the national military forces of allied countries so supported in conducting counterterrorism operations in Africa.*

*(2) A description of any efforts by countries that received such support to address, as practicable, the requirements of their forces for logistics support, supplies, or services for conducting counterterrorism operations in Africa, including under acquisition and cross-servicing agreements.*

*(e) LOGISTIC SUPPORT, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''logistic support, supplies, and services'' has the meaning given that term in section 2350(1) of title 10, United States Code.*

*(f) EXPIRATION.—The authority provided by this section may not be exercised after September 30, 2018.*

***SEC. 1206. AUTHORITY TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY INTELLIGENCE FORCES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of State, is authorized to conduct or support a program or programs to train the military intelligence forces of a foreign county in order for that country to—*

*(1) improve interoperability with United States and allied forces;*

*(2) enhance the capacity of such forces to receive and act upon time-sensitive intelligence;*

*(3) increase the capacity and capability of such forces to fuse and analyze intelligence; and*

*(4) ensure the ability of such forces to support the military forces of that country in conducting lawful military operations in which intelligence plays a critical role.*

*(b) TYPES OF SUPPORT.—*

*(1) AUTHORIZED ELEMENTS.—A program under subsection (a) may include the provision of training, and associated supplies and support.*

*(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—A program under subsection (a) shall include elements that promote the following:*

*(A) Observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms.*

*(B) Respect for civilian control of the military.*

*(c) LIMITATIONS.—*

*(1) ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for a fiscal year and available for the military intelligence program (MIP), the Secretary of Defense may use up to $25,000,000 in such fiscal year to carry out programs authorized by subsection (a).*

*(2) ASSISTANCE OTHERWISE PROHIBITED BY LAW.—The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority in subsection (a) to provide any type of assistance described in subsection (b) that is otherwise prohibited by any provision of law.*

*(3) LIMITATION ON ELIGIBLE COUNTRIES.—The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority in subsection (a) to provide assistance described in subsection (b) to any foreign country that is otherwise prohibited from receiving such assistance under any other provision of law.*

*(d) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not less than 15 days before initiating activities under a program under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a notice on the following:*

*(1) The country whose capacity to engage in activities in subsection (a) will be built under the program.*

*(2) The budget, implementation timeline with milestones, military department responsible for management and associated program executive office, and completion date for the program.*

*(3) Assurances, if any, provided with respect to an enduring arrangement between the United States and the forces provided training pursuant to subsection (a).*

*(4) The objectives and assessment framework to be used to develop capability and performance metrics associated with operational outcomes for the recipient forces.*

*(5) An assessment of the capacity of the recipient country to absorb assistance under the program.*

*(6) An assessment of the manner in which the program fits into the theater security cooperation strategy of the applicable geographic combatant command.*

*(e) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

***SEC. 1207. PROHIBITION ON ASSISTANCE TO ENTITIES IN YEMEN CONTROLLED BY THE HOUTHI MOVEMENT.***

*(a) PROHIBITION.—No amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense by this Act may be used to provide assistance to an entity in Yemen that is controlled by members of the Houthi movement.*

*(b) NATIONAL SECURITY EXCEPTION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply if the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, determines that the provision of assistance as described in that subsection is important to the national security interests of the United States.*

*(2) NOTICE REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days after providing assistance under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees notice on such assistance, including the following:*

*(A) The assistance provided.*

*(B) The rationale for the provision of such assistance.*

*(C) The national security interests of the United States in providing such assistance.*

*(3) FORM.—Each notice under paragraph (2) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

***SEC. 1208. REPORT ON POTENTIAL SUPPORT FOR THE VETTED SYRIAN OPPOSITION.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a detailed description of the military support the Secretary considers it necessary to provide to recipients of assistance under section 1209 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3541) upon their return to Syria to make use of such assistance.*

*(b) COVERED POTENTIAL SUPPORT.—The support the Secretary may consider it necessary to provide for purposes of the report is the following:*

*(1) Logistical support.*

*(2) Defensive supportive fire.*

*(3) Intelligence.*

*(4) Medical support.*

*(5) Any other support the Secretary considers appropriate for purposes of the report.*

*(c) ELEMENTS.—The report shall include the following:*

*(1) For each type of support the Secretary considers it necessary to provide as described in subsection (a), a description of the actions to be taken by the Secretary to ensure that such support would not benefit any of the following:*

*(A) The Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), the Al-Nusra Front, al-Qaeda, the Khorasan Group, or any other extremist Islamic organization*

*(B) The Syrian Arab Army or any group or organization supporting President Bashir Assad.*

*(2) An estimate of the cost of providing such support.*

*(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to constitute an authorization for the use of force in Syria.*

***SEC. 1209. SUPPORT FOR SECURITY OF AFGHAN WOMEN AND GIRLS.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) Through the sacrifice and dedication of members of the Armed Forces, civilian personnel, and our Afghan partners as well as the American people's generous investment, oppressive Taliban rule has given way to a nascent democracy in Afghanistan. It is in our national security interest to help prevent Afghanistan from ever again becoming a safe haven and training ground for international terrorism and to solidify and preserve the gains our men and women in uniform fought so hard to establish.*

*(2) The United States through its National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security has made firm commitments to support the human rights of the women and girls of Afghanistan. The National Action Plan states that ''the engagement and protection of women as agents of peace and stability will be central to United States efforts to promote security, prevent, respond to, and resolve conflict, and rebuild societies''.*

*(3) As stated in the Department of Defense's October 2014 Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan, the Department of Defense and the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) ''maintain a robust program dedicated to improving the recruitment, retention, and treatment of women in the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF), and to improving the status of Afghan women in general''.*

*(4) According to the Department of Defense's October 2014 Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan, the ''Afghan MoI showed significant support for women in the MoI and is taking steps to protect and empower female police and female MoI staff''. Although some positive steps have been made, progress remains slow to reach the MoI's goal of recruiting 10,000 women in the Afghan National Police (ANP) in the next 10 years.*

*(5) According to Inclusive Security, women only make up approximately 1 percent of the Afghan National Police. There are about 2,200 women serving in the police force, fewer than the goal of 5,000 women set by the Government of Afghanistan.*

*(6) According to the International Crisis Group, there are not enough female police officers to staff all provincial Family Response Units (FRUs). United Nations Assistance Mission Afghanistan and the Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees found that ''in the absence of Family Response Units or visible women police officers, women victims almost never approach police stations willingly, fearing they will be arrested, their reputations stained or worse''.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON PROMOTION OF SECURITY OF AFGHAN WOMEN.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) it is in the national security interests of the United States to prevent Afghanistan from again becoming a safe haven and training ground for international terrorism;*

*(2) as an important part of a strategy to achieve this objective and to help Afghanistan achieve its full potential, the United States Government should continue to regularly press the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to commit to the meaningful inclusion of women in the political, economic, and security transition process and to ensure that women's concerns are fully reflected in relevant negotiations;*

*(3) the United States Government and the Government of Afghanistan should reaffirm their commitment to supporting Afghan civil society, including women's organizations, as agreed to during the meeting between the International Community and the Government of Afghanistan on the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework (TMAF) in July 2013;*

*(4) the United States Government should continue to support and encourage efforts to recruit and retain women in the Afghan National Security Forces, who are critical to the success of NATO's Resolute Support Mission and future Enduring Partnership mission; and*

*(5) the United States should bid on no less than one gender advisor billet within the Resolute Support Mission Gender Advisory Unit and continue to work with other countries to ensure that the Resolute Support Mission Gender Advisory Unit billets are fully staffed.*

*(c) PLAN TO PROMOTE SECURITY OF AFGHAN WOMEN.—*

*(1) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Secretary of State, shall include in the report required under section 1225 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3550)—*

*(A) an assessment of the security of Afghan women and girls, including information regarding efforts to increase the recruitment and retention of women in the ANSF; and*

*(B) an assessment of the implementation of the plans for the recruitment, integration, retention, training, treatment, and provision of appropriate facilities and transportation for women in the ANSF, including the challenges associated with such implementation and the steps being taken to address those challenges.*

*(2) PLAN REQUIRED.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to the extent practicable, support the efforts of the Government of Afghanistan to promote the security of Afghan women and girls during and after the security transition process through the development and implementation by the Government of Afghanistan of an Afghan-led plan that should include the elements described in this paragraph.*

*(B) TRAINING.—The Secretary of Defense, working with the NATO-led Resolute Support mission should encourage the Government of Afghanistan to develop—*

*(i) measures for the evaluation of the effectiveness of existing training for Afghan National Security Forces on this issue;*

*(ii) a plan to increase the number of female security officers specifically trained to address cases of gender-based violence, including ensuring the Afghan National Police's Family Response Units (FRUs) have the necessary resources and are available to women across Afghanistan;*

*(iii) mechanisms to enhance the capacity for units of National Police's Family Response Units to fulfill their mandate as well as indicators measuring the operational effectiveness of these units;*

*(iv) a plan to address the development of accountability mechanisms for ANA and ANP personnel who violate codes of conduct related to the human rights of women and girls, including female members of the ANSF; and*

*(v) a plan to develop training for the ANA and the ANP to increase awareness and responsiveness among ANA and ANP personnel regarding the unique security challenges women confront when serving in those forces.*

*(C) ENROLLMENT AND TREATMENT.—The Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Afghan Ministries of Defense and Interior, shall seek to assist the Government of Afghanistan in including as part of the plan developed under subparagraph (A) the development and implementation of a plan to increase the number of female members of the ANA and ANP and to promote their equal treatment, including through such steps as providing appropriate equipment, modifying facilities, and ensuring literacy and gender awareness training for recruits.*

*(D) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—*

*(i) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghan Security Forces Fund for Fiscal Year 2016, no less than $10,000,000 should be used for the recruitment, integration, retention, training, and treatment of women in the ANSF as well as the recruitment, training, and contracting of female security personnel for future elections.*

*(ii) TYPES OF PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES.—Such programs and activities may include—*

*(I) efforts to recruit women into the ANSF, including the special operations forces;*

*(II) programs and activities of the Afghan Ministry of Defense Directorate of Human Rights and Gender Integration and the Afghan Ministry of Interior Office of Human Rights, Gender and Child Rights;*

*(III) development and dissemination of gender and human rights educational and training materials and programs within the Afghan Ministry of Defense and the Afghan Ministry of Interior;*

*(IV) efforts to address harassment and violence against women within the ANSF;*

*(V) improvements to infrastructure that address the requirements of women serving in the ANSF, including appropriate equipment for female security and police forces, and transportation for policewomen to their station*

*(VI) support for ANP Family Response Units; and*

*(VII) security provisions for high-profile female police and army officers.*

***Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq***

***SEC. 1221. DRAWDOWN OF UNITED STATES FORCES IN AFGHANISTAN.***

*(a) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the drawdown of United States forces in Afghanistan should be based on security conditions in Afghanistan and United States security interests in the region; and*

*(2) as the Afghan National Defense Security Forces develop security capabilities and capacity, an appropriate United States and international presence should continue, upon invitation by the Government of Afghanistan, to provide adequate capability and capacity to preserve gains made to date and continue counterterrorism operations in Afghanistan against terrorist organizations that can threaten United States interests or the United States homeland.*

*(b) CERTIFICATION ON REDEPLOYMENTS OF US FORCES FROM AFGHANISTAN.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 10 days after the approval by the Secretary of Defense of orders to redeploy United States forces from Afghanistan in order to effect a reduction of the United States force presence in Afghanistan by a significant amount in accordance with plans approved by the President to drawdown United States forces in Afghanistan, the President shall certify to the congressional defense committees that the reduction of such force presence will result in an acceptable level of risk to United States national security objectives taking into consideration the security conditions on the ground.*

*(2) SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT.—For the purposes of this subsection, a significant amount in the reduction of the force presence of United States forces shall be a reduction by the lesser of—*

*(A) 1,000 or more troops; or*

*(B) the number of troops equal to 20 percent of the troops in Afghanistan at the time of the reduction.*

*(3) WAIVER.—The President may waive the requirement for a certification under paragraph (1) if the making of the certification would impede national security objectives of the United States. The President shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on each such waiver, including the national security objectives that would otherwise be impeded if not for the waiver.*

***SEC. 1222. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM.***

*(a) ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.—Section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal*

*Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as most recently amended by section 1221 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3546), is further amended by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' in subsections (a), (b), and (f) and inserting ''fiscal year 2016''.*

*(b) RESTRICTION ON AMOUNT OF PAYMENTS.—Subsection (e) of such section 1201, as so amended, is further amended by striking ''$2,000,000'' and inserting ''$500,000''.*

*(c) SUBMITTAL OF REVISED GUIDANCE.—Not later than 15 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a copy of the guidance issued by the Secretary to the Armed Forces concerning the Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan as revised to take into account the amendments made by this section.*

*(d) AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN PAYMENTS TO REDRESS INJURY AND LOSS IN IRAQ.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—During fiscal year 2016, amounts available pursuant to section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, as amended by this section, shall also be available for ex gratia payments for damage, personal injury, or death that is incident to combat operations of the Armed Forces in Iraq.*

*(2) AUTHORITIES APPLICABLE TO PAYMENT.—Any payment made pursuant to this subsection shall be made in accordance with the authorities and limitations in section 8121 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 (division C of Public Law 113–235), other than subsection (h) of such section.*

*(3) CONSTRUCTION WITH RESTRICTION ON AMOUNT OF PAYMENTS.—For purposes of the application of subsection (e) of such section 1201, as so amended, to any payment under this subsection, such payment shall be deemed to be a project described by such subsection (e).*

***SEC. 1223. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER DEFENSE ARTICLES AND PROVIDE DEFENSE SERVICES TO THE MILITARY AND SECURITY FORCES OF AFGHANISTAN.***

*(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (h) of section 1222 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1992), as amended by section 1231 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2105 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''.*

*(b) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—Subsection (f)(1) of such section, as so amended, is further amended by striking ''March 31, 2016'' and inserting ''March 31, 2017''.*

*(c) EXCESS DEFENSE ARTICLES.—Subsection (i)(2) of such section, as so amended, is further amended by striking '', 2014, and 2015'' each place it appears and inserting ''through 2016''.*

***SEC. 1224. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.***

*(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (a) of section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–81; 122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section 1222 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended—*

*(1) by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2016''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (1), by striking ''Operation Enduring Freedom'' and inserting ''Operation Freedom's Sentinel''.*

*(b) OTHER SUPPORT.—Subsection (b) of such section 1233, as so amended, is further amended by striking ''Operation Enduring Freedom'' and inserting ''Operation Freedom's Sentinel''.*

*(c) LIMITATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.—Subsection (d)(1) of such section 1233, as so amended, is further amended—*

*(1) in the second sentence, by striking ''during fiscal year 2015 may not exceed $1,200,000,000'' and inserting ''during fiscal year 2016 may not exceed $1,160,000,000''; and*

*(2) in the third sentence, by striking ''during fiscal year 2015 may not exceed $1,000,000,000'' and inserting ''during fiscal year 2016 may not exceed $900,000,000''.*

*(d) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—Subsection (f) of such section 1233, as added by section 1223(e) of the National Defense Authorization act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2520), is amended by striking ''on any'' and all that follows and inserting ''on any reimbursements made during such quarter under the authorities as follows:*

*''(1) Subsection (a).*

*''(2) Subsection (b).*

*''(3) Section 1224(h) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.''.*

*(e) EXTENSION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENT RELATING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED BY PAKISTAN.—Section 1232(b)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section 1222 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Act for Fiscal Year 2015, is further amended by striking ''September 30, 2015'' and inserting ''September 30, 2016''.*

*(f) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.—Section 1227(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2001), as so amended, is further amended by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2016''.*

*(g) ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.—Of the total amount of reimbursements and support authorized for Pakistan during fiscal year 2016 pursuant to the third sentence of section 1233(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (as amended by subsection (c)(2)), $300,000,000 shall not be eligible for the waiver under section 1227(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (126 Stat. 2001) unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that—*

*(1) Pakistan has undertaken military operations in North Waziristan that have contributed to significantly disrupting the safe haven and freedom of movement of the Haqqani network in Pakistan;*

*(2) Pakistan has taken actions that have demonstrated a commitment to ensuring that North Waziristan does not return to being a safe haven for the Haqqani network; and*

*(3) the Government of Pakistan has taken actions to promote stability in Afghanistan, including encouraging the participation of the Taliban in reconciliation talks with the Government of Afghanistan.*

*(h) AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN FUNDS FOR STABILITY ACTIVITIES IN FATA.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Of the total amount of reimbursements and support authorized for Pakistan during fiscal year 2016 pursuant to the third sentence of section 1233(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (as so amended), $100,000,000 may be available for stability activities undertaken by Pakistan in the Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA), including the provision of funds to the Pakistan military and the Pakistan Frontier Corps Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for activities undertaken in support of the following:*

*(A) Building and maintaining border outposts.*

*(B) Strengthening cooperative efforts between the Pakistan military and the Afghan National Defense Security Forces in activities that include—*

*(i) bilateral meetings to enhance border security coordination;*

*(ii) sustaining critical infrastructure within the Federally Administered Tribal Areas, such as maintaining key ground lines of communication;*

*(iii) increasing training for the Pakistan Frontier Corps Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; and*

*(iv) training to improve interoperability between the Pakistan military and the Pakistan Frontier Corps Khyber Pakhtunkwha.*

*(2) REPORT.—Not later than December 31, 2017, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the expenditure of funds available under paragraph (1), including a description of the following:*

*(A) The purpose for which such funds were expended.*

*(B) Each organization on whose behalf such funds were expended, including the amount expended on such organization and the number of members of such organization trained with such amount.*

*(C) Any limitation imposed on the expenditure of funds under that paragraph, including on any recipient of funds or any use of funds expended.*

*(3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ''appropriate congressional committees'' has the meaning given that term in section 1233(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008.*

***SEC. 1225. PROHIBITION ON TRANSFER TO VIOLENT EXTREMIST ORGANIZATIONS OF EQUIPMENT OR SUPPLIES PROVIDED BY THE UNITED STATES TO THE GOVERNMENT OF IRAQ.***

*(a) PROHIBITION.—No assistance authorized by section 1236 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) may be provided to the Government of Iraq after the date that is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress, after the date of the enactment of this Act, that appropriate steps have been taken by the Government of Iraq to safeguard against transferring or otherwise providing such assistance to violent extremist organizations.*

*(b) VIOLENT EXTREMIST ORGANIZATION.—For purposes of this section, an organization is a violent extremist organization if the organization—*

*(1) is a terrorist group or is associated with a terrorist group; or*

*(2) is known to be under the command and control of, or is associated with, the Government of Iran.*

*(c) REPORTS ON TRANSFERS OF EQUIPMENT OR SUPPLIES TO VIOLENT EXTREMIST ORGANIZATIONS.—*

*(1) REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days after the Secretary of Defense makes any determination that equipment or supplies provided pursuant to section 1236 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 have been transferred to a violent extremist organization, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the determination and the transfer.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include, for the transfer covered by such report, the following:*

*(A) An assessment of the type and quantity of equipment or supplies so transferred.*

*(B) A description of the criteria used to determine that the organization to which transferred was a violent extremist organization.*

*(C) A description, if known, of how such equipment or supplies were transferred or acquired by the violent extremist organization concerned.*

*(D) If such equipment or supplies are determined to remain under the current control of any violent extremist organization, a description of each such organization, including its relationship, if any, with the security forces of the Government of Iraq.*

*(E) A description of end use monitoring or other policies and procedures in place for the*

*equipment or supplies so transferred in order prevent the transfer or acquisition of such equipment or supplies by violent extremist organizations.*

*(d) SUBMITTAL TIME FOR QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORTS ON ASSISTANCE TO COUNTER ISIL.—Section 1236(d) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 is amended by striking ''30 days thereafter'' and inserting ''90 days thereafter''.*

***SEC. 1226. REPORT ON LINES OF COMMUNICATION OF ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT AND OTHER FOREIGN TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth the following:*

*(1) An assessment of the lines of communication that enable the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), Jabhal al-Nusra, and other foreign terrorist organizations by facilitating the delivery of foreign fighters, funding, equipment, or other assistance through countries bordering on Syria.*

*(2) An assessment of the impacts of the lines of communication described in paragraph (1) on the security of the United States homeland and the protection of personnel and installations of the Department of Defense and diplomatic facilities in Europe and the Middle East.*

*(b) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

***SEC. 1227. MODIFICATION OF PROTECTION FOR AFGHAN ALLIES.***

*(a) COVERED AFGHANS.—*

*(1) TERM OF EMPLOYMENT.—Clause (ii) of section 602(b)(2)(A) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended by striking ''year—'' and inserting ''year, or, if submitting a petition after September 30, 2015, for a period of not less than 2 years—''.*

*(2) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—*

*(A) SUCCESSOR NAME FOR INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE FORCE.—Subclause (II) of section 602(b)(2)(A)(ii) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended—*

*(i) in the matter preceding item (aa), by striking ''Force'' and inserting ''Force (or any successor name for such Force)'';*

*(ii) in item (aa), by striking ''Force,'' and inserting ''Force (or any successor name for such Force),''; and*

*(iii) in item (bb), by striking ''Force;'' and inserting ''Force (or any successor name for such Force);''.*

*(B) SHORT TITLE.—Section 601 of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 is amended by striking ''This Act'' and inserting ''This title''.*

*(C) EXECUTIVE AGENCY REFERENCE.—Section 602(c)(4) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 is amended by striking ''section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)'' and inserting ''section 133 of title 41, United States Code''.*

*(b) NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS.—Subparagraph (F) of section 602(b)(3) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended—*

*(1) in the heading, by striking ''2015 AND 2016'' and inserting ''2015, 2016, AND 2017'';*

*(2) in the matter preceding clause (i)—*

*(A) by striking ''and ending on September 30, 2016,'' and inserting ''until such time that available special immigrant visas under subparagraphs (D) and (E) and this subparagraph are exhausted,'' and*

*(B) by striking ''4,000.'' and inserting ''7,000.'';*

*(3) in clause (i), by striking ''September 30, 2015;'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016;'';*

*(4) in clause (ii), by striking ''December 31, 2015;'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016;''; and*

*(5) in clause (iii), by striking ''March 31, 2017.'' and inserting ''the date such visas are exhausted.''.*

*(c) REPORTS AND SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Section 602(b) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

*''(15) REPORTS INFORMING THE CONCLUSION OF THE AFGHAN SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISA PROGRAM.—Not later than June 1, 2016, and every six months thereafter, the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report that contains—*

*''(A) a description of the United States force presence in Afghanistan during the previous 6 months;*

*''(B) a description of the projected United States force presence in Afghanistan;*

*''(C) the number of citizens or nationals of Afghanistan who were employed by or on behalf of the entities described in paragraph (2)(A)(ii) during the previous 6 months; and*

*''(D) the projected number of such citizens or nationals who will be employed by or on behalf of such entities.*

*''(16) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the necessity of providing special immigrant status under this subsection should be assessed at regular intervals by the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, taking into account the scope of the current and planned presence of United States troops in Afghanistan, the current and prospective numbers of citizens and nationals of Afghanistan employed by or on behalf of the entities described in paragraph (2)(A)(ii), and the security climate in Afghanistan.''.*

***SEC. 1228. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.***

*(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (f)(1) of section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2016''.*

*(b) AMOUNT AVAILABLE.—Such section is further amended—*

*(1) in subsection (c), by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' and all that follows and inserting ''fiscal year 2016 may not exceed $80,000,000.''; and*

*(2) in subsection (d), by striking ''fiscal year 2015'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2016''.*

*(c) SUPERSEDING REPORT REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended to read as follows:*

*''(g) REPORTS.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than September 30, 2015, and every 180 days thereafter until the authority in this section expires, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*

*''(2) ELEMENTS.—Each report under this subsection shall include the following:*

*''(A) A current description of capability gaps in the security forces of Iraq, including capability gaps relating to intelligence matters, protection of Iraq airspace, and logistics and maintenance, and a current description of the extent, if any, to which the Government of Iraq has requested assistance in addressing such capability gaps.*

*''(B) A current description of the activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and the extent, if any, to which the programs conducted by the Office in conjunction with other United States programs (such as the Foreign Military Financing program, the Foreign Military Sales program, and the assistance provided pursuant to section 1236 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. 'Buck' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291)) will address the capability gaps described pursuant to subparagraph (A).*

*''(C) A current description of how the activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq are coordinated with, and complement and enhance, the assistance provided pursuant to section 1236 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. 'Buck' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.*

*''(D) A current description of end use monitoring programs, and any other programs or procedures, used to improve accountability for equipment provided to the Government of Iraq.*

*''(E) A current description of the measures of effectiveness used to evaluate the activities of the Office of the Security Cooperation in Iraq, and an analysis of any determinations to expand, alter, or terminate specific activities of the Office based on such evaluations.*

*''(F) A current evaluation of the effectiveness of the training described in subsection (f)(2) in promoting respect for human rights, military professionalism, and respect for legitimate civilian authority in Iraq.*

*''(3) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term 'appropriate committees of Congress' means—*

*''(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

*''(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.''.*

***SEC. 1229. SENSE OF SENATE ON SUPPORT FOR THE KURDISTAN REGIONAL GOVERNMENT.***

*(a) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) poses an acute threat to the people and territorial integrity of Iraq, including the Iraqi Kurdistan Region, and the security and stability of the Middle East and the world;*

*(2) the United States should, in coordination with coalition partners, provide, in an expeditious and responsive manner and without undue delay, the security forces of the Kurdistan Regional Government associated with the Government of Iraq with defense articles and assistance described in subsection (b), defense services, and related training to more effectively partner with the United States and other international coalition members to defeat the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant;*

*(3) defeating the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant is critical to maintaining a unified Iraq in which all faiths, sects, and ethnicities are afforded equal protection and full integration into the Government and society of Iraq;*

*(4) due to the threat to United States national security and a free and inclusive Iraq brought by the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, section 1236 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) authorizes the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance, including training, equipment, logistics support, supplies, and services, stipends, facility and infrastructure repair and renovation, and sustainment, to military and other security forces of or associated with the Government of Iraq, including Kurdish forces;*

*(5) leaders of the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant have stated that they intend to conduct terrorist attacks internationally, including against the United States, its citizens, and its interests; and*

*(6) the Kurdistan Regional Government is the democratically elected government of the Iraqi Kurdistan Region, and Iraqi Kurds have been a reliable, stable, and capable partner of the United States, particularly in support of United*

*States military and civilian personnel during Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation New Dawn.*

*(b) DEFENSE ARTICLES AND ASSISTANCE.—The defense articles and assistance described in this subsection include anti-tank and anti-armor weapons, armored vehicles, long-range artillery, crew-served weapons and ammunition, secure command and communications equipment, body armor, helmets, logistics equipment, night optical devices, and other excess defense articles and military assistance considered appropriate by the President.*

**SEC. 1230. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE SECURITY AND PROTECTION OF IRANIAN DISSIDENTS LIVING IN CAMP LIBERTY, IRAQ.**

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) The residents of Camp Liberty, Iraq, renounced violence and unilaterally disarmed more than a decade ago.*

*(2) The United States recognized the residents of the former Camp Ashraf who now reside in Camp Liberty as ''protected persons'' under the Fourth Geneva Convention and committed itself to protect the residents.*

*(3) The deterioration in the overall security situation in Iraq has increased the vulnerability of Camp Liberty residents to attacks from proxies of the Iranian Revolutionary Guards Corps and Sunni extremists associated with the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL).*

*(4) The increased vulnerability underscores the need for an expedited relocation process and that these Iranian dissidents will neither be safe nor secure in Camp Liberty.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the United States should—*

*(1) take prompt and appropriate steps in accordance with international agreements to promote the physical security and protection of Camp Liberty residents;*

*(2) urge the Government of Iraq to uphold its commitments to the United States to ensure the safety and well-being of those living in Camp Liberty;*

*(3) urge the Government of Iraq to ensure continued and reliable access to food, clean water, medical assistance, electricity and other energy needs, and any other equipment and supplies necessary to sustain the residents during periods of attack or siege by external forces;*

*(4) oppose the extradition of Camp Liberty residents to Iran;*

*(5) implement a strategy to provide for the safe, secure, and permanent relocation of Camp Liberty residents that includes a relocation plan, including a detailed outline of the steps that would need to be taken by recipient countries, the United States, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), and Camp residents to relocate the residents to other countries;*

*(6) encourage continued close cooperation between the residents of Camp Liberty and the authorities in the relocation process; and*

*(7) assist the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees in expediting the ongoing resettlement of all residents of Camp Liberty to safe locations outside Iraq.*

## *Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Iran*

**SEC. 1241. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF ANNUAL REPORT ON THE MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.**

*(a) ELEMENT ON CYBER CAPABILITIES IN DESCRIPTION OF STRATEGY.—Paragraph (1) of subsection (b) of section 1245 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2542) is amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking ''and'' at the end;*

*(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; and''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(D) Iranian strategy regarding offensive cyber capabilities and defensive cyber capabilities.''.*

*(b) ELEMENTS ON CYBER CAPABILITIES IN ASSESSMENTS OF UNCONVENTIONAL FORCES.—Paragraph (3) of such subsection, as amended by section 1232(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 920), is further amended—*

*(1) in subparagraph (D), by striking ''and'' at the end;*

*(2) in subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:*

*''(F) offensive cyber capabilities and defensive cyber capabilities; and*

*''(G) Iranian ability to manipulate the information environment both domestically and against the interests of the United States and its allies.''.*

*(c) EXTENSION OF REPORTS.—Subsection (d) of such section 1245, as amended by section 1277 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3592), is further amended by striking ''December 31, 2016'' and inserting ''December 31, 2021''.*

*(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to reports required to be submitted under section 1245 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, as so amended, after that date.*

## *Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation*

**SEC. 1251. UKRAINE SECURITY ASSISTANCE INITIATIVE.**

*(a) AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 by title XV and available for overseas contingency operations as specified in the funding tables in division D, $300,000,000 may be available to the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide appropriate security assistance and intelligence support, including training, equipment, and logistics support, supplies and services, to military and other security forces of the Government of Ukraine for the purposes as follows:*

*(1) To enhance the capabilities of the military and other security forces of the Government of Ukraine to defend against further aggression.*

*(2) To assist Ukraine in developing the combat capability to defend its sovereignty and territorial integrity.*

*(3) To support the Government of Ukraine in defending itself against actions by Russia and Russian-backed separatists that violate the ceasefire agreements of September 4, 2014, and February 11, 2015.*

*(b) APPROPRIATE SECURITY ASSISTANCE AND INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT.—For purposes of subsection (a), appropriate security assistance and intelligence support includes the following:*

*(1) Real time or near real time actionable intelligence.*

*(2) Lethal assistance such as anti-armor weapon systems, mortars, crew-served weapons and ammunition, grenade launchers and ammunition, and small arms and ammunition.*

*(3) Counter-artillery radars.*

*(4) Unmanned aerial tactical surveillance systems.*

*(5) Cyber capabilities.*

*(6) Counter-electronic warfare capabilities such as secure communications equipment and other electronic protection systems.*

*(7) Other electronic warfare capabilities.*

*(8) Training required to maintain and employ systems and capabilities described in paragraphs (1) through (7).*

*(9) Training for critical combat operations such as planning, command and control, small unit tactics, counter-artillery tactics, logistics, countering improvised explosive devices, battlefield first aid, and medical evacuation.*

*(10) Training and best practices to identify and treat post-traumatic stress disorder among Ukrainian Armed Forces and National Guard personnel.*

*(c) FUNDING AVAILABILITY AND LIMITATION.—*

*(1) TRAINING.—Up to 20 percent of the amount described in subsection (a) may be used to support training pursuant to section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (22 U.S.C. 2151 note), relating to the Global Security Contingency Fund.*

*(2) LIMITATION.—Not more than 50 percent of the amount described in subsection (a) may be obligated or expended until an amount equal to 20 percent of such amount has been obligated or expended for appropriate security assistance described in subparagraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (b) for the Government of Ukraine.*

*(3) ALTERNATIVE OF FUNDS.—In the event funds otherwise available pursuant to subsection (a) are not used by reason of the limitation in paragraph (2), such funds may be used at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense, with concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide security assistance and intelligence support, including training, equipment, logistics support, supplies and services to military and other national-level security forces of Partnership for Peace nations other than Ukraine that the Secretary of Defense determines to be appropriate to assist such governments in preserving their sovereignty and territorial integrity against Russian aggression.*

*(d) UNITED STATES INVENTORY AND OTHER SOURCES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any assistance provided pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense is authorized, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to make available to the Government of Ukraine weapons and other defense articles, from the United States inventory and other sources, and defense services, in such quantity as the Secretary of Defense determines to be appropriate to achieve the purposes specified in subsection (a).*

*(2) REPLACEMENT.—Amounts for the replacement of any items provided to the Government of Ukraine pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be derived from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for overseas contingency operations for weapons procurement.*

*(e) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to constitute a specific statutory authorization for the introduction of United States Armed Forces into hostilities or into situations wherein hostilities are clearly indicated by the circumstances.*

*(f) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—Assistance may not be provided under the authority in this section after December 31, 2017.*

**SEC. 1252. EASTERN EUROPEAN TRAINING INITIATIVE.**

*(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, carry out a program (to be known as the ''Eastern European Training Initiative'') to provide training, and pay the incremental expenses incurred by a country as the direct result of participation in such training, for the national military forces of the following:*

*(1) A country that is a signatory to the Partnership for Peace Framework Documents, but is not a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).*

*(2) A country that became a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization after January 1, 1999.*

*(b) TYPES OF TRAINING.—The training provided to the national military forces of a country under subsection (a) shall be limited to multilateral or regional training—*

*(1) to maintain and increase interoperability and readiness;*

*(2) to increase capacity to respond to external threats;*

*(3) to increase capacity to respond to hybrid warfare; or*

*(4) to increase capacity to respond to calls for collective action within the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.*

*(c) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—Training provided to the national military forces of a country under subsection (a) shall include elements that promote—*

*(1) observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms; and*

*(2) respect for legitimate civilian authority within that country.*

*(d) FUNDING.—*

*(1) ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for a fiscal year for the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance, up to $28,000,000 may be used to provide training and pay incremental expenses under subsection (a) in that fiscal year.*

*(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ACTIVITIES ACROSS FISCAL YEARS.—Amounts available in a fiscal year to carry out the authority in subsection (a) may be used for training under that authority that begins in that fiscal year and ends in the next fiscal year.*

*(e) BRIEFING TO CONGRESS ON USE OF AUTHORITY.—Not later that 90 days after the end of each fiscal year in which the authority in subsection (a) is used, the Secretary shall brief the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the use of the authority during such fiscal year, including each country with which training under the authority was conducted and the types of training provided.*

*(f) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority provided in subsection (a) is in addition to any other authority provided by law authorizing the provision of training for the national military forces of a foreign country, including section 2282 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(g) INCREMENTAL EXPENSES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''incremental expenses'' means the reasonable and proper cost of the goods and services that are consumed by a country as a direct result of that country's participation in training under the authority of this section, including rations, fuel, training ammunition, and transportation. Such term does not include pay, allowances, and other normal costs of a country's personnel.*

*(h) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2018. Any activity under this section initiated before that date may be completed, but only using funds available for fiscal years 2016 through 2018.*

***SEC. 1253. INCREASED PRESENCE OF UNITED STATES GROUND FORCES IN EASTERN EUROPE TO DETER AGGRESSION ON THE BORDER OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION.***

*(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) the increased presence of United States and allied ground forces in Eastern Europe since April 2014 has provided a level of reassurance to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) members in the region and strengthened the capability of the Organization to respond to any potential Russian aggression against Organization members;*

*(2) at the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Wales summit in September 2014 member countries agreed on a Readiness Action Plan which is intended to improve the ability of the Organization to respond quickly and effectively to security threats on the borders of the Organization, including in Eastern Europe, and the challenges posed by hybrid warfare;*

*(3) the capability of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization to respond to threats on the eastern border of the Organization would be enhanced by a more sustained presence on the ground of Organization forces on the territories of Organization members in Eastern Europe; and*

*(4) an increased presence of United States ground forces in Eastern Europe should be matched by an increased force presence of European allies.*

*(b) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of options for expanding the presence of United States ground forces of the size of a Brigade Combat Team in Eastern Europe to respond, along with European allies and partners, to the security challenges posed by Russia and increase the combat capability of forces able to respond to unconventional or hybrid warfare tactics such as those used by the Russian Federation in Crimea and Eastern Ukraine.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report under this subsection shall include the following:*

*(A) An evaluation of the optimal location or locations of the enhanced ground force presence described in paragraph (1) that considers such factors as—*

*(i) proximity, suitability, and availability of maneuver and gunnery training areas;*

*(ii) transportation capabilities;*

*(iii) availability of facilities, including for potential equipment storage and prepositioning;*

*(iv) ability to conduct multinational training and exercises;*

*(v) a site or sites for prepositioning of equipment, a rotational presence or permanent presence of troops, or a combination of options; and*

*(vi) costs.*

*(B) A description of any initiatives by other members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, or other European allies and partners, for enhancing force presence on a permanent or rotational basis in Eastern Europe to match or exceed the potential increased presence of United States ground forces in the region.*

***SEC. 1254. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON EUROPEAN DEFENSE AND NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SPENDING.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) countries, at the 2014 North Atlantic Treaty Organization Summit in Wales, pledged to ''reverse the trend of declining defense budgets, to make the most effective use of our funds and to further a more balanced sharing of costs and responsibilities''.*

*(2) Former Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel stated on May 2, 2014, that ''[t]oday, America's GDP is smaller than the combined GDPs of our 27 NATO allies. But America's defense spending is three times our Allies' combined defense spending. Over time, this lopsided burden threatens NATO's integrity, cohesion, and capability, and ultimately both European and transatlantic security''.*

*(3) Former North Atlantic Treaty Organization Secretary General Anders Fogh Rasmussen stated on July 3, 2014, that ''[d]uring the last five years, Russia has increased defense spending by 50 percent, while NATO allies on average have decrease their defense spending by 20 percent. That is not sustainable, we need more investment in defense and security''.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) it is in the national security and fiscal interests of the United States that prompt efforts should be undertaken by North Atlantic Treaty Organization allies to meet defense budget commitments made in Declaration 14 of the Wales Summit Declaration of September 2014;*

*(2) the United States Government should continue efforts through the Department of Defense and other agencies to encourage North Atlantic Treaty Organization allies towards meeting the defense spending goals set out at the Wales Summit;*

*(3) some North Atlantic Treaty Organization allies have already taken positive steps to reverse declines in defense spending and should continue to be supported in those efforts; and*

*(4) thoughtful and coordinated defense investments by European allies in military capabilities would add deterrence value to the posture of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization against Russian aggression and terrorist organizations and more appropriately balance the share of Atlantic defense spending.*

***SEC. 1255. ADDITIONAL MATTERS IN ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.***

*(a) ADDITIONAL MATTERS.—Subsection (b) of section 1245 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended—*

*(1) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through (15) as paragraphs (6) through (17), respectively; and*

*(2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraphs (4) and (5):*

*''(4) An assessment of the force structure and capabilities of Russian military forces stationed in each of the Arctic, Kaliningrad, and Crimea, including a description of any changes to such force structure or capabilities during the one-year period ending on the date of such report and with a particular emphasis on the anti-access and area denial capabilities of such forces.*

*''(5) An assessment of Russian military strategy and objectives for the Arctic region.''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to reports submitted under section 1245 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 after that date.*

***SEC. 1256. REPORT ON ALTERNATIVE CAPABILITIES TO PROCURE AND SUSTAIN NONSTANDARD ROTARY WING AIRCRAFT HISTORICALLY PROCURED THROUGH ROSOBORONEXPORT.***

*(a) REPORT ON ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVE CAPABILITIES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment, obtained by the Under Secretary for purposes of the report, of the feasibility and advisability of using alternative industrial base capabilities to procure and sustain, with parts and service, nonstandard rotary wing aircraft historically acquired through Rosoboronexport, or nonstandard rotary wing aircraft that are in whole or in part reliant upon Rosoboronexport for continued sustainment, in order to benefit United States national security interests.*

*(b) INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.—The assessment obtained for purposes of subsection (a) shall be conducted by a federally funded research and development center (FFRDC), or another appropriate independent entity with expertise in the procurement and sustainment of complex weapon systems, selected by the Under Secretary for purposes of the assessment.*

*(c) ELEMENTS.—The assessment obtained for purposes of subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) An identification and assessment of international industrial base capabilities, other than Rosoboronexport, to provide one or more of the following:*

*(A) Means of procuring nonstandard rotary wing aircraft historically procured through Rosoboronexport.*

*(B) Reliable and timely supply of required and appropriate parts, spares, and consumables of such aircraft.*

*(C) Certifiable maintenance of such aircraft, including major periodic overhauls, damage repair, and modifications.*

*(D) Access to required reference data on such aircraft, including technical manuals and service bulletins.*

*(E) Credible certification of airworthiness of such aircraft through physical inspection, notwithstanding any current administrative requirements to the contrary.*

*(2) An assessment (including an assessment of associated costs and risks) of alterations to administrative processes of the United States Government that may be required to procure any of the capabilities specified in paragraph (1), including waivers to Department of Defense or Department of State requirements applicable to foreign military sales or alterations to procedures for approval of airworthiness certificates.*

*(3) An assessment of the potential economic impact to Rosoboronexport of procuring nonstandard rotary wing aircraft described in paragraph (1)(A) through entities other than Rosoboronexport.*

*(4) An assessment of the risks and benefits of using the entities identified pursuant to paragraph (1)(A) to procure aircraft described in that paragraph.*

*(5) Such other matters as the Under Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(d) USE OF PREVIOUS STUDIES.—The entity conducting the assessment for purposes of subsection (a) may use and incorporate information from previous studies on matters appropriate to the assessment.*

*(e) FORM OF REPORT.—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

***Subtitle E—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region***

***SEC. 1261. SOUTH CHINA SEA INITIATIVE.***

*(a) ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, is authorized, for the purpose of increasing maritime security and maritime domain awareness of foreign countries along the South China Sea—*

*(A) to provide assistance to national military or other security forces of such countries that have among their functional responsibilities maritime security missions; and*

*(B) to provide training to ministry, agency, and headquarters level organizations for such forces.*

*(2) DESIGNATION OF ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—The provision of assistance and training under this section may be referred to as the ''South China Sea Initiative''.*

*(b) RECIPIENT COUNTRIES.—The foreign countries that may be provided assistance and training under subsection (a) are the following:*

*(1) Indonesia.*

*(2) Malaysia,*

*(3) The Philippines.*

*(4) Thailand.*

*(5) Vietnam.*

*(c) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—*

*(1) AUTHORIZED ELEMENTS OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided under subsection (a)(1)(A) may include the provision of equipment, supplies, training, and small-scale military construction.*

*(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS OF ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—Assistance and training provided under subsection (a) shall include elements that promote the following:*

*(A) Observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms.*

*(B) Respect for legitimate civilian authority within the country to which the assistance is provided.*

*(d) PRIORITIES FOR ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—In developing programs for assistance or training to be provided under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall accord a priority to assistance, training, or both that will enhance the maritime capabilities of the recipient foreign country, or a regional organization of which the recipient country is a member, to respond to emerging threats to maritime security.*

*(e) INCREMENTAL EXPENSES OF PERSONNEL OF CERTAIN OTHER COUNTRIES FOR TRAINING.—*

*(1) AUTHORITY FOR PAYMENT.—If the Secretary of Defense determines that the payment of incremental expenses in connection with training described in subsection (a)(1)(B) will facilitate the participation in such training of organization personnel of foreign countries specified in paragraph (2), the Secretary may use amounts available under subsection (f) for assistance and training under subsection (a) for the payment of such incremental expenses.*

*(2) COVERED COUNTRIES.—The foreign countries specified in this paragraph are the following:*

*(A) Brunei.*

*(B) Singapore.*

*(C) Taiwan.*

*(f) FUNDING.—Funds may be used to provide assistance and training under subsection (a) as follows:*

*(1) In fiscal year 2016, $50,000,000 from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for that fiscal year for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide.*

*(2) In fiscal year 2017, $75,000,000 from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for that fiscal year for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide.*

*(3) In each of fiscal years 2018 through 2020, $100,000,000 from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for such fiscal year for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide.*

*(g) NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—Not later than 15 days before exercising the authority under subsection (a) or (e) with respect to a recipient foreign country, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notification containing the following:*

*(1) The recipient foreign country.*

*(2) A detailed justification of the program for the provision of the assistance or training concerned, and its relationship to United States security interests.*

*(3) The budget for the program, including a timetable of planned expenditures of funds to implement the program, an implementation timeline for the program with milestones (including anticipated delivery schedules for any assistance under the program), the military department or component responsible for management of the program, and the anticipated completion date for the program.*

*(4) A description of the arrangements, if any, to support host nation sustainment of any capability developed pursuant to the program, and the source of funds to support sustainment efforts and performance outcomes to be achieved under the program beyond its completion date, if applicable.*

*(5) A description of the program objectives and an assessment framework to be used to develop capability and performance metrics associated with operational outcomes for the recipient force.*

*(6) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(h) EXPIRATION.—The authority provided under this section may not be exercised after September 30, 2020.*

***SEC. 1262. SENSE OF CONGRESS REAFFIRMING THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPLEMENTING THE REBALANCE TO THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) The United States has a longstanding national interest in maintaining security in the Asia-Pacific region.*

*(2) The Asia-Pacific region is home to the world's three largest economies, four most populous countries, and five largest militaries. The Asia-Pacific's rapid economic growth and mounting security tensions require a renewed focus from the United States on the region to maintain security, expand prosperity, and support common values.*

*(3) In 2011, President Barack Obama announced that the United States would rebalance to the Asia-Pacific. Since then, there have been a number of actions taken to strengthen the United States posture and relationships in the region, including the negotiation of the Enhanced Defense Cooperation Agreement with the Philippines, the distributed laydown of the United States Marines Corps in the Pacific, the rotational stationing of the Littoral Combat Ship in Singapore, and a new comprehensive partnership with Vietnam on defense and security.*

*(4) Leaders in regional states remain concerned about a variety of regional military challenges. These include China's military modernization and its increasingly assertive actions in the East and South China Sea and North Korea's continued belligerence and its pursuit of nuclear and ballistic missile technology. United States allies and partners are looking to the United States to demonstrate its willingness and ability to maintain regional peace and security by fully implementing the rebalance to the Asia-Pacific.*

*(5) In April 2015, the Commander of the United States Pacific Command Admiral Samuel Locklear warned, ''Our relative superiority I think has declined and continues to decline. . .we rely very heavily on power projection, which means we have to be able to get the forces forward. . .''. Admiral Locklear also noted, ''Any significant force structure moves out of my AOR in the middle of a rebalance would have to be understood and have to be explained because it would counterintuitive to a rebalance to move significant forces in another direction.''*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) in order to maintain the credibility of the United States rebalance, it is vital that the United States continue to shift forces to the Asia-Pacific region to strengthen the ability of the United States Armed Forces to project power to shape the choices of regional states and to deter, and if necessary defend, against hostile military actions;*

*(2) United States allies and partners in the Asia-Pacific region, as well as potential adversaries, would take note of any withdrawal of forces from the Asia-Pacific theater;*

*(3) any withdrawal of United States forces from Outside the Continental United States (''OCONUS'') Asia-Pacific region or from United States Pacific Command would therefore seriously undermine the rebalance; and*

*(4) in order to properly implement United States rebalance policy, United States forces under the operational control of the United States Pacific Command should be increased consistent with commitments already made by the Department of Defense and aligned with the requirement to maintain a balance of military power that favors the United States and United States allies in the Asia-Pacific region.*

***SEC. 1263. SENSE OF SENATE ON TAIWAN ASYMMETRIC MILITARY CAPABILITIES AND BILATERAL TRAINING ACTIVITIES.***

*It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the United States, in accordance with the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96–8), should continue to make available to Taiwan such defense articles and services as may be necessary to enable Taiwan to maintain a sufficient self-defense;*

*(2) the United States should continue to support the efforts of Taiwan to integrate innovative and asymmetric measures to balance the growing military capabilities of the People's Republic of China, including fast-attack craft, coastal-defense cruise missiles, rapid-runway repair systems, offensive mines, and submarines optimized for defense of the Taiwan straits;*

*(3) the military forces of Taiwan should be permitted to participate in bilateral training activities hosted by the United States that increase credible deterrent capabilities of Taiwan, particularly those that emphasize the defense of Taiwan Island from missile attack, maritime blockade, and amphibious invasion by the People's Republic of China;*

*(4) toward that goal, Taiwan should be encouraged to participate in exercises that include realistic air-to-air combat training, including the exercise conducted at Eielson Air Force Base, Alaska, and Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, commonly referred to as ''Red Flag''; and*

*(5) Taiwan should also be encouraged to participate in advanced bilateral training for its ground forces, Apache attack helicopters, and P–3C surveillance aircraft in island-defense scenarios.*

***SEC. 1264. MILITARY EXCHANGES BETWEEN SENIOR OFFICERS AND OFFICIALS OF THE UNITED STATES AND TAIWAN.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense should carry out a program of exchanges of senior military officers and senior officials between the United States and Taiwan designed to improve military to military relations between the United States and Taiwan.*

*(b) EXCHANGES DESCRIBED.—For the purposes of this section, an exchange is an activity, exercise, event, or observation opportunity between members of the Armed Forces and officials of the Department of Defense, on the one hand, and armed forces personnel and officials of Taiwan, on the other hand.*

*(c) FOCUS OF EXCHANGES.—The exchanges under the program carried out pursuant to subsection (a) shall include exchanges focused on the following:*

*(1) Threat analysis.*

*(2) Military doctrine.*

*(3) Force planning.*

*(4) Logistical support.*

*(5) Intelligence collection and analysis.*

*(6) Operational tactics, techniques, and procedures.*

*(7) Humanitarian assistance and disaster relief.*

*(d) CIVIL-MILITARY AFFAIRS.—The exchanges under the program carried out pursuant to subsection (a) shall include activities and exercises focused on civil-military relations, including parliamentary relations.*

*(e) LOCATION OF EXCHANGES.—The exchanges under the program carried out pursuant to subsection (a) shall be conducted in both the United States and Taiwan.*

*(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) The term ''senior military officer'', with respect to the Armed Forces, means a general or flag officer of the Armed Forces on active duty.*

*(2) The term ''senior official'', with respect to the Department of Defense, means a civilian official of the Department of Defense at the level of Assistant Secretary of Defense or above.*

***SEC. 1265. STRATEGY TO PROMOTE UNITED STATES INTERESTS IN THE INDO-ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.***

*(a) STRATEGY.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall develop an overall strategy to promote United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region. Such strategy shall be informed by the following:*

*(1) The national security strategy of the United States for 2015 set forth in the national security strategy report required under section 108(a)(3) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 5043(a)(3)), as such strategy relates to United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region.*

*(2) The 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR), as it relates to United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region.*

*(3) The 2015 Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review (QDDR), as it relates to United States interests in the Indo-Asia-Pacific region.*

*(4) The strategy to prioritize United States defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region as contained in the report required by section 1251(a) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3570).*

*(5) The integrated, multi-year planning and budget strategy for a rebalancing of United States policy in Asia submitted to Congress pursuant to section 7043(a) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2014 (division K of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113–76)).*

*(b) PRESIDENTIAL POLICY DIRECTIVE.—The President shall issue a Presidential Policy Directive to appropriate departments and agencies of the United States Government that contains the strategy developed under subsection (a) and includes implementing guidance to such departments and agencies.*

*(c) RELATION TO AGENCY PRIORITY GOALS AND ANNUAL BUDGET.—*

*(1) AGENCY PRIORITY GOALS.—In identifying agency priority goals under section 1120(b) of title 31, United States Code, for each appropriate department and agency of the United States Government, the head of such department or agency, or as otherwise determined by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall take into consideration the strategy developed under subsection (a) and the Presidential Policy Directive issued under subsection (b).*

*(2) ANNUAL BUDGET.—The President shall, acting through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, ensure that the annual budget submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, includes a separate section that clearly highlights programs and projects that are being funded in the annual budget that relate to the strategy developed under subsection (a) and the Presidential Policy Directive issued under subsection (b).*

***Subtitle F—Reports and Related Matters***

***SEC. 1271. ITEM IN QUARTERLY REPORTS ON ASSISTANCE TO COUNTER THE ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND THE LEVANT ON FORCES INELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE ASSISTANCE DUE TO A GROSS VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS.***

*(a) ITEM IN REPORTS.—Section 1236(d) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(11) A list of the forces or elements of forces restricted from receiving assistance under subsection (a), unless waived pursuant to subsection (j), as a result of vetting required by subsection (e) or section 2249e of title 10, United States Code, and a detailed description of the reasons for such restriction, including for each force or element—*

*''(A) information relating to gross violation of human rights by such force or element (including the timeframe of the alleged violation);*

*''(B) the source of the information described in subparagraph (A), and an assessment of the veracity of the information;*

*''(C) the association of such force or element with terrorist groups or groups associated with the Government of Iran; and*

*''(D) the amount and type of any assistance provided such force or element by the Government of Iran.''.*

*(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to reports submitted pursuant to section 1236(d) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 after that date.*

***SEC. 1272. UNITED STATES-ISRAEL ANTI-TUNNEL COOPERATION.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) Tunnels can be used for criminal purposes, such as smuggling drugs, weapons, or humans, or for terrorist or military purposes, such as launching surprise attacks or detonating explosives underneath civilian or military infrastructure.*

*(2) Tunnels have been a growing threat on the southern border of the United States for years.*

*(3) In the conflict in Gaza in 2014, terrorists used tunnels to conduct attacks against Israel.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) it is in the national security interests of the United States to develop technology to detect and counter tunnels, and the best way to do this is to partner with other affected countries;*

*(2) the Administration should, on a joint basis with Israel, carry out research, development, test, and evaluation of anti-tunnel capabilities to detect, map, and neutralize underground tunnels that threaten the United States or Israel; and*

*(3) the Administration should use developed anti-tunnel capabilities to better protect the United States and deployed United States military personnel.*

*(c) AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH ANTI-TUNNEL CAPABILITIES PROGRAM WITH ISRAEL.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, upon request of the Ministry of Defense of Israel and in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, is authorized to carry out research, development, test, and evaluation, on a joint basis with Israel, to establish anti-tunnel capabilities to detect, map, and neutralize underground tunnels that threaten the United States or Israel. Such authority includes authority to construct facilities and install equipment necessary to carry out research, development, test, and evaluation so authorized. Any activities carried out pursuant to such authority shall be conducted in a manner that appropriately protects sensitive information and United States and Israel national security interests.*

