



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 114th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 162

WASHINGTON, MONDAY, MAY 23, 2016

No. 81

House of Representatives

The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. MEADOWS).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
May 23, 2016.

I hereby appoint the Honorable MARK MEADOWS to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 5, 2016, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 1 hour and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m.

HONORING F.M. YOUNG

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FLORES) for 5 minutes.

Mr. FLORES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Francis Michael Young of Waco, Texas, who passed away on May 1, 2016.

Mr. Young, better known as F.M., was a leading businessman and philanthropist in Waco and central Texas. While he ran a successful business and employed hundreds of central Texans, his lasting legacy are his family's charitable contributions to Waco institutions, such as Baylor University, the

Waco Mammoth National Monument, and Providence Health Center.

F.M. was born on January 13, 1930, in Tours, Texas. After a series of moves, the Young family settled in Speegleville, Texas, where F.M. attended local schools and met Gloria Davis, who later became his loving wife of over 60 years.

F.M. went into business with his brothers, R.T. and B.W., building storage tanks for local farmers with surplus military equipment. In 1948, the Young brothers created Waco's first asphalt plant and would begin winning and working on State highway contracts in 1950. Over the next 20 years, F.M. expanded the company to be one of the top five highway contractors in Texas.

F.M. spent countless hours serving his local community and central Texas in a multitude of ways. He served on the board of the Waco Boys Club, the Waco Chamber of Commerce, and the Baylor/Waco Foundation. He and Gloria also had a rich history of donating to Waco institutions. The Youngs provided concrete for the scoreboard at Baylor's Floyd Casey Stadium, created a marina on the Brazos River for the Governor Bill and Vara Daniel Historic Village, and designed and built the Brazos Queen II, a riverboat tourist attraction along the Brazos River.

In 2007, Providence Hospital opened the F.M. and Gloria Young Tower. This facility, which was underwritten by a financial contribution from F.M. and Gloria, includes a five-story addition that provides bed space with state-of-the-art cardiac clinics and care centers. The Youngs also played a vital role in the opening of the Waco Mammoth site, an educational tourist attraction, which was recently designated a national monument by the National Park Service.

Mr. Speaker, F.M. Young worked tirelessly to better our central Texas and Waco communities. He is loved by

his city, and certainly left an enduring impression on central Texas. He will be forever remembered as a great philanthropist, businessman, husband, father, grandfather, and friend.

My wife, Gina, and I offer our deepest and heartfelt condolences to the Young family. We also lift up the family and friends of F.M. Young in our prayers.

Today I have requested that a United States flag be flown over the United States Capitol to honor the life and legacy of F.M. Young.

As I close today, I urge all Americans to continue praying for our country during these difficult times, for our military men and women who protect us from external threats, and for our first responders who protect us here at home.

HELP FIND OUR MISSING VETS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. MULVANEY) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, yesterday in Hanoi, under a giant bronze statue of Ho Chi Minh, the President of the United States announced that he was formally rescinding our country's decades-long prohibition on the sale of military equipment to the Socialist Republic of Vietnam.

Without input from Congress, in one grand, unilateral action, the President decided to reward Vietnam for its egregious record on human rights and its continuing crackdown on religious freedoms. But worse than that, he has surrendered a diplomatic opportunity to find out what happened to the 1,500 Americans still unaccounted for in Vietnam and Southeast Asia.

It was unfortunate to see where this President's priorities lie, but there is still time to correct that wrong.

Before he leaves Vietnam, I have a message to the President, a message from the Rolling Thunder vets, Chapter

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H2883

1, of South Carolina. They asked me to ask him this: Instead of using this opportunity to reward Vietnam or to apologize for what he sees as past American wrongs, please, please, please, Mr. President, use this time instead to do something productive and positive and patriotic—help find our missing vets and help bring them home.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 6 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. COLLINS of New York) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer: We give You thanks, merciful God, for giving us another day.

As the various Members of this people's House return, we ask Your blessing upon each as they resume the responsibilities that await them. Give each the wisdom and good judgment to give credit to the office they have been honored by their constituencies to fill.

Bless the work of all who serve in their various capacities here in the United States Capitol.

Bless as well all who visit the Capitol this day, be they American citizens or visitors or guests of our Nation. May they be inspired by this monument to the noble idea of human freedom and its guarantee by the democratic experiment that is the United States.

God, bless America, and may all that is done this day be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. KILDEE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. KILDEE led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

SHERI AND ROGER CHURCH

(Ms. FOXX asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, May is National Foster Care Month when we recognize the individuals who help America's children and youth who are in foster care find permanent homes and connections.

In North Carolina, it is hard to match the dedication of Boone residents Sheri and Roger Church, who recently retired as foster parents after 20 years of providing a loving home to children in need.

Since 1994, the Churches have fostered 91 children. They have been recognized on numerous occasions, locally and statewide, for outstanding service to children in foster care.

In 2003, Sheri was given the State's Caring Spirit Award. In 2014, the couple was named Watauga County's Volunteers of the Year by the local Adult Services Coalition.

The Churches have had a lasting impact on their community and on the children who were entrusted to their care. I wish them the very best in their retirement.

FAMILIES OF FLINT ACT

(Mr. KILDEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Speaker, the families I represent in Flint, Michigan, are still suffering from an ongoing water crisis that left their water tainted with lead and unsafe to drink.

When Americans face a humanitarian crisis, we come together to act, to provide them help. That has been our tradition. Those are our values, and, in Congress, that is our job.

For too long, the Republican-led House has not allowed a hearing, let alone a vote, on legislation that would provide that basic humanitarian relief to 100,000 people in Flint, Michigan, who still cannot drink their water, who are still suffering from the effect of lead poisoning in their water by acts of its own State government.

The Families of Flint Act, legislation that I introduced, has over 155 cosponsors. This bill at least warrants a hearing. There have been committee hearings on this question. There has been a lot of finger-pointing, a lot of argument, a lot of sympathy from Members of Congress, but no action. Congress needs to do its job.

COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT OFFICE MANAGER, THE HONORABLE CHAKA FATTAH, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Dolores Ridley, District Office Manager, the Honorable CHAKA FATTAH, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

May 16, 2016.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives that I have been served with a subpoena, issued by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for testimony in a criminal case.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

DOLORES RIDLEY,
District Office Manager.

COMMUNICATION FROM DIRECTOR OF APPROPRIATIONS, THE HONORABLE CHAKA FATTAH, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Michelle Anderson Lee, Director of Appropriations, the Honorable CHAKA FATTAH, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

May 16, 2016.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives that I have been served with a subpoena, issued by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for testimony in a criminal case.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

MICHELLE ANDERSON LEE,
Director of Appropriations.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

Washington, DC, May 23, 2016.

Hon. PAUL D. RYAN,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on May 23, 2016 at 9:19 a.m.:

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 2577.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

KAREN L. HAAS.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules

on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken later.

KELSEY SMITH ACT

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4889) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require providers of a covered service to provide call location information concerning the telecommunications device of a user of such service to an investigative or law enforcement officer in an emergency situation involving risk of death or serious physical injury or in order to respond to the user's call for emergency services, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H. R. 4889

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Kelsey Smith Act".

SEC. 2. REQUIRED EMERGENCY DISCLOSURE OF CALL LOCATION INFORMATION TO LAW ENFORCEMENT.

Section 222 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 222) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (C) as clauses (i) through (iii), respectively;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(C) by striking "Nothing in this section" and inserting the following:

"(1) PERMITTED DISCLOSURES.—Nothing in this section"; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) REQUIRED EMERGENCY DISCLOSURE OF CALL LOCATION INFORMATION TO LAW ENFORCEMENT.—Notwithstanding subsections (a), (b), and (c), at the request of an investigative or law enforcement officer, a provider of a covered service shall provide to such officer the call location information, or the best available location information, of a telecommunications device that is—

"(A) used to place a 9-1-1 call requesting emergency assistance; or

"(B) reasonably believed to be in the possession of an individual that the law enforcement officer reasonably believes is in an emergency situation that involves the risk of death or serious physical harm to the individual.

"(3) HOLD HARMLESS.—No cause of action shall lie in any court nor shall any civil or administrative proceeding be commenced by a governmental entity against any provider of a covered service, or its directors, officers, employees, agents, or vendors, for providing in good faith call location information or other information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with paragraph (2) and any regulations promulgated under such paragraph.";

(2) in subsection (f)(1), by striking "subsection (d)(4)" and inserting "subsection (d)(1)(D)"; and

(3) in subsection (h), by adding at the end the following:

"(6) COVERED SERVICE.—The term 'covered service' means—

"(A) a commercial mobile service (as defined in section 332); or

"(B) an IP-enabled voice service (as defined in section 7 of the Wireless Communications and Public Safety Act of 1999 (47 U.S.C. 615b)).

"(9) INVESTIGATIVE OR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER.—The term 'investigative or law enforcement officer' has the meaning given such term in section 2510 of title 18, United States Code.";

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Imagine that your child is missing. You know that she was abducted from a parking lot, but you don't know where she is now or how to find her. Grasping for any possible lead, you ask her cell phone carrier to provide the location—and just the location—of her cell phone, hoping that it will lead you to her, but you are told they don't release that information. So you wait. You rely on others to search for your child by foot and by air, never knowing if your child is alive or if your child is dead, safe, or in pain.

This nightmare came true for Missey and Greg Smith 9 years ago last week when their beloved daughter went missing outside Kansas City, Kansas. By all accounts, Kelsey Smith—pictured here—was a vibrant and joyful 18-year-old girl.

She was preparing to attend college in the fall where she planned to join in the marching band. Kelsey loved to sing. She was the third of five siblings. Tragically, her life was cut short when she was kidnapped from a Target parking lot in June of 2007 just 9 days after her high school graduation, a crime caught on the store's security cameras.

Her family and her friends spent 4 anguished days searching for her, knowing she was in danger but unable to find her. They used every method they could think of to help locate her, but the one tool that would eventually lead to finding her body was not accessible.

Kelsey's parents contacted her cell phone provider on the day she went missing and asked them to ping her cell phone in the hopes that it would assist them in their search. Despite repeated requests from the family and from law enforcement, it took 4 days before the Smiths were able to obtain the location data of Kelsey's cell phone—4 days, Mr. Speaker, nearly 100 hours of not knowing where their little girl had gone, where she had been taken, or if they would ever see her again. Yet, within 45 minutes of receiving that location data, when they finally got it, Kelsey's body was found. She was dead.

When her mother testified in front of the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, she spoke so bravely of the agony Kelsey's family endured during that time. She described their ordeal in painful detail. What does a parent go through when a child is missing? You do not eat because you do not know if your child is eating. You do not sleep because you wonder if your child is sleeping. It is, to quote Missey, "pure hell."

Missey and Greg Smith have made it their mission to prevent this type of tragedy from ever happening again. They began facilitating safety awareness seminars for parents and for students. They also began to push for legislation to address the very problem of obtaining timely cell phone location data—only location data, that is all we are talking about here—and only during life-threatening emergencies—just life-and-death situations and only locational data.

The legislation we are considering today, which is named in honor of their daughter, is a major step toward that goal. The Kelsey Smith Act requires cell phone providers to provide law enforcement with access to device location data in an emergency situation, when a victim is in danger of death or serious harm or when the device has been used to place a 911 emergency call requesting emergency assistance.

This changes current law. You see, current law already permits carriers to provide the data, but it does not require them to. This places an unreasonable burden on wireless providers to determine what constitutes an emergency and then live with the consequences of their decisions, which they now must do in the case of Kelsey Smith.

When time is of the essence, do you want a lawyer in corporate headquarters to agonize over the legal definition of an "emergency" or do you want the law enforcement officers, who dedicate their lives to keeping us safe, to make that call? I opt for those who can save lives.

To date, versions of the Kelsey Smith Act have been adopted in 23 States, but a patchwork of laws that protect some and leave others vulnerable is not good for the companies that must comply with this law or, more importantly, for the American lives that this law can and will save.

You see, Mr. Speaker, the committee believes we need a consistent Federal law that law enforcement across the country can use. Parents shouldn't have to forum-shop for the most favorable law when their children go missing. What if it were your child?

I have heard the privacy concerns that some say have been raised by this bill. We have worked diligently to make the bill as targeted as possible to balance legitimate privacy concerns with the importance of saving lives. By limiting the circumstances in which it can be used and, most importantly, by limiting the information that is available, we can ensure that it is only used

in cases in which it is absolutely necessary.

Mr. Speaker, we have heard from law enforcement officers across the country that, when people are in emergency situations, every second counts, and that delay can mean the difference between life and death. The Kelsey Smith Act takes the burden of decision-making away from cell phone providers and places it with law enforcement, who are trained specifically to make this kind of determination.

The Kelsey Smith Act has been successfully used in multiple States where it is already law. In fact, in Kansas, we have an infant here named Aubrey. Aubrey was innocently in her car seat in a car, in the backseat of the vehicle, when somebody carjacked the car while her parents were standing near it, just feet away.

Can you imagine? Her parents are right there, and somebody jumps in the car and drives off with it as you stand hopelessly, unable to do anything as their little daughter, Aubrey, was inside.

The local police department used the Kelsey Smith Act in Kansas to track the cell phone that was still in the car, and they were able to successfully recover the baby, Aubrey, who was unharmed, in about 30 minutes.

□ 1415

Officer Dan Friesen credited the safe recovery to the Kelsey Smith Act, saying that the “technology is very helpful to us and is made possible by the Kelsey Smith Law.”

Thanks to Kelsey and Greg and Missey Smith, little Aubrey is safe in the arms of her family once again. In the words of her mother: “We are so happy to have Aubrey home with us and can’t picture life without our baby girl.” Because of the Kelsey Smith Act, they do not have to.

Mr. Speaker, this law goes beyond just kidnapping cases, however. The Kansas Sheriffs’ Association told us it has also been used in cases of adults with dementia and missing people who are in danger due to lack of life-sustaining medication, severe weather, or other life-threatening circumstances.

I thank my friend from Kansas, Congressman KEVIN YODER. He has been tireless in his advocacy for this legislation. He first brought this bill to my attention last Congress and continued to push for its passage again this year. He has been an advocate for Kelsey and her family throughout the process, and this bill would not have advanced this far without Congressman YODER’s work.

I also want to thank Greg and Missey Smith, who are in the gallery today, for their courage in the face of their tragedy. Because of their willingness to speak about their daughter and what happened to her, we are here today with the opportunity to prevent tragedies like this one that befell Kelsey Smith.

Now, I think it is important to note this legislation passed out of the sub-

committee after full hearings and through the full committee. In fact, it was voted unanimously out of the full committee. There were no voices of objection.

This Wednesday, May 25, is National Missing Children’s Day. According to the FBI, in 2015, there were more than 460,000 reports of missing children made to law enforcement in the U.S. How many of these missing children carry a cell phone? Even if the Kelsey Smith Act leads to the recovery of only one of those missing children, isn’t it worth it? As a parent, I can tell you that, for the families of missing children, it certainly is.

We have the opportunity to equip law enforcement with another tool to aid them in emergency situations, a tool that costs nothing and uses information that already exists. Let’s seize this opportunity.

Now, I know there will be those who will argue that somehow we didn’t go far enough in privacy. Well, guess what. My State of Oregon passed an almost identical bill, unanimously, and it is a very blue State, Mr. Speaker—full Democratic house, Democratic senate, Democratic Governor. Not a single member objected. That is what this version of the bill is based on.

Multiple other States have different reporting requirements for members of their law enforcement community. We honor what the States have done and can do. We don’t take that away. We don’t override that. They can go farther if they want in terms of what they want their State law enforcement officers to do or not do. We simply address the issue related to the telephone carriers and what they must do when called upon in life-and-death situations to save the lives of little girls like Aubrey and like Kelsey.

Let’s honor Kelsey’s memory by ensuring that her lasting legacy isn’t the story of her death but, rather, the story of how she continued to make a difference to save lives.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in opposition to H.R. 4889.

I do want to say the Democrats continue to support the intention behind this bill. What happened to Kelsey Smith is clearly a tragedy that should not be allowed to happen again. Her family, who have advocated for these changes in the law, deserve our respect and are true heroes. But we cannot support this effort to force the bill through without including the commonsense consumer protections that resulted from strong bipartisan work in the last Congress.

In the 113th Congress, the Committee on Energy and Commerce passed a version of the Kelsey Smith Act, a version that included specific protections for consumers’ privacy closer in line with what is required under the Fourth Amendment. The legislation was a negotiated outcome that carefully balanced the needs of law enforce-

ment on one hand with the rights of consumers and privacy concerns on the other hand. These protections would not have in any way slowed law enforcement’s ability to find people in an emergency. They would simply have made sure that consumers are protected after a search takes place. This was a good deal. Unfortunately, the path taken in the current Congress was different.

This year’s bill, the one that we are debating now, disregards the hard work that went into finding a bipartisan agreement on the Kelsey Smith Act in the last Congress. During markups in the Energy and Commerce Committee, Democrats offered amendments that would modify H.R. 4889 back to what was agreed to in the last Congress. It would have kept the requirement that carriers provide the requested information to law enforcement, but the amendment would have provided a simple consumer safeguard. It would have required that law enforcement seek a court order within 48 hours after it makes an emergency request. So it would in no way have stood in the way of an emergency request; it would have just required law enforcement to seek that court order after the emergency request.

Such modifications would address some of the concerns that have been raised regarding the potential abuse of H.R. 4889. It would not hamper law enforcement’s ability to have quick access to lifesaving location data when they are presented with an emergency situation.

We recognize that Chairman WALDEN was concerned that he could not support last year’s deal, the version from last Congress, because it was not completely consistent with the law in his home State. That is why our proposal added a provision to protect existing State laws. Unfortunately, our efforts were rebuffed.

We continue to stand ready to work together again, but I cannot support this bill in its current form without ensuring that additional protections are in place.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. YODER), the proponent of this legislation who brought it before us.

Mr. YODER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life and legacy of Kelsey Ann Smith of Overland Park, Kansas. I rise today on behalf of Kelsey’s Army, people all across the country who have put themselves in the shoes of Greg and Missey, who have also had children who have been abducted and understand that we need commonsense public safety laws like this on the books to ensure that we can save lives and ensure that these types of abductions and murders never happen again in our country without the ability to stop them as quickly as possible.

June 6, 2016, will mark 9 years since Kelsey Smith, an 18-year-old Shawnee

Mission West student, was kidnapped in broad daylight from a Target parking lot by a predator who would sexually assault and murder her soon after. I remember it like it was yesterday. We all, in Kansas and in my community, felt immediately associated with the grief and pain that Kelsey's parents were feeling. Parents worried about their own children. They understood what was happening, and they wanted to help.

So Kansans and people in my community helped search for Kelsey for days. As Chairman WALDEN so eloquently spoke in favor of this bill, it is an anguish to have your child be missing and you cannot do anything about it.

Kelsey's mother, Missey, says that when your child is missing, as a parent you don't eat and as a parent you don't sleep because you don't know if your child is eating or sleeping. I am a father of two little girls. I cannot imagine the pain and suffering Missey and her husband, Greg, who are with us here today, have endured from Kelsey's loss. No parent should have to.

So today we are going to hear different debates and arguments about how the bill could be changed or improved or differences could be made, but the reality is this law is not on the books in 28 States, and those children are not protected. We cannot, as a House, allow this to stand.

So I ask my colleagues to dig deep in their heart to think about putting themselves in their shoes and to not block this legislation, to let this legislation come forward. I promise you it is popular in your district. I promise you a majority of Americans will support this. Opposing this bill is simply wrong and shameful.

In the 9 years since Greg and Missey's daughter was taken from them, they have dealt with this unspeakable, horrific experience with grace and determination. Rather than falling into the depths of despair, like anyone could imagine them to do, they channeled their grief into the passion to help others who find themselves in Kelsey's situation. They traveled the United States fighting to pass State-level versions of the bill we are considering today, and they have done so with great success, with 23 States having passed a version of the Kelsey Smith Act.

Today, this body will have the chance to honor Kelsey's memory and Greg and Missey's tireless advocacy by bringing the law to all 50 States. In the words of Missey Smith, we have the rare opportunity to "save lives without it costing one cent."

The Kelsey Smith Act creates a narrow exception for law enforcement officers to gain access to limited call location information of an individual's cell phone in the event of an emergency, like a kidnapping. In those cases, every second counts.

Unfortunately, in Kelsey's case, it took 4 excruciating days for law enforcement to finally obtain the loca-

tion data from her cell provider. It took 4 days while an entire community searched for Kelsey with no success. It took 4 days because, under current law, providers are not required to provide location data. They are permitted to in an emergency situation, but it is up to their discretion.

So the question for this body is: Do you want to leave this up to a cell phone provider, for the lawyers and the executives there to decide, or do you want trained law enforcement making this decision based upon a reasonable belief of an exigent emergency circumstance?

It is analogous; I think we all would agree. I think the folks on the opposite side of the aisle would agree that there is certainly a Fourth Amendment right to protect your home and your dwelling, probably the greatest Fourth Amendment protection right of all. And yet, if an officer was driving by and saw an exigent circumstance, saw someone who was in jeopardy of physical harm or emergency, they have the ability to break into that home to save that life.

This information is even less secure. It is much more in the public domain. A cell phone provider already has the right to release it. We are saying that decision should be made by law enforcement.

What breaks my heart every time I recount Kelsey's story is, when finally her cell phone location information was handed over, police found Kelsey's body within 45 minutes. A search that floundered for 4 days could have ended in 45 minutes. We know for a fact, as Chairman WALDEN articulated, that other lives have already been saved in States that have adopted this law.

Mr. Speaker, a Federal framework is needed to save lives across the entire country, not just in a patchwork of States that have adopted this bill. It is up to this body to set that framework, which would be a ceiling for State legislatures to follow. If certain States feel that additional privacy protections, such as suggested by my colleagues across the aisle, must be put into place, they are well within their jurisdiction to do so.

I believe any concerns articulated by others are overblown in this situation. As someone who has spent my career in this body fighting for the privacy rights of Americans—we just passed the Email Privacy Act 419-0, and all of us supported that—and fought to modernize our Fourth Amendment rights with regard to email privacy, I feel comfortable in saying this bill strikes the right balance. It does not give you the information on the phone. It does not give you content. It does not give you anything other than the pings on the phone in the case of an emergency. It doesn't even give you GPS tracking. It does not infringe upon our constitutional rights. Any of us, as parents, would be thankful that we voted for this bill today, should something horrific happen in our lives.

Mr. Speaker, this body often debates the merits of protecting Americans from the threat of harm versus giving up certain civil liberties. In this case, we are blessed with modern technology that affords law enforcement with a tool to save lives without Americans giving up any of their privacy.

Now, I thank my predecessor, Representative Dennis Moore, and my former colleague, Todd Tiahrt of Kansas, who began this effort shortly after Kelsey's death. I also thank Representatives LYNN JENKINS, MIKE POMPEO of Kansas, and my colleague from across the aisle, EMANUEL CLEAVER of Missouri, who have worked with me in this fight. I also thank Chairman UPTON and Chairman WALDEN for working swiftly over the last month to move this important legislation forward.

Most of all, I would like to thank the two most important people in this room, who advocated for this bill day after day, Greg and Missey Smith. But for their support and guidance, for their ability to share their tragedy with the world and channel it into goodness, for being here today and throughout the entire legislative process as we moved this bill forward, this movement would not be possible. So God bless you, Greg and Missey, and God bless Kelsey.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the bill's passage today. I strongly urge the Senate to waste no time in following suit. Let's send Kelsey's law to the President's desk this year for his signature so we can do something truly meaningful in a bipartisan way and so we can save lives.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, let me say again that Democrats strongly support the intention behind this bill, but we cannot support it as it is currently drafted. We believe that we can do better.

I urge Members to vote "no" on H.R. 4889.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I am, of course, disappointed that the Democrats cannot support this in its present form.

The bill that we worked on last year, by the way, never made it to the House floor, and this one did.

□ 1430

The time is now to act. The time is now to help families find abducted children, parents suffering from dementia who are carrying the device and need help saving their lives.

This is very narrowly written. As my colleague from Kansas (Mr. YODER) said: Read the bill.

We have. It is very narrowly written. Location, emergency only, life and death. You dialed 911 seeking help. States still have the ability to talk about all these other provisions they may want. We do not preclude that. We honor the right of States, local legislatures to come and add restrictions if they want to do that for post-action reporting, subpoenas, whatever they want to do.

But in the meantime, can't we just save lives? Can't we just pass something that gives certainty to the telecommunications providers that when they get that law enforcement call, they have to provide that data of simply the location when everybody agrees that somebody's life is in the balance?

I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4889, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the yeas have it.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

KARI'S LAW ACT OF 2016

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4167) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require multi-line telephone systems to have a default configuration that permits users to directly initiate a call to 9-1-1 without dialing any additional digit, code, prefix, or post-fix, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4167

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Kari's Law Act of 2016".

SEC. 2. CONFIGURATION OF MULTI-LINE TELEPHONE SYSTEMS FOR DIRECT DIALING OF 9-1-1.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title VII of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 721. CONFIGURATION OF MULTI-LINE TELEPHONE SYSTEMS FOR DIRECT DIALING OF 9-1-1.

"(a) SYSTEM MANUFACTURE, IMPORTATION, SALE, AND LEASE.—A person engaged in the business of manufacturing, importing, selling, or leasing multi-line telephone systems may not manufacture or import for use in the United States, or sell or lease or offer to sell or lease in the United States, a multi-line telephone system, unless such system is pre-configured such that, when properly installed in accordance with subsection (b), a user may directly initiate a call to 9-1-1 from any station equipped with dialing facilities, without dialing any additional digit, code, prefix, or post-fix, including any trunk-access code such as the digit '9', regardless of whether the user is required to dial such a digit, code, prefix, or post-fix for other calls.

"(b) SYSTEM INSTALLATION, MANAGEMENT, AND OPERATION.—A person engaged in the business of installing, managing, or operating multi-line telephone systems may not

install, manage, or operate for use in the United States such a system, unless such system is configured such that a user may directly initiate a call to 9-1-1 from any station equipped with dialing facilities, without dialing any additional digit, code, prefix, or post-fix, including any trunk-access code such as the digit '9', regardless of whether the user is required to dial such a digit, code, prefix, or post-fix for other calls.

"(c) ON-SITE NOTIFICATION.—A person engaged in the business of installing, managing, or operating multi-line telephone systems shall, in installing, managing, or operating such a system for use in the United States, configure the system to provide a notification to a central location at the facility where the system is installed or to another person or organization regardless of location, if the system is able to be configured to provide the notification without an improvement to the hardware or software of the system.

"(d) EFFECT ON STATE LAW.—Nothing in this section is intended to alter the authority of State commissions or other State or local agencies with jurisdiction over emergency communications, if the exercise of such authority is not inconsistent with this Act.

"(e) ENFORCEMENT.—This section shall be enforced under title V, except that section 501 applies only to the extent that such section provides for the punishment of a fine.

"(f) MULTI-LINE TELEPHONE SYSTEM DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'multi-line telephone system' has the meaning given such term in section 6502 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (47 U.S.C. 1471)."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), section 721 of the Communications Act of 1934, as added by subsection (a) of this section, shall apply beginning on the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (b) or (c) of such section 721 shall not apply to a multi-line telephone system that was installed before the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act if such system is not able to be configured to meet the requirement of such subsection (b) or (c), respectively, without an improvement to the hardware or software of the system.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) and the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 4167, the Kari's Law Act of 2016.

Mr. Speaker, when I first heard of the tragic story of Kari Hunt, I was in disbelief. In his testimony before the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, Kari's father, Hank, shared with us the way that his daughter

was killed—stabbed by her estranged husband in a Texas hotel room while their children were in the room.

While that story is obviously horrifying enough, especially as a parent, my true shock came from the next part of the story. Kari's 9-year-old daughter, doing as she had been taught from an early age, had repeatedly tried to dial 911 from the hotel phone to get emergency help. Repeatedly her little fingers pushed the buttons 9-1-1, but because the phone required another 9 to get an outside line, she was never able to reach the emergency assistance her mother so desperately needed and she so desperately tried to access.

What her grandfather, Hank Hunt, told me next will stay with me forever. He said that as he sat with his granddaughter in the lobby of the police department just hours after the death of his daughter, his granddaughter looked at him and said: "I tried 4 times, Papa, but it didn't work." "I tried 4 times, Papa, but it didn't work."

Through this tragedy we learned the difficult truth that many multiline telephone systems, like the kinds often found in hotels and offices and universities, require that users dial an additional digit to use an outside line, even when they are trying to call 911.

Mr. Speaker, this is simply unacceptable. In the heat of an emergency, every person in America deserves the peace of mind to know that on any phone 911 actually means 911, period.

We teach our children from a very young age what to do in an emergency: dial 911. We all hope that they will never need to use that knowledge, but we want them to know what to do. I don't know too many parents who also teach their kids to think about dialing 9 or 8 or some other number to get an outside line.

H.R. 4167, known as Kari's Law, seeks to remedy this problem. The legislation requires multiline telephone systems to be configured so that dialing 911 directly connects to public safety. In addition, the law requires that a central point of contact for each system be notified when someone calls for emergency assistance, a provision intended to help emergency responders access buildings and actually locate the emergency caller.

Now, these fixes are simple changes to the system in most cases, costing little, if any, money, and taking very little time, but apparently without a legal requirement, there is no way to guarantee that every MLTS will be configured for dialing 911 directly. Some businesses, including many hotels, have taken steps to fix this problem already, and I applaud them for doing so voluntarily, but there needs to be consistency across our great land, Mr. Speaker. If you are a traveler staying in a hotel, you shouldn't have to wonder during an emergency whether you are in one of the States or counties that have adopted Kari's Law when the time comes for emergency help. We need a Federal law to provide certainty

and protect emergency callers when they dial 911.

I would like to thank Representative LOUIE GOHMERT from Texas. Mr. GOHMERT brought this issue to our attention. He is the sponsor of Kari's Law, and his staff has done a terrific job working with us on this legislation.

I would also like to thank my colleague, Ranking Member ESHOO, and her staff for working closely with us to make this bill an even better one.

Reflecting the way that these systems work and making sure the requirements are strong and effective, I would also like to thank Hank Hunt for bringing this issue to our attention, for pushing for change in the face of his family's tragedy, and for coming to Washington, D.C., to share his story.

I will finish my remarks with something else that Hank said before our subcommittee: "The inspiration for Kari's Law was a 9-year-old little girl that depended on her instruction from adults on how to handle an emergency, and those adults let her down."

Mr. Speaker, let's not let her down or any other child again. I urge my colleagues to support Kari's Law, and in doing so, we can take one step forward in ensuring that anyone, regardless of their age, who dials 911 will receive the emergency assistance they need.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in general support of H.R. 4167. I agree that it is important to make sure that consumers using multiline telephone systems, or MLTSs, can directly dial 911 without having to dial additional digits first. We are talking about the multiline phone systems that we use in large office buildings and hotels. Many of these systems require consumers to dial an extra 9 to get an outside line. Most of us know that, but too many people do not realize this applies to 911 also. If you don't dial 9 first, you can't reach emergency services.

Such a requirement led to a tragedy in Texas several years ago. Kari Dunn was killed while her 9-year-old daughter tried to call for help. She did what she was told to do in an emergency—dial 911—but because the system she was using required her to dial 9 first, she only heard silence at the other end.

Building on the Herculean effort of Kari Dunn's family, we are one step closer to fixing this problem once and for all. Kari's Law is an important step to making our systems work better in an emergency, but we should not delay taking the next step, and that is providing location information to first responders.

These multiline systems often fail to deliver precise location information. That means that if someone calls 911 from this very building, for instance, precious minutes would tick by as emergency personnel struggle to figure out where the call came from in the Capitol. We should act immediately to

correct this problem, too, because making sure the call goes through is only helpful if public safety officials can find the caller.

Mr. Speaker, that is why Democrats had hoped to include such a provision in H.R. 4167 during markup. We are encouraged by the commitment we received from Subcommittee Chairman WALDEN to work together on a separate bill to address this concern. We hope to get this done soon. With that commitment, I urge Members to support H.R. 4167.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT), who has been such an advocate for this family and for this change in law and has been terrific to work with on this matter.

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman GREG WALDEN and also his staff. They have been superb to work with, and it has been refreshing to see how thorough both he and his staff have been in researching this issue. I came prepared to talk about the event and actually how it happened, but Chairman WALDEN did such a fantastic job that the emotion runs high at this point, and I am very grateful for the manner in which this has been presented.

I also want to thank FCC Commissioner Pai, who in the early days stepped up and made this an issue to get people's attention, but no greater thanks goes to anyone than to Kari's father, Hank Hunt.

It was December of 2013 in Marshall, Texas, which is normally known for being a kind and helpful city. Police respond often in 1 or 2 minutes. Kari's 9-year-old daughter has not had her name mentioned anywhere, to my knowledge, and that is because this child did everything she could possibly do, everything she had been taught and trained to do. What a phenomenal, quick-thinking child that she is.

After Kari's death received an outpouring of comments from constituents and other Americans across the country expressing concern over the issue, every day this is an issue. Fortunately, every day someone does not pay the ultimate consequence of dying because it is an issue.

When we looked into this matter, multiline telephone systems can easily be configured or reconfigured to enable callers to reach emergency personnel by dialing 911 without having to dial a prefix at all. Most of the time these changes can be made at no cost, and we have had programmers inform us that they have been doing it at no charge once the issue was brought to their attention.

Some MLTS vendors have offered to upgrade or tune up their existing systems for free also. Additionally, the American Hotel & Lodging Association has worked aggressively with its members across the country to swiftly en-

sure that their systems in place allow guests to directly dial 911 from guest rooms. Most of the American Hotel & Lodging Association's largest hotel member chains have activated 911 direct dial access at nearly all of their owned and managed properties. This bill gives 2 years for those who have not done so. And in view of the fact that this is so widely public, I anticipate people will move much, much more quickly than 2 years.

It is quite refreshing when both sides of the aisle can come together on an issue that saves lives, does not cost anything from taxpayers, is not a mandate that needs funding, and clearly involves interstate commerce and the telecommunications industry. So anyone who dials 911 would reach emergency personnel even if the phone normally requires the user to dial a prefix. Many phones in hotels, offices, even schools don't reach emergency personnel when a user dials 911 in a time of need because the person failed to dial a prefix. This bill changes that for good.

I join Hank Hunt, and I thank full committee Ranking Member PALLONE, Ms. ESHOO, and, again, Chairman WALDEN, Chairman UPTON, and the staffs for the great work done here. We can avoid tragedy again, and it is just refreshing when we work together to make sure that happens.

□ 1445

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to support H.R. 4167, Kari's Law, and, again, thank my colleague from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) for his leadership on this issue and my colleagues on the other side of the aisle for working with us on this.

I would encourage passage of the legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4167, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

SECURING ACCESS TO NETWORKS IN DISASTERS ACT

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3998) to direct the Federal Communications Commission to commence proceedings related to the resiliency of critical telecommunications networks during times of emergency, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.
The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3998

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Securing Access to Networks in Disasters Act”.

SEC. 2. STUDY ON NETWORK RESILIENCY.

Not later than 36 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit to Congress, and make publically available on the Commission’s website, a study on the public safety benefits and technical feasibility and cost of—

(1) making telecommunications service provider-owned WiFi access points, and other communications technologies operating on unlicensed spectrum, available to the general public for access to 911 services, without requiring any login credentials, during times of emergency when mobile service is unavailable;

(2) the provision by non-telecommunications service provider-owned WiFi access points of public access to 911 services during times of emergency when mobile service is unavailable; and

(3) other alternative means of providing the public with access to 911 services during times of emergency when mobile service is unavailable.

SEC. 3. ACCESS TO ESSENTIAL SERVICE PROVIDERS DURING FEDERALLY DECLARED EMERGENCIES.

Section 427(a)(1)(A) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5189e(a)(1)(A)) is amended by striking “telecommunications service” and inserting “wireline or mobile telephone service, Internet access service, radio or television broadcasting, cable service, or direct broadcast satellite service”.

SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

As used in this Act—

(1) the term “Commission” means the Federal Communications Commission;

(2) the term “mobile service” means commercial mobile service (as defined in section 332 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 332)) or commercial mobile data service (as defined in section 6001 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (47 U.S.C. 1401));

(3) the term “WiFi access point” means wireless Internet access using the standard designated as 802.11 or any variant thereof; and

(4) the term “times of emergency” means either an emergency as defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122), or an emergency as declared by the governor of a State or territory of the United States.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) and the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members

have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in late October of 2012, Superstorm Sandy, the largest Atlantic hurricane in recorded history, hit the Caribbean and Northeastern United States with devastating impact. Sandy caused an estimated \$72 billion in damages in the United States and took 286 lives.

While the economic impact of the storm was massive in scope—homes and buildings damaged or destroyed, roads impassible or washed out altogether—the damage to power and communications infrastructure was particularly severe.

Broadcasting, wireless and landline telephone services, broadband services, cable services all suffered disruptions and outages that lasted long after the storm’s fury had passed. At a time when families struggled to find and reunite with loved ones, this only added to the confusion and, frankly, the panic.

But beyond the impact on the personal communications that are needed to assuage the fears in the wake of a disaster, these outages also threatened the delivery of public safety messages and emergency response services. This put even more lives at risk, including those of the first responders—the men and women who race to save others—and made recovery that much more difficult.

While our public safety and emergency response experts at all levels of government and the communications industry have implemented changes as a result of the lessons learned from Superstorm Sandy, there is more that must be done.

Just a few weeks ago, the wireless industry—CTIA, together with AT&T, Sprint, T-Mobile, U.S. Cellular, and Verizon—announced the adoption of the Wireless Network Resiliency Cooperative Framework. This set of voluntary practices will provide consumers with access to wireless services even when their wireless provider’s network goes down, will improve preparedness, and will speed the restoration of services.

I would like to thank Ranking Member PALLONE of New Jersey, whose district suffered so badly and so much from the effects of Sandy. His leadership and efforts led to the industry’s voluntary adoption of this framework, and I commend the industry for its commitment and him for his work.

The SANDY Act lets us build on that accomplishment, as there are some changes that only the government can make. This legislation makes what I believe is a commonsense change to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief

and Emergency Assistance Act to recognize not only wireline, but mobile telephone service and broadcast radio, broadcast television, cable service, and broadcast satellite service as essential services when we have an emergency.

This change will ensure that providers of these critical services are not denied or impeded access to a disaster when they are trying to restore service. Without question, these services are critical to ensuring the safety and well-being of both those impacted by the disaster, but also those who are responding to that very disaster.

In addition to expediting access for network restoration teams, this legislation also directs the FCC to study making the telecommunications service provider-owned WiFi access and other communications technologies operating on unlicensed spectrum available to access 911 service when commercial mobile service is unavailable.

We have an abundance of communications tools in the modern information economy. We should be looking at ways to leverage all of them during emergencies, and this report will do just that.

I thank the ranking member for his work on this legislation, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

Washington, DC, May 18, 2016.