*(2) REPORT.—The activities described in paragraph (1) and subsection (d) may be carried out after the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth the following:*

*(A) A memorandum of agreement between the United States and Israel regarding sharing of research and development costs for the capabilities described in paragraph (1), and any supporting documents.*

*(B) A certification that the memorandum of agreement—*

*(i) requires sharing of costs of projects, including in-kind support, between the United States and Israel;*

*(ii) establishes a framework to negotiate the rights to any intellectual property developed under the memorandum of agreement; and*

*(iii) requires the United States Government to receive quarterly reports on expenditure of funds, if any, by the Government of Israel, including a description of what the funds have been used for, when funds were expended, and an identification of entities that expended the funds.*

*(d) ASSISTANCE IN CONNECTION WITH PROGRAM.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to provide procurement, maintenance, and sustainment assistance to Israel in support of the anti-tunnel capabilities research, development, test, and evaluation activities authorized in subsection (c)(1).*

*(2) REPORT.—Assistance may not be provided under paragraph (1) until 15 days after the Secretary submits to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth a detailed description of the assistance to be provided.*

*(3) MATCHING CONTRIBUTION.—Assistance may not be provided under this subsection unless the Government of Israel contributes an amount not less than the amount of assistance to be so provided to the program, project, or activity for which the assistance is to be so provided.*

*(e) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress on a quarterly basis a report that contains a copy of the most recent quarterly report provided by the Government of Israel to the Department of Defense pursuant to subsection (c)(2)(B)(iii).*

*(f) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' means—*

*(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Homeland Security, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

*(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Homeland Security, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.*

*(g) SUNSET.—The authority in this section to carry out activities described in subsection (c), and to provide assistance described in subsection (d), shall expire on the date that is three years after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

**SEC. 1273. SENSE OF SENATE AND REPORT ON QATAR FIGHTER AIRCRAFT CAPABILITY CONTRIBUTION TO REGIONAL SECURITY.**

*(a) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the United States should consider, in a timely manner, opportunities to enhance the strike capability of fighter aircraft of the Qatar air force that would contribute to Qatar's self-defense and deter Iran's regional ambitions and simultaneously preserve the qualitative military edge of Israel; and*

*(2) Qatar should be afforded the opportunity through acquisition of appropriate technologies and exercises with the United States Armed Forces and the armed forces of partner nations to develop improved self-defense and counter force aviation capabilities that advanced fighter aircraft would provide.*

*(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 31, 2016, the Secretary of Defense, shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the risks and benefits under consideration as they relate to capabilities described in subsection (a).*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) A description of the key assumptions regarding the increase to Qatar air force capabilities as a result of potential pending transfer of technologies and weapons systems.*

*(B) A description of the key assumptions regarding items described in subparagraph (A) as they impact considerations regarding preservation of Israel's qualitative military edge.*

*(C) Estimated timelines for final adjudication of decisions to approve such transfers.*

*(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph (1) may be submitted in classified or unclassified form.*

**SEC. 1274. REPORT ON THE SECURITY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE REPUBLIC OF CYPRUS.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the security relationship between the United States and the Republic of Cyprus.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:*

*(1) A description of ongoing military and security cooperation between the United States and the Republic of Cyprus.*

*(2) A discussion of potential steps for enhancing the bilateral security relationship between the United States and Cyprus, including steps to enhance the military and security capabilities of the Republic of Cyprus.*

*(3) An analysis of the effect on the bilateral security relationship of the United States policy to deny applications for licenses and other approvals for the export of defense articles and defense services to the armed forces of Cyprus.*

*(4) An analysis of the extent to which such United States policy is consistent with overall United States security and policy objectives in the region.*

*(5) An assessment of the potential impact of lifting such United States policy.*

*(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term ''appropriate congressional committees'' means—*

*(1) the congressional defense committees; and*

*(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

**Subtitle G—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1281. NATO SPECIAL OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS.**

*Section 1244(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2541), as most recently amended by section 1272(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2023), is further amended by striking ''each of fiscal years 2013, 2014, and 2015'' and inserting ''each of fiscal years 2016, 2017, and 2018''.*

**SEC. 1282. TWO-YEAR EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORIZATION FOR NON-CONVENTIONAL ASSISTED RECOVERY CAPABILITIES.**

*(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (h) of section 943 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4579), as most recently amended by section 1261(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), is further amended by striking ''2016'' and inserting ''2018''.*

*(b) SOURCE OF FUNDS.—Subsection (a) of such section 943, as amended by section 1205(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1623), is further amended by striking ''for 'Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide' '' and inserting ''for the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance''.*

*(c) OVERSIGHT.—Subsection (b) of such section 943 is amended—*

*(1) by striking ''(b) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary'' and inserting the following:*

*''(b) PROCEDURES AND OVERSIGHT.—*

*''(1) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(2) PROGRAMMATIC AND POLICY OVERSIGHT.—The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict shall have primary programmatic and policy oversight of non-conventional assisted recovery activities authorized by this section.''.*

**TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION**

**SEC. 1301. SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS.**

*(a) FISCAL YEAR 2016 COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS DEFINED.—As used in this title, the term ''fiscal year 2016 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds'' means the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program established under section 1321 of the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Act (50 U.S.C. 3711).*

*(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program shall be available for obligation for fiscal years 2016, 2017, and 2018.*

**SEC. 1302. FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

*Of the $358,496,000 authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program established under section 1321 of the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Act (50 U.S.C. 3711), the following amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:*

*(1) For strategic offensive arms elimination, $1,289,000.*

*(2) For chemical weapons destruction, $942,000.*

*(3) For global nuclear security, $20,555,000.*

*(4) For cooperative biological engagement, $264,608,000.*

*(5) For proliferation prevention, $38,945,000.*

*(6) For threat reduction engagement, $2,827,000.*

*(7) For activities designated as Other Assessments/Administrative Costs, $29,320,000.*

**TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**Subtitle A—Military Programs**

**SEC. 1401. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1402. NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the National Defense Sealift Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1403. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.**

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

*(b) USE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a) are authorized for—*

*(1) the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and*

*(2) the destruction of chemical warfare materiel of the United States that is not covered by section 1412 of such Act.*

**SEC. 1404. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1405. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

**SEC. 1406. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense in providing for the health of eligible beneficiaries.*

**Subtitle B—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1411. AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO JOINT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE-DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND FOR CAPTAIN JAMES A. LOVELL HEALTH CARE CENTER, ILLINOIS.**

*(a) AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by section 1406 and available for the Defense Health Program for operation and maintenance, $120,400,000 may be transferred by the Secretary of Defense to the Joint Department of Defense–Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund established by subsection (a)(1) of section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public*

*Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2571). For purposes of subsection (a)(2) of such section 1704, any funds so transferred shall be treated as amounts authorized and appropriated specifically for the purpose of such a transfer.*

*(b) USE OF TRANSFERRED FUNDS.—For the purposes of subsection (b) of such section 1704, facility operations for which funds transferred under subsection (a) may be used are operations of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center, the Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility under an operational agreement covered by section 706 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4500).*

**SEC. 1412. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.**

*There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund the sum of $64,300,000 for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.*

**SEC. 1413. INSPECTIONS OF THE ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME BY THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

*(a) INSPECTIONS.—Subsection (b)(1) of section 1518 of the Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991 (24 U.S.C. 418) is amended by striking ''a comprehensive inspection of all aspects of each facility of the Retirement Home'' and all that follows and inserting ''an inspection of the Retirement Home. The Inspector General shall determine the scope of each such inspection using a risk-based analysis of the operations of the Retirement Home.''.*

*(b) REPORTS.—Subsection (c)(1) of such section is amended in the second sentence by striking ''Not later than 90 days after completing the inspection of the facility, the Inspector General'' and inserting ''The Inspector General''.*

## TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 1501. PURPOSE.**

*The purpose of this subtitle is to authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency operations being carried out by the Armed Forces.*

**SEC. 1502. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense for overseas contingency operations in such amounts as may be designated as provided in section 251(b)(2)(A)(ii) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.*

**SEC. 1503. PROCUREMENT.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for procurement accounts for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4102.*

**SEC. 1504. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation, as specified in the funding table in section 4202.*

**SEC. 1505. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4302.*

**SEC. 1506. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.*

**SEC. 1507. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.*

**SEC. 1508. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.*

**SEC. 1509. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.*

**SEC. 1510. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.*

**SEC. 1511. COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND.**

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.*

*(b) DURATION OF AVAILABILITY.—Amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available for obligation through September 30, 2017.*

### Subtitle B—Financial Matters

**SEC. 1521. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATIONS.**

*The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.*

**SEC. 1522. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

*(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—*

*(1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2016 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.*

*(2) LIMITATION.—The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this subsection may not exceed $4,000,000,000.*

*(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Transfers under this section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions as transfers under section 1001.*

*(c) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided by this section is in addition to the transfer authority provided under section 1001.*

### Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters

**SEC. 1531. AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.**

*(a) CONTINUATION OF PRIOR AUTHORITIES AND NOTICE AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2016 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 428), as amended by section 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).*

*(b) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CERTAIN EQUIPMENT.—Section 1532(b)(1) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended by striking ''this Act'' and inserting ''Acts enacted before the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.''.*

**SEC. 1532. JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND.**

*(a) USE AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1514 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2439), as in effect before the amendments made by section 1503 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4649), shall apply to the funds made available to the Department of Defense for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund for fiscal year 2016.*

*(b) EXTENSION OF INTERDICTION OF IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE PRECURSOR CHEMICALS AUTHORITY.—Section 1532(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2057) is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting ''and for fiscal year 2016,'' after ''fiscal year 2013,''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (4), as most recently amended by section 1533(c) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291), by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''.*

*(c) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN ASSIGNMENTS OF PERSONNEL.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization may be used for the purposes of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization assigning personnel or contractors on a permanent or temporary basis, or as a detail, to the combatant commands or associated military components, or the combat support agencies, unless such personnel or contractors are supporting—*

*(1) Operation Freedom's Sentinel or any successor operation to that operation;*

*(2) Operation Inherent Resolve or any successor operation to that operation; or*

*(3) another operation that, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, requires the direct support of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.*

*(d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—If after the date of the enactment of this Act the Secretary of Defense makes a determination described in subsection (c)(3) that an operation requires the direct support of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notice of the determination and the reasons for the determination.*

*(e) LIMITATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF JIEDDO AS COMBAT SUPPORT AGENCY.—Relating to the determination by the Deputy Secretary of Defense on March 11, 2015, to make the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization a combat support agency, the Secretary of Defense is prohibited from implementing such determination until 90 days after the date on which the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:*

*(1) A detailed plan for the disposition of the Organization as a combat support agency, including the enduring requirements and key*

*functions of the Organization, the chain of command for the Organization, and funding for the Organization as such an agency.*

*(2) A statement of potential alternative means to achieving the objective of designating the Organization as a combat support agency, including the assumption of one or more functions of the Organization by one or more other components or elements of the Department of Defense, and an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of each such alternative.*

**SEC. 1533. AVAILABILITY OF JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND FUNDS FOR TRAINING OF FOREIGN SECURITY FORCES TO DEFEAT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICES.**

*(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund, up to $30,000,000 may be available to provide training to foreign security forces in defeating improvised explosive devices under authority provided the Department of Defense under any other provision of law.*

*(b) CONSTRUCTION OF AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The availability of funds under subsection (a) shall not be construed as authority in and of itself for the provision of training as described in that subsection.*

*(c) GEOGRAPHIC LIMITATION.—Training may be provided using funds available under subsection (a) only—*

*(1) in locations in which the Department of Defense is conducting a named operation; or*

*(2) in geographic areas in which the Secretary of Defense has determined that a foreign security force is facing a significant threat from improvised explosive devices.*

*(d) COORDINATION WITH GEOGRAPHIC COMBATANT COMMANDS.—The Secretary shall, to the extent practicable, coordinate the provision of training using funds available under subsection (a) with requests received from the commanders of the geographic combatant commands.*

*(e) EXPIRATION.—The authority to use funds described in subsection (a) in accordance with this section shall expire on December 31, 2018.*

## TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

### Subtitle A—Space Activities

**SEC. 1601. INTEGRATED POLICY TO DETER ADVERSARIES IN SPACE.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The President shall establish an interagency process to provide for the development of a policy to deter adversaries in space—*

*(1) with the objectives of—*

*(A) reducing risks to the United States and allies of the United States in space; and*

*(B) protecting and preserving the rights, access, capabilities, use, and freedom of action of the United States in space and the right of the United States to respond to an attack in space and, if necessary, deny adversaries the use of space capabilities hostile to the national interests of the United States; and*

*(2) that integrates the interests and responsibilities of the agencies participating in the process.*

*(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth the policy developed pursuant to subsection (a).*

*(2) FUNDING RESTRICTION.—If the President has not submitted the policy developed under subsection (a) and the answers to Enclosure 1, regarding offensive space control policy, of the classified annex to this Act, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by the date required by paragraph (1), an amount equal to $10,000,000 of the amount authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 to provide support services to the Executive Office of the President shall be withheld from obligation or expenditure until the policy and such answers are submitted to such Committees.*

*(3) FORM OF REPORT.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

**SEC. 1602. PRINCIPAL ADVISOR ON SPACE CONTROL.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***"§ 2279a. Principal Advisor on Space Control***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall designate an individual to serve as the Principal Space Control Advisor, who shall act as the principal advisor to the Secretary on space control activities.*

*''(b) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Principal Space Control Advisor shall be responsible for the following:*

*''(1) Supervision of space control activities related to the development, procurement, and employment of, and strategy relating to, space control capabilities.*

*''(2) Oversight of policy, resources, personnel, and acquisition and technology relating to space control activities.*

*''(c) CROSS-FUNCTIONAL TEAM.—The Principal Space Control Advisor shall integrate the space control expertise and perspectives of appropriate organizational entities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the military departments, the Defense Agencies, and the combatant commands, by establishing and maintaining a full-time, cross-functional team of subject-matter experts from those entities.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2799 the following new item:*

*''2279a. Principal Advisor on Space Control.''.*

**SEC. 1603. EXCEPTION TO THE PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH RUSSIAN SUPPLIERS OF ROCKET ENGINES FOR THE EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM.**

*Section 1608 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3626; 10 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by striking ''subsections (b) and (c)'' and inserting ''subsections (b), (c), and (d)''; and*

*(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(d) SPECIAL RULE FOR PHASE 1A COMPETITIVE OPPORTUNITIES.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—For not more than 9 competitive opportunities described in paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense may award a contract—*

*''(A) requiring the use of a rocket engine designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation that is eligible for a waiver under subsection (b) or an exception under subsection (c); or*

*''(B) if a rocket engine described in subparagraph (A) is not available, requiring the use of a rocket engine designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation that is not eligible for such a waiver or exception.*

*''(2) COMPETITIVE OPPORTUNITIES DESCRIBED.—A competitive opportunity described in this paragraph is—*

*''(A) an opportunity to compete for a contract for the procurement of property or services for space launch activities under the evolved expendable launch vehicle program; and*

*''(B) one of the 9 Phase 1A competitive opportunities for fiscal years 2015 through 2017, as specified in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the budget of the President for fiscal year 2016 (as submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code).''.*

**SEC. 1604. ELIMINATION OF LAUNCH CAPABILITIES CONTRACTS UNDER EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by subsections (b) and (c), on and after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense may not award or renew a contract, or maintain a separate contract line item, for the procurement of property or services for space launch capabilities under the evolved expendable launch vehicle program.*

*(b) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition under subsection (a) and award or renew a contract or maintain a separate contract line item for the procurement of property or services for space launch capabilities if the Secretary of Defense determines, and reports to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days before the waiver takes effect, that—*

*(1) awarding or renewing such a contract or maintaining such a contract line item is necessary for the national security interests of the United States and the contract or contract line item does not support space launch activities using rocket engines designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation; and*

*(2) failing to award or renew such a contract or maintain such a contract line item will have significant consequences to national security and will result in the significant loss of life or property or economic harm.*

*(c) EXCEPTION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The prohibition under subsection (a) shall not apply to the placement of orders or the exercise of options under the contract numbered FA8811–13–C–0003 and awarded on December 18, 2013.*

*(2) TERMINATION.—The exception under paragraph (1) shall terminate on September 30, 2019.*

*(d) SPACE LAUNCH CAPABILITIES DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''space launch capabilities'' includes all work associated with space launch infrastructure maintenance and sustainment, program management, systems engineering, launch site operations, launch site depreciation, and maintenance commodities.*

**SEC. 1605. ALLOCATION OF FUNDING FOR EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The amount requested in the budget of the President submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2017, 2018, or 2019 for the Air Force for the launch of Air Force satellites under the evolved expendable launch vehicle launch capability program shall bear the same ratio to the total amount requested in that budget for that fiscal year for the launch of national security satellites under the evolved expendable launch vehicle launch capability program as the amount requested in that budget for that fiscal year for the procurement of cores for the Air Force for the launch of Air Force satellites under the evolved expendable launch vehicle launch services program bears to the total amount requested in that budget for that fiscal year for the procurement of cores for the launch of national security satellites under the evolved expendable launch vehicle launch services program.*

*(b) NATIONAL SECURITY SATELLITE DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''national security satellite'' is a satellite launched for national security purposes, including such a satellite launched by the Air Force, the Navy, or the National Reconnaissance Office, or any other element of the Department of Defense.*

**SEC. 1606. INCLUSION OF PLAN FOR DEVELOPMENT AND FIELDING OF A FULL-UP ENGINE IN ROCKET PROPULSION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.**

*Section 1604(b) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3623; 10 U.S.C. 2273 note) is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (2), by striking ''; and'' and inserting a semicolon;*

*(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period and inserting ''; and''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following:*

*''(4) a plan for the development and fielding of a full-up engine.''.*

***SEC. 1607. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR THE DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Defense Meteorological Satellite program (PE# 0305160F and line number MS0554) or for the launch of Defense Meteorological Satellite program satellite #20 (in this section referred to as ''DMSP20''), and none of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for that program or the launch of DMSP20 that remain available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act, may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff jointly certify to the congressional defense committees that—*

*(1) relying on civil and international contributions to meet space-based environmental monitoring requirements is insufficient or is a risk to national security and launching DMSP20 will meet those requirements;*

*(2) launching DMSP20 is the most affordable solution to meeting requirements validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council; and*

*(3) nonmaterial solutions within the Department of Defense, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration are incapable of meeting the cloud characterization and theater weather requirements validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council.*

*(b) COMPARATIVE COST AND CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT.—If the Secretary and the Chairman determine that a material solution is required to meet the cloud characterization and theater weather requirements validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, the Secretary and the Chairman shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a cost and capability assessment that compares the cost of meeting those requirements with DMSP20 and with an alternate material solution that includes electro-optical infrared weather imaging or other comparable solutions.*

***SEC. 1608. QUARTERLY REPORTS ON GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III SPACE SEGMENT, GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT, AND MILITARY GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM USER EQUIPMENT ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*(a) REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the Comptroller General of the United States a report on the Global Positioning System III space segment, the Global Positioning System operational control segment, and the Military Global Positioning System user equipment acquisition programs.*

*(b) ELEMENTS.—Each report required by subsection (a) shall include, with respect to an acquisition program specified in that subsection, the following:*

*(1) A statement of the status of the program with respect to cost, schedule, and performance.*

*(2) A description of any changes to the requirements of the program.*

*(3) A description of any technical risks impacting the cost, schedule, and performance of the program.*

*(4) An assessment of how such risks are to be addressed and the costs associated with such risks.*

*(5) An assessment of the extent to which the segments of the program are synchronized.*

*(c) BRIEFINGS BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on a report submitted under subsection (a)—*

*(1) in the case of the first such report, not later than 30 days after receiving that report; and*

*(2) as the Comptroller General considers appropriate thereafter.*

*(d) TERMINATION.—The requirement under subsection (a) shall terminate with respect to an acquisition program specified in that subsection on the date on which that program reaches full operational capability.*

***SEC. 1609. PLAN FOR CONSOLIDATION OF ACQUISITION OF COMMERCIAL SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 31, 2016, the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the consolidation, during the three-year period beginning on the date on which the plan is submitted, of the acquisition of commercial satellite communications services from across the Department of Defense into a program office in the Space and Missile Systems Center of the Air Force.*

*(b) REQUIREMENTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include—*

*(A) an assessment of the management and overhead costs relating to the acquisition of commercial satellite communications services across the Department of Defense; and*

*(B) an estimate of—*

*(i) the costs of implementing the consolidation of the acquisition of such services described in subsection (a); and*

*(ii) the projected savings of the consolidation.*

*(2) VALIDATION BY DIRECTOR OF COST ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAM EVALUATION.—The assessment required by paragraph (1)(A) and the estimates required by paragraph (1)(B) shall be validated by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.*

***SEC. 1610. COUNCIL ON OVERSIGHT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POSITIONING, NAVIGATION, AND TIMING ENTERPRISE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 1602, is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§2279b. Council on Oversight of the Department of Defense Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Enterprise***

*''(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is within the Department of Defense a council to be known as the 'Council on Oversight of the Department of Defense Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Enterprise' (in this section referred to as the 'Council').*

*''(b) MEMBERSHIP.—The members of the Council shall be as follows:*

*''(1) The Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.*

*''(2) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.*

*''(3) The Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.*

*''(4) The Commander of the United States Strategic Command.*

*''(5) The Commander of the United States Northern Command.*

*''(6) The Commander of United States Cyber Command.*

*''(7) The Director of the National Security Agency.*

*''(8) The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense.*

*''(9) Such other officers of the Department of Defense as the Secretary may designate.*

*''(c) CO-CHAIR.—The Council shall be co-chaired by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.*

*''(d) RESPONSIBILITIES.—(1) The Council shall be responsible for oversight of the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise, including positioning, navigation, and timing services provided to civil, commercial, scientific, and international users.*

*''(2) In carrying out the responsibility for oversight of the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise as specified in paragraph (1), the Council shall be responsible for the following:*

*''(A) Oversight of performance assessments (including interoperability).*

*''(B) Vulnerability identification and mitigation.*

*''(C) Architecture development.*

*''(D) Resource prioritization.*

*''(E) Such other responsibilities as the Secretary of Defense shall specify for purposes of this section.*

*''(e) ANNUAL REPORTS.—At the same time each year that the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, the Council shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities of the Council. Each report shall include the following:*

*''(1) A description and assessment of the activities of the Council during the previous fiscal year.*

*''(2) A description of the activities proposed to be undertaken by the Council during the period covered by the current future-years defense program under section 221 of this title.*

*''(3) Any changes to the requirements of the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise made during the previous year, along with an explanation for why the changes were made and a description of the effects of the changes to the capability of such enterprise.*

*''(4) A breakdown of each program element in such budget that relates to the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise, including how such program element relates to the operation and sustainment, research and development, procurement, or other activity of such enterprise.*

*''(f) BUDGET AND FUNDING MATTERS.—(1) Not later than 30 days after the President submits to Congress the budget for a fiscal year under section 1105(a) of title 31, the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall submit to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff an assessment of—*

*''(A) whether such budget allows the Federal Government to meet the required capabilities of the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise during the fiscal year covered by the budget and the four subsequent fiscal years; and*

*''(B) if the Commander determines that such budget does not allow the Federal Government to meet such required capabilities, a description of the steps being taken to meet such required capabilities.*

*''(2) Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff receives the assessment of the Commander of the United States Strategic Command under paragraph (1), the Chairman shall submit to the congressional defense committees—*

*''(A) such assessment as it was submitted to the Chairman; and*

*''(B) any comments of the Chairman.*

*''(3) If a House of Congress adopts a bill authorizing or appropriating funds for the activities of the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise that, as determined by the Council, provides insufficient funds for such activities for the period covered by such bill, the Council shall notify the congressional defense committees of the determination.*

*''(g) NOTIFICATION OF ANOMALIES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees written notification of an anomaly in the Department of Defense positioning, navigation, and timing enterprise that is reported to the Secretary or the Council by not later than 14 days after the date on which*

*the Secretary or the Council learns of such anomaly, as the case may be.*

*''(2) In this subsection, the term 'anomaly' means any unplanned, irregular, or abnormal event, whether unexplained or caused intentionally or unintentionally by a person or a system.*

*''(h) TERMINATION.—The Council shall terminate on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter, as amended by section 1602, is further amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2799a the following new item:*

*''2279b. Council on Oversight of the Department of Defense Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Enterprise.''.*

***SEC. 1611. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES FOR WIDE-BAND COMMUNICATIONS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an analysis of alternatives for a follow-on wide-band communications system to the Wideband Global SATCOM System that includes space, air, and ground layer communications capabilities of the Department of Defense.*

*(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 31, 2017, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the analysis conducted under subsection (a).*

***SEC. 1612. EXPANSION OF GOALS FOR PILOT PROGRAM FOR ACQUISITION OF COMMERCIAL SATELLITE COMMUNICATION SERVICES.***

*Section 1605(b) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3623; 10 U.S.C. 2208 note) is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (3), by striking ''; and'' and inserting a semicolon;*

*(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; and''; and*

*(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

*''(5) demonstrates the potential to achieve order-of-magnitude improvements in satellite communications capability.''.*

***SEC. 1613. STREAMLINE COMMERCIAL SPACE LAUNCH ACTIVITIES.***

*(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that eliminating duplicative requirements and approvals for commercial launch and reentry operations will promote and encourage the development of the commercial space sector.*

*(b) REAFFIRMATION OF POLICY.—Congress reaffirms that the Secretary of Transportation, in overseeing and coordinating commercial launch and reentry operations, should—*

*(1) promote commercial space launches and reentries by the private sector;*

*(2) facilitate Government, State, and private sector involvement in enhancing United States launch sites and facilities;*

*(3) protect public health and safety, safety of property, national security interests, and foreign policy interests of the United States; and*

*(4) consult with the head of another executive agency, including the Secretary of Defense or the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as necessary to provide consistent application of licensing requirements under chapter 509 of title 51, United States Code.*

*(c) REQUIREMENTS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Transportation under section 50918 of title 51, United States Code, and subject to section 50905(b)(2)(C) of that title, shall consult with the Secretary of Defense, the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the heads of other executive agencies, as appropriate—*

*(A) to identify all requirements that are imposed to protect the public health and safety, safety of property, national security interests, and foreign policy interests of the United States relevant to any commercial launch of a launch vehicle or commercial reentry of a reentry vehicle; and*

*(B) to evaluate the requirements identified in subparagraph (A) and, in coordination with the licensee or transferee and the heads of the relevant executive agencies—*

*(i) determine whether the satisfaction of a requirement of one agency could result in the satisfaction of a requirement of another agency; and*

*(ii) resolve any inconsistencies and remove any outmoded or duplicative requirements or approvals of the Federal Government relevant to any commercial launch of a launch vehicle or commercial reentry of a reentry vehicle.*

*(2) REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter until the Secretary of Transportation determines no outmoded or duplicative requirements or approvals of the Federal Government exist, the Secretary of Transportation, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the commercial space sector, and the heads of other executive agencies, as appropriate, shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives, and the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:*

*(A) A description of the process for the application for and approval of a permit or license under chapter 509 of title 51, United States Code, for the commercial launch of a launch vehicle or commercial reentry of a reentry vehicle, including the identification of—*

*(i) any unique requirements for operating on a United States Government launch site, reentry site, or launch property; and*

*(ii) any inconsistent, outmoded, or duplicative requirements or approvals.*

*(B) A description of current efforts, if any, to coordinate and work across executive agencies to define interagency processes and procedures for sharing information, avoiding duplication of effort, and resolving common agency requirements.*

*(C) Recommendations for legislation that may further—*

*(i) streamline requirements in order to improve efficiency, reduce unnecessary costs, resolve inconsistencies, remove duplication, and minimize unwarranted constraints; and*

*(ii) consolidate or modify requirements across affected agencies into a single application set that satisfies the requirements identified in paragraph (1)(A).*

*(3) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection—*

*(A) any applicable definitions set forth in section 50902 of title 51, United States Code, shall apply;*

*(B) the terms ''launch'', ''reenter'', and ''reentry'' include landing of a launch vehicle or reentry vehicle; and*

*(C) the terms ''United States Government launch site'' and ''United States Government reentry site'' include any necessary facility, at that location, that is commercially operated on United States Government property.*

***Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-related Activities***

***SEC. 1621. REPORT ON AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE RQ–4 GLOBAL HAWK MISSION.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Chief of Staff of the Air Force and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, shall submit to Congress a report on the feasibility of using the Air National Guard in association with the active duty Air Force to operate and maintain the RQ–4 Global Hawk.*

*(b) CONTENTS.—The report required by (a) shall include the following:*

*(1) An assessment of the costs, training requirements, and personnel required to create an association for the Global Hawk mission consisting of members of the Air Force serving on active duty and members of the Air National Guard.*

*(2) The capacity of the Air National Guard to support an association described in paragraph (1).*

***Subtitle C—Cyber Warfare, Cyber Security, and Related Matters***

***SEC. 1631. AUTHORIZATION OF MILITARY CYBER OPERATIONS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''§ 130g. Authorities concerning military cyber operations***

*''The Secretary of Defense shall develop, prepare, coordinate, and, when authorized by the President to do so, conduct a military cyber operation in response to malicious cyber activity carried out against the United States or a United States person by a foreign power (as defined in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801)).''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''130g. Authorities concerning military cyber operations.''.*

***SEC. 1632. DESIGNATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENTITY RESPONSIBLE FOR ACQUISITION OF CRITICAL CYBER CAPABILITIES.***

*(a) DESIGNATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, for each critical cyber capability described in paragraph (2), designate an entity of the Department of Defense to be responsible for the acquisition of the critical cyber capability.*

*(2) CRITICAL CYBER CAPABILITIES DESCRIBED.—The critical cyber capabilities described in this paragraph are all of the cyber capabilities that the Secretary considers critical to the mission of the Department of Defense, including the following:*

*(A) The Unified Platform.*

*(B) A persistent cyber training environment.*

*(C) A cyber situational awareness and battle management system.*

*(b) REPORT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the designations made under subsection (a).*

*(2) CONTENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) Identification of each designation made under subsection (a).*

*(B) Estimates of the funding requirements and acquisition timelines for each critical cyber capability for which a designation was made under subsection (a).*

*(C) An explanation of whether critical cyber capabilities could be acquired more quickly with changes to acquisition authorities.*

*(D) Such recommendations as the Secretary may have for legislation or administrative action to improve the acquisition of, or acquire more quickly, the critical cyber capabilities for which designations are made under subsection (a).*

***SEC. 1633. INCENTIVE FOR SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS BY PRESIDENT OF INTEGRATED POLICY TO DETER ADVERSARIES IN CYBERSPACE.***

*Until the President submits to the congressional defense committees the report required by section 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (127 Stat. 837; Public Law 113–66), $10,000,000 of the unobligated*

*balance of the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to provide support services to the Executive Office of the President may not be obligated or expended.*

***SEC. 1634. AUTHORIZATION FOR PROCUREMENT OF RELOCATABLE SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY.***

*Of the unobligated amounts appropriated or otherwise made available in fiscal years 2014 and 2015 for procurement for the Army, $10,600,000 may be used for the procurement of a relocatable Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility for the Cyber Center of Excellence at Fort Gordon, Georgia, as described in the reprogramming action prior approval request submitted by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to Congress on February 6, 2015.*

***SEC. 1635. EVALUATION OF CYBER VULNERABILITIES OF MAJOR WEAPON SYSTEMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) EVALUATION REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall complete an evaluation of the cyber vulnerabilities of each major weapon system of the Department of Defense by not later than December 31, 2019.*

*(2) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may waive the requirement of paragraph (1) with respect to a weapon system or complete the evaluation of a weapon system required by such paragraph after the date specified in such paragraph if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees before that date that all known cyber vulnerabilities in the weapon system have minimal consequences for the capability of the weapon system to meet operational requirements or otherwise satisfy mission requirements.*

*(b) PLAN FOR EVALUATION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the plan of the Secretary for the evaluations of major weapon systems required by subsection (a), including an identification of each of the weapon systems to be evaluated and an estimate of the funding required to conduct the evaluations.*

*(2) PRIORITY IN EVALUATIONS.—The plan under paragraph (1) shall accord a priority among evaluations based on the criticality of major weapon systems, as determined by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff based on an assessment of employment of forces and threats.*

*(3) INTEGRATION WITH OTHER EFFORTS.—The plan under paragraph (1) shall build upon existing efforts regarding the identification and mitigation of cyber vulnerabilities of major weapon systems, and shall not duplicate similar ongoing efforts such as ''Task Force Cyber Awakening'' of the Navy or ''Task Force Cyber Secure'' of the Air Force.*

*(c) STATUS ON PROGRESS.—On a regular basis, the Secretary shall inform the congressional defense committees of the activities undertaken in the evaluation of major weapon systems under this section.*

*(d) RISK MITIGATION STRATEGIES.—As part of the evaluation of cyber vulnerabilities of major weapon systems of the Department under this section, the Secretary shall develop strategies for mitigating the risks of cyber vulnerabilities identified in the course of such evaluations.*

*(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available under section 201, $200,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary to conduct the evaluations required by subsection (a)(1).*

***SEC. 1636. ASSESSMENT OF CAPABILITIES OF UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND TO DEFEND THE UNITED STATES FROM CYBER ATTACKS.***

*(a) INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Principal Cyber Advisor, with the assistance of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, shall sponsor an independent panel to assess the ability of the National Mission Forces of the United States Cyber Command to reliably prevent or block large-scale attacks on the United States by foreign powers with capabilities comparable to the capabilities of China, Iran, North Korea, and Russia expected in the years 2020 and 2025.*

*(2) INDEPENDENT EXPERTS.—The panel sponsored under paragraph (1) shall include—*

*(A) independent experts in cyber warfare technology, intelligence, and operations; and*

*(B) independent experts in non-cyber military operations.*

*(b) WAR GAMES.—The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in consultation with the Principal Cyber Advisor, shall conduct a series of war games through the Warfighting Analysis Division of the Force Structure, Resources, and Assessment Directorate to assess the strategy, assumptions, and capabilities of the United States Cyber Command to prevent large-scale cyber attacks by foreign powers with capabilities described in subsection (a)(1) from reaching United States targets.*

*(c) FINDINGS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act—*

*(1) the Principal Cyber Advisor shall convey to the congressional defense committees the findings of the Principal Cyber Advisor with respect to the assessment conducted by the panel sponsored under subsection (a)(1); and*

*(2) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall convey to the congressional defense committees the findings of the Chairman with respect to the war games conducted under subsection (b)(1).*

*(d) FOREIGN POWER DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''foreign power'' has the meaning given the term in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801).*

***SEC. 1637. BIENNIAL EXERCISES ON RESPONDING TO CYBER ATTACKS AGAINST CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE.***

*(a) BIENNIAL EXERCISES REQUIRED.—Not less frequently than once every two years until the date that is six years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Director of National Intelligence, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the heads of the critical infrastructure sector-specific agencies designated under Presidential Policy Directive-21 (entitled ''Critical Infrastructure Security Resilience'' and dated February 12, 2013) and in consultation with governors of the States and the owners and operators of critical infrastructure, organize and execute one or more exercises based on scenarios in which—*

*(1) critical infrastructure of the United States is attacked through cyberspace; and*

*(2) the President directs the Secretary to—*

*(A) defend the United States; and*

*(B) provide support to civil authorities in responding to and recovering from cyber attacks.*

*(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the exercises required by subsection (a) are as follows:*

*(1) To improve cooperation and coordination between various parts of the Government and industry so that the Government and industry can more effectively and efficiently respond to cyber attacks.*

*(2) To exercise command and control, coordination, communications, and information sharing capabilities under the stressing conditions of an ongoing cyber attack.*

*(3) To identify gaps and problems that require new enhanced training, capabilities, procedures, or authorities.*

*(4) To identify—*

*(A) interdependencies;*

*(B) strengths that should be leveraged; and*

*(C) weaknesses that need to be mitigated.*

*(c) REQUIREMENT FOR VARIATION OF ASSUMPTIONS AND CONDITIONS.—In conducting the exercises required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that there is an appropriate degree of variation from exercise to exercise of the following:*

*(1) The size, scope, duration, and sophistication of the cyber attacks.*

*(2) The degree of warning and knowledge that is available to the Department of Defense about the attack and the means used in the attack and the degree of delegation of authority from the President to react, including with pre-planned responses.*

*(3) The effectiveness of the National Mission Force of the United States Cyber Command in preempting and defeating the attack.*

*(4) The effectiveness of the attacks on critical infrastructure in general and particularly in specific industry sectors.*

*(5) The effectiveness of resilience and recovery mechanisms.*

*(d) COST SHARING AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary shall coordinate with those with whom the Secretary is required to coordinate under subsection (a) to develop equitable cost sharing agreements to defray the expenses of the exercises required by subsection (a).*

***SEC. 1638. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO SUPPORT CIVIL AUTHORITIES IN RESPONSE TO CYBER ATTACKS BY FOREIGN POWERS.***

*(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a comprehensive plan for the United States Cyber Command to support civil authorities in responding to cyber attacks by foreign powers (as defined in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801)) against the United States or a United States person.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) A plan for internal Department of Defense collective training activities that are integrated with exercises conducted with other agencies and State and local governments.*

*(B) Plans for coordination with the heads of other Federal agencies and State and local governments pursuant to the exercises required under subparagraph (A).*

*(C) Note of any historical frameworks that are used, if any, in the formulation of the plan required by paragraph (1), such as Operation Noble Eagle.*

*(D) Descriptions of the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of Federal, State, and local authorities as the Secretary understands them.*

*(E) Descriptions of the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of the active components and reserve components of the Armed Forces.*

*(F) A description of such legislative and administrative action as may be necessary to carry out the plan required by paragraph (1).*

*(b) COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REVIEW OF PLAN.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall review the plan developed under subsection (a)(1).*

***SEC. 1639. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON REVIEWING AND CONSIDERING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF COUNCIL OF GOVERNORS ON CYBER CAPABILITIES OF THE ARMED FORCES.***

*It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should review and consider any findings and recommendations of the Council of Governors pertaining to cyber mission force requirements and any proposed reductions in and synchronization of the cyber capabilities of active or reserve components of the Armed Forces.*

***Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces***

***SEC. 1641. DESIGNATION OF AIR FORCE OFFICIALS TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR POLICY ON AND PROCUREMENT OF NUCLEAR COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.***

*(a) DESIGNATION OF OFFICIALS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 24 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

**"§ 499. Designation of Air Force officials to be responsible for policy on and procurement of nuclear command, control, and communications systems**

''(a) PROCUREMENT.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall designate a senior acquisition official of the Air Force to be responsible for ensuring the procurement and integration of the nuclear command, control, and communication systems of the Air Force.

''(b) POLICY.—The Secretary shall designate an official of the Air Force to be responsible for—

''(1) formulating an integrated policy for the nuclear command, control, and communications systems of the Air Force that includes long-term requirements to satisfy the requirements of the Department of Defense for nuclear command, control, and communications; and

''(2) ensuring that such policy is integrated across all Air Force systems using nuclear command, control, and communications systems.''.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 24 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 498 the following new item:

''499. Designation of Air Force officials to be responsible for policy on and procurement of nuclear command, control, and communications systems.''.

(b) DEADLINE.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall—

(1) designate the officials required by section 499 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a)(1), not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) promptly notify the congressional defense committees of such designation.

**SEC. 1642. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REVIEW OF RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall, in each of fiscal years 2016 through 2021, conduct a review of the process of the Department of Defense for addressing the recommendations of the Department of Defense Internal Nuclear Enterprise Review, the Independent Review of the Department of Defense Nuclear Enterprise, and the Nuclear Deterrence Enterprise Review Group, that are evaluated by the Office of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense.

(b) BRIEFING AND REPORT.—After conducting each review under subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall—

(1) provide to the congressional defense committees an initial briefing on the review; and

(2) after providing the briefing under paragraph (1), submit to those committees a written report on the review and such other topics as the committees request during the briefing.

**SEC. 1643. ASSESSMENT OF GLOBAL NUCLEAR ENVIRONMENT.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Nuclear competition among countries has become both different and in some ways more complex than was the case during the Cold War.

(2) During the 25 years preceding the date of the enactment of this Act, additional countries have obtained nuclear weapons. North Korea is a nuclear-armed country and Iran aspires to acquire a nuclear weapons capability.

(3) A regional nuclear competition has emerged in South Asia between India and Pakistan. Another such competition may emerge in the Middle East between Iran and Israel, triggering a nuclear proliferation cascade across the Middle East, involving Saudi Arabia, Turkey, and perhaps other countries as well.

(4) The proliferation of nuclear weapons to countries the cultures of which are quite different from that of the United States raises concerns regarding how leaders in those countries calculate cost, benefit, and risk with respect to decisions regarding the use of nuclear weapons.

(b) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—The Director of Net Assessment of the Department of Defense shall, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, conduct an assessment of the global environment with respect to nuclear weapons and the role of United States nuclear forces, policy, and strategy in that environment.

(c) OBJECTIVES.—The objectives of the assessment required by subsection (b) are to inform the long-term planning of the Department of Defense and policies relating to regional nuclear crises and operations that may involve the escalation of nuclear competition among countries.

(d) REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In conducting the assessment required by subsection (b), the Director shall develop and analyze a range of contingencies and scenarios, including crises that may emerge from nuclear competition during the 10-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act that involve the following:

(A) The United States and one other country that possesses a nuclear weapon.

(B) The United States and multiple such countries.

(C) Two other such countries.

(D) Three or more other such countries.

(E) Regional and cross-regional geography, including contingencies and scenarios in Europe, the Middle East, South Asia, and East Asia, and contingencies and scenarios that transcend regions.

(F) The long-term geopolitical and military-technical competition as it relates to nuclear weapons and strategic warfare.

(2) ANALYSIS OF COMPETITIVE DISCONTINUITIES.—In analyzing the long-term geopolitical and military-technical competition as it relates to nuclear weapons and strategic warfare under paragraph (1)(F), the Director shall identify—

(A) prospective discontinuities in that competition; and

(B) strategies and capabilities the United States could adopt to improve its competitive position following such discontinuities.

(e) STAFFING.—In conducting the assessment required by subsection (b), the Director shall engage the best talent available, with particular emphasis on engaging individuals and independent entities with demonstrated expertise in strategy and net assessment methodology.

(f) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than November 15, 2016, the Director shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the assessment required by subsection (b).

**SEC. 1644. DEADLINE FOR MILESTONE A DECISION ON LONG-RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON.**

Not later than May 31, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall make a Milestone A decision on the long-range standoff weapon.

**SEC. 1645. AVAILABILITY OF AIR FORCE PROCUREMENT FUNDS FOR CERTAIN COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF PARTS FOR INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE FUZES.**

(a) AVAILABILITY OF PROCUREMENT FUNDS.—Notwithstanding section 1502(a) of title 31, United States Code, of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 by section 101 and available for Missile Procurement, Air Force, as specified in the funding table in section 4101, $13,700,000 shall be available for the procurement of covered parts pursuant to contracts entered into under section 1645 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3651).

(b) COVERED PARTS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''covered parts'' has the meaning given that term in section 1645(c) of such Act.

**SEC. 1646. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON POLICY ON THE NUCLEAR TRIAD.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the triad of strategic nuclear delivery systems plays a critical role in ensuring the national security of the United States; and

(2) retaining all three legs of the nuclear triad is among the highest priorities of the Department of Defense and will best maintain strategic stability at a reasonable cost, while hedging against potential technical problems and vulnerabilities.

(b) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States—

(1) to operate, sustain, and modernize or replace the triad of strategic nuclear delivery systems consisting of—

(A) heavy bombers equipped with nuclear gravity bombs and air-launched nuclear cruise missiles;

(B) land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles equipped with nuclear warheads that are capable of carrying multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles; and

(C) ballistic missile submarines equipped with submarine launched ballistic missiles and multiple nuclear warheads;

(2) to operate, sustain, and modernize or replace a capability to forward-deploy nuclear weapons and dual-capable fighter-bomber aircraft;

(3) to deter potential adversaries and assure allies and partners of the United States through strong and long-term commitment to the nuclear deterrent of the United States and the personnel, systems, and infrastructure that comprise such deterrent;

(4) to ensure that the members of the Armed Forces who operate the nuclear deterrent of the United States have the training, resources, and national support required to execute the critical national security mission of the members; and

(5) to achieve a modern and responsive nuclear infrastructure to support the full spectrum of deterrence requirements.

**SEC. 1647. SENSE OF SENATE ON THE NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OF THE AIR FORCE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senates makes the following findings:

(1) On February 6, 2014, Air Force Global Strike Command (AFGSC) initiated a force improvement program for the Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) force designed to improve mission effectiveness, strengthen culture and morale, and identify areas in need of investment by soliciting input from airmen performing ICBM operations.

(2) The ICBM force improvement program generated more than 300 recommendations to strengthen ICBM operations and served as a model for subsequent force improvement programs in other mission areas, such as bomber operations and sustainment.

(3) On May 28, 2014, as part of the nuclear force improvement program, the Air Force announced it would make immediate improvements in the nuclear mission of the Air Force, including enhancing career opportunities for airmen in the nuclear career field, ensuring training activities focused on performing the mission in the field, reforming the personnel reliability program, establishing special pay rates for positions in the nuclear career field, and creating a new service medal for nuclear deterrence operations.

(4) Chief of Staff of the Air Force Mark Welsh has said that, as part of the nuclear force improvement program, the Air Force will increase nuclear-manning levels and strengthen professional development for the members of the Air Force supporting the nuclear mission of the Air Force in order ''to address shortfalls and offer our airmen more stable work schedule and better quality of life''.

(5) Secretary of the Air Force Deborah Lee James, in recognition of the importance of the nuclear mission of the Air Force, proposed elevating the grade of the commander of the Air Force Global Strike Command from lieutenant general to general, and on March 30, 2015, the Senate confirmed a general as commander of that command.

*(6) The Air Force redirected more than $160,000,000 in fiscal year 2014 to alleviate urgent, near-term shortfalls within the nuclear mission of the Air Force as part of the nuclear force improvement program.*

*(7) The Air Force plans to spend more than $200,000,000 on the nuclear force improvement program in fiscal year 2015, and requested more than $130,000,000 for the program for fiscal year 2016.*

*(8) Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel said on November 14, 2014, that ''[t]he nuclear mission plays a critical role in ensuring the Nation's safety. No other enterprise we have is more important''.*

*(9) Secretary Hagel also said that the budget for the nuclear mission of the Air Force should increase by 10 percent over a five-year period.*

*(10) Section 1652 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–201; 128 Stat. 3654; 10 U.S.C. 491 note) declares it the policy of the United States ''to ensure that the members of the Armed Forces who operate the nuclear deterrent of the United States have the training, resources, and national support required to execute the critical national security mission of the members''.*

*(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—*

*(1) the nuclear mission of the Air Force should be a top priority for the Department of the Air Force and for Congress;*

*(2) the members of the Air Force who operate and maintain the Nation's nuclear deterrent perform work that is vital to the security of the United States;*

*(3) the nuclear force improvement program of the Air Force has made significant near-term improvements for the members of the Air Force in the nuclear career field of the Air Force;*

*(4) Congress should support long-term investments in the Air Force nuclear enterprise that sustain the progress made under the nuclear force improvement program;*

*(5) the Air Force should—*

*(A) regularly inform Congress on the progress being made under the nuclear force improvement program and its efforts to strengthen the nuclear enterprise; and*

*(B) make Congress aware of any additional actions that should be taken to optimize performance of the nuclear mission of the Air Force and maximize the strength of the United States strategic deterrent; and*

*(6) future budgets for the Air Force should reflect the importance of the nuclear mission of the Air Force and the need to provide members of the Air Force assigned to the nuclear mission the best possible support and quality of life.*

### *Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs*

**SEC. 1651. PLAN FOR EXPEDITING DEPLOYMENT TIME OF CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES INTERCEPTOR SITE.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense completes preparation of an environmental impact statement pursuant to section 227(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), the Secretary of Defense shall—*

*(1) develop a plan for expediting the deployment time for a potential future continental United States interceptor site by at least two years, in the case that the President decides to proceed with such deployment; and*

*(2) submit to the congressional defense committees a report on such plan.*

*(b) REPORT ELEMENTS.—The report submitted under subsection (a)(2) shall include the following:*

*(1) A description of the plan, including estimates of the cost of carrying out the plan and a schedule for carrying out the plan.*

*(2) A description of such legislative or administrative action as may be necessary to carry out the plan.*

*(3) An assessment of the risks associated with decreasing the deployment time, including with respect to cost and the operational effectiveness and reliability of interceptors.*

*(4) Identification of any deviation in the plan from robust acquisition processes, including with respect to testing prior to full operational capability designation.*

*(c) ASSESSMENT BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date on which the Secretary submits a report under subsection (a)(2), the Comptroller General shall—*

*(A) complete a review of the report submitted under subsection (a)(2); and*

*(B) submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review conducted pursuant to subparagraph (A).*

*(2) REPORT ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1)(B) shall include the following:*

*(A) The findings of the Comptroller General with respect to the review conducted pursuant to paragraph (1)(A); and*

*(B) such recommendations as the Comptroller General may have for legislative or administrative action.*

**SEC. 1652. ADDITIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR COVERAGE FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE UNITED STATES HOMELAND.**

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) According to the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, there are two fundamental means for improving homeland missile defense capability and capacity, ''one, is the reliability of the interceptor, and two, is the discrimination capability of the system''.*

*(2) The Department of Defense will deploy a new midcourse tracking radar to provide persistent coverage and improve discrimination capabilities against threats to the United States homeland from the Pacific region.*

*(3) According to the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, a long-range discrimination radar will provide larger hit assessment coverage thereby enabling improved warfighting capabilities to manage ground-based interceptor (GBI) inventory and improve the capacity of the ballistic missile defense system.*

*(4) According to the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, ''while Iran has not yet deployed an intercontinental ballistic missile, its progress on space launch vehicles—along with its desire to deter the United States and its allies—provides Tehran with the means and motivation to develop longer-range missiles, including an ICBM. Iran publically stated that it intends to launch a space-launch vehicle as early as this year capable of intercontinental ranges, if configured as such''.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) the currently deployed ground-based midcourse defense system protects the entire United States homeland, including the East Coast, against the threat of limited ballistic missile attack from North Korea and Iran; and*

*(2) additional missile defense sensor discrimination capabilities are needed to enhance the protection of the United States homeland against potential long-range ballistic missiles from Iran that, according to the Department of Defense, could soon be obtained by Iran as a result of its active space launch program.*

*(c) DEPLOYMENT OF ADDITIONAL COVERAGE.—The Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall, in cooperation with the relevant combatant command, deploy by not later than December 31, 2020, a long-range discrimination radar or other appropriate tracking and discrimination sensor capabilities in a location optimized to support the defense of the homeland of the United States from emerging long-range ballistic missile threats from Iran.*

**SEC. 1653. AIR DEFENSE CAPABILITY AT NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION MISSILE DEFENSE SITES.**

*(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the relevant combatant command, should ensure that arrangements are in place, including support from other members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), to provide anti-air defense capability at all missile defense sites of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization in support of phases 2 and 3 of the European Phased Adaptive Approach.*

*(b) REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—*

*(1) the plan to provide anti-air defense capability as described in subsection (a); and*

*(2) the contributions being made by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and members of such organization to support the provision of the capability described in such subsection.*

**SEC. 1654. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR IRON DOME SHORT-RANGE ROCKET DEFENSE SYSTEM.**

*(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for Procurement, Defense-wide, and available for the Missile Defense Agency, not more than $41,400,000 may be provided to the Government of Israel to procure the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system, including for co-production of Iron Dome parts and components in the United States by industry of the United States.*

*(b) CONDITIONS.—*

*(1) AGREEMENT.—Funds described in subsection (a) to produce the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program shall be available subject to the terms and conditions in the ''Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement'', signed on March 5, 2014, including any terms and conditions applicable to coproduction of Iron Dome radar components under a negotiated amendment to that agreement.*

*(2) CERTIFICATION.—Not later than 30 days prior to the initial obligation of funds described in subsection (a), the Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees—*

*(A) a certification that the agreement specified in paragraph (1) is being implemented as provided in such agreement; and*

*(B) an assessment detailing any risks relating to the implementation of such agreement.*

**SEC. 1655. ISRAELI COOPERATIVE MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAM CODEVELOPMENT AND POTENTIAL COPRODUCTION.**

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for Procurement, Defense-wide, and available for the Missile Defense Agency, $150,000,000 may be provided to the Government of Israel to procure the David's Sling Weapon System and $15,000,000 for the Arrow 3 Upper Tier Interceptor Program, including for co-production of parts and components in the United States by United States industry.*

*(b) CERTIFICATION.—Following successful completion of milestones and production readiness reviews in the research, development, and technology agreements for the David's Sling Weapon System and the Arrow 3 Upper Tier Development Program, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency may disburse amounts available pursuant to subsection (a) on the basis of a one-for-one cash match with such funds provided by the Government of Israel, or in amounts that otherwise meet best efforts (as mutually agreed by the United States and Israel), on or after the date that is 90 days after the date the Director*

*and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a certification that the United States has entered into a bilateral agreement with the Government of Israel that accomplishes the following:*

*(1) Establishes the terms of co-production of parts and components of the respective systems—*

*(A) on the basis of what will minimize non-recurring engineering and facilitization expenses; and*

*(B) that ensures that, in the case of co-production for the David's Sling Weapon System, not less than half of such co-production is carried out by United States persons.*

*(2) Establishes complete transparency on the Israeli requirement for the number of interceptors and batteries of the respective systems that will be procured.*

*(3) Allows the Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to establish technical milestones for co-production and procurement of the respective systems.*

*(4) Establishes joint approval processes for third party sales of such systems.*

***SEC. 1656. DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF MULTIPLE-OBJECT KILL VEHICLE FOR MISSILE DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES HOMELAND.***

*(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) the defense of the United States homeland against the threat of limited ballistic missile attack (whether accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate) is a national priority; and*

*(2) as the threat described in paragraph (1) continues to evolve, the multiple-object kill vehicle could contribute critical capabilities to the future of the ballistic missile defense of the United States homeland.*

*(b) MULTIPLE-OBJECT KILL VEHICLE.—*

*(1) DEVELOPMENT.—The Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall develop a highly reliable, cost-effective multiple-object kill vehicle for the ground-based midcourse defense system.*