Hon. FRED UPTON,
Chairman, Committee on Energy and Commerce,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I write concerning H.R. 3998, the Securing Access to Networks in Disasters Act, as ordered reported by the Committee on Energy and Commerce. There are certain provisions in the legislation that fall within the Rule X jurisdiction of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

In order to expedite this legislation for Floor consideration, the Committee will forgo action on this bill. However, this is conditional on our mutual understanding that forgoing consideration of the bill does not alter or diminish the jurisdiction of the Committee with respect to the appointment of conferees or to any future jurisdictional claim over the subject matters contained in the bill or similar legislation. I request you urge the Speaker to name members of the Committee to any conference committee named to consider such provisions.

Please place a copy of this letter and your response acknowledging our jurisdictional interest into the Congressional Record during consideration of the measure on the House Floor. I appreciate the Committee on Energy and Commerce working with me to address my concerns.

Sincerely,

BILL SHUSTER,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE,
Washington, DC, May 19, 2016.

Hon. BILL SHUSTER,
Chairman, Committee on Transportation and
Infrastructure, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN SHUSTER: Thank you for your letter concerning H.R. 3998, Securing Access to Networks in Disasters Act, as ordered reported by the Committee on Energy

and Commerce. As you noted, there are certain provisions in the legislation that fall within the Rule X jurisdiction of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

I appreciate your willingness to forgo action on this bill in order to expedite this legislation for Floor consideration. I agree that forgoing consideration of this bill does not alter or diminish the jurisdiction of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure with respect to the appointment of conferees or to any future jurisdictional claim over the subject matters contained in the bill or similar legislation. In addition, I will support your request for the Speaker to name members of the Committee to any conference committee named to consider such provisions.

I will place a copy of your letter and this response into the Congressional Record during consideration of the measure on the House Floor.

Sincerely,

FRED UPTON,
Chairman.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3998, Securing Access to Networks in Disasters, or SANDy, Act.

Superstorm Sandy had a dramatic effect on my district back in New Jersey. We saw firsthand the importance of communications networks during an emergency.

Broadcast and cable networks provide critical information to help us stay out of harm's way, and telecommunications networks are what makes sure we can call for help and keep track of our loved ones.

Unfortunately, when Hurricane Sandy ripped through the Northeast, we could not rely on several of these systems when we needed them most. For instance, nearly one in four cell towers were knocked out. In some of the hardest hit areas of my State, as many as half of the towers went down. Many of them stayed down for weeks.

That is why I have spent the past several years figuring out what went right and what went wrong. We learned about issues that have plagued our networks for at least a decade—not just during Sandy, but during Hurricane Katrina and other major disasters as well.

The SANDy Act will take another step toward making that right. Specifically, the SANDy Act would recognize the important role that wireline and mobile telephone, Internet, radio and television broadcasting, and cable and satellite services play during emergencies.

These communication providers need priority access to help them repair and maintain their communications equipment during disasters. But this bill is part of a larger effort to keep us safe in emergencies.

As part of the lead-up to today, I worked, as my colleague said, with the Nation's largest wireless carriers and the Federal Communications Commission to pull together a voluntary framework to ensure the industry complies with the wireless provisions that were originally set forth in the SANDy Act.

Most important, the framework makes sure that if one network goes down, its customers can access another network that is still operational. Everyone should be able to call for help as long as any signal is available.

Mr. Speaker, this agreement will save lives during major emergencies in the future. I would like to thank the wireless carriers and the FCC for working with me to craft this comprehensive agreement, as well as Chairman WALDEN. Having these networks operational can mean the difference between life and death during an event like Superstorm Sandy.

I urge all Members to support H.R. 3998, and I hope that once it passes the House today, the Senate will take up the measure and send it to the President.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this is a fine piece of legislation. It is important, in moving ahead, to correct some things that need to be corrected, frankly, in terms of emergency communications during super emergencies.

I urge passage of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3998, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

TIMELY AVAILABILITY OF ITEMS ADOPTED BY VOTE OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2589) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require the Federal Communications Commission to publish on its Internet website changes to the rules of the Commission not later than 24 hours after adoption, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 2589

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. TIMELY AVAILABILITY OF ITEMS ADOPTED BY VOTE OF THE COMMISSION.

(a) AMENDMENT.—Section 4 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 154) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(p) In the case of any item that is adopted by vote of the Commission, the Commission shall publish on the Internet website of the Commission the text of such item not later than 24 hours after the Secretary of the Commission has received dissenting statements from all Commissioners wishing to submit such a statement with respect to such item.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply with respect to an item that is adopted after the date that is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) and the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous materials in the RECORD on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the Federal Communications Commission regulates an incredibly dynamic and innovative sector of the American economy. The communications technology sector directly impacts the lives of consumers in meaningful ways. Consumers are able to map their ways to new places like, frankly, I did this morning; find information and enriching content; and reach their loved ones who might live in the most remote places.

Communications technology also enables other industries to reach their audiences in new and life-changing ways. Health care, finance, manufacturing, agriculture: all of these industries are leveraging communication technologies in ways to better serve the American consumer.

We can't afford to allow this functional sector of the economy to languish or fail under outdated regulations or a faulty regulatory process. That is why the Committee on Energy and Commerce has focused on improving the process at the FCC so that it operates in an effective and more transparent manner.

This House passed a comprehensive FCC process reform bill back in November, H.R. 2583, but we continue to work on improving the FCC's communications with the public. Hence, H.R. 2589. This is one such improvement.

Sponsored by my colleague, Representative ELLMERS of North Carolina, this bill is targeted at the FCC's struggle to make its newly adopted rules available to the public in a timely fashion. The bill requires the FCC to show the public what it has just voted on by publishing the text of the rules within 24 hours of the filing of the last dissenting statement.

This should not be too difficult. Normally, the FCC does a reasonable job in

publishing its new rules fairly quickly after adoption. However, on more controversial items, the documents are not available until much later. For example, the Lifeline Order, adopted on March 31, was not available for 27 days. That is nearly a month. The FCC should not be delaying publication on controversial items. It should seek to add information and facts to the debate rather than appearing to hide the ball.

At the same time, we recognize that the FCC must have the ability to respond to dissenting statements that criticize its decisions. Accordingly, we worked with our colleagues across the aisle to ensure that the Commission had a fair opportunity to address dissenters and still make sure that new rules became available to the public in a timely way. In other words, so the Commission can do its work back and forth among Commissioners and finish their product. But once they do, they need to make it available to the public. By the way, that is who they work for.

I would like to thank my colleagues on the committee for their work, particularly Representative ELLMERS and Representative MCNERNEY. I believe the bill strikes the right balance, and I urge my colleagues to support Representative ELLMERS' bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 2589.

For the past several years, Republicans have been focused on changing procedures at the Federal Communications Commission. The bill we are considering today demonstrates that Democrats are willing to work with Republicans on these ideas when the proposals are reasonable.

The original bill had some issues. It would have required the FCC to post within 24 hours of adoption any final rules that were modified by the Commission. Such a requirement was inconsistent with the Administrative Procedure Act, which requires that any rule changes are accompanied by an explanatory text.

Additionally, the original bill failed to take into account the fact that in many cases where there is a delay in the release of FCC decisions, it is usually due to late receipt of dissenting statements from some Commissioners. To fix these issues, Democrats proposed an amendment during markup to provide the FCC to post, in its entirety, the text of any actions within 24 hours after dissenting Commissioners file their statements. The improvements ensure that this bill will not force the FCC to act in conflict with other laws, such as the Administrative Procedure Act.

I urge my colleagues to support the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of this fine piece of legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BROOKS of Alabama). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2589, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

□ 1500

ALABAMA HILLS NATIONAL SCENIC AREA ESTABLISHMENT ACT

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 496) to establish the Alabama Hills National Scenic Area in the State of California, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 496

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Alabama Hills National Scenic Area Establishment Act”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Definitions.
- Sec. 3. Alabama Hills National Scenic Area, California.
- Sec. 4. Management plan.
- Sec. 5. Land taken into trust for Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Reservation.
- Sec. 6. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction.
- Sec. 7. Protection of services and recreational opportunities.
- Sec. 8. Clarification regarding funding.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—The term “management plan” means the management plan for the National Scenic Area developed under section 4(a).

(2) MAP.—The term “Map” means the map titled “Proposed Alabama Hills National Scenic Area”, dated September 8, 2014.

(3) MOTORIZED VEHICLES.—The term “motorized vehicles” means motorized or mechanized vehicles and includes, when used by utilities, mechanized equipment, helicopters, and other aerial devices necessary to maintain electrical or communications infrastructure.

(4) NATIONAL SCENIC AREA.—The term “National Scenic Area” means the Alabama Hills National Scenic Area established by section 3(a).

(5) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(6) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of California.

(7) TRIBE.—The term “Tribe” means the Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone.

(8) UTILITY FACILITY.—The term “utility facility” means any and all existing and future water system facilities including aqueducts, streams, ditches, and canals; water facilities including, but not limited to, flow measuring stations, gauges, gates, valves, piping, conduits, fencing, and electrical power and communications devices and systems; and any and all existing and future electric generation facilities, electric storage facilities, overhead and/or underground electrical supply systems and communication systems consisting of electric substations, electric lines, poles and towers made of various materials, “H” frame structures, guy wires and anchors, crossarms, wires, underground conduits, cables, vaults, manholes, handholes, above-ground enclosures, markers and concrete pads and other fixtures, appliances and communication circuits, and other fixtures, appliances and appurtenances connected therewith necessary or convenient for the construction, operation, regulation, control, grounding and maintenance of electric generation, storage, lines and communication circuits, for the purpose of transmitting intelligence and generating, storing, distributing, regulating and controlling electric energy to be used for light, heat, power, communication, and other purposes.

SEC. 3. ALABAMA HILLS NATIONAL SCENIC AREA, CALIFORNIA.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Subject to valid, existing rights, there is established in Inyo County, California, the Alabama Hills National Scenic Area. The National Scenic Area shall be comprised of the approximately 18,610 acres generally depicted on the Map as “National Scenic Area”.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the National Scenic Area is to conserve, protect, and enhance for the benefit, use, and enjoyment of present and future generations the nationally significant scenic, cultural, geological, educational, biological, historical, recreational, cinematographic, and scientific resources of the National Scenic Area managed consistent with section 302(a) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1732(a)).

(c) MAP; LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall file a map and a legal description of the National Scenic Area with—

(A) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(2) FORCE OF LAW.—The map and legal descriptions filed under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary may correct any clerical and typographical errors in the map and legal descriptions.

(3) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—Each map and legal description filed under paragraph (1) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management.

(d) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary shall manage the National Scenic Area—

(1) as a component of the National Landscape Conservation System;

(2) so as not to impact the future continuing operations and maintenance of any activities associated with valid, existing rights, including water rights;

(3) in a manner that conserves, protects, and enhances the resources and values of the National Scenic Area described in subsection (b); and

(4) in accordance with—

(A) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

(B) this Act; and

(C) any other applicable laws.

(e) MANAGEMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall allow only such uses of the National Scenic Area as the Secretary determines would support the purposes of the National Scenic Area as described in subsection (b).

(2) RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES.—Except as otherwise provided in this Act or other applicable law, or as the Secretary determines to be necessary for public health and safety, the Secretary shall allow existing recreational uses of the National Scenic Area to continue, including hiking, mountain biking, rock climbing, sightseeing, horseback riding, hunting, fishing, and appropriate authorized motorized vehicle use.

(3) MOTORIZED VEHICLES.—Except as specified within this Act and/or in cases in which motorized vehicles are needed for administrative purposes, or to respond to an emergency, the use of motorized vehicles in the National Scenic Area shall be permitted only on—

(A) roads and trails designated by the Director of the Bureau of Land Management for use of motorized vehicles as part of a management plan sustaining a semi-primitive motorized experience; or

(B) on county-maintained roads in accordance with applicable State and county laws.

(f) NO BUFFER ZONES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this Act creates a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the National Scenic Area.

(2) ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE NATIONAL SCENIC AREA.—The fact that an activity or use on land outside the National Scenic Area can be seen or heard within the National Scenic Area shall not preclude the activity or use outside the boundaries of the National Scenic Area.

(g) ACCESS.—The Secretary shall continue to provide private landowners adequate access to inholdings in the National Scenic Area.

(h) FILMING.—Nothing in this Act prohibits filming (including commercial film production, student filming, and still photography) within the National Scenic Area—

(1) subject to—

(A) such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary considers to be necessary; and

(B) applicable law; and

(2) in a manner consistent with the purposes described in subsection (b).

(i) FISH AND WILDLIFE.—Nothing in this Act affects the jurisdiction or responsibilities of the State with respect to fish and wildlife.

(j) LIVESTOCK.—The grazing of livestock in the National Scenic Area, including grazing under the Alabama Hills allotment and the George Creek allotment, as established before the date of enactment of this Act, shall be permitted to continue—

(1) subject to—

(A) such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary considers to be necessary; and

(B) applicable law; and

(2) in a manner consistent with the purposes described in subsection (b).

(k) OVERFLIGHTS.—Nothing in this Act restricts or precludes flights over the National Scenic Area or overflights that can be seen or heard within the National Scenic Area, including—

(1) transportation, sightseeing and filming flights, general aviation planes, helicopters, hang-gliders, and balloonists, for commercial or recreational purposes;

(2) low-level overflights of military aircraft;

(3) flight testing and evaluation; or

(4) the designation or creation of new units of special use airspace, or the establishment of military flight training routes, over the National Scenic Area.

(l) WITHDRAWAL.—Subject to this Act's provisions and valid rights in existence on the date of enactment of this Act, including rights established by prior withdrawals, the Federal land within the National Scenic Area is withdrawn from all forms of—

(1) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(3) disposition under all laws pertaining to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.

(m) WILDLAND FIRE OPERATIONS.—Nothing in this Act prohibits the Secretary, in cooperation with other Federal, State, and local agencies, as appropriate, from conducting wildland fire operations in the National Scenic Area, consistent with the purposes described in subsection (b).

(n) GRANTS; COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary may make grants to, or enter into cooperative agreements with, State, tribal, and local governmental entities and private entities to conduct research, interpretation, or public education or to carry out any other initiative relating to the restoration, conservation, or management of the National Scenic Area.

(o) AIR AND WATER QUALITY.—Nothing in this Act modifies any standard governing air or water quality outside of the boundaries of the National Scenic Area.

(p) UTILITY FACILITIES AND RIGHTS OF WAY.—

(1) Nothing in this Act shall—

(A) affect the existence, use, operation, maintenance (including but not limited to vegetation control), repair, construction, reconfiguration, expansion, inspection, renewal, reconstruction, alteration, addition, relocation, improvement, funding, removal, or replacement of utility facilities or appurtenant rights of way within or adjacent to the National Scenic Area;

(B) affect necessary or efficient access to utility facilities or rights of way within or adjacent to the National Scenic Area subject to subsection (e);

(C) preclude the Secretary from authorizing the establishment of new utility facility rights of way (including instream sites, routes, and areas) within the National Scenic Area in a manner that minimizes harm to the purpose of the National Scenic Area as described in subsection (b)—

(1) with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and any other applicable law; and

(2) subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(2) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Consistent with this Act, the Management Plan shall establish plans for maintenance of public utility and other rights of way within the National Scenic Area.

SEC. 4. MANAGEMENT PLAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, in accordance with subsection (b), the Secretary shall develop a comprehensive plan for the long-term management of the National Scenic Area.

(b) CONSULTATION.—In developing the management plan, the Secretary shall—

(1) consult with appropriate State, tribal, and local governmental entities, including Inyo County and the Tribe; and

(2) seek input from—

(A) investor-owned utilities, including Southern California Edison Company;

(B) the Alabama Hills Stewardship Group;

(C) members of the public; and

(D) the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power.

(c) INCORPORATION OF MANAGEMENT PLAN.—In developing the management plan, in accordance with this section, the Secretary shall allow, in perpetuity, casual-use mining limited to the use of hand tools, metal detectors, hand-fed dry washers, vacuum cleaners, gold pans, small sluices, and similar items.

(d) INTERIM MANAGEMENT.—Pending completion of the management plan, the Secretary shall manage the National Scenic Area in accordance with section 3.

SEC. 5. LAND TAKEN INTO TRUST FOR LONE PINE PAIUTE-SHOSONE RESERVATION.

(a) TRUST LAND.—As soon as practicable after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall take the approximately 132 acres of Federal land depicted on the Map as “Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Reservation Addition” into trust for the benefit of the Tribe, subject to the following:

(1) CONDITIONS.—The land shall be subject to all easements, covenants, conditions, restrictions, withdrawals, and other matters of record on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) EXCLUSION.—The Federal lands over which the right-of-way for the Los Angeles Aqueduct is located, generally described as the 250-foot-wide right-of-way granted to the City of Los Angeles pursuant to the Act of June 30, 1906 (Chap. 3926), shall not be taken into trust for the Tribe.

(b) RESERVATION LAND.—The land taken into trust pursuant to subsection (a) shall be considered part of the reservation of the Tribe.

(c) GAMING PROHIBITION.—Gaming under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.) shall not be allowed on the land taken into trust pursuant to subsection (a).

SEC. 6. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.

Administrative jurisdiction of the approximately 56 acres of Federal land depicted on the Map as “USFS Transfer to BLM” is hereby transferred from the Forest Service under the Secretary of Agriculture to the Bureau of Land Management under the Secretary.

SEC. 7. PROTECTION OF SERVICES AND RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to limit commercial services for existing and historic recreation uses as authorized by the Bureau of Land Management's permit process. Valid, existing, commercial permits to exercise guided recreational opportunities for the public may continue as authorized on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 8. CLARIFICATION REGARDING FUNDING.

No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this Act and the amendments made by this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK) and the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GALLEGO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I am the author of H.R. 469, which establishes the Alabama Hills National Scenic Area, encompassing roughly 18,000 acres of Federal land in central California, to preserve recreational and other existing uses in the area.

The Alabama Hills are a range of hills and rock formations near the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada Mountains and are used for a variety of recreational activities.

The area has also served as a popular filming location for films and television shows. "The Gene Autry Show," "The Lone Ranger," "Bonanza," and films including "Tremors," "Glad-i-ator," and "Iron Man" were filmed, in part, in the Alabama Hills area.

The goal of this legislation is protecting this area from the industrial-scale renewable energy development that is occurring in surrounding areas while also protecting existing uses.

The Alabama Hills Stewardship Group as well as off-road groups, the local chamber of commerce, local and national conservation groups, and many others coordinated for over 2 years to share ideas that ultimately formed the basis of H.R. 496.

In addition to the National Scenic Area designation, the bill preserves existing recreational and commercial uses of the area, including grazing, filming, hiking, mountain biking, rock climbing, hunting, fishing, and authorized off-highway vehicle use.

This is a commonsense bill that will successfully balance a wide range of Federal land uses within the National Scenic Area and has extensive local support.

This legislation is the culmination of the work of countless local groups and individuals. I would especially like to thank Inyo County Supervisor Matt Kingsley and Kevin Mazzu of the Alabama Hills Stewardship Group for their tireless efforts to make the Alabama Hills National Scenic Area a reality.

I strongly encourage my colleagues to support its passage.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate Congressman COOK, a fellow Marine, for crafting this bill before us.

H.R. 496 establishes the Alabama Hills National Scenic Area on approximately 18,000 acres of Federal land in southern California.

Only a few hours' drive from Hollywood, Alabama Hills features a unique collection of rock formations which attracted filmmakers for a decade, as the gentleman has told us. The area's unusual landscape has served as the backdrop for famous television and movie scenes, including "Bonanza" and even now great movies like "Iron Man."

By incorporating the area into BLM's National Conservation Lands, the establishment of the Alabama Hills Na-

tional Scenic Area will promote permanent protection of the area and encourage tourism and recreational activities.

Mr. Speaker, this bill provides a model for responsible conservation that we should seek to emulate across the country.

There are areas of Federal land throughout the United States that deserve enhanced protection. I hope we can continue to work in a bipartisan manner to preserve them for future generations through locally driven conservation initiatives.

For now, I urge my colleagues to support this bill. I look forward to working with the majority to identify additional opportunities to protect public land.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I have no additional speakers.

I want to thank my colleague for the Marine tag team comment.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 496, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NATIVE AMERICAN CHILDREN'S SAFETY ACT

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 184) to amend the Indian Child Protection and Family Violence Prevention Act to require background checks before foster care placements are ordered in tribal court proceedings, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 184

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Native American Children's Safety Act".

SEC. 2. CRIMINAL RECORDS CHECKS.

Section 408 of the Indian Child Protection and Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C. 3207) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) BY TRIBAL SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCY FOR FOSTER CARE PLACEMENTS IN TRIBAL COURT PROCEEDINGS.—

"(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

"(A) COVERED INDIVIDUAL.—The term 'covered individual' includes—

"(i) any individual 18 years of age or older; and

"(ii) any individual who the tribal social services agency determines is subject to a

criminal records check under paragraph (2)(A).

"(B) FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT.—The term 'foster care placement' means any action removing an Indian child from a parent or Indian custodian for temporary placement in a foster home or institution or the home of a guardian or conservator if—

"(i) the parent or Indian custodian cannot have the child returned on demand; and

"(ii)(I) parental rights have not been terminated; or

"(II) parental rights have been terminated but the child has not been permanently placed.

"(C) INDIAN CUSTODIAN.—The term 'Indian custodian' means any Indian—

"(i) who has legal custody of an Indian child under tribal law or custom or under State law; or

"(ii) to whom temporary physical care, custody, and control has been transferred by the parent of the child.

"(D) PARENT.—The term 'parent' means—

"(i) any biological parent of an Indian child; or

"(ii) any Indian who has lawfully adopted an Indian child, including adoptions under tribal law or custom.

"(E) TRIBAL COURT.—The term 'tribal court' means a court—

"(i) with jurisdiction over foster care placements; and

"(ii) that is—

"(I) a Court of Indian Offenses;

"(II) a court established and operated under the code or custom of an Indian tribe; or

"(III) any other administrative body of an Indian tribe that is vested with authority over foster care placements.

"(F) TRIBAL SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCY.—The term 'tribal social services agency' means the agency of an Indian tribe that has the primary responsibility for carrying out foster care licensing or approval (as of the date on which the proceeding described in paragraph (2)(A) commences) for the Indian tribe.

"(2) CRIMINAL RECORDS CHECK BEFORE FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), no foster care placement shall be finally approved and no foster care license shall be issued until the tribal social services agency—

"(i) completes a criminal records check of each covered individual who resides in the household or is employed at the institution in which the foster care placement will be made; and

"(ii) concludes that each covered individual described in clause (i) meets such standards as the Indian tribe shall establish in accordance with subparagraph (B).

"(B) STANDARDS OF PLACEMENT.—The standards described in subparagraph (A)(ii) shall include—

"(i) requirements that each tribal social services agency described in subparagraph (A)—

"(I) perform criminal records checks, including fingerprint-based checks of national crime information databases (as defined in section 534(f)(3) of title 28, United States Code);

"(II) check any abuse registries maintained by the Indian tribe; and

"(III) check any child abuse and neglect registry maintained by the State in which the covered individual resides for information on the covered individual, and request any other State in which the covered individual resided in the preceding 5 years, to enable the tribal social services agency to check any child abuse and neglect registry maintained by that State for such information; and

“(ii) any other additional requirement that the Indian tribe determines is necessary and permissible within the existing authority of the Indian tribe, such as the creation of voluntary agreements with State entities in order to facilitate the sharing of information related to the performance of criminal records checks.

“(C) RESULTS.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), no foster care placement shall be ordered in any proceeding described in subparagraph (A) if an investigation described in clause (i) of that subparagraph reveals that a covered individual described in that clause has been found by a Federal, State, or tribal court to have committed any crime listed in clause (i) or (ii) of section 471(a)(20)(A) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 671(a)(20)(A)).

“(3) EMERGENCY PLACEMENT.—Paragraph (2) shall not apply to an emergency foster care placement, as determined by a tribal social services agency.

“(4) RECERTIFICATION OF FOSTER HOMES OR INSTITUTIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this subsection, each Indian tribe shall establish procedures to recertify homes or institutions in which foster care placements are made.

“(B) CONTENTS.—The procedures described in subparagraph (A) shall include, at a minimum, periodic intervals at which the home or institution shall be subject to recertification to ensure—

“(i) the safety of the home or institution for the Indian child; and

“(ii) that each covered individual who resides in the home or is employed at the institution is subject to a criminal records check in accordance with this subsection, including any covered individual who—

“(I) resides in the home or is employed at the institution on the date on which the procedures established under subparagraph (A) commence; and

“(II) did not reside in the home or was not employed at the institution on the date on which the investigation described in paragraph (2)(A)(i) was completed.

“(C) GUIDANCE ISSUED BY THE SECRETARY.—The procedures established under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to any regulation or guidance issued by the Secretary that is in accordance with the purpose of this subsection.

“(5) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this subsection and after consultation with Indian tribes, the Secretary shall issue guidance regarding—

“(A) procedures for a criminal records check of any covered individual who—

“(i) resides in the home or is employed at the institution in which the foster care placement is made after the date on which the investigation described in paragraph (2)(A)(i) is completed; and

“(ii) was not the subject of an investigation described in paragraph (2)(A)(i) before the foster care placement was made;

“(B) self-reporting requirements for foster care homes or institutions in which any covered individual described in subparagraph (A) resides if the head of the household or the operator of the institution has knowledge that the covered individual—

“(i) has been found by a Federal, State, or tribal court to have committed any crime listed in clause (i) or (ii) of section 471(a)(20)(A) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 671(a)(20)(A)); or

“(ii) is listed on a registry described in clause (II) or (III) of paragraph (2)(B)(i);

“(C) promising practices used by Indian tribes to address emergency foster care placement procedures under paragraph (3); and

“(D) procedures for certifying compliance with this Act.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK) and the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GALLEGRO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of S. 184, the Native American Children's Safety Act, which amends the Indian Child Protection and Family Violence Prevention Act.

The bill requires tribal Social Service agencies to perform character background investigations of all foster care parents and adults living in foster care homes prior to placement of an Indian child into a foster home.

This bill creates a framework by which tribes must conduct thorough background checks of individuals who reside in or are employed by a foster home or institution in which tribal foster placements are made.

The bill would protect Indian foster children from being placed if the background check reveals a conviction by a Federal, State, or tribal court of felony child abuse, neglect, or crimes against children.

S. 184 is the companion to H.R. 1168, sponsored by the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER). H.R. 1168 passed the House of Representatives by voice vote on June 1, 2015.

These bills are the culmination of years of work led by Mr. CRAMER as he and his colleagues in the North Dakota delegation worked to address a very sad child abuse problem plaguing an Indian reservation in his State.

Passage of S. 184 is a critical first step toward ensuring that Indian children are placed in safe, secure, and loving homes within their tribal communities.

Again, I would like to thank my good friend, the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), for his hard work on this important issue.

I urge an “aye” vote on S. 184.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GALLEGRO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, we are all aware of the challenges that Native children face when it comes to their health, safety, and security. For example, Native children are 2.1 times more likely than other American children to end up in foster care. They are also 2.5 times more likely to become victims of abuse or neglect.

The Native American Children's Safety Act will help to address these disparities by strengthening background checks for prospective foster care parents prior to placement. In addition, the legislation will ensure that Federal and tribal agencies conduct these checks in a uniform manner.

The House previously passed an identical bill, H.R. 1168, introduced by our colleague from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), and it is critical that we pass the Senate version as well.

Mr. Speaker, there are many troubling issues that we in Congress must address in order to reverse the alarming trends that we see today in the health, safety, and well-being of Native children.

These kids deserve far more of our time and our attention; yet, for too long their needs have been neglected by this body.

So, Mr. Speaker, I call on Congress to reverse this pattern of neglect and to start passing legislation like the bill before us today that will help protect and provide for our Native children.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Senators HOEVEN and TESTER for introducing and moving the Native American Children's Safety Act through the Senate.

I ask my colleagues to stand with me in support of S. 184 and in support of our Native children.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the author of the House companion bill.

Mr. CRAMER. Mr. Speaker, I thank now my two favorite marines. That was very nice. Thanks to both of them.

Last Congress, in the Natural Resources Committee, we actually had an oversight hearing regarding the child protection crisis on the Spirit Lake Indian Reservation in my State of North Dakota in response to numerous child deaths and whistleblower reports detailing unsafe tribal placement of almost 40 foster children in abusive homes, many of these homes that were headed by convicted sex offenders.

In an effort to protect these children, I did introduce the Native American Children's Safety Act in the House, which is a companion bill, as noted by previous speakers, that was introduced in the Senate by Senator HOEVEN and Senator TESTER.

Both bills passed their respective Chambers without objection. Today I am asking my colleagues here in the House to join me in passing the Senate bill so that we can get it to the President for his quick signature.

As stated, the bill implements across-the-board minimum protections for children placed in foster care at the direction of a tribal court. And, yes, the statistics are stark. Native American children are 2.5 times more likely to be victims of abuse or neglect than other American children.

But, Mr. Speaker, children exposed to violence are also more likely to abuse drugs and alcohol. They are more likely to suffer from depression and anxiety and other post-traumatic disorders.

The standards in this bill mirror existing national requirements for non-tribal foster care placements, ensuring that tribal children receive care at least equal to that in the protections afforded non-tribal children.

It is bipartisan, as you can tell. It is noncontroversial, as you can tell. It was reported out of the Natural Resources Committee by unanimous consent both this Congress and the last Congress.

But I want to add this word of thanks to other folks who were very helpful. I want to thank the National Indian Child Welfare Association, the National Congress of American Indians, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the Department of Health and Human Services, all of whom provided insights and suggestions for this bill.

Their counsel proved valuable in providing the flexibility to the tribes without hampering, stepping on their sovereignty, so that they could transition to these uniform standards and help save perhaps many, many lives on our reservations.

I thank my colleagues. I urge a “yes” vote.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1515

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 184.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5077) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2017 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5077

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017”.

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definitions.

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 102. Classified schedule of authorizations.

Sec. 103. Personnel ceiling adjustments.

Sec. 104. Intelligence Community Management Account.

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DIS- ABILITY SYSTEM

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 301. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law.

Sec. 302. Restriction on conduct of intelligence activities.

Sec. 303. Authorization of appropriations for Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

Sec. 304. Modification of certain whistleblowing procedures.

Sec. 305. Reports on major defense intelligence acquisition programs.

Sec. 306. Modifications to certain requirements for construction of facilities.

Sec. 307. Information on activities of Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

Sec. 308. Clarification of authorization of certain activities of the Department of Energy.

Sec. 309. Technical correction to Executive Schedule.

Sec. 310. Maximum amount charged for declassification reviews.

TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELE- MENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMU- NITY

Subtitle A—Office of the Director of National Intelligence

Sec. 401. Analyses and impact statements by Director of National Intelligence regarding actions by Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States.

Sec. 402. National Counterintelligence and Security Center.

Sec. 403. Assistance for governmental entities and private entities in recognizing online violent extremist content.

Subtitle B—Central Intelligence Agency and Other Elements

Sec. 411. Enhanced death benefits for personnel of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Sec. 412. Pay and retirement authorities of the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Sec. 413. Clarification of authority, direction, and control over the information assurance directorate of the National Security Agency.

Sec. 414. Living quarters allowance for employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency.

Sec. 415. Plan on assumption of certain weather missions by the National Reconnaissance Office.

Sec. 416. Modernization of security clearance information technology architecture.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA

Sec. 501. Declassification of information on past terrorist activities of detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, after signing of Executive Order 13492.

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Sec. 601. Report on intelligence community employees detailed to National Security Council.

Sec. 602. Intelligence community reporting to Congress on foreign fighter flows.

Sec. 603. Report on information relating to academic programs, scholarships, fellowships, and internships sponsored, administered, or used by the intelligence community.

Sec. 604. Report on cybersecurity threats to seaports of the United States and maritime shipping.

Sec. 605. Report on counter-messaging activities.

Sec. 606. Report on reprisals against contractors of the intelligence community.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) **CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.**—The term “congressional intelligence committees” means—

(A) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(2) **INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.**—The term “intelligence community” has the meaning given that term in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2017 for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the following elements of the United States Government:

(1) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

(2) The Central Intelligence Agency.

(3) The Department of Defense.

(4) The Defense Intelligence Agency.

(5) The National Security Agency.

(6) The Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force.

(7) The Coast Guard.

(8) The Department of State.

(9) The Department of the Treasury.

(10) The Department of Energy.

(11) The Department of Justice.

(12) The Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(13) The Drug Enforcement Administration.

(14) The National Reconnaissance Office.

(15) The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency.

(16) The Department of Homeland Security.

SEC. 102. CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.

(a) **SPECIFICATIONS OF AMOUNTS AND PERSONNEL LEVELS.**—The amounts authorized to be appropriated under section 101 and, subject to section 103, the authorized personnel ceilings as of September 30, 2017, for the conduct of the intelligence activities of the elements listed in paragraphs (1) through (16) of section 101, are those specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations prepared to accompany this Act.

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.**—

(1) **AVAILABILITY.**—The classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in subsection (a) shall be made available to the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives, and to the President.

(2) **DISTRIBUTION BY THE PRESIDENT.**—Subject to paragraph (3), the President shall provide for suitable distribution of the classified

Schedule of Authorizations, or of appropriate portions of the Schedule, within the executive branch.

(3) LIMITS ON DISCLOSURE.—The President shall not publicly disclose the classified Schedule of Authorizations or any portion of such Schedule except—

(A) as provided in section 601(a) of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (50 U.S.C. 3306(a));

(B) to the extent necessary to implement the budget; or

(C) as otherwise required by law.

SEC. 103. PERSONNEL CEILING ADJUSTMENTS.

(a) AUTHORITY FOR INCREASES.—The Director of National Intelligence may authorize employment of civilian personnel in excess of the number authorized for fiscal year 2017 by the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a) if the Director of National Intelligence determines that such action is necessary to the performance of important intelligence functions, except that the number of personnel employed in excess of the number authorized under such section may not, for any element of the intelligence community, exceed 3 percent of the number of civilian personnel authorized under such schedule for such element.

(b) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—The Director of National Intelligence shall establish guidelines that govern, for each element of the intelligence community, the treatment under the personnel levels authorized under section 102(a), including any exemption from such personnel levels, of employment or assignment in—

(1) a student program, trainee program, or similar program;

(2) a reserve corps or as a reemployed annuitant; or

(3) details, joint duty, or long-term, full-time training.

(c) NOTICE TO CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.—The Director of National Intelligence shall notify the congressional intelligence committees in writing at least 15 days prior to each exercise of an authority described in subsection (a).

SEC. 104. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account of the Director of National Intelligence for fiscal year 2017 the sum of \$518,596,000. Within such amount, funds identified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a) for advanced research and development shall remain available until September 30, 2018.

(b) AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL LEVELS.—The elements within the Intelligence Community Management Account of the Director of National Intelligence are authorized 787 positions as of September 30, 2017. Personnel serving in such elements may be permanent employees of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence or personnel detailed from other elements of the United States Government.

(c) CLASSIFIED AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In addition to amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account by subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated for the Community Management Account for fiscal year 2017 such additional amounts as are specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a). Such additional amounts for advanced research and development shall remain available until September 30, 2018.

(2) AUTHORIZATION OF PERSONNEL.—In addition to the personnel authorized by subsection (b) for elements of the Intelligence

Community Management Account as of September 30, 2017, there are authorized such additional personnel for the Community Management Account as of that date as are specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a).

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability Fund for fiscal year 2017 the sum of \$514,000,000.

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. INCREASE IN EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Appropriations authorized by this Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for Federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in such compensation or benefits authorized by law.

SEC. 302. RESTRICTION ON CONDUCT OF INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.

The authorization of appropriations by this Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of any intelligence activity which is not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or the laws of the United States.

SEC. 303. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD.

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR AUTHORIZATIONS.—Subsection (m) of section 1061 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee(m)) is amended to read as follows:

“(m) FUNDING.—

“(1) SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION REQUIRED.—Appropriated funds available to the Board may be obligated or expended to carry out activities under this section only if such funds were specifically authorized by Congress for use for such activities for such fiscal year.

“(2) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term ‘specifically authorized by Congress’ has the meaning given that term in section 504(e) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3094(e)).”

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board for fiscal year 2017 the sum of \$10,081,000 to carry out the activities of the Board under section 1061 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee(m)).

SEC. 304. MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN WHISTLE-BLOWING PROCEDURES.

(a) CLARIFICATION OF WHISTLEBLOWING PROCEDURES AVAILABLE TO CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—Subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended by inserting after “Security Agency,” the following: “including any such employee who is assigned or detailed to a combatant command or other element of the Federal Government.”

(b) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—

(1) ROLE OF DIRECTOR.—Section 17(d)(5) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(d)(5)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking clause (i);

(ii) by striking “(i) Not” and inserting “Not”; and

(iii) by striking “to the Director” and inserting “to the intelligence committees”; and

(B) in subparagraph (D)—

(i) in clause (1), by striking “the Director” and inserting “the intelligence committees”; and

(ii) in clause (ii)—

(I) in subclause (I), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General”; and

(II) in subclause (II), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General, in consultation with the Director.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 17(d)(5) of such Act is further amended—

(i) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(ii) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) through (H) as subparagraphs (C) through (G), respectively.

(B) Section 3001(j)(1)(C)(ii) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 3341(j)(1)(C)(ii)) is amended by striking “subparagraphs (A), (D), and (H)” and inserting “subparagraphs (A), (C), and (G)”.

(c) OTHER ELEMENTS OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—

(1) ROLE OF HEADS.—Section 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking paragraph (2);

(ii) by striking “(1) Not” and inserting “Not”; and

(iii) by striking “to the head of the establishment” and inserting “to the intelligence committees”; and

(B) in subsection (d)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking “the head of the establishment” and inserting “the intelligence committees”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2)—

(I) in subparagraph (A), by striking “the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General”; and

(II) in subparagraph (B), by striking “the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General, in consultation with the head of the establishment.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 8H of such Act is further amended—

(A) by striking subsection (c);

(B) by redesignating subsections (d) through (i) as subsections (c) through (h), respectively; and

(C) in subsection (e), as so redesignated, by striking “subsections (a) through (e)” and inserting “subsections (a) through (d)”.

(d) OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 103H(k)(5) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3033(k)(5)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking “to the Director” and inserting “to the congressional intelligence committees”; and

(B) in subparagraph (D)—

(i) in clause (i), by striking “the Director” and inserting “the congressional intelligence committees”; and

(ii) in clause (ii)—

(I) in subclause (I), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General”; and

(II) in subclause (II), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General, in consultation with the Director.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 103H(k)(5) of such Act is further amended—

(A) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) through (I) as subparagraphs (C) through (H), respectively.

(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—None of the amendments made by this section may be construed to prohibit or otherwise affect the authority of an Inspector General of an element of the intelligence community, the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence

Agency, or the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community to notify the head of the element of the intelligence community, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, or the Director of National Intelligence, as the case may be, of a complaint or information otherwise authorized by law.

SEC. 305. REPORTS ON MAJOR DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 506J the following new section:

“SEC. 506K. REPORTS ON MAJOR DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS AT EACH MILESTONE APPROVAL.

“(a) REPORT ON MILESTONE A.—Not later than 15 days after granting Milestone A or equivalent approval for a major defense intelligence acquisition program, the milestone decision authority for the program shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a brief summary of the following:

“(1) The estimated cost and schedule for the program established by the military department concerned, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program milestone and initial operational capability.