*(2) DEPLOYMENT.—The Director shall—*

*(A) conduct flight testing of the multiple-object kill vehicle developed under paragraph (1) by not later than 2020; and*

*(B) field such vehicle as soon as technically practicable.*

*(c) CAPABILITIES AND CRITERIA.—The Director shall ensure that the multiple-object kill vehicle developed under subsection (b)(1) meets, at a minimum, the following capabilities and criteria:*

*(1) Vehicle-to-vehicle communications.*

*(2) Vehicle-to-ground communications.*

*(3) Kill assessment capability.*

*(4) The ability to counter advanced counter measures, decoys, and penetration aids.*

*(5) Producibility and manufacturability.*

*(6) Use of technology involving high technology readiness levels.*

*(7) Options to be integrated onto other missile defense interceptor vehicles other than the ground-based interceptors of the ground-based midcourse defense system.*

*(8) Sound acquisition processes, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and the Missile Defense Executive Board.*

*(d) PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.—The management of the multiple-object kill vehicle program under subsection (b) shall report directly to the Deputy Director of the Missile Defense Agency.*

***SEC. 1657. REQUIREMENT TO REPLACE CAPABILITY ENHANCEMENT I EXOATMOSPHERIC KILL VEHICLES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that all remaining ground-based interceptors of the ground-based midcourse defense system that are armed with the capability enhancement I exoatmospheric kill vehicle are replaced with the redesigned exoatmospheric kill vehicle before September 30, 2022.*

*(b) CONDITION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply if the Director determines that flight and intercept testing of the redesigned exoatmospheric kill vehicle is not successful.*

***SEC. 1658. AIRBORNE BOOST PHASE DEFENSE SYSTEM.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) To address the growing threat posed by increasingly accurate and longer-ranged ballistic and cruise missiles, the Missile Defense Agency, in collaboration with the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the military services, is pursuing a suite of laser technologies that could serve as a cost-effective solution for destroying cruise missiles and ballistic missiles in the boost phase.*

*(2) A successful airborne boost phase defense system could transform United States missile defense capabilities against a broad range of missile threats, and place defense on the winning side of the offense-defense cost-curve.*

*(b) POLICY.—The Secretary of Defense shall—*

*(1) prioritize technology investments in the Department of Defense to support efforts by the Missile Defense Agency to develop and field an airborne boost phase defense system by fiscal year 2025;*

*(2) ensure that development and fielding of the airborne boost phase defense system supports multiple warfighter missile defense requirements, including, specifically, protection of the homeland and allies against cruise missiles and ballistic missiles, particularly in the boost phase;*

*(3) continue development and fielding of high-energy lasers and high-power microwave systems as part of a layered architecture to defend ships and theater bases against air and cruise missile strikes;*

*(4) encourage collaboration amongst the military services and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency with respect to their high energy laser and directed energy efforts carried out in support of the Missile Defense Agency; and*

*(5) ensure cooperation and coordination between the Missile Defense Agency in its plans to develop an airborne laser and the Air Force in its requirements for unmanned aerial vehicles.*

*(c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the efforts of the Department of Defense to develop and deploy an airborne boost phase defense system for missile defense by fiscal year 2025.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*(A) Such schedules, costs, warfighter requirements, operational concept, constraints, potential alternative boost phase approaches, and other information regarding the efforts described in paragraph (1) as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

*(B) Analysis of the efforts described in paragraph (1) with respect to the following cases:*

*(i) A case in which the Department is under no funding constraints with respect to such efforts and progress is based on the state of the technology.*

*(ii) A case in which the Department is under funding constraints and the efforts are carried out in accordance with a moderately aggressive schedule and are subject to moderate technical risk.*

*(iii) A case in which the Department is under funding constraints and the efforts are carried out in accordance with a less aggressive schedule and are subject to less technical risk.*

*(C) An update on related efforts of the Department to develop high energy lasers and high power microwave systems to defend ships and theater bases against air and cruise missile strikes.*

*(D) Such recommendations as the Secretary may have for legislative or administrative action to enable more rapid fielding of a directed-energy based missile defense system.*

*(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

***SEC. 1659. EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON PROVIDING CERTAIN SENSITIVE MISSILE DEFENSE INFORMATION TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.***

*Section 1246(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 923), as amended by section 1243(2)(A) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3564), is further amended by striking ''for fiscal year 2014 or 2015'' and inserting ''for fiscal years 2014 through 2017''.*

***SEC. 1660. EXTENSION OF REQUIREMENT FOR COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT OF MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.***

*Section 232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a)—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''through 2015'' and inserting ''through 2020''; and*

*(B) in paragraph (2), in the first sentence, by striking ''through 2016'' and inserting ''through 2021''; and*

*(2) in subsection (b), in the matter before paragraph (1), by striking ''first three''.*

***Subtitle F—Other Matters***

***SEC. 1671. MEASURES IN RESPONSE TO VIOLATIONS OF THE INTERMEDIATE-RANGE NUCLEAR FORCES TREATY BY THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) On July 31, 2014, the Department of State released its annual report entitled ''Adherence to and Compliance With Arms Control, Nonproliferation, and Disarmament Agreements and Commitments'', which included the finding that ''[t]he United States has determined that the Russian Federation is in violation of its obligations under the INF Treaty not to possess, produce, or flight-test a ground-launched cruise missile (GLCM) with a range capability of 500 km to 5,500 km, or to possess or produce launchers of such missiles''.*

*(2) The United States has undertaken diplomatic efforts to address with the Russian Federation its violations of the INF Treaty since 2013, and the Russian Federation has failed to respond to those efforts in any way.*

*(3) The Commander of the United States European Command, and Supreme Allied Commander of Europe, General Philip Breedlove stated that ''[a] weapon capability that violates the I.N.F., that is introduced into the greater European land mass, is absolutely a tool that will have to be dealt with'' and ''[i]t can't go unanswered''.*

*(4) The Secretary of Defense has informed Congress that the range of options in response to the violation by the Russian Federation of the INF Treaty could include ''active defenses to counter intermediate-range ground-launched cruise missiles; counterforce capabilities to prevent intermediate-range ground-launched cruise missile attacks; and countervailing strike capabilities to enhance U.S. or allied forces''.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

*(1) the development and deployment of a nuclear ground-launched cruise missile by the Russian Federation in violation of the INF Treaty would pose a dangerous threat to the United States and its allies;*

*(2) the Russian Federation has established an increasing role for nuclear weapons in its military strategy;*

*(3) efforts taken by the President to compel the Russian Federation to return to compliance*

*with the INF Treaty must be persistent and are in the best interests of the United States, but cannot be open-ended; and*

*(4) efforts by the United States to develop military and nonmilitary options for responding to violations of the INF Treaty could encourage the Russian Federation to return to compliance with the INF Treaty.*

*(c) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter, the President shall notify the appropriate congressional committees with respect to whether the Russian Federation—*

*(1) has flight-tested, has deployed, or possesses a military system that has achieved an initial operating capability that is either a ground-launched ballistic missile or ground-launched cruise missile with a flight-tested range of between 500 and 5,500 kilometers; or*

*(2) has begun taking measures to return to full compliance with the INF Treaty, including verification measures necessary to achieve high confidence that any missile described in paragraph (1) will be eliminated.*

*(d) UPDATES TO ALLIES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that describes—*

*(1) the status of updates provided to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and other allies of the United States on the Russian Federation's flight testing, operating capability, and deployment of ground-launched ballistic missiles or ground-launched cruise missiles with a flight-tested range of between 500 and 5,500 kilometers; and*

*(2) efforts to develop, with the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and such allies, collective responses, including economic and military responses, to arms control violations by the Russian Federation, including violations of the INF Treaty.*

*(e) PLAN ON RESPONSE OPTIONS.—*

*(1) MILITARY RESPONSE OPTIONS.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—If, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the Russian Federation has not begun taking measures to return to full compliance with the INF Treaty, including by agreeing to verification measures necessary to achieve high confidence that any ground-launched ballistic missile or ground-launched cruise missile with a flight-tested range of between 500 and 5,500 kilometers will be eliminated, the Secretary of Defense shall, not later than 120 days after such date of enactment, submit to Congress a plan with respect to developing the following military capabilities:*

*(i) Counterforce capabilities to prevent intermediate-range ground-launched ballistic missile and cruise missile attacks, whether or not such capabilities are in compliance with the INF Treaty and including capabilities that may be acquired from allies of the United States.*

*(ii) Countervailing strike capabilities to enhance the forces of the United States or allies of the United States, whether or not such capabilities are in compliance with the INF Treaty and including capabilities that may be acquired from allies of the United States.*

*(iii) Active defenses to defend against intermediate-range ground-launched cruise missile attacks.*

*(B) COST AND SCHEDULE ESTIMATES.—The Secretary shall include, in the plan required by subparagraph (A), with respect to each military capability described in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) of that subparagraph, an estimate of cost and the approximate time for achieving a Milestone A decision, if such a decision is required.*

*(C) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RECOMMENDED CAPABILITIES.—The Secretary may use funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4201, to carry out the development of capabilities pursuant to subparagraph (A) that are recommended by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to meet military requirements and current capability gaps. In making such a recommendation, the Chairman shall give priority to such capabilities that the Chairman determines could be tested and fielded most expediently, with the most priority given to capabilities that the Chairman determines could be fielded in two years.*

*(2) OTHER RESPONSE OPTIONS.—The President shall include in the plan required by paragraph (1)(A) such other options as the President considers useful to encourage the Russian Federation to return to full compliance with the INF Treaty or necessary to respond to the failure of the Russian Federation to return to full compliance with the INF Treaty.*

*(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term ''appropriate congressional committees'' means—*

*(A) the congressional defense committees;*

*(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

*(C) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

*(2) INF TREATY.—The term ''INF Treaty'' means the Treaty between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, signed at Washington December 8, 1987, and entered into force June 1, 1988 (commonly referred to as the ''Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty'' or ''INF Treaty'').*

***SEC. 1672. MODIFICATION OF NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSAL TO MODIFY OR INTRODUCE NEW AIRCRAFT OR SENSORS FOR FLIGHT BY THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION UNDER THE OPEN SKIES TREATY.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1242(b) of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''30 days'' and inserting ''90 days''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following new sentence: ''The assessment shall also include an assessment of the proposal by the commander of each combatant command potentially affected by the proposal, including an assessment of the potential effects of the proposal on operations and any potential vulnerabilities raised by the proposal.''.*

*(b) REPORTS ON MEETINGS OF OPEN SKIES CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of any meeting of the Open Skies Consultative Commission that occurs after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth a description of such meeting, including a description of any agreements entered into during such meeting and whether any such agreement will result in a modification to the aircraft or sensors of any State Party to the Open Skies Treaty that will be subject to the Open Skies Treaty.*

*(2) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection, the term ''appropriate committees of Congress'' and ''Open Skies Treaty'' have the meaning given such terms in section 1242 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ''Buck'' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.*

***SEC. 1673. MILESTONE A DECISION FOR THE CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE WEAPONS SYSTEM.***

*The Secretary of Defense shall make a Milestone A decision for the Conventional Prompt Global Strike Weapons System not later than the earlier of—*

*(1) September 30, 2020; or*

*(2) the date that is 8 months after the successful completion of Intermediate Range Flight 2 of that System.*

***SEC. 1674. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MAINTAINING AND ENHANCING MILITARY INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO FORCE PROTECTION FOR INSTALLATIONS, FACILITIES, AND PERSONNEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.***

*(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:*

*(1) Maintaining appropriate force protection for deployed personnel of the Department of Defense and their families is a priority for Congress.*

*(2) Installations, facilities, and personnel of the Department in Europe face a rising threat from international terrorist groups operating in Europe, from individuals inspired by such groups, and from those traversing through Europe to join or return from fighting the terrorist organization known as the ''Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant'' (ISIL) in Iraq and Syria.*

*(3) Robust military intelligence support to force protection is necessary to detect and thwart potential terrorist plots that, if successful, would have strategic consequences for the United States and the allies of the United States in Europe.*

*(4) Military intelligence support is also important for detecting and addressing early indicators and warnings of aggression and assertive military action by Russia, particularly action by Russia to destabilize Europe with hybrid or asymmetric warfare.*

*(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should maintain and enhance robust military intelligence support to force protection for installations, facilities, and personnel of the Department of Defense and the family members of such personnel, in Europe and worldwide.*

## *DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS*

***SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.***

*This division may be cited as the ''Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016''.*

***SEC. 2002. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW.***

*(a) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE YEARS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles XXI through XXVII for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on the later of—*

*(1) October 1, 2018; or*

*(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2019.*

*(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before the later of—*

*(1) October 1, 2018; or*

*(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for fiscal year 2019 for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, or contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.*

## *TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION*

***SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.***

*(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a) and*

*available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Army: Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alaska | Fort Greely | $7,800,000 |
| California | Concord | $98,000,000 |
| Colorado | Fort Carson | $5,800,000 |
| Georgia | Fort Gordon | $90,000,000 |
| Maryland | Fort Meade | $34,500,000 |
| New York | Fort Drum | $19,000,000 |
| | U. S. Military Academy | $70,000,000 |
| Oklahoma | Fort Sill | $69,400,000 |
| Texas | Corpus Christi | $85,000,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Lee | $33,000,000 |

*(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Army: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Cuba | Guantanamo Bay | $76,000,000 |
| Germany | Grafenwoehr | $51,000,000 |

***SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.***

*(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:*

**Army: Family Housing**

| State/Country | Installation or Location | Units | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Florida | Camp Rudder | Family Housing New Construction | $8,000,000 |
| Illinois | Rock Island | Family Housing New Construction | $20,000,000 |
| Korea | Camp Walker | Family Housing New Construction | $61,000,000 |

*(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed $7,195,000.*

***SEC. 2103. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.***

*Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $3,500,000.*

***SEC. 2104. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.***

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

*(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:*

*(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

*(2) $226,400,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291) for a Command and Control Facility at Fort Shafter, Hawaii).*

*(3) $6,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119) for cadet barracks at the United States Military Academy, New York).*

*(4) $78,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119), as amended by section 2105(d) of this Act, for a Secure Administration/Operations Facility at Fort Belvoir, Virginia).*

***SEC. 2105. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.***

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119) for the United States Military Academy, New York, for construction of a Cadet barracks building at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may install mechanical equipment and distribution lines sufficient to provide chilled water for air conditioning the nine existing historical Cadet barracks which are being renovated through the Cadet Barracks Upgrade Program.*

***SEC. 2106. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.***

*(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (125 Stat. 1661), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.*

*(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:*

**Army: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Georgia | Fort Benning | Land Acquisition | $25,000,000 |
| | Fort Benning | Land Acquisition | $5,100,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Belvoir | Road and Infrastructure Improvements | $25,000,000 |

**SEC. 2107. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECTS.**

*(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2118), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (126 Stat. 2119) shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.*

*(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:*

**Army: Extension of 2013 Project Authorizations**

| State or Country | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| District of Columbia | Fort McNair | Vehicle Storage Building, Installation | $7,191,000 |
| Kansas | Fort Riley | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Complex | $12,184,000 |
| North Carolina | Fort Bragg | Aerial Gunnery Range | $41,945,000 |
| Texas | Joint Base San Antonio | Barracks | $20,971,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Belvoir | Secure Admin/Operations Facility | $93,876,000 |
| Italy | Camp Ederle | Barracks | $35,952,000 |
| Japan | Sagami | Vehicle Maintenance Shop | $17,976,000 |

**SEC. 2108. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2016 PROJECT.**

*(a) PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary of the Army may carry out a military construction project to construct a vehicle bridge and traffic circle to facilitate traffic flow to and from the Medical Center at Rhine Ordnance Barracks, Germany, in the amount of $12,400,000.*

*(b) USE OF HOST-NATION PAYMENT-IN-KIND FUNDS.—The Secretary may use available host-nation payment-in-kind funding for the project described in subsection (a).*

**SEC. 2109. LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION OF NEW FACILITIES AT GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

*(a) LIMITATION.—None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense may be used to construct new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that any new construction of facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, has enduring military value independent of a high value detention mission.*

*(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (a) shall be construed as limiting the ability of the Department of Defense to obligate or expend available funds to correct a deficiency that is life-threatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening.*

## TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

**SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Arizona | Yuma | $50,635,000 |
| California | Coronado | $4,856,000 |
| | Lemoore | $71,830,000 |
| | Miramar | $11,200,000 |
| | Pendleton | $83,800,000 |
| | Point Mugu | $22,427,000 |
| | San Diego | $37,366,000 |
| | Twentynine Palms | $9,160,000 |
| Florida | Jacksonville | $16,751,000 |
| | Mayport | $16,159,000 |
| | Pensacola | $18,347,000 |
| | Whiting Field | $10,421,000 |
| Georgia | Albany | $7,851,000 |
| | Kings Bay | $8,099,000 |
| | Townsend | $43,279,000 |
| Hawaii | Barking Sands | $30,623,000 |
| | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | $14,881,000 |
| | Kaneohe Bay | $106,618,000 |
| | Marine Corps Base Hawaii | $12,800,000 |
| Maryland | Patuxent River | $40,935,000 |
| North Carolina | Camp Lejeune | $74,249,000 |
| | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | $57,726,000 |
| | New River | $8,230,000 |
| South Carolina | Parris Island | $27,075,000 |
| Virginia | Dam Neck | $23,066,000 |
| | Norfolk | $126,677,000 |
| | Portsmouth | $45,513,000 |
| | Quantico | $75,399,000 |
| Washington | Bangor | $34,177,000 |
| | Bremerton | $22,680,000 |
| | Indian Island | $4,472,000 |

*(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installation or location outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Navy: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Bahrain Island | Southwest Asia | $89,791,000 |
| Guam | Joint Region Marianas | $181,768,000 |
| Italy | Sigonella | $102,943,000 |
| Japan | Camp Butler | $11,697,000 |

**Navy: Outside the United States**—*Continued*

| Country | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| | Iwakuni | $17,923,000 |
| | Kadena Air Base | $23,310,000 |
| | Yokosuka | $13,846,000 |
| Poland | RedziKowo Base | $51,270,000 |

**SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

**Navy: Family Housing**

| State | Installation or Location | Units | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Virginia | Wallops Island | Family Housing New Construction | $438,000 |

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed $4,588,000.

**SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $11,515,000.

**SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) $274,099,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666) for an explosive handling wharf at Kitsap, Washington).

(3) $68,196,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2633) for ramp parking at Joint Region Marianas, Guam).

**SEC. 2205. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (125 Stat. 1666) and extended by section 2208 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3678), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Navy: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| California | Camp Pendleton | Infantry Squad Defense Range | $29,187,000 |
| Florida | Jacksonville | P–8A Hangar Upgrades | $6,085,000 |
| Georgia | Kings Bay | Crab Island Security Enclave | $52,913,000 |

**SEC. 2206. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2118), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (126 Stat. 2122), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Navy: Extension of 2013 Project Authorizations**

| State/Country | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| California | Camp Pendleton | Comm. Information Systems Ops Complex | $78,897,000 |
| | Coronado | Bachelor Quarters | $76,063,000 |
| | Twentynine Palms | Land Expansion Phase 2 | $47,270,000 |
| Greece | Souda Bay | Intermodal Access Road | $4,630,000 |
| South Carolina | Beaufort | Recycling/Hazardous Waste Facility | $3,743,000 |
| Virginia | Quantico | Infrastructure—Widen Russell Road | $14,826,000 |
| Worldwide Unspecified | Various Worldwide Locations | BAMS Operational Facilities | $34,048,000 |

## TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

**SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force: Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alaska | Eielson Air Force Base | $71,400,000 |
| Arizona | Davis-Monthan Air Force Base | $16,900,000 |
| | Luke Air Force Base | $77,700,000 |
| Colorado | U. S. Air Force Academy | $10,000,000 |
| CONUS Classified | Classified Location | $77,130,000 |
| Florida | Cape Canaveral Air Force Station | $21,000,000 |
| | Eglin Air Force Base | $8,700,000 |
| | Hurlburt Field | $14,200,000 |
| Hawaii | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | $46,000,000 |
| Kansas | McConnell Air Force Base | $15,500,000 |
| Louisiana | Barksdale | $20,000,000 |
| Missouri | Whiteman Air Force Base | $29,500,000 |
| Montana | Malmstrom Air Force Base | $19,700,000 |
| Nebraska | Offutt Air Force Base | $21,000,000 |
| Nevada | Nellis Air Force Base | $68,950,000 |
| New Mexico | Cannon Air Force Base | $7,800,000 |
| | Holloman Air Force Base | $6,200,000 |
| | Kirtland Air Force Base | $12,800,000 |
| New York | Fort Drum | $6,000,000 |
| North Carolina | Seymour Johnson Air Force Base | $17,100,000 |
| Oklahoma | Altus Air Force Base | $28,400,000 |
| | Tinker Air Force Base | $49,900,000 |
| South Dakota | Ellsworth Air Force Base | $23,000,000 |
| Texas | Joint Base San Antonio | $106,000,000 |
| Utah | Hill Air Force Base | $38,400,000 |
| Wyoming | F. E. Warren Air Force Base | $95,000,000 |

*(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installation or location outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:*

**Air Force: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Greenland | Thule Air Base | $41,965,000 |
| Guam | Joint Region Marianas | $50,800,000 |
| Japan | Kadena Air Base | $3,000,000 |
| | Yokota Air Base | $8,461,000 |
| Niger | Agadez | $50,000,000 |
| Oman | Al Musannah Air Base | $25,000,000 |
| United Kingdom | Royal Air Force Croughton | $130,615,000 |

***SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING.***

*Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed $9,849,000.*

***SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.***

*Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $150,649,000.*

***SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.***

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

*(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2301 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:*

*(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

*(2) $21,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 992) for the CYBERCOM Joint Operations Center at Fort Meade, Maryland).*

***SEC. 2305. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2010 PROJECT.***

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2636), for Hickam Air Force Base, Hawaii, for construction of a ground control tower at the installation, the Secretary of the Air Force may install communications cabling.*

***SEC. 2306. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.***

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2301(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 993) for RAF Lakenheath, United Kingdom, for construction of a Guardian Angel Operations Facility at the installation, the Secretary of the Air Force may construct the facility at an unspecified worldwide location.*

***SEC. 2307. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2015 PROJECT.***

*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3679) for McConnell Air Force Base, Kansas, for construction of a KC–46A Alter Composite Maintenance Shop at the installation, the Secretary of the Air Force may construct a 696 square meter (7,500 square foot) facility consistent with Air Force guidelines for composite maintenance shops.*

***SEC. 2308. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECT.***

*(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (125 Stat. 1670), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.*

*(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:*

**Air Force: Extension of 2012 Project Authorization**

| Country | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Italy | Sigonella Naval Air Station | UAS SATCOM Relay Pads and Facility | $15,000,000 |

**SEC. 2309. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2118), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (126 Stat. 2126), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2013Project Authorization**

| Country | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Portugal | Lajes Field | Sanitary Sewer Lift/Pump Station | $2,000,000 |

**TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alabama | Fort Rucker | $46,787,000 |
| | Maxwell Air Force Base | $32,968,000 |
| Arizona | Fort Huachuca | $3,884,000 |
| California | Camp Pendleton | $20,552,000 |
| | Coronado | $47,218,000 |
| | Fresno Yosemite IAP ANG | $10,700,000 |
| Colorado | Fort Carson | $8,243,000 |
| CONUS Classified | Classified Location | $20,065,000 |
| Delaware | Dover Air Force Base | $21,600,000 |
| Florida | Hurlburt Field | $17,989,000 |
| | MacDill Air Force Base | $39,142,000 |
| Georgia | Moody Air Force Base | $10,900,000 |
| Hawaii | Kaneohe Bay | $122,071,000 |
| | Schofield Barracks | $123,838,000 |
| Kentucky | Fort Campbell | $12,553,000 |
| | Fort Knox | $23,279,000 |
| Maryland | Fort Meade | $816,077,000 |
| Nevada | Nellis Air Force Base | $39,900,000 |
| New Mexico | Cannon Air Force Base | $45,111,000 |
| New York | West Point | $55,778,000 |
| North Carolina | Camp Lejeune | $69,006,000 |
| | Fort Bragg | $168,811,000 |
| Ohio | Wright-Patterson Air Force Base | $6,623,000 |
| Oregon | Klamath Falls IAP | $2,500,000 |
| South Carolina | Fort Jackson | $26,157,000 |
| Texas | Joint Base San Antonio | $61,776,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Belvoir | $9,500,000 |
| | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | $28,000,000 |
| | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek-Story | $23,916,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following:

**Defense Agencies: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Djibouti | Camp Lemonier | $43,700,000 |
| Germany | Garmisch | $14,676,000 |
| | Grafenwoehr | $38,138,000 |
| | Spangdahlem Air Base | $39,571,000 |
| | Stuttgart-Patch Barracks | $49,413,000 |
| Japan | Kadena Air Base | $37,485,000 |
| Poland | RedziKowo Base | $169,153,000 |
| Spain | Rota | $13,737,000 |

**SEC. 2402. AUTHORIZED ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for energy conservation projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Energy Conservation Projects: Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| American Samoa | Wake Island | $5,331,000 |
| | Edwards Air Force Base | $4,550,000 |
| | Fort Hunter Liggett | $22,000,000 |
| Colorado | Schriever Air Force Base | $4,400,000 |
| District of Columbia | NSA Washington/NRL | $10,990,000 |
| Guam | Naval Base Guam | $5,330,000 |
| Hawaii | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | $13,780,000 |
| | Marine Corps Recruiting Command Kaneohe Bay | $5,740,000 |
| Idaho | Moutain Home Air Force Base | $6,471,000 |
| Montana | Malmstrom Air Force Base | $4,260,000 |
| Virginia | Pentagon | $4,528,000 |
| Washington | Joint Base Lewis-McChord | $14,770,000 |
| Various locations | Various locations | $25,809,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for energy conservation projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Energy Conservation Projects: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Bahamas | Ascension Aux Airfield St. Helena | $5,500,000 |
| Japan | Yokoska | $12,940,000 |
| Various locations | Various locations | $3,600,000 |

**SEC. 2403. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) $747,435,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of this Act for an operations facility at Fort Meade, Maryland).

(3) $20,800,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2129) for the Aegis Ashore Missile Defense System Complex at Deveselu, Romania).

(4) $141,039,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672), as amended by section 2404(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2131), for a data center at Fort Meade, Maryland).

(5) $50,500,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for an Ambulatory Care Center at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland).

(6) $54,300,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for an Ambulatory Care Center at Joint Base San Antonio, Texas).

(7) $441,134,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1673) for a hospital at the Rhine Ordnance Barracks, Germany).

(8) $41,441,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2640) for a hospital at Fort Bliss, Texas).

(9) $123,827,000 (the balance of the amount authorized as a Military Construction, Defense-Wide project by title X of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111–32; 123 Stat. 1888) for a data center at Camp Williams, Utah).

**SEC. 2404. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization in the table in section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672), as amended by section 2404(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2131), for Fort Meade, Maryland, for construction of the High Performance Computing Center at the installation, the Secretary of Defense may construct a generator plant capable of producing up to 60 megawatts of back-up electrical power in support of the 60 megawatt technical load.

**SEC. 2405. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2401 of that Act (125 Stat. 1672) and as amended by section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3685), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Defense Agencies: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| California | Naval Base Coronado | SOF Support Activity Operations Facility | $38,800,000 |
| Virginia | Pentagon Reservation | Heliport Control Tower and Fire Station | $6,457,000 |
| | | Pedestrian Plaza | $2,285,000 |

**SEC. 2406. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2118), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2401 of that Act (126 Stat. 2127), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Defense Agencies: Extension of 2013 Project Authorizations**

| State/Country | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| California | Naval Base Coronado | SOF Mobile Communications Detachment Support Facility | $9,327,000 |
| Colorado | Pikes Peak | High Altitude Medical Research Center | $3,600,000 |
| Germany | Ramstein AB | Replace Vogelweh Elementary School | $61,415,000 |
| Hawaii | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | SOF SDVT–1 Waterfront Operations Facility | $22,384,000 |
| Japan | CFAS Sasebo | Replace Sasebo Elementary School | $35,733,000 |
| | Camp Zama | Renovate Zama High School | $13,273,000 |
| Pennsylvania | DEF Distribution Depot New Cumberland | Replace reservoir | $4,300,000 |
| United Kingdom | RAF Feltwell | Feltwell Elementary School Addition | $30,811,000 |

**SEC. 2407. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 995) for Fort Knox, Kentucky, for construction of an Ambulatory Care Center at that location, subsequently cancelled by the Department of Defense, substitute authorization is provided for a 102,000-square foot Medical Clinic Replacement at that location in the amount of $80,000,000, using appropriations available for the original project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 of such Act (127 Stat. 997). This substitute authorization shall remain in effect until October 1, 2018, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2019.

## TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

**SEC. 2501. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

**SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program authorized by section 2501 as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

## TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

### Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army National Guard**

| State | Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alabama | Camp Foley | $4,500,000 |
| Connecticut | Camp Hartell | $11,000,000 |
| Florida | Palm Coast | $18,000,000 |
| Georgia | Fort Stewart | $6,800,000 |
| Illinois | Sparta | $1,900,000 |
| Kansas | Salina | $6,700,000 |
| Maryland | Easton | $13,800,000 |
| Mississippi | Gulfport | $40,000,000 |
| Nevada | Reno | $8,000,000 |
| Ohio | Camp Ravenna | $3,300,000 |
| Oregon | Salem | $16,500,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Fort Indiantown Gap | $16,000,000 |
| Vermont | North Hyde Park | $7,900,000 |
| Virginia | Richmond | $29,000,000 |

**SEC. 2602. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army Reserve: Inside the United States**

| State | Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| California | Miramar | $24,000,000 |
| Florida | MacDill Air Force Base | $55,000,000 |
| New York | Orangeburg | $4,200,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Conneaut Lake | $5,000,000 |
| Virginia | A.P. Hill | $24,000,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out a military construction project for the Army Reserve location outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

**Army Reserve: Outside the United States**

| Country | Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Puerto Rico | Fort Buchanan | $10,200,000 |

**SEC. 2603. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve**

| State | Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Nevada | Fallon | $11,408,000 |
| New York | Brooklyn | $2,479,000 |
| Virginia | Dam Neck | $18,443,000 |

**SEC. 2604. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Air National Guard**

| State | Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alabama | Dannelly Field | $7,600,000 |
| California | Moffett Field | $6,500,000 |
| Colorado | Buckley Air Force Base | $5,100,000 |
| Connecticut | Bradley | $6,300,000 |
| Florida | Cape Canaveral | $6,100,000 |
| Georgia | Savannah/Hilton Head IAP | $9,000,000 |
| Hawaii | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | $9,700,000 |
| Iowa | Des Moines Map | $6,700,000 |
| Kansas | Smokey Hill ANG Range | $2,900,000 |
| Louisiana | New Orleans | $10,000,000 |
| Maine | Bangor IAP | $7,200,000 |
| New Hampshire | Pease International Tradeport | $4,300,000 |
| New Jersey | Atlantic City IAP | $10,200,000 |
| New York | Niagara Falls IAP | $7,700,000 |
| North Carolina | Charlotte/Douglas IAP | $9,000,000 |
| North Dakota | Hector IAP | $7,300,000 |
| Oklahoma | Will Rogers World Airport | $7,600,000 |
| Oregon | Klamath Falls IAP | $7,200,000 |
| West Virginia | Yeager Airport | $3,900,000 |

**SEC. 2605. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

*Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:*

**Air Force Reserve**

| State | Location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| California | March Air Force Base | $4,600,000 |
| Florida | Patrick Air Force Base | $3,400,000 |
| Georgia | Dobbins Air Reserve Base | $10,400,000 |
| Ohio | Youngstown | $9,400,000 |
| Texas | Joint Base San Antonio | $9,900,000 |

**SEC. 2606. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

**Subtitle B—Others Matters**

**SEC. 2611. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.**

*(a) MODIFICATION.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2602 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2135) for Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, for construction of an Army Reserve Center at that location, the Secretary of the Army may construct a new facility in the vicinity of Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.*

*(b) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2118), the authorization set forth in subsection (a) shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.*

**SEC. 2612. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2015 PROJECTS.**

*(a) DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2605 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3689) for Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona, for construction of a*

*Guardian Angel Operations facility at that location, the Secretary of the Air Force may construct a new 5,913 square meter (63,647 square foot) facility in the amount of $18,200,000.*

*(b) FORT SMITH.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2604 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3689) for Fort Smith Municipal Airport, Arkansas, for construction of a consolidated Secure Compartmented Information Facility at that location, the Secretary of the Air Force may construct a new facility in the amount of $15,200,000.*

**SEC. 2613. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

*(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2602 of that Act (125 Stat. 1678), and extended by section 2611 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3690, 3691), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.*

*(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:*

***Extension of 2012 National Guard and Reserve Project Authorization***

| State | Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kansas | Kansas City | Army Reserve Center | $13,000,000 |
| Massachusetts | Attleboro | Army Reserve Center | $22,000,000 |

**SEC. 2614. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECTS.**

*(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2118), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in sections 2601, 2602, and 2603 of that Act (126 Stat. 2134, 2135) shall remain in effect until October 1, 2016, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017, whichever is later.*

*(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:*

***Extension of 2013 National Guard and Reserve Project Authorization***

| State | Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Arizona | Yuma | Reserve Training Facility—Yuma | $5,379,000 |
| California | Tustin | Army Reserve Center | $27,000,000 |
| Iowa | Fort Des Moines | Joint Reserve Center—Des Moines | $19,162,000 |
| Louisiana | New Orleans | Transient Quarters | $7,187,000 |
| New York | Camp Smith (Stormville) | Combined Support Maintenance Shop Phase 1 | $24,000,000 |

## TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

**SEC. 2701. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT.**

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2015, for base realignment and closure activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account established by section 2906 of such Act (as amended by section 2711 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2140)), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

**SEC. 2702. PROHIBITION ON CONDUCTING ADDITIONAL BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) ROUND.**

*Nothing in the Act shall be construed to authorize an additional round of defense base closure and realignment.*

## TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

### Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

**SEC. 2801. AUTHORITY FOR ACCEPTANCE AND USE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR CERTAIN MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL PROJECTS.**

*(a) AUTHORITY.—Subchapter II of chapter 138 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***"§ 2350n. Construction, maintenance, and repair projects mutually beneficial to the Department of Defense and armed forces of a partner nation***

*''(a) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, may accept cash contributions from any partner nation for the purposes specified in subsection (c).*

*''(b) ACCOUNTING.—Contributions accepted under subsection (a) shall be placed in an account established by the Secretary of Defense and shall remain available until expended for the purposes specified in subsection (c).*

*''(c) AVAILABILITY OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—Contributions accepted under subsection (a) shall be available only for payment of costs in connection with mutually beneficial construction (including military construction not otherwise authorized by law), maintenance, and repair projects.*

*''(d) PROHIBITION ON USE OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO OFFSET BURDEN SHARING CONTRIBUTIONS REQUIRED OF PARTNER NATIONS.—Contributions accepted under subsection (a) may not be used to offset burden sharing contributions that are otherwise required to be provided by partner nations.*

*''(e) MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL DEFINED.—A project shall be considered to be 'mutually beneficial' for purposes of this section if—*

*''(1) the project is in support of a bilateral defense cooperation agreement between the United States and a partner nation; or*

*''(2) the Secretary of Defense determines that the United States may derive a benefit from the project, including—*

*''(A) access to and use of facilities of the armed forces of a partner nation;*

*''(B) ability or capacity for future force posture; and*

*''(C) increased interoperability between the Department of Defense and the armed forces of a partner nation.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:*

*''2350n. Construction, maintenance, and repair projects mutually beneficial to the Department of Defense and armed forces of a partner nation.''.*

**SEC. 2802. CHANGE IN AUTHORITIES RELATING TO SCOPE OF WORK VARIATIONS FOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**

*(a) LIMITED AUTHORITY FOR SCOPE OF WORK INCREASE.—Section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b)(2), by striking ''The scope of work'' and inserting ''Except as provided in subsection (d), the scope of work'';*

*(2) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively; and*

*(3) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:*

*''(d) The limitation in subsection (b)(2) on an increase in the scope of work does not apply if—*

*''(1) the increase in the scope of work is not more than 10 percent of the amount specified for that project, construction, improvement, or acquisition in the justification data provided to Congress as part of the request for authorization of the project, construction, improvement, or acquisition;*

*''(2) the increase is approved by the Secretary concerned;*

*''(3) the Secretary concerned notifies the congressional defense committees in writing of the increase in scope and the reasons therefor; and*

*''(4) a period of 21 days has elapsed after the date on which the notification is received by the committees or, if over sooner, a period of 14 days has elapsed after the date on which a copy of the notification is provided in an electronic medium pursuant to section 480 of this title.''.*

*(b) CROSS-REFERENCE AMENDMENTS.—*

*(1) Subsection (a) of such section is amended by striking ''subsection (c) or (d)'' and inserting ''subsection (c), (d), or (e)''.*

*(2) Subsection (f) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(2), is amended by striking ''through (d)'' and inserting ''through (e)''.*

*(c) ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a) of such section is further amended by inserting ''of this title'' after ''section 2805(a)''.*

**SEC. 2803. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY, LIMITED AUTHORITY TO USE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

*(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (h) of section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1723), as most recently amended by section 2806 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (division B of Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat. 3699), is amended—*

*(1) in paragraph (1), by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (2), by striking ''fiscal year 2016'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2017''.*

*(b) LIMITATION ON USE OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (c)(1) of such section is amended—*

*(1) by striking ''October 1, 2014'' and inserting ''October 1, 2015'';*

*(2) by striking ''December 31, 2015'' and inserting ''December 31, 2016''; and*

*(3) by striking ''fiscal year 2016'' and inserting ''fiscal year 2017''.*

*(c) ELIMINATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Such section is further amended by striking subsection (d).*

***SEC. 2804. MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT ON IN-KIND CONSTRUCTION AND RENOVATION PAYMENTS.***

*(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 2016, and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall provide the congressional defense committees a report on in-kind construction and renovation payments received during the preceding fiscal year.*

*(2) ELEMENTS.—Each report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:*

*(A) A listing of each facility constructed or renovated for the Department of Defense as payment in-kind.*

*(B) An estimate of the value in United States dollars of that construction or renovation.*

*(C) A description of the source of the in-kind payment.*

*(D) A description of the agreement pursuant to which the in-kind payment was made.*

*(E) A description of the purpose and need for the construction or renovation.*

*(b) REPEAL OF EXISTING REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Section 2805 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2149) is repealed.*

***SEC. 2805. LAB MODERNIZATION PILOT PROGRAM.***

*(a) AUTHORITY TO USE RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FUNDS.—The Secretary of Defense may fund military construction projects at the Department of Defense science and technology reinvention laboratories (as designated by section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note)), using amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.*

*(b) CONDITIONS.—Amounts made available pursuant to subsection (a) may be used for the purpose of funding major military construction projects that meet the following conditions:*

*(1) Projects are subject to the requirements of section 2802 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(2) Projects are included in the budget submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.*

*(3) Funds are specifically appropriated for the projects.*

*(c) CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall certify, as part of the budget submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, that military construction projects proposed pursuant to subsection (a)—*

*(1) will support the research and development activities at Department of Defense science and technology reinvention laboratories (as designated by section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note)) of more than one military department or Defense Agency or a technology development program that is consistent with the fielding of offset technologies as described in section 212;*

*(2) have been endorsed for funding by more than one military department or Defense Agency;*

*(3) will establish facilities that will have significant potential for use by entities outside the Department of Defense, including universities, industrial partners, and other Federal agencies; and*

*(4) cannot be fully funded under the thresholds specified by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code.*

*(d) FUNDS.—Amounts used for the pilot program established under this section may not exceed $100,000,000 for any fiscal year.*

*(e) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority provided under this section terminates on October 1, 2020.*

***SEC. 2806. CONVEYANCE TO INDIAN TRIBES OF CERTAIN HOUSING UNITS.***

*(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*(1) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—The term ''Executive Director'' means the Executive Director of Walking Shield, Inc.*

*(2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term ''Indian tribe'' means any Indian tribe included on the list published by the Secretary of the Interior under section 104 of the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994 (25 U.S.C.479a–1).*

*(b) REQUESTS FOR CONVEYANCE.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—The Executive Director may submit to the Secretary of the military department concerned, on behalf of any Indian tribe, a request for conveyance of any relocatable military housing unit located at a military installation in the United States.*

*(2) CONFLICTS.—The Executive Director shall resolve any conflict among requests of Indian tribes for housing units described in paragraph (1) before submitting a request to the Secretary of the military department concerned under this subsection.*

*(c) CONVEYANCE BY A SECRETARY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, on receipt of a request under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary of the military department concerned may convey to the Indian tribe that is the subject of the request, at no cost to such military department and without consideration, any relocatable military housing unit described in subsection (b)(1) that, as determined by such Secretary, is in excess of the needs of the military.*

***Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration***

***SEC. 2811. UTILITY SYSTEM CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY.***

*Section 2688(j) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in the subsection heading, by striking ''CONSTRUCTION OF'' and inserting ''CONVEYANCE OF ADDITIONAL''; and*

*(2) in paragraph (1)—*

*(A) by striking subparagraphs (A) and (C);*

*(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (D) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;*

*(C) in subparagraph (A), as redesignated by subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, by striking ''utility system;'' and inserting '', or operating the additional utility infrastructure would be in the best interest of the government using a business case analysis similar to the analysis required under subsection (d)(2); and''; and*

*(D) in subparagraph (B), as so redesignated, by striking ''amount equal to the fair market value of'' and inserting ''amount for''.*

***SEC. 2812. LEASING OF NON-EXCESS PROPERTY OF MILITARY DEPARTMENTS AND DEFENSE AGENCIES; TREATMENT OF VALUE PROVIDED BY LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCIES AND ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS.***

*Section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:*

*''(k) LEASES FOR EDUCATION.—Notwithstanding subsection (b)(4), the Secretary concerned may accept consideration in an amount that is less than the fair market value of the lease, if the lease is to a local education agency or an elementary or secondary school (as those terms are defined in section 9101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801)).''.*

***SEC. 2813. MODIFICATION OF FACILITY REPAIR NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.***

*Section 2811 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (d), by inserting ''or 75 percent of the estimated cost of a military construction project to replace the facility, or the facility is located at an overseas location that has not been designated a main operating base or forward operating site'' after ''in excess of $7,500,000'';*

*(2) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and*

*(3) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:*

*''(e) NOTIFICATION THRESHOLD.—The congressional notification requirement under subsection (d) does not apply to a repair project costing less than $1,000,000.''.*

***SEC. 2814. INCREASE OF THRESHOLD OF NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN FACILITIES FOR RESERVE COMPONENTS AND PARITY WITH AUTHORITY FOR UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND REPAIR PROJECTS.***

*(a) NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENT.—Subsection (a) of section 18233a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking ''$750,000'' and inserting ''the amount specified in section 2805(b)(1) of this title''.*

*(b) REPAIR PROJECTS.—Subsection (b)(3) of such section is amended by striking ''$7,500,000'' and inserting ''the amount specified in section 2811(b) of this title''.*

***SEC. 2815. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COORDINATION OF HUNTING, FISHING, AND OTHER RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES ON MILITARY LAND.***

*It is the sense of Congress that, in situations where military lands are open to public access for hunting, fishing, and other recreational activities, the Department of Defense should seek to ensure that coordination with State fish and wildlife managers, tribes, and local governments occurs sufficiently in advance of traditional hunting, fishing, and recreational use seasons to facilitate communication with hunting, fishing, and recreational user groups.*

***SEC. 2816. EXEMPTION OF ARMY OFF-SITE USE AND OFF-SITE REMOVAL ONLY NON-MOBILE PROPERTIES FROM CERTAIN EXCESS PROPERTY DISPOSAL REQUIREMENTS.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Excess or unutilized or underutilized non-mobile property of the Army that is situated on non-excess land shall be exempt from the requirements of title V of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411 et seq.) upon a determination by the Secretary of the Army that—*

*(1) the property is not feasible to relocate;*

*(2) the property is located in an area to which the general public is denied access in the interest of national security; and*

*(3) the exemption would facilitate the efficient disposal of excess property or result in more efficient real property management.*

*(b) CONSULTATION.—Before making an initial determination under the authority provided under subsection (a), and periodically thereafter, the Secretary of the Army shall consult with the Executive Director of the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness on types of non-mobile properties that may be feasible for relocation and suitable to assist the homeless.*

*(c) SUNSET.—The authority under subsection (a) shall expire on September 30, 2017.*

***Subtitle C—Land Conveyances***

***SEC. 2821. RELEASE OF REVERSIONARY INTEREST RETAINED AS PART OF CONVEYANCE TO THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCE OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ARKANSAS.***

*(a) RELEASE OF CONDITIONS AND RETAINED INTERESTS.—With respect to a parcel of real property in Jefferson County, Arkansas, consisting of approximately 1,447 acres and conveyed by deed to the Economic Development Alliance of*

*Jefferson County, Arkansas (in this section referred to as the ''Economic Development Alliance'') by the United States for use as the facility known as the ''Bioplex'' and related activities pursuant to section 2827 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104–201), the Secretary of the Army may release subject to the conditions of subsections (b) and (d) below, the conditions of conveyance of subsection (c) of such section 2827 and the reversionary interest retained by the United States under subsection (e) of such section.*

*(b) CONSIDERATION.—*

*(1) EFFECT OF RECONVEYANCE.—Notwithstanding subsection (d) of such section 2827, the release authorized by subsection (a) of this section shall be subject to the condition that, if the Economic Development Alliance reconveys all or any part of the conveyed property during the 25-year period referred to in subsection (c)(2) of such section, the Economic Development Alliance shall pay to the United States, upon reconveyance, an amount equal to the fair market value of the reconveyed property as of the time of the reconveyance, excluding the value of any improvements made to the property by the Economic Development Alliance.*

*(2) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary of the Army shall determine fair market value in accordance with Federal appraisal standards and procedures.*

*(3) TREATMENT OF LEASES.—The Secretary of the Army may treat a lease of the property within such 25-year period as a reconveyance if the Secretary determines that the lease is being used to avoid application of paragraph (1).*

*(4) DEPOSIT OF PROCEEDS.—The Secretary of the Army shall deposit any proceeds received under this subsection in the special account established pursuant to section 572(b) of title 40, United States Code.*

*(c) INSTRUMENT OF RELEASE.—The Secretary of the Army may execute and file in the appropriate office a deed of release, amended deed, or other appropriate instrument reflecting the release of conditions and retained interests under subsection (a).*

*(d) PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—*

*(1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Army shall require the Economic Development Alliance to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the release of conditions and retained interests under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the release. If amounts paid to the Secretary in advance exceed the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the release, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Economic Development Alliance.*

*(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received under paragraph (1) as reimbursement for costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out the release under subsection (a) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the release. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.*

*(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the release of conditions and retained interests under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States, including provisions that the Secretary determines are necessary to preclude any use of the property that would interfere with activities at Pine Bluff Arsenal.*

***SEC. 2822. LAND EXCHANGE, NAVY OUTLYING LANDING FIELD, NAVAL AIR STATION, WHITING FIELD, FLORIDA.***

*(a) LAND EXCHANGE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy may convey to Escambia County, Florida (in this section referred to as the ''County''), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, containing Navy Outlying Landing Field Site 8 in Escambia County associated with Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Milton, Florida.*

*(b) LAND TO BE ACQUIRED.—In exchange for the property described in subsection (a), the County shall convey to the Secretary of the Navy land and improvements thereon in Santa Rosa County, Florida, that is acceptable to the Secretary and suitable for use as a Navy outlying landing field to replace Navy Outlying Landing Field Site 8.*

*(c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—*

*(1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Navy shall require the County to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the land exchange under this section, including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, other administrative costs related to the land exchange, and all costs associated with relocation of activities and facilities from Navy Outlying Landing Field Site 8 to the replacement location. If amounts are collected from the County in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the land exchange, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the County.*

*(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the land exchange. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.*

*(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be exchanged under this section shall be determined by surveys satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.*

*(e) CONVEYANCE AGREEMENT.—The exchange of real property under this section shall be accomplished using a quit claim deed or other legal instrument and upon terms and conditions mutually satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy and the County, including such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

## *DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS*

## *TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS*

### *Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations*

***SEC. 3101. NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.***

*(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2016 for the activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.*

*(b) AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.—From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy may carry out the following new plant project for the National Nuclear Security Administration:*

*Project 16–D–621, Substation Replacement at Technical Area 3, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $25,000,000.*

***SEC. 3102. DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.***

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2016 for defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.*

***SEC. 3103. OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.***

*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2016 for other defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.*

### *Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations*

***SEC. 3111. RESPONSIVE CAPABILITIES PROGRAM.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''SEC. 4220. RESPONSIVE CAPABILITIES PROGRAM.***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall establish and carry out a program to exercise the technical capabilities of the Administration with respect to design and production of nuclear weapons to ensure that the Administration is ready to respond to future uncertainties not addressed by existing life extension programs.*

*''(b) PROGRAM ELEMENTS.—The Administrator shall ensure that the program required by subsection (a)—*

*''(1) is integrated across the science, engineering, design, and manufacturing cycle of the Administration;*

*''(2) results in—*

*''(A) physics models of components and systems the understanding of which will ensure existing models and experimental capabilities are robust, capable of being certified as safe and reliable in the absence of testing, and contribute to the predictive design framework;*

*''(B) shortened engineering design cycles that minimize the amount of time leading to an engineering prototype; and*

*''(C) rapid manufacturing capabilities to reduce the time and cost of production; and*

*''(3) integrates physics, engineering, and production capabilities into joint test assemblies and designs.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4219 the following new item:*

*''Sec. 4220. Responsive capabilities program.''.*

***SEC. 3112. LONG-TERM PLAN FOR MEETING NATIONAL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR UNENCUMBERED URANIUM.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521 et seq.), as amended by section 3111, is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''SEC. 4221. LONG-TERM PLAN FOR MEETING NATIONAL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR UNENCUMBERED URANIUM.***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—Concurrent with the submission to Congress of the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, in each even-numbered year beginning in 2016, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for meeting national security requirements for unencumbered uranium through 2065.*

*''(b) PLAN REQUIREMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*''(1) An inventory of unencumbered uranium (other than depleted uranium), by program source and enrichment level, that, as of the date of the plan, is allocated to national security requirements.*

*''(2) An inventory of unencumbered uranium (other than depleted uranium), by program source and enrichment level, that, as of the date of the plan, is not allocated to national security requirements but could be allocated to such requirements.*

''(3) An identification of national security requirements for unencumbered uranium, by program source and enrichment level.

''(4) A description of any shortfall in obtaining unencumbered uranium to meet national security requirements and an assessment of whether that shortfall could be mitigated through the blending down of uranium that is of a higher enrichment level.

''(5) An inventory of unencumbered depleted uranium, an assessment of the portion of that uranium that could be allocated to national security requirements through re-enrichment, and an estimate of the costs of re-enriching that uranium.

''(6) A description of the swap and barter agreements involving unencumbered uranium needed to meet national security requirements that are in effect on the date of the plan.

''(7) An assessment of whether additional enrichment of uranium will be required to meet national security requirements and an estimate of the time for production operations and the cost for each type of enrichment being considered.

''(8) A description of changes in policy that would mitigate any shortfall in obtaining unencumbered uranium to meet national security requirements and the implications of those changes.

''(c) FORM OF PLAN.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

''(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

''(1) The term 'depleted', with respect to uranium, means that the uranium is depleted in uranium-235 compared with natural uranium.

''(2) The term 'unencumbered', with respect to uranium, means that the United States has no obligation to foreign governments to use the uranium for only peaceful purposes.''.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act, as amended by section 3111, is further amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4220 the following new item:

''Sec. 4221. Long-term plan for meeting national security requirements for unencumbered uranium.''.

**SEC. 3113. DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION MANAGEMENT PLAN.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title XLIII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2563 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**''SEC. 4309. DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION MANAGEMENT PLAN.**

''(a) IN GENERAL.—Concurrent with the submission to Congress of the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, in each odd-numbered year beginning in 2017, the Administrator shall submit to the congressional defense committees a five-year management plan for activities associated with the defense nuclear nonproliferation programs of the Administration.

''(b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include, with respect to each defense nuclear nonproliferation program of the Administration, the following:

''(1) A description of the following:

''(A) The policy context in which the program operates, including—

''(i) a list of relevant laws, policy directives issued by the President, and international agreements; and

''(ii) nuclear nonproliferation activities carried out by other Federal agencies.

''(B) The objectives and priorities of the program during the year preceding the submission of the plan required by subsection (a).

''(C) The activities carried out under the program during that year.

''(D) The accomplishments and challenges of the program during that year.

''(2) Plans for activities of the program during the five-year period beginning on the date on which the plan required by subsection (a) is submitted, including activities with respect to the following:

''(A) Preventing nuclear and radiological proliferation and terrorism, including through—

''(i) material management and minimization;

''(ii) global nuclear material security;

''(iii) nonproliferation and arms control;

''(iv) defense nuclear research and development; and

''(v) nonproliferation construction programs, including activities associated Department of Energy Order 413.1 (relating to program management controls).

''(B) Countering nuclear and radiological proliferation and terrorism.

''(C) Responding to nuclear and radiological proliferation and terrorism, including through—

''(i) crisis operations;

''(ii) consequences management; and

''(iii) emergency management, including international capacity building.

''(3) A threat analysis in support of the plans described in paragraph (2).

''(4) A plan for funding the program during the five-year period beginning on the date on which the plan required by subsection (a) is submitted.

''(5) A description of funds for the program received through contributions from or cost-sharing agreements with foreign governments consistent section 3132(f) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (50 U.S.C. 2569(f)).

''(6) Such other matters as the Administrator considers appropriate.

''(c) FORM OF REPORT.—The plan required by subsection (a) may be submitted to the congressional defense committees in classified form if necessary.''.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4308 the following new item:

''Sec. 4309. Defense nuclear nonproliferation management plan.''.

(c) CONFORMING REPEALS.—

(1) Section 3122 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1710) is amended—

(A) by striking subsections (a) and (b);

(B) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (a), (b), and (c), respectively; and

(C) in paragraph (2) of subsection (b), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking ''subsection (c)(2)'' and inserting ''subsection (a)(2)''.

(2) Section 3145 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2197) is repealed.