“(2) The independent estimated cost for the program established pursuant to section 2334(a)(6) of title 10, United States Code, and any independent estimated schedule for the program, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program milestone and initial operational capability.

“(3) A summary of the technical risks, including cybersecurity risks and supply chain risks, associated with the program, as determined by the military department concerned, including identification of any critical technologies that need to be matured.

“(4) A summary of the sufficiency review conducted by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense of the analysis of alternatives performed for the program (as referred to in section 2366a(b)(6) of such title).

“(5) Any other information the milestone decision authority considers relevant.

“(b) REPORT ON MILESTONE B.—Not later than 15 days after granting Milestone B or equivalent approval for a major defense intelligence acquisition program, the milestone decision authority for the program shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a brief summary of the following:

“(1) The estimated cost and schedule for the program established by the military department concerned, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program milestone, initial operational test and evaluation, and initial operational capability.

“(2) The independent estimated cost for the program established pursuant to section 2334(a)(6) of title 10, United States Code, and any independent estimated schedule for the program, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program milestone, initial operational test and evaluation, and initial operational capability.

“(3) A summary of the technical risks, including cybersecurity risks and supply chain

risks, associated with the program, as determined by the military department concerned, including identification of any critical technologies that have not been successfully demonstrated in a relevant environment.

“(4) A summary of the sufficiency review conducted by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the analysis of alternatives performed for the program pursuant to section 2366a(b)(6) of such title.

“(5) A statement of whether the preliminary design review for the program described in section 2366b(a)(1) of such title has been completed.

“(6) Any other information the milestone decision authority considers relevant.

“(c) REPORT ON MILESTONE C.—Not later than 15 days after granting Milestone C or equivalent approval for a major defense intelligence acquisition program, the milestone decision authority for the program shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a brief summary of the following:

“(1) The estimated cost and schedule for the program established by the military department concerned, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for initial operational test and evaluation and initial operational capability.

“(2) The independent estimated cost for the program established pursuant to section 2334(a)(6) of title 10, United States Code, and any independent estimated schedule for the program, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for initial operational test and evaluation and initial operational capability.

“(3) The cost and schedule estimates approved by the milestone decision authority for the program.

“(4) A summary of the production, manufacturing, and fielding risks, including cybersecurity risks and supply chain risks, associated with the program.

“(5) Any other information the milestone decision authority considers relevant.

“(d) INITIAL OPERATING CAPABILITY OR FULL OPERATING CAPABILITY.—Not later than 15 days after a major defense intelligence acquisition program reaches initial operating capability or full operating capability, the milestone decision authority for the program shall notify the appropriate congressional committees of the program reaching such capability.

“(e) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—At the request of any of the appropriate congressional committees, the milestone decision authority shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees further information or underlying documentation for the information in a report submitted under subsection (a), (b), or (c), including the independent cost and schedule estimates and the independent technical risk assessments referred to in those subsections.

“(f) NONDUPLICATION OF EFFORT.—If any information required under this section has been included in another report or assessment previously submitted to the congressional intelligence committees under sections 506A, 506C, or 506E, the milestone decision authority may provide a list of such reports and assessments at the time of submitting a report required under this section instead of including such information in such report.

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees (as defined in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code).

“(2) The term ‘major defense intelligence acquisition program’ means a major defense acquisition program (as defined in section 2430 of title 10, United States Code) that relates to intelligence or intelligence-related activities.

“(3) The term ‘Milestone A approval’ has the meaning given that term in section 2366a(d) of title 10, United States Code.

“(4) The terms ‘Milestone B approval’ and ‘Milestone C approval’ have the meaning given those terms in section 2366(e) of such title.

“(5) The term ‘milestone decision authority’ has the meaning given that term in section 2366a(d) of such title.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in the first section of the National Security Act of 1947 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 506J the following new item:

“Sec. 506K. Reports on major defense intelligence acquisition programs at each milestone approval.”

SEC. 306. MODIFICATIONS TO CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES.

(a) INCLUSION IN BUDGET REQUESTS OF CERTAIN PROJECTS.—Section 8131 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1995 (Public Law 103-335; 50 U.S.C. 3303) is repealed.

(b) NOTIFICATION.—Section 602(a)(2) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-359; 50 U.S.C. 3304(a)(2)) is amended by striking “improvement project to” and inserting “project for the improvement, repair, or modification of”.

SEC. 307. INFORMATION ON ACTIVITIES OF PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD.

Section 1061(d) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee(d)) is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) INFORMATION.—

“(A) ACTIVITIES.—In addition to the reports submitted to Congress under subsection (e)(1)(B), the Board shall ensure that each official and congressional committee specified in subparagraph (B) is kept fully and currently informed of the activities of the Board, including any significant anticipated activities.

“(B) OFFICIALS AND CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES SPECIFIED.—The officials and congressional committees specified in this subparagraph are the following:

“(i) The Director of National Intelligence.

“(ii) The head of any element of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4))) the activities of which are, or are anticipated to be, the subject of the review or advice of the Board.

“(iii) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.”

SEC. 308. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.

Funds appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the Department of Energy shall be deemed to be authorized to be appropriated for such activities, including for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3094).

SEC. 309. TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE.

Section 5313 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to “Director of the National Counter Proliferation Center.”.

SEC. 310. MAXIMUM AMOUNT CHARGED FOR DECLASSIFICATION REVIEWS.

In reviewing and processing a request by a person for the mandatory declassification of information pursuant to Executive Order 13526, a successor executive order, or any other provision of law, the head of an element of the intelligence community—

(1) may not charge the person reproduction fees in excess of the amount of fees that the head would charge the person for reproduction required in the course of processing a request for information under section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the “Freedom of Information Act”); and

(2) may waive or reduce any processing fees in the same manner as the head waives or reduces fees under such section 552.

TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY**Subtitle A—Office of the Director of National Intelligence****SEC. 401. ANALYSES AND IMPACT STATEMENTS BY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE REGARDING ACTIONS BY COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES.**

Section 721(b)(4) of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4565) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.—Not later than 5 days after the completion of a review or an investigation of a covered transaction under this subsection that concludes action under this section, the Director shall submit to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate an analysis under subparagraph (A) relating to such covered transaction previously provided to the Committee, including any supplements or amendments to such analysis made by the Director.

“(F) IMPACT STATEMENTS.—Not later than 60 days after the completion of a review or an investigation of a covered transaction under this subsection that concludes action under this section, the Director shall determine whether the covered transaction will have an operational impact on the intelligence community, and, if so, shall submit a report on such impact to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate. Each such report shall—

“(i) describe the operational impact of the covered transaction on the intelligence community; and

“(ii) describe any actions that have been or will be taken to mitigate such impact.”.

SEC. 402. NATIONAL COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY CENTER.

(a) REDESIGNATION OF OFFICE OF NATIONAL COUNTERINTELLIGENCE EXECUTIVE.—Section 904 of the Counterintelligence Enhancement Act of 2002 (50 U.S.C. 3383) is amended—

(1) by striking “Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears (including in the section heading) and inserting “National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(2) by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(3) in the headings of subsections (b) and (c), by striking “of Office” both places it appears and inserting “Center”;

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking “by the Office” and inserting “by the Center”;

(B) in paragraph (6), by striking “that the Office” and inserting “that the Center”;

(5) in subsection (f)(1), by striking “by the Office” and inserting “by the Center”;

(6) in subsection (g), by striking “of the Office” and inserting “of the Center”;

(7) in subsection (h), by striking “of the Office” each place it appears and inserting “of the Center”.

(b) REDESIGNATION OF NATIONAL COUNTERINTELLIGENCE EXECUTIVE.—Section 902 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3382) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following new subsection:

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There shall be a Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center (referred to in this section as ‘the Director’), who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.”;

(2) by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears (including the section heading) and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(3) by striking “Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears and inserting “National Counterintelligence and Security Center”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) NATIONAL SECURITY ACT OF 1947.—The National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.) is amended—

(A) in section 102A(f)(2), by inserting after “Counterterrorism Center” the following: “, the National Counterproliferation Center, and the National Counterintelligence and Security Center.”;

(B) in section 103(c)(8), by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive (including the Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive)” and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(C) in section 103F, by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears (including in the headings) and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”.

(2) INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.—Section 811 of the Counterintelligence and Security Enhancements Act of 1994 (title VIII of Public Law 103-359; 50 U.S.C. 3381) is amended—

(A) in subsections (b) and (c)(1), by striking “The National Counterintelligence Executive” and inserting “The Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(B) in subsection (d)(1)(B)(ii)—

(i) by striking “to the National Counterintelligence Executive” and inserting “to the Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(ii) by striking “Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive” and inserting “National Counterintelligence and Security Center”.

(3) INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004.—Section 341(b) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-177; 28 U.S.C. 519 note) is amended by striking “Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive” and inserting “National Counterintelligence and Security Center”.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections in the first section of the National Security Act of 1947 is amended by striking the item relating to section 103F and inserting the following:

“Sec. 103F. Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center.”.

(e) CONFORMING STYLE.—Any new language inserted or added to a provision of law by the amendments made by this section shall conform to the typeface and typestyle of the matter in which the language is so inserted or added.

(f) TECHNICAL EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) of section 401 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (division M of Public Law 114-113) shall not take effect, or, if the date of the enactment of this Act is on or after the effective date specified in subsection (b) of such section, such amendment shall be deemed to not have taken effect.

SEC. 403. ASSISTANCE FOR GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND PRIVATE ENTITIES IN RECOGNIZING ONLINE VIOLENT EXTREMIST CONTENT.

(a) ASSISTANCE TO RECOGNIZE ONLINE VIOLENT EXTREMIST CONTENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall publish on a publicly available Internet website a list of all logos, symbols, insignia, and other markings commonly associated with, or adopted by, an organization designated by the Secretary of State as a foreign terrorist organization under section 219(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189(a)).

(b) UPDATES.—The Director shall update the list published under subsection (a) every 180 days or more frequently as needed.

Subtitle B—Central Intelligence Agency and Other Elements**SEC. 411. ENHANCED DEATH BENEFITS FOR PERSONNEL OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.**

Section 11 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3511) is amended to read as follows:

“BENEFITS AVAILABLE IN EVENT OF THE DEATH OF PERSONNEL

“SEC. 11. (a) AUTHORITY.—The Director may pay death benefits substantially similar to those authorized for members of the Foreign Service pursuant to the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.) or any other provision of law. The Director may adjust the eligibility for death benefits as necessary to meet the unique requirements of the mission of the Agency.

“(b) REGULATIONS.—Regulations issued pursuant to this section shall be submitted to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate before such regulations take effect.”.

SEC. 412. PAY AND RETIREMENT AUTHORITIES OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 17(e)(7) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(e)(7)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C)(i) The Inspector General may designate an officer or employee appointed in accordance with subparagraph (A) as a law enforcement officer solely for purposes of subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code, if such officer or employee is appointed to a position with responsibility for investigating suspected offenses against the criminal laws of the United States.

“(ii) In carrying out clause (i), the Inspector General shall ensure that any authority under such clause is exercised in a manner consistent with section 3307 of title 5, United States Code, as it relates to law enforcement officers.

“(iii) For purposes of applying sections 3307(d), 8335(b), and 8425(b) of title 5, United States Code, the Inspector General may exercise the functions, powers, and duties of an agency head or appointing authority with respect to the Office.”.

(b) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Subparagraph (C) of section 17(e)(7) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(e)(7)), as added by subsection (a), may not be construed to confer on the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence Agency, or any other officer or employee of the Agency, any police or law enforcement or internal security functions or authorities.

SEC. 413. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY, DIRECTION, AND CONTROL OVER THE INFORMATION ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY.

Section 142(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking the semicolon and inserting “; and”;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; and” and inserting a period; and

(3) by striking subparagraph (D).

SEC. 414. LIVING QUARTERS ALLOWANCE FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—Notwithstanding sections 1603 and 1605 of title 10, United States Code, and subchapter III of chapter 59 of title 5, a civilian employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency who is assigned to a directorate of a geographic combatant command that is headquartered outside of the United States may not receive a living quarters allowance.

(b) **APPLICATION.**—Subsection (a) shall apply with respect to a pay period beginning on or after the date that is one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 415. PLAN ON ASSUMPTION OF CERTAIN WEATHER MISSIONS BY THE NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE.

(a) **PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of the National Reconnaissance Office shall develop a plan for the National Reconnaissance Office to address how to carry out covered space-based environmental monitoring missions. Such plan shall include—

(A) a description of the related national security requirements for such missions;

(B) a description of the appropriate manner to meet such requirements; and

(C) the amount of funds that would be necessary to be transferred from the Air Force to the National Reconnaissance Office during fiscal years 2018 through 2022 to carry out such plan.

(2) **ACTIVITIES.**—In developing the plan under paragraph (1), the Director may conduct pre-acquisition activities, including with respect to requests for information, analyses of alternatives, study contracts, modeling and simulation, and other activities the Director determines necessary to develop such plan.

(3) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than the date on which the President submits to Congress the budget for fiscal year 2018 under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Director shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the plan under paragraph (1).

(b) **INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATE.**—The Director of the Cost Assessment Improvement Group of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, in coordination with the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense, shall certify to the appropriate congressional committees that the amounts of funds identified under subsection (a)(1)(C) as being necessary to transfer are appropriate and include funding for positions and personnel to support program office costs.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional intelligence committees; and

(B) the congressional defense committees (as defined in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code).

(2) The term “covered space-based environmental monitoring missions” means the acquisition programs necessary to meet the national security requirements for cloud characterization and theater weather imagery.

SEC. 416. MODERNIZATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ARCHITECTURE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of National Intelligence shall support the Director of the Office of Personnel Management and the Secretary of Defense in the efforts of the Secretary to develop and implement an information technology system (in this section referred to as the “System”) to—

(1) modernize and sustain the security clearance information architecture of the National Background Investigations Bureau and the Department of Defense;

(2) support decisionmaking processes for the evaluation and granting of personnel security clearances;

(3) improve cybersecurity capabilities with respect to sensitive security clearance data and processes;

(4) reduce the complexity and cost of the security clearance process;

(5) provide information to managers on the financial and administrative costs of the security clearance process;

(6) strengthen the ties between counterintelligence and personnel security communities; and

(7) improve system standardization in the security clearance process.

(b) **GUIDANCE.**—The Director of National Intelligence shall support the Director of the Office of Personnel Management and the Secretary of Defense in the efforts of the Director of the Office of Personnel Management and the Secretary to issue guidance establishing the respective roles, responsibilities, and obligations of the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, the Secretary, and the Director of National Intelligence, with respect to the development and implementation of the System.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA

SEC. 501. DECLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION ON PAST TERRORIST ACTIVITIES OF DETAINEES TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, AFTER SIGNING OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 13492.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall—

(1) in the manner described in the classified annex that accompanies this Act—

(A) complete a declassification review of intelligence reports prepared by the National Counterterrorism Center prior to Periodic Review Board sessions or detainee transfers on the past terrorist activities of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, who were transferred or released from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, after the signing of Executive Order 13492 (relating to the closure of the detention facility at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba); and

(B) make available to the public any information declassified as a result of the declassification review; and

(2) submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report setting forth—

(A) the results of the declassification review; and

(B) if any information covered by the declassification review was not declassified pursuant to the review, a justification for the determination not to declassify such information.

(b) **PAST TERRORIST ACTIVITIES.**—For purposes of this section, the past terrorist activities of an individual shall include the terrorist activities conducted by the individual before the transfer of the individual to the detention facility at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, including, at a minimum, the following:

(1) The terrorist organization, if any, with which affiliated.

(2) The terrorist training, if any, received.

(3) The role in past terrorist attacks against the interests or allies of the United States.

(4) The direct responsibility, if any, for the death of citizens of the United States or members of the Armed Forces.

(5) Any admission of any matter specified in paragraphs (1) through (4).

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

SEC. 601. REPORT ON INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY EMPLOYEES DETAILED TO NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL.

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report listing, by year, the number of employees of an element of the intelligence community who have been detailed to the National Security Council during the 10-year period preceding the date of the report.

SEC. 602. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY REPORTING TO CONGRESS ON FOREIGN FIGHTER FLOWS.

(a) **REPORTS REQUIRED.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter, the Director of National Intelligence, consistent with the protection of intelligence sources and methods, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on foreign fighter flows to and from terrorist safe havens abroad.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—Each report submitted under subsection (a) shall include, with respect to each terrorist safe haven, the following:

(1) The total number of foreign fighters who have traveled or are suspected of having traveled to the terrorist safe haven since 2011, including the countries of origin of such foreign fighters.

(2) The total number of United States citizens present in the terrorist safe haven.

(3) The total number of foreign fighters who have left the terrorist safe haven or whose whereabouts are unknown.

(c) **FORM.**—The reports submitted under subsection (a) may be submitted in classified form. If such a report is submitted in classified form, such report shall also include an unclassified summary.

(d) **SUNSET.**—The requirement to submit reports under subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is two years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) in the Senate—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services;

(B) the Select Committee on Intelligence;

(C) the Committee on the Judiciary;

(D) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs;

(E) the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs;

(F) the Committee on Foreign Relations; and

(G) the Committee on Appropriations; and
(2) in the House of Representatives—
(A) the Committee on Armed Services;
(B) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence;

(C) the Committee on the Judiciary;
(D) the Committee on Homeland Security;
(E) the Committee on Financial Services;
(F) the Committee on Foreign Affairs; and
(G) the Committee on Appropriations.

SEC. 603. REPORT ON INFORMATION RELATING TO ACADEMIC PROGRAMS, SCHOLARSHIPS, FELLOWSHIPS, AND INTERNSHIPS SPONSORED, ADMINISTERED, OR USED BY THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to Congress a report by the intelligence community regarding covered academic programs. Such report shall include—

(1) a description of the extent to which the Director and the heads of the elements of the intelligence community independently collect information on covered academic programs, including with respect to—

(A) the number of applicants for such programs;

(B) the number of individuals who have participated in such programs; and

(C) the number of individuals who have participated in such programs and were hired by an element of the intelligence community after completing such program;

(2) to the extent that the Director and the heads independently collect the information described in paragraph (1), a chart, table, or other compilation illustrating such information for each covered academic program and element of the intelligence community, as appropriate, during the three-year period preceding the date of the report; and

(3) to the extent that the Director and the heads do not independently collect the information described in paragraph (1) as of the date of the report—

(A) whether the Director and the heads can begin collecting such information during fiscal year 2017; and

(B) the personnel, tools, and other resources required by the Director and the heads to independently collect such information.

(b) COVERED ACADEMIC PROGRAMS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered academic programs” means—

(1) the Federal Cyber Scholarship-for-Service Program under section 302 of the Cybersecurity Enhancement Act of 2014 (15 U.S.C. 7442);

(2) the National Security Education Program under the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1901 et seq.);

(3) the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation Defense Education Program under section 2192a of title 10, United States Code;

(4) the National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance and Cyber Defense of the National Security Agency and the Department of Homeland Security; and

(5) any other academic program, scholarship program, fellowship program, or internship program sponsored, administered, or used by an element of the intelligence community.

SEC. 604. REPORT ON CYBERSECURITY THREATS TO SEAPORTS OF THE UNITED STATES AND MARITIME SHIPPING.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence,

and consistent with the protection of sources and methods, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the cybersecurity threats to, and the cyber vulnerabilities within, the software, communications networks, computer networks, or other systems employed by—

(1) entities conducting significant operations at seaports in the United States;

(2) the maritime shipping concerns of the United States; and

(3) entities conducting significant operations at transshipment points in the United States.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of any recent and significant cyberattacks or cybersecurity threats directed against software, communications networks, computer networks, or other systems employed by the entities and concerns described in paragraphs (1) through (3) of subsection (a).

(2) An assessment of—

(A) any planned cyberattacks directed against such software, networks, and systems;

(B) any significant vulnerabilities to such software, networks, and systems; and

(C) how such entities and concerns are mitigating such vulnerabilities.

(3) An update on the status of the efforts of the Coast Guard to include cybersecurity concerns in the National Response Framework, Emergency Support Functions, or both, relating to the shipping or ports of the United States.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional intelligence committees; and

(2) the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

SEC. 605. REPORT ON COUNTER-MESSAGING ACTIVITIES.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis, consistent with the protection of sources and methods, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the counter-messaging activities of the Department of Homeland Security with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of whether, and to what extent, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in conducting counter-messaging activities with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups, consults or coordinates with the Secretary of State, regarding the counter-messaging activities undertaken by the Department of State with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups, including counter-messaging activities conducted by the Global Engagement Center of the Department of State.

(2) Any criteria employed by the Secretary of Homeland Security for selecting, developing, promulgating, or changing the counter-messaging approach of the Department of Homeland Security, including any counter-messaging narratives, with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional intelligence committees; and

(2) the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives and the

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

SEC. 606. REPORT ON REPRISALS AGAINST CONTRACTORS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community, consistent with the protection of sources and methods, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on reprisals made against covered contractor employees.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) Identification of the number of known or suspected reprisals made against covered contractor employees during the five-year period preceding the date of the report.

(2) An evaluation of the usefulness of establishing in law a prohibition on reprisals against covered contractor employees as a means of encouraging such contractors to make protected disclosures.

(3) A description of any challenges associated with establishing in law such a prohibition, including with respect to the nature of the relationship between the Federal Government, the contractor, and the covered contractor employee.

(4) A description of any approaches taken by the Federal Government to account for reprisals against non-intelligence community contractors who make protected disclosures, including pursuant to section 2409 of title 10, United States Code, and sections 4705 and 4712 of title 41, United States Code.

(5) Any recommendations the Inspector General determines appropriate.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional intelligence committees; and

(B) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

(2) The term “covered contractor employee” means an employee of a contractor of an element of the intelligence community.

(3) The term “reprisal” means the discharge, demotion, or other discriminatory personnel action made against a covered contractor employee for making a disclosure of information that would be a disclosure protected by law if the contractor were an employee of the Federal Government.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. NUNES) and the gentleman from California (Mr. SCHIFF) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. NUNES).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill, H.R. 5077.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Passing an annual intelligence authorization bill is the most important tool Congress has to conduct effective oversight of the intelligence activities of the U.S. Government. Today, Ranking Member SCHIFF and I are bringing the seventh consecutive intelligence

authorization bill to the floor. I am pleased to say that, as in past years, this bill is a bipartisan product that reflects the contributions of all of the committee's members. It was reported out of the committee by a unanimous voice vote.

Because most of the intelligence budget involves highly classified programs, the bulk of the committee's schedule of authorization and direction are found in the classified annex to the bill. The classified annex has been available in HVC-304 for all Members to review since Friday, April 29.

At the unclassified level, I can report that the overall funding authorized by this bill is slightly above the President's budget request, but still below last year's enacted level. The overall funding is also consistent with the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015. Furthermore, the bill funds the Military Intelligence Program in line with the levels of the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017.

The bill funds high-priority initiatives not included in the President's request, trims requested increases that lack clear justifications, and reflects the committee's determinations of which programs represent the best value for intelligence dollars in a challenging budget environment.

Mr. Speaker, today the threat level facing America is higher than at any time since 9/11. ISIL has established safe havens in Syria, Iraq, and Libya, and the group hopes to create caliphates stretching from Lebanon to Iraq, including Jordan and Israel. The goal of our counterterrorism strategy should be to deny safe havens from which terrorists can plot attacks against the United States and our allies. Regrettably, we have not prevented ISIL from establishing a safe haven, and the group has become skilled at hiding from Western intelligence services. ISIL members have used that breathing room to plan attacks in Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East, and they are undoubtedly planning attacks against the homeland here in the United States.

This bill will ensure that the dedicated men and women of our intelligence community have the funding, authorities, and support they need to carry out their mission and to keep us safe.

Before closing, I want to take a moment to thank the men and women of this country who serve in our intelligence community. I am honored to get to know so many of them in the course of the committee's oversight work.

I would like to thank all of the committee's members—majority and minority—for their contributions to our oversight over the past year, and especially our subcommittee chairmen and ranking members for their expertise on the programs within their subcommittees' jurisdiction. The many hearings, briefings, and oversight visits our members carry out during the year

provide the inputs for the authorization and direction in this annual bill.

I would also like to thank the staff of the committee for their hard work on the bill and for their daily oversight of the intelligence community.

In particular, I would like to thank Shannon Stuart, Nick Ciarlante, Scott Glabe, Bill Flanigan, Lisa Major, Geof Kahn, Chelsey Campbell, Andrew House, Doug Presley, Steve Keith, George Pappas, Jack Langer, Crystal Weeks, Jake Crisp, and Diane Rinaldo. I would also like to thank our two fellows from the Los Alamos National Laboratory, Alex Kent and Philip Tubesing. All of these staff members spent long hours working on the legislative text and its classified annex, and the bill is stronger for it.

Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of H.R. 5077, as amended.

I reserve the balance of my time.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY,
Washington, DC, May 20, 2016.

Hon. DEVIN NUNES,
Chairman, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN NUNES: I am writing to you concerning the jurisdictional interest of the Committee on Homeland Security in H.R. 5077, the "Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016." The bill includes provisions that fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Homeland Security.

I recognize and appreciate the desire to bring this legislation before the House of Representatives in an expeditious manner, and accordingly, the Committee on Homeland Security will forego action on this bill. However, this is conditional based on our mutual understanding that foregoing consideration of H.R. 5077 at this time does not prejudice this Committee with respect to the appointment of conferees or any fixture jurisdictional claim over the subject matter contained in this bill or similar legislation.

This waiver is also given with the understanding that the Committee on Homeland Security expressly reserves its authority to seek conferees on any provision within its jurisdiction during any House-Senate conference that may be convened on this or any similar legislation, and requests your support for such a request.

I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 5077, and ask that a copy of this letter and your response be included in the Congressional Record during consideration of this bill on the House floor. I look forward to working with the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence as this bill moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL T. MCCAUL,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE, WASHINGTON, DC,
MAY 23, 2016.

Hon. MICHAEL MCCAUL,
Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security,
Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN MCCAUL: Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 5077, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017. As you noted, certain provisions of the bill are related to the jurisdictional interests of the Committee on Homeland Security. I agree that your letter in no way diminishes or alters the jurisdiction of the Committee on the Homeland Security with respect to

the appointment of conferees or any future jurisdictional claim over the subject matters contained in the bill or any similar legislation.

I appreciate your willingness to assist in expediting this legislation for floor consideration. I will include a copy of your letter and this response in the Congressional Record during consideration of the legislation on the House floor. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

DEVIN NUNES,
Chairman.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

First, I would like to thank Chairman NUNES, who has once again proven an invaluable partner on the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

The Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 is the fourth major piece of bipartisan legislation that we advanced together in less than 18 months. That is no small feat. Working together, we have proven yet again what this body can achieve when the country's interests are put first: solving real problems for each and every American, as well as for people around the world; supporting the men and women of the largest and most capable intelligence community—who work day and night to keep us safe—while ensuring strict oversight of even the most highly classified activities.

Chairman NUNES and I do not agree on everything, nor should we. We have different perspectives and speak for an even broader group of Representatives in the body as a whole. There are provisions I wish had been in this bill and some I wish were not in the bill. I know my majority colleagues feel the same way about other provisions. I also believe we could have done this bill under a more open rule. But because we all rolled up our sleeves and worked together, the bill before us today is an exceptional work product, and I am very proud to support it.

It is also an honest bill. There are no budget gimmicks to evade spending commitments. While the bill contains a classified annex and schedule of authorizations, each and every page has been available, and will remain available, to every Member for review.

This bill also reaffirms one of my core convictions, borne out by the other three bills our committee has passed: that privacy and security can and must coexist.

The bill funds and authorizes vital programs and activities of the U.S. intelligence community, including the Department of Defense intelligence elements. At the same time, the IAA's several hundred pages provide detailed guidance, strict authorization, and clear limitations on the IC's activities.

Turning to more specifics, this year's IAA authorizes intelligence funding nearly equivalent to the President's budget request, which is about the same level as fiscal year 2016's enacted budget level. The base budget authorization is nearly equal to the President's request, and the overseas contingency operations authorization is roughly 1.5 percent above the request.

The bill trims some unnecessary funding and reprioritizes resource allocations, adds money to underfunded programs, and provides congressional direction to ensure greater accountability, transparency, and efficiency within the IC. It also fences, or restricts the spending of, significant amounts of money to better ensure continuous IC accountability throughout the year.

The IAA also addresses the key strategic questions that we have been asking over the course of the year: First, are we focusing too much on the threats of the day at the expense of the threats of tomorrow?

We do not have the luxury of choosing our challenges. Over the years, we have spent significant resources on counterterrorism priorities in the Middle East and South Asia, and, of course, we must continue to focus on counterterrorism, particularly with the enduring threat of ISIL.

But at the same time, we cannot disregard our near-peer competitors, such as China and Russia, whose increasing adventurism challenges our interests and influence abroad and threatens our allies and partners. I am pleased this year's IAA strikes a better balance between the near-term threats and longer term challenges that we face.

Second, are we sufficiently protecting what we currently have, whether in space, at sea, or in the cyber realm?

Our space, cyber, and sea assets are the most advanced in the world, but unless we are careful, they will become increasingly vulnerable. To better secure them, this bill wisely invests in cyber and supply chain security, as well as in resilience and other means of protection.

Third, are we leveraging commercial products and services while, at the same time, making investments in revolutionary technologies that do not yet have commercial application?

We have the world's most productive and innovative private sector, particularly when it comes to space. We must leverage and support it wherever we can, which I am pleased the IAA does. At the same time, this bill recognizes that government must invest in the most advanced, game-changing technologies that do not yet have a market.

Fourth, are we recruiting, training, and developing the most effective and diverse workforce, as well as leveraging foreign intelligence relationships and building foreign partner capacity?

The U.S. has the most advanced, capable, and reliable intelligence community in the world. Wherever I travel, I am continually impressed and inspired when I meet these brave and talented women and men. This bill identifies ways to further support and improve the workforce by expanding diversity in the IC, promoting travel, and supporting language training. It also provides critical support to build the ca-

capacity of foreign partner services and does so strategically, in a way that helps ensure the utmost professionalism and respect for the rule of law.

As is the case in nearly all legislation, as I mentioned at the outset, this bill is not perfect.

For years, I have pushed the administration and Congress to support the publication of an annual report on the number of combatants and noncombatants killed in lethal strikes. Despite our best efforts to ensure to a near certainty that no civilians will be killed or injured, sometimes strikes do result in civilian casualties, and it is important that we acknowledge these accidents, learn from them, and be open about them. At the same time, greater transparency can help narrow the perception gap between what really happens and what is reported or sent out as propaganda.

Soon, the administration will release the first accountability report on non-combatant casualties and injuries. This is a good thing. But I also believe that there is a value and a statutory requirement to make this executive action permanent, ensuring that our commitment to transparency extends beyond the term of the current administration. This is an issue that I believe the IAA or NDAA should have addressed, and I will continue to work with my colleagues to push for this change to be codified into law.

As I said at the outset, this bill is truly bipartisan, carefully refined, and an honest effort to secure our Nation while safeguarding privacy and civil liberties. I am proud to support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act, and I urge my colleagues to do the same.

Once again, I want to thank Chairman NUNES and all of the members of HPSCI. I look forward to working with the Senate, the administration, and with all my colleagues throughout the remainder of this Congress to further improve the bill as it progresses to the President's desk.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. STEWART).

Mr. STEWART. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for allowing me to speak in support of the Intelligence Authorization Act.

Fifteen years or so ago, I was piloting the B-1, which is one of the most sophisticated aircraft or weapons systems ever developed. At the time, I was preparing to take on the global threats that we were dealing with, but I was reminded that we live in a dangerous world and that the fundamental responsibility of the Federal Government is to protect Americans and to provide for our mutual defense.

In the many years since then, I would argue that our Nation faces even greater threats than those I faced during the times that I flew in the Air Force.

□ 1530

Russia is, again, increasing its role in Eurasia through formulating strategic partnerships, co-opting local officials, and utilizing its military to establish strongholds in ways we really haven't seen since the height of the cold war.

China has dramatically expanded its militaristic sphere in the South China Sea and in other locations.

Rogue states like Iran and North Korea continue to develop and expand their weapons of mass destruction programs.

And, of course, there is always the Middle East, a thing that we often think about and that we spend so much time worrying about, that requires so much of our resources.

It is only through the intelligence community that we are able to identify and then respond to these threats. In fact, as we all know, just yesterday we learned of a U.S. air strike that killed Mullah Mansoor, the head of the Taliban. Successful operations like this are made possible because of the great work of our intelligence community.

That is why we must pass the Intelligence Authorization Act. This bill continues to authorize critical national security programs at a time when we face the most significant threat levels since World War II.

In my travels around the world, I have this great blessing of working with members from the intelligence community. I see what they do is dangerous. It is exhausting. It is the dirty work down in the trenches, but it is critical to our national security.

That is why I ask my colleagues to join with me in supporting this important legislation.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. SWALWELL), who is one of our subcommittee ranking members.

Mr. SWALWELL of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank my esteemed colleague, the ranking member from California, for yielding the time today, and for leading and presiding on our side over this bill.

I would also like to thank the staff on both sides for their hard work on this year's Intelligence Authorization Act, or the IAA.

I also appreciate the opportunity to stand here in support of this year's bipartisan IAA. We ask a lot of our intelligence community when it comes to collaboration. When they collaborate, they best keep us safe. What we are doing today is we are sending to the floor a bill that reflects our own collaboration and shows that what we expect of them, we can also deliver to the House floor.

I am pleased that this bill promotes our national security around the globe and, in particular, our human intelligence capabilities, which still, I believe, remain at risk and could benefit from an even greater focus within the IC.

I am also pleased that the IAA includes, as a stand-alone provision, the

Tracking Foreign Fighters in Terrorist Safe Havens Act that Representative LOBIONDO and I brought to the floor earlier this year, which passed the House unanimously and helps track the foreign fighter flows to and from terrorist safe havens abroad, a growing problem in today's world.

This year's IAA committee report also includes a provision I added requiring a report from the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, analyzing the status of student loan forgiveness and debt counseling programs across the IC and the viability of IC-wide programs. As student debt continues to cripple this generation, we must determine the best incentive packages available to young intelligence officers abroad and here at home in order to continue to recruit and retain the best, brightest, and most diverse to public service, regardless of their financial situation because they went to college.

I am also pleased that this bill calls for a report from the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Energy on their current utilization of national labs expertise, and opportunities for areas of expansion. My own congressional district is home to two of these labs—Lawrence Livermore and Sandia. I have seen firsthand how they work to strengthen our national security. Just as we must train and retain the best and brightest of the IC, we must continue to leverage the great talent found in our national labs.

I encourage all of our Members to support this year's collaborative bipartisan IAA.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Alabama (Ms. SEWELL), also one of our subcommittee ranking members.

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act.

Our national security is truly a bipartisan issue, and this legislation is a reflection of both parties' shared commitment to the safety and security of all Americans. This bill helps provide our intelligence community with the necessary resources and capabilities to defend our Nation against ongoing and emerging threats around the world.

As a ranking member on the DOD Intelligence and Overhead Architecture Subcommittee, I am pleased that the language and direction in this bill continues to advance our capabilities on the ground and in space, and provides necessary oversight of many critical DOD, NRO, and NGA programs. Additionally, this legislation takes important steps towards enhancing thorough oversight of our surveillance capabilities while continuing to make calculated investments in critically important strategic efforts.

In the IAA, we also invest in our greatest national resource—our people. By accepting provisions that I drafted to promote diversity in the IC work-

force, we are now able to provide a summer internship program to students from the existing Centers of Academic Excellence. We also now hold the IC more accountable for doing a better job of developing a matrix to assess how minority fellowship and internship programs actually achieve their desired results.

This past weekend I had the pleasure, along with Congressman ANDRÉ CARSON, to attend and be honored at the 3rd Annual African American National Security and Intelligence Leadership Summit. This annual event serves as a rare opportunity for African Americans in the IC to gain leadership insights from top national security officials. It was also a great occasion and further reaffirmed my commitment to helping ensure robust diversity throughout the entire IC.

We were also successful in this year's IAA to include bipartisan language that promotes accountability and transparency in all IC federally funded academic programs by requiring agencies to report on their recruitment and retention efforts. Increasing diversity and accountability in the IC is a good governance issue and makes all of us better because it ensures unique and creative ways of problem-solving, which is increasingly necessary as we face more complex intelligence challenges.

As a committee, I am extremely proud of the work we did. We took great pains to cut unnecessary funding while prioritizing the need to improve upon processes and promote efficiencies in the IC. The reality is that we live in a world where potential threats to our Nation are constantly developing and changing. As our military missions and intelligence objectives continue to evolve, we need an intelligence community that is diverse, agile, and adequately funded.

I am proud to support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act. I want to commend my chairman and ranking member and all of the staff for all of their hard work on this bill.

I urge my colleagues to support this critically important piece of legislation.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES), also a subcommittee ranking member, and one of the leaders on many issues in the committee but, in particular, on privacy issues related to the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

Mr. HIMES. Mr. Speaker, I would like to start by thanking the chairman and the ranking member of the committee for the terrific, open, and bipartisan process that led to the adoption of this bill in committee, and urge my colleagues here in this room to support it.

I would also like to add my plaudits and thanks to staff on both sides of the aisle without whom this would never have been possible.

I support this bill because, most importantly, it well funds the remarkable work of our intelligence community in all that they do against the ongoing and all-too-present threats of terrorism and all that they do in keeping us apprised and keeping our options available to address the many threats that face, or could face, this Nation coming out of places like Russia, North Korea, Iran, and plenty of other locales around the world.

At the same time, and critical for my own support, this bill is supportive of the essential activities that the intelligence community and that we must do to preserve and defend the civil liberties that are so important to us and, even more importantly, the values, the values embodied in this country that, at the end of the day, are the qualitative difference between this country and our adversaries.

Mr. Speaker, I would note, in particular, some conversation came up, as the ranking member alluded to, with respect to the President's Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board. In committee, I stressed that this is one of a couple of groups that provide oversight for these terribly important activities. When you think about it, internally there are the inspector generals and the checks within the executive agency; there are a couple of dozen Members and Senators of Congress who provide some oversight; and then there is this outside group which produces opinions, which have been cited in FISA court opinions, which have been cited by the amicus that was set up as a result of the good work of this body in doing the USA Freedom Act. I will continue to say that it is an important part of the overall intelligence community.

Maintaining this balance between our national security, which is critical, and, again, those values, which are the qualitative difference that we have with our adversaries, is important. It is enshrined in this bill, and I am delighted to offer my support.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. QUIGLEY), another one of the leaders on the committee.

Mr. QUIGLEY. Mr. Speaker, I want to join the chorus in thanking the staff on both sides of the aisle, and the ranking member and the chairman for their extraordinary work in support of the Intelligence Authorization Act. Indeed, it is something of a model for how we can work on a bipartisan basis.

This year's Intelligence Authorization Act provides funding and oversight to vital collection and analysis programs. It also provides guidance of how best to support and leverage our partners and allies, which is critical in the world of shrinking budgets and ever-increasing threats.