**SEC. 3114. PLAN FOR DEACTIVATION AND DECOMMISSIONING OF NONOPERATIONAL DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle B of title XLIV of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2602 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**''SEC. 4423. PLAN FOR DEACTIVATION AND DECOMMISSIONING OF NONOPERATIONAL DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES.**

''(a) IN GENERAL.—During each even-numbered year beginning in 2016, the Secretary of Energy shall develop a plan to provide guidance for the activities of the Department of Energy relating to the deactivation and decommissioning of nonoperational defense nuclear facilities.

''(b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

''(1) A list of nonoperational defense nuclear facilities, prioritized for deactivation and decommissioning based on the potential to reduce risks to human health, property, or the environment and to maximize cost savings.

''(2) An assessment of the life cycle costs of each nonoperational defense nuclear facility during the period beginning on the date on which the plan is submitted under subsection (c) and ending on the earlier of—

''(A) the date that is 25 years after the date on which the plan is submitted; or

''(B) the estimated date for deactivation and decommissioning of the facility.

''(3) An estimate of the cost and time needed to deactivate and decommission each nonoperational defense nuclear facility, if available.

''(4) An estimate of the time at which the Office of Environmental Management anticipates accepting nonoperational defense nuclear facilities for deactivation and decommissioning.

''(5) An estimate of costs that could be avoided by—

''(A) accelerating the cleanup of nonoperational defense nuclear facilities; or

''(B) other means, such as reusing such facilities for another purpose.

''(c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than March 31 of each even-numbered year beginning in 2016, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes—

''(1) the plan required by subsection (a);

''(2) a description of the deactivation and decommissioning actions expected to be taken during the following fiscal year pursuant to the plan; and

''(3) in the case of a report submitted during 2018 or any year thereafter, a description of the deactivation and decommissioning actions taken at each nonoperational defense nuclear facility during the preceding fiscal year.

''(d) TERMINATION.—The requirements of this section shall terminate after the submission to the congressional defense committees of the report required by subsection (c) to be submitted not later than March 31, 2026.

''(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

''(1) The term 'life cycle costs', with respect to a facility, means—

''(A) the present and future costs of all resources and associated cost elements required to develop, produce, deploy, or sustain the facility; and

''(B) the present and future costs to deactivate, decommission, and deconstruct the facility.

''(2) The term 'nonoperational defense nuclear facility' means a production facility or utilization facility (as those terms are defined in section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2014)) under the control or jurisdiction of the Secretary of Energy and operated for national security purposes that is no longer needed for the mission of the Department of Energy, including the National Nuclear Security Administration.''.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4422 the following new item:

''Sec. 4423. Plan for deactivation and decommissioning of nonoperational defense nuclear facilities.''.

**SEC. 3115. HANFORD WASTE TREATMENT AND IMMOBILIZATION PLANT CONTRACT OVERSIGHT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle C of title XLIV of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2621 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**''SEC. 4446. HANFORD WASTE TREATMENT AND IMMOBILIZATION PLANT CONTRACT OVERSIGHT.**

''(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, the Secretary of Energy shall arrange to have an owner's agent assist the Secretary in carrying out the oversight responsibilities of the Secretary with respect to the contract described in subsection (b).

''(b) CONTRACT DESCRIBED.—The contract described in this subsection is the contract between the Office of River Protection of the Department of Energy and Bechtel National, Inc.

*or its successor relating to the Hanford Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (contract number DE–AC27–01RV14136).*

*''(c) DUTIES.—The duties of the owner's agent under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

*''(1) Performing design, construction, nuclear safety, and operability oversight of each facility covered by the contract described in subsection (b).*

*''(2) Beginning not later than one year after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, ensuring that the preliminary documented safety analyses for all facilities covered by the contract meet the requirements of all applicable Department of Energy regulations and guidance, including section 830.206 of title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, and the Department of Energy Standard on the Integration of Safety into the Design Process (DOE–STD–1189–2008).*

*''(3) Assisting the Secretary in ensuring that, until the Secretary approves the documented safety analysis for each facility covered by the contract, the contractor ensures that each preliminary documented safety analysis is current.*

*''(4) Ensuring that the contractor acts to promptly resolve any unreviewed safety questions.*

*''(d) REPORT REQUIRED.—*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, and every 180 days thereafter, the owner's agent specified in subsection (a) shall submit to the Secretary and the congressional defense committees a report on the assistance provided by the owner's agent to the Secretary under that subsection with respect to oversight of the contract described in subsection (b).*

*''(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

*''(A) Information on the status of, and the plan for resolving, each unreviewed safety question at each facility covered by the contract described in subsection (b).*

*''(B) An identification of each instance of disagreement between the owner's agent and the contractor with respect to whether an unreviewed safety question exists and the plan for resolution of the disagreement.*

*''(C) An identification of each aspect of each preliminary documented safety analysis that is not current, the plan for making that aspect current, and the status of the corrective efforts.*

*''(D) Information on the status of, and the plan for resolving, each unresolved technical issue at each facility covered by the contract, and the status of corrective efforts.*

*''(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) The term 'contractor' means Bechtel National, Inc.*

*''(2) The term 'current', with respect to a documented safety analysis, means that the documented safety analysis includes any design changes approved by the contractor and any safety evaluation reports issued by the Secretary with respect to the facility covered by the analysis before the date that is 60 days before the date of the analysis.*

*''(3) The terms 'documented safety analysis', 'safety evaluation report', and 'unreviewed safety question' have the meanings given those terms in section 830.3 of title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (or any corresponding similar ruling or regulation).*

*''(4) The term 'owner's agent' means a private third-party entity with nuclear safety management expertise and without any contractual relationship with the contractor or conflict of interest.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4445 the following new item:*

*''Sec. 4446. Hanford Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant contract oversight.''.*

***SEC. 3116. ASSESSMENT OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS OF DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLVIII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2781 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 4802 the following new section:*

***''SEC. 4802A. ASSESSMENTS OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS OF DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES.***

*''(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy shall include, in each award-fee evaluation conducted under section 16.401 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations, of a management and operating contract for a Department of Energy defense nuclear facility in 2016 or any even-numbered year thereafter, an assessment of the adequacy of the emergency preparedness of that facility, including an assessment of the seniority level of employees and contractors of the Department of Energy that participate in emergency preparedness exercises at that facility.*

*''(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 60 days after conducting an assessment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the assessment.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4802 the following new item:*

*''Sec. 4802A. Assessments of emergency preparedness of defense nuclear facilities.''.*

***SEC. 3117. LABORATORY- AND FACILITY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.***

*(a) FUNDING FOR LABORATORY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—Section 4811(c) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2791(c)) is amended by striking ''not to exceed 6 percent'' and inserting ''of not less than 5 percent and not more than 8 percent''.*

*(b) FACILITY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle B of title XLVIII of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2791 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 4811 the following new section:*

***''SEC. 4811A. FACILITY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.***

*''(a) AUTHORITY.—A covered facility that is funded out of funds available to the Department of Energy for national security programs may carry out facility-directed research and development.*

*''(b) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Energy shall prescribe regulations for the conduct of facility-directed research and development under subsection (a).*

*''(c) FUNDING.—Of the funds provided by the Department of Energy to covered facilities, the Secretary shall provide a specific amount, not to exceed 4 percent of such funds, to be used by such facilities for facility-directed research and development.*

*''(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) COVERED FACILITY.—The term 'covered facility' means a nuclear weapons production facility or the Nevada Site Office of the Department of Energy.*

*''(2) FACILITY-DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—The term 'facility-directed research and development' means research and development work of a creative and innovative nature that, under the regulations prescribed pursuant to subsection (b), is selected by the director or manager of a covered facility for the purpose of maintaining the vitality of the facility in defense-related scientific disciplines.''.*

*(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4811 the following new item:*

*''Sec. 4811A. Facility-directed research and development.''.*

***SEC. 3118. LIMITATION ON BONUSES FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION WHO ENGAGE IN IMPROPER PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle C of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

***''SEC. 3245. LIMITATION ON BONUSES FOR EMPLOYEES WHO ENGAGE IN IMPROPER PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.***

*''(a) LIMITATION.—If the Secretary of Energy or the Administrator determines that a senior employee of the Administration committed improper program management, the Secretary and the Administrator may not pay a bonus to that employee during the one-year period beginning on the date of the determination.*

*''(b) WAIVER.—The Secretary or the Administrator may waive the limitation on the payment of bonuses under subsection (a) on a case-by-case basis if—*

*''(1) the Secretary or the Administrator, as the case may be, notifies the congressional defense committees of the waiver; and*

*''(2) a period of 60 days elapses following the notification before the bonus is paid.*

*''(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

*''(1) The term 'bonus' means any bonus or cash award, including—*

*''(A) an award under chapter 45 of title 5, United States Code;*

*''(B) an additional step-increase under section 5336 of title 5, United States Code;*

*''(C) an award under section 5384 of title 5, United States Code;*

*''(D) a recruitment or relocation bonus under section 5753 of title 5, United States Code; and*

*''(E) a retention bonus under section 5754 of title 5, United States Code.*

*''(2) The term 'covered project' means—*

*''(A) a construction project of the Administration that is not a minor construction project (as defined in section 4703(d) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2743(d))); or*

*''(B) a life extension program.*

*''(3) The term 'improper program management' means actions relating to the management of a covered project that significantly—*

*''(A) delay the project;*

*''(B) reduce the scope of the project; or*

*''(C) increase the cost of the project.''.*

*(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3244 the following new item:*

*''Sec. 3245. Limitation on bonuses for employees who engage in improper program management.''.*

***SEC. 3119. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL LEVELS OF THE OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY.***

*Section 3241A(b)(3) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441a(b)(3)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*

*''(E) 100 employees in positions established under section 3241.''.*

***SEC. 3120. MODIFICATION OF SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENTS OF CERTAIN BUDGET REQUESTS RELATING TO THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.***

*Section 3255(a)(2) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2455(a)(2)) is amended by inserting ''in each even-numbered year and 150 days in each odd-numbered year'' after ''90 days''.*

***SEC. 3121. REPEAL OF PHASE THREE REVIEW OF CERTAIN DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP PROJECTS.***

*Section 3134 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2713), as amended by section 3134(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2193), is further amended—*

*(1) in subsection (a), by striking ''a series of three reviews, as described in subsections (b), (c), and (d)'' and inserting ''two reviews, as described in subsections (b) and (c)''; and*

*(2) by striking subsection (d).*

***SEC. 3122. MODIFICATIONS TO COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES FOR COMPETITION OF MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTS.***

*Section 3121 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2175), as amended by section 3124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1062), is further amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b)—*

*(A) by redesignating paragraphs (4) and (5) as paragraphs (6) and (7), respectively; and*

*(B) by striking paragraphs (1) through (3) and inserting the following new paragraphs:*

*''(1) a clear and complete description of the cost savings the Administrator expects to result from the competition for the contract over the life of the contract, including associated analyses, assumptions, and information sources used to determine such cost savings;*

*''(2) a description of any key limitations or uncertainties that could affect such costs savings, including costs savings that are anticipated but not fully known;*

*''(3) the costs of the competition for the contract, including the immediate costs of conducting the competition;*

*''(4) a description of any expected disruptions or delays in mission activities or deliverables resulting from the competition for the contract;*

*''(5) a clear and complete description of the benefits expected by the Administrator with respect to mission performance or operations resulting from the competition;'';*

*(2) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively;*

*(3) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):*

*''(c) INFORMATION QUALITY.—A report required by subsection (a) shall be prepared in accordance with—*

*''(1) the information quality guidelines of the Department of Energy that are relevant to the clear and complete presentation of information on each matter required to be included in the report under subsection (b); and*

*''(2) best practices of the Government Accountability Office and relevant industries for cost estimating, if appropriate.'';*

*(4) in subsection (d), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph (1):*

*''(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a review of each report required by subsection (a) with respect to a contract not later than 3 years after the report is submitted to such committees that includes an assessment, based on the most current information available, of the following:*

*''(A) The actual cost savings achieved compared to cost savings estimated under subsection (b)(1), and any increased costs incurred under the contract that were unexpected or uncertain at the time the contract was awarded.*

*''(B) Any disruptions or delays in mission activities or deliverables resulting from the competition for the contract compared to the disruptions and delayed estimated under subsection (b)(4).*

*''(C) Whether expected benefits of the competition with respect to mission performance or operations have been achieved.''; and*

*(5) in subsection (e), as so redesignated—*

*(A) in paragraph (1), by striking ''2013 through 2017'' and inserting ''2015 through 2020'';*

*(B) by striking paragraph (2);*

*(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2); and*

*(D) in paragraph (2), as redesignated by subparagraph (C), by striking ''subsections (a) and (d)(2)'' and inserting ''subsection (a)''.*

***SEC. 3123. REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CONGRESSIONAL ADVISORY PANEL ON THE GOVERNANCE OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.***

*(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security shall enter into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Public Administration (in this section referred to as the ''joint panel'') to review the implementation of the recommendations specified in subsection (b) of the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise established by section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2208).*

*(b) RECOMMENDATIONS SPECIFIED.—The recommendations specified in this subsection are recommendations 4 through 10, 12, 13, and 15 through 19 in the table of recommendations in the report of the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise entitled ''A New Foundation for the Nuclear Security Enterprise'' and submitted to Congress pursuant to section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2208), as amended by section 3142 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1069).*

*(c) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 31, 2016, and annually thereafter through 2020, the joint panel shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review required by subsection (a) that includes an assessment of—*

*(1) the status of the implementation of the recommendations specified in subsection (b); and*

*(2) the extent to which the implementation of the recommendations is resulting in the desired effect as envisioned by the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise.*

## *TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD*

***SEC. 3201. AUTHORIZATION.***

*There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016, $29,150,000 for the operation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board under chapter 21 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286 et seq.).*

## *TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION*

***SEC. 3501. CADET COMMITMENT AGREEMENTS.***

*Section 51306(a) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking ''must'' and inserting ''shall'';*

*(2) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:*

*''(2) obtain a merchant mariner license, unlimited as to horsepower or tonnage, issued by the United States Coast Guard as an officer in the merchant marine of the United States, accompanied by the appropriate national and international endorsements and certifications required by the Coast Guard for service aboard vessels on domestic and international voyages, without limitation, before graduation from the Academy;'';*

*(3) by amending paragraph (3) to read as follows:*

*''(3) for at least 6 years after graduation from the Academy, maintain—*

*''(A) a valid merchant mariner license, unlimited as to horsepower or tonnage, issued by the United States Coast Guard as an officer in the merchant marine of the United States, accompanied by the appropriate national and international endorsements and certifications required by the Coast Guard for service aboard vessels on domestic and international voyages, without limitation;*

*''(B) a valid transportation worker identification credential; and*

*''(C) a United States Coast Guard medical certificate;''; and*

*(4) by amending paragraph (4) to read as follows:*

*''(4) apply for, and accept if tendered, an appointment as a commissioned officer in the Navy Reserve (including the Strategic Sealift Officer Program, Navy Reserve), the Coast Guard Reserve, or any other reserve component of an armed force of the United States, and, if tendered the appointment, to serve, meet the participation requirements, and maintain active status in good standing, as determined by the program manager of the appropriate military service, for at least 8 years after the date of commissioning;''.*

***SEC. 3502. STUDENT INCENTIVE PAYMENT AGREEMENTS.***

*Section 51509 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (b)—*

*(A) by inserting ''(3) AUTHORIZED USES.—'' before the last sentence and indenting accordingly;*

*(B) in the matter preceding paragraph (3), by striking ''Payments'' and inserting ''(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), payments'' and indenting accordingly; and*

*(C) by inserting after paragraph (1), the following:*

*''(2) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may modify the payments made to an individual under paragraph (1), but the total amount of payments to that individual may not exceed $32,000.'';*

*(2) in subsection (c), by striking ''Merchant Marine Reserve'' and inserting ''Strategic Sealift Officer Program'';*

*(3) in subsection (d)—*

*(A) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:*

*''(2) obtain a merchant mariner license, without limitation as to tonnage or horsepower, from the United States Coast Guard as an officer in the merchant marine of the United States, accompanied by the appropriate national and international endorsements and certification required by the Coast Guard for service aboard vessels on domestic and international voyages, without limitation, within three months of completion of the course of instruction at the academy the individual is attending;'';*

*(B) by amending paragraph (3) to read as follows:*

*''(3) for at least 6 years after graduation from the academy, maintain—*

*''(A) a valid merchant mariner license, unlimited as to horsepower or tonnage, issued by the United States Coast Guard as an officer in the merchant marine of the United States, accompanied by the appropriate national and international endorsements and certifications required by the Coast Guard for service aboard vessels on domestic and international voyages, without limitation;*

*''(B) a valid transportation worker identification credential; and*

*''(C) a United States Coast Guard medical certificate;'' and*

*(C) by amending paragraph (4) to read as follows:*

*''(4) apply for, and accept, if tendered, an appointment as a commissioned officer in the Navy Reserve (including the Strategic Sealift Officer Program, Navy Reserve), the Coast Guard Reserve, or any other reserve component of an armed force of the United States, and, if tendered the appointment, to serve and meet the participation requirements and to maintain active status in good standing, as determined by the program manager of the appropriate military service, for at least 8 years after the date of commissioning;'';*

*(4) by amending subsection (e)(1) to read as follows:*

*''(1) ACTIVE DUTY.—*

*''(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may order an individual to serve on active duty in the armed forces of the United States for a period of not more than 2 years if—*

*''(i) the individual has attended an academy under this section for more than 2 academic years, but less than 3 academic years;*

''(ii) the individual has accepted the payments described in subsection (b) in an amount totaling at least $8,000; and

''(iii) the Secretary of Transportation has determined that the individual has failed to fulfill the part of the agreement described in subsection (d)(1).

''(B) 3 OR MORE YEARS.—The Secretary of Defense may order an individual to serve on active duty in the armed forces of the United States for a period of not more than 3 years if—

''(i) the individual has attended an academy under this section for 3 or more academic years;

''(ii) the individual has accepted the payments described in subsection (b) in an amount totaling at least $16,000; and

''(iii) the Secretary of Transportation has determined that the individual has failed to fulfill the part of the agreement described in subsection (d)(1).

''(C) HARDSHIP WAIVER.—In cases of hardship as determined by the Secretary of Transportation, the Secretary of Transportation may waive this paragraph in whole or in part.''; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

''(h) ALTERNATIVE SERVICE.—

''(1) SERVICE AS COMMISSIONED OFFICER.—An individual who, for the 5-year period following graduation from an academy, serves as a commissioned officer on active duty in an armed force of the United States or as a commissioned officer of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or the Public Health Service shall be excused from the requirements of paragraphs (3) through (5) of subsection (d).

''(2) MODIFICATION OR WAIVER.—The Secretary may modify or waive any of the terms and conditions set forth in subsection (d) through the imposition of alternative service requirements.''.

**SEC. 3503. FEDERAL UNEMPLOYMENT TAX ACT.**

Section 3305 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 3305) is amended by striking ''Secretary of Commerce'' each place it appears and inserting ''Secretary of Transportation''.

**SEC. 3504. SHORT SEA TRANSPORTATION DEFINED.**

Paragraph (1) of section 55605 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking ''or'';

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking ''and''; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

''(C) shipped in discrete units or packages that are handled individually, palletized, or unitized for purposes of transportation; or

''(D) freight vehicles carried aboard commuter ferry boats; and''.

**SEC. 3505. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY ASPECTS OF THE MERCHANT MARINE FOR FISCAL YEARS 2016 AND 2017.**

(a) FISCAL YEAR 2016.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for Maritime Administration programs associated with maintaining national security aspects of the merchant marine, as follows:

(1) For expenses necessary for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy, $96,028,000, of which—

(A) $71,306,000 shall remain available until expended for Academy operations;

(B) $24,722,000 shall remain available until expended for capital asset management at the Academy.

(2) For expenses necessary to support the State maritime academies, $34,550,000, of which—

(A) $2,400,000 shall remain available until expended for student incentive payments;

(B) $3,000,000 shall remain available until expended for direct payments to such academies;

(C) $1,800,000 shall remain available until expended for training ship fuel assistance payments;

(D) $22,000,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of State maritime academy training vessels;

(E) $5,000,000 shall remain available until expended for a National Security Multi-Mission Vessel Design Program; and

(F) $350,000 shall remain available until expended for improving the monitoring of graduates' service obligation.

(3) For expenses necessary to support Maritime Administration operations and programs, $54,059,000.

(4) For expenses necessary to dispose of vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, $8,000,000 to remain available until expended.

(5) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant marine to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, $186,000,000.

(6) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, $3,135,000, of which $3,135,000 shall remain available until expended for administrative expenses of the program.

(b) FISCAL YEAR 2017.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2017, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for Maritime Administration programs associated with maintaining national security aspects of the merchant marine, as follows:

(1) For expenses necessary for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy, $96,028,000, of which—

(A) $71,306,000 shall remain available until expended for Academy operations;

(B) $24,722,000 shall remain available until expended for capital asset management at the Academy.

(2) For expenses necessary to support the State maritime academies, $34,550,000, of which—

(A) $2,400,000 shall remain available until expended for student incentive payments;

(B) $3,000,000 shall remain available until expended for direct payments to such academies;

(C) $1,800,000 shall remain available until expended for training ship fuel assistance payments;

(D) $22,000,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of State maritime academy training vessels;

(E) $5,000,000 shall remain available until expended for a National Security Multi-Mission Vessel Design Program; and

(F) $350,000 shall remain available until expended for improving the monitoring of graduates' service obligation.

(3) For expenses necessary to support Maritime Administration operations and programs, $54,059,000.

(4) For expenses necessary to dispose of vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, $8,000,000 to remain available until expended.

(5) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant marine to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, $186,000,000.

(6) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, $3,135,000, of which $3,135,000 shall remain available until expended for administrative expenses of the program.

## DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

**SEC. 4001. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN FUNDING TABLES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever a funding table in this division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project, program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of appropriations.

(b) MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.—A decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to subsection (a) shall—

(1) be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of sections 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States Code, or on competitive procedures; and

(2) comply with other applicable provisions of law.

(c) RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAMMING AUTHORITY.—An amount specified in the funding tables in this division may be transferred or reprogrammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority provided by another provision of this Act or by other law. The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law, unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds between appropriation accounts.

(d) APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—This section applies to any classified annex that accompanies this Act.

(e) ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.—No oral or written communication concerning any amount specified in the funding tables in this division shall supersede the requirements of this section.

**SEC. 4002. CLARIFICATION OF APPLICABILITY OF UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTIONS OF CERTAIN OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING AMONG ALL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING.**

Any undistributed reduction in funding available for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301, that is attributable to savings in connection with foreign currency fluctuations or bulk fuel purchases, may be applied against any funds available for that fiscal year for the Department for operation and maintenance, regardless of whether available as specified in the funding table in section 4301 or available as specified in the funding table in section 4302.

## TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.**

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | | |
| | **FIXED WING** | | |
| 2 | UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT | 879 | 879 |
| 4 | MQ–1 UAV | 260,436 | 260,436 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **ROTARY** | | |
| 6 | HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) | 187,177 | 187,177 |
| 7 | AH–64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN | 1,168,461 | 1,168,461 |
| 8 | AH–64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN (AP) | 209,930 | 209,930 |
| 11 | UH–60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) | 1,435,945 | 1,435,945 |
| 12 | UH–60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) (AP) | 127,079 | 127,079 |
| 13 | UH–60 BLACK HAWK A AND L MODELS | 46,641 | 46,641 |
| 14 | CH–47 HELICOPTER | 1,024,587 | 1,024,587 |
| 15 | CH–47 HELICOPTER (AP) | 99,344 | 99,344 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 16 | MQ–1 PAYLOAD (MIP) | 97,543 | 97,543 |
| 19 | MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) | 95,725 | 95,725 |
| 20 | AH–64 MODS | 116,153 | 116,153 |
| 21 | CH–47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | 86,330 | 86,330 |
| 22 | GRCS SEMA MODS (MIP) | 4,019 | 4,019 |
| 23 | ARL SEMA MODS (MIP) | 16,302 | 16,302 |
| 24 | EMARSS SEMA MODS (MIP) | 13,669 | 13,669 |
| 25 | UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS | 16,166 | 16,166 |
| 26 | UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS | 13,793 | 13,793 |
| 28 | NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN | 112,807 | 112,807 |
| 29 | COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE | 82,904 | 82,904 |
| 30 | GATM ROLLUP | 33,890 | 33,890 |
| 31 | RQ–7 UAV MODS | 81,444 | 81,444 |
| | **GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS** | | |
| 32 | AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT | 56,215 | 56,215 |
| 33 | SURVIVABILITY CM | 8,917 | 8,917 |
| 34 | CMWS | 78,348 | 104,348 |
| | Army UPL for AH–64 ASE: urgent survivability requirement | | [26,000] |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT** | | |
| 35 | AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 6,937 | 6,937 |
| 36 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | 64,867 | 64,867 |
| 37 | AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS | 44,085 | 44,085 |
| 38 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 94,545 | 94,545 |
| 39 | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 1,207 | 1,207 |
| 40 | LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET | 3,012 | 3,012 |
| | **TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | **5,689,357** | **5,715,357** |
| | **MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | | |
| | **SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM** | | |
| 1 | LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) | 115,075 | 115,075 |
| 2 | MSE MISSILE | 414,946 | 614,946 |
| | Army UPL for Patriot PAC 3 for improved ballistic missile defense | | [200,000] |
| | **AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM** | | |
| 3 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 27,975 | 27,975 |
| 4 | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MSLS (JAGM) | 27,738 | 27,738 |
| | **ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS** | | |
| 5 | JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | 77,163 | 77,163 |
| 6 | TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY | 87,525 | 87,525 |
| 8 | GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) | 251,060 | 251,060 |
| 9 | MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR) | 17,428 | 17,428 |
| | **MODIFICATIONS** | | |
| 11 | PATRIOT MODS | 241,883 | 241,883 |
| 12 | ATACMS MODS | 30,119 | 20,119 |
| | Early to need | | [–10,000] |
| 13 | GMLRS MOD | 18,221 | 18,221 |
| 14 | STINGER MODS | 2,216 | 2,216 |
| 15 | AVENGER MODS | 6,171 | 6,171 |
| 16 | ITAS/TOW MODS | 19,576 | 19,576 |
| 17 | MLRS MODS | 35,970 | 35,970 |
| 18 | HIMARS MODIFICATIONS | 3,148 | 3,148 |
| | **SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 19 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 33,778 | 33,778 |
| | **SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES** | | |
| 20 | AIR DEFENSE TARGETS | 3,717 | 3,717 |
| 21 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MISSILES) | 1,544 | 1,544 |
| 22 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | 4,704 | 4,704 |
| | **TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | **1,419,957** | **1,609,957** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY** | | |
| | **TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES** | | |
| 1 | STRYKER VEHICLE | 181,245 | 181,245 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES** | | |
| 2 | STRYKER (MOD) | 74,085 | 74,085 |
| 3 | STRYKER UPGRADE | 305,743 | 305,743 |
| 5 | BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) | 225,042 | 225,042 |
| 6 | HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) | 60,079 | 60,079 |
| 7 | PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) | 273,850 | 273,850 |
| 8 | IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) | 123,629 | 195,629 |
| | 16 M88A2s to supports modernization of ABCTs and industrial base | | [72,000] |
| 9 | ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD) | 2,461 | 2,461 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE | 2,975 | 2,975 |
| 11 | M88 FOV MODS | 14,878 | 14,878 |
| 12 | JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE | 33,455 | 33,455 |
| 13 | M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) | 367,939 | 367,939 |
| | **SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES** | | |
| 15 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) | 6,479 | 6,479 |
| | **WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES** | | |
| 16 | MORTAR SYSTEMS | 4,991 | 4,991 |
| 17 | XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) | 26,294 | 26,294 |
| 18 | PRECISION SNIPER RIFLE | 1,984 | 0 |
| | Early to need | | [–1,984] |
| 19 | COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM | 1,488 | 0 |
| | Early to need | | [–1,488] |
| 20 | CARBINE | 34,460 | 34,460 |
| 21 | COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION | 8,367 | 14,767 |
| | Transferred funds | | [6,400] |
| 22 | HANDGUN | 5,417 | 0 |
| | RFP release delayed, early to need | | [–5,417] |
| | **MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH** | | |
| 23 | MK–19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS | 2,777 | 2,777 |
| 24 | M777 MODS | 10,070 | 10,070 |
| 25 | M4 CARBINE MODS | 27,566 | 27,566 |
| 26 | M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS | 44,004 | 44,004 |
| 27 | M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS | 1,190 | 1,190 |
| 28 | M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS | 1,424 | 1,424 |
| 29 | SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS | 2,431 | 1,031 |
| | Early to need | | [–1,400] |
| 30 | M119 MODIFICATIONS | 20,599 | 20,599 |
| 32 | MORTAR MODIFICATION | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| 33 | MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | 3,737 | 3,737 |
| | **SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES** | | |
| 34 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | 391 | 2,891 |
| | Transfer funds | | [2,500] |
| 35 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) | 9,027 | 9,027 |
| 36 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 304 | 304 |
| 37 | SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG) | 2,392 | 2,392 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY** | **1,887,073** | **1,957,684** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY** | | |
| | **SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION** | | |
| 1 | CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES | 43,489 | 43,489 |
| 2 | CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES | 40,715 | 40,715 |
| 3 | CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES | 7,753 | 6,801 |
| | Program funding ahead of need | | [–952] |
| 4 | CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES | 24,728 | 24,728 |
| 5 | CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES | 8,305 | 8,305 |
| 6 | CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES | 34,330 | 34,330 |
| 7 | CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES | 79,972 | 69,972 |
| | Early to need | | [–10,000] |
| | **MORTAR AMMUNITION** | | |
| 8 | 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 42,898 | 42,898 |
| 9 | 81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 43,500 | 43,500 |
| 10 | 120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 64,372 | 64,372 |
| | **TANK AMMUNITION** | | |
| 11 | CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES | 105,541 | 105,541 |
| | **ARTILLERY AMMUNITION** | | |
| 12 | ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES | 57,756 | 57,756 |
| 13 | ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES | 77,995 | 77,995 |
| 14 | PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 | 45,518 | 45,518 |
| 15 | ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL | 78,024 | 78,024 |
| | **ROCKETS** | | |
| 16 | SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| 17 | ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | 33,653 | 33,653 |
| | **OTHER AMMUNITION** | | |
| 18 | CAD/PAD, ALL TYPES | 5,639 | 5,639 |
| 19 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 9,751 | 9,751 |
| 20 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | 19,993 | 19,993 |
| 21 | SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | 9,761 | 9,761 |
| 22 | SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES | 9,749 | 9,749 |
| | **MISCELLANEOUS** | | |
| 23 | AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES | 3,521 | 3,521 |
| 24 | NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| 25 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION (AMMO) | 6,181 | 6,181 |
| 26 | AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT | 17,811 | 17,811 |
| 27 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) | 14,695 | 14,695 |
| | **PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT** | | |
| 29 | PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 221,703 | 221,703 |
| 30 | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION | 113,250 | 113,250 |
| 31 | ARMS INITIATIVE | 3,575 | 3,575 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY** | **1,233,378** | **1,222,426** |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | | |
| | **TACTICAL VEHICLES** | | |
| 1 | TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS | 12,855 | 12,855 |
| 2 | SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED: | 53 | 53 |
| 4 | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE | 308,336 | 308,336 |
| 5 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) | 90,040 | 90,040 |
| 6 | FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP | 8,444 | 8,444 |
| 7 | FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) | 27,549 | 27,549 |
| 8 | PLS ESP | 127,102 | 127,102 |
| 10 | TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS | 48,292 | 48,292 |
| 11 | MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP | 130,993 | 130,993 |
| 12 | MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS | 19,146 | 19,146 |
| | **NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES** | | |
| 14 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 1,248 | 1,248 |
| 15 | NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER | 9,614 | 9,614 |
| | **COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 16 | WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK | 783,116 | 583,116 |
| | Delayed obligation of prior year funds | | [–200,000] |
| 17 | SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 49,898 | 49,898 |
| 18 | JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY | 4,062 | 4,062 |
| 19 | JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) | 5,008 | 5,008 |
| | **COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 20 | DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS | 196,306 | 196,306 |
| 21 | TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS | 44,998 | 29,998 |
| | Early to need in FY16 due to one year delay | | [–15,000] |
| 22 | SHF TERM | 7,629 | 7,629 |
| 23 | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) | 14,027 | 14,027 |
| 24 | SMART-T (SPACE) | 13,453 | 13,453 |
| 25 | GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS | 6,265 | 6,265 |
| 26 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT) | 1,042 | 1,042 |
| 27 | ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC) | 7,116 | 7,116 |
| | **COMM—C3 SYSTEM** | | |
| 28 | ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS) | 10,137 | 10,137 |
| | **COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 29 | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM | 64,640 | 64,640 |
| 30 | MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR) | 27,762 | 27,762 |
| 31 | RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2) | 9,422 | 9,422 |
| 32 | AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2 | 26,020 | 26,020 |
| 33 | TRACTOR DESK | 4,073 | 4,073 |
| 34 | SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT | 1,403 | 1,403 |
| 35 | SPIDER FAMILY OF NETWORKED MUNITIONS INCR | 9,199 | 9,199 |
| 36 | SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS | 349 | 349 |
| 37 | TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM | 25,597 | 25,597 |
| 38 | UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE | 21,854 | 21,854 |
| 40 | FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE | 24,388 | 24,388 |
| | **COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM** | | |
| 42 | CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE | 1,349 | 1,349 |
| 43 | ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT | 3,695 | 3,695 |
| | **INFORMATION SECURITY** | | |
| 45 | INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP | 19,920 | 19,920 |
| 46 | COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) | 72,257 | 72,257 |
| | **COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 47 | BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS | 16,082 | 16,082 |
| | **COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 48 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS | 86,037 | 86,037 |
| 50 | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 8,550 | 8,550 |
| 51 | INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM | 73,496 | 73,496 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)** | | |
| 54 | JTT/CIBS-M | 881 | 881 |
| 55 | PROPHET GROUND | 63,650 | 48,650 |
| | Unjustified program growth | | [–15,000] |
| 57 | DCGS-A (MIP) | 260,268 | 260,268 |
| 58 | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS) | 3,906 | 3,906 |
| 59 | TROJAN (MIP) | 13,929 | 13,929 |
| 60 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP) | 3,978 | 3,978 |
| 61 | CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) | 7,542 | 7,542 |
| 62 | CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (CATR) | 8,010 | 8,010 |
| 63 | MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM-M | 8,125 | 8,125 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)** | | |
| 64 | LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR | 63,472 | 63,472 |
| 65 | EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS (EWPMT) | 2,556 | 2,556 |
| 66 | AIR VIGILANCE (AV) | 8,224 | 8,224 |
| 67 | CREW | 2,960 | 2,960 |
| 68 | FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE | 1,722 | 1,722 |
| 69 | COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES | 447 | 447 |
| 70 | CI MODERNIZATION | 228 | 228 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)** | | |
| 71 | SENTINEL MODS | 43,285 | 43,285 |
| 72 | NIGHT VISION DEVICES | 124,216 | 124,216 |
| 74 | SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF | 23,216 | 23,216 |
| 76 | INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS | 60,679 | 60,679 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 77 | FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS) | 53,453 | 53,453 |
| 78 | ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP | 3,338 | 3,338 |
| 79 | PROFILER | 4,057 | 4,057 |
| 81 | JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P) | 133,339 | 133,339 |
| 82 | JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS) | 47,212 | 47,212 |
| 83 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) | 22,314 | 22,314 |
| 84 | COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32 | 12,131 | 12,131 |
| 85 | MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM | 10,075 | 10,075 |
| 86 | COUNTERFIRE RADARS | 217,379 | 142,379 |
| | *Under execution of prior year funds* | | [–75,000] |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS** | | |
| 87 | FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY | 1,190 | 1,190 |
| 90 | AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS | 28,176 | 28,176 |
| 91 | IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM | 20,917 | 20,917 |
| 92 | LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) | 5,850 | 5,850 |
| 93 | NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE | 12,738 | 12,738 |
| 94 | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) | 145,405 | 145,405 |
| 95 | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY (GCSS-A) | 162,654 | 146,654 |
| | *Program growth* | | [–16,000] |
| 96 | INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPP | 4,446 | 4,446 |
| 98 | RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET | 16,218 | 16,218 |
| 99 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (ENFIRE) | 1,138 | 1,138 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION** | | |
| 100 | ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION | 12,089 | 12,089 |
| 101 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP | 105,775 | 93,775 |
| | *Reduce IT procurement* | | [–12,000] |
| 102 | GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM | 18,995 | 18,995 |
| 103 | HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP) | 62,319 | 62,319 |
| 104 | RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) | 17,894 | 17,894 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)** | | |
| 106 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT) | 4,242 | 4,242 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT** | | |
| 107 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) | 425 | 425 |
| 108 | BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES | 7,438 | 7,438 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 108A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 6,467 | 6,467 |
| | **CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 109 | PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS | 248 | 248 |
| 110 | FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) | 1,487 | 1,487 |
| 112 | CBRN DEFENSE | 26,302 | 26,302 |
| | **BRIDGING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 113 | TACTICAL BRIDGING | 9,822 | 9,822 |
| 114 | TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON | 21,516 | 21,516 |
| 115 | BRIDGE SUPPLEMENTAL SET | 4,959 | 4,959 |
| 116 | COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP | 52,546 | 52,546 |
| | **ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 117 | GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS) | 58,682 | 58,682 |
| 118 | HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS) | 13,565 | 13,565 |
| 119 | ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS) | 2,136 | 2,136 |
| 120 | EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION | 6,960 | 6,960 |
| 121 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) | 17,424 | 17,424 |
| 122 | REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS | 8,284 | 8,284 |
| 123 | < $5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT | 5,459 | 5,459 |
| 124 | FAMILY OF BOATS AND MOTORS | 8,429 | 8,429 |
| | **COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 125 | HEATERS AND ECU'S | 18,876 | 18,876 |
| 127 | SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT | 2,287 | 2,287 |
| 128 | PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS) | 7,733 | 7,733 |
| 129 | GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM | 49,798 | 49,798 |
| 130 | MOBILE SOLDIER POWER | 43,639 | 43,639 |
| 132 | FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT | 13,118 | 13,118 |
| 133 | CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM | 28,278 | 28,278 |
| 135 | FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS | 34,544 | 34,544 |
| 136 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (ENG SPT) | 595 | 595 |
| | **PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 137 | QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | 5,368 | 5,368 |
| 138 | DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER | 35,381 | 35,381 |
| | **MEDICAL EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 139 | COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL | 73,828 | 73,828 |
| | **MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 140 | MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS | 25,270 | 25,270 |
| 141 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MAINT EQ) | 2,760 | 2,760 |
| | **CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 142 | GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) | 5,903 | 5,903 |
| 143 | SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING | 26,125 | 26,125 |
| 146 | TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED | 27,156 | 27,156 |
| 147 | ALL TERRAIN CRANES | 16,750 | 16,750 |
| 148 | PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING | 984 | 984 |
| 149 | HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) | 2,656 | 2,656 |
| 150 | ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP | 2,531 | 2,531 |
| 151 | FAMILY OF DIVER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 446 | 446 |
| 152 | CONST EQUIP ESP | 19,640 | 19,640 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 153 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (CONST EQUIP) | 5,087 | 5,087 |
| | **RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 154 | ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP | 39,772 | 39,772 |
| 155 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) | 5,835 | 5,835 |
| | **GENERATORS** | | |
| 156 | GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP | 166,356 | 166,356 |
| 157 | TACTICAL ELECTRIC POWER RECAPITALIZATION | 11,505 | 11,505 |
| | **MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 159 | FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS | 17,496 | 17,496 |
| | **TRAINING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 160 | COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT | 74,916 | 74,916 |
| 161 | TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM | 303,236 | 278,236 |
| | Unjustified program growth | | [–25,000] |
| 162 | CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER | 45,210 | 45,210 |
| 163 | AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER | 30,068 | 30,068 |
| 164 | GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING | 9,793 | 9,793 |
| | **TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)** | | |
| 165 | CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT | 4,650 | 4,650 |
| 166 | INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) | 34,487 | 34,487 |
| 167 | TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD) | 11,083 | 11,083 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 169 | RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 17,937 | 17,937 |
| 170 | PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) | 52,040 | 52,040 |
| 171 | BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT | 1,568 | 1,568 |
| 172 | MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA–3) | 64,219 | 64,219 |
| 173 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) | 1,525 | 1,525 |
| 174 | SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING | 3,268 | 3,268 |
| 176 | TRACTOR YARD | 7,191 | 7,191 |
| | **OPA2** | | |
| 177 | INITIAL SPARES—C&E | 48,511 | 48,511 |
| | **TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | **5,899,028** | **5,541,028** |
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | | |
| | **COMBAT AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 2 | F/A–18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET | 0 | 1,150,000 |
| | Additional 12 aircraft, unfunded requirement | | [1,150,000] |
| 3 | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV | 897,542 | 873,042 |
| | Efficiencies and excess cost growth | | [–24,500] |
| 4 | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV (AP) | 48,630 | 48,630 |
| 5 | JSF STOVL | 1,483,414 | 2,508,314 |
| | Efficiencies and excess cost growth | | [–25,100] |
| | Additional 6 aircraft, unfunded requirement | | [1,050,000] |
| 6 | JSF STOVL (AP) | 203,060 | 203,060 |
| 7 | CH–53K (HEAVY LIFT) | 41,300 | 41,300 |
| 8 | V–22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | 1,436,355 | 1,436,355 |
| 9 | V–22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP) | 43,853 | 43,853 |
| 10 | H–1 UPGRADES (UH–1Y/AH–1Z) | 800,057 | 800,057 |
| 11 | H–1 UPGRADES (UH–1Y/AH–1Z) (AP) | 56,168 | 56,168 |
| 12 | MH–60S (MYP) | 28,232 | 28,232 |
| 14 | MH–60R (MYP) | 969,991 | 969,991 |
| 16 | P–8A POSEIDON | 3,008,928 | 3,008,928 |
| 17 | P–8A POSEIDON (AP) | 269,568 | 269,568 |
| 18 | E–2D ADV HAWKEYE | 857,654 | 857,654 |
| 19 | E–2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP) | 195,336 | 195,336 |
| | **TRAINER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 20 | JPATS | 8,914 | 8,914 |
| | **OTHER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 21 | KC–130J | 192,214 | 192,214 |
| 22 | KC–130J (AP) | 24,451 | 24,451 |
| 23 | MQ–4 TRITON | 494,259 | 494,259 |
| 24 | MQ–4 TRITON (AP) | 54,577 | 54,577 |
| 25 | MQ–8 UAV | 120,020 | 120,020 |
| 26 | STUASL0 UAV | 3,450 | 3,450 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 28 | EA–6 SERIES | 9,799 | 9,799 |
| 29 | AEA SYSTEMS | 23,151 | 23,151 |
| 30 | AV–8 SERIES | 41,890 | 45,190 |
| | AV–8B Link 16 upgrades, unfunded requirement | | [3,300] |
| 31 | ADVERSARY | 5,816 | 5,816 |
| 32 | F–18 SERIES | 978,756 | 1,148,756 |
| | Jamming protection upgrades, unfunded requirement | | [170,000] |
| 34 | H–53 SERIES | 46,887 | 46,887 |
| 35 | SH–60 SERIES | 107,728 | 107,728 |
| 36 | H–1 SERIES | 42,315 | 42,315 |
| 37 | EP–3 SERIES | 41,784 | 41,784 |
| 38 | P–3 SERIES | 3,067 | 3,067 |
| 39 | E–2 SERIES | 20,741 | 20,741 |
| 40 | TRAINER A/C SERIES | 27,980 | 27,980 |
| 41 | C–2A | 8,157 | 8,157 |
| 42 | C–130 SERIES | 70,335 | 70,335 |
| 43 | FEWSG | 633 | 633 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES | 8,916 | 8,916 |
| 45 | E–6 SERIES | 185,253 | 185,253 |
| 46 | EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES | 76,138 | 76,138 |
| 47 | SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | 23,702 | 23,702 |
| 48 | T–45 SERIES | 105,439 | 105,439 |
| 49 | POWER PLANT CHANGES | 9,917 | 9,917 |
| 50 | JPATS SERIES | 13,537 | 13,537 |
| 51 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | 131,732 | 131,732 |
| 52 | COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES | 202,745 | 202,745 |
| 53 | COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM | 3,062 | 3,062 |
| 54 | ID SYSTEMS | 48,206 | 48,206 |
| 55 | P–8 SERIES | 28,492 | 28,492 |
| 56 | MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION | 7,680 | 7,680 |
| 57 | MQ–8 SERIES | 22,464 | 22,464 |
| 58 | RQ–7 SERIES | 3,773 | 3,773 |
| 59 | V–22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY | 121,208 | 144,208 |
| | MV–22 Integrated Aircraft Survivability | | [15,000] |
| | MV–22 Ballistic Protection | | [8,000] |
| 60 | F–35 STOVL SERIES | 256,106 | 256,106 |
| 61 | F–35 CV SERIES | 68,527 | 68,527 |
| 62 | QRC | 6,885 | 6,885 |
| | **AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 63 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 1,563,515 | 1,563,515 |
| | **AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES** | | |
| 64 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | 450,959 | 450,959 |
| 65 | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 24,010 | 24,010 |
| 66 | WAR CONSUMABLES | 42,012 | 42,012 |
| 67 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | 2,455 | 2,455 |
| 68 | SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 50,859 | 50,859 |
| 69 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 1,801 | 1,801 |
| | **TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | **16,126,405** | **18,473,105** |
| | **WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | | |
| | **MODIFICATION OF MISSILES** | | |
| 1 | TRIDENT II MODS | 1,099,064 | 1,099,064 |
| | **SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES** | | |
| 2 | MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 7,748 | 7,748 |
| | **STRATEGIC MISSILES** | | |
| 3 | TOMAHAWK | 184,814 | 214,814 |
| | Combined with 47 FY15 OCO missiles, returns production to MSR | | [30,000] |
| | **TACTICAL MISSILES** | | |
| 4 | AMRAAM | 192,873 | 207,873 |
| | Additional captive air training missiles | | [15,000] |
| 5 | SIDEWINDER | 96,427 | 96,427 |
| 6 | JSOW | 21,419 | 21,419 |
| 7 | STANDARD MISSILE | 435,352 | 435,352 |
| 8 | RAM | 80,826 | 80,826 |
| 11 | STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) | 4,265 | 4,265 |
| 12 | AERIAL TARGETS | 40,792 | 40,792 |
| 13 | OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT | 3,335 | 3,335 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF MISSILES** | | |
| 14 | ESSM | 44,440 | 44,440 |
| 15 | ESSM (AP) | 54,462 | 54,462 |
| 16 | HARM MODS | 122,298 | 122,298 |
| | **SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES** | | |
| 17 | WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 2,397 | 2,397 |
| 18 | FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON | 39,932 | 39,932 |
| | **ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 19 | ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 57,641 | 61,309 |
| | Classified Program | | [3,668] |
| | **TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP** | | |
| 20 | SSTD | 7,380 | 7,380 |
| 21 | MK–48 TORPEDO | 65,611 | 65,611 |
| 22 | ASW TARGETS | 6,912 | 6,912 |
| | **MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP** | | |
| 23 | MK–54 TORPEDO MODS | 113,219 | 113,219 |
| 24 | MK–48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS | 63,317 | 63,317 |
| 25 | QUICKSTRIKE MINE | 13,254 | 13,254 |
| | **SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 26 | TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 67,701 | 67,701 |
| 27 | ASW RANGE SUPPORT | 3,699 | 3,699 |
| | **DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION** | | |
| 28 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 3,342 | 3,342 |
| | **GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS** | | |
| 29 | SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS | 11,937 | 11,937 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS** | | |
| 30 | CIWS MODS | 53,147 | 53,147 |
| 31 | COAST GUARD WEAPONS | 19,022 | 19,022 |
| 32 | GUN MOUNT MODS | 67,980 | 67,980 |
| 33 | AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS | 19,823 | 19,823 |
| | **SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 35 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 149,725 | 149,725 |
| | **TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | **3,154,154** | **3,202,822** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC** | | |
| | **NAVY AMMUNITION** | | |
| 1 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 101,238 | 101,238 |
| 2 | AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 67,289 | 67,289 |
| 3 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | 20,340 | 20,340 |
| 4 | PRACTICE BOMBS | 40,365 | 40,365 |
| 5 | CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES | 49,377 | 49,377 |
| 6 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | 59,651 | 59,651 |
| 7 | JATOS | 2,806 | 2,806 |
| 8 | LRLAP 6″ LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE | 11,596 | 11,596 |
| 9 | 5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION | 35,994 | 35,994 |
| 10 | INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION | 36,715 | 36,715 |
| 11 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | 45,483 | 45,483 |
| 12 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | 52,080 | 52,080 |
| 13 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | 10,809 | 10,809 |
| 14 | AMMUNITION LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 4,469 | 4,469 |
| | **MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION** | | |
| 15 | SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION | 46,848 | 46,848 |
| 16 | LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES | 350 | 350 |
| 17 | 40 MM, ALL TYPES | 500 | 500 |
| 18 | 60MM, ALL TYPES | 1,849 | 1,849 |
| 19 | 81MM, ALL TYPES | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 20 | 120MM, ALL TYPES | 13,867 | 13,867 |
| 22 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | 1,390 | 1,390 |
| 23 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 14,967 | 14,967 |
| 24 | ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES | 45,219 | 45,219 |
| 26 | FUZE, ALL TYPES | 29,335 | 29,335 |
| 27 | NON LETHALS | 3,868 | 3,868 |
| 28 | AMMO MODERNIZATION | 15,117 | 15,117 |
| 29 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 11,219 | 11,219 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC** | **723,741** | **723,741** |
| | **SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY** | | |
| | **OTHER WARSHIPS** | | |
| 1 | CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | 1,634,701 | 1,634,701 |
| 2 | CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (AP) | 874,658 | 874,658 |
| 3 | VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | 3,346,370 | 3,346,370 |
| 4 | VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP) | 1,993,740 | 2,793,740 |
| | Accelerate shipbuilding funding | | [800,000] |
| 5 | CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | 678,274 | 678,274 |
| 6 | CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP) | 14,951 | 14,951 |
| 7 | DDG 1000 | 433,404 | 433,404 |
| 8 | DDG–51 | 3,149,703 | 3,549,703 |
| | Incremental funding for one DDG–51 | | [400,000] |
| 10 | LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP | 1,356,991 | 1,356,991 |
| | **AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS** | | |
| 12 | LPD–17 | 550,000 | 550,000 |
| 13 | AFLOAT FORWARD STAGING BASE | 0 | 97,000 |
| | Accelerate shipbuilding funding | | [97,000] |
| 15 | LHA REPLACEMENT | 277,543 | 476,543 |
| | Accelerate LHA–8 advanced procurement | | [199,000] |
| XX | LX (R) AP | 0 | 51,000 |
| | Accelerate LX (R) | | [51,000] |
| XXX | LCU Replacement | 0 | 34,000 |
| | Accelerate LCU replacement | | [34,000] |
| | **AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST** | | |
| 17 | TAO FLEET OILER | 674,190 | 674,190 |
| 19 | MOORED TRAINING SHIP (AP) | 138,200 | 138,200 |
| 20 | OUTFITTING | 697,207 | 697,207 |
| 21 | SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR | 255,630 | 255,630 |
| 22 | SERVICE CRAFT | 30,014 | 30,014 |
| 23 | LCAC SLEP | 80,738 | 80,738 |
| 24 | YP CRAFT MAINTENANCE/ROH/SLEP | 21,838 | 21,838 |
| 25 | COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS | 389,305 | 389,305 |
| XX | T-ATS(X) Fleet Tug | 0 | 75,000 |
| | Accelerate T-ATS(X) | | [75,000] |
| | **TOTAL SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY** | **16,597,457** | **18,253,457** |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | | |
| | **SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 1 | LM–2500 GAS TURBINE | 4,881 | 4,881 |
| 2 | ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE | 5,814 | 5,814 |
| 3 | HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) | 32,906 | 32,906 |
| | **GENERATORS** | | |
| 4 | SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E | 36,860 | 36,860 |
| | **NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT** | | |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | 87,481 | 87,481 |
| | **PERISCOPES** | | |
| 6 | SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP | 63,109 | 63,109 |
| | **OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 7 | DDG MOD | 364,157 | 424,157 |
| | Restore additional DDG BMD modernization (CNO UPL) | | [60,000] |
| 8 | FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT | 16,089 | 16,089 |
| 9 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD | 2,255 | 2,255 |
| 10 | LHA/LHD MIDLIFE | 28,571 | 28,571 |
| 11 | LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM | 12,313 | 12,313 |
| 12 | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | 16,609 | 16,609 |
| 13 | SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 10,498 | 10,498 |
| 14 | VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 35,747 | 35,747 |
| 15 | LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 48,399 | 48,399 |
| 16 | SUBMARINE BATTERIES | 23,072 | 23,072 |
| 17 | LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 55,283 | 55,283 |
| 18 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | 18,563 | 18,563 |
| 19 | DSSP EQUIPMENT | 7,376 | 7,376 |
| 21 | LCAC | 20,965 | 20,965 |
| 22 | UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS | 51,652 | 51,652 |
| 23 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 102,498 | 102,498 |
| 24 | CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS | 3,027 | 3,027 |
| 25 | SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 7,399 | 7,399 |
| | **REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 27 | REACTOR COMPONENTS | 296,095 | 296,095 |
| | **OCEAN ENGINEERING** | | |
| 28 | DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT | 15,982 | 15,982 |
| | **SMALL BOATS** | | |
| 29 | STANDARD BOATS | 29,982 | 29,982 |
| | **TRAINING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 30 | OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 66,538 | 66,538 |
| | **PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 31 | OPERATING FORCES IPE | 71,138 | 71,138 |
| | **OTHER SHIP SUPPORT** | | |
| 32 | NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS | 132,625 | 132,625 |
| 33 | LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT | 23,500 | 23,500 |
| 34 | LCS MCM MISSION MODULES | 85,151 | 29,351 |
| | Procurement in excess of need ahead of satisfactory testing | | [–55,800] |
| 35 | LCS SUW MISSION MODULES | 35,228 | 35,228 |
| 36 | REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) | 87,627 | 22,027 |
| | Procurement in excess of need ahead of satisfactory testing | | [–65,600] |
| | **LOGISTIC SUPPORT** | | |
| 37 | LSD MIDLIFE | 2,774 | 2,774 |
| | **SHIP SONARS** | | |
| 38 | SPQ–9B RADAR | 20,551 | 20,551 |
| 39 | AN/SQQ–89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM | 103,241 | 103,241 |
| 40 | SSN ACOUSTICS | 214,835 | 234,835 |
| | Towed Array-unfunded requirement | | [20,000] |
| 41 | UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 7,331 | 7,331 |
| 42 | SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS | 11,781 | 11,781 |
| | **ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 44 | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM | 21,119 | 21,119 |
| 45 | SSTD | 8,396 | 8,396 |
| 46 | FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 146,968 | 146,968 |
| 47 | SURTASS | 12,953 | 12,953 |
| 48 | MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNSAISANCE FORCE | 13,725 | 13,725 |
| | **ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 49 | AN/SLQ–32 | 324,726 | 352,726 |
| | SEWIP Block II unfunded requirement | | [28,000] |
| | **RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 50 | SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT | 148,221 | 148,221 |
| 51 | AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) | 152 | 152 |
| | **SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 52 | SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG | 79,954 | 79,954 |
| | **OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 53 | COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY | 25,695 | 25,695 |
| 54 | TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS) | 284 | 284 |
| 55 | NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) | 14,416 | 14,416 |
| 56 | ATDLS | 23,069 | 23,069 |
| 57 | NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS) | 4,054 | 4,054 |
| 58 | MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT | 21,014 | 21,014 |
| 59 | SHALLOW WATER MCM | 18,077 | 18,077 |
| 60 | NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) | 12,359 | 12,359 |
| 61 | AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE | 4,240 | 4,240 |
| 62 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | 17,440 | 17,440 |
| | **TRAINING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 63 | OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 41,314 | 41,314 |
| | **AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 64 | MATCALS | 10,011 | 10,011 |
| 65 | SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 9,346 | 9,346 |
| 66 | AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM | 21,281 | 21,281 |
| 67 | NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM | 25,621 | 25,621 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 68 | FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS | 8,249 | 8,249 |
| 69 | LANDING SYSTEMS | 14,715 | 14,715 |
| 70 | ID SYSTEMS | 29,676 | 29,676 |
| 71 | NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 13,737 | 13,737 |
| | **OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 72 | DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL | 1,314 | 1,314 |
| 74 | TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS | 13,600 | 13,600 |
| 75 | DCGS-N | 31,809 | 31,809 |
| 76 | CANES | 278,991 | 278,991 |
| 77 | RADIAC | 8,294 | 8,294 |
| 78 | CANES-INTELL | 28,695 | 28,695 |
| 79 | GPETE | 6,962 | 6,962 |
| 80 | MASF | 290 | 290 |
| 81 | INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY | 14,419 | 14,419 |
| 82 | EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION | 4,175 | 4,175 |
| 83 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 44,176 | 44,176 |
| | **SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 84 | SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS | 8,722 | 8,722 |
| 85 | SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION | 108,477 | 108,477 |
| 86 | COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER $5M | 16,613 | 16,613 |
| | **SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 87 | SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT | 20,691 | 20,691 |
| 88 | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | 60,945 | 60,945 |
| | **SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 89 | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 30,892 | 30,892 |
| 90 | NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) | 118,113 | 118,113 |
| | **SHORE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 91 | JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 4,591 | 4,591 |
| 92 | ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS | 1,403 | 1,403 |
| | **CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 93 | INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | 135,687 | 135,687 |
| 94 | MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM | 970 | 970 |
| | **CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 95 | CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP | 11,433 | 11,433 |
| | **OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT** | | |
| 96 | COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT | 2,529 | 2,529 |
| | **SONOBUOYS** | | |
| 97 | SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES | 168,763 | 168,763 |
| | **AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 98 | WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 46,979 | 46,979 |
| 100 | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 123,884 | 123,884 |
| 103 | METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT | 15,090 | 15,090 |
| 104 | DCRS/DPL | 638 | 638 |
| 106 | AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES | 14,098 | 14,098 |
| 111 | AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 49,773 | 49,773 |
| | **SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 112 | SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| | **SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 115 | SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 298,738 | 298,738 |
| 120 | TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 71,245 | 71,245 |
| | **FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 123 | STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP | 240,694 | 240,694 |
| | **ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 124 | SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS | 96,040 | 96,040 |
| 125 | ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 30,189 | 30,189 |
| | **OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 129 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | 22,623 | 22,623 |
| 130 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 9,906 | 9,906 |
| | **OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE** | | |
| 134 | TRAINING DEVICE MODS | 99,707 | 99,707 |
| | **CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 135 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 2,252 | 2,252 |
| 136 | GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | 2,191 | 2,191 |
| 137 | CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP | 2,164 | 2,164 |
| 138 | FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | 14,705 | 14,705 |
| 139 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 2,497 | 2,497 |
| 140 | AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT | 12,517 | 12,517 |
| 141 | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | 3,018 | 3,018 |
| 142 | ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION | 14,403 | 14,403 |
| 143 | PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES | 1,186 | 1,186 |
| | **SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 144 | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | 18,805 | 18,805 |
| 145 | OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 10,469 | 10,469 |
| 146 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 5,720 | 5,720 |
| 147 | SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS | 211,714 | 211,714 |
| | **TRAINING DEVICES** | | |
| 148 | TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 7,468 | 7,468 |
| | **COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 149 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 36,433 | 36,433 |
| 150 | EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 3,180 | 3,180 |
| 151 | MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 4,790 | 4,790 |
| 153 | NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 4,608 | 4,608 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 154 | OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 5,655 | 5,655 |
| 155 | C4ISR EQUIPMENT | 9,929 | 9,929 |
| 156 | ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 26,795 | 26,795 |
| 157 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 88,453 | 88,453 |
| 159 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 99,094 | 99,094 |
| | **OTHER** | | |
| 160 | NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE | 99,014 | 99,014 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 160A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 21,439 | 21,439 |
| | **SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 161 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 328,043 | 328,043 |
| | **TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | **6,614,715** | **6,601,315** |
| | **PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS** | | |
| | **TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES** | | |
| 1 | AAV7A1 PIP | 26,744 | 26,744 |
| 2 | LAV PIP | 54,879 | 54,879 |
| | **ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS** | | |
| 3 | EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 2,652 | 2,652 |
| 4 | 155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER | 7,482 | 7,482 |
| 5 | HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM | 17,181 | 17,181 |
| 6 | WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER $5 MILLION | 8,224 | 8,224 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT** | | |
| 7 | MODIFICATION KITS | 14,467 | 14,467 |
| 8 | WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | 488 | 488 |
| | **GUIDED MISSILES** | | |
| 9 | GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE | 7,565 | 7,565 |
| 10 | JAVELIN | 1,091 | 1,091 |
| 11 | FOLLOW ON TO SMAW | 4,872 | 4,872 |
| 12 | ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H) | 668 | 668 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT** | | |
| 13 | MODIFICATION KITS | 12,495 | 152,495 |
| | *Additional missiles* | | [140,000] |
| | **COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS** | | |
| 14 | UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER | 13,109 | 13,109 |
| 15 | COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (C | 35,147 | 35,147 |
| | **REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 16 | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | 21,210 | 21,210 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)** | | |
| 17 | COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 792 | 792 |
| | **COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 19 | ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | 3,642 | 3,642 |
| 20 | AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS | 3,520 | 3,520 |
| | **RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 21 | RADAR SYSTEMS | 35,118 | 35,118 |
| 22 | GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) | 130,661 | 98,546 |
| | *Not meeting performance reqs reduce until technology is refined* | | [–32,115] |
| 23 | RQ–21 UAS | 84,916 | 84,916 |
| | **INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 24 | FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 9,136 | 9,136 |
| 25 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 29,936 | 29,936 |
| 28 | DCGS-MC | 1,947 | 1,947 |
| | **OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 31 | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | 2,018 | 2,018 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 32 | NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN) | 67,295 | 67,295 |
| 33 | COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES | 43,101 | 43,101 |
| 34 | COMMAND POST SYSTEMS | 29,255 | 29,255 |
| 35 | RADIO SYSTEMS | 80,584 | 80,584 |
| 36 | COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS | 66,123 | 66,123 |
| 37 | COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT | 79,486 | 79,486 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 37A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 2,803 | 2,803 |
| | **ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES** | | |
| 38 | COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES | 3,538 | 3,538 |
| 39 | COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES | 22,806 | 22,806 |
| | **TACTICAL VEHICLES** | | |
| 41 | MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS | 7,743 | 7,743 |
| 43 | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE | 79,429 | 79,429 |
| 44 | FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS | 3,157 | 3,157 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT** | | |
| 45 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 6,938 | 6,938 |
| | **ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 46 | ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT | 94 | 94 |
| 47 | BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT | 896 | 896 |
| 48 | TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS | 136 | 136 |
| 49 | POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED | 10,792 | 10,792 |
| 50 | AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 3,235 | 3,235 |
| 51 | EOD SYSTEMS | 7,666 | 7,666 |
| | **MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 52 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 33,145 | 33,145 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 53 | GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE) | 1,419 | 1,419 |
| | **GENERAL PROPERTY** | | |
| 57 | TRAINING DEVICES | 24,163 | 24,163 |
| 58 | CONTAINER FAMILY | 962 | 962 |
| 59 | FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | 6,545 | 6,545 |
| 60 | FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEH (ITV) | 7,533 | 7,533 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT** | | |
| 62 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 4,322 | 4,322 |
| | **SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 63 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 8,292 | 8,292 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS** | **1,131,418** | **1,239,303** |
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **TACTICAL FORCES** | | |
| 1 | F–35 | 5,260,212 | 5,161,112 |
| | Efficiencies and excess cost growth | | [–99,100] |
| 2 | F–35 (AP) | 460,260 | 460,260 |
| | **TACTICAL AIRLIFT** | | |
| 3 | KC–46A TANKER | 2,350,601 | 2,326,601 |
| | FY15 excess to need by $24 million due to program delays | | [–24,000] |
| | **OTHER AIRLIFT** | | |
| 4 | C–130J | 889,154 | 889,154 |
| 5 | C–130J (AP) | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 6 | HC–130J | 463,934 | 463,934 |
| 7 | HC–130J (AP) | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| 8 | MC–130J | 828,472 | 828,472 |
| 9 | MC–130J (AP) | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| | **MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 11 | CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C | 2,617 | 2,617 |
| | **OTHER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 12 | TARGET DRONES | 132,028 | 132,028 |
| 14 | RQ–4 | 37,800 | 37,800 |
| 15 | MQ–9 | 552,528 | 1,032,528 |
| | Accelerating procurement schedule to meet CCDR demand | | [480,000] |
| | **STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 17 | B–2A | 32,458 | 32,458 |
| 18 | B–1B | 114,119 | 114,119 |
| 19 | B–52 | 148,987 | 148,987 |
| 20 | LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES | 84,335 | 84,335 |
| | **TACTICAL AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 22 | F–15 | 464,367 | 713,671 |
| | EPAWSS upgrade | | [11,600] |
| | F–15C AESA radars | | [48,000] |
| | F–15D AESA radars | | [192,500] |
| | ADCP II upgrades | | [10,000] |
| | F–15C MIDS JTRS transfer to RDT&E | | [–6,387] |
| | F–15E MIDS JTRS transfer to RDT&E | | [–6,409] |
| 23 | F–16 | 17,134 | 17,134 |
| 24 | F–22A | 126,152 | 126,152 |
| 25 | F–35 MODIFICATIONS | 70,167 | 70,167 |
| 26 | INCREMENT 3.2B | 69,325 | 69,325 |
| | **AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 28 | C–5 | 5,604 | 5,604 |
| 30 | C–17A | 46,997 | 46,997 |
| 31 | C–21 | 10,162 | 10,162 |
| 32 | C–32A | 44,464 | 44,464 |
| 33 | C–37A | 10,861 | 10,861 |
| | **TRAINER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 34 | GLIDER MODS | 134 | 134 |
| 35 | T–6 | 17,968 | 17,968 |
| 36 | T–1 | 23,706 | 23,706 |
| 37 | T–38 | 30,604 | 30,604 |
| | **OTHER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 38 | U–2 MODS | 22,095 | 22,095 |
| 39 | KC–10A (ATCA) | 5,611 | 5,611 |
| 40 | C–12 | 1,980 | 1,980 |
| 42 | VC–25A MOD | 98,231 | 98,231 |
| 43 | C–40 | 13,171 | 13,171 |
| 44 | C–130 | 7,048 | 130,248 |
| | C–130H Electronic Prop Control System – UPL | | [13,500] |
| | C–130H In-flight Prop Balancing System – UPL | | [1,500] |
| | C–130H T–56 3.5 Engine Mods | | [33,200] |
| | Funds added to comply with Sec 134, FY15 NDAA | | [75,000] |
| 45 | C–130J MODS | 29,713 | 29,713 |
| 46 | C–135 | 49,043 | 49,043 |
| 47 | COMPASS CALL MODS | 68,415 | 97,115 |
| | Modification for restored EC–130H | | [28,700] |
| 48 | RC–135 | 156,165 | 156,165 |
| 49 | E–3 | 13,178 | 13,178 |
| 50 | E–4 | 23,937 | 23,937 |
| 51 | E–8 | 18,001 | 18,001 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 52 | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 183,308 | 183,308 |
| 53 | FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS | 44,163 | 44,163 |
| 54 | H–1 | 6,291 | 6,291 |
| 55 | UH–1N REPLACEMENT | 2,456 | 2,456 |
| 56 | H–60 | 45,731 | 45,731 |
| 57 | RQ–4 MODS | 50,022 | 50,022 |
| 58 | HC/MC–130 MODIFICATIONS | 21,660 | 21,660 |
| 59 | OTHER AIRCRAFT | 117,767 | 115,521 |
| | C2ISR TDL transfer to COMSEC equipment | | [–2,246] |
| 60 | MQ–1 MODS | 3,173 | 3,173 |
| 61 | MQ–9 MODS | 115,226 | 115,226 |
| 63 | CV–22 MODS | 58,828 | 58,828 |
| | **AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 64 | INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | 656,242 | 656,242 |
| | **COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 65 | AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP | 33,716 | 33,716 |
| | **POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT** | | |
| 67 | B–2A | 38,837 | 38,837 |
| 68 | B–52 | 5,911 | 5,911 |
| 69 | C–17A | 30,108 | 30,108 |
| 70 | CV–22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | 3,353 | 3,353 |
| 71 | C–135 | 4,490 | 4,490 |
| 72 | F–15 | 3,225 | 3,225 |
| 73 | F–16 | 14,969 | 14,969 |
| 74 | F–22A | 971 | 971 |
| 76 | MQ–9 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | **INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS** | | |
| 77 | INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS | 18,802 | 18,802 |
| | **WAR CONSUMABLES** | | |
| 78 | WAR CONSUMABLES | 156,465 | 156,465 |
| | **OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES** | | |
| 79 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | 1,052,814 | 1,111,900 |
| | Transfer from RDT&E for NATO AWACS | | [59,086] |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 79A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 42,503 | 42,503 |
| | **TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **15,657,769** | **16,472,713** |
| | **MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC** | | |
| 1 | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC | 94,040 | 94,040 |
| | **TACTICAL** | | |
| 3 | JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE | 440,578 | 440,578 |
| 4 | SIDEWINDER (AIM–9X) | 200,777 | 200,777 |
| 5 | AMRAAM | 390,112 | 390,112 |
| 6 | PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE | 423,016 | 423,016 |
| 7 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 133,697 | 133,697 |
| | **INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES** | | |
| 8 | INDUSTR'L PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION | 397 | 397 |
| | **CLASS IV** | | |
| 9 | MM III MODIFICATIONS | 50,517 | 50,517 |
| 10 | AGM–65D MAVERICK | 9,639 | 9,639 |
| 11 | AGM–88A HARM | 197 | 197 |
| 12 | AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) | 25,019 | 25,019 |
| | **MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 14 | INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | 48,523 | 48,523 |
| | **SPECIAL PROGRAMS** | | |
| 28 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS | 276,562 | 276,562 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 28A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 893,971 | 893,971 |
| | **TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **2,987,045** | **2,987,045** |
| | **SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **SPACE PROGRAMS** | | |
| 1 | ADVANCED EHF | 333,366 | 333,366 |
| 2 | WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE) | 53,476 | 53,476 |
| 3 | GPS III SPACE SEGMENT | 199,218 | 0 |
| | GPS III SV10 early to need | | [–199,218] |
| 4 | SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) | 18,362 | 18,362 |
| 5 | GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | 66,135 | 66,135 |
| 6 | DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE) | 89,351 | 0 |
| | Cut DMSP #20 | | [–89,351] |
| 7 | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH CAPABILITY | 571,276 | 571,276 |
| 8 | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE) | 800,201 | 800,201 |
| 9 | SBIR HIGH (SPACE) | 452,676 | 452,676 |
| | **TOTAL SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **2,584,061** | **2,295,492** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **ROCKETS** | | |
| 1 | ROCKETS | 23,788 | 23,788 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **CARTRIDGES** | | |
| 2 | CARTRIDGES | 131,102 | 169,602 |
| | *Increase to match size of A–10 fleet* | | [38,500] |
| | **BOMBS** | | |
| 3 | PRACTICE BOMBS | 89,759 | 89,759 |
| 4 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 637,181 | 637,181 |
| 5 | MASSIVE ORDNANCE PENETRATOR (MOP) | 39,690 | 39,690 |
| 6 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 374,688 | 374,688 |
| | **OTHER ITEMS** | | |
| 7 | CAD/PAD | 58,266 | 58,266 |
| 8 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) | 5,612 | 5,612 |
| 9 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 103 | 103 |
| 10 | MODIFICATIONS | 1,102 | 1,102 |
| 11 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 3,044 | 3,044 |
| | **FLARES** | | |
| 12 | FLARES | 120,935 | 120,935 |
| | **FUZES** | | |
| 13 | FUZES | 213,476 | 213,476 |
| | **SMALL ARMS** | | |
| 14 | SMALL ARMS | 60,097 | 60,097 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE** | **1,758,843** | **1,797,343** |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES** | | |
| 1 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 8,834 | 8,834 |
| | **CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES** | | |
| 2 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE | 58,160 | 58,160 |
| 3 | CAP VEHICLES | 977 | 977 |
| 4 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 12,483 | 12,483 |
| | **SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES** | | |
| 5 | SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES | 4,728 | 4,728 |
| 6 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 4,662 | 4,662 |
| | **FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 7 | FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES | 10,419 | 10,419 |
| | **MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 8 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 23,320 | 23,320 |
| | **BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT** | | |
| 9 | RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP | 6,215 | 6,215 |
| 10 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 87,781 | 87,781 |
| | **COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)** | | |
| 11 | COMSEC EQUIPMENT | 136,998 | 139,244 |
| | *Transfer for Link 16 upgrades* | | [2,246] |
| 12 | MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) | 677 | 677 |
| | **INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS** | | |
| 13 | INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 4,041 | 4,041 |
| 14 | INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT | 22,573 | 22,573 |
| 15 | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 14,456 | 14,456 |
| | **ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS** | | |
| 16 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS | 31,823 | 31,823 |
| 17 | NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM | 5,833 | 5,833 |
| 18 | BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED | 1,687 | 1,687 |
| 19 | THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS | 22,710 | 22,710 |
| 20 | WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST | 21,561 | 21,561 |
| 21 | STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL | 286,980 | 286,980 |
| 22 | CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX | 36,186 | 36,186 |
| 24 | INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN & ANALY NETWORK (ISPAN) | 9,597 | 9,597 |
| | **SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS** | | |
| 25 | GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 27,403 | 27,403 |
| 26 | AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS | 7,212 | 7,212 |
| 27 | MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL | 11,062 | 30,962 |
| | *Additional battlefield air operations kits to meet need* | | [19,900] |
| 28 | AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM | 131,269 | 131,269 |
| 29 | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | 33,606 | 33,606 |
| 30 | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N | 5,232 | 5,232 |
| 31 | C3 COUNTERMEASURES | 7,453 | 7,453 |
| 32 | INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM | 3,976 | 3,976 |
| 33 | GCSS-AF FOS | 25,515 | 25,515 |
| 34 | DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT SYSTEM | 9,255 | 9,255 |
| 35 | THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM | 7,523 | 7,523 |
| 36 | AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS | 12,043 | 12,043 |
| 37 | AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) 10.2 | 24,246 | 24,246 |
| | **AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 38 | INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS | 74,621 | 74,621 |
| 39 | AFNET | 103,748 | 86,748 |
| | *Restructure program* | | [–17,000] |
| 41 | JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT ELEMENT (JCSE) | 5,199 | 5,199 |
| 42 | USCENTCOM | 15,780 | 15,780 |
| | **SPACE PROGRAMS** | | |
| 43 | FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS | 79,592 | 79,592 |
| 44 | SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE | 90,190 | 90,190 |
| 45 | NAVSTAR GPS SPACE | 2,029 | 2,029 |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 46 | NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE | 5,095 | 5,095 |
| 47 | AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE | 76,673 | 76,673 |
| 48 | SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE | 113,275 | 113,275 |
| 49 | MILSATCOM SPACE | 35,495 | 35,495 |
| 50 | SPACE MODS SPACE | 23,435 | 23,435 |
| 51 | COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM | 43,065 | 43,065 |
| | **ORGANIZATION AND BASE** | | |
| 52 | TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT | 77,538 | 113,538 |
| | Increase JTAC training and rehearsal simulators per AF unfunded priority list | | [36,000] |
| 54 | RADIO EQUIPMENT | 8,400 | 8,400 |
| 55 | CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT | 6,144 | 6,144 |
| 56 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | 77,010 | 77,010 |
| | **MODIFICATIONS** | | |
| 57 | COMM ELECT MODS | 71,800 | 71,800 |
| | **PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP** | | |
| 58 | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | 2,370 | 2,370 |
| 59 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 79,623 | 79,623 |
| | **DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ** | | |
| 60 | MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | 7,249 | 7,249 |
| | **BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 61 | BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | 9,095 | 9,095 |
| 62 | ENGINEERING AND EOD EQUIPMENT | 17,866 | 17,866 |
| 64 | MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | 61,850 | 61,850 |
| 65 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 30,477 | 30,477 |
| | **SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS** | | |
| 67 | DARP RC135 | 25,072 | 25,072 |
| 68 | DCGS-AF | 183,021 | 183,021 |
| 70 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM | 629,371 | 629,371 |
| 71 | DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. | 100,663 | 100,663 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 71A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 15,038,333 | 15,038,333 |
| | **SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** | | |
| 73 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 59,863 | 59,863 |
| | **TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **18,272,438** | **18,313,584** |
| | **PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA** | | |
| 1 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 1,488 | 1,488 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA** | | |
| 2 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 2,494 | 2,494 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA** | | |
| 3 | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 9,341 | 9,341 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA** | | |
| 7 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY | 8,080 | 18,080 |
| | Sharkseer increase | | [10,000] |
| 8 | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 62,789 | 62,789 |
| 9 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 9,399 | 9,399 |
| 10 | NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) | 1,819 | 1,819 |
| 11 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK | 141,298 | 141,298 |
| 12 | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 12,732 | 12,732 |
| 13 | WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY | 64,098 | 64,098 |
| 14 | SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE | 617,910 | 617,910 |
| 15 | JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT | 84,400 | 84,400 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA** | | |
| 16 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 5,644 | 5,644 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT** | | |
| 17 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 11,208 | 11,208 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA** | | |
| 18 | AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS | 1,298 | 1,298 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS** | | |
| 20 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 1,048 | 1,048 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY** | | |
| 21 | VEHICLES | 100 | 100 |
| 22 | OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 5,474 | 5,474 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY** | | |
| 23 | THAAD | 464,067 | 464,067 |
| 24 | AEGIS BMD | 558,916 | 706,681 |
| | Increase SM–3 Block IB purchase | | [117,880] |
| | Increase SM–3 Block IB canisters | | [2,565] |
| | Undifferentiated Block IB test and evaluation costs | | [27,320] |
| 25 | AEGIS BMD (AP) | 147,765 | 0 |
| | Early to need | | [–147,765] |
| 26 | BMDS AN/TPY–2 RADARS | 78,634 | 78,634 |
| 27 | AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III | 30,587 | 30,587 |
| 28 | IRON DOME | 55,000 | 41,100 |
| | Request excess of requirement | | [–13,900] |
| XX | DAVIDS SLING | 0 | 150,000 |
| | Increase for David's Sling co-production | | [150,000] |
| XXX | ARROW 3 | 0 | 15,000 |
| | Increase for Arrow 3 co-production | | [15,000] |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA** | | |