Specifically, I am pleased that the IAA continues to support security services in Ukraine. I have long advocated

for U.S. assistance to Ukraine given the strategic relationship and shared value between our two countries.

Russia remains a significant threat to its neighbors and to the U.S. Bolstering our partners in Eastern Europe is one key way to check Russia's increasing adventurism.

Looking ahead, we must stay focused on this threat and continue to focus on our national security programs at home. We cannot simply allow ourselves to get lulled into a false sense of security simply because of lack of information about specific threats against soft targets like stadiums and airports.

Since 9/11, we have made significant and important enhancements to U.S. intelligence capabilities, but that was 15 years ago. We must continuously reassess our risks and take appropriate steps to stop terrorist attacks before they occur.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

In closing, to describe the world as dangerous is not an overstatement or a political statement—it is a reality.

Thankfully, we have the world's most talented, capable, and committed intelligence community to warn and defend us. From leaders like Director Clapper, who has served this Nation exceptionally for more than 5 decades, to those men and women just beginning their careers in intelligence; from case officers to analysts; support and logistics personnel to inspectors general; from acquisition professionals to lawyers; seismologists to cryptologists; from mathematicians to linguists; particle physicists to special forces; to all in the IC: You have our most sincere thanks and admiration.

I again thank Chairman NUNES, for his leadership, his hard work, and his commitment to bipartisanship.

To my majority and minority colleagues, I thank you for your unwavering commitment to conduct rigorous and continuous oversight of the IC that helps protect our country as well as our privacy and civil liberties.

And I thank our excellent committee staff, including on the Democratic side, Carly Blake, Linda Cohen, Bob Minehart, Amanda Rogers Thorpe, Wells Bennett, Rheanne Wirkkala, Thomas Eager, as well as our shared staff, Kristin Jepson, Brandon Smith, and Kevin Klein. I also want to thank my staff director, Michael Bahar, deputy staff director, Tim Bergreen, and Patrick Boland.

I urge my colleagues to support this critically important bipartisan bill, and I look forward to improving it further on its way to becoming law.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

In closing, I want to thank all of the members of our committee, and, again, thank the staff from both the minority and the majority side.

As Mr. QUIGLEY said, it would not be possible if it wasn't for the strong Member involvement and engagement that makes a bipartisan work product like this, gives it the ability to come to the House floor, and to be passed overwhelmingly on a bipartisan basis. So I want to thank all of the members on my committee from both sides for their active participation. As the ranking member said, we will continue to try to make this product better; we will work out our differences with the Senate; and hopefully by the end of the year, we will have a product that we can all be proud of.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. NUNES) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5077, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

□ 1545

S.A.F.E. MORTGAGE LICENSING ACT OF 2008 AMENDMENT

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2121) to amend the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 to provide a temporary license for loan originators transitioning between employers, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 2121

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. ELIMINATING BARRIERS TO JOBS FOR LOAN ORIGINATORS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5101 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 1518. EMPLOYMENT TRANSITION OF LOAN ORIGINATORS.

“(a) TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO ORIGINATE LOANS FOR LOAN ORIGINATORS MOVING FROM A DEPOSITORY INSTITUTION TO A NON-DEPOSITORY INSTITUTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon employment by a State-licensed mortgage company, an individual who is a registered loan originator shall be deemed to have temporary authority to act as a loan originator in an application State for the period described in paragraph (2) if the individual—

“(A) has not had an application for a loan originator license denied, or had such a license revoked or suspended in any governmental jurisdiction;

“(B) has not been subject to or served with a cease and desist order in any governmental jurisdiction or as described in section 1514(c);

“(C) has not been convicted of a felony that would preclude licensure under the law of the application State;

“(D) has submitted an application to be a State-licensed loan originator in the application State; and

“(E) was registered in the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry as a loan originator during the 12-month period preceding the date of submission of the information required under section 1505(a).

“(2) PERIOD.—The period described in paragraph (1) shall begin on the date that the individual submits the information required under section 1505(a) and shall end on the earliest of—

“(A) the date that the individual withdraws the application to be a State-licensed loan originator in the application State;

“(B) the date that the application State denies, or issues a notice of intent to deny, the application;

“(C) the date that the application State grants a State license; or

“(D) the date that is 120 days after the date on which the individual submits the application, if the application is listed on the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry as incomplete.

“(b) TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO ORIGINATE LOANS FOR STATE-LICENSED LOAN ORIGINATORS MOVING INTERSTATE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A State-licensed loan originator shall be deemed to have temporary authority to act as a loan originator in an application State for the period described in paragraph (2) if the State-licensed loan originator—

“(A) meets the requirements of subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D) of subsection (a)(1);

“(B) is employed by a State-licensed mortgage company in the application State; and

“(C) was licensed in a State that is not the application State during the 30-day period preceding the date of submission of the information required under section 1505(a) in connection with the application submitted to the application State.

“(2) PERIOD.—The period described in paragraph (1) shall begin on the date that the State-licensed loan originator submits the information required under section 1505(a) in connection with the application submitted to the application State and end on the earliest of—

“(A) the date that the State-licensed loan originator withdraws the application to be a State-licensed loan originator in the application State;

“(B) the date that the application State denies, or issues a notice of intent to deny, the application;

“(C) the date that the application State grants a State license; or

“(D) the date that is 120 days after the date on which the State-licensed loan originator submits the application, if the application is listed on the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry as incomplete.

“(c) APPLICABILITY.—

“(1) Any person employing an individual who is deemed to have temporary authority to act as a loan originator in an application State pursuant to this section shall be subject to the requirements of this title and to applicable State law to the same extent as if such individual was a State-licensed loan originator licensed by the application State.

“(2) Any individual who is deemed to have temporary authority to act as a loan originator in an application State pursuant to this section and who engages in residential mortgage loan origination activities shall be subject to the requirements of this title and to applicable State law to the same extent as if such individual was a State-licensed loan originator licensed by the application State.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following definitions shall apply:

“(1) STATE-LICENSED MORTGAGE COMPANY.—The term ‘State-licensed mortgage company’ means an entity licensed or registered under the law of any State to engage in residential mortgage loan origination and processing activities.

“(2) APPLICATION STATE.—The term ‘application State’ means a State in which a registered loan originator or a State-licensed loan originator seeks to be licensed.”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (42 U.S.C. 4501 note) is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1517 the following:

“Sec. 1518. Employment transition of loan originators.”.

SEC. 2. AMENDMENT TO CIVIL LIABILITY OF THE BUREAU AND OTHER OFFICIALS.

Section 1513 of the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5112) is amended by striking “are loan originators or are applying for licensing or registration as loan originators” and inserting “are applying for licensing or registration using the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry”.

SEC. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date that is 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. LUETKEMEYER) and the gentlewoman from Alabama (Ms. SEWELL) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Missouri.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on this bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 2121, the S.A.F.E. Transitional Licensing Act of 2015, introduced by the gentleman from Ohio. (Mr. STIVERS).

H.R. 2121 would establish that a mortgage loan originator who is employed by a federally insured depository institution and who leaves to join a State-licensed mortgage company would have temporary authority to originate mortgages. The bill stipulates that, in order to qualify for this transitional license, the individual must have filed an application with the State to be a licensed loan originator.

More simply, this bill allows flexibility to workers who are looking to make a career change. This bill does not allow for unregulated, unlicensed mortgage originators to have a free pass.

The S.A.F.E. Transitional Licensing Act makes clear that the temporary license—good for a maximum of 120 days—can apply only to a registered loan originator.

Further, H.R. 2121 stipulates that the originator must be registered with the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System, or NMLS, and be employed by a licensed and supervised mortgage lender, banker, or servicer.

H.R. 2121 includes other safeguards that are important to point out. The

bill makes clear that the temporary authority would automatically expire should the originator withdraw his or her application or if the State denies the application.

This is a highly bipartisan bill that will ensure workers who originate mortgages at depository institutions are able to move to non-depository institutions with a minimal amount of work disruption.

At the end of the day, this bill is about jobs. It is about streamlining the government processes to make sure that people can continue to put food on the table. Folks shouldn't be prevented from working for months at a time simply because they want to change jobs or employers. Our regulatory structure should foster not only consumer protection, but job growth and efficient marketplaces. The current licensing requirements do the exact opposite.

I thank the gentleman from Ohio for his hard work on this legislation. I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting this commonsense, employee-friendly bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 2121, the S.A.F.E. Transitional Licensing Act of 2015.

I am proud to serve as an original Democratic cosponsor of this commonsense, yet critically important, legislation. I also applaud my colleagues, led by Representative STIVERS, for working in a bipartisan way to draft this legislation that we are considering here today.

Homeownership continues to be and remains a dream for millions of Americans across the country. This legislation is an important step towards helping to ensure that this dream becomes a reality. H.R. 2121 helps to facilitate a loan originator's job mobility while ensuring that State regulators continue to have the ability to protect consumers and the marketplace.

This legislation offers a narrowly tailored and pragmatic solution that provides a transitional authority to originate mortgages for individuals who move from a federally insured institution to a nonbank lender. During this transition, these individuals will also work to meet the S.A.F.E. Act's licensing and testing requirements.

Over the past several years, State regulators, key industry stakeholders, and Members of Congress have been engaged in an extensive dialogue on ways to eliminate job barriers for loan originators as well as to help to promote homeownership for qualified buyers.

I am committed to continuing to ensure that our housing finance and mortgage system continues to deliver fair, sustainable, and responsible financing to meet the ever-changing needs of homeownership.

H.R. 2121 is truly a reflection of what can be achieved when we all work to-

gether towards a unified and shared goal. I urge my colleagues to support this critically important piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3½ minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STIVERS), a distinguished member of the Financial Services Committee.

Mr. STIVERS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time, and I thank him for all of the work that he has done as the chair of the subcommittee.

It is work that is making a difference as it allows people to get access to their own versions of the American Dream. This is a piece of that, as the Representative told you. I thank Representative SEWELL and Representative BEATTY for all of their hard work.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bipartisan bill. This is a commonsense bill. This is a jobs bill. This was a unanimous bill in the Financial Services Committee. It passed 56-0 on March 2, and I am so proud of that.

The S.A.F.E. Act passed in 2008 as part of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, and it created two different sets of requirements for qualifications on mortgage loan originators, depending on whether they worked for a State-licensed nondepository or a federally regulated depository.

The problem with that is it kept people captive working for the same kind of company that they worked for yesterday. If they try to change between the two, they don't have a license and they can't help people achieve their versions of the American Dream, of owning a home.

This will allow people to be more mobile in moving between depository and nondepository institutions. It is a jobs issue for that very reason. It will help make sure that the workforce can go where the jobs are and that they can help people get loans to own a home. We all believe in homeownership, and this is a small way we can be for it today.

Representatives SEWELL and BEATTY worked very hard with me with industry stakeholders and with State regulators in getting the bill that we have today, which, as I said, passed unanimously out of the Financial Services Committee.

H.R. 2121, as amended, would foster an efficient marketplace of competition between banks and nonbanks and allow mortgage loan originators to help all Americans achieve homeownership. It would provide them with a transitional authority if you move from a depository to a nondepository or the other way around.

Under the proposal, an individual who is employed by a financial institution that has been a registered loan originator under the S.A.F.E. Act for the preceding 12 months can originate loans after submitting a background check and credit information to his

State regulator until the application is either approved, denied, withdrawn, or even if it is just deemed incomplete.

At that point, the transitional authority ceases, so he has to submit a full application. Once he does that, he gets a chance to continue to work under this transitional period.

Again, this is a jobs issue. It will help people move between the two types of institutions, which most Americans don't think about. They just want to make sure they get a mortgage. That is what we need to make sure we facilitate here with commonsense rules.

Sadly, some States have had transitional license authority, but the CFPB does not allow them now to exercise that authority. That is why this bill is necessary. I am really glad that we can allow for that now to make sure that all Americans can get access to homeownership.

I thank Representative SEWELL, Representative BEATTY, all of the members of the House Financial Services Committee, the gentleman from Missouri for his leadership, the gentleman from Texas—the chair of the full committee—for his leadership, and the ranking member of the committee, the gentlewoman from California.

This is indeed a unanimous bill. I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, again, I thank the sponsor of the bill, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STIVERS), as well as Ms. SEWELL and Mrs. BEATTY from the other side for their fine work and their support. I appreciate all of the work that was done.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express support for the SAFE Transitional Licensing Act, H.R. 2121 introduced by my good friend from Ohio, Mr. STIVERS. This bipartisan bill provides much needed, common-sense regulatory relief for mortgage loan originators that levels the playing field, creates job mobility and allows independent mortgage lenders to recruit a talented workforce.

The SAFE Transitional Licensing Act requires states to provide a temporary, transitional license for registered loan originators that move from a financial institution to a state-licensed non-bank originator or move interstate to a state-licensed loan originator. These individuals will be allowed to continue to work and originate loans in their new capacity for up to 120 days, while seeking the appropriate state licenses. This bill addresses the unintended consequences of some of the provisions in the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008, which created difficulties when a mortgage loan officer decided to switch jobs from a bank to a non-bank lender, or when a mortgage loan officer decided to move across state lines.

Under current law, mortgage loan originators are required to wait until they receive their new licenses before they can originate loans. Often times, mortgage loan originators are forced to wait weeks, even months, before their new licenses are approved. This unfairly inhibits job mobility for mortgage loan originators and puts independent mortgage lenders at a disadvantage in recruiting talented staff.

The SAFE Transitional Licensing Act amends the SAFE Mortgage Licensing Act to give relief to loan officers, while also allowing state regulators the authority to continue to keep bad actors out of the industry and enforce applicable state laws.

The State of Ohio was the first state to enact a transitional license for out-of-state licensed mortgage loan originators. Now, it is time for Congress to follow Ohio's lead and provide regulatory relief that levels the playing field, creates job mobility and allows independent mortgage lenders to recruit a talented workforce. I urge my colleagues to vote "Yes" for this common-sense piece of legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. LUETKEMEYER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2121, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FOSTERING INNOVATION ACT OF 2015

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4139) to amend the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to provide a temporary exemption for low-revenue issuers from certain auditor attestation requirements.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4139

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Fostering Innovation Act of 2015".

SEC. 2. TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FOR LOW-REVENUE ISSUERS.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (15 U.S.C. 7262) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FOR LOW-REVENUE ISSUERS.—

"(1) LOW-REVENUE EXEMPTION.—Subsection (b) shall not apply with respect to an audit report prepared for an issuer that—

"(A) ceased to be an emerging growth company on the last day of the fiscal year of the issuer following the fifth anniversary of the date of the first sale of common equity securities of the issuer pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933;

"(B) had average annual gross revenues of less than \$50,000,000 as of its most recently completed fiscal year; and

"(C) is not a large accelerated filer.

"(2) EXPIRATION OF TEMPORARY EXEMPTION.—An issuer ceases to be eligible for the exemption described under paragraph (1) at the earliest of—

"(A) the last day of the fiscal year of the issuer following the tenth anniversary of the date of the first sale of common equity securities of the issuer pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933;

"(B) the last day of the fiscal year of the issuer during which the average annual gross revenues of the issuer exceed \$50,000,000; or

"(C) the date on which the issuer becomes a large accelerated filer.

"(3) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection:

"(A) AVERAGE ANNUAL GROSS REVENUES.—The term 'average annual gross revenues' means the total gross revenues of an issuer over its most recently completed three fiscal years divided by three.

"(B) EMERGING GROWTH COMPANY.—The term 'emerging growth company' has the meaning given such term under section 3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78c).

"(C) LARGE ACCELERATED FILER.—The term 'large accelerated filer' has the meaning given that term under section 240.12b-2 of title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor thereto."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. LUETKEMEYER) and the gentlewoman from Alabama (Ms. SEWELL) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Missouri.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on this bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 4139, the Fostering Innovation Act, introduced by the gentlewoman from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA) and the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK).

H.R. 4139 extends a narrow exemption to comply with section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for emerging growth companies that would otherwise lose their exempt status at the end of a 5-year period allowed under current law.

As such, H.R. 4139 is consistent with the bipartisan aims of the JOBS Act to eliminate the one-size-fits-all regulatory structure for public companies.

Under Sarbanes-Oxley, or SOX, section 404(b) requires an independent and external assessment of a public company's internal controls over financial reporting.

While important, this translates into significant legal and compliance costs, driving up an entity's accounting and auditing expenses. In fact, the costs to comply with section 404(b) have far exceeded the original estimates done by the SEC, and even a 2011 SEC study found that the average costs for companies can exceed \$1 million annually.

This burden disproportionately impacts small and emerging growth companies, such as biotech firms that are engaging in lifesaving research and development. My home State of Missouri alone has over 1,300 biotech companies that employ over 28,000 people who conduct groundbreaking research.

Section 404(b)'s costs divert the resources of emerging growth companies to regulatory compliance costs, which

harms the ability of those firms to compete in the global marketplace and to even invest in creating lifesaving treatments and technologies.

Brian Hahn, the chief financial officer of GlycoMimetics, which is a small, public biotech company, testified at a subcommittee hearing on H.R. 4139 on December 2, 2015, that section 404(b) “provides little-to-no insight into the health of an emerging biotech company—but is extremely costly for a pre-revenue innovator to comply with.”

□ 1600

Recognizing these issues, the JOBS Act created an exemption to these external control attestation requirements, which allows small companies to focus on growing their business, going public, and still comply with SOX’s other provisions. Nevertheless, the smallest of public companies still struggle to comply with the significant costs stemming from SOX section 404(b).

Despite claims to the contrary, H.R. 4139 is narrowly tailored to provide regulatory relief to the smallest of public companies, those with less than \$50 million in annual revenue. This legislation provides those companies with an additional on-ramp for section 404(b) compliance. As Mr. Hahn further testified in the Financial Services Committee: “Legislation like the Fostering Innovation Act will ensure that growing companies have the opportunity to be successful on the public market without being forced to siphon off innovation capital to spend on costly compliance burdens that do not inform emerging biotech investors.”

I thank Ms. SINEMA and Mr. FITZPATRICK for their diligent work on the bill, which passed the Financial Services Committee by a broad bipartisan vote.

I encourage my colleagues to provide this badly needed regulatory relief to our Nation’s small innovative companies and join me in supporting H.R. 4139.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Today I rise in opposition to H.R. 4139, the Fostering Innovation Act. This bill permits certain public companies that would be valued at more than half a billion dollars to avoid an independent audit required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for up to a decade.

While I support legislation that would enable emerging growth companies to use valuable resources to remain competitive, stable, and, ultimately, successful, I believe that this bill, as currently drafted, is overly broad and would potentially undermine critical investor protections and impede confidence in our capital markets.

Ultimately, these auditor reports on public companies provide substantial

benefits to investors and to companies. They promote confidence in the U.S. markets, strengthen internal controls, and, ultimately, prevent fraud.

I urge my colleagues to oppose this legislation.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3½ minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK), who is a distinguished member of the Financial Services Committee and chairs the Task Force to Investigate Terrorism Financing.

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman LUETKEMEYER for yielding time to highlight the importance of this bipartisan legislation to assist the innovators and the job creators who drive our economy and are those who continue to position the United States as a global leader in research and a global leader in development.

Mr. Speaker, during a previous Congress, the Financial Services Committee heard testimony from one of my constituents, the CEO of a Philadelphia-based pharmaceutical and biotechnology firm which, at the time, employed around 55 individuals. For this firm and for many emerging growth companies focused on groundbreaking technologies, it could take more than a decade to see a profit; but because of top-line numbers, these companies are required to comply with costly regulations meant to ensure that the largest corporations are playing by the rules.

While Congress has made some efforts to reduce some of these regulatory burdens in the past, like the JOBS Act of 2012, it created an effective yet one-size-fits-all approach to exempt certain companies for up to 5 years from section 404(b) of Sarbanes-Oxley, which, of course, as we heard, requires the hiring of an external auditor in some cases. Unfortunately, a small group of companies remain unprofitable even after this period of time.

This bipartisan Fostering Innovation Act works to address this shortcoming by providing targeted relief from these costly regulations and requirements, allowing our American firms to focus on what they do best: innovation, breakthroughs, and curing diseases. By extending the waiver period for smaller companies that meet specific requirements, Washington gets out of the way and allows these firms to better compete in critical research and development in an increasingly globalized and competitive world. That is it.

I want to applaud Chairman HENSARLING and the rest of the committee, especially my colleagues, Ms. SINEMA of Arizona, who is the bill’s sponsor, and Representative DELANEY. We came together to find bipartisan solutions that address regulatory burdens for our emerging growth companies, and it is my hope that, with this spirit of cooperation, we will be able to find new issues to tackle and continue to show

the American people that this House can govern and foster an economy that works for everyone.

I urge my colleagues to support this measure.

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD letters of opposition from Americans for Financial Reform, Public Citizen, and the SEC Investor Advocate.

AMERICANS FOR FINANCIAL REFORM,
Washington, DC, May 23, 2016.

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE: On behalf of Americans for Financial Reform, we are writing to reiterate our opposition to H.R. 4139, the “Fostering Innovation Act”

This legislation would double the length of the existing exemption from compliance with Sarbanes Oxley Section 404(b) for “emerging growth companies”, from five years to ten years. The exemption granted in H.R. 4139 applies to companies with \$50 million or less in annual gross revenues.

Section 404(b) of Sarbanes-Oxley requires the auditor of a public company to attest to the accuracy of the company’s financial reporting. This requirement was passed in response to the accounting scandals of the late 1990s, which revealed widespread deception and fraud in financial reporting. More recent research by the GAO has found that companies exempted from auditor attestation requirements have a higher frequency of accounting restatements, indicating that the financial reporting at such companies is deficient. Such accounting restatements are harmful both to investors and to the companies themselves, by virtue of making it harder to raise capital.

We believe that the five year exemption provided for in the JOBS Act is already ample time for a publicly held company with tens of millions of dollars in revenue to develop the capacity to provide fully reliable and accurate financial statements. Ten years is an excessively long exemption. This is especially true given the significance to the public and the financial markets of accurate financial reporting. Congress should reject H.R. 4139.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

AMERICANS FOR FINANCIAL REFORM.

Following are the Partners of Americans for Financial Reform—All the organizations support the overall principles of AFR and are working for an accountable, fair and secure financial system. Not all of these organizations work on all of the issues covered by the coalition or have signed on to every statement.

AARP, A New Way Forward, AFL-CIO, AFSCME, Alliance For Justice, American Income Life Insurance, American Sustainable Business Council, Americans for Democratic Action, Inc, Americans United for Change, Campaign for America’s Future, Campaign Money, Center for Digital Democracy, Center for Economic and Policy Research, Center for Economic Progress, Center for Media and Democracy, Center for Responsible Lending, Center for Justice and Democracy, Center of Concern, Center for Effective Government, Change to Win, Clean Yield Asset Management, Coastal Enterprises Inc., Color of Change, Common Cause, Communications Workers of America, Community Development Transportation Lending Services, Consumer Action, Consumer Association Council, Consumers for Auto Safety and Reliability, Consumer Federation of America, Consumer Watchdog, Consumers Union, Corporation for Enterprise Development, CREDO Mobile, CTW Investment Group, Demos, Economic Policy Institute, Essential Action.

Green America, Greenlining Institute, Good Business International, Government Accountability Project, HNMA Funding Company, Home Actions, Housing Counseling Services, Home Defenders League, Information Press, Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy, Institute for Global Communications, Institute for Policy Studies: Global Economy Project, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Institute of Women's Policy Research, Krull & Company, Laborers' International Union of North America, Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, Main Street Alliance, Move On, NAACP, NASCAT, National Association of Consumer Advocates, National Association of Neighborhoods, National Community Reinvestment Coalition, National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of its low-income clients), National Consumers League, National Council of La Raza, National Council of Women's Organizations, National Fair Housing Alliance, National Federation of Community Development Credit Unions, National Housing Resource Center, National Housing Trust, National Housing Trust Community Development Fund, National NeighborWorks Association, National Nurses United, National People's Action, National Urban League, Next Step, OpenTheGovernment.org, Opportunity Finance Network, Partners for the Common Good, PICO National Network, Progress Now Action, Progressive States Network.

Poverty and Race Research Action Council, Public Citizen, Sargent Shriver Center on Poverty Law, SEIU, State Voices, Taxpayer's for Common Sense, The Association for Housing and Neighborhood Development, The Fuel Savers Club, The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, The Seminal, TICAS, U.S. Public Interest Research Group, UNITE HERE, United Food and Commercial Workers, United States Student Association, USAction, Veris Wealth Partners, Western States Center, We the People Now, Woodstock Institute, World Privacy Forum, UNET, Union Plus, Unitarian Universalist for a Just Economic Community.

LIST OF STATE AND LOCAL PARTNERS

Alaska PIRG, Arizona PIRG, Arizona Advocacy Network, Arizonans For Responsible Lending, Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development, NY, Audubon Partnership for Economic Development LDC, New York, NY, BAC Funding Consortium Inc., Miami, FL, Beech Capital Venture Corporation, Philadelphia, PA, California PIRG, California Reinvestment Coalition, Century Housing Corporation, Culver City, CA, CHANGER, NY, Chautauqua Home Rehabilitation and Improvement Corporation (NY), Chicago Community Loan Fund, Chicago, IL, Chicago Community Ventures, Chicago, IL, Chicago Consumer Coalition, Citizen Potawatomi CDC, Shawnee, OK.

Colorado PIRG, Coalition on Homeless Housing in Ohio, Community Capital Fund, Bridgeport, CT, Community Capital of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, Community Development Financial Institution of the Tohono O'odham Nation, Sells, AZ, Community Reinvestment Loan and Investment Fund, Atlanta, GA, Community Reinvestment Association of North Carolina, Community Resource Group, Fayetteville A, Connecticut PIRG, Consumer Assistance Council, Cooper Square Committee (NYC), Cooperative Fund of New England, Wilmington, NC, Corporacion de Desarrollo Economico de Ceiba, Ceiba, PR, Delta Foundation, Inc., Greenville, MS, Economic Opportunity Fund (EOF), Philadelphia, PA, Empire Justice Center, NY, Empowering and Strengthening Ohio's People (ESOP), Cleveland, OH, Enterprises, Inc., Berea, KY, Fair Housing Contact

Service, OH, Federation of Appalachian Housing, Fitness and Praise Youth Development, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA, Florida Consumer Action Network, Florida PIRG, Funding Partners for Housing Solutions, Ft. Collins, CO, Georgia PIRG, Grow Iowa Foundation, Greenfield, IA, Homewise, Inc., Santa Fe, NM, Idaho Nevada CDFI, Pocatello, ID, Idaho Chapter, National Association of Social Workers, Illinois PIRG, Impact Capital, Seattle, WA, Indiana PIRG, Iowa PIRG, Iowa Citizens for Community Improvement, JobStart Chautauqua, Inc., Mayville, NY, La Casa Federal Credit Union, Newark, NJ, Low Income Investment Fund, San Francisco, CA, Long Island Housing Services, NY, MaineStream Finance, Bangor, ME, Maryland PIRG, Massachusetts Consumers' Coalition, MASSPIRG, Massachusetts Fair Housing Center, Michigan PIRG.

Midland Community Development Corporation, Midland, TX, Midwest Minnesota Community Development Corporation, Detroit Lakes, MN, Mile High Community Loan Fund, Denver, CO, Missouri PIRG, Mortgage Recovery Service Center of L.A., Montana Community Development Corporation, Missoula, MT, Montana PIRG, New Economy Project, New Hampshire PIRG, New Jersey Community Capital, Trenton, NJ, New Jersey Citizen Action, New Jersey PIRG New Mexico PIRG, New York PIRG, New York City Aids Housing Network, New Yorkers for Responsible Lending, NOAH Community Development Fund, Inc., Boston, MA, Nonprofit Finance Fund, New York, NY, Nonprofits Assistance Fund, Minneapolis, MN, North Carolina PIRG, Northside Community Development Fund, Pittsburgh, PA, Ohio Capital Corporation for Housing, Columbus, OH, Ohio PIRG, OligarchyUSA Oregon State PIRG, Our Oregon.

PennPIRG, Piedmont Housing Alliance, Charlottesville VA, Michigan PIRG, Rocky Mountain Peace and Justice Center, CO, Rhode Island PIRG, Rural Community Assistance Corporation, West Sacramento, CA, Rural Organizing Project, OR, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Authority, Seattle Economic Development Fund, Community Capital Development, TexPIRG, The Fair Housing Council of Central New York, The Loan Fund, Albuquerque, NM, Third Reconstruction Institute, NC, Vermont PIRG, Village Capital Corporation, Cleveland, OH, Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Virginia Poverty Law Center, War on Poverty—Florida, WashPIRG, Westchester Residential Opportunities Inc., Wigamig Owners Loan Fund, Inc., Lac du Flambeau, WI, WISPIRG.

SMALL BUSINESSES

Blu, Bowden-Gill Environmental, Community MedPAC, Diversified Environmental Planning, Hayden & Craig, PLLC, Mid City Animal Hospital, Phoenix, AZ, UNET.

PUBLICCITIZEN,

Washington, DC., May 23, 2016.

Re Vote NO on H.R. 4139 Fostering Innovation Act of 2015.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC.

DEAR HONORABLE MEMBER: On behalf of more than 400,000 members and supporters of Public Citizen, we ask to you to vote no on H.R. 4139 Fostering Innovation Act of 2015. This bill would allow certain firms with up to \$50 million in revenue and \$700 million in capital floats to escape critical scrutiny in audits by doubling the length of their exemption from the requirements set forth in 404(b) of the SarbanesOxley law.

A firm where investors have trusted \$700 million should be willing to be scrutinized under a Section 404(b) audit. A firm that does not want to withstand such scrutiny is the very firm that likely needs such scrutiny

to ensure its financial reporting is not being doctored.

Already the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act provides relief for smaller companies from the audit requirements of Sarbanes-Oxley. Capital markets thrive when companies are held to reasonable standards. That works both for investors as well as entrepreneurs who hope to avail themselves of the capital markets. Extending firms' exemptions from necessary oversight will only lead to less compliance with standards, and more risk.

For questions, please contact Bartlett Naylor, financial policy advocate, at bnaylor@citizen.org.

Sincerely,

PUBLIC CITIZEN.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
Washington, DC, May 23, 2016.

Hon. PAUL RYAN,
Speaker of the House, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Minority Leader, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER RYAN AND MINORITY LEADER PELOSI: H.R. 4139, cited as the "Fostering Innovation Act of 2015," is ill-advised, and I urge Members of Congress to vote against it. The bill would allow smaller public companies to avoid the auditor attestation requirement of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for up to 10 years following an initial public offering.

In a small company, as in a large one, it is management's job to maintain a system of internal controls to help ensure that the financial statements are reliable. A key reform of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which followed on the heels of the Enron implosion and other accounting scandals that wreaked havoc on American investors, was to require that a company's auditor attest to management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting. This "second set of eyes" helps to identify potential risks of material misstatements and is designed to prevent or detect fraud. Unfortunately, H.R. 4139 would chip away further at the requirement for a second set of eyes, even though auditor attestation enhances reliability of financial reporting for investors, which has been shown to reduce the cost of capital for businesses.

Credible empirical research has established that both investors and companies benefit from having auditors attest to the effectiveness of internal controls. For example, institutional investors rely on the auditor's opinion. Auditor testing uncovers more deficiencies than does management's assessment alone. Moreover, there is a positive correlation between a material weakness in internal control and the future revelation of fraud. Indeed, companies with more serious control problems tend to be smaller, less mature, growing, or rapidly changing. All of this academic research is described at length in the testimony of University of Tennessee professor Joseph V. Carcello on this bill before the Subcommittee on Capital Markets and Government Sponsored Enterprises of the House Financial Services Committee. In addition, a 2011 study published by the staff of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission fund that companies that do not have an auditor attestation tend to have significantly more material weaknesses in their internal controls and more financial restatements.

Since the adoption of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in 2002, several steps have already been taken to significantly reduce the burden on smaller companies from the auditor attestation requirement in Section 404(b). In 2007, for example, the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board took steps

to reduce the costs of 404(b) compliance. Later, the Dodd-Frank Act exempted approximately 60 percent of companies from this requirement, and the JOBS Act waived the requirement for emerging growth companies for up to five years. HR 4139 would extend this exemption for up to 10 years for certain issuers, and I believe it is a step too far.

Aside from weakening an important investor protection, H.R. 4139 further compounds the complexity of securities law reporting requirements by creating yet another category of issuers. The development of scaled reporting requirements has resulted in multiple overlapping issuer categories, each eligible for different rules, and that complexity itself adds to the cost of raising capital.

In short, the independent audit of internal controls provides important protections to investors and the companies in which they invest. It strengthens internal controls, prevents fraud, and promotes confidence in U.S. capital markets. I oppose H.R. 4139 because it would further deteriorate the benefits of Section 404, and I strongly encourage you to oppose it as well. Please call me at if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

RICK A FLEMING,
Investor Advocate.

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA), a distinguished member of the Financial Services Committee and a sponsor of the bill.

Ms. SINEMA. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman HENSARLING and Congressman FITZPATRICK for working with me on this narrow, targeted exemption to provide commonsense, regulatory relief for companies on the cutting edge of scientific and medical research.

I have heard from companies throughout my district that burdensome and unnecessary regulations continue to stifle their ability to grow and succeed. The Fostering Innovation Act allows certain emerging growth companies, including some biopharmaceutical companies, to spend valuable resources on product research and development instead of costly and unnecessary external audits.

Currently, EGCs are exempt from certain regulatory requirements for 5 years after their initial public offering. One of the requirements that EGCs are exempt from is Sarbanes-Oxley section 404(b), which requires public companies to obtain an external audit on the effectiveness of their internal controls for financial reporting. This reporting requirement is costly and unnecessary because management is still required to assess internal controls, and these EGCs, by definition, have very limited public exposure.

H.R. 4139 is a very narrow fix that temporarily extends the Sarbanes-Oxley section 404(b) exemption for an additional 5 years for a small subset of EGCs with an annual average revenue of less than \$50 million and less than \$700 million in public float. This will enable these EGCs to use valuable resources to remain competitive, stable, and, ultimately, successful.

In the biopharma market, making it easier and less costly means greater competition and results in potentially lower drug prices for consumers. Further, nothing in this bill prohibits an external audit if a company or a majority of its shareholders determine an audit is beneficial.

I urge my colleagues to join us in helping to ensure that costly regulations don't stand in the way of success for biopharmaceutical and other companies on the cutting edge of scientific and medical research.

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ms. SINEMA and Mr. FITZPATRICK for their fine work on this piece of legislation, which basically is a commonsense piece of legislation to help a lot of our small, biotech companies to be able to do a better job of managing their own funds.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. LUETKEMEYER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4139.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LOREN R. KAUFMAN VA CLINIC

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1762) to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in The Dalles, Oregon, as the "Loren R. Kaufman Memorial Veterans' Clinic", as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1762

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. NAME OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS COMMUNITY-BASED OUTPATIENT CLINIC, THE DALLES, OREGON.

The Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic located at 704 Veterans Drive, The Dalles, Oregon, shall after the date of the enactment of this Act be known and designated as the "Loren R. Kaufman VA Clinic". Any reference to such community-based outpatient clinic in any law, regulation, map, document, record, or other paper of the United States shall be considered to be a reference to the Loren R. Kaufman VA Clinic.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 1762, as amended. This legislation was sponsored by my good friend and colleague, Congressman GREG WALDEN of Oregon. It would designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic at The Dalles, Oregon, the Loren R. Kaufman Memorial Veterans' Clinic.

Born and raised in The Dalles, Oregon, Sergeant First Class Loren Kaufman answered the call to serve by enlisting in the United States Army just 1 week after the attack on Pearl Harbor. He went on to serve in combat in both World War II and in Korea, until his death in action on the 10th of February 1951.

Following his death, Sergeant First Class Kaufman was posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor for his actions in Korea in September of 1950, when his company was attacked by an enemy battalion and his platoon was ordered to reinforce the company.

According to the U.S. Army Center of Military History, during the battle that followed, the "dauntless courage and resolute intrepid leadership of Sergeant First Class Kaufman were directly responsible for the success of his company in regaining its positions, reflecting distinct credit upon himself and upholding the esteemed traditions of the military service."

In recognition of that, it is entirely fitting and appropriate that Sergeant First Class Kaufman's life and service be memorialized by naming the VA community-based outpatient clinic in his hometown after him.

H.R. 1762, as amended, satisfies the committee's naming criteria. It is supported by the Oregon congressional delegation. It is supported by many veterans service organizations, including the American Legion, the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the Paralyzed Veterans of America, the Vietnam Veterans of America, and the Military Order of the Purple Heart. I understand that this bill is also supported by the Oregon County Veterans Service Officers Association and the American Red Cross.

I am grateful to Congressman WALDEN for cosponsoring H.R. 1762, as amended, to recognize a true American hero.

I would urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I support H.R. 1762, as amended, which names the new veterans clinic in The Dalles, Oregon, in honor of Loren R. Kaufman, a soldier in the United States Army during World War II and the Korean war.

Sergeant First Class Kaufman joined the Army the week after the attack on

Pearl Harbor and served in North Africa and Europe during World War II. Later, during the Korean war, he earned the Medal of Honor for his quick counterattack on enemy combatants, which so surprised the enemy that they retreated in confusion. At the age of 28 years old, while serving in Company G, 9th Infantry Regiment, the 2nd Infantry Division, Sergeant Kaufman was killed in action.

As stated in the citation for his Medal of Honor award, the leadership of Sergeant Kaufman was "directly responsible for the success of his company in regaining its positions, reflecting distinct credit upon himself and upholding the esteemed traditions of the military service."

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the Second District of Oregon (Mr. WALDEN), the sponsor of this piece of legislation.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman of the Veterans' Affairs Committee. He has done such an incredible job leading that committee and trying to make sure our veterans get both the recognition and the care that they have earned and so deserve. I thank the ranking member for her support of this very timely and important piece of legislation to name the VA clinic in The Dalles, Oregon, after Loren R. Kaufman.

This is actually a photo of Mr. Kaufman.

Sergeant First Class Kaufman was a true American hero, as my colleagues have said. He was born and raised in The Dalles. Sergeant Kaufman served in the Army during World War II, and he also served in the Korean war.

While in Korea, Sergeant Kaufman's company was attacked by the enemy. His platoon was 2 miles away, protecting the battalion flank, and was ordered to come and reinforce the company.

□ 1615

On their way to their new location, they came under fire. Selflessly, Sergeant Kaufman ran forward, engaged the enemy, and forced them to retreat in confusion.

Once Sergeant Kaufman's platoon rejoined their company, they found the enemy had taken commanding ground and pinned the company down in a draw. Without hesitation, Sergeant Kaufman again charged the enemy lines, firing his rifle, throwing grenades, using his bayonet, and seizing an unmanned machine gun. Because of his fast thinking and fearlessness, the enemy fled and the company regained their position.

It was for these actions and conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity above and beyond the call that Sergeant Kaufman was awarded the Medal of Honor by President Harry S. Truman. In his citation it was written, "The dauntless courage and resolute

intrepid leadership of Sergeant First Class Kaufman were directly responsible for the success of his company in regaining its positions, reflecting distinct credit upon himself and upholding the esteemed traditions of the military service."

Tragically, though, Sergeant Kaufman received this incredible honor posthumously. He was killed in action on February 10, 1951, and was laid to rest in Willamette National Cemetery, Portland, Oregon.

I strongly agreed with our local veterans and public officials that the community should honor this native son's heroism by renaming the local VA clinic in his honor.

I want to thank the Veterans Ad Hoc Committee, Les Cochenour, the Mid-Columbia Veterans Memorial Committee, and the Wasco County Commission for their efforts to support renaming this clinic. I also want to thank the entire Oregon congressional delegation and the Committee on Veterans' Affairs for their support.

Finally, I would like to offer a special thanks to Loren Kaufman's family from The Dalles, his cousin Gerald, Gerald's wife Marilyn, and their daughter Sharon. I am proud to help honor Loren Kaufman by working to rename this clinic in his honor so he can be a continuing inspiration for the community and the country.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 1762, as amended.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I again urge all Members to support this legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1762, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in The Dalles, Oregon, as the 'Loren R. Kaufman VA Clinic'."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DANIEL L. KINNARD VA CLINIC

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 960) to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community based outpatient clinic in Newark, Ohio, as the Daniel L. Kinnard Department of Veterans Affairs Community Based Outpatient Clinic, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 960

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Daniel L. Kinnard was born on October 21, 1949, in Mount Vernon, Ohio.

(2) While residing in Newark, Ohio, Daniel L. Kinnard enlisted in the Army at Fort Hayes, Ohio, on November 14, 1966, and served as a Specialist Fourth Class in the 101st Airborne Division.

(3) Specialist Kinnard was awarded the National Defense Service Medal, Vietnam Service Medal, Vietnam Campaign Medal, Parachutist Badge, Sharpshooter Badge with Rifle Bar, Bronze Star for Valor, Purple Heart, Good Conduct Medal, and the Combat Medical Badge.

(4) Specialist Kinnard's citation for the Bronze Star said, "For heroism in combat against a hostile force in the Republic of Vietnam on 17 February 1968. Specialist Four Kinnard distinguished himself while attached as a medic on a combat operation near Quang Tri, Republic of Vietnam. The point platoon made contact with enemy positions in a hedgerow and two of the point men were seriously wounded. Without hesitation, Specialist Kinnard rushed through the heavy volume of enemy fire to reach the wounded men. With complete disregard for his own personal safety, Specialist Kinnard remained exposed to enemy fire while he treated the wounded men. Once he administered first aid to the wounded, Specialist Kinnard organized their evacuation under fire. His personal bravery and devotion to duty were in keeping with the highest traditions of the military service and reflect great credit upon himself, his unit, and United States Army."

(5) Specialist Kinnard was killed in action on March 9, 1968, while rendering aid to his fellow paratroopers.

SEC. 2. DANIEL L. KINNARD VA CLINIC.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic located in Newark, Ohio, shall after the date of the enactment of this Act be known and designated as the "Daniel L. Kinnard VA Clinic".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the Daniel L. Kinnard VA Clinic.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentleman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon in support of H.R. 960, as amended, which would name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Newark, Ohio, the Daniel L. Kinnard VA Clinic.

Specialist Fourth Class Daniel L. Kinnard was born in October of 1949 in

Mount Olive, Ohio. During the Vietnam war, Specialist Kinnard served with distinction in the 101st Airborne, the famed Screaming Eagles. On March 9, 1968, he was tragically killed in action while rendering aid to his fellow paratroopers.

During the course of his service, Specialist Kinnard was awarded the Purple Heart, the National Defense Service Medal, the Vietnam Service Medal, the Parachutist Badge, the Sharpshooter Badge with Rifle Bar, the Bronze Star for Valor, the Good Conduct Medal, and the Combat Medical Badge.

Given his valiant service and his ultimate sacrifice, it is only appropriate that we gather here today to recognize him by naming the VA community-based outpatient clinic in Ohio, his home State, after him.

H.R. 960, as amended, satisfies our committee's criteria for naming bills and is supported by the Ohio congressional delegation and a number of veterans service organizations, including the AMVETS, the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the Disabled American Veterans, and the Military Order of Purple Heart.

I am grateful to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TIBERI), my good friend, for sponsoring this legislation. I urge all my colleagues to join me in supporting it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 960, as amended, to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Newark, Ohio, as the Daniel L. Kinnard Department of Veterans Affairs Community Based Outpatient Clinic.

Specialist Kinnard served in the Alpha Company, 1st Battalion, 502nd Infantry, the 101st Airborne Division, better known as the Screaming Eagles. As a Vietnam medic, he was awarded the Bronze Star for Valor in 1968 for dodging enemy bullets to reach two wounded men and providing first aid while remaining exposed to enemy fire.

Specialist Kinnard died at the age of 18 in March 1968 while providing care to fellow paratroopers. In addition to the Bronze Star, he was awarded numerous other medals.

Specialist Kinnard made the ultimate sacrifice while serving his country in the Vietnam war. We are grateful to Specialist Kinnard for acting with courage and dignity in looking after his brothers in combat.

I am pleased to support H.R. 960, as amended, in his memory today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Galena, Ohio (Mr. TIBERI), the sponsor of this legislation.

Mr. TIBERI. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Chairman MILLER and his committee members for supporting my bill, H.R. 960, to designate the VA community-based outpatient clinic in Newark,

Ohio, as the Daniel L. Kinnard VA Clinic.

Born in Knox County and a resident of Newark, Specialist Daniel Kinnard served bravely as a medic in the 101st Army Airborne Division, the famed Screaming Eagles, during the Vietnam war.

On February 17, 1968, he rushed through hostile enemy fire to treat and rescue wounded soldiers. For his bravery, as was mentioned, and his heroism on that day, he was awarded the Bronze Star for Valor. Twenty-one short days later, Specialist Kinnard was killed in action rendering aid to his fellow paratroopers. He was just 18 years old.

Mr. Speaker, next Monday is Memorial Day, a time we pause to honor those who gave the ultimate sacrifice for our great Nation. Today I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 960 to honor Specialist Kinnard and those who served courageously beside him and rename this clinic in the 12th District Ohio town of Newark, Ohio.

I appreciate the leadership of our chairman and the committee's work on this.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I want to take this opportunity to thank all of our Vietnam veterans. When they came home from the war, we did not properly recognize them, as we should have, as a Nation. I want to say that we are very grateful for their service and their sacrifice.

When we hear that 22 veterans a day commit suicide, only three of five of them are involved in the VA, and many of them are Vietnam veterans. At this time, I think that we all should soldier up, reach out to those Vietnam veterans, let them know that we appreciate their service, and recommend that they get involved in the VA.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 960, as amended.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I associate myself with the remarks of the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN), my colleague, the ranking member. I urge all my colleagues to support this legislation as well.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 960, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Newark, Ohio, as the Daniel L. Kinnard VA Clinic."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

IMPROVING ADULT DAY HEALTH CARE SERVICES FOR VETERANS

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass

the bill (H.R. 2460) to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the provision of adult day health care services for veterans.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 2460

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. PROVISION OF ADULT DAY HEALTH CARE SERVICES FOR VETERANS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1745 of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d)(1) The Secretary shall enter into an agreement under section 1720(c)(1) of this title or a contract with each State home for payment by the Secretary for adult day health care provided to a veteran who is eligible for, but does not receive, nursing home care pursuant to subsection (a).

“(2) Payment under each agreement or contract between the Secretary and a State home under paragraph (1) for each veteran who receives care under such paragraph shall be made at a rate that is equal to 65 percent of the payment that the Secretary would pay to the State home pursuant to subsection (a)(2) if the veteran received nursing home care under subsection (a) rather than under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

“(3) Payment by the Secretary under paragraph (1) to a State home for adult day health care provided to a veteran described in that paragraph constitutes payment in full to the State home for such care furnished to that veteran.”; and

(2) in the heading, by inserting “, adult day health care,” after “home care”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 17 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1745 and inserting the following new item:

“1745. Nursing home care, adult day health care, and medications for veterans with service-connected disabilities.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I do rise today in support of H.R. 2460. The bill is sponsored by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ZELDIN), a member of the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, the Subcommittee on Economic Opportunity, and the Subcommittee on Disability Assistance and Memorial Affairs. I am grateful to him for sponsoring this piece of legislation.

This bill actually directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to enter into an agreement or a contract with State

veterans homes to pay for adult day health care for a veteran eligible for, but not receiving, nursing home care.

It would also stipulate that payment under each agreement or contract between the VA and a State home cover the cost of adult day care for eligible veterans at a rate equal to 65 percent of the payment that the VA would otherwise pay to the State home if the veteran were receiving nursing home care.

Adult day health care programs provide veterans in need of skilled services, case management, or assistance with activities of daily living with valuable social activities, peer support, medical monitoring, companionship, and recreation during the day and provide caregivers with needed respite.

However, according to the National Association of State Veterans Homes, veterans face barriers accessing adult health care programs each day due to costs. This bill would help address those cost concerns and increase the degree of access for veterans who are eligible for VA-paid nursing home care due to their 70 percent or higher service-connected rating.

As the veteran population ages, Mr. Speaker, it is increasingly important that the VA provide a wide variety of geriatric and long-term care services and supports, and adult day health care programs can serve as an important component of that.

I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting this piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

According to the VA, adult day health care is a program which veterans can go to during the day for social activities, peer support, companionship, and recreation.

The program is for veterans who need skilled services, case management, and help with activities of daily living. Examples include helping with bathing, dressing, fixing meals, or taking medication.

This program is also for veterans who are isolated or their caregiver is experiencing burdens. Adult day health care can be used in combination with other home and community-based services.

Health services such as care from nurses, therapists, social workers, and others may also be available. Adult day health care can provide respite care for a family caregiver and also help veterans and their caregivers gain skills to manage the veteran's care at home.

This legislation would authorize the Department of Veterans Affairs to enter into agreement with State veterans homes to provide adult health care for a veteran who is eligible for but does not receive nursing home care.

Mr. Speaker, I support this legislation and urge its passage.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from the First District of New York (Mr. ZELDIN), the sponsor of this piece of legislation.

□ 1630

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of my bill, H.R. 2460, which would expand disabled veterans' access to adult day health care, a daily program for disabled veterans who need extra assistance and special attention in their day-to-day lives. Those veterans who are 70 percent or more disabled from a service-connected injury often require significant assistance from others in order to carry out basic everyday tasks.

Despite various care options for veterans, their choices are often limited and can come at a great expense. One such program that is currently available is adult day health care. This program provides disabled veterans and their families with a high-quality alternative to nursing home care, providing quality outpatient services for those suffering from debilitating illnesses or disabilities.

These programs provide a range of services from daily activities such as bathing to full medical services like physical therapy. The focus of the program is on improving disabled veterans' quality of life through an individualized plan specific to their needs while still allowing them to maintain their independence.

Adult day health care programs don't only benefit the veteran, they also benefit the family members and caregivers as well. This model allows caregivers to tend to their day-to-day activities without worrying about the well-being of their spouse, child, or friend, allowing the veteran to lead a much more fulfilling life, while keeping families together and strong.

Adult day health care, however, is only currently offered at three facilities in the entire country. My district is fortunate to have one of these facilities, the Long Island State Veterans Home in Stony Brook, New York, but this program could easily be offered at any of the 153 State veterans homes across the country.

Since the Department of Veterans Affairs does not currently cover the cost of participation in this program, the expense must be paid out of pocket by the veteran and their family, which significantly limits the number of veterans who can enroll.

My bill, H.R. 2460, would ensure that 70 percent or more service-connected disabled veterans are able to receive adult day health care at no cost to the veteran and their family by defining the program as a reimbursable treatment option through the VA. This would expand this great option of care for our veterans.

Currently, 52 Republicans and Democrats in this Chamber have signed on as cosponsors of this bill. I would like to thank the chairman of the House

Committee on Veterans' Affairs, JEFF MILLER, for his leadership as chairman of the committee and for recognizing the urgency in passing this bill. Myself, the committee, many Members of this Congress, his constituents, and this country will miss him following his service this year.

I would also like to thank House Majority Leader KEVIN MCCARTHY for having this bill placed on the calendar for today.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 2460.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I, too, ask all my colleagues to support this piece of legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2460.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FINAL FAREWELL ACT OF 2016

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3715) to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to permit interments, funerals, memorial services, and ceremonies of deceased veterans at national cemeteries and State cemeteries receiving grants from the Department of Veterans Affairs during certain weekends if requested for religious reasons, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3715

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Final Farewell Act of 2016".

SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION OF INTERMENTS, FUNERALS, MEMORIAL SERVICES, AND CEREMONIES AT NATIONAL CEMETERIES AND STATE CEMETERIES RECEIVING GRANTS DURING WEEKENDS.

(a) NATIONAL CEMETERIES.—Section 2404 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(i) The Secretary shall permit the interment or funeral, memorial service, or ceremony of a deceased veteran at a national cemetery during weekends, other than Federal holiday weekends, upon a request of the next-of-kin of the veteran."

(b) STATE CEMETERIES.—Section 2408(c) of such title is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

"(2) In addition to the conditions specified in subsection (b) and paragraph (1), any grant to a State under this section to assist such State in establishing a veterans' cemetery shall be made on the condition that

such cemetery shall permit the interment or funeral, memorial service, or ceremony of a deceased veteran at the cemetery during weekends, other than Federal holiday weekends, upon a request of the next-of-kin of the veteran.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to deaths occurring on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—Upon receipt of a request for an application for burial or interment in a national cemetery, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall provide notice to the individual submitting the request of the opportunity to request the interment or funeral, memorial service, or ceremony of a deceased veteran at a national cemetery during weekends, other than Federal holiday weekends, as authorized by subsection (i) of section 2404 of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3715, as amended, the Final Farewell Act of 2016.

We know that it can sometimes be a challenge to take time away from work to attend a funeral or a memorial service for a loved one. This bill, which is sponsored by the ranking member of the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, Congresswoman CORRINE BROWN of Florida, would lessen that challenge for those arranging to attend the funeral service of a veteran buried in a national cemetery.

This bill would require VA, upon the request of the family of the deceased, to permit weekend funerals and memorial services. In doing so, this bill would allow more family members and friends to pay final respects to their loved ones as they are laid to rest.

Our veterans—the men and women who sacrificed so much for us—have earned the right to be treated with honor and respect after they pass on. Although I support this bill, I understand that some of the State Directors of Veterans Affairs have raised concerns with the restriction it contains on grants to State and tribal cemeteries who receive Federal grants. I look forward to working with the ranking member to address these concerns during the upcoming negotiations with the Senate.

I want to thank Ms. BROWN once again for sponsoring this legislation and bringing this very important issue

to our attention. I urge all of my colleagues to support it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3715, as amended, the Final Farewell Act. This bill is of great importance to many families who traditionally hold funerals on weekends.

While the VA has the authority to provide weekend services to veterans and their families, they rarely do. This has been a particular challenge for various religions and cultures who bury their loved ones on Saturday. Furthermore, these families are forced to bear the cost of storing their loved one's remains over the weekend. However, this bill, as amended, makes weekend burials available to all veterans, regardless of their reasoning and need for a weekend burial.

This benefit for our veterans is to honor their service to our country. Their loved ones should have the opportunity to mourn their loss at a time that works for them. I thank all Members for their consideration and support for this commonsense change.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Chairman MILLER for his support of this important legislation, and I urge passage of this very important bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Once again, Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to support this legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3715, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: “A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to permit interments, funerals, memorial services, and ceremonies of deceased veterans at national cemeteries and State cemeteries receiving grants from the Department of Veterans Affairs during certain weekends.”

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SUPPORT OUR MILITARY CAREGIVERS ACT

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3989) to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the process for determining the eligibility of caregivers of veterans to certain benefits administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3989

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Support Our Military Caregivers Act”.

SEC. 2. EXTERNAL CLINICAL REVIEW OF DENIED APPLICATIONS BY CAREGIVERS OF VETERANS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1720G of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):

“(d) EXTERNAL CLINICAL REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.—(1) Using amounts otherwise appropriated to carry out this section, an individual may elect to have an independent contractor described in paragraph (2) perform an external clinical review of any of the following:

“(A) The denial by the Secretary of an application by an individual to be a caregiver or family caregiver eligible for the program of comprehensive assistance administered by the Secretary pursuant to this section.

“(B) With respect to such an application that the Secretary has granted, a determination by the Secretary of the level or amount of personal care services that a veteran requires.

“(C) A request by a caregiver or family caregiver for a reconsideration of the level or amount of personal care services that a veteran requires based on changes to the health or abilities of the veteran occurring since the Secretary granted such an application.

“(D) The revocation by the Secretary of assistance administered by the Secretary pursuant to this section.

“(2) An independent contractor described in this paragraph is an independent contractor that—

“(A) is awarded a contract by the Secretary to carry out this section pursuant to full and open competition under the Federal Acquisition Regulation;

“(B) has no direct or indirect financial relationship with any non-Department provider of services to caregivers and family caregivers pursuant to this title;

“(C) has not otherwise conducted an external clinical review of benefits administered by the Secretary pursuant to this title other than this section;

“(D) has sufficient training and expertise in medical science and other appropriate health, educational, and vocational training and legal matters to perform the reviews described in paragraph (1); and

“(E) employs a panel of physicians or other appropriate health care professionals who do not provide health care to the individual who makes an election under paragraph (1).

“(3) Each external clinical review conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) be based on applicable information included in the application for assistance described in such paragraph, including clinical expertise, medical, technical, and scientific evidence;

“(B) include an opportunity for both the individual who elects for such review and, to the extent possible, the veteran for whom care is being provided to offer opinions and supporting data as to the level of care required; and

“(C) include a review of the initial clinical review of such veteran and any other review made by the Secretary.

“(4) In carrying out the external clinical reviews pursuant to paragraph (1), the independent contractor shall, as determined appropriate by the Secretary—

“(A) collect and maintain information required; and

“(B) share such information with the Secretary.

“(5) The Secretary shall take into account, but is not bound by, any determination made by the independent contractor pursuant to paragraph (1) in determining the final decision with respect to the application for assistance. The Secretary may make a final decision that is contrary to such a determination if the Secretary includes clinically supported documentation with the decision.

“(6) The Secretary shall ensure that each external clinical review conducted by the independent contractor pursuant to paragraph (1) is completed and the Department is notified in writing of the results of the review by not later than 120 days after the date on which the individual makes the election under such paragraph. Not later than 30 days after the delivery of the determination recommended by the independent contractors, the Secretary shall ensure that the veteran and the individual making the election under such paragraph is notified in writing of the final decision of the Secretary. In accordance with paragraph (5), such notification shall include an explanation of the recommended decision, a discussion of the facts and applicable regulations, and an explanation of the clinical rationale for the final decision.

“(7) The Secretary shall notify individuals who submit an application to be a caregiver or family caregiver eligible for the program of comprehensive assistance administered by the Secretary pursuant to this section of the ability of the individual to make an election under paragraph (1).

“(8) Nothing in this subsection may be construed to affect claims made by veterans for disability compensation under chapter 11 of this title.”

(b) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to elections under subsection (d) of section 1720G of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (a)(2), that are for applications or revocations for assistance for caregivers and family caregivers pursuant to such section for which the Secretary of Veterans Affairs has not made a final decision as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. PROCESS TO DETERMINE ELIGIBILITY FOR CAREGIVERS OF VETERANS.

(a) DIRECTIVES.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall issue directives regarding the policies, procedures, and operational requirements for the Family Caregiver Program, including with respect to determining the eligibility of an individual to participate in the Family Caregiver Program.

(b) GAO REPORT.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the processes of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs with respect to—

(1) determining the eligibility of an individual to participate in the Family Caregiver Program;

(2) adjudicating appeals to such determinations; and

(3) the periodic eligibility reevaluation of an individual participating in such program and the communication of any changes as a result of such reevaluations to the veteran and caregiver.

(c) FAMILY CAREGIVER PROGRAM DEFINED.—In this section, the term “Family Caregiver Program” either the program of comprehensive assistance for family caregivers or the program of general caregiver support services established by section 1720G of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 4. MODIFICATION TO LIMITATION ON AWARDS AND BONUSES.

Section 705 of the Veterans Access, Choice, and Accountability Act of 2014 (Public Law 113-146; 38 U.S.C. 703 note) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 705. LIMITATION ON AWARDS AND BONUSES PAID TO EMPLOYEES OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

“The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall ensure that the aggregate amount of awards and bonuses paid by the Secretary in a fiscal year under chapter 45 or 53 of title 5, United States Code, or any other awards or bonuses authorized under such title or title 38, United States Code, does not exceed the following amounts:

“(1) With respect to each of fiscal years 2017 through 2021, \$230,000,000.

“(2) With respect to each of fiscal years 2022 through 2024, \$360,000,000.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3989, as amended, Support Our Military Caregivers Act.

Congress created the Family Caregivers Program in 2010 to support those family members and friends who put their own lives and careers on hold to care for those veterans who have been gravely wounded in service to our Nation following September 11, 2001. At the time, VA expected 3,000 family caregivers would apply for the program. However, in fiscal year 2015 alone, more than 24,000 caregivers participated in and received at least one stipend payment through the program.

Unsurprisingly, in 2014, the GAO found that staffing for the Family Caregivers Program was insufficient to meet higher-than-expected demand, and staffing shortages impeded the timeliness of the program and negatively impacted services to veterans and caregivers. This is unacceptable.

H.R. 3989, as amended, would provide a safety valve for understaffed VA caregiver support coordinators by allowing veterans and caregivers to elect to have an independent entity provide a clinical review of eligibility for the Family Caregivers Program in certain instances. VA would be required to take the external clinical review into account and to provide clinical justification if VA's ultimate decision is contrary to the findings contained in the external clinical review.

To increase transparency and ensure the program is functioning as Congress

intended, it would also require VA to issue directives outlining the policies, procedures, and operational requirements for the Family Caregivers Program and would require GAO to report to Congress on VA's processes for determining eligibility for the Family Caregivers Program, adjudicating appeals for the Family Caregivers Program, and periodically reevaluating eligibility for program participants and communicating any changes that result from such reevaluation to the veteran or caregiver in question.

Finally, the bill would also limit the amount of taxpayer dollars that VA can spend on awards and bonuses to VA employees.

H.R. 3989, as amended, is sponsored by Congresswoman ELISE STEFANIK of New York, and I thank her for her hard work and advocacy in introducing this bill on behalf of our veterans and caregivers.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3989, as amended. I know firsthand how difficult it is to deal with the illness of a loved one. I was blessed with the continued vibrant presence of Big Mama, my grandmother, until just a few years ago. My mother is with me now in Florida.

I want to say that the work of a caregiver is God's work. I cannot think of anything more rewarding, pleasing, tiring, exhausting or mentally draining than taking care of a family member.

I was pleased to support the Caregiver Assistance and Resource Enhancement Act in the 111th Congress. President Obama signed into law the Caregivers and Veterans Omnibus Health Services Act on May 5, 2010.

The law requires the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish caregiver support services to veterans. Family caregivers are the foundation of the long-term care system, with more than 50 million people who provide informal caregiving for a chronically ill, disabled, or aging family member or friend in any given year in the United States. In fact, it is estimated that about 80 percent of adults living in the community and in need of long-term care depend on family caregivers, therefore, costly institutional nursing home care.

The one issue I have with the legislation is that the bill asks the VA to report on expanding the caregiver program. We all know about the program. It works.

Why have another report when we should just expand the program?

Let me repeat that. We already know that the program works. We don't need another report. What we need is to just expand the program.

I would ask that the Speaker allow us to bring up H.R. 2894, the Caregivers Access and Responsible Expansion for All Veterans Act.

□ 1645

This bill expands the caregivers programs to veterans of all eras. The caregivers program works, and we need to expand the program.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from the 21st District of New York (Ms. STEFANIK), the sponsor of this important piece of legislation.

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today regarding H.R. 3989, the Support Our Military Caregivers Act.

After working with a constituent who was having trouble with the bureaucratic Military Caregiver system at the VA, I was proud to introduce this legislation last November.

Military caregivers are loved ones who selflessly care for our Nation's heroes behind the scenes to enhance their everyday lives.

Thankfully, the Family Caregiver Program, implemented in 2011, was designed to ensure caregivers were not forgotten. My bill would guarantee that those who dedicate their lives as caregivers receive the support they so desperately need and they so desperately deserve.

My district has the largest veteran population of any district in New York State. This has provided me with a greater understanding of the selfless sacrifice our veterans and their families provide to our community and our Nation.

Over the last 15 years of war, our servicemembers have served bravely and their families have sacrificed an immeasurable amount. So it is vital that we ensure they receive the best possible care available.

Unfortunately, the VA has had a difficult time managing the high demand of Family Caregiver enrollees, which is much larger than originally accounted for.

VA medical centers lack sufficient caregiver support coordinators and the necessary clinical staff to carry out medical assessments for eligibility. Application deadlines are not being met by their own internal standards, and the staff is still shorthanded.

This bill would ensure that military caregivers have access to an objective third party to conduct clinical reviews in the event of an appeal. It also ensures that the process is transparent so that our veterans and caregivers are never left with an unanswered question.

Military caregivers are truly silent heroes in our communities and deserve the respect and benefits proportionate to their significant contributions.

Mr. Speaker, I am truly humbled to represent the veterans in my district and will continue to work to improve their lives.

I want to thank Chairman BENISHEK of the Veterans' Affairs Health Subcommittee for working with me on this legislation as well as Chairman MILLER

and Ranking Member BROWN for their leadership and bipartisan support of this bill.

I urge all my colleagues to support this important bill to improve the lives of our veterans and their caregivers.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of H.R. 3989, as amended, a bill that is designated to create a process for external clinical review of the VA caregivers program.

I am hoping that the chairman, as we move forward, will work with the Senate and try to come up with a way that we can at least have a pilot program to expand the caregivers program.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I have one remaining speaker. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. YOUNG).

Mr. YOUNG of IOWA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Support Our Military Caregivers Act, of which I am a proud cosponsor.

Congress established the Family Caregiver Program to assist military caregivers and, yet, many face delays in getting the support they need to effectively care for our wounded veteran heroes.

The Support Our Military Caregivers Act would streamline the process, allowing the Department of Veterans Affairs to contract with a third party to reduce the claims backlog.

Rather than leave care to strangers, some family members choose to quit their job and make other significant life changes to care for their loved ones. We need to do more to support them.

More and more of our veterans are returning from war with battle scars or invisible wounds of war. I often meet with Iowa veterans who have been wounded while serving our country. We have all met with them. These brave servicemembers deserve the best care and assistance we can give.

I am proud to support this bill to support our wounded veterans and their dedicated caregivers. I urge my colleagues to join me in passing this bipartisan, important bill.

I want to thank my colleague, Ms. STEFANIK, for her bipartisan leadership on this bill.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers at this time. So I would urge my colleagues to support this piece of legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WESTERMAN). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3989, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the process for determining the eligibility

of caregivers of veterans to certain benefits administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

IMPROVING TRANSITION PROGRAMS FOR ALL VETERANS ACT

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5229) to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a study to evaluate the effectiveness of programs, especially in regards to women veterans and minority veterans, in transitioning to civilian life, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5229

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Improving Transition Programs for All Veterans Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) The rate of unemployment for women veterans is higher than the rate for male veterans. In 2015, the unemployment rate for women veterans remained relatively unchanged at 5.4 percent, while the rate for male veterans declined to 4.5 percent.

(2) Women veterans, on average, earn less than male veterans. In 2013, the median income for women veterans was \$35,264, while the median income for male veterans was \$41,310.

(3) Women veterans and veterans with disabilities are more likely to become homeless.

(4) Service-connected disabled veterans with relatively high disability ratings have a higher unemployment rate than those with relatively low disability ratings. In 2015, the unemployment rate for veterans with a service-connected disability rating of 60 percent or higher was 9.6 percent, much higher than the 4.0 percent rate for veterans with a service-connected disability rating of 30 percent or lower.

(5) In 2013, American Indian and Alaska Native veterans had the lowest median personal incomes of any group of minority veterans.

(6) In 2013, American Indian and Alaska Native veterans were less likely to have finished an advanced degree than other veterans.

(7) American Indian and Alaska Native veterans were more likely to have a service-connected disability rating compared to all other veterans. In 2013, the rate of American Indian and Alaska Native veterans with a service-connected disability rating was about 26 percent compared to 18.2 percent for all other veterans.

(8) There is a lack of data on, and an understanding of, the challenges and needs of veterans who are residents of a territory of the United States and veterans who are part of the indigenous population of a territory of the United States.

SEC. 3. STUDY ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF VETERANS TRANSITION EFFORTS.

(a) STUDY.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in coordination with the Secretaries of Labor and Defense, shall carry out a study to evaluate programs to assist veterans of the Armed Forces in their transition to civilian life. Such study shall be designed to determine the effectiveness of current programs,

especially in regards to the unique challenges faced by women veterans, veterans with disabilities, Native American veterans, veterans who are residents of a territory of the United States, veterans who are part of the indigenous population of a territory of the United States, and other groups of minority veterans identified by the Secretaries, including whether such programs—

(1) effectively address the challenges veterans face in pursuing higher education, especially the challenges faced by women veterans, veterans with disabilities, Native American veterans, veterans who are residents of a territory of the United States, veterans who are part of the indigenous population of a territory of the United States, and other groups of minority veterans identified by the Secretaries;

(2) effectively address the challenges such veterans face entering the civilian workforce and in translating experience and skills from military service to the job market; and

(3) effectively address the challenges faced by the families of such veterans transitioning to civilian life.

(b) REPORT.—Eighteen months after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit a report to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and House of Representatives regarding the findings and recommendations of the study required under subsection (a) of this section.

SEC. 4. PROHIBITION ON AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 5229, as amended, the Improving Transition Programs for All Veterans Act.

The transition from military life to civilian life is not always a smooth one. The Transition Assistance Program that is run by the Departments of Veterans Affairs, Defense, and Labor does a pretty good job alleviating some of the stress that can occur before a servicemember leaves the military, and it is a good opportunity to inform outgoing servicemembers of what benefits they may be entitled to from Veterans Affairs and how to prepare for civilian life.

Although TAP continues to improve, it still is a struggle to fully prepare servicemembers for this short 5-day period, let alone address the specific needs each individual has in each program.

The bill before us today would be a first step in examining how TAP can further be improved to address the specific needs of minority veterans, women veterans, disabled veterans, Native American veterans, and veterans from U.S. territories.

It is important that, as a Nation, we prepare our men and women of all backgrounds for life after uniform, and the study required by this bill will give the VA, DOD, and Department of Labor the ability to review TAP and to better understand how it can be improved to ensure that we properly transition all servicemembers and address their specific needs as they prepare for life after the military.

I want to thank my colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO), and the gentlewoman from American Samoa (Ms. Radewagen) for their work on this legislation. It does have my full support. I would urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 5229, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of Mr. TAKANO's bill, H.R. 5229, as amended, the bipartisan Improving Transition Programs for All Veterans Act.

Data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics indicates that veteran unemployment is at a 7-year low. As of April 2016, veterans faced an overall unemployment rate of 3.9 percent, which is better than the Nation's unemployment rate of 4.5 percent. This is excellent news.

I am proud that the numbers have improved under the leadership of our committee, the administration, and the Secretary of the VA; yet the overall unemployment rate for all veterans does not tell the whole story. Some subgroups of veterans are still struggling to find fulfilling careers that pay them well and provide an opportunity for growth.

According to the Advisory Committee on Veterans' Employment, Training, and Employer Outreach at the Department of Labor, certain veteran populations face challenges and aspire to career paths that differ from the broader population of transitioning servicemembers.

To ensure that we as policymakers are ahead of developing trends regarding the unique needs of these subgroups of transitioning veterans, this bill will determine the degree to which their needs are different and look for innovative approaches toward meeting their unique challenges.

H.R. 5229 requires the VA to initiate a research program, in collaboration with DOL and DOD, to better understand if and how current veterans transition programs address what may be differentiated needs, challenges, and post-service aspirations of women veterans, veterans with disabilities, Native American veterans who are vet-

erans from the U.S. territories, and other subgroups that the Secretary identifies.

When we, as a Nation, sent individual members of the Armed Forces to war, Congress promised to support all servicemembers when they made the transition back into civilian life.

The makeup of our modern military forces is changing, and in a few short years there will be a substantially greater percentage of female veterans than there are now.

Thanks to modern-day medicine, more veterans survive injuries to return to productive life, even with service-connected disabilities.

But women veterans face a higher unemployment rate than their male counterparts, and veterans with high disability rates have an unemployment rate much higher than those of veterans with low disability ratings.

What is more, Native American veterans earn the lowest median personal income and are less likely to have finished an advanced degree than other veterans. There is much more we don't know about how these trends impact veterans from the U.S. territories.

As policymakers, we must first understand the different needs of these groups of veterans and then be ready to adapt VA policies and programs to help all veterans access the resources they need to be successful. This bill will enable us to do that.

I want to thank the leadership on this important issue and my colleague from across the aisle for being an original cosponsor of this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I don't have any speakers on this. So I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman has 16½ minutes remaining.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO).

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Florida for yielding.

I rise in support of my bill, H.R. 5212, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, the initial transition from military to civilian life is often the most difficult time for returning veterans. The Federal Transition Assistance Program, otherwise known as TAP, is designed to ease that shift by teaching veterans about their benefits and preparing them to enter the workforce, attend school, or both.

As the ranking member noted, the program has largely been successful. Veteran unemployment is at a 7-year low.

However, supporting transitioning veterans requires more than a one-size-fits-all program. There are more than 135,000 former servicemembers in my district, and just one approach cannot meet the needs of every individual.

Certain veteran communities are still being left behind.

Women veterans, Native American veterans, veterans from the U.S. territories, and veterans with disabilities face challenges and aspire to jobs that differ from the broader population of returning servicemembers. We cannot be satisfied with a program that allows large groups of veterans to slip through the cracks.

The Improving Transition Programs for All Veterans Act is a bipartisan bill that requires the VA to launch a research program examining if and how the current program meets the needs of minority veterans groups.

In collaboration with the Departments of Labor and Defense, the bill would require the VA to recommend changes to TAP that would address barriers and better serve these veterans in their pursuit of meaningful employment following their military service.

More than ever before, our military reflects America's diverse mix of people and cultures. Each of these transitioning servicemembers, regardless of gender, race, or disability, has made the same commitment to defending this Nation.

□ 1700

All of them deserve our full support when they return home.

I am proud to have introduced this bill with the gentlewoman from American Samoa (Mrs. RADEWAGEN).

I want to thank Mr. WENSTRUP, chair of the Economic Opportunity Subcommittee, and Chairman MILLER for their support in moving this forward.

Mr. Speaker, I call on my colleagues to promptly pass this legislation.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from New Hampshire (Ms. KUSTER).

Ms. KUSTER. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to discuss bipartisan efforts to improve the work our Nation does to care for our veterans.

Next week we will be honoring those who gave their lives for this country on Memorial Day. We will commemorate the lives and the sacrifices of those who died while wearing the uniform of the United States of America. We will rightly recognize their courage and commitment, but we must also ensure we continue to recognize the same courage and dedication found in our veterans and Active-Duty personnel and the challenges that many of them face as they transition into civilian life.

The Improving Transition Programs for All Veterans Act will allow Congress, the VA, and the Departments of Labor and Defense to better understand these challenges. The study created by this bill will allow us to understand what is working, what is not working, and how veterans can best be placed in a position to succeed once they transition to civilian life.

It will allow us to better understand the challenges, the unique challenges,

faced by the growing number of female veterans in our population, a group that generally has a higher unemployment rate and lower post-military salaries than their male counterparts.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill so that we can more effectively allocate resources dedicated to assisting veterans in their transition out of uniform and support several other great veterans bills on the floor today that would assist veteran caregivers and ensure that the VA develops plans to hire permanent medical center directors.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, again, I want to thank the bipartisan committee for coming up with this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to support this legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5229), as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

VA HEALTH CENTER MANAGEMENT STABILITY AND IMPROVEMENT ACT

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3956) to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop and implement a plan to hire directors of the medical centers of the Department of Veterans Affairs, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3956

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "VA Health Center Management Stability and Improvement Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) According to data from the Department of Veterans Affairs, several medical centers of the Department are managed by acting or temporary directors.

(2) Some of these medical centers have not been managed by a permanent director for a long period.

(3) Pursuant to section 317.903 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, a member of the senior executive service who is detailed to a temporary position in a department or agency of the Federal Government may not serve in that position for periods longer than 120-day increments, and no member of the senior executive service may be detailed to an unclassified position for a period longer than 240 days.

(4) The inability of the Department of Veterans Affairs to recruit qualified, permanent candidates as directors of medical centers,

combined with the policies described in paragraph (3), leads to frequent turnover of directors at the medical centers which impedes the ability of system management to engage in long-term planning and other functions necessary to improve service delivery to veterans.

(5) The Secretary of Veterans Affairs should develop a comprehensive plan to recruit permanent directors at each medical center that lacks a permanent director.

SEC. 3. PLAN TO HIRE DIRECTORS OF MEDICAL CENTERS OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) PLAN.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall develop and implement a plan to hire highly qualified directors for each medical center of the Department of Veterans Affairs that lacks a permanent director as of the date of the plan. The Secretary shall prioritize the hiring of such directors for the medical centers that have not had a permanent director for the longest periods.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The plan developed under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A deadline to hire the directors of the medical centers of the Department as described in such subsection.

(2) Identification of the possible impediments to such hiring.

(3) Identification of opportunities to promote and train candidates from within the Department to senior executive positions in the Department, including as directors of medical centers.

(c) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate the plan developed under subsection (a).

(d) SEMIANNUAL REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and each 180-day period thereafter until January 1, 2018, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate a list of each medical center of the Department that lacks a permanent director as of the date of the report.

SEC. 4. COMPLIANCE WITH SCHEDULING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) ANNUAL CERTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall ensure that the director of each medical facility of the Department of Veterans Affairs annually certifies to the Secretary that the medical facility is in full compliance with all provisions of law and regulations relating to scheduling appointments for veterans to receive hospital care and medical services, including pursuant to Veterans Health Administration Directive 2010-027, or any successor directive.

(2) PROHIBITION ON WAIVER.—The Secretary may not waive any provision of the laws or regulations described in paragraph (1) for a medical facility of the Department if such provision otherwise applies to the medical facility.

(b) EXPLANATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE.—If a director of a medical facility of the Department does not make a certification under subsection (a)(1) for any year, the director shall submit to the Secretary a report containing—

(1) an explanation of why the director is unable to make such certification; and

(2) a description of the actions the director is taking to ensure full compliance with the laws and regulations described in such subsection.

(c) PROHIBITION ON BONUSES BASED ON NONCOMPLIANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a director of a medical facility of the Department does not make a

certification under subsection (a)(1) for any year, each covered official described in paragraph (2) may not receive an award or bonus under chapter 45 or 53 of title 5, United States Code, or any other award or bonus authorized under such title or title 38, United States Code, during the year following the year in which the certification was not made.

(2) COVERED OFFICIAL.—A covered official described in this paragraph is each official who serves in the following positions at a medical facility of the Department during a year, or portion thereof, for which the director does not make a certification under subsection (a)(1):

- (A) The director.
- (B) The chief of staff.
- (C) The associate director.
- (D) The associate director for patient care.
- (E) The deputy chief of staff.