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 35 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | 37,177 | 37,177 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD** | | |
| 36 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD | 46,939 | 46,939 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS** | | |
| 38 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | 13,027 | 13,027 |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS** | | |
| 40 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS | 27,859 | 27,859 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 40A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 617,757 | 617,757 |
| | **AVIATION PROGRAMS** | | |
| 41 | MC–12 | 63,170 | 0 |
| | SOCOM requested realignment | | [–63,170] |
| 42 | ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT | 135,985 | 135,985 |
| 44 | NON-STANDARD AVIATION | 61,275 | 61,275 |
| 45 | U–28 | 0 | 63,170 |
| | SOCOM requested realignment | | [63,170] |
| 47 | RQ–11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | 20,087 | 20,087 |
| 48 | CV–22 MODIFICATION | 18,832 | 18,832 |
| 49 | MQ–1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | 1,934 | 1,934 |
| 50 | MQ–9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | 11,726 | 21,726 |
| | MQ–9 capability enhancements | | [10,000] |
| 51 | STUASL0 | 1,514 | 1,514 |
| 52 | PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE | 204,105 | 204,105 |
| 53 | AC/MC–130J | 61,368 | 61,368 |
| 54 | C–130 MODIFICATIONS | 66,861 | 31,412 |
| | C–130 TF/TA adjustments | | [–35,449] |
| | **SHIPBUILDING** | | |
| 55 | UNDERWATER SYSTEMS | 32,521 | 32,521 |
| | **AMMUNITION PROGRAMS** | | |
| 56 | ORDNANCE ITEMS <$5M | 174,734 | 174,734 |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS** | | |
| 57 | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS | 93,009 | 93,009 |
| 58 | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 14,964 | 14,964 |
| 59 | OTHER ITEMS <$5M | 79,149 | 79,149 |
| 60 | COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS | 33,362 | 33,362 |
| 61 | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 143,533 | 143,533 |
| 62 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 73,520 | 73,520 |
| 63 | WARRIOR SYSTEMS <$5M | 186,009 | 186,009 |
| 64 | COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS | 19,693 | 19,693 |
| 65 | GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES | 3,967 | 3,967 |
| 66 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE | 19,225 | 19,225 |
| 68 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 213,252 | 213,252 |
| | **CBDP** | | |
| 74 | CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS | 141,223 | 141,223 |
| 75 | CB PROTECTION & HAZARD MITIGATION | 137,487 | 137,487 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| XX | USCC CYBER CAPABILITIES | 0 | 75,000 |
| | Cyber capabilities | | [75,000] |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE** | **5,130,853** | **5,341,504** |
| | **JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND** | | |
| | **JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND** | | |
| 1 | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | 99,701 | 99,701 |
| | **TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND** | **99,701** | **99,701** |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT** | **106,967,393** | **111,847,577** |

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | | |
| | **FIXED WING** | | |
| 3 | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) | 99,500 | 99,500 |
| 4 | MQ–1 UAV | 16,537 | 16,537 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 16 | MQ–1 PAYLOAD (MIP) | 8,700 | 8,700 |
| 23 | ARL SEMA MODS (MIP) | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| 31 | RQ–7 UAV MODS | 8,250 | 8,250 |
| | **TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | **164,987** | **164,987** |
| | **MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | | |
| | **AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM** | | |

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 37,260 | 37,260 |
| | **TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | **37,260** | **37,260** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY** | | |
| | **WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES** | | |
| 16 | MORTAR SYSTEMS | 7,030 | 7,030 |
| 21 | COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY** | **26,030** | **26,030** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY** | | |
| | **SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION** | | |
| 4 | CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| | **MORTAR AMMUNITION** | | |
| 8 | 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 11,700 | 11,700 |
| 9 | 81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 10 | 120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| | **ARTILLERY AMMUNITION** | | |
| 12 | ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 13 | ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 15 | ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | **ROCKETS** | | |
| 17 | ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | 136,340 | 136,340 |
| | **OTHER AMMUNITION** | | |
| 19 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 21 | SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY** | **192,040** | **192,040** |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | | |
| | **TACTICAL VEHICLES** | | |
| 5 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) | 243,998 | 243,998 |
| 9 | HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV | 223,276 | 223,276 |
| 11 | MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP | 130,000 | 130,000 |
| 12 | MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS | 393,100 | 393,100 |
| | **COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 21 | TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS | 5,724 | 5,724 |
| | **COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 51 | INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM | 29,500 | 29,500 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)** | | |
| 57 | DCGS-A (MIP) | 54,140 | 54,140 |
| 59 | TROJAN (MIP) | 6,542 | 6,542 |
| 61 | CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) | 3,860 | 3,860 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)** | | |
| 68 | FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE | 14,847 | 14,847 |
| 69 | COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES | 19,535 | 19,535 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)** | | |
| 84 | COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32 | 2,601 | 2,601 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS** | | |
| 87 | FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY | 48 | 48 |
| 94 | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) | 252 | 252 |
| | **ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION** | | |
| 101 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP | 652 | 652 |
| | **CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 111 | BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) | 4,035 | 4,035 |
| | **COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 131 | FORCE PROVIDER | 53,800 | 53,800 |
| 133 | CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM | 700 | 700 |
| | **MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 159 | FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS | 10,486 | 10,486 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 169 | RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 8,500 | 8,500 |
| | **TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY** | **1,205,596** | **1,205,596** |
| | **JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND** | | |
| | **FORCE TRAINING** | | |
| 3 | TRAIN THE FORCE | 7,850 | 7,850 |
| | **JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT** | | |
| 2 | DEFEAT THE DEVICE | 77,600 | 77,600 |
| | **NETWORK ATTACK** | | |
| 1 | ATTACK THE NETWORK | 219,550 | 215,086 |
| | *Adjustment due to low execution in prior years* | | [–4,464] |
| | **STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE** | | |
| 4 | OPERATIONS | 188,271 | 144,464 |
| | *Maintain prior year funding level* | | [–43,807] |
| | **TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND** | **493,271** | **445,000** |
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | | |
| | **OTHER AIRCRAFT** | | |

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | STUASL0 UAV | 55,000 | 55,000 |
| | **MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 30 | AV–8 SERIES | 41,365 | 41,365 |
| 32 | F–18 SERIES | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 37 | EP–3 SERIES | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| 47 | SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | 14,198 | 14,198 |
| 51 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | 72,700 | 72,700 |
| 52 | COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES | 13,988 | 13,988 |
| 59 | V–22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY | 4,900 | 4,900 |
| | **AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES** | | |
| 65 | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 943 | 943 |
| | **TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | **217,394** | **217,394** |
| | **WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | | |
| | **TACTICAL MISSILES** | | |
| 10 | LASER MAVERICK | 3,344 | 3,344 |
| | **TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | **3,344** | **3,344** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC** | | |
| | **NAVY AMMUNITION** | | |
| 1 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 9,715 | 9,715 |
| 2 | AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 11,108 | 11,108 |
| 3 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | 3,603 | 3,603 |
| 6 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | 11,982 | 11,982 |
| 11 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | 4,674 | 4,674 |
| 12 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | 3,456 | 3,456 |
| 13 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | 1,989 | 1,989 |
| 14 | AMMUNITION LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 4,674 | 4,674 |
| | **MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION** | | |
| 20 | 120MM, ALL TYPES | 10,719 | 10,719 |
| 23 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 3,993 | 3,993 |
| 24 | ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES | 67,200 | 67,200 |
| 26 | FUZE, ALL TYPES | 3,299 | 3,299 |
| 25 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 518 | 518 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC** | **136,930** | **136,930** |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | | |
| | **CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 135 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 186 | 186 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 160A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| | **TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY** | **12,186** | **12,186** |
| | **PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS** | | |
| | **GUIDED MISSILES** | | |
| 10 | JAVELIN | 7,679 | 7,679 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT** | | |
| 13 | MODIFICATION KITS | 10,311 | 10,311 |
| | **COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS** | | |
| 14 | UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER | 8,221 | 8,221 |
| | **OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)** | | |
| 18 | MODIFICATION KITS | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| | **COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 19 | ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | 8,693 | 8,693 |
| | **INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)** | | |
| 27 | RQ–11 UAV | 3,430 | 3,430 |
| | **MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 52 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS** | **48,934** | **48,934** |
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **OTHER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 15 | MQ–9 | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| | **OTHER AIRCRAFT** | | |
| 44 | C–130 | 1,410 | 1,410 |
| 56 | H–60 | 39,300 | 39,300 |
| 58 | HC/MC–130 MODIFICATIONS | 5,690 | 5,690 |
| 61 | MQ–9 MODS | 69,000 | 69,000 |
| | **TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **128,900** | **128,900** |
| | **MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **TACTICAL** | | |
| 6 | PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE | 280,902 | 280,902 |
| 7 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 2,520 | 2,520 |
| | **CLASS IV** | | |
| 10 | AGM–65D MAVERICK | 5,720 | 5,720 |

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **289,142** | **289,142** |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **CARTRIDGES** | | |
| 2 | CARTRIDGES | 8,371 | 8,371 |
| | **BOMBS** | | |
| 4 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 17,031 | 17,031 |
| 6 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 184,412 | 184,412 |
| | **FLARES** | | |
| 12 | FLARES | 11,064 | 11,064 |
| | **FUZES** | | |
| 13 | FUZES | 7,996 | 7,996 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE** | **228,874** | **228,874** |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS** | | |
| 25 | GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 3,953 | 3,953 |
| 27 | MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | **AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS** | | |
| 42 | USCENTCOM | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| | **ORGANIZATION AND BASE** | | |
| 52 | TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT | 4,065 | 4,065 |
| 56 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | 15,400 | 15,400 |
| | **PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP** | | |
| 58 | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | 3,580 | 3,580 |
| 59 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 3,407 | 3,407 |
| | **BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** | | |
| 62 | ENGINEERING AND EOD EQUIPMENT | 46,790 | 46,790 |
| 64 | MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | 400 | 400 |
| 65 | ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION | 9,800 | 9,800 |
| | **SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS** | | |
| 71 | DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. | 28,070 | 28,070 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 71A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 3,732,499 | 3,732,499 |
| | **TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE** | **3,859,964** | **3,859,964** |
| | **PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | |
| | **MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA** | | |
| 8 | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 1,940 | 1,940 |
| | **CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** | | |
| 40A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 35,482 | 35,482 |
| | **AVIATION PROGRAMS** | | |
| 41 | MC–12 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | **AMMUNITION PROGRAMS** | | |
| 56 | ORDNANCE ITEMS <$5M | 35,299 | 35,299 |
| | **OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS** | | |
| 61 | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 15,160 | 15,160 |
| 63 | WARRIOR SYSTEMS <$5M | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 68 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 104,537 | 104,537 |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE** | **212,418** | **212,418** |
| | **TOTAL PROCUREMENT** | **7,257,270** | **7,208,999** |

**TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.**

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY** | | |
| | | **BASIC RESEARCH** | | |
| 1 | 0601101A | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | 13,018 | 13,018 |
| 2 | 0601102A | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 239,118 | 279,118 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [40,000] |
| 3 | 0601103A | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 72,603 | 72,603 |
| 4 | 0601104A | UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS | 100,340 | 100,340 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH** | **425,079** | **465,079** |
| | | **APPLIED RESEARCH** | | |
| 5 | 0602105A | MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY | 28,314 | 28,314 |
| 6 | 0602120A | SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY | 38,374 | 38,374 |
| 7 | 0602122A | TRACTOR HIP | 6,879 | 6,879 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8 | 0602211A | AVIATION TECHNOLOGY | 56,884 | 56,884 |
| 9 | 0602270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 19,243 | 19,243 |
| 10 | 0602303A | MISSILE TECHNOLOGY | 45,053 | 45,053 |
| 11 | 0602307A | ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 29,428 | 29,428 |
| 12 | 0602308A | ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION | 27,862 | 27,862 |
| 13 | 0602601A | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY | 68,839 | 68,839 |
| 14 | 0602618A | BALLISTIAG TECHNOLOGY | 92,801 | 92,801 |
| 15 | 0602622A | CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY | 3,866 | 3,866 |
| 16 | 0602623A | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 5,487 | 5,487 |
| 17 | 0602624A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 48,340 | 48,340 |
| 18 | 0602705A | ELECTRONIAG AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES | 55,301 | 55,301 |
| 19 | 0602709A | NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY | 33,807 | 33,807 |
| 20 | 0602712A | COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS | 25,068 | 25,068 |
| 21 | 0602716A | HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 23,681 | 23,681 |
| 22 | 0602720A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY | 20,850 | 20,850 |
| 23 | 0602782A | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 36,160 | 36,160 |
| 24 | 0602783A | COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY | 12,656 | 12,656 |
| 25 | 0602784A | MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 63,409 | 63,409 |
| 26 | 0602785A | MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY | 24,735 | 24,735 |
| 27 | 0602786A | WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY | 35,795 | 35,795 |
| 28 | 0602787A | MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 76,853 | 76,853 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH** | **879,685** | **879,685** |
| | | **ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 29 | 0603001A | WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 46,973 | 46,973 |
| 30 | 0603002A | MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 69,584 | 69,584 |
| 31 | 0603003A | AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 89,736 | 89,736 |
| 32 | 0603004A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 57,663 | 57,663 |
| 33 | 0603005A | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 113,071 | 113,071 |
| 34 | 0603006A | SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 5,554 | 5,554 |
| 35 | 0603007A | MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 12,636 | 12,636 |
| 37 | 0603009A | TRACTOR HIKE | 7,502 | 7,502 |
| 38 | 0603015A | NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS | 17,425 | 17,425 |
| 39 | 0603020A | TRACTOR ROSE | 11,912 | 11,912 |
| 40 | 0603125A | COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 27,520 | 27,520 |
| 41 | 0603130A | TRACTOR NAIL | 2,381 | 2,381 |
| 42 | 0603131A | TRACTOR EGGS | 2,431 | 2,431 |
| 43 | 0603270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 26,874 | 26,874 |
| 44 | 0603313A | MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 49,449 | 49,449 |
| 45 | 0603322A | TRACTOR CAGE | 10,999 | 10,999 |
| 46 | 0603461A | HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 177,159 | 167,159 |
| | | Encourage use of commercial technology | | [–10,000] |
| 47 | 0603606A | LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 13,993 | 13,993 |
| 48 | 0603607A | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 5,105 | 5,105 |
| 49 | 0603710A | NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 40,929 | 40,929 |
| 50 | 0603728A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 10,727 | 10,727 |
| 51 | 0603734A | MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 20,145 | 20,145 |
| 52 | 0603772A | ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 38,163 | 38,163 |
| 53 | 0603794A | C3 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 37,816 | 37,816 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | **895,747** | **885,747** |
| | | **ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | | |
| 54 | 0603305A | ARMY MISSLE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 10,347 | 10,347 |
| 55 | 0603308A | ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 25,061 | 25,061 |
| 56 | 0603619A | LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV | 49,636 | 49,636 |
| 57 | 0603627A | SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV | 13,426 | 13,426 |
| 58 | 0603639A | TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION | 46,749 | 46,749 |
| 60 | 0603747A | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 6,258 | 6,258 |
| 61 | 0603766A | TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV | 13,472 | 13,472 |
| 62 | 0603774A | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 7,292 | 7,292 |
| 63 | 0603779A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL | 8,813 | 8,813 |
| 65 | 0603790A | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 6,075 | 6,075 |
| 67 | 0603804A | LOGISTIAG AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV | 21,233 | 21,233 |
| 68 | 0603807A | MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV | 31,962 | 31,962 |
| 69 | 0603827A | SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 22,194 | 22,194 |
| 71 | 0604100A | ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES | 9,805 | 9,805 |
| 72 | 0604115A | TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES | 40,917 | 40,917 |
| 73 | 0604120A | ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT) | 30,058 | 30,058 |
| 74 | 0604319A | INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2–INTERCEPT (IFPC2) | 155,361 | 155,361 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | **498,659** | **498,659** |
| | | **SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | | |
| 76 | 0604201A | AIRCRAFT AVIONIAG | 12,939 | 12,939 |
| 78 | 0604270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 18,843 | 18,843 |
| 79 | 0604280A | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO | 9,861 | 9,861 |
| 80 | 0604290A | MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR) | 8,763 | 8,763 |
| 81 | 0604321A | ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM | 4,309 | 4,309 |
| 82 | 0604328A | TRACTOR CAGE | 15,138 | 15,138 |
| 83 | 0604601A | INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS | 74,128 | 76,628 |
| | | Transfer from WTCV | | [2,500] |
| 85 | 0604611A | JAVELIN | 3,945 | 3,945 |
| 87 | 0604633A | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 10,076 | 10,076 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 88 | 0604641A | TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV) | 40,374 | 40,374 |
| 89 | 0604710A | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV | 67,582 | 67,582 |
| 90 | 0604713A | COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT | 1,763 | 1,763 |
| 91 | 0604715A | NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV | 27,155 | 27,155 |
| 92 | 0604741A | AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV | 24,569 | 24,569 |
| 93 | 0604742A | CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 23,364 | 23,364 |
| 94 | 0604746A | AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | 8,960 | 8,960 |
| 95 | 0604760A | DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV | 9,138 | 9,138 |
| 96 | 0604780A | COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE | 21,622 | 21,622 |
| 97 | 0604798A | BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION | 99,242 | 99,242 |
| 98 | 0604802A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV | 21,379 | 21,379 |
| 99 | 0604804A | LOGISTIAG AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV | 48,339 | 48,339 |
| 100 | 0604805A | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV | 2,726 | 2,726 |
| 101 | 0604807A | MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV | 45,412 | 45,412 |
| 102 | 0604808A | LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV | 55,215 | 55,215 |
| 104 | 0604818A | ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE | 163,643 | 163,643 |
| 105 | 0604820A | RADAR DEVELOPMENT | 12,309 | 12,309 |
| 106 | 0604822A | GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS) | 15,700 | 15,700 |
| 107 | 0604823A | FIREFINDER | 6,243 | 6,243 |
| 108 | 0604827A | SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL | 18,776 | 18,776 |
| 109 | 0604854A | ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD | 1,953 | 1,953 |
| 110 | 0605013A | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 67,358 | 67,358 |
| 111 | 0605018A | INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A) | 136,011 | 86,011 |
| | | Restructure program | | [–50,000] |
| 112 | 0605028A | ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) | 230,210 | 230,210 |
| 113 | 0605030A | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) | 13,357 | 13,357 |
| 114 | 0605031A | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN) | 18,055 | 18,055 |
| 115 | 0605032A | TRACTOR TIRE | 5,677 | 5,677 |
| 116 | 0605035A | COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM) | 77,570 | 101,570 |
| | | Army UPL for AH–64 ASE development | | [24,000] |
| 117 | 0605051A | AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY DEVELOPMENT | 18,112 | 78,112 |
| | | Army UPL for AH–64 ASE development | | [60,000] |
| 118 | 0605350A | WIN-T INCREMENT 3—FULL NETWORKING | 39,700 | 39,700 |
| 119 | 0605380A | AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) | 12,987 | 6,155 |
| | | Only for SALT program | | [–6,832] |
| 120 | 0605450A | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) | 88,866 | 88,866 |
| 121 | 0605456A | PAC–3/MSE MISSILE | 2,272 | 2,272 |
| 122 | 0605457A | ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD) | 214,099 | 214,099 |
| 123 | 0605625A | MANNED GROUND VEHICLE | 49,247 | 49,247 |
| 124 | 0605626A | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR | 2 | 2 |
| 125 | 0605766A | NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP) | 10,599 | 10,599 |
| 126 | 0605812A | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH | 32,486 | 32,486 |
| 127 | 0605830A | AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 8,880 | 8,880 |
| 128 | 0210609A | PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) | 152,288 | 152,288 |
| 129 | 0303032A | TROJAN—RH12 | 5,022 | 5,022 |
| 130 | 0304270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 12,686 | 12,686 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | **2,068,950** | **2,098,618** |
| | | **RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | | |
| 131 | 0604256A | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 20,035 | 20,035 |
| 132 | 0604258A | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 16,684 | 16,684 |
| 133 | 0604759A | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 62,580 | 62,580 |
| 134 | 0605103A | RAND ARROYO CENTER | 20,853 | 20,853 |
| 135 | 0605301A | ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL | 205,145 | 205,145 |
| 136 | 0605326A | CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM | 19,430 | 19,430 |
| 138 | 0605601A | ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES | 277,646 | 277,646 |
| 139 | 0605602A | ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS | 51,550 | 51,550 |
| 140 | 0605604A | SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS | 33,246 | 33,246 |
| 141 | 0605606A | AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION | 4,760 | 4,760 |
| 142 | 0605702A | METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES | 8,303 | 8,303 |
| 143 | 0605706A | MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS | 20,403 | 20,403 |
| 144 | 0605709A | EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS | 10,396 | 10,396 |
| 145 | 0605712A | SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING | 49,337 | 49,337 |
| 146 | 0605716A | ARMY EVALUATION CENTER | 52,694 | 52,694 |
| 147 | 0605718A | ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG | 938 | 938 |
| 148 | 0605801A | PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES | 60,319 | 60,319 |
| 149 | 0605803A | TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES | 28,478 | 28,478 |
| 150 | 0605805A | MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY | 32,604 | 24,604 |
| | | Under execution of prior year funds | | [–8,000] |
| 151 | 0605857A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT | 3,186 | 3,186 |
| 152 | 0605898A | MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D | 48,955 | 48,955 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | **1,027,542** | **1,019,542** |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 154 | 0603778A | MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 18,397 | 18,397 |
| 155 | 0603813A | TRACTOR PULL | 9,461 | 9,461 |
| 156 | 0607131A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 4,945 | 4,945 |
| 157 | 0607133A | TRACTOR SMOKE | 7,569 | 7,569 |
| 158 | 0607135A | APACHE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 69,862 | 69,862 |
| 159 | 0607136A | BLACKHAWK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 66,653 | 66,653 |
| 160 | 0607137A | CHINOOK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 37,407 | 37,407 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 161 | 0607138A | FIXED WING PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 1,151 | 1,151 |
| 162 | 0607139A | IMPROVED TURBINE ENGINE PROGRAM | 51,164 | 51,164 |
| 163 | 0607140A | EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES FROM NIE | 2,481 | 2,481 |
| 164 | 0607141A | LOGISTIAG AUTOMATION | 1,673 | 1,673 |
| 166 | 0607665A | FAMILY OF BIOMETRIAG | 13,237 | 13,237 |
| 167 | 0607865A | PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT | 105,816 | 105,816 |
| 169 | 0202429A | AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT—COCOM EXERCISE | 40,565 | 40,565 |
| 171 | 0203728A | JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM (JADOAG) | 35,719 | 35,719 |
| 172 | 0203735A | COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 257,167 | 297,167 |
| | | Stryker modification and improvement | | [40,000] |
| 173 | 0203740A | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM | 15,445 | 15,445 |
| 175 | 0203752A | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 364 | 364 |
| 176 | 0203758A | DIGITIZATION | 4,361 | 4,361 |
| 177 | 0203801A | MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 3,154 | 3,154 |
| 178 | 0203802A | OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 35,951 | 35,951 |
| 179 | 0203808A | TRACTOR CARD | 34,686 | 34,686 |
| 180 | 0205402A | INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV | 10,750 | 10,750 |
| 181 | 0205410A | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | 402 | 402 |
| 183 | 0205456A | LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM | 64,159 | 64,159 |
| 184 | 0205778A | GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS) | 17,527 | 17,527 |
| 185 | 0208053A | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM | 20,515 | 20,515 |
| 187 | 0303028A | SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES | 12,368 | 12,368 |
| 188 | 0303140A | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 31,154 | 31,154 |
| 189 | 0303141A | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 12,274 | 12,274 |
| 190 | 0303142A | SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) | 9,355 | 9,355 |
| 191 | 0303150A | WWMCAG/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 7,053 | 7,053 |
| 193 | 0305179A | INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) | 750 | 750 |
| 194 | 0305204A | TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES | 13,225 | 13,225 |
| 195 | 0305206A | AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 22,870 | 22,870 |
| 196 | 0305208A | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 25,592 | 25,592 |
| 199 | 0305233A | RQ–7 UAV | 7,297 | 7,297 |
| 201 | 0310349A | WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| 202 | 0708045A | END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES | 48,442 | 48,442 |
| | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 4,536 | 4,536 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | **1,129,297** | **1,169,297** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY** | **6,924,959** | **7,016,627** |
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY** | | |
| | | **BASIC RESEARCH** | | |
| 1 | 0601103N | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 116,196 | 116,196 |
| 2 | 0601152N | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | 19,126 | 19,126 |
| 3 | 0601153N | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 451,606 | 506,606 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [55,000] |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH** | **586,928** | **641,928** |
| | | **APPLIED RESEARCH** | | |
| 4 | 0602114N | POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH | 68,723 | 68,723 |
| 5 | 0602123N | FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH | 154,963 | 154,963 |
| 6 | 0602131M | MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY | 49,001 | 49,001 |
| 7 | 0602235N | COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH | 42,551 | 42,551 |
| 8 | 0602236N | WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH | 45,056 | 45,056 |
| 9 | 0602271N | ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH | 115,051 | 115,051 |
| 10 | 0602435N | OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH | 42,252 | 42,252 |
| 11 | 0602651M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH | 6,119 | 6,119 |
| 12 | 0602747N | UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH | 123,750 | 142,350 |
| | | Accelerate undersea warfare research | | [18,600] |
| 13 | 0602750N | FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH | 179,686 | 179,686 |
| 14 | 0602782N | MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH | 37,418 | 37,418 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH** | **864,570** | **883,170** |
| | | **ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 15 | 0603114N | POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 37,093 | 37,093 |
| 16 | 0603123N | FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 38,044 | 38,044 |
| 17 | 0603271N | ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 34,899 | 34,899 |
| 18 | 0603640M | USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) | 137,562 | 137,562 |
| 19 | 0603651M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 12,745 | 12,745 |
| 20 | 0603673N | FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 258,860 | 248,860 |
| | | Capable manpower, enablers, and sea basing | | [–10,000] |
| 21 | 0603680N | MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 57,074 | 57,074 |
| 22 | 0603729N | WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 4,807 | 4,807 |
| 23 | 0603747N | UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 13,748 | 13,748 |
| 24 | 0603758N | NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS | 66,041 | 66,041 |
| 25 | 0603782N | MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 1,991 | 1,991 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | **662,864** | **652,864** |
| | | **ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | | |
| 26 | 0603207N | AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS | 41,832 | 41,832 |
| 27 | 0603216N | AVIATION SURVIVABILITY | 5,404 | 5,404 |
| 28 | 0603237N | DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL | 3,086 | 3,086 |
| 29 | 0603251N | AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS | 11,643 | 11,643 |
| 30 | 0603254N | ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 5,555 | 5,555 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 31 | 0603261N | TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE | 3,087 | 3,087 |
| 32 | 0603382N | ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 1,636 | 1,636 |
| 33 | 0603502N | SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES | 118,588 | 118,588 |
| 34 | 0603506N | SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE | 77,385 | 77,385 |
| 35 | 0603512N | CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 8,348 | 8,348 |
| 36 | 0603525N | PILOT FISH | 123,246 | 123,246 |
| 37 | 0603527N | RETRACT LARCH | 28,819 | 28,819 |
| 38 | 0603536N | RETRACT JUNIPER | 112,678 | 112,678 |
| 39 | 0603542N | RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL | 710 | 710 |
| 40 | 0603553N | SURFACE ASW | 1,096 | 1,096 |
| 41 | 0603561N | ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | 87,160 | 98,160 |
| | | Accelerate unmanned underwater vehicle development | | [11,000] |
| 42 | 0603562N | SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS | 10,371 | 10,371 |
| 43 | 0603563N | SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN | 11,888 | 11,888 |
| 44 | 0603564N | SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES | 4,332 | 4,332 |
| 45 | 0603570N | ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 482,040 | 482,040 |
| 46 | 0603573N | ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS | 25,904 | 25,904 |
| 47 | 0603576N | CHALK EAGLE | 511,802 | 511,802 |
| 48 | 0603581N | LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LAG) | 118,416 | 118,416 |
| 49 | 0603582N | COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION | 35,901 | 35,901 |
| 50 | 0603595N | OHIO REPLACEMENT | 971,393 | 971,393 |
| 51 | 0603596N | LAG MISSION MODULES | 206,149 | 206,149 |
| 52 | 0603597N | AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST (ATRT) | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 53 | 0603609N | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS | 7,678 | 7,678 |
| 54 | 0603611M | MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES | 219,082 | 219,082 |
| 55 | 0603635M | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM | 623 | 623 |
| 56 | 0603654N | JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 18,260 | 18,260 |
| 57 | 0603658N | COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT | 76,247 | 76,247 |
| 58 | 0603713N | OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 4,520 | 4,520 |
| 59 | 0603721N | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION | 20,711 | 20,711 |
| 60 | 0603724N | NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM | 47,761 | 47,761 |
| 61 | 0603725N | FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT | 5,226 | 5,226 |
| 62 | 0603734N | CHALK CORAL | 182,771 | 182,771 |
| 63 | 0603739N | NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY | 3,866 | 3,866 |
| 64 | 0603746N | RETRACT MAPLE | 360,065 | 360,065 |
| 65 | 0603748N | LINK PLUMERIA | 237,416 | 237,416 |
| 66 | 0603751N | RETRACT ELM | 37,944 | 37,944 |
| 67 | 0603764N | LINK EVERGREEN | 47,312 | 47,312 |
| 68 | 0603787N | SPECIAL PROCESSES | 17,408 | 17,408 |
| 69 | 0603790N | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 9,359 | 9,359 |
| 70 | 0603795N | LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY | 887 | 887 |
| 71 | 0603851M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING | 29,448 | 29,448 |
| 72 | 0603860N | JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEM/VAL | 91,479 | 91,479 |
| 73 | 0603925N | DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS | 67,360 | 67,360 |
| 74 | 0604112N | GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER (CVN 78—80) | 48,105 | 127,205 |
| | | Full ship shock trials for CVN–78 | | [79,100] |
| 75 | 0604122N | REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) | 20,089 | 20,089 |
| 76 | 0604272N | TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM) | 18,969 | 18,969 |
| 77 | 0604279N | ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION | 7,874 | 7,874 |
| 78 | 0604292N | MH-XX | 5,298 | 5,298 |
| 79 | 0604454N | LX (R) | 46,486 | 75,486 |
| | | Accelerate LX (R) | | [29,000] |
| 80 | 0604653N | JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW) | 3,817 | 3,817 |
| 81 | 0604659N | PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM | 9,595 | 9,595 |
| 82 | 0604707N | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT | 29,581 | 29,581 |
| 83 | 0604786N | OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT | 285,849 | 285,849 |
| 84 | 0605812M | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH | 36,656 | 36,656 |
| 85 | 0303354N | ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP | 9,835 | 9,835 |
| 86 | 0304270N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP | 580 | 580 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | **5,024,626** | **5,143,726** |
| | | **SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | | |
| 87 | 0603208N | TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT | 21,708 | 21,708 |
| 88 | 0604212N | OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT | 11,101 | 11,101 |
| 89 | 0604214N | AV–8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV | 39,878 | 39,878 |
| 90 | 0604215N | STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT | 53,059 | 53,059 |
| 91 | 0604216N | MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT | 21,358 | 21,358 |
| 92 | 0604218N | AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING | 4,515 | 4,515 |
| 93 | 0604221N | P–3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 1,514 | 1,514 |
| 94 | 0604230N | WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 5,875 | 5,875 |
| 95 | 0604231N | TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM | 81,553 | 81,553 |
| 96 | 0604234N | ADVANCED HAWKEYE | 272,149 | 272,149 |
| 97 | 0604245N | H–1 UPGRADES | 27,235 | 27,235 |
| 98 | 0604261N | ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS | 35,763 | 35,763 |
| 99 | 0604262N | V–22A | 87,918 | 87,918 |
| 100 | 0604264N | AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 12,679 | 12,679 |
| 101 | 0604269N | EA–18 | 56,921 | 56,921 |
| 102 | 0604270N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 23,685 | 23,685 |
| 103 | 0604273N | EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT | 507,093 | 507,093 |
| 104 | 0604274N | NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ) | 411,767 | 411,767 |
| 105 | 0604280N | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY) | 25,071 | 25,071 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 106 | 0604307N | SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING | 443,433 | 443,433 |
| 107 | 0604311N | LPD–17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 747 | 747 |
| 108 | 0604329N | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) | 97,002 | 97,002 |
| 109 | 0604366N | STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS | 129,649 | 129,649 |
| 110 | 0604373N | AIRBORNE MCM | 11,647 | 11,647 |
| 111 | 0604376M | MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION | 2,778 | 2,778 |
| 112 | 0604378N | NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING | 23,695 | 23,695 |
| 113 | 0604404N | UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM | 134,708 | 0 |
| | | Excess FY15 funds buy down FY16 requirements | | [–134,708] |
| 114 | 0604501N | ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS | 43,914 | 43,914 |
| 115 | 0604503N | SSN–688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION | 109,908 | 109,908 |
| 116 | 0604504N | AIR CONTROL | 57,928 | 57,928 |
| 117 | 0604512N | SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS | 120,217 | 120,217 |
| 118 | 0604522N | AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM | 241,754 | 241,754 |
| 119 | 0604558N | NEW DESIGN SSN | 122,556 | 122,556 |
| 120 | 0604562N | SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM | 48,213 | 60,213 |
| | | Accelerate submarine combat and weapon system modernization | | [12,000] |
| 121 | 0604567N | SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E | 49,712 | 49,712 |
| 122 | 0604574N | NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES | 4,096 | 4,096 |
| 123 | 0604580N | VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM) | 167,719 | 167,719 |
| 124 | 0604601N | MINE DEVELOPMENT | 15,122 | 15,122 |
| 125 | 0604610N | LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT | 33,738 | 33,738 |
| 126 | 0604654N | JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 8,123 | 8,123 |
| 127 | 0604703N | PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS | 7,686 | 7,686 |
| 128 | 0604727N | JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS | 405 | 405 |
| 129 | 0604755N | SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) | 153,836 | 153,836 |
| 130 | 0604756N | SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) | 99,619 | 99,619 |
| 131 | 0604757N | SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) | 116,798 | 116,798 |
| 132 | 0604761N | INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING | 4,353 | 4,353 |
| 133 | 0604771N | MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT | 9,443 | 9,443 |
| 134 | 0604777N | NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM | 32,469 | 32,469 |
| 135 | 0604800M | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD | 537,901 | 525,401 |
| | | F–35B Block 4 development early to need | | [–12,500] |
| 136 | 0604800N | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD | 504,736 | 492,236 |
| | | F–35C Block 4 development early to need | | [–12,500] |
| 137 | 0604810M | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT—MARINE CORPS | 59,265 | 59,265 |
| 138 | 0604810N | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT—NAVY | 47,579 | 47,579 |
| 139 | 0605013M | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 5,914 | 5,914 |
| 140 | 0605013N | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 89,711 | 89,711 |
| 141 | 0605212N | CH–53K RDTE | 632,092 | 632,092 |
| 142 | 0605220N | SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC) | 7,778 | 7,778 |
| 143 | 0605450N | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) | 25,898 | 25,898 |
| 144 | 0605500N | MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) | 247,929 | 247,929 |
| 145 | 0204202N | DDG–1000 | 103,199 | 103,199 |
| 146 | 0304231N | TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP | 998 | 998 |
| 147 | 0304785N | TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS | 17,785 | 17,785 |
| 148 | 0305124N | SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM | 35,905 | 35,905 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | **6,308,800** | **6,161,092** |
| | | **MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | | |
| 149 | 0604256N | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 30,769 | 30,769 |
| 150 | 0604258N | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 112,606 | 112,606 |
| 151 | 0604759N | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 61,234 | 61,234 |
| 152 | 0605126N | JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | 6,995 | 6,995 |
| 153 | 0605152N | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY | 4,011 | 4,011 |
| 154 | 0605154N | CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES | 48,563 | 48,563 |
| 155 | 0605285N | NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 157 | 0605804N | TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES | 925 | 925 |
| 158 | 0605853N | MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 78,143 | 78,143 |
| 159 | 0605856N | STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 3,258 | 3,258 |
| 160 | 0605861N | RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT | 76,948 | 76,948 |
| 161 | 0605863N | RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | 132,122 | 132,122 |
| 162 | 0605864N | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 351,912 | 351,912 |
| 163 | 0605865N | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY | 17,985 | 17,985 |
| 164 | 0605866N | NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT | 5,316 | 5,316 |
| 165 | 0605867N | SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT | 6,519 | 6,519 |
| 166 | 0605873M | MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | 13,649 | 13,649 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | **955,955** | **955,955** |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 174 | 0101221N | STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT | 107,039 | 107,039 |
| 175 | 0101224N | SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 46,506 | 46,506 |
| 176 | 0101226N | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 3,900 | 4,700 |
| | | Accelerate combat rapid attack weapon | | [800] |
| 177 | 0101402N | NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS | 16,569 | 16,569 |
| 178 | 0203761N | RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) | 18,632 | 18,632 |
| 179 | 0204136N | F/A–18 SQUADRONS | 133,265 | 133,265 |
| 181 | 0204163N | FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) | 62,867 | 62,867 |
| 182 | 0204228N | SURFACE SUPPORT | 36,045 | 36,045 |
| 183 | 0204229N | TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) | 25,228 | 25,228 |
| 184 | 0204311N | INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 54,218 | 54,218 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 185 | 0204413N | AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT) | 11,335 | 11,335 |
| 186 | 0204460M | GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) | 80,129 | 80,129 |
| 187 | 0204571N | CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 39,087 | 39,087 |
| 188 | 0204574N | CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT | 1,915 | 1,915 |
| 189 | 0204575N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT | 46,609 | 46,609 |
| 190 | 0205601N | HARM IMPROVEMENT | 52,708 | 52,708 |
| 191 | 0205604N | TACTICAL DATA LINKS | 149,997 | 149,997 |
| 192 | 0205620N | SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION | 24,460 | 24,460 |
| 193 | 0205632N | MK–48 ADCAP | 42,206 | 47,706 |
| | | Accelerate torpedo upgrades | | [5,500] |
| 194 | 0205633N | AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS | 117,759 | 117,759 |
| 195 | 0205675N | OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 101,323 | 101,323 |
| 196 | 0206313M | MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 67,763 | 67,763 |
| 197 | 0206335M | COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (CAC2S) | 13,431 | 13,431 |
| 198 | 0206623M | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS | 56,769 | 56,769 |
| 199 | 0206624M | MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT | 20,729 | 20,729 |
| 200 | 0206625M | USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP) | 13,152 | 13,152 |
| 201 | 0206629M | AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE | 48,535 | 48,535 |
| 202 | 0207161N | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 76,016 | 76,016 |
| 203 | 0207163N | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) | 32,172 | 32,172 |
| 208 | 0303109N | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) | 53,239 | 53,239 |
| 209 | 0303138N | CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES) | 21,677 | 21,677 |
| 210 | 0303140N | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 28,102 | 28,102 |
| 211 | 0303150M | WWMCAG/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 294 | 294 |
| 213 | 0305160N | NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC) | 599 | 599 |
| 214 | 0305192N | MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES | 6,207 | 6,207 |
| 215 | 0305204N | TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES | 8,550 | 8,550 |
| 216 | 0305205N | UAS INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY | 41,831 | 41,831 |
| 217 | 0305208M | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 1,105 | 1,105 |
| 218 | 0305208N | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 33,149 | 33,149 |
| 219 | 0305220N | RQ–4 UAV | 227,188 | 227,188 |
| 220 | 0305231N | MQ–8 UAV | 52,770 | 52,770 |
| 221 | 0305232M | RQ–11 UAV | 635 | 635 |
| 222 | 0305233N | RQ–7 UAV | 688 | 688 |
| 223 | 0305234N | SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASL0) | 4,647 | 4,647 |
| 224 | 0305239M | RQ–21A | 6,435 | 6,435 |
| 225 | 0305241N | MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT | 49,145 | 49,145 |
| 226 | 0305242M | UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP) | 9,246 | 9,246 |
| 227 | 0305421N | RQ–4 MODERNIZATION | 150,854 | 150,854 |
| 228 | 0308601N | MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT | 4,757 | 4,757 |
| 229 | 0702207N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 24,185 | 24,185 |
| 231 | 0708730N | MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) | 4,321 | 4,321 |
| 231A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,252,185 | 1,252,185 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | **3,482,173** | **3,488,473** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY** | **17,885,916** | **17,927,208** |
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF** | | |
| | | **BASIC RESEARCH** | | |
| 1 | 0601102F | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 329,721 | 374,721 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [45,000] |
| 2 | 0601103F | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 141,754 | 141,754 |
| 3 | 0601108F | HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 13,778 | 13,778 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH** | **485,253** | **530,253** |
| | | **APPLIED RESEARCH** | | |
| 4 | 0602102F | MATERIALS | 125,234 | 115,234 |
| | | Nanostructured and biological materials | | [–10,000] |
| 5 | 0602201F | AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES | 123,438 | 123,438 |
| 6 | 0602202F | HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH | 100,530 | 100,530 |
| 7 | 0602203F | AEROSPACE PROPULSION | 182,326 | 182,326 |
| 8 | 0602204F | AEROSPACE SENSORS | 147,291 | 147,291 |
| 9 | 0602601F | SPACE TECHNOLOGY | 116,122 | 116,122 |
| 10 | 0602602F | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS | 99,851 | 99,851 |
| 11 | 0602605F | DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY | 115,604 | 115,604 |
| 12 | 0602788F | DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS | 164,909 | 164,909 |
| 13 | 0602890F | HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH | 42,037 | 42,037 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH** | **1,217,342** | **1,207,342** |
| | | **ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 14 | 0603112F | ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS | 37,665 | 37,665 |
| 15 | 0603199F | SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) | 18,378 | 18,378 |
| 16 | 0603203F | ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS | 42,183 | 42,183 |
| 17 | 0603211F | AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO | 100,733 | 100,733 |
| 18 | 0603216F | AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY | 168,821 | 168,821 |
| 19 | 0603270F | ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY | 47,032 | 47,032 |
| 20 | 0603401F | ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY | 54,897 | 54,897 |
| 21 | 0603444F | MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) | 12,853 | 12,853 |
| 22 | 0603456F | HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 25,448 | 25,448 |
| 23 | 0603601F | CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 48,536 | 48,536 |
| 24 | 0603605F | ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 30,195 | 30,195 |
| 25 | 0603680F | MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 42,630 | 42,630 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | 0603788F | BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION | 46,414 | 46,414 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | **675,785** | **675,785** |
| | | **ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | | |
| 27 | 0603260F | INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 5,032 | 5,032 |
| 29 | 0603438F | SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY | 4,070 | 4,070 |
| 30 | 0603742F | COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY | 21,790 | 21,790 |
| 31 | 0603790F | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 4,736 | 4,736 |
| 33 | 0603830F | SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM | 30,771 | 30,771 |
| 34 | 0603851F | INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL | 39,765 | 39,765 |
| 36 | 0604015F | LONG RANGE STRIKE | 1,246,228 | 786,228 |
| | | Delayed EMD contract award | | [–460,000] |
| 37 | 0604317F | TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | 3,512 | 3,512 |
| 38 | 0604327F | HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM | 54,637 | 54,637 |
| 40 | 0604422F | WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON | 76,108 | 76,108 |
| 44 | 0604857F | OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE | 6,457 | 19,957 |
| | | Increase to match previous year funding level | | [13,500] |
| 45 | 0604858F | TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM | 246,514 | 246,514 |
| 46 | 0605230F | GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT | 75,166 | 75,166 |
| 49 | 0207110F | NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE | 8,830 | 8,830 |
| 50 | 0207455F | THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR) | 14,939 | 14,939 |
| 51 | 0305164F | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) | 142,288 | 142,288 |
| 52 | 0306250F | CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 81,732 | 96,732 |
| | | Increase USCC Cyber Operations Technology Development | | [15,000] |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | **2,062,575** | **1,631,075** |
| | | **SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | | |
| 55 | 0604270F | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 929 | 929 |
| 56 | 0604281F | TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE | 60,256 | 60,256 |
| 57 | 0604287F | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 5,973 | 5,973 |
| 58 | 0604329F | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD | 32,624 | 32,624 |
| 59 | 0604421F | COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS | 24,208 | 24,208 |
| 60 | 0604425F | SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS | 32,374 | 32,374 |
| 61 | 0604426F | SPACE FENCE | 243,909 | 243,909 |
| 62 | 0604429F | AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK | 8,358 | 8,358 |
| 63 | 0604441F | SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD | 292,235 | 292,235 |
| 64 | 0604602F | ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 40,154 | 40,154 |
| 65 | 0604604F | SUBMUNITIONS | 2,506 | 2,506 |
| 66 | 0604617F | AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT | 57,678 | 57,678 |
| 67 | 0604706F | LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS | 8,187 | 8,187 |
| 68 | 0604735F | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | 15,795 | 15,795 |
| 69 | 0604800F | F–35—EMD | 589,441 | 564,441 |
| | | F–35A Block 4 development early to need | | [–25,000] |
| 71 | 0604853F | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD | 84,438 | 84,438 |
| 72 | 0604932F | LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON | 36,643 | 36,643 |
| 73 | 0604933F | ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION | 142,551 | 142,551 |
| 74 | 0605213F | F–22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B | 140,640 | 140,640 |
| 75 | 0605214F | GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT | 3,598 | 3,598 |
| 76 | 0605221F | KC–46 | 602,364 | 402,364 |
| | | Schedule delay and availability of unobligated prior year funds | | [–200,000] |
| 77 | 0605223F | ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING | 11,395 | 11,395 |
| 78 | 0605229F | AGAR HH–60 RECAPITALIZATION | 156,085 | 156,085 |
| 80 | 0605431F | ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) | 228,230 | 228,230 |
| 81 | 0605432F | POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) | 72,084 | 72,084 |
| 82 | 0605433F | WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) | 56,343 | 56,343 |
| 83 | 0605458F | AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2 RDT&E | 47,629 | 47,629 |
| 84 | 0605931F | B–2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | 271,961 | 271,961 |
| 85 | 0101125F | NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION | 212,121 | 212,121 |
| 86 | 0207171F | F–15 EPAWSS | 186,481 | 215,981 |
| | | NRE for ADCPII upgrade | | [28,000] |
| | | Flight test support | | [1,500] |
| 87 | 0207701F | FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING | 18,082 | 18,082 |
| 88 | 0305176F | COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR | 993 | 993 |
| 89 | 0307581F | NEXTGEN JSTARS | 44,343 | 44,343 |
| 91 | 0401319F | PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR) | 102,620 | 102,620 |
| 92 | 0701212F | AUTOMATED TEST SYSTEMS | 14,563 | 14,563 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | **3,847,791** | **3,652,291** |
| | | **MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | | |
| 93 | 0604256F | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 23,844 | 23,844 |
| 94 | 0604759F | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 68,302 | 68,302 |
| 95 | 0605101F | RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE | 34,918 | 34,918 |
| 97 | 0605712F | INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION | 10,476 | 10,476 |
| 98 | 0605807F | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 673,908 | 673,908 |
| 99 | 0605860F | ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) | 21,858 | 21,858 |
| 100 | 0605864F | SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP) | 28,228 | 28,228 |
| 101 | 0605976F | FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 40,518 | 40,518 |
| 102 | 0605978F | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 27,895 | 27,895 |
| 103 | 0606017F | REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION | 16,507 | 16,507 |
| 104 | 0606116F | SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT | 18,997 | 18,997 |
| 106 | 0606392F | SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE | 185,305 | 185,305 |
| 107 | 0308602F | ENTEPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS) | 4,841 | 4,841 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 108 | 0702806F | ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 15,357 | 15,357 |
| 109 | 0804731F | GENERAL SKILL TRAINING | 1,315 | 1,315 |
| 111 | 1001004F | INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES | 2,315 | 2,315 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | **1,174,584** | **1,174,584** |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 112 | 0603423F | GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT | 350,232 | 350,232 |
| 113 | 0604233F | SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING | 10,465 | 10,465 |
| 114 | 0604445F | WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE | 24,577 | 24,577 |
| 117 | 0605018F | AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS) | 69,694 | 24,294 |
| | | *Restructure program* | | [–45,400] |
| 118 | 0605024F | ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY | 26,718 | 26,718 |
| 119 | 0605278F | HC/MC–130 RECAP RDT&E | 10,807 | 10,807 |
| 121 | 0101113F | B–52 SQUADRONS | 74,520 | 74,520 |
| 122 | 0101122F | AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) | 451 | 451 |
| 123 | 0101126F | B–1B SQUADRONS | 2,245 | 2,245 |
| 124 | 0101127F | B–2 SQUADRONS | 108,183 | 108,183 |
| 125 | 0101213F | MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS | 178,929 | 178,929 |
| 126 | 0101313F | STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM | 28,481 | 28,481 |
| 127 | 0101314F | NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM | 87 | 87 |
| 128 | 0101316F | WORLDWIDE JOINT STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS | 5,315 | 5,315 |
| 131 | 0105921F | SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES | 8,090 | 8,090 |
| 132 | 0205219F | MQ–9 UAV | 123,439 | 123,439 |
| 134 | 0207131F | A–10 SQUADRONS | 0 | 16,200 |
| | | *Sustain avionics software development* | | [16,200] |
| 135 | 0207133F | F–16 SQUADRONS | 148,297 | 148,297 |
| 136 | 0207134F | F–15E SQUADRONS | 179,283 | 192,079 |
| | | *Transfer from procurement* | | [12,796] |
| 137 | 0207136F | MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION | 14,860 | 14,860 |
| 138 | 0207138F | F–22A SQUADRONS | 262,552 | 262,552 |
| 139 | 0207142F | F–35 SQUADRONS | 115,395 | 115,395 |
| 140 | 0207161F | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 43,360 | 43,360 |
| 141 | 0207163F | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) | 46,160 | 46,160 |
| 143 | 0207224F | COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY | 412 | 412 |
| 144 | 0207227F | COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE | 657 | 657 |
| 145 | 0207247F | AF TENCAP | 31,428 | 31,428 |
| 146 | 0207249F | PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT | 1,105 | 1,105 |
| 147 | 0207253F | COMPASS CALL | 14,249 | 14,249 |
| 148 | 0207268F | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 103,942 | 103,942 |
| 149 | 0207325F | JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM) | 12,793 | 12,793 |
| 150 | 0207410F | AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) | 21,193 | 21,193 |
| 151 | 0207412F | CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC) | 559 | 559 |
| 152 | 0207417F | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWAAG) | 161,812 | 161,812 |
| 153 | 0207418F | TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 6,001 | 6,001 |
| 155 | 0207431F | COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES | 7,793 | 7,793 |
| 156 | 0207444F | TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD | 12,465 | 12,465 |
| 157 | 0207448F | C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK | 1,681 | 1,681 |
| 159 | 0207452F | DCAPES | 16,796 | 16,796 |
| 161 | 0207590F | SEEK EAGLE | 21,564 | 21,564 |
| 162 | 0207601F | USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION | 24,994 | 24,994 |
| 163 | 0207605F | WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS | 6,035 | 6,035 |
| 164 | 0207697F | DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES | 4,358 | 4,358 |
| 165 | 0208006F | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 55,835 | 55,835 |
| 167 | 0208087F | AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS | 12,874 | 12,874 |
| 168 | 0208088F | AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS | 7,681 | 7,681 |
| 171 | 0301017F | GLOBAL SENSOR INTEGRATED ON NETWORK (GSIN) | 5,974 | 5,974 |
| 177 | 0301400F | SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE | 13,815 | 13,815 |
| 178 | 0302015F | E–4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) | 80,360 | 80,360 |
| 179 | 0303001F | FAMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS (FAB-T) | 3,907 | 3,907 |
| 180 | 0303131F | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) | 75,062 | 75,062 |
| 181 | 0303140F | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 46,599 | 46,599 |
| 183 | 0303142F | GLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE | 2,470 | 2,470 |
| 186 | 0304260F | AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE | 112,775 | 112,775 |
| 189 | 0305099F | GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) | 4,235 | 4,235 |
| 192 | 0305110F | SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) | 7,879 | 7,879 |
| 193 | 0305111F | WEATHER SERVICE | 29,955 | 29,955 |
| 194 | 0305114F | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS) | 21,485 | 21,485 |
| 195 | 0305116F | AERIAL TARGETS | 2,515 | 2,515 |
| 198 | 0305128F | SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES | 472 | 472 |
| 199 | 0305145F | ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION | 12,137 | 12,137 |
| 200 | 0305146F | DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES | 361 | 361 |
| 203 | 0305173F | SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER | 3,162 | 3,162 |
| 204 | 0305174F | SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 1,543 | 1,543 |
| 205 | 0305179F | INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) | 7,860 | 7,860 |
| 206 | 0305182F | SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) | 6,902 | 6,902 |
| 207 | 0305202F | DRAGON U–2 | 34,471 | 34,471 |
| 209 | 0305206F | AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 50,154 | 50,154 |
| 210 | 0305207F | MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 13,245 | 13,245 |
| 211 | 0305208F | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 22,784 | 22,784 |
| 212 | 0305219F | MQ–1 PREDATOR A UAV | 716 | 716 |
| 213 | 0305220F | RQ–4 UAV | 208,053 | 208,053 |
| 214 | 0305221F | NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING | 21,587 | 21,587 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 215 | 0305236F | COMMON DATA LINK EXECUTIVE AGENT (CDL EA) | 43,986 | 43,986 |
| 216 | 0305238F | NATO AGS | 197,486 | 138,400 |
| | | Transfer from procurement for NATO AWACS | | [?59,086] |
| 217 | 0305240F | SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE | 28,434 | 28,434 |
| 218 | 0305265F | GPS III SPACE SEGMENT | 180,902 | 180,902 |
| 220 | 0305614F | JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM | 81,911 | 81,911 |
| 221 | 0305881F | RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION | 3,149 | 3,149 |
| 222 | 0305913F | NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) | 14,447 | 14,447 |
| 223 | 0305940F | SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS | 20,077 | 20,077 |
| 225 | 0308699F | SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) | 853 | 853 |
| 226 | 0401115F | C–130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON | 33,962 | 33,962 |
| 227 | 0401119F | C–5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF) | 42,864 | 42,864 |
| 228 | 0401130F | C–17 AIRCRAFT (IF) | 54,807 | 54,807 |
| 229 | 0401132F | C–130J PROGRAM | 31,010 | 31,010 |
| 230 | 0401134F | LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM) | 6,802 | 6,802 |
| 231 | 0401219F | KC–10S | 1,799 | 1,799 |
| 232 | 0401314F | OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT | 48,453 | 48,453 |
| 233 | 0401318F | CV–22 | 36,576 | 36,576 |
| 235 | 0408011F | SPECIAL TACTIAG / COMBAT CONTROL | 7,963 | 7,963 |
| 236 | 0702207F | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 1,525 | 1,525 |
| 237 | 0708610F | LOGISTIAG INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) | 112,676 | 81,676 |
| | | Program growth | | [–31,000] |
| 238 | 0708611F | SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 12,657 | 12,657 |
| 239 | 0804743F | OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING | 1,836 | 1,836 |
| 240 | 0808716F | OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES | 121 | 121 |
| 241 | 0901202F | JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY | 5,911 | 5,911 |
| 242 | 0901218F | CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM | 3,604 | 3,604 |
| 243 | 0901220F | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 4,598 | 4,598 |
| 244 | 0901226F | AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY | 1,103 | 1,103 |
| 246 | 0901538F | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 101,840 | 101,840 |
| 246A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 12,780,142 | 12,945,142 |
| | | Three program increases | | [165,000] |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | **17,010,339** | **17,068,849** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF** | **26,473,669** | **25,940,179** |
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW** | | |
| | | **BASIC RESEARCH** | | |
| 1 | 0601000BR | DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE | 38,436 | 38,436 |
| 2 | 0601101E | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 333,119 | 333,119 |
| 3 | 0601110D8Z | BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 42,022 | 42,022 |
| 4 | 0601117E | BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE | 56,544 | 56,544 |
| 5 | 0601120D8Z | NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM | 49,453 | 49,453 |
| 6 | 0601228D8Z | HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS | 25,834 | 25,834 |
| 7 | 0601384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 46,261 | 46,261 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH** | **591,669** | **591,669** |
| | | **APPLIED RESEARCH** | | |
| 8 | 0602000D8Z | JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 19,352 | 19,352 |
| 9 | 0602115E | BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 114,262 | 114,262 |
| 10 | 0602234D8Z | LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM | 51,026 | 51,026 |
| 11 | 0602251D8Z | APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES | 48,226 | 33,226 |
| | | General program decrease | | [–15,000] |
| 12 | 0602303E | INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 356,358 | 356,358 |
| 14 | 0602383E | BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE | 29,265 | 29,265 |
| 15 | 0602384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 208,111 | 208,111 |
| 16 | 0602668D8Z | CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH | 13,727 | 13,727 |
| 18 | 0602702E | TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY | 314,582 | 309,582 |
| | | Multi-azimuth defense fast intercept round engagement system | | [–5,000] |
| 19 | 0602715E | MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY | 220,115 | 210,115 |
| | | Decrease in program growth | | [–10,000] |
| 20 | 0602716E | ELECTRONIAG TECHNOLOGY | 174,798 | 174,798 |
| 21 | 0602718BR | WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES | 155,415 | 155,415 |
| 22 | 0602751D8Z | SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH | 8,824 | 8,824 |
| 23 | 1160401BB | SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 37,517 | 37,517 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH** | **1,751,578** | **1,721,578** |
| | | **ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 24 | 0603000D8Z | JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 25,915 | 25,915 |
| 26 | 0603122D8Z | COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT | 71,171 | 71,171 |
| 27 | 0603133D8Z | FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING | 21,782 | 21,782 |
| 28 | 0603160BR | COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT | 290,654 | 290,654 |
| 30 | 0603176C | ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT | 12,139 | 12,139 |
| 31 | 0603177C | DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 28,200 | 28,200 |
| 32 | 0603178C | WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 45,389 | 75,389 |
| | | Fiber laser prototype development | | [20,000] |
| | | Divert attitude control tech to support MOKV | | [10,000] |
| 33 | 0603179C | ADVANCED C4ISR | 9,876 | 9,876 |
| 34 | 0603180C | ADVANCED RESEARCH | 17,364 | 17,364 |
| 35 | 0603225D8Z | JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 18,802 | 18,802 |
| 36 | 0603264S | AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY | 2,679 | 2,679 |
| 37 | 0603274C | SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY | 64,708 | 64,708 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38 | 0603286E | ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS | 185,043 | 185,043 |
| 39 | 0603287E | SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY | 126,692 | 126,692 |
| 40 | 0603288D8Z | ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS | 14,645 | 9,645 |
| | | General program decrease | | [–5,000] |
| 41 | 0603289D8Z | ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS | 59,830 | 59,830 |
| 42 | 0603294C | COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY | 46,753 | 66,753 |
| | | Increase for Multiple Object Kill Vehicle | | [20,000] |
| 43 | 0603384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 140,094 | 140,094 |
| 44 | 0603527D8Z | RETRACT LARCH | 118,666 | 118,666 |
| 45 | 0603618D8Z | JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 43,966 | 43,966 |
| 46 | 0603648D8Z | JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 141,540 | 131,540 |
| | | General program decrease | | [–10,000] |
| 47 | 0603662D8Z | NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES | 6,980 | 6,980 |
| 50 | 0603680D8Z | DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 157,056 | 157,056 |
| 51 | 0603699D8Z | EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 33,515 | 33,515 |
| 52 | 0603712S | GENERIC LOGISTIAG R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 16,543 | 16,543 |
| 53 | 0603713S | DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY | 29,888 | 29,888 |
| 54 | 0603716D8Z | STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM | 65,836 | 65,836 |
| 55 | 0603720S | MICROELECTRONIAG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT | 79,037 | 79,037 |
| 56 | 0603727D8Z | JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM | 9,626 | 9,626 |
| 57 | 0603739E | ADVANCED ELECTRONIAG TECHNOLOGIES | 79,021 | 79,021 |
| 58 | 0603760E | COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 201,335 | 201,335 |
| 59 | 0603766E | NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 452,861 | 432,861 |
| | | Decrease to reduce inefficiency | | [–20,000] |
| 60 | 0603767E | SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 257,127 | 257,127 |
| 61 | 0603769SE | DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 10,771 | 10,771 |
| 62 | 0603781D8Z | SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | 15,202 | 15,202 |
| 63 | 0603826D8Z | QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS | 90,500 | 70,500 |
| | | Program decrease | | [–20,000] |
| 66 | 0603833D8Z | ENGINEERING SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY | 18,377 | 18,377 |
| 67 | 0603941D8Z | TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY | 82,589 | 82,589 |
| 68 | 0604055D8Z | OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT | 37,420 | 37,420 |
| 69 | 0303310D8Z | CWMD SYSTEMS | 42,488 | 42,488 |
| 70 | 1160402BB | SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 57,741 | 57,741 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** | **3,229,821** | **3,224,821** |
| | | **ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES** | | |
| 71 | 0603161D8Z | NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P | 31,710 | 31,710 |
| 73 | 0603600D8Z | WALKOFF | 90,567 | 90,567 |
| 74 | 0603714D8Z | ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM | 15,900 | 19,900 |
| | | Increase to match previous year funding level | | [4,000] |
| 75 | 0603851D8Z | ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM | 52,758 | 52,758 |
| 76 | 0603881C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT | 228,021 | 228,021 |
| 77 | 0603882C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT | 1,284,891 | 1,284,891 |
| 78 | 0603884BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/VAL | 172,754 | 172,754 |
| 79 | 0603884C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS | 233,588 | 233,588 |
| 80 | 0603890C | BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS | 409,088 | 409,088 |
| 81 | 0603891C | SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA | 400,387 | 400,387 |
| 82 | 0603892C | AEGIS BMD | 843,355 | 843,355 |
| 83 | 0603893C | SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 31,632 | 31,632 |
| 84 | 0603895C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS | 23,289 | 23,289 |
| 85 | 0603896C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATI | 450,085 | 450,085 |
| 86 | 0603898C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT | 49,570 | 49,570 |
| 87 | 0603904C | MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC) | 49,211 | 49,211 |
| 88 | 0603906C | REGARDING TRENCH | 9,583 | 9,583 |
| 89 | 0603907C | SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) | 72,866 | 72,866 |
| 90 | 0603913C | ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS | 102,795 | 268,795 |
| | | Increase for Arrow/David's Sling | | [166,000] |
| 91 | 0603914C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST | 274,323 | 274,323 |
| 92 | 0603915C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS | 513,256 | 513,256 |
| 93 | 0603920D8Z | HUMANITARIAN DEMINING | 10,129 | 10,129 |
| 94 | 0603923D8Z | COALITION WARFARE | 10,350 | 10,350 |
| 95 | 0604016D8Z | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM | 1,518 | 11,518 |
| | | Program Increase | | [10,000] |
| 96 | 0604115C | TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES | 96,300 | 96,300 |
| 97 | 0604250D8Z | ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES | 469,798 | 469,798 |
| 98 | 0604400D8Z | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT | 3,129 | 3,129 |
| 103 | 0604826J | JOINT C5 CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY ASSESSMENTS | 25,200 | 25,200 |
| 105 | 0604873C | LONG RANGE DISCRIMINATION RADAR (LRDR) | 137,564 | 137,564 |
| 106 | 0604874C | IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS | 278,944 | 298,944 |
| | | Redesigned kill vehicle development | | [20,000] |
| 107 | 0604876C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT TEST | 26,225 | 26,225 |
| 108 | 0604878C | AEGIS BMD TEST | 55,148 | 55,148 |
| 109 | 0604879C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR TEST | 86,764 | 86,764 |
| 110 | 0604880C | LAND-BASED SM–3 (LBSM3) | 34,970 | 34,970 |
| 111 | 0604881C | AEGIS SM–3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT | 172,645 | 172,645 |
| 112 | 0604887C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE SEGMENT TEST | 64,618 | 64,618 |
| 114 | 0303191D8Z | JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM | 2,660 | 2,660 |
| 115 | 0305103C | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 963 | 963 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | **6,816,554** | **7,016,554** |
| | | **SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION** | | |
| 116 | 0604161D8Z | NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD | 8,800 | 8,800 |
| 117 | 0604165D8Z | PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT | 78,817 | 88,817 |
| | | CPGS development and flight test | | [10,000] |
| 118 | 0604384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD | 303,647 | 303,647 |
| 119 | 0604764K | ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) | 23,424 | 23,424 |
| 120 | 0604771D8Z | JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) | 14,285 | 14,285 |
| 121 | 0605000BR | WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES | 7,156 | 7,156 |
| 122 | 0605013BL | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 12,542 | 12,542 |
| 123 | 0605021SE | HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE | 191 | 191 |
| 124 | 0605022D8Z | DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM | 3,273 | 3,273 |
| 125 | 0605027D8Z | OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES | 5,962 | 5,962 |
| 126 | 0605070S | DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION | 13,412 | 13,412 |
| 127 | 0605075D8Z | DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION | 2,223 | 2,223 |
| 128 | 0605080S | DEFENSE AGENCY INTIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM | 31,660 | 31,660 |
| 129 | 0605090S | DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS) | 13,085 | 13,085 |
| 130 | 0605210D8Z | DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES | 7,209 | 7,209 |
| 131 | 0303141K | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 15,158 | 5,158 |
| | | Early to need | | [–10,000] |
| 132 | 0305304D8Z | DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM) | 4,414 | 4,414 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION** | **545,258** | **545,258** |
| | | **MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | | |
| 133 | 0604774D8Z | DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS) | 5,581 | 5,581 |
| 134 | 0604875D8Z | JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT | 3,081 | 3,081 |
| 135 | 0604940D8Z | CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP) | 229,125 | 229,125 |
| 136 | 0604942D8Z | ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS | 28,674 | 28,674 |
| 138 | 0605100D8Z | JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC) | 45,235 | 45,235 |
| 139 | 0605104D8Z | TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS | 24,936 | 24,936 |
| 141 | 0605126J | JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO) | 35,471 | 35,471 |
| 144 | 0605142D8Z | SYSTEMS ENGINEERING | 37,655 | 32,655 |
| | | Reducing reporting and inefficiencies | | [–5,000] |
| 145 | 0605151D8Z | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD | 3,015 | 3,015 |
| 146 | 0605161D8Z | NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY | 5,287 | 5,287 |
| 147 | 0605170D8Z | SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION | 5,289 | 5,289 |
| 148 | 0605200D8Z | GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) | 2,120 | 2,120 |
| 149 | 0605384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 102,264 | 102,264 |
| 158 | 0605790D8Z | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | 2,169 | 2,169 |
| 159 | 0605798D8Z | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS | 13,960 | 13,960 |
| 160 | 0605801KA | DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) | 51,775 | 51,775 |
| 161 | 0605803SE | R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION | 9,533 | 9,533 |
| 162 | 0605804D8Z | DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION | 17,371 | 17,371 |
| 163 | 0605898E | MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D | 71,571 | 71,571 |
| 164 | 0606100D8Z | BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS | 4,123 | 4,123 |
| 165 | 0203345D8Z | DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI) | 1,946 | 1,946 |
| 166 | 0204571J | JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT | 7,673 | 7,673 |
| 169 | 0303166J | SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES | 10,413 | 10,413 |
| 170 | 0303260D8Z | DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO) | 971 | 971 |
| 171 | 0305193D8Z | CYBER INTELLIGENCE | 6,579 | 6,579 |
| 173 | 0804767D8Z | COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2)—MHA | 43,811 | 43,811 |
| 174 | 0901598C | MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA | 35,871 | 35,871 |
| 176 | 0903230D8W | WHS—MISSION OPERATIONS SUPPORT - IT | 1,072 | 1,072 |
| 176A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 49,500 | 49,500 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | **856,071** | **851,071** |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 178 | 0604130V | ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) | 7,929 | 7,929 |
| 179 | 0605127T | REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA | 1,750 | 1,750 |
| 180 | 0605147T | OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS) | 294 | 294 |
| 181 | 0607210D8Z | INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT | 22,576 | 22,576 |
| 182 | 0607310D8Z | CWMD SYSTEMS: OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 1,901 | 1,901 |
| 183 | 0607327T | GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS) | 8,474 | 8,474 |
| 184 | 0607384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT) | 33,561 | 33,561 |
| 186 | 0208043J | PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS) | 3,061 | 3,061 |
| 187 | 0208045K | C4I INTEROPERABILITY | 64,921 | 64,921 |
| 189 | 0301144K | JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING | 3,645 | 3,645 |
| 193 | 0302016K | NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT | 963 | 963 |
| 194 | 0302019K | DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION | 10,186 | 10,186 |
| 195 | 0303126K | LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DAG | 36,883 | 36,883 |
| 196 | 0303131K | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) | 13,735 | 13,735 |
| 197 | 0303135G | PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) | 6,101 | 6,101 |
| 198 | 0303136G | KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) | 43,867 | 43,867 |
| 199 | 0303140D8Z | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 8,957 | 8,957 |
| 200 | 0303140G | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 146,890 | 146,890 |
| 201 | 0303150K | GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 21,503 | 21,503 |
| 202 | 0303153K | DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION | 20,342 | 20,342 |
| 203 | 0303170K | NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) | 444 | 444 |

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 205 | 0303610K | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 1,736 | 1,736 |
| 206 | 0304210BB | SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES | 65,060 | 65,060 |
| 210 | 0305103K | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 2,976 | 2,976 |
| 215 | 0305186D8Z | POLICY R&D PROGRAMS | 4,182 | 4,182 |
| 216 | 0305199D8Z | NET CENTRICITY | 18,130 | 18,130 |
| 218 | 0305208BB | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 5,302 | 5,302 |
| 221 | 0305208K | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 3,239 | 3,239 |
| 225 | 0305327V | INSIDER THREAT | 11,733 | 11,733 |
| 226 | 0305387D8Z | HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM | 2,119 | 2,119 |
| 234 | 0708011S | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 24,605 | 24,605 |
| 235 | 0708012S | LOGISTIAG SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 1,770 | 1,770 |
| 236 | 0902298J | MANAGEMENT HQ—OJAG | 2,978 | 2,978 |
| 237 | 1105219BB | MQ–9 UAV | 18,151 | 23,151 |
| | | MQ–9 capability enhancements | | [5,000] |
| 238 | 1105232BB | RQ–11 UAV | 758 | 758 |
| 240 | 1160403BB | AVIATION SYSTEMS | 173,934 | 191,141 |
| | | ISR payload technology improvements | | [2,000] |
| | | C–130 TF/TA Program Adjustment | | [15,207] |
| 241 | 1160405BB | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 6,866 | 6,866 |
| 242 | 1160408BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 63,008 | 63,008 |
| 243 | 1160431BB | WARRIOR SYSTEMS | 25,342 | 25,342 |
| 244 | 1160432BB | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 3,401 | 3,401 |
| 245 | 1160480BB | SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES | 3,212 | 3,212 |
| 246 | 1160483BB | MARITIME SYSTEMS | 63,597 | 63,597 |
| 247 | 1160489BB | GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES | 3,933 | 3,933 |
| 248 | 1160490BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE | 10,623 | 10,623 |
| 248A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 3,564,272 | 3,564,272 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | **4,538,910** | **4,561,117** |
| | | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xx | xxxxx | DEFENSE WIDE CYBER VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT | 0 | 200,000 |
| | | Assess all major weapon systems for cyber vulnerability | | [200,000] |
| xxx | xxxxxx | UCAS-D DEVELOPMENT AND FOLLOW ON PROTOTYPING | 0 | 725,000 |
| | | Supports continued efforts on UCAS-D and follow on prototyping | | [725,000] |
| x | xxxxx | TECHNOLOGY OFFSET INITIATIVE | 0 | 400,000 |
| | | Supports innovative technology development | | [400,000] |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **1,325,000** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW** | **18,329,861** | **19,837,068** |
| | | **OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE** | | |
| | | **MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | | |
| 1 | 0605118OTE | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION | 76,838 | 76,838 |
| 2 | 0605131OTE | LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION | 46,882 | 46,882 |
| 3 | 0605814OTE | OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES | 46,838 | 46,838 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT** | **170,558** | **170,558** |
| | | **TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE** | **170,558** | **170,558** |
| | | **TOTAL RDT&E** | **69,784,963** | **70,891,640** |

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY** | | |
| | | **ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | | |
| 60 | 0603747A | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES** | **1,500** | **1,500** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY** | **1,500** | **1,500** |
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY** | | |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 231A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 35,747 | 35,747 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | **35,747** | **35,747** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY** | **35,747** | **35,747** |
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF** | | |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 133 | 0205671F | JOINT COUNTER RCIED ELECTRONIC WARFARE | 300 | 300 |
| 246A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 16,800 | 16,800 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT** | **17,100** | **17,100** |

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF** | **17,100** | **17,100** |
| | | **RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW** | | |
| | | **OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT** | | |
| 248A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 137,087 | 137,087 |
| | | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT** | **137,087** | **137,087** |
| | | **TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW** | **137,087** | **137,087** |
| | | **TOTAL RDT&E** | **191,434** | **191,434** |

**TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 1,094,429 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–1,094,429] |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 68,873 | 68,873 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 508,008 | 508,008 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 763,300 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–763,300] |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,054,322 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–1,054,322] |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 1,546,129 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–1,546,129] |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 3,158,606 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–3,158,606] |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 438,909 | 438,909 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,214,116 | 1,291,316 |
| | Readiness funding increase | | [77,200] |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 7,616,008 | 7,626,508 |
| | Readiness funding increase | | [10,500] |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 2,617,169 | 2,651,169 |
| | Kwajalein facilities restoration | | [34,000] |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 421,269 | 421,269 |
| 130 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 164,743 | 164,743 |
| 170 | COMBATANT COMMANDS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 448,633 | 436,276 |
| | Streamlining of Army Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support | | [–12,357] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **21,114,514** | **13,607,071** |
| | **MOBILIZATION** | | |
| 180 | STRATEGIC MOBILITY | 401,638 | 401,638 |
| 190 | ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS | 261,683 | 261,683 |
| 200 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 6,532 | 6,532 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION** | **669,853** | **669,853** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 210 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 131,536 | 131,536 |
| 220 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 47,843 | 47,843 |
| 230 | ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING | 42,565 | 42,565 |
| 240 | SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS | 490,378 | 490,378 |
| 250 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 981,000 | 1,014,200 |
| | Readiness funding increase | | [33,200] |
| 260 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 940,872 | 940,872 |
| 270 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 230,324 | 230,324 |
| 280 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 603,519 | 603,519 |
| 290 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 491,922 | 491,922 |
| 300 | EXAMINING | 194,079 | 194,079 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 227,951 | 227,951 |
| 320 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 161,048 | 161,048 |
| 330 | JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS | 170,118 | 170,118 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **4,713,155** | **4,746,355** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 350 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 485,778 | 485,778 |
| 360 | CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES | 813,881 | 813,881 |
| 370 | LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 714,781 | 714,781 |
| 380 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 322,127 | 322,127 |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 384,813 | 384,813 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 1,781,350 | 1,781,350 |
| 410 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 292,532 | 292,532 |
| 420 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 375,122 | 375,122 |
| 430 | OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT | 1,119,848 | 1,115,348 |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | *Army outreach reduction* | | [–4,500] |
| 440 | ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES | 225,358 | 225,358 |
| 450 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 239,755 | 239,755 |
| 460 | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS | 223,319 | 223,319 |
| 470 | INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS | 469,865 | 469,865 |
| 480 | MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS | 40,521 | 40,521 |
| 480A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,120,974 | 1,146,474 |
| | *Additional SOUTHCOM ISR and intel support* | | [20,000] |
| | *Readiness increase* | | [5,500] |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –238,451 |
| | *Streamlining of Army Management Headquarters* | | [–238,451] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **8,610,024** | **8,392,573** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED FOREIGN CURRENCY ADJUSTMENT | 0 | –281,500 |
| | *Foreign currency adjustment* | | [–281,500] |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –260,100 |
| | *Bulk fuel savings* | | [–260,100] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–541,600** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY** | **35,107,546** | **26,874,252** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 16,612 | 16,612 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 486,531 | 486,531 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 105,446 | 105,446 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 516,791 | 516,791 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 87,587 | 87,587 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 348,601 | 348,601 |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 81,350 | 81,350 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 59,574 | 91,974 |
| | *Readiness funding increase* | | [32,400] |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 570,852 | 570,852 |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 245,686 | 245,686 |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 40,962 | 40,962 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **2,559,992** | **2,592,392** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 130 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 10,665 | 10,665 |
| 140 | ADMINISTRATION | 18,390 | 18,390 |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 14,976 | 14,976 |
| 160 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 8,841 | 8,841 |
| 170 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 52,928 | 52,928 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –6,011 |
| | *Streamlining of Army Reserve Management Headquarters* | | [–6,011] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **105,800** | **99,790** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –7,600 |
| | *Bulk fuel savings* | | [–7,600] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–7,600** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES** | **2,665,792** | **2,684,581** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 709,433 | 709,433 |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 167,324 | 167,324 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 741,327 | 741,327 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 88,775 | 96,475 |
| | *ARNG border security enhancement* | | [7,700] |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 32,130 | 32,130 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 943,609 | 996,209 |
| | *Readiness funding increase* | | [39,600] |
| | *ARNG border security enhancement* | | [13,000] |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 703,137 | 703,137 |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 84,066 | 84,066 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 166,848 | 189,348 |
| | *Readiness funding increase* | | [22,500] |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,022,970 | 1,022,970 |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 673,680 | 673,680 |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 954,574 | 954,574 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **6,287,873** | **6,370,673** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 130 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 6,570 | 6,570 |
| 140 | ADMINISTRATION | 59,629 | 59,379 |
| | *Reduction to National Guard Heritage Paintings* | | [–250] |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 68,452 | 68,452 |
| 160 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 8,841 | 8,841 |
| 170 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 283,670 | 272,170 |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | *Reduction to Army Marketing Program* | | [–11,500] |
| 180 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 2,942 | 2,942 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –26,631 |
| | *Streamlining of Army National Guard Management Headquarters* | | [–26,631] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **430,104** | **391,723** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –25,300 |
| | *Bulk fuel savings* | | [–25,300] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–25,300** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG** | **6,717,977** | **6,737,096** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 4,940,365 | 0 |
| | *Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA* | | [–4,940,365] |
| 020 | FLEET AIR TRAINING | 1,830,611 | 1,830,611 |
| 030 | AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES | 37,225 | 37,225 |
| 040 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 103,456 | 103,456 |
| 050 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 376,844 | 390,744 |
| | *Readiness funding increase* | | [13,900] |
| 060 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 897,536 | 0 |
| | *Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA* | | [–897,536] |
| 070 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 33,201 | 33,201 |
| 080 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 544,056 | 549,356 |
| | *Readiness funding increase* | | [5,300] |
| 090 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 4,287,658 | 0 |
| | *Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA* | | [–4,287,658] |
| 100 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 787,446 | 787,446 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 5,960,951 | 0 |
| | *Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA* | | [–5,960,951] |
| 120 | SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,554,863 | 1,554,863 |
| 130 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 704,415 | 704,415 |
| 140 | ELECTRONIC WARFARE | 96,916 | 96,916 |
| 150 | SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE | 192,198 | 192,198 |
| 160 | WARFARE TACTICS | 453,942 | 453,942 |
| 170 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 351,871 | 351,871 |
| 180 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 1,186,847 | 1,186,847 |
| 190 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 123,948 | 123,948 |
| 200 | DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 2,443 | 2,443 |
| 210 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 98,914 | 98,914 |
| 220 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 73,110 | 67,628 |
| | *Streamlining of Navy Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support* | | [–5,483] |
| 230 | CRUISE MISSILE | 110,734 | 110,734 |
| 240 | FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE | 1,206,736 | 1,206,736 |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 141,664 | 141,664 |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 523,122 | 523,122 |
| 270 | OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 371,872 | 371,872 |
| 280 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION | 896,061 | 896,061 |
| 290 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 2,220,423 | 2,220,423 |
| 300 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 4,472,468 | 4,486,468 |
| | *Funding increase for Behavioral Counseling* | | [14,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **34,581,896** | **18,523,103** |
| | **MOBILIZATION** | | |
| 310 | SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE | 422,846 | 422,846 |
| 320 | AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 6,464 | 6,964 |
| | *Readiness funding increase* | | [500] |
| 330 | SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 361,764 | 361,764 |
| 340 | EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS | 69,530 | 69,530 |
| 350 | INDUSTRIAL READINESS | 2,237 | 2,237 |
| 360 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 21,823 | 21,823 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION** | **884,664** | **885,164** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 370 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 149,375 | 149,375 |
| 380 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 9,035 | 9,035 |
| 390 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS | 156,290 | 156,290 |
| 400 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 653,728 | 653,728 |
| 410 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 8,171 | 8,171 |
| 420 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 168,471 | 168,471 |
| 430 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 196,048 | 196,048 |
| 440 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 234,233 | 234,233 |
| 450 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 137,855 | 137,855 |
| 460 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 77,257 | 77,257 |
| 470 | JUNIOR ROTC | 47,653 | 47,653 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **1,838,116** | **1,838,116** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 480 | ADMINISTRATION | 923,771 | 923,771 |
| 490 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 13,967 | 13,967 |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 500 | CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 120,812 | 120,812 |
| 510 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 350,983 | 350,983 |
| 520 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 265,948 | 265,948 |
| 530 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 335,482 | 335,482 |
| 550 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 197,724 | 197,724 |
| 570 | PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN | 274,936 | 274,936 |
| 580 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 1,122,178 | 1,122,178 |
| 590 | HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT | 48,587 | 48,587 |
| 600 | COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 25,599 | 25,599 |
| 610 | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS | 72,768 | 72,768 |
| 620 | NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 577,803 | 577,803 |
| 680 | INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES | 4,768 | 4,768 |
| 680A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 560,754 | 560,754 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –209,823 |
| | Streamlining of Navy Management Headquarters | | [–209,823] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **4,896,080** | **4,686,257** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED FOREIGN CURRENCY ADJUSTMENT | 0 | –59,900 |
| | Foreign currency adjustment | | [–59,900] |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –482,300 |
| | Bulk fuel savings | | [–482,300] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–542,200** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY** | **42,200,756** | **25,390,440** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 931,079 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–931,079] |
| 020 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 931,757 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–931,757] |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 227,583 | 227,583 |
| 040 | MARITIME PREPOSITIONING | 86,259 | 86,259 |
| 050 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 746,237 | 746,237 |
| 060 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 2,057,362 | 2,058,562 |
| | Readiness funding increase for Criminal Investigative Equipment | | [1,200] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **4,980,277** | **3,118,641** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 070 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 16,460 | 16,460 |
| 080 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 977 | 977 |
| 090 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 97,325 | 97,325 |
| 100 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 40,786 | 40,786 |
| 110 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 347,476 | 347,476 |
| 120 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 164,806 | 164,806 |
| 130 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 39,963 | 39,963 |
| 140 | JUNIOR ROTC | 23,397 | 23,397 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **731,190** | **731,190** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 37,386 | 37,386 |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION | 358,395 | 358,395 |
| 180 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 76,105 | 76,105 |
| 180A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 45,429 | 45,429 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –32,588 |
| | Streamlining of Marine Corps Management Headquarters | | [–32,588] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **517,315** | **484,727** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED FOREIGN CURRENCY ADJUSTMENT | 0 | –19,800 |
| | Foreign currency adjustment | | [–19,800] |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –17,000 |
| | Bulk fuel savings | | [–17,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–36,800** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS** | **6,228,782** | **4,297,758** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 563,722 | 563,722 |
| 020 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 6,218 | 6,218 |
| 030 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 82,712 | 82,712 |
| 040 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 326 | 326 |
| 050 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 13,436 | 13,436 |
| 070 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 557 | 557 |
| 090 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 14,499 | 14,499 |
| 100 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 117,601 | 117,601 |
| 120 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION | 29,382 | 29,382 |
| 130 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 48,513 | 48,513 |
| 140 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 102,858 | 102,858 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **979,824** | **979,824** |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 150 | ADMINISTRATION | 1,505 | 1,505 |
| 160 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 13,782 | 13,782 |
| 170 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 3,437 | 3,437 |
| 180 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 3,210 | 3,210 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –1,386 |
| | Streamlining of Navy Reserve Management Headquarters | | [–1,386] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **21,934** | **20,548** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –39,700 |
| | Bulk fuel savings | | [–39,700] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–39,700** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES** | **1,001,758** | **960,672** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | OPERATING FORCES | 97,631 | 97,631 |
| 020 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 18,254 | 18,254 |
| 030 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 28,653 | 28,653 |
| 040 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 111,923 | 111,923 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **256,461** | **256,461** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 050 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 924 | 924 |
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 10,866 | 10,866 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 8,785 | 8,785 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –1,473 |
| | Streamlining of Marine Corps Reserve Management Headquarters | | [–1,473] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **20,575** | **19,102** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –1,000 |
| | Bulk fuel savings | | [–1,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–1,000** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE** | **277,036** | **274,563** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 3,336,868 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–3,336,868] |
| 020 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 1,897,315 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–1,897,315] |
| 030 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) | 1,797,549 | 1,757,249 |
| | Cancel transition of A–10 to F–15E training | | [–78,000] |
| | Readiness increase | | [37,700] |
| 040 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 6,537,127 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–6,537,127] |
| 050 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 1,997,712 | 1,997,712 |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT | 2,841,948 | 2,841,948 |
| 070 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 930,341 | 930,341 |
| 080 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS | 924,845 | 924,845 |
| 100 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 271,177 | 271,177 |
| 110 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 382,824 | 382,824 |
| 120 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 900,965 | 885,586 |
| | Streamlining of Air Force Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support | | [–15,380] |
| 130 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 205,078 | 164,078 |
| | Cutting Joint Enabling Capabilities Command | | [–41,000] |
| xxx | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 907,496 | 924,296 |
| | Increase One Program | | [20,000] |
| | Unjustified increase | | [–3,200] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **22,931,245** | **11,080,055** |
| | **MOBILIZATION** | | |
| 140 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 2,229,196 | 2,229,196 |
| 150 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 148,318 | 148,318 |
| 160 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,617,571 | 0 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [–1,617,571] |
| 170 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 259,956 | 259,956 |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 708,799 | 708,799 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION** | **4,963,840** | **3,346,269** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 190 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 92,191 | 92,191 |
| 200 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 21,871 | 21,871 |
| 210 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) | 77,527 | 77,527 |
| 220 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 228,500 | 228,500 |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 772,870 | 772,870 |
| 240 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 359,304 | 402,404 |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | *Readiness increase for RPA training* | | [43,100] |
| 250 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 710,553 | 710,553 |
| 260 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 228,252 | 228,252 |
| 270 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 76,464 | 76,464 |
| 280 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 375,513 | 375,513 |
| 290 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 79,690 | 79,690 |
| 300 | EXAMINING | 3,803 | 3,803 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 180,807 | 180,807 |
| 320 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 167,478 | 167,478 |
| 330 | JUNIOR ROTC | 59,263 | 59,263 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **3,434,086** | **3,477,186** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 340 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 1,141,491 | 1,141,491 |
| 350 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 862,022 | 852,022 |
| | *Acquisition Management Adjustment* | | [–10,000] |
| 360 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 61,745 | 61,745 |
| 370 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 298,759 | 298,759 |
| 380 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,108,220 | 1,096,220 |
| | *Reduce IT procurement* | | [–12,000] |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 689,797 | 669,097 |
| | *DEAMS reduction-Funding ahead of need* | | [–20,700] |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 498,053 | 498,053 |
| 410 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 900,253 | 900,253 |
| 420 | CIVIL AIR PATROL | 25,411 | 25,411 |
| 450 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 89,148 | 89,148 |
| 450A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,187,859 | 1,182,959 |
| | *Unjustified increase* | | [–4,900] |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –276,203 |
| | *Streamlining of Air Force Management Headquarters* | | [–276,203] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **6,862,758** | **6,538,955** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xx | Restore EC–130 Compass Call | 0 | 27,300 |
| | *Costs associated with preventing divestiture of EC–130* | | [27,300] |
| x | Restore A–10 | 0 | 235,300 |
| | *Costs associated with preventing divestiture of A–10 fleet* | | [235,300] |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –618,300 |
| | *Bulk fuel savings* | | [–618,300] |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED FOREIGN CURRENCY ADJUSTMENT | 0 | –137,800 |
| | *Foreign currency adjustment* | | [–137,800] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–493,500** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE** | **38,191,929** | **23,948,965** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 1,779,378 | 1,779,378 |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 226,243 | 226,243 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 487,036 | 487,036 |
| 040 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 109,342 | 109,342 |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 373,707 | 373,707 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **2,975,706** | **2,975,706** |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 53,921 | 53,921 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 14,359 | 14,359 |
| 080 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC) | 13,665 | 13,665 |
| 090 | OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP) | 6,606 | 6,606 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –2,116 |
| | *Costs associated with preventing divestiture of A–10 fleet* | | [2,500] |
| | *Streamlining of Air Force Reserve Management Headquarters* | | [–4,616] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES** | **88,551** | **86,435** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xxxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –101,100 |
| | *Bulk fuel savings* | | [–101,100] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–101,100** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE** | **3,064,257** | **2,961,041** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | 3,526,471 | 3,526,471 |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 740,779 | 743,379 |
| | *ARNG border security enhancement* | | [2,600] |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,763,859 | 1,763,859 |
| 040 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 288,786 | 288,786 |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 582,037 | 582,037 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **6,901,932** | **6,904,532** |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES** | | |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 23,626 | 23,626 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 30,652 | 30,652 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –3,015 |
| | Streamlining of Air National Guard Management Headquarters | | [–3,015] |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | 42,200 |
| | Costs associated with preventing divestiture of A–10 fleet | | [42,200] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES** | **54,278** | **93,463** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xxxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –162,600 |
| | Bulk fuel savings | | [–162,600] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–162,600** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG** | **6,956,210** | **6,835,395** |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | 485,888 | 505,888 |
| | Middle East Assurance Initiative | | [20,000] |
| 020 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 534,795 | 530,795 |
| | DOD Rewards reduction-funding ahead of need | | [–4,000] |
| 030 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES | 4,862,368 | 4,862,368 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **5,883,051** | **5,899,051** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 040 | DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY | 142,659 | 142,659 |
| 050 | NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY | 78,416 | 78,416 |
| 060 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 354,372 | 354,372 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **575,447** | **575,447** |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 070 | CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS | 160,320 | 160,320 |
| 090 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 570,177 | 570,177 |
| 100 | DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY | 1,374,536 | 1,374,536 |
| 110 | DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY | 642,551 | 642,551 |
| 120 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY | 1,282,755 | 1,292,755 |
| | Sharkseer increase | | [10,000] |
| 140 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 26,073 | 26,073 |
| 150 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY | 366,429 | 366,429 |
| 160 | DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY | 192,625 | 192,625 |
| 180 | DEFENSE PERSONNEL ACCOUNTING AGENCY | 115,372 | 115,372 |
| 190 | DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY | 524,723 | 517,723 |
| | Reduction to Combating Terrorism Fellowship | | [–7,000] |
| 200 | DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE | 508,396 | 508,396 |
| 230 | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION | 33,577 | 33,577 |
| 240 | DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY | 415,696 | 415,696 |
| 260 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY | 2,753,771 | 2,784,021 |
| | Impact Aid | | [30,000] |
| | School lunches for territories | | [250] |
| 270 | MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY | 432,068 | 432,068 |
| 290 | OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT | 110,612 | 57,512 |
| | Guam outside the fence infastructure | | [–20,000] |
| | Defense industry adjustment | | [–33,100] |
| 300 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 1,388,285 | 1,378,785 |
| | BRAC 2017 Planning and Support | | [–10,500] |
| | OSD fleet architecture study | | [1,000] |
| 310 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/ADMIN & SVC-WIDE ACTIVITIES | 83,263 | 83,263 |
| 320 | WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES | 621,688 | 621,688 |
| 320A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 14,379,428 | 14,379,428 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | –897,552 |
| | Streamlining of Department of Defense Management Headquarters | | [–897,552] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES** | **25,982,345** | **25,055,443** |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED FOREIGN CURRENCY ADJUSTMENT | 0 | –51,900 |
| | Foreign currency adjustment | | [–51,900] |
| xxx | UNDISTRIBUTED BULK FUEL SAVINGS | 0 | –36,000 |
| | Bulk fuel savings | | [–36,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED** | **0** | **–87,900** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE** | **32,440,843** | **31,442,041** |
| | **MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS** | | |
| | **US COURT OF APPEALS FOR ARMED FORCES, DEF** | | |
| 010 | US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE | 14,078 | 14,078 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, US COURT OF APPEALS FOR ARMED FORCES, DEF** | **14,078** | **14,078** |
| | **OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID** | | |
| 010 | OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID | 100,266 | 100,266 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID** | **100,266** | **100,266** |
| | **COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT** | | |