(d) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary shall annually submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report containing, with respect to the year covered by the report—

(1) a list of each medical facility of the Department for which a certification was made under subsection (a)(1); and

(2) a list of each medical facility of the Department for which such a certification was not made, including a copy of each report submitted to the Secretary under subsection (b).

SEC. 5. UNIFORM APPLICATION OF DIRECTIVES AND POLICIES OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall ensure that the directives and policies of the Department of Veterans Affairs apply to each office or facility of the Department in a uniform manner.

(b) NOTIFICATION.—If the Secretary does not uniformly apply the directives and policies of the Department pursuant to subsection (a), including by waiving such a directive or policy with respect to an office, facility, or element of the Department, the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate of such nonuniform application, including an explanation for the nonuniform application.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3956, as amended, the VA Health Center Management Stability and Improvement Act. H.R. 3956, as amended, is sponsored by my good friend, colleague, and fellow committee member, Congressman BOST of Illinois.

H.R. 3956, as amended, also contains provisions from H.R. 4977, the VA Scheduling Accountability Act, which

is sponsored by Congresswoman JACKIE WALORSKI from Indiana, who is also an active Veterans' Affairs Committee member.

There has been a tremendous amount of turnover among the Department of Veterans Affairs medical center leaders in the last few years. According to the Deputy Secretary of VA Sloan Gibson, over half of Veterans Health Administration senior leader positions turned over from October 2013 to October 2015. Without consistent, high-quality leadership in VA medical centers, our veterans aren't being served as well as they could be or they should be.

H.R. 3956, as amended, would direct VA to develop and implement a plan to hire a director for each VA medical center without a permanent director and prioritize hiring at VA medical centers that have not had a permanent director for the longest periods of time. Once stable leadership is in place, we need to ensure that they are held accountable.

One of the contributing factors behind the access to care crisis that plagued the VA healthcare system in 2014 was the failure of VA medical centers to comply with VA scheduling policies. To avoid that in the future, H.R. 3956, as amended, would require VA to ensure that directives and policies apply uniformly across the entire department and require VA medical center directors to annually certify compliance with the scheduling directive or any successor directive that replaces it. If a facility fails to comply, leaders at that facility would be prohibited from receiving a bonus.

I am grateful to both Congressman BOST and Congresswoman WALORSKI for their efforts on this legislation on behalf of our Nation's veterans.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the passage of H.R. 3956, as amended.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3956, as amended. This bill finds that multiple VA centers are managed by acting or temporary directors—some of these centers have lacked a permanent director for a long time; there are time limits as to how long a Senior Executive Service employee can be placed in a temporary position—that there is frequent turnover of medical center directors, impeding the medical center's ability to engage in long-term planning and other necessary functions; and that the VA should develop a comprehensive plan to recruit permanent directors at each medical center that lacks a permanent director.

This bill requires the VA to come up with a plan to fill all of the positions that are not currently held by a permanent director. They then will report back to Congress on their progress.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the 12th District of Illinois (Mr. BOST), the sponsor of this legislation.

Mr. BOST. Mr. Speaker, as a marine and the father of a marine, I understand it takes leadership to win a battle. However, at VA medical centers across this country, we have seen a revolving door of temporary directors that has made it difficult to implement the long-term reforms our heroes deserve. This first came to my attention in my own backyard.

Many southern Illinois veterans receive treatment at the VA Medical Center in St. Louis. This facility has struggled to find a permanent director since July 14, 2013. That is 34 months ago. It is a similar story at roughly three dozen other VA hospitals nationwide.

Part of the problem is rooted in the fact that the Office of Personnel Management only allows temporary directors to serve a term of 120 to 240 days. How are we ever going to clean up the VA if no one is around long enough to do it?

That is why I introduced H.R. 3956, the VA Health Center Management Stability and Improvement Act. My bipartisan legislation, introduced with Congressman COSTA, will help close the revolving door at the VA clinics. It requires the VA to report to Congress on any unfilled vacancies and identify roadblocks that may have led to the problem to begin with. It requires the VA to develop a plan of action for hiring highly qualified and permanent directors for each and every opening. It tells the VA to access opportunities for promoting and training high-performing candidates from within the organization.

The status quo is unacceptable, as it determines the quality, consistency, and speed of care that our veterans receive. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join The American Legion, AMVETS, Disabled Veterans of America, and other service organizations by supporting this legislation.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of H.R. 3956, as amended.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from the Second District of Indiana (Mrs. WALORSKI).

Mrs. WALORSKI. I thank the chairman for all his hard work on many VA issues in reference to veterans.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3956, the VA Health Center Management Stability and Improvement Act. This legislation includes my bill, the VA Scheduling Accountability Act, which locks in a crucial measure of oversight over VA scheduling practices.

Hearings held by the Veterans' Affairs Committee and investigations by the VA inspector general and the GAO have, unfortunately, substantiated many of the allegations of manipulated schedules and falsified wait time data at VA facilities across the country.

VA Directive 2010-027 contains the VA's policy for appointment scheduling processes and procedures. It contains a checklist with 19 different items, such as ensuring that a patient's desired appointment date is not altered and that the staff have appropriate training.

Importantly, the directive requires each facility to annually certify its full compliance with all 19 items. However, an August 2014 VA Office of Inspector General report uncovered that in May of 2013, a senior VA official waived the certification requirement for FY 2013. This essentially put facilities on the honor system by allowing them to only self-certify. Without this crucial accountability mechanism, bad actors were given free rein to manipulate the wait time data and allow compliance with scheduling practices to deteriorate. Meanwhile, veterans died waiting for appointments while others faced delays in getting the critical care they needed. I am glad that the VA has reinstated the certification requirement, but I am concerned there is nothing stopping them from waiving it again.

H.R. 3956, the VA Scheduling Accountability Act, requires each facility director to annually certify compliance with the scheduling directive, or any successive directive that replaces it, and, most importantly, prohibits any future waivers. In addition, it prohibits the VA from giving bonuses to directors if their facility fails to certify compliance, and it requires the VA to report to Congress a list of facilities not in compliance. This will provide more oversight of the VA, ensure Congress is aware on noncompliant facilities, and end the reckless practice of self-certification.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this commonsense bill and the underlying legislation.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to support this important piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3956, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop and implement a plan to hire directors of the medical centers of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1715

**SERGEANT FIRST CLASS WILLIAM
"KELLY" LACEY POST OFFICE**

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill

(H.R. 4987) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3957 2nd Avenue in Laurel Hill, Florida, as the "Sergeant First Class William 'Kelly' Lacey Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4987

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

**SECTION 1. SERGEANT FIRST CLASS WILLIAM
"KELLY" LACEY POST OFFICE.**

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3957 2nd Avenue in Laurel Hill, Florida, shall be known and designated as the "Sergeant First Class William 'Kelly' Lacey Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in section 1 shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Sergeant First Class William 'Kelly' Lacey Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 4987, introduced by Congressman JEFF MILLER of Florida.

H.R. 4987 designates the post office located at 3957 2nd Avenue in Laurel Hill, Florida, as the Sergeant First Class William "Kelly" Lacey Post Office.

Sergeant Kelly Lacey enlisted in the Army on October 16, 2002, and he served with dedication for nearly 12 years. Sergeant Lacey was on a tour of duty in Afghanistan when he was killed in action on January 4, 2014.

During his time in the Army, Sergeant Lacey earned more than 30 military awards and decorations, including a Bronze Star with Valor and two more Bronze Star Medals.

Mr. Speaker, Sergeant Lacey exemplified leadership throughout his career. Just months before his death, he fulfilled one of his lifelong dreams by reaching the rank of E-7, the same rank that his father achieved in his service.

I urge all Members to honor Lacey's great sacrifice by naming a post office in his honor. I will soon yield to the bill's sponsor, and my friend, Congressman JEFF MILLER, to tell us more about Sergeant First Class William "Kelly" Lacey.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 4987, a bill to designate the facility in Laurel Hill, Florida, as the Sergeant First Class William "Kelly" Lacey Post Office.

Sergeant First Class Lacey is remembered as a wonderful family man by those he leaves behind, particularly his wife, Ashley, daughter, Lily, three stepdaughters, and parents.

Sergeant First Class Kelly's military honor includes three Bronze Stars, including one with valor, a Purple Heart, and a Humanitarian Award for his relief work following Hurricane Katrina.

We should pass this bill to remember Sergeant First Class Lacey's heroic deeds on the battlefield as well as his compassion for others at home.

I urge its passage.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER).

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend, Mr. WALKER.

A little over 2 years ago, northwest Florida and our Nation lost a warrior and a patriot upon the death of Army Sergeant First Class William "Kelly" Lacey. Kelly was assigned to the 201st Brigade Support Battalion, 3rd Brigade Combat Team of the 1st Infantry Division out of Fort Knox, Kentucky, and was killed on January 4, 2014, in Nangarhar province, Afghanistan, while in support of Operation Enduring Freedom.

Today I stand before you to honor this true American hero by designating the facility of the United States Postal Service, located at 3957 2nd Avenue in Laurel Hill, Florida, as the Sergeant First Class William "Kelly" Lacey Post Office.

Kelly had served three tours in Iraq and was completing his second tour in Afghanistan when his life was tragically taken. During the mission that took his life, Kelly protected fellow soldiers during an attack where a car bomb had breached his base perimeter, allowing multiple combatants, many bearing suicide vests, to initiate an assault. Kelly took a guard tower and began providing cover fire, killing three assailants before a rocket-propelled grenade took his life. He was scheduled to return home just 2 weeks from the time of his death.

We must never forget, nor take for granted, the many liberties we enjoy as Americans—liberties earned and fortified by soldiers like Kelly, who never hesitate when called upon. Kelly bravely dedicated his life to protect our freedom. While there is nothing we can do today to bring Kelly back to us and take away the pain that is felt by his loved ones that have been left behind, we can help memorialize his ultimate sacrifice.

America's sovereignty and democracy is deeply rooted in the courageous

acts of our men and women in the Armed Forces, who willingly serve knowing that at any time they could pay the ultimate sacrifice. Renaming the post office will help ensure that future generations forever remember that sacrifice and understand the true cost of freedom.

I ask my colleagues for your support on this legislation.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4987.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SPECIALIST ROSS A. MCGINNIS MEMORIAL POST OFFICE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 433) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 523 East Railroad Street in Knox, Pennsylvania, as the "Specialist Ross A. McGinnis Memorial Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 433

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Ross Andrew McGinnis was born and raised in Knox, Pennsylvania, the son of Tom and Romayne McGinnis.

(2) Specialist McGinnis joined the Army in 2004 and following his training, was assigned to 1st Platoon, C Company, 1st Battalion, 26th Infantry Regiment, 2nd Brigade Combat Team, 1st Infantry Division.

(3) On December 4, 2006, McGinnis was killed in action while serving in Iraq. For his actions that day, he was awarded the Congressional Medal of Honor by President George W. Bush on June 2, 2008.

(4) From the official Medal of Honor Army Citation:

(A) Private First Class Ross A. McGinnis, United States Army. For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty.

(B) Private First Class Ross A. McGinnis distinguished himself by acts of gallantry and intrepidity above and beyond the call of duty while serving as an M2 .50-caliber Machine Gunner, 1st Platoon, C Company, 1st Battalion, 26th Infantry Regiment, in connection with combat operations against an armed enemy in Adhamiyah, Northeast Baghdad, Iraq, on 4 December 2006.

(C) That afternoon his platoon was conducting combat control operations in an effort to reduce and control sectarian violence in the area. While Private McGinnis was manning the M2 .50-caliber Machine Gun, a fragmentation grenade thrown by an insurgent fell through the gunner's hatch into the vehicle. Reacting quickly, he yelled "gre-

nade," allowing all four members of his crew to prepare for the grenade's blast. Then, rather than leaping from the gunner's hatch to safety, Private McGinnis made the courageous decision to protect his crew. In a selfless act of bravery, in which he was mortally wounded, Private McGinnis covered the live grenade, pinning it between his body and the vehicle and absorbing most of the explosion.

(D) Private McGinnis' gallant action directly saved four men from certain serious injury or death. Private First Class McGinnis' extraordinary heroism and selflessness at the cost of his own life, above and beyond the call of duty, are in keeping with the highest traditions of the military service and reflect great credit upon himself, his unit, and the United States Army.

SEC. 2. SPECIALIST ROSS A. MCGINNIS MEMORIAL POST OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 523 East Railroad Street in Knox, Pennsylvania, shall be known and designated as the "Specialist Ross A. McGinnis Memorial Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Specialist Ross A. McGinnis Memorial Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 433, introduced by Congressman GLENN THOMPSON of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 433 designates the post office located at 523 East Railroad Street, in Knox, Pennsylvania, as the Specialist Ross A. McGinnis Memorial Post Office.

This bill honors a remarkably brave soldier and Medal of Honor recipient, Army Specialist Ross McGinnis. This young man's story is one of incredible sacrifice. When enemy combatants launched a grenade into the vehicle occupied by Specialist McGinnis and his fellow soldiers, Specialist McGinnis' reaction was one of inconceivable bravery. He thrust his own body on top of the grenade to save the lives of his comrades.

In a moment I will ask my colleague, Congressman THOMPSON, the sponsor of this bill, to share more about this hero and his incredible story. In the meantime, I want to urge Members to support this bill to name a post office to honor McGinnis' life and his sacrifice.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I am pleased to join my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 433, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 523 Railroad Street in Knox, Pennsylvania, as the Specialist Ross A. McGinnis Memorial Post Office.

There are a number of post offices that we are bringing forward today, recognizing the sacrifice and the commitment of our American citizens to our country. It is noteworthy to put into the RECORD that Ross McGinnis was promoted after death to Specialist and received the Bronze Star, the Purple Heart, and the prestigious Medal of Honor for his heroic actions.

Mr. Speaker, we should pass this bill to commemorate the ultimate sacrifice that Specialist Ross McGinnis made to our country. I urge its passage.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. THOMPSON).

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, in just a few days, people across the Nation will pause on Memorial Day to remember the men and women who paid the ultimate sacrifice, losing their lives as members of America's Armed Forces.

As a Member of Congress and an Army dad, with my son, Logan, being wounded in Iraq, I know some of the struggles our military families go through every day. I also know how courageous and strong our fighting men and women are, and the bravery of those who did not make it home.

I rise in support of H.R. 433, which re-names the United States Post Office in Knox, Pennsylvania, as the Specialist Ross A. McGinnis Memorial Post Office, a designation which will honor an exceptionally brave young man.

Medal of Honor recipient Ross A. McGinnis was born June 14, 1987, Flag Day, in Meadville, Pennsylvania, the son of Tom and Romayne McGinnis. He was killed in the line of duty on December 4, 2006, while serving in Iraq.

Ross grew up in the community of Knox, located in Pennsylvania's Fifth Congressional District. He attended Clarion County Public Schools and was a member of the Boy Scouts, along with participating in basketball, soccer, and Little League Baseball. He was a member of the St. Paul's Lutheran Church in Knox, and a 2005 graduate of Keystone Junior-Senior High School.

Ross had long wanted to be a soldier, and in 2004, on his 17th birthday, he visited an Army recruiting center and joined the delayed entry program.

Following his initial training, Ross was deployed to eastern Baghdad in August of 2006. He served as an M2 .50-caliber machine gunner in the 1st Platoon, C Company, 1st Battalion, 26th Infantry Regiment, in support of operations intended to combat an intense insurgency in that region.

On December 4, 2006, McGinnis' platoon was on mounted patrol in

Adhamiyah. During the course of the patrol, an insurgent on a nearby rooftop threw a grenade into the vehicle Ross was riding in. Without hesitation or regard for his own life, McGinnis threw his body on top of the grenade, saving the lives of his fellow soldiers. Posthumously, he was promoted to Specialist and was awarded the Silver Star.

On June 2, 2008, he was awarded the Medal of Honor. In part, his citation reads his “extraordinary heroism and selflessness at the cost of his own life, above and beyond the call of duty, are in keeping with the highest traditions of the military service and reflect great credit upon himself, his unit, and the United States Army.”

It is my hope that through the naming of this post office, his heroism and selflessness will live long through the ages.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, again, I urge the passage of H.R. 433.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 433.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CHIEF PETTY OFFICER ADAM BROWN UNITED STATES POST OFFICE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3931) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 620 Central Avenue Suite 1A in Hot Springs National Park, Arkansas, as the “Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown United States Post Office”.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3931

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. CHIEF PETTY OFFICER ADAM BROWN UNITED STATES POST OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 620 Central Avenue Suite 1A in Hot Springs National Park, Arkansas, shall be known and designated as the “Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown United States Post Office”.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the “Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown United States Post Office”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

□ 1730

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 3931, introduced by Congressman BRUCE WESTERMAN of Arkansas. H.R. 3931 designates the post office located at 620 Central Avenue, Suite 1A, in Hot Springs National Park, Arkansas, as the Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown United States Post Office.

Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown was a true American hero and someone who I hope will serve as an inspiration to many. Adam went from drug addiction, theft, and prison time to a life devoted to faith, family, and country.

A decorated Navy SEAL, Adam served multiple tours of duty and lost an eye and multiple fingers, but returned to duty nonetheless. One tour in Afghanistan was not just to fight for his country. He went to give away 500 pairs of shoes to Afghan children that he had collected as a personal project.

Adam’s legacy should live on in our hearts and minds as well as in physical remembrance. I urge Members to support this bill and name a post office after this hero, Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I join my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 3931, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service, located in Hot Springs National Park, Arkansas, as the Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown United States Post Office.

Adam Brown never did anything halfway. He was always ready to push aside his own needs to help others. Adam joined the Navy in 1998. It was not long before he became a Navy SEAL and eventually served as a member of the elite SEAL Team Six and a special operations task force deployed to Afghanistan.

Again, we have the opportunity here in Congress to recognize sometimes the quiet, but amazing, contributions of our military and of this individual we bring forward to be named today. We should pass this bill to remember the tenacity of Chief Petty Officer Brown and to honor his valiant military service.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the fine gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. WESTERMAN).

Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, Jesus said: “Greater love has no one than this, that he lay down his life for his friends.” This poignant verse is inscribed on the headstone of Arkansas and American hero and Hot Springs native Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown. Adam’s story is a story of loyalty and dedication to the American way of life, and it is an inspiring testament to overcoming adversity through faith.

True to the Navy SEAL creed, Adam’s strength and leadership abilities were forged by adversity. Although Adam’s eagerness for risk led to trouble in his youth, his determination to do the right thing, fueled by a love for his family, faith, and country, led him to become a member of the elite SEAL Team Six.

On March 17, 2010, while conducting a raid on an enemy stronghold in Komar province, Afghanistan, Chief Petty Officer Adam Brown selflessly placed himself in the enemy’s line of fire to protect and assist his brothers in arms.

Though his brave actions relieved the fire on his teammates and ultimately led to the capture of the stronghold, Adam was struck and killed by enemy fire. Many Members of this body as well as countless others have read Adam’s inspiring life story in the best-selling book “Fearless.”

Mr. Speaker, during this week before Memorial Day, it is my hope that this piece of legislation will not only serve to honor Chief Petty Officer Brown, but that it will also honor all of the men and women from Arkansas’ Fourth Congressional District who have laid down their lives in defense of the United States of America and freedom.

As we remember the fallen, let us also remember those who gave mentally, physically, and emotionally, people like Lieutenant Colonel Hugh Mills, Jr., who survived his helicopter being shot down 16 times and who was awarded three Silver Stars for his heroic actions in Vietnam.

I thank Chairman CHAFFETZ and Ranking Member CUMMINGS and the Oversight and Government Reform Committee for their attention to this piece of legislation.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I urge the passage of H.R. 3931.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3931.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PRIVATE FIRST CLASS FELTON
ROGER FUSSELL MEMORIAL
POST OFFICE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3953) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4122 Madison Street, Elfers, Florida, as the "Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3953

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

**SECTION 1. PRIVATE FIRST CLASS FELTON
ROGER FUSSELL MEMORIAL POST
OFFICE.**

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4122 Madison Street, Elfers, Florida, shall be known and designated as the "Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 3953, introduced by Congressman GUS BILIRAKIS of Florida. H.R. 3953 designates the post office located at 4122 Madison Street, in Elfers, Florida, as the Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office.

Roger Fussell enlisted in the Marine Corps in 1969 at the age of 18 and left for Vietnam in that same year. Exactly 1 year after he enlisted, Fussell was tragically killed by enemy fire. Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell volunteered his service and lost his life all too soon while fighting for a country he believed in.

I urge Members to support this bill that names a post office in his honor. In a moment, I will yield to its sponsor, my friend, Congressman BILIRAKIS, to tell us more about Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I join with my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 3953, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service, located in Elfers, Florida, as Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office.

Roger Fussell received numerous awards for his honorable service, including an Expert Marksman Medal, a Vietnamese Military Merit Medal, the Republic of Vietnam Gallantry Cross, and a Purple Heart.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this bill to create and preserve the memory of Private First Class Fussell's achievements and to honor the ultimate sacrifice he made on behalf of this country.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS).

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3953, legislation to designate the Elfers post office as the Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office.

I never had a chance to meet Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell, but after working on this legislation with his family and friends, I wish I had.

Private Fussell was born in New Port Richey, Florida, and graduated from Gulf High School in 1968. Despite his love for hunting, fishing, and repairing old cars, Private Fussell pursued his calling by enlisting in the Marine Corps.

On June 6, 1968, Private Fussell entered the service along with his friend, Jack Mathison, under the Buddy System program. They went to basic training at Parris Island, South Carolina, where Roger was the high shooter for his platoon.

He also was honored with the Expert Marksman Medal for superior scores in rifle range shooting. Private Fussell then continued on to advanced infantry training at Camp Lejeune and Camp Pendleton.

Private Fussell departed for Vietnam in March of 1969 and served with honor and distinction. On the 1-year anniversary of his enlistment, he was killed by mortar fire in service of his country.

For his bravery and sacrifice, Private Fussell earned several awards, including the Republic of Vietnam Gallantry Cross, which is awarded for acts of valor and heroic conduct during an armed conflict.

He also received the Vietnamese Military Merit Medal, which is the highest military decoration that was bestowed by South Vietnam during the Vietnam war.

Clearly, Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell is a hero who is deserving of having his hometown's post office in Elfers, Florida, dedicated in his honor.

I have worked closely with Roger's family and with the entire Elfers community on this legislation to help solidify the memory of Private Fussell's bravery, American spirit, and optimism.

His actions served as an inspiration for his brother, Timothy, who has gone on to serve his community as chief of the Port Richey Fire Department.

Honoring Private Fussell with the Elfers post office's designation also honors the work of his sister, Myra. Myra served her community in this very post office for 20 years.

Let's honor this American hero and his family by passing H.R. 3953 and by designating the Elfers post office as the Private First Class Felton Roger Fussell Memorial Post Office.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I urge the passage of H.R. 3953.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3953.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MAJOR GREGORY E. BARNEY POST
OFFICE BUILDING

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4747) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 6691 Church Street in Riverdale, Georgia, as the "Major Gregory E. Barney Post Office Building".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4747

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

**SECTION 1. MAJOR GREGORY E. BARNEY POST
OFFICE BUILDING.**

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 6691 Church Street in Riverdale, Georgia, shall be known and designated as the "Major Gregory E. Barney Post Office Building".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Major Gregory E. Barney Post Office Building".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 4747, introduced by Congressman DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. H.R. 4747 designates the post office located at 6691 Church Street, in Riverdale, Georgia, as the Major Gregory E. Barney Post Office Building.

Major Greg Barney was a Riverdale police officer for 26 years before he was tragically shot and killed in the line of duty earlier this year. Major Barney was a United States Navy veteran, and we are thankful for his service to our country and to his community.

I will soon yield to my colleagues to tell us more about Major Barney's life and sacrifice. For now, I urge Members to support this bill to name a post office after Major Greg Barney in honor of his valiant service.

I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1745

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. DAVID SCOTT), the sponsor of the bill.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, first of all, let me thank Chairman JASON CHAFFETZ, chairman of the Oversight and Government Reform Committee, for helping me, for working with me, and for taking time with me in getting this bill through the Oversight and Government Reform Committee and here on the House floor for a vote before we break for the Memorial Day holiday observance.

Chairman CHAFFETZ and I had a wonderful conversation, and we both agreed that we wanted to get this bill out before the Memorial Day observance because this bill represents a most appropriate way for us to begin the observance of Memorial Day.

I thank Ranking Member ELIJAH CUMMINGS. I want to thank, also, the committee members of the Oversight and Government Reform Committee. I want to thank Majority Leader KEVIN MCCARTHY and Speaker PAUL RYAN for their help in moving expeditiously with this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I want to tell you that the idea for this bill came to me directly from the heart and the soul of the wonderful people of Riverdale, Georgia, who truly love and endear Major Barney.

Riverdale, Georgia, is an extraordinary city with a rich history. It is led by Mayor Evelyn Wynn-Dixon, Police Chief Todd Spivey, council members Cynthia Stamps-Jones, An'Cel Davis, Wanda Wallace, and Kenny Ruffin. As a matter of fact, Mr. Speaker, council members Stamps-Jones and An'Cel Davis stopped by my office in Jonesboro and presented this idea to me.

I want to thank my chief of staff, Michael Andel, and my senior staffer here in Washington, William Burriss, for their tremendous work in helping. I also thank my district director, Chandra Harris, and deputy district di-

rector, Isaac DoDoo, for working with us in Georgia.

Now I want to say the other important thing about this bill. This is truly a bipartisan bill. This bill honoring Major Barney is cosponsored by all 14 members of the Georgia congressional delegation, Democrats and Republicans, and by both of our United States Senators, JOHNNY ISAKSON and DAVID PERDUE, who will handle this in the Senate.

I want to thank, as I look over and I see some of my Republican friends and colleagues on the floor, TOM PRICE, LYNN WESTMORELAND, and JODY HICE for joining us here for this important bill.

Now, Mr. Speaker, why we are here?

On February 11, 2016, Major Gregory E. Barney, who also, notably, was the very first African American interim police chief in the history of Riverdale, Georgia, was fatally shot in the line of duty while he was serving a warrant for the arrest of a drug dealer, the dregs of our community right now. Major Barney stepped up and responded. He was working with a detail of the Clayton County Police, their narcotics unit and their SWAT team; and they were there to put forward this warrant for this arrest, and the drug dealer shot Mr. Barney.

Now, the day of this tragic death, also, Mr. Speaker, the 11th of February, there was something else significant. It also marked the anniversary of his 25-year career. Major Barney was shot on the 25th anniversary of his 25 years of service to the Clayton County and Riverdale police forces.

So I know, with a heavy heart and deep condolences, that each of us in this United States Congress takes this moment to extend our heartfelt condolences to the family of Major Barney: his lovely wife, Lisa, and his two sons, Gregory and Robert. Mr. Speaker, these were twin boys who have lost their father.

It is most fitting, also, Mr. Speaker, that the post office that we are naming for Major Barney is located directly across the street from the Riverdale Police Department headquarters now. Mr. Speaker, it is also within the view of the apartment complex where the drug raid took place where Major Barney lost his life.

Mr. Speaker, Major Barney became the first police officer in Riverdale, Georgia, to be slain in the line of duty.

Mr. Speaker, as we are here and we look forward to that day when we name this post office, we hope that in some small way that, to the family, to his children, to the people of Riverdale, Georgia, and the people of this Nation, when they pass by this post office, they will be able to pass by with a sense of great pride, great respect, and great gratitude for Major Barney, who was truly a Georgia hero.

Not only was Major Barney a Georgia hero, he was an American hero. For, as you and I and all of us here in Congress know, when we recognize Major Bar-

ney, we are recognizing so many of our brave men and women who put their lives on the line every single day to protect us in law enforcement and in the military.

Mr. Speaker, Jesus Christ, just a few hours before he was crucified, said to his disciples: This is my commandment: that you love one another as I loved you.

And then Jesus said: Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friend.

Mr. Speaker, such a man was Major Gregory E. Barney.

I ask this House for a unanimous "yes" vote.

God bless you.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. TOM PRICE).

Mr. TOM PRICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I am so pleased to join my colleagues today to honor Major Greg Barney, who was tragically shot and killed, as has been defined, in the line of duty on February 11, this year, while serving in the city of Riverdale, Georgia.

Major Barney was a United States Navy veteran and had served with the Riverdale Police Department for over 25 years, including as a school resource officer at Riverdale High School. We all know what a selfless role that is, a true labor of love. Major Barney embodied the kind of courageous and valiant men and women we all want on our police forces.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of Georgia's Sixth District, I offer our deepest condolences to his wife, Lisa, and their 15-year-old twin boys, Robert and Greg, and their family and friends. I offer our heartfelt gratitude for his service and sacrifice. It is because of his type of heroism that we all feel protected in our communities.

So this is a fitting tribute, Mr. Speaker, and I ask my colleagues to join us in support of H.R. 4747, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located on Church Street in Riverdale, Georgia, as the Major Gregory E. Barney Post Office Building.

My colleague DAVID SCOTT has worked tirelessly on this bill, and I am proud to be a cosponsor. I thank Congressman SCOTT for his efforts and this House for your support.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I am pleased to join my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 4747, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located in Riverdale, Georgia, as the Major Gregory E. Barney Post Office Building.

Mr. Speaker, we should pass this bill to honor Major Gregory Barney's 25 years of service to his community, in addition to his service to his country and to commemorate the life that he led. I urge its passage.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JODY B. HICE).

Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from North Carolina for yielding.

I also rise in support of H.R. 4747, to designate the U.S. post office in honor of Riverdale Police Major Gregory E. Barney.

I also sincerely want to thank Congressman DAVID SCOTT from Georgia's 13th District for his great leadership on this bill.

As has been spoken already, Major Barney led a life of service both to his community and of devotion to his family. Starting his career as a firefighter and then later serving in an ambulance squad, Major Barney joined the Riverdale Police Department in 1990. There he served for the next 25 years, as has already been mentioned, serving, ultimately, as the first African American chief of police in Riverdale.

Tragically, as we have heard tonight, his life ended in a shooting while trying to execute a no-knock warrant. On that tragic night of February 11, he gave his life trying to bring drug dealers to justice. Although I did not know him personally, from all accounts, Major Greg Barney died just as he lived: going above and beyond the call of duty to make his community a better place.

It is fitting that the Riverdale Post Office that we are discussing is directly across the street from the Riverdale Police Department. It will serve as a daily reminder to all who enter those buildings of Major Barney's dedication to the community and of his valor in the line of duty.

Also, as has been mentioned, I would like for us to remember his loving wife, Lisa, and twin teenage boys, Robert and Greg, in our thoughts and prayers while they continue to mourn his passing.

I urge our colleagues to support H.R. 4747.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. WESTMORELAND).

Mr. WESTMORELAND. Mr. Speaker, I am honored today that my colleague, Congressman DAVID SCOTT, asked me to participate in this.

My father was a firefighter for 26 years in the city of Atlanta, and he died answering an alarm in 1972. So I understand the heartache of a family when a loved one goes to do their job, to be that first responder, that first person on the scene, and does not come back.

□ 1800

I think it is particularly interesting that, in this case, this officer and his colleagues were serving a no-knock warrant. No-knock warrants are issued by a judge because they are basically the most protective type of warrant for

a police officer because they go in, and they know there is criminal activity or there are drug sales, gambling, or whatever the circumstance is, that they can go in.

Major Barney was out in the field and happened to give chase to a gentleman who ran out the back door. A lot of times when these first responders put their lives on the line, I don't think people understand that they have got a wife, such as he had, Lisa, sons, Robert and Greg, who he wanted to go home to that night. Those boys wanted their daddy to come home, and that wife wanted her husband to come home. He was out serving the community.

I think that is one of the great attributes that, if you look at Major Barney and how other people looked at him, it is what he did for his family, what he did for his community and all the different services that have already been mentioned here tonight. A lot of times, for some reason, the public does not want to understand that these law enforcement officers, these first responders, these medics who go out and do this, they do this for the protection of all of us—at the risk of their lives. Major Barney gave the ultimate sacrifice.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOST). The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia.

Mr. WESTMORELAND. Mr. Speaker, I think, as we remember his family and the other families tonight, we should remember them for a while and thank them.

We have just recently had another officer who was killed. We have to remember these people and their families and not only pray for the protection of the public servant, but pray for those families that, when that loved one leaves their house, like in my case, and you don't know whether your loved one is coming back, pray for them that they would have that strength and that encouragement and that love to let that loved one go do their job.

How appropriate, as has been mentioned, that this post office is right across the street from the Riverdale Police Department. A post office is somewhere where the community comes and gathers and talks. I don't think there is any more honorable tribute. I have lived in Riverdale. I know that area. I know that post office. I know how the community respects that, so there could be no greater tribute than to have a post office named after you.

I want to encourage all my colleagues to help us send a great message to this hero's family and support H.R. 4747.

Again, I thank the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. DAVID SCOTT), my friend, for letting me participate in this.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4747.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LCPL GARRETT W. GAMBLE, USMC POST OFFICE BUILDING

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4877) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3130 Grants Lake Boulevard in Sugar Land, Texas, as the "LCpl Garrett W. Gamble, USMC Post Office Building".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4877

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. LCPL GARRETT W. GAMBLE, USMC POST OFFICE BUILDING.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3130 Grants Lake Boulevard in Sugar Land, Texas, shall be known and designated as the "LCpl Garrett W. Gamble, USMC Post Office Building".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "LCpl Garrett W. Gamble, USMC Post Office Building".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 4877, introduced by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. OLSON). H.R. 4877 designates the post office located at 3130 Grants Lake Boulevard in Sugar Land, Texas, as the LCpl Garrett W. Gamble, USMC Post Office Building.

Lance Corporal Gamble was a determined young man. Before he even graduated high school, he already decided to join the Marines. Less than a year after being deployed in Afghanistan, Gamble was killed when he stepped on an enemy land mine.

Mr. Speaker, Garrett Gamble's life was taken far too soon. Naming a post office after him is just a small honor we can give to a man who gave his life for his country. I urge Members to support this bill to name a post office in Gamble's honor.

I will soon yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. OLSON), my colleague and the bill's sponsor, to tell us more about the honorable soldier Lance Corporal Garrett W. Gamble.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I join my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 4877, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service in Sugar Land, Texas, as LCpl Garrett W. Gamble, USMC Post Office Building.

It has been stated that this amazing young man was only 20 years old when he gave the ultimate sacrifice in Afghanistan. Garrett will be remembered for his bravery, his determination, and, it has been said, a big personality. He is survived by his parents, stepfather, and two younger brothers.

Mr. Speaker, I think, again, we are seeing multiple examples of our brave, dedicated citizens in the United States giving the ultimate sacrifice. I feel strongly that we should pass this bill to commemorate Lance Corporal Gamble's sacrifice for his country.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. OLSON).

Mr. OLSON. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague from North Carolina. I would also like to thank the chairman of the full committee, Mr. CHAFFETZ, the ranking member, Mr. CUMMINGS, and the entire committee for getting H.R. 4877 to the House floor this afternoon.

This bill names a post office a few miles from my home after Lance Corporal Garrett Gamble, United States Marine Corps. Garrett died defending our freedom on March 11, 2010, in Afghanistan. He was 20 years young.

Garrett died before I could meet him, but I will never forget him because of the stories I was told after God called him home. His mother, Chelle, told me about a 10-year-old boy who got very angry when he saw those towers fall, the plane crash into the Pentagon, and the plane go down in rural Pennsylvania on 9/11. He never wanted to see his homeland attacked like that again. The drive to join the Marine Corps had started, and that drive would never end.

Garrett wanted to destroy evil. He knew that joining the Marine Corps was his calling when he saw al Qaeda's evil firsthand. Garrett and some marines were on a foot patrol in a small Afghan village. Garrett must have flashed that big smile because a young Afghan boy waved at Garrett. Garrett waved back and held up a small mint for the boy to have.

The boy walked up slowly, took the mint, and ran to his father to show him what the American had given him. Garrett watched in horror as the dad beat the tar out of his son. He kicked him; he punched him; he knocked him senseless. Garrett wanted to shoot, but he could not. He got back to base and asked the old-timers what the heck happened. Why did that boy get beat for this small mint?

The old-timers told him, al Qaeda was watching. When we left, they may go to that man's home and kill that man—the father, his boy, his mother, his sisters, his brothers. That was a plea from the father: Don't kill my family. My boy did wrong by taking this small mint. Please leave us alone.

Garrett knew he was no longer fighting for America; he was fighting for people all over the world who craved freedom.

The final story says everything about Garrett. When he finally enlisted, he was a junior in high school—Austin High School, the Bulldogs. He told his best friend: I have done it. I have joined the Marine Corps.

His best friend became irate. He never thought Garrett would do that. He never thought he would join the Marine Corps. He said: I can't believe you joined the Marine Corps. You may get killed. I would never, ever join the Corps.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. OLSON. I thank my friend from North Carolina.

Garrett, in an act of true human love, put his arms on his best friend's shoulders, looked him square in the eye, and whispered: That is why I did it. That is why I did it.

Garrett did not earn this honor by his death; he earned it by his life. Because of this bill, Garrett's love will be on permanent display at 3130 Grants Lake Boulevard in Sugar Land, Texas, the Garrett W. Gamble Post Office.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I urge the passage of H.R. 4877.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4877.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PETTY OFFICER 1ST CLASS CALEB A. NELSON POST OFFICE BUILDING

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill

(H.R. 4975) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 5720 South 142nd Street in Omaha, Nebraska, as the "Petty Officer 1st Class Caleb A. Nelson Post Office Building".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H. R. 4975

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. PETTY OFFICER 1ST CLASS CALEB A. NELSON POST OFFICE BUILDING.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 5720 South 142nd Street in Omaha, Nebraska, shall be known and designated as the "Petty Officer 1st Class Caleb A. Nelson Post Office Building".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Petty Officer 1st Class Caleb A. Nelson Post Office Building".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 4975, introduced by the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. ASHFORD).

Petty Officer Nelson was a Navy SEAL who served a tour of duty in Iraq and, later, another tour in Afghanistan. On his 2011 tour in Afghanistan, he was killed when his vehicle struck an explosive device.

His friends and family remember him as a cherished teammate, a gifted SEAL operator, and a loving husband and father.

Mr. Speaker, Petty Officer Nelson made a great sacrifice by giving his life in the service of his country. I urge Members to honor his sacrifice by naming a post office in his honor.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. ASHFORD), the sponsor of this bill.

□ 1815

Mr. ASHFORD. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman of the committee and the ranking member for helping us get this legislation through today. I also thank my fellow Nebraskans, Congressman FORTENBERRY and Congressman SMITH,

for their cosponsorship of this important legislation.

Today I stand with a heavy heart and great pride to honor the life and legacy of Omaha native, Petty Officer 1st Class Caleb A. Nelson.

On October 1, 2011, Petty Officer Nelson gave his life in service to his country when he was killed on a combat patrol by an explosive device that struck his vehicle in Zabul province, Afghanistan. His selfless and courageous service to our country will never be forgotten. Though we cannot repay the ultimate sacrifice that Petty Officer Nelson made while protecting our Nation, his legacy will now have a permanent physical memory through H.R. 4975.

This legislation will designate the post office located at 5720 South 142nd Street, near my home in Omaha, Nebraska, as the Petty Officer 1st Class Caleb A. Nelson Post Office Building.

Our Nation is defended by men and women who sacrifice to keep us free, protect our liberty, and strengthen our country. We have lost many brave men and women who have left this country to protect our life, and I am proud and humbled to stand here before this House to honor the life and bravery of one of those men today.

Petty Officer Nelson entered the Navy in the engineering career field and graduated from boot camp on October 11, 2005. After graduating from Navy technical training, Petty Officer Nelson was accepted to attend Basic Underwater Demolition SEAL training. He graduated from SEAL training in November 2006.

As a member of the elite team of Navy SEALs, Petty Officer Nelson continued to serve his country as a seasoned combat veteran, with a deployment to Iraq in 2009, and a deployment to Afghanistan in March 2011.

Petty Officer Nelson's awards and decorations speak to his selfless heroism. These awards include the Bronze Star with Valor, Purple Heart Navy and Marine Corps Achievement Medal, Good Conduct Medal, National Defense Service Medal, Afghanistan Campaign Medal, Global War on Terrorism Service Medal, Sea Service Ribbon, NATO Service Medal, Expert Rifle Ribbon, and Expert Pistol Ribbon.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield the gentleman an additional 1 minute.

Mr. ASHFORD. To those who knew Petty Officer Nelson best—his parents, wife, and two sons—he will be remembered as a loving son, husband, and father. To his fellow Navy SEALs, he will be remembered as a cherished teammate and a gifted SEAL operator. To this country, he will be remembered as an embodiment of the Navy's motto: "Not for self, but for country."

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, we should pass this bill to commemorate the courage and the

valor exhibited by Petty Officer 1st Class Caleb Nelson and honor the ultimate sacrifice he made.

Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of H.R. 4975.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4975.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LOUIS VAN IERSEL POST OFFICE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4761) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 61 South Baldwin Avenue in Sierra Madre, California, as the "Louis Van Iersel Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4761

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. LOUIS VAN IERSEL POST OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 61 South Baldwin Avenue in Sierra Madre, California, shall be known and designated as the "Louis Van Iersel Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Louis Van Iersel Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 4761, introduced by Congresswoman JUDY CHU of California.

Louis Van Iersel's story is an unbelievable one. Louis came to the United States from the Netherlands, and he served his new home with fervor. On his first day in the United States, he enlisted in the Army and soon after

was deployed to Europe as part of World War I. He was awarded the Medal of Honor for saving hundreds of Americans' lives during the war.

At the start of World War II, Louis tried to enlist in the Army alongside his sons, but he was turned away because of his age.

So what did he do?

He enlisted with the Marines instead. Through his life, Van Iersel truly wanted to serve the United States, the country he adopted as his home.

I urge Members to support the bill to name a post office in Van Iersel's honor.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. JUDY CHU).

Ms. JUDY CHU of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Sergeant Louis Van Iersel, a decorated veteran of both World Wars, by offering a bill to designate the U.S. Post Office in the city of Sierra Madre, California, the Louis Van Iersel Post Office.

Sergeant Van Iersel was the true embodiment of an American hero. An immigrant from the Netherlands, his acts of heroism began even before he set foot on American soil. On his voyage to the United States in 1917, he assisted in the rescue of 27 shipwrecked British soldiers torpedoed by a German vessel.

On the very day he arrived in the United States, Mr. Van Iersel registered for the draft and enlisted in the Army. He didn't speak a word of English, but he learned while working in the Army kitchen. He was eventually assigned to the 2nd Infantry Division and was deployed to France at the end of World War I.

It was in France that Mr. Van Iersel showed extraordinary heroism time and time again. He first gained notice when he and a comrade braved German gunfire to carry 17 soldiers to safety. He was then promoted to sergeant, when he led a small reconnaissance patrol and found enemy trenches. It was there that he was able to use his native language of German to infiltrate them and convince the officer in charge to surrender 60 German soldiers.

From there, Mr. Van Iersel increased his efforts to gain information on German troop movements. In one particularly treacherous situation, Mr. Van Iersel braved heavy fire to swim across the icy Seine River. He overheard German soldiers discussing a heavy artillery barrage that would have wiped out the whole American battalion.

With this critical information, he swam back across the river and reported his findings, enabling the American troops to take cover before the attack began. Because of his actions, he saved 1,000 American lives. For all his efforts, he was awarded dozen of medals, including two military medals, the French Croix de Guerre and the American Medal of Honor. These are the

highest honors that both countries can bestow.

At the end of the World War I, Mr. Van Iersel moved to the city of Sierra Madre, California, in my district. He became a citizen, got married, and started a family. But then World War II broke out, and Mr. Van Iersel knew he could not sit idly by. He and his three sons all reported to the Army to enlist and to serve their country. But Mr. Van Iersel was turned away, because the Army told him he was too old to serve.

While he would not let this stop him, undeterred, Mr. Van Iersel talked his way into the Marine Corps. He served with the 3rd Marine Division in the Pacific, and safely returned home in 1945.

Mr. Van Iersel passed away at the age of 93. But as a longtime resident of Sierra Madre, Mr. Van Iersel exemplified the American Dream, raising his family after he left military service, volunteering with his local Veterans of Foreign Wars chapter, and remaining an active member of the community.

I encourage you to honor his extraordinary legacy and vote "yes" on H.R. 4761.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, we should pass this bill to recognize Louis Van Iersel's unparalleled dedication to our country.

I urge passage of H.R. 4761.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4761.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SPECIAL WARFARE OPERATOR MASTER CHIEF PETTY OFFICER (SEAL) LOUIS "LOU" J. LANGLAIS POST OFFICE BUILDING

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3218) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 836 Anacapa Street, Santa Barbara, California as the "Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer (SEAL) Louis 'Lou' J. Langlais Post Office Building", as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3218

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SPECIAL WARFARE OPERATOR MASTER CHIEF PETTY OFFICER (SEAL) LOUIS "LOU" J. LANGLAIS POST OFFICE BUILDING.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1221

State Street, Suite 12, Santa Barbara, California, shall be known and designated as the "Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer (SEAL) Louis 'Lou' J. Langlais Post Office Building".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer (SEAL) Louis 'Lou' J. Langlais Post Office Building".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 3218, introduced by Congresswoman LOIS CAPPS of California.

Master Chief Lou Langlais served in the military for 25 years. He was in his final tour in Afghanistan when enemy fire shot down his helicopter, killing him and 29 other Americans.

I will ask my colleague and the sponsor of this bill, Congresswoman LOIS CAPPS, to share the incredible story of Master Chief Langlais, but I first want to urge Members to support this bill and name a post office after Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer Louis "Lou" J. Langlais. Hearing his story of lifelong service is inspiring, and I am hopeful that permanently naming the post office in the remembrance of his sacrifice will serve to inspire generations to come.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. CAPPS), the sponsor of this bill.

Mrs. CAPPS. I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of my bill, H.R. 3218, which would designate the United States Postal Service facility that is actually on State Street in Santa Barbara as the Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer (SEAL) Louis "Lou" J. Langlais Post Office Building.

Lou was a soldier and beloved family man. He dedicated his life to protecting our freedom and our country. This is an important bill not only for my community of Santa Barbara, but for the memory of a brave member of our armed services we lost way too soon. Naming the Santa Barbara post

office in honor of Lou Langlais is a fitting tribute.

Born in Quebec, Lou grew up on the central coast. He was an avid rock and mountain climber who spent much of his free time rock climbing some of California's most renowned and challenging locations, including Yosemite National Park and Joshua Tree National Park.

After graduating from Santa Barbara High School, Lou joined the Navy in 1986. He spent 3 years on a warship before being accepted into and graduating from SEAL training class 162 in February 1989. He was a member of the Navy Parachute Team, the Leap Frogs, and served in the Persian Gulf War with distinction and valor.

Then in 2000, Lou joined the highly selective Naval Special Warfare Development Group, where he eventually rose to become a troop leader in the Navy SEALs' elite Team Six before serving multiple tours in Afghanistan and Iraq.

During his 25-year military career, Lou earned many personal and unit decorations, including five Bronze Stars with Valor, the Purple Heart, a Defense Meritorious Service Medal, three Navy and Marine Corps Achievement Medals, and three Presidential Unit Citations, as well as several other campaign and unit decorations.

It is also important to note that he is most remembered as a trusted friend, family member, and teammate for so many.

On August 6, 2011, Master Chief Special Warfare Operator Langlais was one of 30 Americans killed in action when their helicopter was shot down in eastern Afghanistan. At the time, he was serving what was supposed to be his very last deployment. He had plans to return home to his family and continue his service as a trainer in the Navy SEAL program. But alas, he made the ultimate sacrifice.

□ 1830

Lou is survived by his wife, Anya, and their two sons, Gabe and Jake, who also have given so much for their country. This bill honors them for their sacrifice and perseverance in the face of tragedy.

As our community and Nation still mourn, I am proud to have authored this legislation. The naming of the Santa Barbara post office after Master Chief Lou Langlais is a fitting tribute and a way for Santa Barbara to remember and honor one of our own.

I urge my colleagues to support this important legislation.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, we should pass this bill to recognize the incredible achievements of Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer Lou Langlais as well as the ultimate sacrifice he made for this country.

I urge the passage of H.R. 3218.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3218, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1221 State Street, Suite 12, Santa Barbara, California, as the 'Special Warfare Operator Master Chief Petty Officer (SEAL) Louis 'Lou' J. Langlais Post Office Building'."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

H.R. 4889, by the yeas and nays;

H.R. 3998, by the yeas and nays;

H.R. 4167, de novo;

H.R. 2589, de novo.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

KELSEY SMITH ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4889) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require providers of a covered service to provide call location information concerning the telecommunications device of a user of such service to an investigative or law enforcement officer in an emergency situation involving risk of death or serious physical injury or in order to respond to the user's call for emergency services, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 229, nays 158, not voting 46, as follows:

[Roll No. 229]

YEAS—229

Abraham	Bera	Bost
Aderholt	Bilirakis	Boustany
Aguilar	Bishop (GA)	Boyle, Brendan
Amodei	Bishop (MI)	F.
Ashford	Bishop (UT)	Brady (TX)
Barletta	Black	Brooks (IN)
Barr	Blackburn	Brown (FL)
Benishkek	Blum	Brownley (CA)

Bucshon	Holding	Reed
Burgess	Hudson	Reichert
Bustos	Hultgren	Renacci
Byrne	Hurd (TX)	Ribble
Calvert	Israel	Roby
Carney	Jenkins (KS)	Roe (TN)
Carson (IN)	Jenkins (WV)	Rogers (KY)
Carter (GA)	Johnson (OH)	Rooney (FL)
Carter (TX)	Johnson, Sam	Ros-Lehtinen
Castor (FL)	Jolly	Roskam
Chabot	Joyce	Ross
Clay	Katko	Rothfus
Cleaver	Kelly (IL)	Rouzer
Clyburn	Kelly (PA)	Royce
Coffman	King (IA)	Ruppersberger
Cohen	King (NY)	Rush
Collins (NY)	Kinzinger (IL)	Russell
Comstock	Kirkpatrick	Scalise
Cook	Kline	Schiff
Cooper	Knight	Schrader
Costa	Kuster	Scott, Austin
Costello (PA)	LaHood	Scott, David
Cramer	Lamborn	Sessions
Crawford	Lance	Sewell (AL)
Crenshaw	Latta	Shimkus
Cuellar	Lipinski	Shuster
Culberson	LoBiondo	Simpson
Davis, Rodney	Loeb sack	Sinema
Delaney	Long	Slaughter
Denham	Love	Smith (MO)
Dent	Lucas	Smith (NE)
DeSantis	Luetkemeyer	Smith (NJ)
DeSaulnier	Lujan Grisham	Smith (TX)
Deutch	(NM)	Stefanik
Diaz-Balart	Lujan, Ben Ray	Stewart
Dold	(NM)	Stivers
Donovan	Lummis	Swalwell (CA)
Duffy	MacArthur	Thompson (PA)
Duncan (TN)	Maloney, Sean	Thornberry
Ellmers (NC)	Marino	Tiberi
Emmer (MN)	McCarthy	Titus
Fitzpatrick	McCaul	Torres
Fleischmann	McHenry	Trott
Flores	McKinley	Turner
Forbes	McMorris	Upton
Fortenberry	Rodgers	Valadao
Franks (AZ)	Meadows	Veasey
Frelinghuysen	Meehan	Vela
Fudge	Messer	Wagner
Garamendi	Mica	Walberg
Gibbs	Miller (FL)	Walden
Goodlatte	Moolenaar	Walker
Gowdy	Mullin	Walorski
Graham	Murphy (FL)	Walters, Mimi
Graves (GA)	Murphy (PA)	Webster (FL)
Graves (LA)	Neugebauer	Wenstrup
Graves (MO)	Newhouse	Westerman
Green, Gene	Noem	Westmoreland
Griffith	Nugent	Whitfield
Grothman	Nunes	Williams
Guinta	Olson	Wilson (SC)
Guthrie	Palmer	Wittman
Hahn	Paulsen	Womack
Hardy	Peterson	Woodall
Harris	Pittenger	Yoder
Hartzler	Pitts	Young (AK)
Hastings	Poliquin	Young (IA)
Heck (NV)	Pompeo	Young (IN)
Higgins	Quigley	Zinke
Hill	Ratcliffe	

NAYS—158

Adams	Davis, Danny	Hensarling
Amash	DeFazio	Hice, Jody B.
Babin	DeGette	Himes
Becerra	DeLauro	Hinojosa
Beyer	DelBene	Honda
Blumenauer	DesJarlais	Hoyer
Bonamici	Dingell	Huffman
Brady (PA)	Doyle, Michael	Issa
Brat	F.	Jackson Lee
Brooks (AL)	Duncan (SC)	Jeffries
Buchanan	Edwards	Johnson (GA)
Buck	Eshoo	Johnson, E. B.
Butterfield	Farenthold	Jones
Capps	Farr	Jordan
Capuano	Fleming	Kaptur
Cartwright	Foster	Keating
Chaffetz	Fox	Kelly (MS)
Chu, Judy	Frankel (FL)	Kennedy
Clark (MA)	Gabbard	Kildee
Clarke (NY)	Gallego	Kilmer
Clawson (FL)	Garrett	Kind
Conaway	Gibson	Labrador
Connolly	Gosar	LaMalfa
Conyers	Grayson	Langevin
Courtney	Hanna	Larsen (WA)
Crowley	Harper	Larson (CT)
Cummings	Heck (WA)	Lawrence

Lee	Pascrell	Schweikert
Levin	Payne	Scott (VA)
Lieu, Ted	Pearce	Sensenbrenner
Lofgren	Pelosi	Serrano
Lowenthal	Perlmutter	Sherman
Lowe	Perry	Smith (WA)
Lynch	Pingree	Speier
Massie	Pocan	Stutzman
Matsui	Poe (TX)	Takano
McClintock	Polis	Thompson (CA)
McCollum	Posey	Thompson (MS)
McDermott	Price (NC)	Tipton
McGovern	Price, Tom	Tonko
McNerney	Rice (NY)	Tsongas
McSally	Rice (SC)	Van Hollen
Meng	Richmond	Velázquez
Mooney (WV)	Rigell	Walz
Moore	Rogers (AL)	Wasserman
Moulton	Rokita	Schultz
Mulvaney	Roybal-Allard	Watson Coleman
Nadler	Ruiz	Weber (TX)
Napolitano	Ryan (OH)	Welch
Neal	Sánchez, Linda	Wilson (FL)
Nolan	T.	Yarmuth
Norcross	Sanford	Yoho
Palazzo	Sarbanes	Zeldin
Pallone	Schakowsky	

NOT VOTING—46

Allen	Esty	Maloney
Barton	Fattah	Carolyn
Bass	Fincher	Marchant
Beatty	Gohmert	Meeks
Bridenstine	Granger	Miller (MI)
Cárdenas	Green, Al	O'Rourke
Castro (TX)	Grijalva	Peters
Cicilline	Gutiérrez	Rangel
Cole	Herrera Beutler	Rohrabacher
Collins (GA)	Huelskamp	Salmon
Curbelo (FL)	Huizenga (MI)	Sanchez, Loretta
Davis (CA)	Hunter	Sires
Doggett	Hurt (VA)	Takai
Duckworth	Lewis	Vargas
Ellison	Loudermilk	Visclosky
Engel		Waters, Maxine

□ 1854

Mrs. CAPPS, Mr. BROOKS of Alabama, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Messrs. NEAL, GIBSON, PEARCE, HARPER, BUCHANAN, and HANNA changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. BURGESS, Ms. SEWELL of Alabama, Messrs. LOBIONDO, VEASEY, DEUTCH, and Ms. SLAUGHTER changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

CONGRESSIONAL SPORTSMEN'S CAUCUS ANNUAL MEMBER SHOOT-OUT

(Mr. WITTMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. WITTMAN. Mr. Speaker, recently, the Congressional Sportsmen's Caucus held its annual Member Shoot-Out at Prince George's County Trap and Skeet Center. This is a friendly competition between Republicans and Democrats where we get out there and we shoot trap, skeet, and sporting clays.

I am pleased to announce that this year, Team Republican will retain the Shoot-Out trophy, with a winning score of 253-222.

Our Congressional Sportsmen's Caucus is a bipartisan caucus made up of Members of the Republican and Democratic Parties that all come together to support our Nation's great shooting

sports heritage, fishing and recreational shooting heritage, as well as all of us that love to hunt.

Mr. Speaker, I now yield to the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. WALZ). He is the co-chair of the caucus and winner of the Democratic Top Gun award.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank my co-chair of the Congressional Sportsmen's Caucus, the gentleman from Virginia, and congratulate the Republican team for a strong showing this year. You certainly raised the bar for next year.

This event highlights that, in the largest bipartisan caucus in Congress, we collaboratively work together to protect this Nation's hunting, fishing, and outdoor heritage. I am proud of the Members who come out there. They give to the great cause of this, and we continue that heritage.

So again, I congratulate the Republicans for some fine shooting. I look forward to next year and the work we do together.

SECURING ACCESS TO NETWORKS IN DISASTERS ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK). Without objection, 5-minute voting will continue.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3998) to direct the Federal Communications Commission to commence proceedings related to the resiliency of critical telecommunications networks during times of emergency, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 389, nays 2, not voting 42, as follows:

[Roll No. 230]

YEAS—389

Abraham
Adams
Aderholt
Aguilar
Amash
Amodi
Ashford
Babin
Barletta
Barr
Becerra
Benishek
Bera
Beyer
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Blum
Blumenauer
Bonamici
Bost
Boustany

Boyle, Brendan
F.
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Brat
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Brown (FL)
Brownley (CA)
Buchanan
Buck
Bucshon
Burgess
Bustos
Butterfield
Byrne
Calvert
Capps
Capuano
Carney
Carson (IN)
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Chabot

Chaffetz
Chu, Judy
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clawson (FL)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Coffman
Cohen
Collins (NY)
Comstock
Conaway
Connelly
Conyers
Cook
Cooper
Costa
Costello (PA)
Courtney
Cramer
Crawford
Crenshaw
Crowley
Cuellar
Culberson

Cummings
Davis, Danny
Davis, Rodney
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DelBene
Denham
Dent
DeSantis
DeSaulnier
DesJarlais
Deutch
Diaz-Balart
Dingell
Dold
Donovan
Doyle, Michael
F.
Duffy
Duncan (SC)
Duncan (TN)
Edwards
Ellison
Ellmers (NC)
Emmer (MN)
Eshoo
Farenthold
Farr
Fitzpatrick
Fleischmann
Fleming
Flores
Forbes
Fortenberry
Foster
Foxo
Frankel (FL)
Franks (AZ)
Frelinghuysen
Fudge
Gabbard
Gallego
Garamendi
Garrett
Gibbs
Gibson
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Gosar
Gowdy
Graham
Graves (GA)
Graves (LA)
Graves (MO)
Grayson
Green, Gene
Griffith
Grothman
Guinta
Guthrie
Hahn
Hanna
Hardy
Harper
Harris
Hartzler
Hastings
Heck (NV)
Heck (WA)
Hensarling
Hice, Jody B.
Higgins
Hill
Himes
Hinojosa
Holding
Honda
Hoyer
Hudson
Huffman
Hultgren
Hurd (TX)
Hurt (VA)
Israel
Issa
Jackson Lee
Jeffries
Jenkins (KS)
Jenkins (WV)
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jolly
Jones
Jordan
Joyce

Kaptur
Katko
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
Kennedy
Kildee
Kilmer
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kinzinger (IL)
Kirkpatrick
Kline
Knight
Kuster
Labrador
LaHood
LaMalfa
Lamborn
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latta
Lawrence
Lee
Levin
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Loeb
Loeb
Lofgren
Long
Love
Lowenthal
Lowe
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lujan Grisham
(NM)
Lujan, Ben Ray
(NM)
Lummis
Lynch
MacArthur
Maloney, Sean
Marchant
Marino
Matsui
McCarthy
McCaul
McCollum
McDermott
McGovern
McHenry
McKinley
McMorris
McMorris
Rodgers
McNerney
McSally
Meadows
Meehan
Meng
Messer
Mica
Miller (FL)
Moolenaar
Mooney (WV)
Moore
Moulton
Mullin
Mulvaney
Murphy (FL)
Murphy (PA)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Neugebauer
Newhouse
Noem
Nolan
Norcross
Nugent
Nunes
Olson
Palazzo
Pallone
Palmer
Pascrell
Paulsen
Payne
Pearce
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Perry
Peterson
Pingree

Watson Coleman
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Welch
Wenstrup
Westerman
Westmoreland
Whitfield

Williams
Wilson (FL)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yarmuth
Yoder

Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke

NAYS—2

Massie
McClintock

NOT VOTING—42

Allen
Barton
Bass
Beatty
Bridenstine
Cardenas
Castro (TX)
Cicilline
Cole
Collins (GA)
Curbelo (FL)
Davis (CA)
Doggett
Duckworth
Engel

Esty
Fattah
Fincher
Granger
Green, Al
Grijalva
Gutiérrez
Herrera Beutler
Huelskamp
Huizenga (MI)
Hunter
Lewis
Loudermilk
Maloney,
Carolyn

Meeks
Miller (MI)
O'Rourke
Peters
Rangel
Rohrabacher
Salmon
Sanchez, Loretta
Sires
Takai
Vargas
Visclosky
Waters, Maxine

□ 1904

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to direct the Federal Communications Commission to conduct a study on network resiliency during times of emergency, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. AL GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today I missed the following votes:

1. H.R. 4889, Kelsey Smith Act. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on this bill.

2. H.R. 3998, Securing Access to Networks in Disasters Act. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on this bill.

KARI'S LAW ACT OF 2016

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on suspending the rules and passing the bill (H.R. 4167) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require multi-line telephone systems to have a default configuration that permits users to directly initiate a call to 9-1-1 without dialing any additional digit, code, prefix, or post-fix, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require multi-line telephone systems to have a configuration that permits users to directly initiate a call to 9-1-1 without dialing any additional digit, code, prefix, or post-fix, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TIMELY AVAILABILITY OF ITEMS ADOPTED BY VOTE OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on suspending the rules and passing the bill (H.R. 2589) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require the Federal Communications Commission to publish on its Internet website changes to the rules of the Commission not later than 24 hours after adoption, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require the Federal Communications Commission to publish on its Internet website the text of any item that is adopted by vote of the Commission not later than 24 hours after receipt of dissenting statements from all Commissioners wishing to submit such a statement with respect to such item."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on additional motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken later.

MASTER CHIEF PETTY OFFICER JESSE DEAN VA CLINIC

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3969) to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, as the "Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean Department of Veterans Affairs Community-Based Outpatient Clinic", as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3969

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. MASTER CHIEF PETTY OFFICER JESSE DEAN VA CLINIC.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient

clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, shall after the date of the enactment of this Act be known and designated as the "Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean VA Clinic".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the community-based outpatient clinic referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean VA Clinic".

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DONOVAN). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS) and the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This bill is sponsored by Congressman JOE HECK from Nevada, and I thank him for introducing this piece of legislation.

Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean was born on August 11, 1947, and enlisted in the United States Navy when he was just 17 years old. Throughout his time in the Navy, Master Chief Petty Officer Dean served on numerous ships and on several overseas assignments and earned several awards and commendations.

Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean served our Nation both in and out of uniform. He served his fellow veterans and neighbors in Nevada. It is entirely fitting that with the passage of H.R. 3969, as amended, we name the VA community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, the Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean Department of Veterans Affairs Community-Based Outpatient Clinic.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3969, as amended, which would designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, as the Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean Department of Veterans Affairs Community-Based Outpatient Clinic.

Master Chief Petty Officer Dean, who passed away in 2014, was a highly decorated Vietnam veteran who served 27 years in the Navy before retiring in 1992. He settled in Laughlin and joined the American Legion where he was revered by fellow members for his selfless service and dedication. By all accounts, Master Chief Petty Officer Dean was an exemplary sailor and beloved citizen, husband, and father.

I understand that when Congressman HECK asked his constituents to rec-

ommend a veteran to name this clinic after, Officer Dean was the only name mentioned.

Mr. Speaker, Master Chief Petty Officer Dean's dedication to duty, his community, and his country reflected great credit upon himself and was in keeping with the finest ideals of service, selflessness, and giving, making him the ideal namesake for the new veterans clinic in Laughlin, Nevada.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1915

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Nevada (Mr. HECK).

Mr. HECK of Nevada. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor today to urge the House to adopt H.R. 3969, legislation I introduced to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, in honor of Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean.

On February 19, 2015, I helped cut the ribbon at the grand opening of the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada. Shortly after the clinic was completed, members of the American Legion Richard Springston Post 60 in Laughlin came to me with an idea that the clinic should be named for a prominent Laughlin veteran. As we started the search, one name stood out amongst the rest: Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean.

From the time he was a boy, Jesse Dean only wanted to do one thing—serve his country as a member of the United States military. In 1965, at the age of 17, he enlisted in the Navy. Jesse would go on to serve 27 years, achieving the highest grade for an enlisted sailor, that of master chief petty officer.

His first sea duty assignment was aboard the aircraft carrier USS *Hornet*, and during Vietnam he served as part of the brown-water navy. Over his 27-year career, Jesse earned numerous awards and commendations, including three Navy Commendation Medals, two Navy Achievement Medals, a Combat Action Ribbon, a Vietnam Service Medal, and an Overseas Service Ribbon.

Upon his retirement from the Navy in 1992, Jesse moved to Laughlin and promptly joined American Legion Post 60. As a member of the Legion, Jesse was revered by fellow members for his selfless service and dedication to the Post and his fellow veterans. He did the majority of the maintenance work on the Post. He drove fellow veterans to medical appointments and to the store. He even donated a trailer that he owned to be used as a shelter for homeless veterans. Jesse did all of these things and more for the Post and his fellow veterans, but he never accepted compensation for his tireless work.

Mr. Speaker, I did not have the privilege of knowing Master Chief Jesse Dean, but it is clear from speaking

with community members and veterans in Laughlin that naming the new VA clinic in his honor is a fitting tribute.

The master chief was called to his final duty station in 2014. Today we have a chance to repay him with a resounding Bravo Zulu for his years of dedication to Laughlin American Legion Post 60, to the veteran community of Laughlin, and to the United States Navy by naming the new VA health clinic in his honor.

I thank all of the members of the Nevada Congressional Delegation for backing this building naming as well as to thank the members of the American Legion Richard Springston Post 60 in Laughlin for working with us on this bill.

I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 3969 to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, in honor of Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean.

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As we enter into the Memorial Day celebration, I want to point out that, like Master Chief Petty Officer Dean and many Vietnam veterans, when they returned, this country did not receive them as we should have and thank them for their service.

Of the 22 veterans who commit suicide every day, only 3 of them are part of the VA system. I would like for all of us to reach out to the Vietnam veterans and to first thank them all for their service and then for all of us to soldier up and man up and to let them know we love them, that we appreciate them, and that we appreciate their service.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 3969, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This bill satisfies the committee's naming criteria, and, as Dr. HECK said, it is supported by the entire Nevada Congressional Delegation as well as by veterans service organizations, including the American Legion and the VFW.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this great bill. I would appreciate it very much.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3969, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Laughlin, Nevada, as the 'Master Chief Petty Officer Jesse Dean VA Clinic'."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EUGENE J. MCCARTHY POST OFFICE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4425) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 110 East Powerhouse Road in Collegeville, Minnesota, as the "Eugene J. McCarthy Post Office".

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4425

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. EUGENE J. MCCARTHY POST OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 110 East Powerhouse Road in Collegeville, Minnesota, shall be known and designated as the "Eugene J. McCarthy Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Eugene J. McCarthy Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. LAWRENCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 4425, which was introduced by Congressman TOM EMMER of Minnesota. H.R. 4425 designates the post office located at 110 East Powerhouse Road in Collegeville, Minnesota, as the Eugene J. McCarthy Post Office.

Former Senator Eugene McCarthy dedicated much of his life to service. Senator McCarthy served his faith through his work at St. Thomas College, and he served his country as a code breaker for the Army in the War Department.

After leaving the Army, he continued to serve in the public sector as a Representative in the House and then in the Senate for the Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party. Near the end of his life, Senator McCarthy had a post office named after him in Twin Cities, Minnesota. That post office has since been closed.

We will soon hear more about Senator McCarthy from my colleague, Congressman TOM EMMER, the bill's

sponsor. For now, I urge Members to support this bill to rename a post office in remembrance of Eugene J. McCarthy.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I am pleased to join my colleagues in the consideration of H.R. 4425, a bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service in Collegeville, Minnesota, as the Eugene J. McCarthy Post Office.

Mr. McCarthy had many successes. He served as a politician. He served in the military. He taught and was an educator. He was one of our colleagues in the U.S. House of Representatives and later in the Senate.

Ultimately, he entered the Presidential race to become President of the United States. Although he did not win that nomination, I feel strongly in urging the passage of H.R. 4425.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. EMMER).

Mr. EMMER of Minnesota. Mr. Speaker, I thank Eugene McCarthy's daughter, Ellen, and St. John's University president Dr. Michael Hemesath and Dr. Matthew Lindstrom from the Eugene J. McCarthy Center for Public Policy & Civic Engagement at the College of Saint Benedict and St. John's University for their help in making this dedication possible. The staff and students of this center provide valuable events, lectures, and discussions that engage the entire community surrounding Collegeville, Minnesota.

I rise today to honor Senator Eugene McCarthy, a man who is remembered for shaking up the D.C. establishment and for being a driving force behind the level of civic engagement Minnesota has today.

In the year which would have been his 100th birthday, I am proud to have the full Minnesota delegation's support for dedicating the post office at St. John's University—the college where McCarthy grew up, studied, and taught—after this great public servant.

If recent years in politics have taught us anything, it is that the American people are tired of the status quo. They value independent thinking and honest, plain-spoken leaders. Eugene McCarthy was a patriotic American who valued his faith and his country, but who was not afraid to speak out when he believed our Nation was headed down the wrong path.

He left his Benedictine studies to serve his country in World War II as a code breaker in the Military Intelligence Division of the War Department. Serving in the Army gave McCarthy a firsthand perspective on the level of dedication and sacrifice our Nation's servicemembers give in furtherance of a just cause.

McCarthy is best known for effectively ending the political career of his party's presumptive Presidential nominee. As the country tired of watching

their sons die in Vietnam without there being a winning strategy, McCarthy challenged Lyndon Johnson for the Presidential nomination in 1968.

In a party that struggled to justify its failed foreign policies, McCarthy garnered a substantial percentage of the New Hampshire primary, causing a severe blow to then-President Johnson's prospects as well as opening a door for Robert Kennedy, a young Senator from New York, to challenge the sitting President. Johnson ended his campaign within the same month.

Although Nixon won the election, McCarthy had done the groundwork to inject public opinion into the national election process. Eugene McCarthy revived the idea that those who were truly committed to self-government could participate and impact the process to correct injustice and improve citizens' lives in Minnesota and around the country.

McCarthy served as a Representative and Senator from our great State from 1949 to 1971. When McCarthy left the Senate, he returned to his life as a reluctant Minnesota leader, prolific poet, and educator. He authored over 20 books on public policy, political theory, and economics, including memories from growing up in Minnesota.

McCarthy continued to strongly influence Minnesota's politics; yet, he never clung to a party line. McCarthy was publicly critical of Jimmy Carter, and he supported Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative.

Eugene McCarthy's father, a postmaster himself and a proud Republican, once said: Gene is a good boy, but he's in the wrong party.

In Minnesota, we pride ourselves on being able to disagree without necessarily being disagreeable. We pride ourselves on working together from different perspectives, politically and otherwise, toward common goals. Personally, I don't like the term "bipartisan," but not for the reason you may think.

You see, I think the instant we refer to something as "bipartisan" we immediately make an issue about our different points of view instead of about the fact that we all want, essentially, the same things.

For instance, we all want clean air, clean water, good schools, good jobs, safe communities, and a better life for our children than we have enjoyed. The list goes on and on.

Again, for the most part, we all want the same things. Sometimes we just have different perspectives on how to best achieve the things we all want.

Senator McCarthy was not afraid to do the right thing for the right reason even if that meant working with someone who did not have the same political affiliation or religious views.

In my book, that is not just called independence. That is called leadership. Naming a post office after Eugene McCarthy is a worthy dedication for a man who shook the foundation of the political establishment at a national level.

I thank Chairman CHAFFETZ and the committee for their work to officially honor this great Minnesotan, Eugene McCarthy.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Today we have named post offices after some great individuals—public servants, members of our military, politicians, community leaders—and we have done it in the name of respecting their legacies and in honoring them so that their families are honored as well.

I just want to go through the names again: Ross McGinnis, Adam Brown, Roger Fussell, Gregory Barney, Garrett Gamble, Caleb Nelson, William Lacey, Louis Van Iersel, Louis Langlais, and Eugene McCarthy.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the passage of H.R. 4425 and say, as it has been said earlier, that post offices are gathering places in our communities. I gave 30 years of service to the United States Postal Service at various levels of service.

I know that the Postal Service is a place at which people trust their mail will be handled, for the commerce of our country rests in those post offices, and in small rural communities, it is the community center.

Today we have done a great thing, and we have done it bipartisanly. I hear that word, and I sigh a breath of relief in knowing that this body—the Members of Congress—can come together. We have come together to recognize people not because of their parties, but because they are Americans and they have served this great country.

I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1930

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished Congresswoman LAWRENCE for her service, for her time, and for her work this evening.

I urge adoption of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4425.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FEDERAL ASSETS SALE AND TRANSFER ACT OF 2016

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4465) to decrease the deficit by consolidating and selling Federal buildings and other civilian real property, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4465

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act of 2016".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Purposes.
- Sec. 3. Definitions.
- Sec. 4. Board.
- Sec. 5. Board meetings.
- Sec. 6. Compensation and travel expenses.
- Sec. 7. Executive Director.
- Sec. 8. Staff.
- Sec. 9. Contracting authority.
- Sec. 10. Termination.
- Sec. 11. Development of recommendations to Board.
- Sec. 12. Board duties.
- Sec. 13. Review by OMB.
- Sec. 14. Implementation of Board recommendations.
- Sec. 15. Authorization of appropriations.
- Sec. 16. Funding.
- Sec. 17. Congressional approval of proposed projects.
- Sec. 18. Preclusion of judicial review.
- Sec. 19. Implementation review by GAO.
- Sec. 20. Agency retention of proceeds.
- Sec. 21. Federal real property database.
- Sec. 22. Streamlining McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.
- Sec. 23. Additional property.
- Sec. 24. Sale of 12th and Independence.
- Sec. 25. Sale of Cotton Annex.

SEC. 2. PURPOSES.

The purpose of this Act is to reduce the costs of Federal real estate by—

- (1) consolidating the footprint of Federal buildings and facilities;
- (2) maximizing the utilization rate of Federal buildings and facilities;
- (3) reducing the reliance on leased space;
- (4) selling or redeveloping high value assets that are underutilized to obtain the highest and best value for the taxpayer and maximize the return to the taxpayer;
- (5) reducing the operating and maintenance costs of Federal civilian real properties;
- (6) reducing redundancy, overlap, and costs associated with field offices;
- (7) creating incentives for Federal agencies to achieve greater efficiency in their inventories of civilian real property;
- (8) facilitating and expediting the sale or disposal of unneeded Federal civilian real properties;
- (9) improving the efficiency of real property transfers for the provision of services to the homeless; and
- (10) assisting Federal agencies in achieving the Government's sustainability goals by reducing excess space, inventory, and energy consumption, as well as by leveraging new technologies.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act, unless otherwise expressly stated, the following definitions apply:

- (1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of General Services.
- (2) BOARD.—The term "Board" means the Public Buildings Reform Board established by section 4.
- (3) CERCLA.—The term "CERCLA" means the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).
- (4) FEDERAL AGENCY.—The term "Federal agency" means an executive department or independent establishment in the executive branch of the Government, and a wholly owned Government corporation.

(5) FEDERAL CIVILIAN REAL PROPERTY AND CIVILIAN REAL PROPERTY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The terms “Federal civilian real property” and “civilian real property” refer to Federal real property assets, including public buildings as defined in section 3301(a) of title 40, United States Code, occupied and improved grounds, leased space, or other physical structures under the custody and control of any Federal agency.

(B) EXCLUSIONS.—Subparagraph (A) shall not be construed as including any of the following types of property:

(i) Properties that are on military installations (including any fort, camp, post, naval training station, airfield proving ground, military supply depot, military school, or any similar facility of the Department of Defense).

(ii) A base, camp, post, station, yard, center, or homeport facility for any ship or activity under the jurisdiction of the Coast Guard.

(iii) Properties that are excluded for reasons of national security by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

(iv) Properties that are excepted from the definition of the term “property” under section 102 of title 40, United States Code.

(v) Indian and Native Alaskan properties, including—

(I) any property within the limits of an Indian reservation to which the United States owns title for the benefit of an Indian tribe; and

(II) any property title that is held in trust by the United States for the benefit of an Indian tribe or individual or held by an Indian tribe or individual subject to restriction by the United States against alienation.

(vi) Properties operated and maintained by the Tennessee Valley Authority pursuant to the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933 (16 U.S.C. 831 et seq.).

(vii) Postal properties owned by the United States Postal Service.

(viii) Properties used in connection with Federal programs for agricultural, recreational, or conservation purposes, including research in connection with the programs.

(ix) Properties used in connection with river, harbor, flood control, reclamation, or power projects.

(x) Properties located outside the United States operated or maintained by the Department of State or the United States Agency for International Development.

(6) FIELD OFFICE.—The term “field office” means any Federal office that is not the headquarters office location for the Federal agency.

(7) HUD.—The term “HUD” means the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

(8) OMB.—The term “OMB” means the Office of Management and Budget.

(9) VALUE OF TRANSACTIONS.—The term “value of transactions” means the sum of the estimated proceeds and estimated costs, based on the accounting system developed or identified under section 12(e), associated with the transactions included in Board recommendations.

SEC. 4. BOARD.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established an independent board to be known as the Public Buildings Reform Board.

(b) DUTIES.—The Board shall carry out the duties as specified in this Act.

(c) MEMBERSHIP.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall be composed of a Chairperson appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, and 6 members appointed by the President.