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 010 | FORMER SOVIET UNION (FSU) THREAT REDUCTION | 358,496 | 358,496 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT** | **358,496** | **358,496** |
| | **DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND** | | |
| 010 | ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD | 84,140 | 84,140 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND** | **84,140** | **84,140** |
| | **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY** | | |
| 040 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY | 234,829 | 234,829 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY** | **234,829** | **234,829** |
| | **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY** | | |
| 050 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY | 292,453 | 292,453 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY** | **292,453** | **292,453** |
| | **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE** | | |
| 060 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE | 368,131 | 368,131 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE** | **368,131** | **368,131** |
| | **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE** | | |
| 070 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE | 8,232 | 8,232 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE** | **8,232** | **8,232** |
| | **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES** | | |
| 080 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES | 203,717 | 203,717 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES** | **203,717** | **203,717** |
| | **TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS** | **1,664,342** | **1,664,342** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE** | **176,517,228** | **134,071,146** |

***SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.***

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 257,900 | 1,352,329 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [1,094,429] |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 1,110,836 | 1,874,136 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [763,300] |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 261,943 | 1,316,265 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [1,054,322] |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 22,160 | 1,568,289 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [1,546,129] |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,119,201 | 4,277,807 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [3,158,606] |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 117,881 | 117,881 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 140 | ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES | 4,500,666 | 4,500,666 |
| 150 | COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 160 | RESET | 1,834,777 | 1,834,777 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **9,285,364** | **16,902,150** |
| | **MOBILIZATION** | | |
| 190 | ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION** | **40,000** | **40,000** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 350 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 529,891 | 529,891 |
| 380 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 5,033 | 5,033 |
| 420 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 100,480 | 100,480 |
| 450 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 154,350 | 154,350 |
| 480A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,267,632 | 1,267,632 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **2,057,386** | **2,057,386** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY** | **11,382,750** | **18,999,536** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 2,442 | 2,442 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 813 | 813 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 779 | 779 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 20,525 | 20,525 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **24,559** | **24,559** |

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES** | **24,559** | **24,559** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 1,984 | 1,984 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 4,671 | 4,671 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 15,980 | 15,980 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 12,867 | 12,867 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 23,134 | 23,134 |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 1,426 | 1,426 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **60,062** | **60,062** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 783 | 783 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **783** | **783** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG** | **60,845** | **60,845** |
| | **AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND** | | |
| | **MINISTRY OF DEFENSE** | | |
| 010 | SUSTAINMENT | 2,214,899 | 2,214,899 |
| 030 | EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION | 182,751 | 182,751 |
| 040 | TRAINING AND OPERATIONS | 281,555 | 281,555 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MINISTRY OF DEFENSE** | **2,679,205** | **2,679,205** |
| | **MINISTRY OF INTERIOR** | | |
| 060 | SUSTAINMENT | 901,137 | 901,137 |
| 080 | EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION | 116,573 | 116,573 |
| 090 | TRAINING AND OPERATIONS | 65,342 | 65,342 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MINISTRY OF INTERIOR** | **1,083,052** | **1,083,052** |
| | **TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND** | **3,762,257** | **3,762,257** |
| | **IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | | |
| | **IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | | |
| 010 | IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 715,000 | 715,000 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | **715,000** | **715,000** |
| | **TOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | **715,000** | **715,000** |
| | **SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | | |
| | **SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | | |
| 010 | SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | **600,000** | **600,000** |
| | **TOTAL SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND** | **600,000** | **600,000** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 358,417 | 5,302,082 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [4,940,365] |
| | Readiness funding increase | | [3,300] |
| 030 | AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES | 110 | 110 |
| 040 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 4,513 | 4,513 |
| 050 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 126,501 | 126,501 |
| 060 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 75,897 | 990,433 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [897,536] |
| | Readiness funding increase | | [17,000] |
| 070 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 2,770 | 2,770 |
| 080 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 34,101 | 34,101 |
| 090 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 1,184,878 | 5,472,536 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [4,287,658] |
| 100 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 16,663 | 16,663 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,922,829 | 7,883,780 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [5,960,951] |
| 130 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 33,577 | 33,577 |
| 160 | WARFARE TACTICS | 26,454 | 26,454 |
| 170 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 22,305 | 22,305 |
| 180 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 513,969 | 513,969 |
| 190 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 10,007 | 10,007 |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 60,865 | 60,865 |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 275,231 | 275,231 |
| 290 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 7,819 | 7,819 |
| 300 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 61,422 | 61,422 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **4,738,328** | **20,845,138** |
| | **MOBILIZATION** | | |
| 340 | EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS | 5,307 | 5,307 |
| 360 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 160,002 | 160,002 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION** | **165,309** | **165,309** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| 400 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 44,845 | 44,845 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **44,845** | **44,845** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 480 | ADMINISTRATION | 2,513 | 2,513 |
| 490 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 500 | 500 |
| 510 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 5,309 | 5,309 |
| 520 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 1,469 | 1,469 |
| 550 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 156,671 | 156,671 |
| 580 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 8,834 | 8,834 |
| 620 | NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 1,490 | 1,490 |
| 680A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 6,320 | 6,320 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **183,106** | **183,106** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY** | **5,131,588** | **21,238,398** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 353,133 | 1,284,212 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [931,079] |
| 020 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 259,676 | 1,191,433 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [931,757] |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 240,000 | 240,000 |
| 060 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 16,026 | 16,026 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **868,835** | **2,731,671** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 110 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 37,862 | 37,862 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **37,862** | **37,862** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 43,767 | 43,767 |
| 180A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 2,070 | 2,070 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **45,837** | **45,837** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS** | **952,534** | **2,815,370** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 4,033 | 4,033 |
| 020 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 60 | 60 |
| 030 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 20,300 | 20,300 |
| 100 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 7,250 | 7,250 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **31,643** | **31,643** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES** | **31,643** | **31,643** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | OPERATING FORCES | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 040 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 955 | 955 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **3,455** | **3,455** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE** | **3,455** | **3,455** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 1,505,738 | 4,839,106 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [3,336,868] |
| | Retain Current A–10 Fleet | | [–1,400] |
| | Unjustified Increase | | [–2,100] |
| 020 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 914,973 | 2,802,588 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [1,897,315] |
| | Unjustified Increase | | [–14,000] |
| | Readiness funding increase | | [4,300] |
| 030 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) | 31,978 | 31,978 |
| 040 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,192,765 | 7,729,892 |
| | Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA | | [6,537,127] |
| 050 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 85,625 | 85,625 |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT | 917,269 | 917,269 |
| 070 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 30,219 | 30,219 |
| 080 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS | 174,734 | 174,734 |
| 100 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 869 | 869 |
| 110 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 5,008 | 5,008 |
| 120 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 100,190 | 100,190 |
| xxx | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 22,893 | 22,893 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **4,982,261** | **16,740,371** |
| | **MOBILIZATION** | | |
| 140 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 2,995,703 | 2,995,703 |
| 150 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 108,163 | 108,163 |
| 160 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 511,059 | 2,128,630 |

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | *Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA* | | [1,617,571] |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 4,642 | 4,642 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, MOBILIZATION** | **3,619,567** | **5,237,138** |
| | **TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | |
| 190 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 92 | 92 |
| 240 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 11,986 | 11,986 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | **12,078** | **12,078** |
| | **ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 340 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 86,716 | 86,716 |
| 380 | BASE SUPPORT | 3,836 | 3,836 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 165,348 | 165,348 |
| 410 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 204,683 | 141,683 |
| | *Reduction to the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq* | | [–63,000] |
| 450 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 61 | 61 |
| 450A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 15,463 | 15,463 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **476,107** | **413,107** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE** | **9,090,013** | **22,402,694** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 51,086 | 51,086 |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 7,020 | 7,020 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **58,106** | **58,106** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE** | **58,106** | **58,106** |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 19,900 | 19,900 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **19,900** | **19,900** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG** | **19,900** | **19,900** |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | |
| | **OPERATING FORCES** | | |
| 010 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| 030 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES | 2,345,835 | 2,345,835 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATING FORCES** | **2,355,735** | **2,355,735** |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 090 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 18,474 | 18,474 |
| 120 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY | 29,579 | 29,579 |
| 140 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 110,000 | 110,000 |
| 160 | DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY | 5,960 | 5,960 |
| 190 | DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY | 1,677,000 | 1,577,000 |
| | *Reduction from Coalition Support Funds* | | [–100,000] |
| 260 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY | 73,000 | 73,000 |
| 300 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 106,709 | 106,709 |
| 320 | WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES | 2,102 | 2,102 |
| 320A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,427,074 | 1,427,074 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | **3,449,898** | **3,349,898** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE** | **5,805,633** | **5,705,633** |
| | **TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE** | **37,638,283** | **76,437,396** |

**TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL**

**SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

**SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| **MILITARY PERSONNEL** | | |
| **MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS** | | |
| MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS | 130,491,227 | 129,236,727 |
| *Military Personnel Underexecution* | | [–987,200] |
| *Additional support for the National Guard's Operation Phalanx* | | [21,700] |
| *Reduction for anticipated cost of TRICARE consolidation* | | [–85,000] |
| *TRICARE program improvement initiatives* | | [15,000] |
| *Financial literacy improvement* | | [85,000] |
| *Reduction from Foreign Currency Gains, Army* | | [–65,200] |
| *Reduction from Foreign Currency Gains, Navy* | | [–81,400] |
| *Reduction from Foreign Currency Gains, Marine Corps* | | [–27,000] |
| *Reduction from Foreign Currency Gains, Air Force* | | [–130,400] |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS** | **130,491,227** | **129,236,727** |

**SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| **MEDICARE-ELIGIBLE RETIREE HEALTH FUND CONTRIBUTIONS** | | |
| MEDICARE-ELIGIBLE RETIREE HEALTH FUND CONTRIBUTIONS | 6,243,449 | 6,243,449 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MEDICARE-ELIGIBLE RETIREE HEALTH FUND CONTRIBUTIONS** | **6,243,449** | **6,243,449** |
| **TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL** | **136,734,676** | **135,480,176** |

**SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| **MILITARY PERSONNEL** | | |
| **MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS** | | |
| MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS | 3,204,758 | 3,204,758 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS** | **3,204,758** | **3,204,758** |
| **TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL** | **3,204,758** | **3,204,758** |

**TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.**

**SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND** | | |
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY** | | |
| 020 | SUPPLY MANAGEMENT—ARMY | 50,432 | 50,432 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY** | **50,432** | **50,432** |
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE** | | |
| 010 | SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS | 62,898 | 62,898 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE** | **62,898** | **62,898** |
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | |
| 030 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) | 45,084 | 45,084 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE** | **45,084** | **45,084** |
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA** | | |
| 020 | WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | 1,154,154 | 1,154,154 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA** | **1,154,154** | **1,154,154** |
| | **TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND** | **1,312,568** | **1,312,568** |
| | **NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND** | | |
| 040 | POST DELIVERY AND OUTFITTING | 15,456 | 15,456 |
| 060 | LG MED SPD RO/RO MAINTENANCE | 124,493 | 124,493 |
| 070 | DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS | 8,243 | 8,243 |
| 080 | TAH MAINTENANCE | 27,784 | 27,784 |
| 090 | RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 25,197 | 25,197 |
| 100 | READY RESERVE FORCE | 272,991 | 272,991 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND** | **474,164** | **474,164** |
| | **TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND** | **474,164** | **474,164** |
| | **CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION** | | |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** | | |
| 01 | CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—O&M | 139,098 | 139,098 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** | **139,098** | **139,098** |
| | **RDT&E** | | |
| 02 | CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RDT&E | 579,342 | 579,342 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, RDT&E** | **579,342** | **579,342** |
| | **PROCUREMENT** | | |
| 03 | CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—PROC | 2,281 | 2,281 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT** | **2,281** | **2,281** |
| | **TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION** | **720,721** | **720,721** |
| | **DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF** | | |
| | **DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER DRUG ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 010 | DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | 739,009 | 761,009 |
| | SOUTHCOM Operational support | | [30,000] |

**SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | *Transfer to Demand Reduction Program* | | [–8,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER DRUG ACTIVITIES** | **739,009** | **761,009** |
| | **DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM** | | |
| 020 | DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM | 111,589 | 119,589 |
| | *Expanded drug testing* | | [8,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM** | **111,589** | **119,589** |
| | **TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF** | **850,598** | **880,598** |
| | **OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL** | | |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE** | | |
| 010 | OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 310,459 | 310,459 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE** | **310,459** | **310,459** |
| | **RDT&E** | | |
| 020 | OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 4,700 | 2,100 |
| | *Funding ahead of need* | | [–2,600] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, RDT&E** | **4,700** | **2,100** |
| | **PROCUREMENT** | | |
| 030 | OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 1,000 | 0 |
| | *Funding ahead of need* | | [–1,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT** | **1,000** | **0** |
| | **TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL** | **316,159** | **312,559** |
| | **DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM** | | |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** | | |
| 010 | IN-HOUSE CARE | 9,082,298 | 9,082,298 |
| 020 | PRIVATE SECTOR CARE | 14,892,683 | 14,892,683 |
| 030 | CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT | 2,415,658 | 2,405,368 |
| | *Reduction of funds related to Combating Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria (CARB) project* | | [–10,290] |
| 040 | INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | 1,677,827 | 1,677,827 |
| 050 | MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES | 327,967 | 327,967 |
| 060 | EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 750,614 | 750,614 |
| 070 | BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS | 1,742,893 | 1,742,893 |
| xx | UNDISTRIBUTED FOREIGN CURRENCY ADJUSTMENT | 0 | –36,400 |
| | *Foreign currency adjustment* | | [–36,400] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** | **30,889,940** | **30,843,250** |
| | **RDT&E** | | |
| 090 | R&D RESEARCH | 10,996 | 10,996 |
| 100 | R&D EXPLORATRY DEVELOPMENT | 59,473 | 56,323 |
| | *Reduction of funds related to Combating Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria (CARB) project* | | [–3,150] |
| 110 | R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 231,356 | 228,256 |
| | *Reduction of funds related to Combating Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria (CARB) project* | | [–3,100] |
| 120 | R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION | 103,443 | 103,443 |
| 130 | R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT | 515,910 | 515,910 |
| 140 | R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT | 41,567 | 41,567 |
| 150 | R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT | 17,356 | 17,356 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, RDT&E** | **980,101** | **973,851** |
| | **PROCUREMENT** | | |
| 160 | PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING | 33,392 | 33,392 |
| 170 | PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION | 330,504 | 330,504 |
| 180 | PROC THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM | 1,494 | 1,494 |
| 190 | PROC IEHR | 7,897 | 7,897 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT** | **373,287** | **373,287** |
| | **TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM** | **32,243,328** | **32,190,388** |
| | **TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS** | **35,917,538** | **35,890,998** |

***SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.***

**SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND** | | |
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE** | | |
| 020 | TRANSPORTATION OF FALLEN HEROES | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE** | **2,500** | **2,500** |
| | **WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | |
| 030 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) | 86,350 | 86,350 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE** | **86,350** | **86,350** |

**SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Line | Item | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND** | **88,850** | **88,850** |
| | **DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF** | | |
| | **DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER DRUG ACTIVITIES** | | |
| 010 | DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | 186,000 | 186,000 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER DRUG ACTIVITIES** | **186,000** | **186,000** |
| | **TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF** | **186,000** | **186,000** |
| | **OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL** | | |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE** | | |
| 010 | OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 10,262 | 10,262 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE** | **10,262** | **10,262** |
| | **TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL** | **10,262** | **10,262** |
| | **DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM** | | |
| | **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** | | |
| 010 | IN-HOUSE CARE | 65,149 | 65,149 |
| 020 | PRIVATE SECTOR CARE | 192,210 | 192,210 |
| 030 | CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT | 9,460 | 9,460 |
| 060 | EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 5,885 | 5,885 |
| | **SUBTOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** | **272,704** | **272,704** |
| | **TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM** | **272,704** | **272,704** |
| | **COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND** | | |
| | **COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND** | | |
| 090 | COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | 2,100,000 | 1,000,000 |
| | Request excess to need | | [–1,100,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND** | **2,100,000** | **1,000,000** |
| | **TOTAL, COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND** | **2,100,000** | **1,000,000** |
| | **UKRAINE SECURITY ASSISTANCE INITIATIVE** | | |
| | **UKRAINE SECURITY ASSISTANCE INITIATIVE** | | |
| xxx | UKRAINE SECURITY ASSISTANCE INITIATIVE | 0 | 300,000 |
| | Provides assistance to Ukraine | | [300,000] |
| | **SUBTOTAL, UKRAINE SECURITY ASSISTANCE INITIATIVE** | **0** | **300,000** |
| | **TOTAL, UKRAINE SECURITY ASSISTANCE INITIATIVE** | **0** | **300,000** |
| | **TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATION** | **2,657,816** | **1,857,816** |

**TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.**

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **MILITARY CONSTRUCTION** | | | | |
| **MILCON, ARMY** | | | | |
| | Alaska | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Greely | Physical Readiness Training Facility | 7,800 | 7,800 |
| | California | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Concord | Pier | 98,000 | 98,000 |
| | Colorado | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Carson, Colorado | Rotary Wing Taxiway | 5,800 | 5,800 |
| | Georgia | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Gordon | Command and Control Facility | 90,000 | 90,000 |
| | Germany | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Grafenwoehr | Vehicle Maintenance Shop | 51,000 | 51,000 |
| | Guantanamo Bay, Cuba | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Guantanamo Bay | Unaccompanied Personnel Housing | 0 | 76,000 |
| | Maryland | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Meade | Access Control Point-Reece Road | 0 | 19,500 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Meade | Access Control Point-Mapes Road | 0 | 15,000 |
| | New York | | | |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Drum, New York | NCO Academy Complex | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| MILCON, ARMY | U.S. Military Academy | Waste Water Treatment Plant | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| | Oklahoma | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Sill | Reception Barracks Complex Ph2 | 56,000 | 56,000 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Sill | Training Support Facility | 13,400 | 13,400 |
| | Texas | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Corpus Christi | Powertrain Facility (Infrastructure/Metal) | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Joint Base San Antonio | Homeland Defense Operations Center | 43,000 | 0 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Fort Lee | Training Support Facility | 33,000 | 33,000 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Joint Base Myer-Henderson | Instruction Building | 37,000 | 0 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Host Nation Support | 36,000 | 36,000 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Minor Construction | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 73,245 | 73,245 |
| MILCON, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Prior Year Unobligated Amounts | 0 | –52,000 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILCON, ARMY** | | | **743,245** | **721,745** |
| **MIL CON, NAVY** | | | | |
| | Arizona | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Yuma | Aircraft Maint. Facilities & Apron (So. CALA) | 50,635 | 50,635 |
| | Bahrain Island | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | SW Asia | Mina Salman Pier Replacement | 37,700 | 37,700 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | SW Asia | Ship Maintenance Support Facility | 52,091 | 52,091 |
| | California | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Camp Pendleton, California | Raw Water Pipeline Pendleton to Fallbrook | 44,540 | 0 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Camp Pendleton, California | Pendleton Ops Center | 0 | 25,000 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Coronado | Coastal Campus Utilities | 4,856 | 4,856 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Lemoore | F–35C Hangar Modernization and Addition | 56,497 | 56,497 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Lemoore | F–35C Training Facilities | 8,187 | 8,187 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Lemoore | RTO and Mission Debrief Facility | 7,146 | 7,146 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Miramar | KC–130J Enlisted Air Crew Trainer | 0 | 11,200 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Point Mugu | E–2C/D Hangar Additions and Renovations | 19,453 | 19,453 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Point Mugu | Triton Avionics and Fuel Systems Trainer | 2,974 | 2,974 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | San Diego | LCS Support Facility | 37,366 | 37,366 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Twentynine Palms, California | Microgrid Expansion | 9,160 | 9,160 |
| | Florida | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Jacksonville | Fleet Support Facility Addition | 8,455 | 8,455 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Jacksonville | Triton Mission Control Facility | 8,296 | 8,296 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Mayport | LCS Mission Module Readiness Center | 16,159 | 16,159 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Pensacola | A-School Unaccopanied Housing (Corry Station) | 18,347 | 18,347 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Whiting Field | T–6B JPATS Training Operations Facility | 10,421 | 10,421 |
| | Georgia | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Albany | Ground Source Heat Pumps | 7,851 | 7,851 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Kings Bay | Industrial Control System Infrastructure | 8,099 | 8,099 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Townsend | Townsend Bombing Range Expansion Phase 2 | 48,279 | 43,279 |
| | Guam | | | |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MIL CON, NAVY | Joint Region Marianas | Live-Fire Training Range Complex (NW Field) | 125,677 | 125,677 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Joint Region Marianas | Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Closure | 10,777 | 10,777 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Joint Region Marianas | Sanitary Sewer System Recapitalization | 45,314 | 45,314 |
| | Hawaii | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Barking Sands | PMRF Power Grid Consolidation | 30,623 | 30,623 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | UEM Interconnect Sta C to Hickam | 6,335 | 6,335 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | Welding School Shop Consolidation | 8,546 | 8,546 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Kaneohe Bay | Airfield Lighting Modernization | 26,097 | 26,097 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Kaneohe Bay | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 68,092 | 68,092 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Kaneohe Bay | P–8A Detachment Support Facilities | 12,429 | 12,429 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Mcb Hawaii | LHD Pad Conversions MV22 Landing Pads | 0 | 12,800 |
| | Italy | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Sigonella | P–8A Hangar and Fleet Support Facility | 62,302 | 62,302 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Sigonella | Triton Hangar and Operation Facility | 40,641 | 40,641 |
| | Japan | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Camp Butler | Military Working Dog Facilities (Camp Hansen) | 11,697 | 11,697 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Iwakuni | E–2D Operational Trainer Complex | 8,716 | 8,716 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Iwakuni | Security Modifications—CVW5/MAG12 HQ | 9,207 | 9,207 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Kadena AB | Aircraft Maint. Shelters & Apron | 23,310 | 23,310 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Yokosuka | Child Development Center | 13,846 | 13,846 |
| | Maryland | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Patuxent River | Unaccompanied Housing | 40,935 | 40,935 |
| | North Carolina | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Camp Lejeune | Range Safety Improvements | 0 | 19,400 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina | Simulator Integration/Range Control Facility | 54,849 | 54,849 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | Air Field Security Improvements | 0 | 23,300 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | KC130J Enlsited Air Crew Trainer Facility | 4,769 | 4,769 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | Unmanned Aircraft System Facilities | 29,657 | 29,657 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | New River | Operational Trainer Facility | 3,312 | 3,312 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | New River | Radar Air Traffic Control Facility Addition | 4,918 | 4,918 |
| | Poland | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | RedziKowo Base | AEGIS Ashore Missile Defense Complex | 51,270 | 51,270 |
| | South Carolina | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Parris Island | Range Safety Improvements & Modernization | 27,075 | 27,075 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Dam Neck | Maritime Surveillance System Facility | 23,066 | 23,066 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Norfolk | Communications Center | 75,289 | 75,289 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Norfolk | Electrical Repairs to Piers 2,6,7, and 11 | 44,254 | 44,254 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Norfolk | MH60 Helicopter Training Facility | 7,134 | 7,134 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Portsmouth | Waterfront Utilities | 45,513 | 45,513 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Quantico | ATFP Gate | 5,840 | 5,840 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Quantico | Electrical Distribution Upgrade | 8,418 | 8,418 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Quantico | Embassy Security Guard BEQ & Ops Facility | 43,941 | 43,941 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Quantico | TBS Fire Station Replacement | 0 | 17,200 |
| | Washington | | | |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MIL CON, NAVY | Bangor | WRA Land/Water Interface | 34,177 | 34,177 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Bremerton | Dry Dock 6 Modernization & Utility Improve. | 22,680 | 22,680 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Indian Island | Shore Power to Ammunition Pier | 4,472 | 4,472 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MCON Design Funds | 91,649 | 91,649 |
| MIL CON, NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 22,590 | 22,590 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MIL CON, NAVY** | | | **1,605,929** | **1,665,289** |
| **MILCON, AIR FORCE** | | | | |
| | Alaska | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Eielson AFB | F–35A Flight Sim/Alter Squad Ops/AMU Facility | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Eielson AFB | Rpr Central Heat & Power Plant Boiler Ph3 | 34,400 | 34,400 |
| | Arizona | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Davis-Monthan AFB | HC–130J Age Covered Storage | 4,700 | 4,700 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Davis-Monthan AFB | HC–130J Wash Rack | 12,200 | 12,200 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Luke AFB | Communications Facility | 0 | 21,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Luke AFB | F–35A ADAL Fuel Offload Facility | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Luke AFB | F–35A Aircraft Maintenance Hangar/Sq 3 | 13,200 | 13,200 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Luke AFB | F–35A Bomb Build-Up Facility | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Luke AFB | F–35A Sq Ops/AMU/Hangar/Sq 4 | 33,000 | 33,000 |
| | Colorado | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | U.S. Air Force Academy | Front Gates Force Protection Enhancements | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| | Florida | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Cape Canaveral AFS | Range Communications Facility | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Eglin AFB | F–35A Consolidated HQ Facility | 8,700 | 8,700 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Hurlburt Field | ADAL 39 Information Operations Squad Facility | 14,200 | 14,200 |
| | Greenland | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Thule AB | Thule Consolidation Ph 1 | 41,965 | 41,965 |
| | Guam | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Region Marianas | APR—Dispersed Maint Spares & SE Storage Fac | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Region Marianas | APR—Installation Control Center | 22,200 | 22,200 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Region Marianas | APR—South Ramp Utilities Phase 2 | 7,100 | 7,100 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC Roads | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| | Hawaii | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | F–22 Fighter Alert Facility | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| | Japan | | | |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Yokota AB | C–130J Flight Simulator Facility | 8,461 | 8,461 |
| | Kansas | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | McConnell AFB | Air Traffic Control Tower | 0 | 11,200 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | McConnell AFB | KC–46A ADAL Deicing Pads | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| | Louisiana | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Barksdale AFB | Consolidated Communications Facility | 0 | 20,000 |
| | Maryland | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Fort Meade | CYBERCOM Joint Operations Center, Increment 3 | 86,000 | 86,000 |
| | Missouri | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Whiteman AFB | Consolidated Stealth Ops & Nuclear Alert Fac | 29,500 | 29,500 |
| | Montana | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Malmstrom AFB | Tactical Response Force Alert Facility | 19,700 | 19,700 |
| | Nebraska | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Offutt AFB | Dormitory (144 RM) | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| | Nevada | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Nellis AFB | F–35A Airfield Pavements | 31,000 | 31,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Nellis AFB | F–35A Live Ordnance Loading Area | 34,500 | 34,500 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Nellis AFB | F–35A Munitions Maintenance Facilities | 3,450 | 3,450 |
| | New Mexico | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Cannon AFB | Construct AT/FP Gate—Portales | 7,800 | 7,800 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Holloman AFB | Marshalling Area ARM/DE-ARM Pad D | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Holloman AFB | Fixed Ground Control | 0 | 3,200 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Kirtland AFB | Space Vehicles Component Development Lab | 12,800 | 12,800 |
| | New York | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Fort Drum, New York | ASOS Expansion | 0 | 6,000 |
| | Niger | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Agadez | Construct Airfield and Base Camp | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| | North Carolina | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Seymour Johnson AFB | Air Traffic Control Tower/Base Ops Facility | 17,100 | 17,100 |
| | Oklahoma | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Altus AFB | Dormitory (120 RM) | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Altus AFB | KC–46A FTU ADAL Fuel Cell Maint Hangar | 10,400 | 10,400 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Tinker AFB | Air Traffic Control Tower | 12,900 | 12,900 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Tinker AFB | KC–46A Depot Maintenance Dock | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| | Oman | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | AL Musannah AB | Airlift Apron | 25,000 | 25,000 |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *South Dakota* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Ellsworth AFB | Dormitory (168 RM) | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| | *Texas* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Base San Antonio | BMT Classrooms/Dining Facility 3 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Joint Base San Antonio | BMT Recruit Dormitory 5 | 71,000 | 71,000 |
| | *United Kingdom* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Croughton Raf | Consolidated SATCOM/Tech Control Facility | 36,424 | 36,424 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Croughton Raf | JIAC Consolidation—Ph 2 | 94,191 | 94,191 |
| | *Utah* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Hill AFB | F–35A Flight Simulator Addition Phase 2 | 5,900 | 5,900 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Hill AFB | F–35A Hangar 40/42 Additions and AMU | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Hill AFB | Hayman Igloos | 11,500 | 11,500 |
| | *Worldwide Classified* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Classified Location | Long Range Strike Bomber | 77,130 | 77,130 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Classified Location | Munitions Storage | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| | *Worldwide Unspecified* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Prior Year Unobligated Amounts | 0 | –50,000 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Various Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 89,164 | 89,164 |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | Various Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Military Construction | 22,900 | 22,900 |
| | *Wyoming* | | | |
| MILCON, AIR FORCE | F. E. Warren AFB | Weapon Storage Facility | 95,000 | 95,000 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILCON, AIR FORCE** | | | **1,354,785** | **1,366,185** |
| **MIL CON, DEF-WIDE** | | | | |
| | *Alabama* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Rucker | Fort Rucker ES/PS Consolidation/Replacement | 46,787 | 46,787 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Maxwell AFB | Maxwell ES/MS Replacement/Renovation | 32,968 | 32,968 |
| | *Arizona* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Huachuca | JITC Buildings 52101/52111 Renovations | 3,884 | 3,884 |
| | *California* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Camp Pendleton, California | SOF Combat Service Support Facility | 10,181 | 10,181 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Camp Pendleton, California | SOF Performance Resiliency Center-West | 10,371 | 10,371 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Coronado | SOF Logistics Support Unit One Ops Fac. #2 | 47,218 | 47,218 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fresno Yosemite IAP ANG | Replace Fuel Storage and Distrib. Facilities | 10,700 | 10,700 |
| | *Colorado* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Carson, Colorado | SOF Language Training Facility | 8,243 | 8,243 |
| | *Conus Classified* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Classified Location | Operations Support Facility | 20,065 | 20,065 |
| | *Delaware* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Dover AFB | Construct Hydrant Fuel System | 21,600 | 21,600 |
| | *Djibouti* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Camp Lemonier, Djibouti | Construct Fuel Storage & Distrib. Facilities | 43,700 | 43,700 |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *Florida* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Hurlburt Field | SOF Fuel Cell Maintenance Hangar | 17,989 | 17,989 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | MacDill AFB | SOF Operational Support Facility | 39,142 | 39,142 |
| | *Georgia* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Moody AFB | Replace Pumphouse and Truck Fillstands | 10,900 | 10,900 |
| | *Germany* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Garmisch | Garmisch E/MS-Addition/Modernization | 14,676 | 14,676 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Grafenwoehr | Grafenwoehr Elementary School Replacement | 38,138 | 38,138 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Rhine Ordnance Barracks | Medical Center Replacement Incr 5 | 85,034 | 85,034 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Spangdahlem AB | Construct Fuel Pipeline | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Spangdahlem AB | Medical/Dental Clinic Addition | 34,071 | 34,071 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Stuttgart-Patch Barracks | Patch Elementary School Replacement | 49,413 | 49,413 |
| | *Hawaii* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Kaneohe Bay | Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement | 122,071 | 122,071 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Schofield Barracks | Behavioral Health/Dental Clinic Addition | 123,838 | 123,838 |
| | *Japan* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Kadena AB | Airfield Pavements | 37,485 | 37,485 |
| | *Kentucky* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Campbell, Kentucky | SOF Company HQ/Classrooms | 12,553 | 12,553 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Knox | Fort Knox HS Renovation/MS Addition | 23,279 | 23,279 |
| | *Maryland* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Meade | NSAW Campus Feeders Phase 2 | 33,745 | 33,745 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Meade | NSAW Recapitalize Building #2 Incr 1 | 34,897 | 34,897 |
| | *Nevada* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Nellis AFB | Replace Hydrant Fuel System | 39,900 | 39,900 |
| | *New Mexico* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Cannon AFB | Construct Pumphouse and Fuel Storage | 20,400 | 20,400 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Cannon AFB | SOF Squadron Operations Facility | 11,565 | 11,565 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Cannon AFB | SOF ST Operational Training Facilities | 13,146 | 13,146 |
| | *New York* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | West Point | West Point Elementary School Replacement | 55,778 | 55,778 |
| | *North Carolina* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina | SOF Combat Service Support Facility | 14,036 | 14,036 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina | SOF Marine Battalion Company/Team Facilities | 54,970 | 54,970 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bragg | Butner Elementary School Replacement | 32,944 | 32,944 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bragg | SOF 21 STS Operations Facility | 16,863 | 16,863 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bragg | SOF Battalion Operations Facility | 38,549 | 38,549 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bragg | SOF Indoor Range | 8,303 | 8,303 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bragg | SOF Intelligence Training Center | 28,265 | 28,265 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bragg | SOF Special Tactics Facility (PH 2) | 43,887 | 43,887 |
| | *Ohio* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Wright-Patterson AFB | Satellite Pharmacy Replacement | 6,623 | 6,623 |
| | *Oregon* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Klamath Falls IAP | Replace Fuel Facilities | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| | *Pennsylvania* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Philadelphia | Replace Headquarters | 49,700 | 0 |
| | *Poland* | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | RedziKowo Base | Aegis Ashore Missile Defense System Complex | 169,153 | 169,153 |
| | *South Carolina* | | | |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Jackson | Pierce Terrace Elementary School Replacement | 26,157 | 26,157 |
| | Spain | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Rota | Rota ES and HS Additions | 13,737 | 13,737 |
| | Texas | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Bliss | Hospital Replacement Incr 7 | 239,884 | 239,884 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Joint Base San Antonio | Ambulatory Care Center Phase 4 | 61,776 | 61,776 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Belvoir | Construct Visitor Control Center | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Fort Belvoir | Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | Replace Fuel Pier and Distribution Facility | 28,000 | 28,000 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF Applied Instruction Facility | 23,916 | 23,916 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Contingency Construction | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | ECIP Design | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Energy Conservation Investment Program | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Exercise Related Minor Construction | 8,687 | 8,687 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 118,632 | 118,632 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 23,676 | 23,676 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Prior year savings, including rescoped medical facility at Fort Knox | 0 | –120,000 |
| MIL CON, DEF-WIDE | Various Worldwide Locations | Planning & Design | 31,772 | 31,772 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MIL CON, DEF-WIDE** | | | **2,300,767** | **2,131,067** |
| **MILCON, ARNG** | | | | |
| | Alabama | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Camp Foley | Vehicle Maintenance Shop | 0 | 4,500 |
| | Connecticut | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Camp Hartell | Ready Building (CST-WMD) | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| | Delaware | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Dagsboro | National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop | 10,800 | 10,800 |
| | Florida | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Palm Coast | National Guard Readiness Center | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| | Georgia | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Fort Stewart | Tactical Aerial Unmanned Systems | 0 | 6,800 |
| | Illinois | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Sparta | Basic 10M–25M Firing Range (Zero) | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| | Kansas | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Salina | Automated Combat Pistol/MP Firearms Qual Cour | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| MILCON, ARNG | Salina | Modified Record Fire Range | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| | Maryland | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Easton | National Guard Readiness Center | 13,800 | 13,800 |
| | Mississippi | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Gulfport | Aviation Classification and Repair | 0 | 40,000 |
| | Nevada | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Reno | National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop Add/A | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | Ohio | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Camp Ravenna | Modified Record Fire Range | 3,300 | 3,300 |
| | Oregon | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Salem | National Guard/Reserve Center Bldg Add/Alt (J | 16,500 | 16,500 |
| | Pennsylvania | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Fort Indiantown Gap | Training Aids Center | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| | Vermont | | | |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MILCON, ARNG | North Hyde Park | National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop Addit | 7,900 | 7,900 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Richmond | National Guard/Reserve Center Building (JFHQ) | 29,000 | 29,000 |
| | Washington | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Yakima | Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MILCON, ARNG | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 20,337 | 20,337 |
| MILCON, ARNG | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILCON, ARNG** | | | **197,237** | **248,537** |
| **MILCON, ANG** | | | | |
| | Alabama | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Dannelly Field | TFI—Replace Squadron Operations Facility | 7,600 | 7,600 |
| | California | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Moffett Field | Replace Vehicle Maintenance Facility | 6,500 | 6,500 |
| | Colorado | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Buckley Air Force Base | ASE Maintenance and Storage Facility | 5,100 | 5,100 |
| | Connecticut | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Bradley | Ops and Deployment Facility | 0 | 6,300 |
| | Florida | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Cape Canaveral AFS | Space Control Facility | 0 | 6,100 |
| | Georgia | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Savannah/Hilton Head IAP | C–130 Squadron Operations Facility | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| | Hawaii | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | F–22 Composite Repair Facility | 0 | 9,700 |
| | Iowa | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Des Moines Map | Air Operations Grp/CYBER Beddown-Reno Blg 430 | 6,700 | 6,700 |
| | Kansas | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Smokey Hill ANG Range | Range Training Support Facilities | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| | Louisiana | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | New Orleans | Replace Squadron Operations Facility | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| | Maine | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Bangor IAP | Add to and Alter Fire Crash/Rescue Station | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| | New Hampshire | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Pease International Trade Port | Bidg Mo KC–46 Fuselage Trainer | 0 | 1,500 |
| MILCON, ANG | Pease International Trade Port | KC–46A ADAL Flight Simulator Bldg 156 | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| | New Jersey | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Atlantic City IAP | Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar | 10,200 | 10,200 |
| | New York | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Niagara Falls IAP | Remotely Piloted Aircraft Beddown Bldg 912 | 7,700 | 7,700 |
| | North Carolina | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Charlotte/Douglas IAP | Replace C–130 Squadron Operations Facility | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| | North Dakota | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Hector IAP | Intel Targeting Facilities | 7,300 | 7,300 |
| | Oklahoma | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Will Rogers World Airport | Medium Altitude Manned ISR Beddown | 7,600 | 7,600 |
| | Oregon | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Klamath Falls IAP | Replace Fire Crash/Rescue Station | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| | West Virginia | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Yeager Airport | Force Protection—Relocate Coonskin Road | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MILCON, ANG | Various Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 5,104 | 5,104 |
| MILCON, ANG | Various Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 7,734 | 7,734 |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **SUBTOTAL, MILCON, ANG** | | | **123,538** | **147,138** |
| **MILCON, ARMY R** | | | | |
| | California | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Miramar | Army Reserve Center | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| | Florida | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | MacDill AFB | AR Center/AS Facility | 55,000 | 55,000 |
| | Mississippi | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Starkville | Army Reserve Center | 9,300 | 9,300 |
| | New York | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Orangeburg | Organizational Maintenance Shop | 4,200 | 4,200 |
| | Pennsylvania | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Conneaut Lake | DAR Highway Improvement | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | Puerto Rico | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Fort Buchanan | Access Control Point | 0 | 10,200 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Fort AP Hill | Equipment Concentration | 0 | 24,000 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 9,318 | 9,318 |
| MILCON, ARMY R | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 6,777 | 6,777 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILCON, ARMY R** | | | **113,595** | **147,795** |
| **MIL CON, NAVY RES** | | | | |
| | Nevada | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY RES | Fallon | NAVOPSPTCEN Fallon | 11,480 | 11,480 |
| | New York | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY RES | Brooklyn | Reserve Center Storage Facility | 2,479 | 2,479 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY RES | Dam Neck | Reserve Training Center Complex | 18,443 | 18,443 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MIL CON, NAVY RES | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MCNR Planning & Design | 2,208 | 2,208 |
| MIL CON, NAVY RES | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MCNR Unspecified Minor Construction | 1,468 | 1,468 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MIL CON, NAVY RES** | | | **36,078** | **36,078** |
| **MILCON, AF RES** | | | | |
| | California | | | |
| MILCON, AF RES | March AFB | Satellite Fire Station | 4,600 | 4,600 |
| | Florida | | | |
| MILCON, AF RES | Patrick AFB | Aircrew Life Support Facility | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| | Georgia | | | |
| MILCON, AF RES | Dobbins | Fire Station/Security Complex | 0 | 10,400 |
| | Ohio | | | |
| MILCON, AF RES | Youngstown | Indoor Firing Range | 9,400 | 9,400 |
| | Texas | | | |
| MILCON, AF RES | Joint Base San Antonio | Consolidate 433 Medical Facility | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| MILCON, AF RES | Various Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 13,400 | 13,400 |
| MILCON, AF RES | Various Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Military Construction | 6,121 | 6,121 |
| **SUBTOTAL, MILCON, AF RES** | | | **46,821** | **57,221** |
| **NATO SEC INV PRGM** | | | | |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| NATO SEC INV PRGM | NATO Security Investment Program | NATO Security Investment Program | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| **SUBTOTAL, NATO SEC INV PRGM** | | | **120,000** | **120,000** |
| **TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION** | | | **6,641,995** | **6,641,055** |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FAMILY HOUSING** | | | | |
| **FAM HSG CON, ARMY** | | | | |
| | *Florida* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, ARMY | Camp Rudder | Family Housing Replacement Construction | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | *Germany* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, ARMY | Wiesbaden Army Airfield | Family Housing Improvements | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| | *Illinois* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, ARMY | Rock Island | Family Housing Replacement Construction | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| | *Korea* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, ARMY | Camp Walker | Family Housing New Construction | 61,000 | 61,000 |
| | *Worldwide Unspecified* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Family Housing P & D | 7,195 | 7,195 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG CON, ARMY** | | | **99,695** | **99,695** |
| **FAM HSG O&M, ARMY** | | | | |
| | *Worldwide Unspecified* | | | |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings | 25,552 | 25,552 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leased Housing | 144,879 | 144,879 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property Facilities | 75,197 | 75,197 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 48,515 | 48,515 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Military Housing Privitization Initiative | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Miscellaneous | 840 | 840 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services | 10,928 | 10,928 |
| FAM HSG O&M, ARMY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities | 65,600 | 65,600 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG O&M, ARMY** | | | **393,511** | **393,511** |
| **FAM HSG CON, N/MC** | | | | |
| | *Virginia* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, N/MC | Wallops Island | Construct Housing Welcome Center | 438 | 438 |
| | *Worldwide Unspecified* | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Design | 4,588 | 4,588 |
| FAM HSG CON, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Improvements | 11,515 | 11,515 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG CON, N/MC** | | | **16,541** | **16,541** |
| **FAM HSG O&M, N/MC** | | | | |
| | *Worldwide Unspecified* | | | |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 17,534 | 17,534 |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 64,108 | 64,108 |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property | 99,323 | 99,323 |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 56,189 | 56,189 |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Miscellaneous Account | 373 | 373 |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Privatization Support Costs | 28,668 | 28,668 |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services Account | 19,149 | 19,149 |
| FAM HSG O&M, N/MC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 67,692 | 67,692 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG O&M, N/MC** | | | **353,036** | **353,036** |
| **FAM HSG CON, AF** | | | | |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FAM HSG CON, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Improvements | 150,649 | 150,649 |
| FAM HSG CON, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 9,849 | 9,849 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG CON, AF** | | | **160,498** | **160,498** |
| **FAM HSG O&M, AF** | | | | |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 38,746 | 38,746 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Housing Privatization | 41,554 | 41,554 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 28,867 | 28,867 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance | 114,129 | 114,129 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 52,153 | 52,153 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Miscellaneous Account | 2,032 | 2,032 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services Account | 12,940 | 12,940 |
| FAM HSG O&M, AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 40,811 | 40,811 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG O&M, AF** | | | **331,232** | **331,232** |
| **FAM HSG O&M, DW** | | | | |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FAM HSG O&M, DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 4,203 | 4,203 |
| FAM HSG O&M, DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 51,952 | 51,952 |
| FAM HSG O&M, DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property | 1,448 | 1,448 |
| FAM HSG O&M, DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 388 | 388 |
| FAM HSG O&M, DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services Account | 31 | 31 |
| FAM HSG O&M, DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 646 | 646 |
| **SUBTOTAL, FAM HSG O&M, DW** | | | **58,668** | **58,668** |
| **TOTAL FAMILY HOUSING** | | | **1,413,181** | **1,413,181** |
| **DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE** | | | | |
| **DOD BRAC—ARMY** | | | | |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| DOD BRAC—ARMY | Base Realignment & Closure, Army | Base Realignment and Closure | 29,691 | 29,691 |
| **SUBTOTAL, DOD BRAC—ARMY** | | | **29,691** | **29,691** |
| **DOD BRAC—NAVY** | | | | |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Base Realignment & Closure, Navy | Base Realignment & Closure | 118,906 | 118,906 |
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON–100: Planing, Design and Management | 7,787 | 7,787 |

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Account | State or Country and Installation | Project Title | Budget Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON–101: Various Locations | 20,871 | 20,871 |
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON–138: NAS Brunswick, ME | 803 | 803 |
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON–157: MCSA Kansas City, MO | 41 | 41 |
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON–172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA | 4,872 | 4,872 |
| DOD BRAC—NAVY | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON–84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg AP | 3,808 | 3,808 |
| **SUBTOTAL, DOD BRAC—NAVY** | | | **157,088** | **157,088** |
| **DOD BRAC—AIR FORCE** | | | | |
| DOD BRAC—AIR FORCE | Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DoD BRAC Activities—Air Force | 64,555 | 64,555 |
| **SUBTOTAL, DOD BRAC—AIR FORCE** | | | **64,555** | **64,555** |
| **TOTAL DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE** | | | **251,334** | **251,334** |
| **TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, FAMILY HOUSING, AND BRAC** | | | **8,306,510** | **8,305,570** |

**TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.**

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Program | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| **Discretionary Summary By Appropriation** | | |
| **Energy and Water Development, and Related Agencies** | | |
| **Appropriation Summary:** | | |
| **Energy Programs** | | |
| Nuclear Energy | 135,161 | 135,161 |
| **Atomic Energy Defense Activities** | | |
| **National nuclear security administration:** | | |
| Weapons activities | 8,846,948 | 9,026,948 |
| Defense nuclear nonproliferation | 1,940,302 | 1,945,302 |
| Naval reactors | 1,375,496 | 1,375,496 |
| Federal salaries and expenses | 402,654 | 402,654 |
| **Total, National nuclear security administration** | **12,565,400** | **12,750,400** |
| **Environmental and other defense activities:** | | |
| Defense environmental cleanup | 5,527,347 | 5,075,550 |
| Other defense activities | 774,425 | 774,425 |
| **Total, Environmental & other defense activities** | **6,301,772** | **5,849,975** |
| **Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities** | **18,867,172** | **18,600,375** |
| **Total, Discretionary Funding** | **19,002,333** | **18,735,536** |
| **Nuclear Energy** | | |
| Idaho sitewide safeguards and security | 126,161 | 126,161 |
| Used nuclear fuel disposition | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| **Total, Nuclear Energy** | **135,161** | **135,161** |
| **Weapons Activities** | | |
| **Directed stockpile work** | | |
| **Life extension programs** | | |
| B61 Life extension program | 643,300 | 643,300 |
| W76 Life extension program | 244,019 | 244,019 |
| W88 Alt 370 | 220,176 | 220,176 |
| W80–4 Life extension program | 195,037 | 195,037 |
| **Total, Life extension programs** | **1,302,532** | **1,302,532** |
| **Stockpile systems** | | |
| B61 Stockpile systems | 52,247 | 52,247 |
| W76 Stockpile systems | 50,921 | 50,921 |
| W78 Stockpile systems | 64,092 | 64,092 |
| W80 Stockpile systems | 68,005 | 68,005 |

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Program | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| *B83 Stockpile systems* | 42,177 | 42,177 |
| *W87 Stockpile systems* | 89,299 | 89,299 |
| *W88 Stockpile systems* | 115,685 | 115,685 |
| **Total, Stockpile systems** | **482,426** | **482,426** |
| **Weapons dismantlement and disposition** | | |
| *Operations and maintenance* | 48,049 | 48,049 |
| **Stockpile services** | | |
| *Production support* | 447,527 | 447,527 |
| *Research and development support* | 34,159 | 34,159 |
| *R&D certification and safety* | 192,613 | 192,613 |
| *Management, technology, and production* | 264,994 | 264,994 |
| **Total, Stockpile services** | **939,293** | **939,293** |
| **Nuclear material commodities** | | |
| *Uranium sustainment* | 32,916 | 32,916 |
| *Plutonium sustainment* | 174,698 | 174,698 |
| *Tritium sustainment* | 107,345 | 107,345 |
| *Domestic uranium enrichment* | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| **Total, Nuclear material commodities** | **414,959** | **414,959** |
| **Total, Directed stockpile work** | **3,187,259** | **3,187,259** |
| **Research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E)** | | |
| **Science** | | |
| *Advanced certification* | 50,714 | 50,714 |
| *Primary assessment technologies* | 98,500 | 98,500 |
| *Dynamic materials properties* | 109,000 | 109,000 |
| *Advanced radiography* | 47,000 | 47,000 |
| *Secondary assessment technologies* | 84,400 | 84,400 |
| **Total, Science** | **389,614** | **389,614** |
| **Engineering** | | |
| *Enhanced surety* | 50,821 | 50,821 |
| *Weapon systems engineering assessment technology* | 17,371 | 17,371 |
| *Nuclear survivability* | 24,461 | 24,461 |
| *Enhanced surveillance* | 38,724 | 48,724 |
| *Program increase* | | [10,000] |
| **Total, Engineering** | **131,377** | **141,377** |
| **Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield** | | |
| *Ignition* | 73,334 | 73,334 |
| *Support of other stockpile programs* | 22,843 | 22,843 |
| *Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support* | 58,587 | 58,587 |
| *Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion* | 4,963 | 4,963 |
| *Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas* | 8,900 | 8,900 |
| *Facility operations and target production* | 333,823 | 333,823 |
| **Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield** | **502,450** | **502,450** |
| *Advanced simulation and computing* | 623,006 | 623,006 |
| *Response Capabilities Program* | 0 | 20,000 |
| *Supports flexible design capability for national labs* | | [20,000] |
| **Advanced manufacturing** | | |
| *Component manufacturing development* | 112,256 | 112,256 |
| *Processing technology development* | 17,800 | 17,800 |
| **Total, Advanced manufacturing** | **130,056** | **130,056** |
| **Total, RDT&E** | **1,776,503** | **1,806,503** |
| **Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)** | | |
| **Operating** | | |
| *Program readiness* | 75,185 | 75,185 |
| *Material recycle and recovery* | 173,859 | 173,859 |
| *Storage* | 40,920 | 40,920 |
| *Recapitalization* | 104,327 | 104,327 |
| **Total, Operating** | **394,291** | **394,291** |
| **Construction:** | | |
| *15–D–302, TA–55 Reinvestment project, Phase 3, LANL* | 18,195 | 18,195 |
| *11–D–801 TA–55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL* | 3,903 | 3,903 |
| *07–D–220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL* | 11,533 | 11,533 |
| *07–D–220-04 Transuranic liquid waste facility, LANL* | 40,949 | 40,949 |
| *06–D–141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y–12* | 430,000 | 430,000 |
| *04–D–125 Chemistry and metallurgy replacement project, LANL* | 155,610 | 155,610 |
| **Total, Construction** | **660,190** | **660,190** |
| **Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities** | **1,054,481** | **1,054,481** |
| **Secure transportation asset** | | |
| *Operations and equipment* | 146,272 | 146,272 |
| *Program direction* | 105,338 | 105,338 |
| **Total, Secure transportation asset** | **251,610** | **251,610** |

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Program | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| ***Infrastructure and safety*** | | |
| ***Operations of facilities*** | | |
| *Kansas City Plant* | *100,250* | *100,250* |
| *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory* | *70,671* | *70,671* |
| *Los Alamos National Laboratory* | *196,460* | *196,460* |
| *Nevada National Security Site* | *89,000* | *89,000* |
| *Pantex* | *58,021* | *58,021* |
| *Sandia National Laboratory* | *115,300* | *115,300* |
| *Savannah River Site* | *80,463* | *80,463* |
| *Y–12 National security complex* | *120,625* | *120,625* |
| ***Total, Operations of facilities*** | ***830,790*** | ***830,790*** |
| *Safety operations* | *107,701* | *107,701* |
| *Maintenance* | *227,000* | *227,000* |
| *Recapitalization* | *257,724* | *407,724* |
| *Increase to support deferred maintenance* | | *[150,000]* |
| ***Construction:*** | | |
| *16–D–621 Substation replacement at TA–3, LANL* | *25,000* | *25,000* |
| *15–D–613 Emergency Operations Center, Y–12* | *17,919* | *17,919* |
| ***Total, Construction*** | ***42,919*** | ***42,919*** |
| ***Total, Infrastructure and safety*** | ***1,466,134*** | ***1,616,134*** |
| ***Site stewardship*** | | |
| *Nuclear materials integration* | *17,510* | *17,510* |
| *Minority serving institution partnerships program* | *19,085* | *19,085* |
| ***Total, Site stewardship*** | ***36,595*** | ***36,595*** |
| ***Defense nuclear security*** | | |
| *Operations and maintenance* | *619,891* | *619,891* |
| ***Construction:*** | | |
| *14–D–710 Device assembly facility argus installation project, NV* | *13,000* | *13,000* |
| ***Total, Defense nuclear security*** | ***632,891*** | ***632,891*** |
| *Information technology and cybersecurity* | *157,588* | *157,588* |
| *Legacy contractor pensions* | *283,887* | *283,887* |
| ***Total, Weapons Activities*** | ***8,846,948*** | ***9,026,948*** |
| ***Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D*** | | |
| *Global material security* | *426,751* | *426,751* |
| *Material management and minimization* | *311,584* | *311,584* |
| *Nonproliferation and arms control* | *126,703* | *126,703* |
| *Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D* | *419,333* | *419,333* |
| ***Nonproliferation Construction:*** | | |
| *99–D–143 Mixed Oxide (MOX) Fuel Fabrication Facility, SRS* | *345,000* | *345,000* |
| *Analysis of Alternatives* | *0* | *5,000* |
| *Assess alternatives to MOX* | | *[5,000]* |
| ***Total, Nonproliferation construction*** | ***345,000*** | ***350,000*** |
| ***Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs*** | ***1,629,371*** | ***1,634,371*** |
| *Legacy contractor pensions* | *94,617* | *94,617* |
| *Nuclear counterterrorism and incident response program* | *234,390* | *234,390* |
| *Use of prior-year balances* | *–18,076* | *–18,076* |
| ***Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation*** | ***1,940,302*** | ***1,945,302*** |
| ***Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation*** | ***1,940,302*** | ***1,945,302*** |
| ***Naval Reactors*** | | |
| *Naval reactors operations and infrastructure* | *445,196* | *445,196* |
| *Naval reactors development* | *444,400* | *444,400* |
| *Ohio replacement reactor systems development* | *186,800* | *186,800* |
| *S8G Prototype refueling* | *133,000* | *133,000* |
| *Program direction* | *45,000* | *45,000* |
| ***Construction:*** | | |
| *15–D–904 NRF Overpack Storage Expansion 3* | *900* | *900* |
| *15–D–903 KL Fire System Upgrade* | *600* | *600* |
| *15–D–902 KS Engineroom team trainer facility* | *3,100* | *3,100* |
| *14–D–902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL* | *30,000* | *30,000* |
| *14–D–901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF* | *86,000* | *86,000* |
| *10-D–903, Security upgrades, KAPL* | *500* | *500* |
| ***Total, Construction*** | ***121,100*** | ***121,100*** |
| ***Total, Naval Reactors*** | ***1,375,496*** | ***1,375,496*** |
| ***Federal Salaries And Expenses*** | | |
| *Program direction* | *402,654* | *402,654* |
| ***Total, Office Of The Administrator*** | ***402,654*** | ***402,654*** |
| ***Defense Environmental Cleanup*** | | |

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Program | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| **Closure sites:** | | |
| Closure sites administration | 4,889 | 4,889 |
| **Hanford site:** | | |
| **River corridor and other cleanup operations:** | | |
| River corridor and other cleanup operations | 196,957 | 196,957 |
| **Central plateau remediation:** | | |
| Central plateau remediation | 555,163 | 555,163 |
| Richland community and regulatory support | 14,701 | 14,701 |
| **Construction:** | | |
| 15–D–401 Containerized sludge removal annex, RL | 77,016 | 77,016 |
| **Total, Hanford site** | **843,837** | **843,837** |
| **Idaho National Laboratory:** | | |
| Idaho cleanup and waste disposition | 357,783 | 357,783 |
| Idaho community and regulatory support | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| **Total, Idaho National Laboratory** | **360,783** | **360,783** |
| **NNSA sites** | | |
| Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory | 1,366 | 1,366 |
| Nevada | 62,385 | 62,385 |
| Sandia National Laboratories | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| Los Alamos National Laboratory | 188,625 | 208,625 |
| Accelerate cleanup of transuranic waste | | [20,000] |
| **Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites** | **254,876** | **274,876** |
| **Oak Ridge Reservation:** | | |
| **OR Nuclear facility D & D** | | |
| OR Nuclear facility D & D | 75,958 | 75,958 |
| **Construction:** | | |
| 14–D–403 Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility | 6,800 | 6,800 |
| **Total, OR Nuclear facility D & D** | **82,758** | **82,758** |
| U233 Disposition Program | 26,895 | 26,895 |
| **OR cleanup and disposition:** | | |
| OR cleanup and disposition | 60,500 | 60,500 |
| **Total, OR cleanup and disposition** | **60,500** | **60,500** |
| OR reservation community and regulatory support | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| **Solid waste stabilization and disposition** | | |
| Oak Ridge technology development | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| **Total, Oak Ridge Reservation** | **177,353** | **177,353** |
| **Office of River Protection:** | | |
| **Waste treatment and immobilization plant** | | |
| 01–D–416 A-D/ORP-0060 / Major construction | 595,000 | 595,000 |
| 01–D–16E Pretreatment facility | 95,000 | 95,000 |
| **Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant** | **690,000** | **690,000** |
| **Tank farm activities** | | |
| Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition | 649,000 | 649,000 |
| **Construction:** | | |
| 15–D–409 Low Activity Waste Pretreatment System, Hanford | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| **Total, Tank farm activities** | **724,000** | **724,000** |
| **Total, Office of River protection** | **1,414,000** | **1,414,000** |
| **Savannah River sites:** | | |
| Savannah River risk management operations | 386,652 | 386,652 |
| SR community and regulatory support | 11,249 | 11,249 |
| **Radioactive liquid tank waste:** | | |
| Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition | 581,878 | 581,878 |
| **Construction:** | | |
| 15–D–402—Saltstone Disposal Unit #6 | 34,642 | 34,642 |
| 05–D–405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River | 194,000 | 194,000 |
| **Total, Construction** | **228,642** | **228,642** |
| **Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste** | **810,520** | **810,520** |
| **Total, Savannah River site** | **1,208,421** | **1,208,421** |
| **Waste Isolation Pilot Plant** | | |
| Waste isolation pilot plant | 212,600 | 212,600 |
| **Construction:** | | |
| 15–D–411 Safety significant confinement ventilation system, WIPP | 23,218 | 23,218 |
| 15–D–412 Exhaust shaft, WIPP | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| **Total, Construction** | **30,718** | **30,718** |
| **Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant** | **243,318** | **243,318** |
| Program direction | 281,951 | 281,951 |
| Program support | 14,979 | 14,979 |

**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

| Program | FY 2016 Request | Senate Authorized |
|---|---|---|
| **Safeguards and Security:** | | |
| Oak Ridge Reservation | 17,228 | 17,228 |
| Paducah | 8,216 | 8,216 |
| Portsmouth | 8,492 | 8,492 |
| Richland/Hanford Site | 67,601 | 67,601 |
| Savannah River Site | 128,345 | 128,345 |
| Waste Isolation Pilot Project | 4,860 | 4,860 |
| West Valley | 1,891 | 1,891 |
| Technology development | 14,510 | 14,510 |
| **Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup** | **5,055,550** | **5,075,550** |
| Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution | 471,797 | 0 |
| Requires industry match authorization that will not be forthcoming | | [–471,797] |
| **Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup** | **5,527,347** | **5,075,550** |
| **Other Defense Activities** | | |
| Specialized security activities | 221,855 | 221,855 |
| **Environment, health, safety and security** | | |
| Environment, health, safety and security | 120,693 | 120,693 |
| Program direction | 63,105 | 63,105 |
| **Total, Environment, Health, safety and security** | **183,798** | **183,798** |
| **Enterprise assessments** | | |
| Enterprise assessments | 24,068 | 24,068 |
| Program direction | 49,466 | 49,466 |
| **Total, Enterprise assessments** | **73,534** | **73,534** |
| **Office of Legacy Management** | | |
| Legacy management | 154,080 | 154,080 |
| Program direction | 13,100 | 13,100 |
| **Total, Office of Legacy Management** | **167,180** | **167,180** |
| **Defense-related activities** | | |
| **Defense related administrative support** | | |
| Chief financial officer | 35,758 | 35,758 |
| Chief information officer | 83,800 | 83,800 |
| Management | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| **Total, Defense related administrative support** | **122,558** | **122,558** |
| Office of hearings and appeals | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| **Subtotal, Other defense activities** | **774,425** | **774,425** |
| **Total, Other Defense Activities** | **774,425** | **774,425** |

## VETERAN'S I.D. CARD ACT

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Veterans' Affairs be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 91 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 91) to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to issue, upon request, veteran identification cards to certain veterans.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I further ask unanimous consent that the Blumenthal substitute amendment be agreed to; that the bill, as amended, be read a third time and passed; and the motion to reconsider be made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2076) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute)

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the ''Veterans Identification Card Act 2015''.

**SEC. 2. VETERANS IDENTIFICATION CARD.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Effective on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, veteran identification cards were issued to veterans who have either completed the statutory time-in-service requirement for retirement from the Armed Forces or who have received a medical-related discharge from the Armed Forces.

(2) Effective on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, a veteran who served a minimum obligated time in service, but who did not meet the criteria described in paragraph (1), did not receive a means of identifying the veteran's status as a veteran other than using the Department of Defense form DD–214 discharge papers of the veteran.

(3) Goods, services, and promotional activities are often offered by public and private institutions to veterans who demonstrate proof of service in the military, but it is impractical for a veteran to always carry Department of Defense form DD–214 discharge papers to demonstrate such proof.

(4) A general purpose veteran identification card made available to veterans would be useful to demonstrate the status of the veterans without having to carry and use official Department of Defense form DD–214 discharge papers.

(5) On the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Veterans Affairs had the infrastructure in place across the United States to produce photographic identification cards and accept a small payment to cover the cost of these cards.

(b) PROVISION OF VETERAN IDENTIFICATION CARDS.—Chapter 57 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 5705 the following new section:

**''§ 5706. Veterans identification card**

''(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall issue an identification card described in subsection (b) to each veteran who—

''(1) requests such card;

''(2) presents a copy of Department of Defense form DD–214 or other official document from the official military personnel file of the veteran that describes the service of the veteran; and

''(3) pays the fee under subsection (c)(1).

''(b) IDENTIFICATION CARD.—An identification card described in this subsection is a card issued to a veteran that—

''(1) displays a photograph of the veteran;

''(2) displays the name of the veteran;

''(3) explains that such card is not proof of any benefits to which the veteran is entitled to;

''(4) contains an identification number that is not a social security number; and

''(5) serves as proof that such veteran—

''(A) served in the Armed Forces; and

''(B) has a Department of Defense form DD–214 or other official document in the official military personnel file of the veteran that describes the service of the veteran.

''(c) COSTS OF CARD.—(1) The Secretary shall charge a fee to each veteran who receives an identification card issued under this section, including a replacement identification card.

''(2)(A) The fee charged under paragraph (1) shall equal such amount as the Secretary determines is necessary to issue an identification card under this section.

''(B) In determining the amount of the fee under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall ensure that the total amount of fees collected under paragraph (1) equals an amount necessary to carry out this section, including costs related to any additional equipment or personnel required to carry out this section.

''(C) The Secretary shall review and reassess the determination under subparagraph (A) during each five-year period in which the Secretary issues an identification card under this section.

''(3) Amounts collected under this subsection shall be deposited in an account of the Department available to carry out this section. Amounts so deposited shall be—

''(A) merged with amounts in such account;

''(B) available in such amounts as may be provided in appropriation Acts; and

''(C) subject to the same conditions and limitations as amounts otherwise in such account.

''(d) EFFECT OF CARD ON BENEFITS.—(1) An identification card issued under this section shall not serve as proof of any benefits that the veteran may be entitled to under this title.

''(2) A veteran who is issued an identification card under this section shall not be entitled to any benefits under this title by reason of possessing such card.

''(e) ADMINISTRATIVE MEASURES.—(1) The Secretary shall ensure that any information collected or used with respect to an identification card issued under this section is appropriately secured.

''(2) The Secretary may determine any appropriate procedures with respect to issuing a replacement identification card.

''(3) In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall coordinate with the National Personnel Records Center.

''(4) The Secretary may conduct such outreach to advertise the identification card under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate.

''(f) CONSTRUCTION.—This section shall not be construed to affect identification cards otherwise provided by the Secretary to veterans enrolled in the health care system established under section 1705(a) of this title.''.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 5705 the following new item:

''5706. Veterans identification card.''.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The amendment was ordered to be engrossed, and the bill to be read a third time.

The bill was read the third time.

The bill (H.R. 91), as amended, was passed.

## MEASURE READ THE FIRST TIME—H.R. 160

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I understand there is a bill at the desk and I ask for its first reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the bill by title for the first time.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 160) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the excise tax on medical devices.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask for a second reading and, in order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to my own request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection having been heard, the bill will receive its second reading on the next legislative day.

## ORDERS FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 2015

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m., Tuesday, June 23; that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:38 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, June 23, 2015, at 10 a.m.

## NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

JULIE FURUTA–TOY, OF WYOMING, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF EQUATORIAL GUINEA.

### IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

MAJ. GEN. DAVID J. BUCK

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

LT. GEN. TOD D. WOLTERS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

LT. GEN. RUSSELL J. HANDY

THE FOLLOWING AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

*To be brigadier general*

COL. FRANK H. STOKES

THE FOLLOWING AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

*To be brigadier general*

COL. THOMAS K. WARK

THE FOLLOWING AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12212:

*To be brigadier general*

COL. HOWARD P. PURCELL

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

LT. GEN. JOHN W. RAYMOND

### IN THE ARMY

THE FOLLOWING ARMY NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

*To be brigadier general*

COL. JAMES E. PORTER, JR.

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

MAJ. GEN. ROBERT P. ASHLEY, JR.

THE FOLLOWING NAMED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

MAJ. GEN. DANIEL R. HOKANSON

### IN THE NAVY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be vice admiral*

REAR ADM. KEVIN D. SCOTT

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 601:

*To be vice admiral*

REAR ADM. KEVIN M. DONEGAN

### IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE REGULAR AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 531:

*To be major*

ROBERT B. A. MACGREGOR

### IN THE ARMY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

*To be colonel*

ROBERT H. MCCARTHY III

## CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate June 22, 2015:

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DANIEL R. ELLIOTT III, OF OHIO, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD FOR A TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2018.

### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

PETER V. NEFFENGER, OF OHIO, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY.

# EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

## SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate of February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, June 23, 2015 may be found in the Daily Digest of today's RECORD.

## MEETINGS SCHEDULED

### JUNE 24

Time to be announced

Committee on the Budget

Business meeting to markup S. 1495, to curtail the use of changes in mandatory programs affecting the Crime Victims Fund to inflate spending.

TBA

9:30 a.m.

Committee on Environment and Public Works

Business meeting to consider an original bill entitled, ''Developing a Reliable and Innovative Vision for the Economy Act''.

SD–406

United States Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control

To hold hearings to examine cannabidiol, focusing on barriers to research and potential medical benefits.

SD–226

10 a.m.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

To hold hearings to examine assessing and improving flood insurance management and accountability in the wake of Superstorm Sandy.

SD–538

Committee on Finance

Business meeting to consider S. 607, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for a five-year extension of the rural community hospital demonstration program, S. 1349, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to require hospitals to provide certain notifications to individuals classified by such hospitals under observation status rather than admitted as inpatients of such hospitals, S. 1461, to provide for the extension of the enforcement instruction on supervision requirements for outpatient therapeutic services in critical access and small rural hospitals through 2015, S. 313, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to add physical therapists to the list of providers allowed to utilize locum tenens arrangements under Medicare, S. 1253, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide coverage of certain disposable medical technologies under the Medicare program, S. 1347, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act with respect to the treatment of patient encounters in ambulatory surgical centers in determining meaningful EHR use, S. 704, to establish a Community-Based Institutional Special Needs Plan demonstration program to target home and community-based care to eligible Medicare beneficiaries, S. 1362, to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to clarify waiver authority regarding programs of all-inclusive care for the elderly (PACE programs), S. 861, to amend titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act to curb waste, fraud, and abuse in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, S. 349, to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to empower individuals with disabilities to establish their own supplemental needs trusts, S. 466, to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to improve the quality, health outcomes, and value of maternity care under the Medicaid and CHIP programs by developing maternity care quality measures and supporting maternity care quality collaboratives, and S. 599, to extend and expand the Medicaid emergency psychiatric demonstration project.

SD–215

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

Business meeting to consider S. 742, to appropriately limit the authority to award bonuses to employees, S. 1411, to amend the Act of August 25, 1958, commonly known as the ''Former Presidents Act of 1958'', with respect to the monetary allowance payable to a former President, S. 1550, to amend title 31, United States Code, to establish entities tasked with improving program and project management in certain Federal agencies, S. 1073, to amend the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012, including making changes to the Do Not Pay initiative, for improved detection, prevention, and recovery of improper payments to deceased individuals, S. 1580, to allow additional appointing authorities to select individuals from competitive service certificates, S. 1090, to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to provide eligibility for broadcasting facilities to receive certain disaster assistance, S. 1115, to close out expired, empty grant accounts, S. 779, to provide for Federal agencies to develop public access policies relating to research conducted by employees of that agency or from funds administered by that agency, S. 310, to prohibit the use of Federal funds for the costs of painting portraits of officers and employees of the Federal Government, S. 991, to establish the Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking, H.R. 1626, to reduce duplication of information technology at the Department of Homeland Security, H.R. 1640, to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to submit to Congress a report on the Department of Homeland Security headquarters consolidation project in the National Capital Region, H.R. 728, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 7050 Highway BB in Cedar Hill, Missouri, as the ''Sergeant First Class William B. Woods, Jr. Post Office'', H.R. 891, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 141 Paloma Drive in Floresville, Texas, as the ''Floresville Veterans Post Office Building'', H.R. 1326, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2000 Mulford Road in Mulberry, Florida, as the ''Sergeant First Class Daniel M. Ferguson Post Office'', H.R. 1350, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 442 East 167th Street in Bronx, New York, as the ''Herman Badillo Post Office Building'', an original bill entitled, ''District of Columbia Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Act of 2015'', an original bill entitled, ''EINSTEIN Act of 2015'', an original bill entitled, ''Representative Payee Fraud Prevention Act of 2015'', an original bill entitled, ''Saving Federal Dollars Through Better Use of Government Purchase and Travel Cards Act of 2015'', and an original to actively recruit members of the Armed Forces who are separating from military service to serve as Customs and Border Protection Officers, and the nominations of Carol Fortine Ochoa, of Virginia, to be Inspector General, General Services Administration, and Steven M. Wellner, and William Ward Nooter, both to be an Associate Judge of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.

SD–342

10:30 a.m.

Committee on Foreign Relations

To hold hearings to examine lessons learned from past WMD negotiations.

SD–419

2:15 p.m.

Committee on Indian Affairs

To hold an oversight hearing to examine demanding results to end Native youth suicides.

SD–628

Special Committee on Aging

To hold hearings to examine work in retirement, focusing on career reinventions and the new retirement workscape.

SD–562

2:30 p.m.

Committee on Veterans' Affairs

To hold hearings to examine pending health care and benefits legislation.

SR–418

JUNE 25

9:30 a.m.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

To hold hearings to examine federal cybersecurity and the Office of Personnel Management data breach.

SD–342

Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship

To hold hearings to examine economic opportunity for our veterans and their families through entrepreneurship

SR–428A

9:45 a.m.

Committee on the Judiciary

Business meeting to consider S. 1482, to improve and reauthorize provisions relating to the application of the antitrust laws to the award of need-based educational aid, and the nominations of Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit, Travis Randall McDonough, to be United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Tennessee, and Waverly D. Crenshaw, Jr., to be United States District Judge for the Middle District of Tennessee.

SD–226

10 a.m.

Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry

To hold hearings to examine country of origin labeling and trade retaliation, focusing on what's at stake for America's farmers, ranchers, businesses, and consumers.

SD–G50

Committee on Appropriations

Business meeting to markup an original bill entitled, ''Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', and an original bill entitled, ''Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016''.

SD–106

Committee on Finance

To hold hearings to examine the private sector, focusing on state innovations in financing transportation infrastructure.

SD–215

Committee on Foreign Relations

Business meeting to consider S. Res. 204, recognizing June 20, 2015 as ''World Refugee Day'', S. Res. 152, recognizing threats to freedom of the press and expression around the world and reaffirming freedom of the press as a priority in efforts of the United States Government to promote democracy and good governance, and the nomination of Janet L. Yellen, of California, to be United States Alternate Governor of the International Monetary Fund; to be immediately followed by hearings to examine evaluating key components of a joint comprehensive plan of action with Iran.

SD–419

10:30 a.m.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

Business meeting to consider S. 1626, to reauthorize Federal support for passenger rail programs, improve safety, streamline rail project delivery, S. 1611, to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal years 2016 and 2017, S. 1573, to establish regional weather forecast offices, S. 1298, to provide nationally consistent measures of performance of the Nation's ports, S. 1403, to amend the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act to promote sustainable conservation and management for the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic fisheries and the communities that rely on them, S. 1551, to provide for certain requirements relating to the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority stewardship transition, S. 1182, to exempt application of JSA attribution rule in case of existing agreements, S. 1250, to encourage States to require the installation of residential carbon monoxide detectors in homes, and the nominations of Andrew J. Read, of North Carolina, to be a Member of the Marine Mammal Commission, and routine lists in the Coast Guard.

SR–253

1:30 p.m.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

Subcommittee on National Security and International Trade and Finance

To hold hearings to examine economic crisis, focusing on the global impact of a Greek default.

SD–538

JULY 7

10 a.m.

Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry

To hold hearings to examine highly pathogenic avian influenza, focusing on the impact on the United States poultry sector and protecting United States poultry flocks.

SR–328A

JULY 8

2:15 p.m.

Committee on the Judiciary

Subcommittee on Crime and Terrorism

To hold hearings to examine cyber crime, focusing on modernizing our legal framework for the information age.

SD–226

JULY 9

10 a.m.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources

To hold an oversight hearing to examine mitigation requirements, interagency coordination, and pilot projects related to economic development on Federal lands.

SD–366

AUGUST 4

10 a.m.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources

To hold hearings to examine the back-end of the nuclear fuel cycle and related legislation, including S. 854, to establish a new organization to manage nuclear waste, provide a consensual process for siting nuclear waste facilities, ensure adequate funding for managing nuclear waste.

SD–366

# *Daily Digest*

# Senate

## *Chamber Action*

***Routine Proceedings, pages S4333–S4522***

**Measures Introduced:** Three bills and two resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 1640–1642, S. Res. 207, and S. Con. Res. 18. **Pages S4345–46**

**Measures Reported:**

S. 544, to prohibit the Environmental Protection Agency from proposing, finalizing, or disseminating regulations or assessments based upon science that is not transparent or reproducible, with an amendment. (S. Rept. No. 114–69) **Page S4345**

**Measures Passed:**

***Veteran's I.D. Card Act:*** Committee on Veterans' Affairs was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 91, to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to issue, upon request, veteran identification cards to certain veterans, and the bill was then passed, after agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto: **Pages S4521–22**

McConnell (for Blumenthal) Amendment No. 2076, in the nature of a substitute. **Page S4521**

**Nominations Confirmed:** Senate confirmed the following nominations:

By 81 yeas to 1 nay (Vote No. EX. 217), Peter V. Neffenger, of Ohio, to be an Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security. **Pages S4338–41, S4522**

Daniel R. Elliott III, of Ohio, to be a Member of the Surface Transportation Board for a term expiring December 31, 2018. **Pages S4338–41, S4522**

**Nominations Received:** Senate received the following nominations:

Julie Furuta-Toy, of Wyoming, to be Ambassador to the Republic of Equatorial Guinea.

7 Air Force nominations in the rank of general.

3 Army nominations in the rank of general.

2 Navy nominations in the rank of admiral.

Routine lists in the Air Force and Army. **Page S4522**

**Messages from the House:** **Page S4343**

**Measures Read the First Time:** **Pages S4343, S4522**

**Executive Communications:** **Pages S4343–45**

**Petitions and Memorials:** **Page S4345**

**Additional Cosponsors:** **Pages S4346–47**

**Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions:** **Pages S4347–48**

**Additional Statements:** **Pages S4342–43**

**Amendments Submitted:** **Pages S4348–58**

**Privileges of the Floor:** **Page S4358**

**Text of H.R. 1735 as Previously Passed:** **Pages S4358–S4521**

**Record Votes:** One record vote was taken today. (Total—217) **Page S4341**

**Adjournment:** Senate convened at 3 p.m. and adjourned at 6:38 p.m., until 10 a.m. on Tuesday, June 23, 2015. (For Senate's program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today's Record on page S4522.)

## *Committee Meetings*

*(Committees not listed did not meet)*

No committee meetings were held.

# House of Representatives

## Chamber Action

The House was not in session today. The House is scheduled to meet at 12 noon on Tuesday, June 23, 2015.

## Committee Meetings

No hearings were held.

## Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

### COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 2015

*(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)*

#### Senate

*Committee on Appropriations:* Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, business meeting to markup an original bill entitled, ''Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', 10 a.m., SD–138.

Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, to hold hearings to examine information technology spending and data security at the Office of Personnel Management, 10:30 a.m., SD–124.

Subcommittee on Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies, business meeting to markup an original bill entitled, ''Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', 3 p.m., SD–138.

*Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs:* to hold an oversight hearing to examine the National Flood Insurance Program, 10 a.m., SD–538.

*Committee on the Budget:* with the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, to hold hearings to examine accounting for the true cost of regulation, focusing on exploring the possibility of a regulatory budget, 10 a.m., SD–G50.

*Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation:* to hold hearings to examine an update on the recalls of defective Takata air bags and NHTSA's vehicle safety efforts, 10 a.m., SR–253.

*Committee on Environment and Public Works:* Subcommittee on Clean Air and Nuclear Safety, to hold hearings to examine the impacts of EPA's proposed carbon regulations on energy costs for American businesses, rural communities and families, including S. 1324, to require the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to fulfill certain requirements before regulating standards of performance for new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fuel-fired electric utility generating units, 2 p.m., SD–406.

*Committee on Foreign Relations:* to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Glyn Townsend Davies, of the District of Columbia, to be Ambassador to the Kingdom of Thailand, William A. Heidt, of Pennsylvania, to be Ambassador to the Kingdom of Cambodia, Atul Keshap, of Virginia, to be Ambassador to the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, and to serve concurrently and without additional compensation as Ambassador to the Republic of Maldives, Alaina B. Teplitz, of Illinois, to be Ambassador to the Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal, and David Hale, of New Jersey, to be Ambassador to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 9:30 a.m., SD–419.

Subcommittee on Multilateral International Development, Multilateral Institutions, and International Economic, Energy, and Environmental Policy, to hold hearings to examine American energy exports, focusing on opportunities for United States allies and national security, 2:45 p.m., SD–419.

*Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:* with the Committee on the Budget, to hold hearings to examine accounting for the true cost of regulation, focusing on exploring the possibility of a regulatory budget, 10 a.m., SD–G50.

#### House

*Committee on Rules,* Full Committee, hearing on H.R. 2042, the ''Ratepayer Protection Act of 2015''; and H.R. 2822, the ''Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', 5 p.m., H–313 Capitol.

*Committee on Veterans' Affairs,* Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations; and the Subcommittee on Investigations, Oversight and Regulations of the House Committee on Small Business, joint hearing entitled ''Manipulation and Fraud in Reporting of VA Small Business Goals'', 4 p.m., 334 Cannon.

### CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM AHEAD

### Week of June 23 through June 26, 2015

#### Senate Chamber

On *Tuesday*, at approximately 11 a.m., Senate will vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 2146, Defending Public Safety Employees' Retirement Act.

Following disposition of the motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 2146, Senate will vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to the bill, with McConnell/Hatch Amendment No. 2065.

During the balance of the week, Senate may consider any cleared legislative and executive business.

## Senate Committees

*(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)*

*Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry:* June 25, to hold hearings to examine country of origin labeling and trade retaliation, focusing on what's at stake for America's farmers, ranchers, businesses, and consumers, 10 a.m., SD–G50.

*Committee on Appropriations:* June 23, Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, business meeting to markup an original bill entitled, ''Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', 10 a.m., SD–138.

June 23, Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, to hold hearings to examine information technology spending and data security at the Office of Personnel Management, 10:30 a.m., SD–124.

June 23, Subcommittee on Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies, business meeting to markup an original bill entitled, ''Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', 3 p.m., SD–138.

June 25, Full Committee, business meeting to markup an original bill entitled, ''Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', and an original bill entitled, ''Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016'', 10 a.m., SD–106.

*Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs:* June 23, to hold an oversight hearing to examine the National Flood Insurance Program, 10 a.m., SD–538.

June 24, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine assessing and improving flood insurance management and accountability in the wake of Superstorm Sandy, 10 a.m., SD–538.

June 25, Subcommittee on National Security and International Trade and Finance, to hold hearings to examine economic crisis, focusing on the global impact of a Greek default, 1:30 p.m., SD–538.

*Committee on the Budget:* June 23, with the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, to hold hearings to examine accounting for the true cost of regulation, focusing on exploring the possibility of a regulatory budget, 10 a.m., SD–G50.

June 24, Full Committee, business meeting to markup S. 1495, to curtail the use of changes in mandatory programs affecting the Crime Victims Fund to inflate spending, Time to be announced, Room to be announced.

*Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation:* June 23, to hold hearings to examine an update on the recalls of defective Takata air bags and NHTSA's vehicle safety efforts, 10 a.m., SR–253.

June 25, Full Committee, business meeting to consider S. 1626, to reauthorize Federal support for passenger rail programs, improve safety, streamline rail project delivery, S. 1611, to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal years 2016 and 2017, S. 1573, to establish regional weather forecast offices, S. 1298, to provide nationally consistent measures of performance of the Nation's ports, S. 1403, to amend the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act to promote sustainable conservation and management for the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic fisheries and the communities that rely on them, S. 1551, to provide for certain requirements relating to the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority stewardship transition, S. 1182, to exempt application of JSA attribution rule in case of existing agreements, S. 1250, to encourage States to require the installation of residential carbon monoxide detectors in homes, and the nominations of Andrew J. Read, of North Carolina, to be a Member of the Marine Mammal Commission, and routine lists in the Coast Guard, 10:30 a.m., SR–253.

*Committee on Environment and Public Works:* June 23, Subcommittee on Clean Air and Nuclear Safety, to hold hearings to examine the impacts of EPA's proposed carbon regulations on energy costs for American businesses, rural communities and families, including S. 1324, to require the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to fulfill certain requirements before regulating standards of performance for new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fuel-fired electric utility generating units, 2 p.m., SD–406.

June 24, Full Committee, business meeting to consider an original bill entitled, ''Developing a Reliable and Innovative Vision for the Economy Act'', 9:30 a.m., SD–406.

*Committee on Finance:* June 24, business meeting to consider S. 607, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for a five-year extension of the rural community hospital demonstration program, S. 1349, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to require hospitals to provide certain notifications to individuals classified by such hospitals under observation status rather than admitted as inpatients of such hospitals, S. 1461, to provide for the extension of the enforcement instruction on supervision requirements for outpatient therapeutic services in critical access and small rural hospitals through 2015, S. 313, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to add physical therapists to the list of providers allowed to utilize locum tenens arrangements under Medicare, S. 1253, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide coverage of certain disposable medical technologies under the Medicare program, S. 1347, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act with respect to the treatment of patient encounters in ambulatory surgical centers in determining meaningful EHR use, S. 704, to establish a Community-Based Institutional Special Needs Plan demonstration program to target home and community-based care to eligible Medicare beneficiaries, S. 1362, to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to clarify waiver authority regarding programs of all-inclusive care for the elderly (PACE programs), S. 861, to amend titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act to curb waste, fraud, and abuse in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, S. 349, to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to empower individuals with disabilities to establish their own supplemental needs trusts, S. 466, to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to improve the quality, health outcomes, and

value of maternity care under the Medicaid and CHIP programs by developing maternity care quality measures and supporting maternity care quality collaboratives, and S. 599, to extend and expand the Medicaid emergency psychiatric demonstration project, 10 a.m., SD–215.

June 25, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the private sector, focusing on state innovations in financing transportation infrastructure, 10 a.m., SD–215.

*Committee on Foreign Relations:* June 23, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Glyn Townsend Davies, of the District of Columbia, to be Ambassador to the Kingdom of Thailand, William A. Heidt, of Pennsylvania, to be Ambassador to the Kingdom of Cambodia, Atul Keshap, of Virginia, to be Ambassador to the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, and to serve concurrently and without additional compensation as Ambassador to the Republic of Maldives, Alaina B. Teplitz, of Illinois, to be Ambassador to the Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal, and David Hale, of New Jersey, to be Ambassador to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 9:30 a.m., SD–419.

June 23, Subcommittee on Multilateral International Development, Multilateral Institutions, and International Economic, Energy, and Environmental Policy, to hold hearings to examine American energy exports, focusing on opportunities for United States allies and national security, 2:45 p.m., SD–419.

June 24, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine lessons learned from past WMD negotiations, 10:30 a.m., SD–419.

June 25, Full Committee, business meeting to consider S. Res. 204, recognizing June 20, 2015 as ''World Refugee Day'', S. Res. 152, recognizing threats to freedom of the press and expression around the world and reaffirming freedom of the press as a priority in efforts of the United States Government to promote democracy and good governance, and the nomination of Janet L. Yellen, of California, to be United States Alternate Governor of the International Monetary Fund; to be immediately followed by hearings to examine evaluating key components of a joint comprehensive plan of action with Iran, 10 a.m., SD–419.

*Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:* June 23, with the Committee on the Budget, to hold hearings to examine accounting for the true cost of regulation, focusing on exploring the possibility of a regulatory budget, 10 a.m., SD–G50.

June 24, Full Committee, business meeting to consider S. 742, to appropriately limit the authority to award bonuses to employees, S. 1411, to amend the Act of August 25, 1958, commonly known as the ''Former Presidents Act of 1958'', with respect to the monetary allowance payable to a former President, S. 1550, to amend title 31, United States Code, to establish entities tasked with improving program and project management in certain Federal agencies, S. 1073, to amend the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012, including making changes to the Do Not Pay initiative, for improved detection, prevention, and recovery of improper payments to deceased individuals, S. 1580, to allow additional appointing authorities to select individuals from competitive service certificates, S. 1090, to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to provide eligibility for broadcasting facilities to receive certain disaster assistance, S. 1115, to close out expired, empty grant accounts, S. 779, to provide for Federal agencies to develop public access policies relating to research conducted by employees of that agency or from funds administered by that agency, S. 310, to prohibit the use of Federal funds for the costs of painting portraits of officers and employees of the Federal Government, S. 991, to establish the Commission on Evidence-Based Policymaking, H.R. 1626, to reduce duplication of information technology at the Department of Homeland Security, H.R. 1640, to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to submit to Congress a report on the Department of Homeland Security headquarters consolidation project in the National Capital Region, H.R. 728, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 7050 Highway BB in Cedar Hill, Missouri, as the ''Sergeant First Class William B. Woods, Jr. Post Office'', H.R. 891, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 141 Paloma Drive in Floresville, Texas, as the ''Floresville Veterans Post Office Building'', H.R. 1326, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2000 Mulford Road in Mulberry, Florida, as the ''Sergeant First Class Daniel M. Ferguson Post Office'', H.R. 1350, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 442 East 167th Street in Bronx, New York, as the ''Herman Badillo Post Office Building'', an original bill entitled, ''District of Columbia Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Act of 2015'', an original bill entitled, ''EINSTEIN Act of 2015'', an original bill entitled, ''Representative Payee Fraud Prevention Act of 2015'', an original bill entitled, ''Saving Federal Dollars Through Better Use of Government Purchase and Travel Cards Act of 2015'', and an original to actively recruit members of the Armed Forces who are separating from military service to serve as Customs and Border Protection Officers, and the nominations of Carol Fortine Ochoa, of Virginia, to be Inspector General, General Services Administration, and Steven M. Wellner, and William Ward Nooter, both to be an Associate Judge of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, 10 a.m., SD–342.

June 25, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine federal cybersecurity and the Office of Personnel Management data breach, 9:30 a.m., SD–342.

*Committee on Indian Affairs:* June 24, to hold an oversight hearing to examine demanding results to end Native youth suicides, 2:15 p.m., SD–628.

*Committee on the Judiciary:* June 25, business meeting to consider S. 1482, to improve and reauthorize provisions relating to the application of the antitrust laws to the award of need-based educational aid, and the nominations of Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit, Travis Randall McDonough, to be United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Tennessee, and Waverly D. Crenshaw, Jr., to be United States District Judge for the Middle District of Tennessee, 9:45 a.m., SD–226.

*Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship:* June 25, to hold hearings to examine economic opportunity for our veterans and their families through entrepreneurship, 9:30 a.m., SR–428A.

*Committee on Veterans' Affairs:* June 24, to hold hearings to examine pending health care and benefits legislation, 2:30 p.m., SR–418.

*Special Committee on Aging:* June 24, to hold hearings to examine work in retirement, focusing on career reinventions and the new retirement workscape, 2:15 p.m., SD–562.

*United States Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control:* June 24, to hold hearings to examine cannabidiol, focusing on barriers to research and potential medical benefits, 9:30 a.m., SD–226.

## House Committees

*Committee on Agriculture*, June 24, Full Committee, hearing on review of U.S. international food aid programs, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

June 25, Subcommittee on Biotechnology, Horticulture, and Research, hearing to review food labeling legislation, 1:30 p.m., 1300 Longworth.

*Committee on Appropriations*, June 24, Full Committee, markup on the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations Bill for FY 2016, 10:15 a.m., 2359 Rayburn.

June 25, Full Committee, markup on the Agriculture Appropriations Bill for FY 2016; and Revised Report on the Suballocation of Budget Allocations for FY 2016, 9:30 a.m., 2359 Rayburn.

*Committee on Armed Services*, June 24, Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities, hearing entitled "The Counterterrorism Strategy Against the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL): Are We on the Right Path?", 2 p.m., 2118 Rayburn.

June 25, Full Committee, hearing entitled "Nuclear Deterrence in the 21st Century", 10 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, hearing entitled "Update on Findings and Recommendations of the 2014 Department of Defense Nuclear Enterprise Review", 2 p.m., 2212 Rayburn.

June 26, Subcommittee on Readiness, hearing entitled "Public Shipyards' Role in Meeting Navy Operational Requirements", 8 a.m., 2212 Rayburn.

June 26, Subcommittee on Strategic Forces, hearing entitled "Assuring National Security Space: Investing in American Industry to End Reliance on Russian Rocket Engines", 9 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

*Committee on Education and the Workforce*, June 24, Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education, hearing entitled "Child Nutrition Assistance: Looking at the Cost of Compliance for States and Schools", 10 a.m., 2175 Rayburn.

June 25, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 1767, the "Employee Privacy Protection Act"; and H.R. 1768, the "Workforce Democracy and Fairness Act", 10:30 a.m., 2175 Rayburn.

*Committee on Energy and Commerce*, June 24, Subcommittee on Health, hearing entitled "Examining the Administration's Approval of Medicaid Demonstration Projects", 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on Health, hearing entitled "Examining Public Health Legislation: H.R. 2820, H.R. 1344, and H.R. 1462", 10:15 a.m., 2322 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on Commerce, Manufacturing and Trade, hearing entitled "Vehicle to Vehicle Communications and Connected Roadways of the Future", 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

*Committee on Financial Services*, June 24, Task Force to Investigate Terrorism Financing, hearing entitled "Evaluating the Security of the U.S. Financial Sector", 2 p.m., 2128 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, hearing entitled "Examining Continuing Allegations of Discrimination and Retaliation at the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau", 10 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

*Committee on Foreign Affairs*, June 24, Subcommittee on the Western Hemisphere, hearing entitled "Colombia: Peace with the FARC?", 3 p.m., 2172 Rayburn.

June 25, Full Committee, markup on the "Department of State Operations and Embassy Security Authorization Act of 2016"; and H.R. 2037, the "United States Commission on International Religious Freedom Reauthorization Act of 2015", 10 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on Africa, Global Health, Global Human Rights, and International Organizations, hearing entitled "Is Academic Freedom Threatened by China's Influence on U.S. Universities?", 2 p.m., 2172 Rayburn.

*Committee on Homeland Security*, June 24, Subcommittee on Counterterrorism and Intelligence, hearing entitled "Admitting Syrian Refugees: The Intelligence Void and the Emerging Homeland Security Threat", 10 a.m., 311 Cannon.

June 24, Subcommittee on Cybersecurity, Infrastructure Protection, and Security Technologies, hearing entitled "DHS' Efforts to Secure .Gov", 2 p.m., 311 Cannon.

June 25, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 158, the "Visa Waiver Program Improvement Act of 2015"; H.R. 455, the "Northern Border Security Review Act"; H.R. 998, the "Preclearance Authorization Act of 2015"; H.R. 1073, the "Critical Infrastructure Protection Act"; H.R. 1634, the "Border Security Technology Accountability Act of 2015"; H.R. 2127, the "Securing Expedited Screening Act"; H.R. 2750, the "Improved Security Vetting for Aviation Workers Act of 2015"; H.R. 2770, the "Keeping our Travelers Safe and Secure Act"; H.R. 2786, the "Cross-Border Rail Security Act of 2015"; and H.R. 2843, the "TSA PreCheck Expansion Act", 10 a.m., 311 Cannon.

*Committee on the Judiciary*, June 24, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 1927, the "Fairness in Class Action Litigation Act of 2015", 10 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

June 26, Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice, hearing entitled "The State of Property Rights in America Ten Years After Kelo v. City of New London", 9 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

*Committee on Natural Resources*, June 24, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, hearing entitled "GAO Report Documents BLM's Chronic Mismanagement of

Wind and Solar Reclamation Bonds'', 10:30 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

June 24, Subcommittee on Indian, Insular and Alaska Native Affairs, hearing entitled ''Examining Procedures Regarding Puerto Rico's Political Status and Economic Outlook'', 2 p.m., 1324 Longworth.

June 25, Subcommittee on Water, Power and Oceans, hearing on H.R. 1107, the ''Bureau of Reclamation Transparency Act''; H.R. 1406, the ''New Mexico Navajo Water Settlement Technical Corrections Act''; H.R. 2273, to amend the Colorado River Storage Project Act to authorize the use of the active capacity of the Fontenelle Reservoir; H.R. 2749, the ''Dam Authorization, Maintenance, and Safety (DAMS) Act of 2015'', 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

June 25, Subcommittee on Energy and Mineral Resources, hearing on H.R. 1937, the ''National Strategic and Critical Minerals Production Act of 2015'', 10:30 a.m., 1334 Longworth.

*Committee on Oversight and Government Reform*, June 24, Full Committee, hearing entitled ''OPM Data Breach: Part II'', 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

June 25, Full Committee, hearing entitled ''IRS: TIGTA Update Part II'', 9 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on National Security, hearing entitled ''A Review of Veterans Affairs Major Lease Procurement'', 2 p.m., 2154 Rayburn.

*Committee on Science, Space, and Technology*, June 24, Subcommittee on Environment; and Subcommittee on Energy, joint hearing entitled ''U.S. Energy Information Administration Report: Analysis of the Impacts of the EPA's Clean Power Plan'', 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.

June 25, Subcommittee on Oversight; and Subcommittee on Research and Technology, joint hearing entitled ''Is NSF Properly Managing Its Rotating Staff?'', 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.

June 26, Full Committee, hearing entitled ''Astrobiology and the Search for Life Beyond Earth in the Next Decade'', 9 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.

*Committee on Small Business*, June 25, Subcommittee on Contracting and Workforce, hearing entitled ''GSA's Proposed Transactional Data Rule and its Effect on Small Businesses'', 10 a.m., 2360 Rayburn.

*Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*, June 24, Subcommittee on Railroads, Pipelines, and Hazardous Materials, hearing entitled ''The State of Positive Train Control Implementation in the United States'', 10 a.m., 2167 Rayburn.

June 24, Subcommittee on Highways and Transit, hearing entitled ''Meeting the Transportation Needs of Rural America'', 2 p.m., 2167 Rayburn.

*Committee on Veterans' Affairs*, June 24, Subcommittee on Disability Assistance and Memorial Affairs, hearing on H.R. 2214, the ''Disabled Veterans' Access to Medical Exams Improvement Act''; H.R. 1380, to amend title 38, United States Code, to expand the eligibility for a medallion furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to signify the veteran status of a deceased individual; H.R. 2706, the ''Veterans National Remembrance Act''; H.R. 2691, the ''Veterans' Survivors Claims Processing Automation Act of 2015''; H.R. 303, the ''Retired Pay Restoration Act''; H.R. 1338, the ''Dignified Interment of Our Veterans Act of 2015''; H.R. 1302, the ''VA Appeals Backlog Relief Act''; H.R. 2605, the ''Veterans Fiduciary Reform Act of 2015''; and H.R. 1384, the ''Honor America's Guard-Reserve Retirees Act'', 2 p.m., 334 Cannon.

June 25, Full Committee, business meeting—Committee Photo for the 114th Congress; hearing entitled ''The State of VA's Fiscal Year 2015 Budget'', 10:15 a.m., 334 Cannon.

June 25, Subcommittee on Economic Opportunity, markup on pending legislation, 2 p.m., 334 Cannon.

*Committee on Ways and Means*, June 24, Subcommittee on Oversight, hearing on rising health insurance premiums under Obamacare, 10 a.m., 1100 Longworth.

June 24, Subcommittee on Select Revenue Measures, hearing on repatriation of foreign earnings as a source of funding for the Highway Trust Fund, 2 p.m., 1100 Longworth.

June 25, Subcommittee on Human Resources; and Subcommittee on Nutrition of the House Committee on Agriculture, joint hearing entitled ''Past, Present, and Future of SNAP: How Our Welfare System Can Discourage Work'', 10 a.m., 1100 Longworth.

*Next Meeting of the* SENATE

10 a.m., Tuesday, June 23

Senate Chamber

**Program for Tuesday:** At approximately 11 a.m., Senate will vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 2146, Defending Public Safety Employees' Retirement Act.

*Next Meeting of the* HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

12 noon, Tuesday, June 23

House Chamber

**Program for Tuesday:** To be announced.

Congressional Record

The *Congressional Record* (USPS 087–390). The Periodicals postage is paid at Washington, D.C. The public proceedings of each House of Congress, as reported by the Official Reporters thereof, are printed pursuant to directions of the Joint Committee on Printing as authorized by appropriate provisions of Title 44, United States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, excepting very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. ¶Public access to the *Congressional Record* is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at *www.fdsys.gov*, free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the *Congressional Record* is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202–512–1800, or 866–512–1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, *contactcenter@gpo.gov*. ¶To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: bookstore.gpo.gov. Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197–9000, or phone orders to 866–512–1800 (toll-free), 202–512–1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202–512–2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. ¶Following each session of Congress, the daily *Congressional Record* is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. ¶With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the *Congressional Record*.

**POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, *Congressional Record*, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.