(2) APPOINTMENTS.—In selecting individuals for appointments to the Board, the President shall consult with—

(A) the Speaker of the House of Representatives concerning the appointment of 2 members;

(B) the majority leader of the Senate concerning the appointment of 2 members;

(C) the minority leader of the House of Representatives concerning the appointment of 1 member; and

(D) the minority leader of the Senate concerning the appointment of 1 member.

(3) TERMS.—The term for each member of the Board shall be 6 years.

(4) VACANCIES.—Vacancies shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(5) QUALIFICATIONS.—In selecting individuals for appointment to the Board, the President shall ensure that the Board contains individuals with expertise representative of the following:

(A) Commercial real estate and redevelopment.

(B) Space optimization and utilization.

(C) Community development, including transportation and planning.

SEC. 5. BOARD MEETINGS.

(a) OPEN MEETINGS.—Each meeting of the Board, other than meetings in which classified information is to be discussed, shall be open to the public. Any open meeting shall be announced in the Federal Register and the Federal Web site established by the Board at least 14 calendar days in advance of a meeting. For all public meetings, the Board shall release an agenda and a listing of materials relevant to the topics to be discussed.

(b) QUORUM AND MEETINGS.—Five Board members shall constitute a quorum for the purposes of conducting business and 3 or more Board members shall constitute a meeting of the Board.

(c) TRANSPARENCY OF INFORMATION.—All the proceedings, information, and deliberations of the Board shall be open, upon request, to the Chairperson and ranking minority party member, and their respective subcommittee Chairperson and subcommittee ranking minority party member, of—

(1) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives;

(2) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives;

(3) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate;

(4) the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate; and

(5) the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

(d) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE.—All proceedings, information, and deliberations of the Board shall be open, upon request, to the Comptroller General of the United States.

SEC. 6. COMPENSATION AND TRAVEL EXPENSES.

(a) COMPENSATION.—

(1) RATE OF PAY FOR MEMBERS.—Each member, other than the Chairperson, shall be paid at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the minimum annual rate of basic pay payable for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel time) during which the member is engaged in the actual performance of duties vested in the Board.

(2) RATE OF PAY FOR CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson shall be paid for each day referred to in paragraph (1) at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the minimum annual rate of basic pay payable for level III of the

Executive Schedule under section 5314 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) TRAVEL.—Members shall receive travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance with sections 5702 and 5703 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.

(a) APPOINTMENT.—The Board shall appoint an Executive Director, who may be appointed without regard to the provisions of title 5, United States Code, governing appointments in the competitive service.

(b) RATE OF PAY.—The Executive Director shall be paid at the rate of basic pay payable for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 8. STAFF.

(a) ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Executive Director may request additional personnel detailed from Federal agencies.

(b) REQUESTS FOR DETAIL EMPLOYEES.—Upon request of the Executive Director and approval of the Board and the Director of OMB, the head of any Federal agency shall detail the requested personnel of that agency to the Board to assist the Board in carrying out its duties under this Act.

(c) QUALIFICATIONS.—Appointments shall be made with consideration of a balance of expertise consistent with the qualifications of representatives described in section 4(c)(5).

SEC. 9. CONTRACTING AUTHORITY.

(a) EXPERTS AND CONSULTANTS.—The Board, to the extent practicable and subject to appropriations Acts, shall use contracts, including nonappropriated contracts, entered into by the Administrator for services necessary to carry out the duties of the Board.

(b) OFFICE SPACE.—The Administrator, in consultation with the Board, shall identify and provide, without charge, suitable office space within the existing Federal space inventory to house the operations of the Board.

(c) PERSONAL PROPERTY.—The Board shall use personal property already in the custody and control of the Administrator.

SEC. 10. TERMINATION.

The Board shall cease operations and terminate 6 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 11. DEVELOPMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS TO BOARD.

(a) SUBMISSIONS OF AGENCY INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and not later than 120 days after the first day of each fiscal year thereafter until the termination of the Board, the head of each Federal agency shall submit to the Administrator and the Director of OMB the following:

(1) CURRENT DATA.—Current data of all Federal civilian real properties owned, leased, or controlled by the agency, including all relevant information prescribed by the Administrator and the Director of OMB, including data related to the age and condition of the property, operating costs, history of capital expenditures, sustainability metrics, number of Federal employees and functions housed in the respective property, and square footage (including gross, rentable, and usable).

(2) AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS.—Recommendations of the agency on the following:

(A) Federal civilian real properties that can be sold for proceeds or otherwise disposed of, reported as excess, declared surplus, outleased, or otherwise no longer meeting the needs of the agency, excluding leasebacks or other such exchange agreements where the property continues to be used by the agency.

(B) Federal civilian real properties that can be transferred, exchanged, consolidated, co-located, reconfigured, or redeveloped, so as to reduce the civilian real property inventory, reduce the operating costs of the Government, and create the highest value and return for the taxpayer.

(C) Operational efficiencies that the Government can realize in its operation and maintenance of Federal civilian real properties.

(b) STANDARDS AND CRITERIA.—

(1) DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS AND CRITERIA.—Not later than 60 days after the deadline for submissions of agency recommendations under subsection (a), the Director of OMB, in consultation with the Administrator, shall—

(A) review the agency recommendations;

(B) develop consistent standards and criteria against which the agency recommendations will be reviewed; and

(C) submit to the Board the recommendations developed pursuant to paragraph (2).

(2) RECOMMENDATIONS TO BOARD.—The Director of OMB and the Administrator shall jointly develop recommendations to the Board based on the standards and criteria developed under paragraph (1).

(3) FACTORS.—In developing the standards and criteria under paragraph (1), the Director of OMB, in consultation with the Administrator, shall incorporate the following factors:

(A) The extent to which the civilian real property could be sold (including property that is no longer meeting the needs of the Government), redeveloped, outleased, or otherwise used to produce the highest and best value and return for the taxpayer.

(B) The extent to which the operating and maintenance costs are reduced through consolidating, co-locating, and reconfiguring space, and through realizing other operational efficiencies.

(C) The extent to which the utilization rate is being maximized and is consistent with non-governmental industry standards for the given function or operation.

(D) The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the proposed recommendation.

(E) The extent to which reliance on leasing for long-term space needs is reduced.

(F) The extent to which a civilian real property aligns with the current mission of the Federal agency.

(G) The extent to which there are opportunities to consolidate similar operations across multiple agencies or within agencies.

(H) The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of the civilian real property.

(I) The extent to which energy consumption is reduced.

(J) The extent to which public access to agency services is maintained or enhanced.

(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR UTILIZATION RATES.—Standards developed by the Director of OMB pursuant to subsection (b) shall incorporate and apply clear standard utilization rates to the extent that such standard rates increase efficiency and provide performance data. The utilization rates shall be consistent throughout each applicable category of space and with nongovernment space utilization rates. To the extent the space utilization rate of a given agency exceeds the utilization rates to be applied under this subsection, the Director of OMB may recommend realignment, co-location, consolidation, or other type of action to improve space utilization.

(d) SUBMISSION TO BOARD.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of OMB shall submit the standards, criteria, and recommendations developed pursuant to subsection (b) to the Board with all supporting

information, data, analyses, and documentation.

(2) PUBLICATION.—The standards, criteria, and recommendations developed pursuant to subsection (b) shall be published in the Federal Register and transmitted to the committees listed in section 5(c) and to the Comptroller General of the United States.

(3) ACCESS TO INFORMATION.—The Board shall also have access to all information pertaining to the recommendations developed pursuant to subsection (b), including supporting information, data, analyses, and documentation submitted pursuant to subsection (a). Upon request, a Federal agency shall provide to the Board any additional information pertaining to the civilian real properties under the custody, control, or administrative jurisdiction of the Federal agency. The Board shall notify the committees listed in section 5(c) of any failure by an agency to comply with a request of the Board.

SEC. 12. BOARD DUTIES.

(a) IDENTIFICATION OF PROPERTY REDUCTION OPPORTUNITIES.—The Board shall identify opportunities for the Government to reduce significantly its inventory of civilian real property and reduce costs to the Government.

(b) IDENTIFICATION OF HIGH VALUE ASSETS.—

(1) IDENTIFICATION OF CERTAIN PROPERTIES.—Not later than 180 days after Board members are appointed pursuant to section 4, the Board shall—

(A) identify not fewer than 5 Federal civilian real properties that are not on the list of surplus or excess as of such date with a total fair market value of not less than \$500,000,000 and not more than \$750,000,000; and

(B) transmit the list of the Federal civilian real properties to the Director of OMB and Congress as Board recommendations and subject to the approval process described in section 13.

(2) INFORMATION AND DATA.—In order to meet the goal established under paragraph (1), each Federal agency shall provide, upon request, any and all information and data regarding its civilian real properties to the Board. The Board shall notify the committees listed in section 5(c) of any failure by an agency to comply with a request of the Board.

(3) FACTORS.—In identifying properties pursuant to paragraph (1), the Board shall consider the factors listed in section 11(b)(3).

(4) LEASEBACK RESTRICTIONS.—None of the existing improvements on properties sold under this subsection may be leased back to the Government.

(5) REPORT OF EXCESS.—Not later than 60 days after the approval of Board recommendations pursuant to paragraph (1), Federal agencies with custody, control, or administrative jurisdiction over the identified properties shall submit a Report of Excess to the General Services Administration.

(6) SALE.—

(A) INITIATION OF SALE.—Not later than 120 days after the acceptance by the Administrator of the Report of Excess and notwithstanding any other provision of law (including section 501 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411), but except as provided in section 14(g)), the General Services Administration shall initiate the sale of the civilian real properties described in paragraph (1).

(B) COMPLETION OF SALE.—Not later than 1 year after the acceptance of the Report of Excess, the Administrator shall sell the civilian real properties at fair market value at highest and best use, unless the Director of OMB determines it is in the financial interest of the Government to execute a sale more

than a year after the acceptance of the Report of Excess, but not greater than two years after the acceptance of the Report of Excess.

(c) ANALYSIS OF INVENTORY.—The Board shall perform an independent analysis of the inventory of Federal civilian real property and the recommendations submitted pursuant to section 11. The Board shall not be bound or limited by the recommendations submitted pursuant to section 11. If, in the opinion of the Board, an agency fails to provide needed information, data, or adequate recommendations that meet the standards and criteria, the Board shall develop such recommendations as the Board considers appropriate based on existing data contained in the Federal Real Property Profile or other relevant information.

(d) INFORMATION AND PROPOSALS.—

(1) RECEIPT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Board may receive and consider proposals, information, and other data submitted by State and local officials and the private sector.

(2) CONSULTATION.—The Board shall consult with State and local officials on information, proposals, and other data that the officials submit to the Board.

(3) AVAILABILITY.—Information submitted to the Board shall be made publicly available.

(e) ACCOUNTING SYSTEM.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Board shall identify or develop and implement a system of accounting to be used to independently evaluate the costs of and returns on the recommendations. Such accounting system shall be applied in developing the Board's recommendations and determining the highest return to the taxpayer. In applying the accounting system, the Board shall set a standard performance period of not less than 15 years.

(f) PUBLIC HEARING.—The Board shall conduct public hearings. All testimony before the Board at a public hearing under this subsection shall be presented under oath.

(g) REPORTING OF INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the schedule and limitations specified in paragraph (2), the Board shall transmit to the Director of OMB, and publicly post on a Federal Web site maintained by the Board, reports containing the Board's findings, conclusions, and recommendations for—

(A) the consolidation, exchange, co-location, reconfiguration, lease reductions, sale, outlease, and redevelopment of Federal civilian real properties; and

(B) other operational efficiencies that can be realized in the Government's operation and maintenance of such properties.

(2) SCHEDULE AND LIMITATIONS.—

(A) FIRST ROUND.—Not later than 2 years after the date of transmittal of the list of properties recommended pursuant to subsection (b), the Board shall transmit to the Director of OMB the first report required under paragraph (1). The total value of transactions contained in the first report may not exceed \$2,500,000,000.

(B) SECOND ROUND.—Not earlier than 3 years after the date of transmittal of the first report, the Board shall transmit to the Director of OMB the second report required under paragraph (1). The total value of transactions contained in the second report may not exceed \$4,750,000,000.

(3) CONSENSUS IN MAJORITY.—The Board shall seek to develop consensus recommendations, but if a consensus cannot be obtained, the Board may include in the reports required under this subsection recommendations that are supported by a majority of the Board.

(h) FEDERAL WEB SITE.—The Board shall establish and maintain a Federal Web site

for the purposes of making relevant information publicly available.

(i) REVIEW BY GAO.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall transmit to Congress and the Board a report containing a detailed analysis of the recommendations and selection process.

SEC. 13. REVIEW BY OMB.

(a) REVIEW OF RECOMMENDATIONS.—Upon receipt of the Board's recommendations pursuant to subsections (b) and (g) of section 12, the Director of OMB shall conduct a review of the recommendations.

(b) REPORT TO BOARD AND CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the receipt of the Board's recommendations, the Director of OMB shall transmit to the Board and Congress a report that sets forth the Director of OMB's approval or disapproval of the Board's recommendations.

(c) APPROVAL AND DISAPPROVAL.—

(1) APPROVAL.—If the Director of OMB approves the Board's recommendations, the Director of OMB shall transmit a copy of the recommendations to Congress, together with a certification of such approval.

(2) DISAPPROVAL.—If the Director of OMB disapproves the Board's recommendations, in whole or in part, the Director of OMB shall transmit a copy of the recommendations to Congress and the reasons for disapproval of the recommendations to the Board and Congress.

(3) REVISED RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the receipt of reasons for disapproval under paragraph (2), the Board shall transmit to the Director of OMB revised recommendations for approval.

(4) APPROVAL OF REVISED RECOMMENDATIONS.—If the Director of OMB approves the revised recommendations received under paragraph (3), the Director of OMB shall transmit a copy of the revised recommendations to Congress, together with a certification of such approval.

(d) TERMINATION OF PROCESS FOR GIVEN ROUND.—If the Director of OMB does not transmit to Congress an approval and certification described in paragraph (1) or (4) of subsection (c) on or before the 30th day following the receipt of the Board's recommendations or revised recommendations, as the case may be, the process shall terminate until the following round, as described in section 12.

SEC. 14. IMPLEMENTATION OF BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS.

(a) DEADLINES.—

(1) PREPARATION.—Federal agencies shall—
(A) not later than 60 days after the Director of OMB transmits the Board's recommendations to Congress pursuant to paragraph (1) or (4) of section 13(c), immediately begin preparations to carry out the Board's recommendations; and

(B) not later than 2 years after such transmittal, initiate all activities necessary to carry out the Board's recommendations.

(2) COMPLETION.—Not later than 6 years after the Director of OMB transmits the Board's recommendations to Congress pursuant to paragraph (1) or (4) of section 13(c), Federal agencies shall complete all recommended actions. All actions shall be economically beneficial, cost neutral, or otherwise favorable to the Government.

(3) EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES.—For actions that will take longer than the 6-year period described in paragraph (2) due to extenuating circumstances, Federal agencies shall notify the Director of OMB and Congress, as soon as the extenuating circumstance presents itself, with an estimated time to complete the relevant action.

(b) ACTIONS OF FEDERAL AGENCIES RELATED TO CIVILIAN REAL PROPERTIES.—In taking actions related to any civilian real property

under this Act, Federal agencies may take, pursuant to subsection (c), all such necessary and proper actions, including—

(1) acquiring land, constructing replacement facilities, performing such other activities, and conducting advance planning and design as may be required to transfer functions from a Federal asset or property to another Federal civilian property;

(2) reimbursing other Federal agencies for actions performed at the request of the Board; and

(3) taking such actions as are practicable to maximize the value of Federal civilian real property to be sold by clarifying zoning and other limitations on use of such property.

(c) ACTIONS OF FEDERAL AGENCIES TO IMPLEMENT BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS.—

(1) USE OF EXISTING LEGAL AUTHORITIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), when acting on a recommendation of the Board, a Federal agency shall—

(i) in consultation with the Administrator, continue to act within the Federal agency's existing legal authorities, including legal authorities delegated to the Federal agency by the Administrator; or

(ii) work in partnership with the Administrator to carry out such actions.

(B) NECESSARY AND PROPER ACTIONS.—The Administrator may take such necessary and proper actions, including the sale, conveyance, or exchange of civilian real property, as required to implement the Board's recommendations in the time period required under subsection (a).

(2) EXPERTS.—A Federal agency may enter into no cost, nonappropriated contracts for expert commercial real estate services to carry out the Federal agency's responsibilities pursuant to the recommendations.

(d) DISCRETION OF ADMINISTRATOR REGARDING TRANSACTIONS.—For any transaction identified, recommended, or commenced as a result of this Act, any otherwise required legal priority given to, or requirement to enter into, a transaction to convey a Federal civilian real property for less than fair market value, for no consideration at all, or in a transaction that mandates the exclusion of other market participants, shall be at the discretion of the Administrator.

(e) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.—Any recommendation or commencement of a sale, disposal, consolidation, reconfiguration, collocation, or realignment of civilian real property under this Act shall not be subject to—

(1) section 545(b)(8) of title 40, United States Code;

(2) sections 550, 553, and 554 of title 40, United States Code;

(3) any other provision of law authorizing the transfer of certain real property for wildlife, or other purposes" (16 U.S.C. 667b);

(4) section 47151 of title 49, United States Code;

(5) sections 107 and 317 of title 23, United States Code;

(6) section 1304(b) of title 40, United States Code;

(7) section 13(d) of the Surplus Property Act of 1944 (50 U.S.C. App. 1622(d));

(8) any other provision of law authorizing the conveyance of real property owned by the Government for no consideration; and

(9) any congressional notification requirement other than that in section 545 of title 40, United States Code.

(f) PUBLIC BENEFIT.—

(1) SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO HUD.—The Director of OMB shall submit to the Secretary of HUD, on the same day the Director of OMB submits the Board's recommendations to Congress pursuant to para-

graphs (1) and (4) of section 13(c), all known information on Federal civilian real properties that are included in the recommendations (except those recommended under section 12(b)).

(2) HUD TO REPORT TO BOARD.—Not later than 30 days after the submission of information on Federal properties under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall identify any suitable civilian real properties for use as a property benefiting the mission of assistance to the homeless for the purposes of further screening pursuant to section 501 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411).

(3) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—Following the review under paragraph (2), with respect to a civilian real property that is not identified by the Secretary as suitable for use as a property benefiting the mission of assistance to the homeless and that has been recommended for sale by the Board, the Director of OMB may exclude the property from the Board's recommendations if the Director determines that the property is suitable for use as a public park or recreation area by a State or local government and it is in the best interest of taxpayers.

(g) ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS.—

(1) TRANSFERS OF REAL PROPERTY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—When implementing the recommended actions for civilian real properties that have been identified in the Board's report, as specified in section 12(g), and subject to paragraph (2) and in compliance with CERCLA, including section 120(h) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)), Federal agencies may enter into an agreement to transfer by deed, pursuant to section 120(h)(3) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)(3)), civilian real property with any person.

(B) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The head of the disposing agency may require any additional terms and conditions in connection with an agreement authorized by subparagraph (A) as the head of the disposing agency considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States. Such additional terms and conditions shall not affect or diminish any rights or obligations of the Federal agencies under section 120(h) of CERCLA (including, without limitation, the requirements of subsections (h)(3)(A) and (h)(3)(C)(iv) of that section).

(2) CERTIFICATION CONCERNING COSTS.—A transfer of Federal civilian real property may be made under paragraph (1) only if the head of the disposing agency certifies to the Board and Congress that—

(A) the costs of all environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities otherwise to be paid by the disposing agency with respect to the property are equal to or greater than the fair market value of the property to be transferred, as determined by the head of the disposing agency; or

(B) if such costs are lower than the fair market value of the property, the recipient of the property agrees to pay the difference between the fair market value and such costs.

(3) PAYMENTS TO RECIPIENTS.—In the case of a civilian real property covered by a certification under paragraph (2)(A), the disposing agency may pay the recipient of such property an amount equal to the lesser of—

(A) the amount by which the costs incurred by the recipient of such property for all environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities with respect to such property exceed the fair market value of such property as specified in such certification; or

(B) the amount by which the costs (as determined by the head of the disposing agency) that would otherwise have been incurred by the Secretary for such restoration, waste

management, and environmental compliance activities with respect to such property exceed the fair market value of such property as so specified.

(4) **INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED TO RECIPIENTS.**—As part of an agreement under paragraph (1), the head of the disposing agency shall disclose, in accordance with applicable law, to the person to whom the civilian real property will be transferred information possessed by the disposing agency regarding the environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities that relate to the property. The head of the disposing agency shall provide such information before entering into the agreement.

(5) **CONSIDERATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION IN GRANTING TIME EXTENSIONS.**—For the purposes of granting time extensions under subsection (a), the Director of OMB shall give the need for significant environmental remediation to a civilian real property more weight than any other factor in determining whether to grant an extension to implement a Board recommendation.

(6) **LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this Act may be construed to modify, alter, or amend CERCLA, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, or the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

SEC. 15. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act an initial appropriation of—

(1) \$2,000,000 for salaries and expenses of the Board; and

(2) \$40,000,000 to be deposited into the Asset Proceeds and Space Management Fund for activities related to the implementation of the Board's recommendations.

SEC. 16. FUNDING.

(a) **SALARIES AND EXPENSES ACCOUNT.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established in the Treasury of the United States an account to be known as the "Public Buildings Reform Board Salaries and Expenses Account" (in this subsection referred to as the "Account").

(2) **NECESSARY PAYMENTS.**—There shall be deposited into the Account such amounts, as are provided in appropriations Acts, for those necessary payments for salaries and expenses to accomplish the administrative needs of the Board.

(b) **ASSET PROCEEDS AND SPACE MANAGEMENT FUND.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established within the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, United States Code, an account to be known as the Public Buildings Reform Board—Asset Proceeds and Space Management Fund (in this subsection referred to as the "Fund").

(2) **USE OF AMOUNTS.**—Amounts in the Fund shall be used solely for the purposes of carrying out actions pursuant to the Board recommendations approved under section 13.

(3) **DEPOSITS.**—The following amounts shall be deposited into the Fund and made available for obligation or expenditure only as provided in advance in appropriations Acts (subject to section 3307 of title 40, United States Code, to the extent an appropriation normally covered by that section exceeds \$20,000,000) for the purposes specified:

(A) Such amounts as are provided in appropriations Acts, to remain available until expended, for the consolidation, co-location, exchange, redevelopment, reconfiguration of space, disposal, and other actions recommended by the Board for Federal agencies.

(B) Amounts received from the sale of any civilian real property action taken pursuant to a recommendation of the Board.

(4) **USE OF AMOUNTS TO COVER COSTS.**—As provided in appropriations Acts, amounts in the Fund may be made available to cover necessary costs associated with implementing the recommendations pursuant to section 14, including costs associated with—

(A) sales transactions;

(B) acquiring land, construction, constructing replacement facilities, and conducting advance planning and design as may be required to transfer functions from a Federal asset or property to another Federal civilian property;

(C) co-location, redevelopment, disposal, and reconfiguration of space; and

(D) other actions recommended by the Board for Federal agencies.

(c) **ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR BUDGET CONTENTS.**—The President shall transmit along with the President's budget submitted pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, an estimate of proceeds that are the result of the Board's recommendations and the obligations and expenditures needed to support such recommendations.

SEC. 17. CONGRESSIONAL APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PROJECTS.

Section 3307(b) of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "and" at the end of paragraph (6);

(2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (7) and inserting "and"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(8) a statement of how the proposed project is consistent with the standards and criteria developed under section 11(b) of the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act of 2016."

SEC. 18. PRECLUSION OF JUDICIAL REVIEW.

The following actions shall not be subject to judicial review:

(1) Actions taken pursuant to sections 12 and 13.

(2) Actions of the Board.

SEC. 19. IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW BY GAO.

Upon transmittal of the Board's recommendations from the Director of OMB to Congress under section 13, the Comptroller General of the United States at least annually shall monitor and review the implementation activities of Federal agencies pursuant to section 14, and report to Congress any findings and recommendations.

SEC. 20. AGENCY RETENTION OF PROCEEDS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 571 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following:

"(a) **PROCEEDS FROM TRANSFER OR SALE OF REAL PROPERTY.**—

"(1) **DEPOSIT OF NET PROCEEDS.**—Net proceeds described in subsection (c) shall be deposited into the appropriate real property account of the agency that had custody and accountability for the real property at the time the real property is determined to be excess.

"(2) **EXPENDITURE OF NET PROCEEDS.**—The net proceeds deposited pursuant to paragraph (1) may only be expended, as authorized in annual appropriations Acts, for activities described in sections 543 and 545, including paying costs incurred by the General Services Administration for any disposal-related activity authorized by this chapter.

"(3) **DEFICIT REDUCTION.**—Any net proceeds described in subsection (c) from the sale, lease, or other disposition of surplus real property that are not expended under paragraph (2) shall be used for deficit reduction. Any net proceeds not obligated within 3 years after the date of deposit and not expended within 5 years after such date shall be deposited as miscellaneous receipts in the Treasury.

"(b) **EFFECT ON OTHER SECTIONS.**—Nothing in this section is intended to affect section 572(b), 573, or 574.

"(c) **NET PROCEEDS.**—The net proceeds described in this subsection are proceeds under this chapter, less expenses of the transfer or disposition as provided in section 572(a), from a—

"(1) transfer of excess real property to a Federal agency for agency use; or

"(2) sale, lease, or other disposition of surplus real property."

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The provisions of this section, including the amendments made by this section, shall take effect upon the termination of the Board pursuant to section 10 and shall not apply to proceeds from transactions conducted under section 14.

SEC. 21. FEDERAL REAL PROPERTY DATABASE.

(a) **DATABASE REQUIRED.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Administrator of General Services shall publish a single, comprehensive, and descriptive database of all Federal real property under the custody and control of all executive agencies, other than Federal real property excluded for reasons of national security, in accordance with subsection (b).

(b) **REQUIRED INFORMATION FOR DATABASE.**—The Administrator shall collect from the head of each executive agency descriptive information, except for classified information, of the nature, use, and extent of the Federal real property of each such agency, including the following:

(1) The geographic location of each Federal real property of each such agency, including the address and description for each such property.

(2) The total size of each Federal real property of each such agency, including square footage and acreage of each such property.

(3) Whether the Federal real property is currently, or will in the future be, needed to support agency's mission or function.

(4) The utilization of each Federal real property for each such agency, including whether such property is excess, surplus, underutilized, or unutilized.

(5) The number of days each Federal real property is designated as excess, surplus, underutilized, or unutilized.

(6) The annual operating costs of each Federal real property.

(7) The replacement value of each Federal real property.

(c) **ACCESS TO DATABASE.**—

(1) **FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—The Administrator, in consultation with the Director of OMB, shall make the database established and maintained under this section available to other Federal agencies.

(2) **PUBLIC ACCESS.**—To the extent consistent with national security and procurement laws, the database shall be accessible by the public at no cost through the Web site of the General Services Administration.

(d) **TRANSPARENCY OF DATABASE.**—To the extent practicable, the Administrator shall ensure that the database—

(1) uses an open, machine-readable format;

(2) permits users to search and sort Federal real property data; and

(3) includes a means to download a large amount of Federal real property data and a selection of such data retrieved using a search.

(e) **APPLICABILITY.**—Nothing in this section may be construed to require an agency to make available to the public information that is exempt from disclosure pursuant to section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 22. STREAMLINING MCKINNEY-VENTO HOMELESS ASSISTANCE ACT.

Section 501 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(2)—
 (A) by striking “(2)(A)” and inserting “(2)”;

(B) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

(C) in subparagraph (A) (as so redesignated) by striking “and” at the end;

(D) in subparagraph (B) (as so redesignated) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(E) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) in the case of surplus property, the provision of permanent housing with or without supportive services is an eligible use to assist the homeless under this section.”;

(2) in subsection (c)(1)(A) by striking “in the Federal Register” and inserting “on the Web site of the Department of Housing and Urban Development or the General Services Administration”;

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1) by striking “period of 60 days” and inserting “period of 30 days”;

(B) in paragraphs (2) and (4) by striking “60-day period” and inserting “30-day period”;

(C) in paragraph (3) by adding at the end the following: “If no such review of the determination is requested within the 20-day period, such property will not be included in subsequent publications unless the landholding agency makes changes to the property (e.g. improvements) that may change the unsuitable determination and the Secretary subsequently determines the property is suitable.”;

(4) in subsection (e)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “(2)” and inserting “(2)(A)”;

(ii) in subparagraph (A) (as so designated)—

(I) by striking “90 days” and inserting “75 days”;

(II) by striking “a complete application” and inserting “an initial application”;

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) An initial application shall set forth—

“(i) the services that will be offered;

“(ii) the need for the services; and

“(iii) the experience of the applicant that demonstrates the ability to provide the services.”;

(B) in paragraph (3) by striking “25 days after receipt of a completed application” and inserting “10 days after receipt of an initial application”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) If the Secretary of Health and Human Services approves an initial application, the applicant has 45 days in which to provide a final application that sets forth a reasonable plan to finance the approved program.

“(5) No later than 15 days after receipt of the final application, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall review, make a final determination, and complete all actions on the final application. The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall maintain a public record of all actions taken in response to an application.”;

(5) in subsection (f)(1) by striking “available by” and inserting “available, at the applicant’s discretion, by”.

SEC. 23. ADDITIONAL PROPERTY.

Section 549(c)(3)(B)(vii) of title 40, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(vii) a museum attended by the public, and, for purposes of determining whether a museum is attended by the public, the Administrator shall consider a museum to be public if the nonprofit educational or public health institution or organization, at minimum, accedes to any request submitted for access during business hours.”;

SEC. 24. SALE OF 12TH AND INDEPENDENCE.

(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “property” means the property located in

the District of Columbia, subject to survey and as determined by the Administrator of General Services, generally consisting of Squares 325 and 326 and a portion of Square 351 and generally bounded by 12th Street, Independence Avenue, C Street, and the James Forrestal Building, all in Southwest Washington, District of Columbia, and shall include all associated air rights, improvements thereon, and appurtenances thereto.

(b) SALE.—Not later than December 31, 2018, the Administrator of General Services shall sell the property at fair market value at highest and best use.

(c) NET PROCEEDS.—Any net proceeds received shall be paid into an account in the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, United States Code. Upon deposit, the net proceeds from the sale may be expended only subject to a specific future appropriation.

SEC. 25. SALE OF COTTON ANNEX.

(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “property” means property located in the District of Columbia, subject to survey and as determined by the Administrator, generally consisting of Square 326 south of C Street, all in Southwest Washington, District of Columbia, including the building known as the Cotton Annex.

(b) SALE.—Not later than December 31, 2018, the Administrator of General Services shall sell the property at fair market value at highest and best use.

(c) NET PROCEEDS.—Any net proceeds received shall be paid into an account in the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, United States Code. Upon deposit, the net proceeds from the sale may be expended only subject to a specific future appropriation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BARLETTA) and the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. CARSON) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include any extraneous material on H.R. 4465, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

H.R. 4465, as amended, includes reforms that will reduce the deficit through the consolidation and selling of Federal buildings and improving the management of Federal real property. I am pleased to be a cosponsor of this legislation.

I want to recognize the tireless work of the gentleman and former chair of the Economic Development, Public Buildings, and Emergency Management Subcommittee, Mr. DENHAM, for his leadership on this issue, along with the chairman of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Mr. CHAFFETZ. This bipartisan legislation incorporates critical provisions crafted by both committees to address decades-old problems related to Federal real property.

H.R. 4465, as amended, establishes a pilot program that includes an inde-

pendent review of the Federal real property inventory and development of recommendations for the disposition of vacant and underutilized properties. We have had hearings highlighting Federal buildings sitting vacant, costing the taxpayer through maintenance costs and unrealized sale proceeds. These buildings are often eyesores in local communities and provide no local tax benefits.

Agencies have been slow in getting rid of unneeded properties. For example, the Old Georgetown Heating Plant, in one of the most expensive areas of D.C., sat vacant for 11 years and was only sold after our committee held a hearing spotlighting the vacant property. The pilot included in this legislation will result in an independent look across agencies at opportunities to sell, redevelop, and consolidate Federal properties.

Following the pilot, H.R. 4465, as amended, would then allow agencies to retain a portion of the disposal proceeds to offset the up-front cost of property disposal.

The legislation will also codify the Federal real property database, providing for better congressional oversight of the real property inventory. If this bill works as intended, we can make significant strides in reducing the cost to the taxpayer and putting underused properties back on local tax rolls for redevelopment.

I urge my colleagues to support passage of this important legislation.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 4465, the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act of 2016. This bill begins the process of reforming GSA’s public building services.

I would like to, first of all, recognize wholeheartedly my very good friend, whom I had an opportunity to travel with, my colleague from California (Mr. DENHAM) for his work in bringing this bill before the Transportation Committee and now the full House, and also my colleague Chairman BARLETTA.

Today’s legislation, Mr. Speaker, really has the potential to be a valuable tool in right-sizing our Federal footprint. It authorizes an independent board that could provide a source of revenue for the Federal Government to invest in its existing buildings and to better manage its real estate portfolio. The board would make recommendations to dispose of unneeded and underutilized real estate, and it would make recommendations to consolidate Federal real estate functions where appropriate.

H.R. 4465 is consistent with several governmentwide memoranda issued by the President that ordered agencies to reduce and freeze their real estate footprints. These directives represent the administration’s sustained priority of improving the management of Federal real estate. I believe H.R. 4465 dovetails

well with the administration's priorities and begins to address the issue in very meaningful ways.

Both the Transportation Committee and the Government Accountability Office, or GAO, have repeatedly raised concerns about the way Federal real property has been managed. The proposed board would be highly instrumental in reconfiguring, co-locating, and even realigning the Federal real estate portfolio with best practices.

Although I believe the board can serve an important role in disposing of unneeded real estate, I also urge the board to not sell real estate assets in a soft market or sell properties that hamstring the government's ability to house Federal employees in the future. Expert and specialized skill is still very necessary to dispose of underutilized real estate assets while avoiding selling property the government could need in the future. Without this expertise, we could end up with transactions leading to future long-term leasing because of the haphazard disposal of underutilized real estate.

It is very important to note that today's legislation contains several checks and balances. As a result of the concerns expressed on my side of the aisle, there were several changes to the bill while negotiating the final version. Instead of the bill requiring six annual recommendations, as originally proposed, the board will now make three sets of detailed recommendations over 6 years so that Congress can conduct oversight of the board's actions and properly gauge the alignment of the board's goals with congressional priorities.

In addition, the aggregate value of transactions is capped at no more than \$8 billion. Each potential real estate action with a value above \$20 million will require an appropriation that will go through the normal GSA prospectus approval process.

Now, Mr. Speaker, Federal agencies will be required to coordinate construction and alteration projects with GSA. I appreciate that the sponsors of this important legislation were willing to work with us to address these concerns, and we look forward to continuing this great work as it is being implemented.

In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I support today's legislation. It creates an independent board to make recommendations on how to meet the goal of right-sizing the Federal real estate portfolio and saving taxpayers millions of dollars.

I intend to conduct vigorous oversight of this board and the actions taken by GSA in order to make it a success.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM).

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of legislation I have authored to help reduce the size of the Federal footprint.

I first would like to thank Chairman SHUSTER and Chairman BARLETTA for their ongoing support in this effort, as well as Ranking Member DEFAZIO and Ranking Member CARSON for their help also.

This is truly a bipartisan bill. It is a bill that has garnered a lot of support because we have worked with both sides of the aisle, as well as with groups that have a vested interest in making sure that this happens correctly.

I also thank Chairman CHAFFETZ and Ranking Member CUMMINGS of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform for working to bridge the differences between our two committees.

Given our trillion-dollar deficit and skyrocketing debt, we have to examine every area of government and look for ways to continue to cut spending. This bill has taken 5 years in the making. It was one of the first actions when I, as a chair of this subcommittee, initially, we held a hearing in the Old Post Office in D.C. It was a January morning with freezing temperatures. We went in to show that this vacant building was sitting there and could be revitalized. Now we are going to see that building not only reopen as a hotel and retail space, but it is going to generate millions in profits for the Federal Government.

We continued to hold hearings like this in abandoned buildings all across the country, ones that were neglected, underutilized buildings, just to highlight the failed state of failed property management. We were successful in pressuring GSA in selling the long vacant Georgetown West Heating Plant. That netted \$20 million to the American taxpayer. Sadly, this has got to be done across the entire country.

Every year since 2003, GAO, the Government Accountability Office, has found that the Federal Government fails to manage hundreds of thousands of buildings across the entire country. According to the GAO, the Federal Government continues to maintain too much excess and underutilized property, relies too heavily on costly leased space, and maintains unreliable and misleading real property lists. The GAO agrees and has stated before this committee that legislation like the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act would go a long way toward fixing the problems with Federal real property.

The President has also continued to support reforms to Federal real estate since speaking on it in his 2011 State of the Union. He has included it in his budget since then, and I am also pleased to have secured the commitment of this administration to advance legislation and work with myself and Chairman CHAFFETZ to see real reforms signed into law. Additionally, both Houses of Congress have included this idea in their annual budget documents.

I believe that we have the potential to save billions of dollars in real estate property. To be successful, this board

will need to consolidate the Federal footprint, house more Federal employees in less overall space, reduce our reliance on costly lease space, sell or redevelop high-value assets that are underutilized, and dispose of surplus property much, much quicker. This bill creates an environment that will achieve these goals and creates a reliable and comprehensive real property database so the public can actually see government's progress.

Additionally, as I said, we worked with other groups. One of those was dealing with the McKinney-Vento Act to better facilitate access to unneeded Federal real property to serve our Nation's homeless population. I am proud that these changes have led to the endorsement of this legislation by the National Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty. I am pleased to work with the Law Center throughout this process and look forward to continuing to work with them to address our Nation's most vulnerable citizens.

Again, this is a good bill. This has been done in a bipartisan fashion, and it is going to save billions of dollars for the taxpayer.

Mr. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN).

Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman BARLETTA for yielding me this time.

I rise in support of both H.R. 4465, the bill by Chairman DENHAM, and H.R. 4487 by Chairman BARLETTA.

We need to realize, Mr. Speaker, that private ownership of property is a very important, even vital, part of our freedom and our prosperity.

Today, the Federal Government owns almost 30 percent of the land in this Nation, and State and local governments and quasi-governmental agencies own almost 20 percent. So today, close to half of the land in this country is under some type of public governmental ownership. But you can never satisfy government's appetite for money or land. They always want more.

I first became interested in this issue when I read in USA Today several years ago that governments keep adding land equal to half the size of the State of New Jersey each year through direct purchases or through indirect purchases through land conservancies. Then I read that the Federal Bureau of Land Management had about 3 million acres they didn't even want.

I first introduced a bill on this subject in 2001, during the 107th Congress, called the Federal Lands Improvement Act. I reintroduced it in the next Congress. Then, in the 110th Congress, I introduced a similar bill with my colleague from the other side, Congressman Dennis Moore of Kansas, called the Federal Real Property Disposal Enhancement Act. In a similar bipartisan

fashion, Senator TOM CARPER of Delaware and Senator Tom Coburn of Oklahoma introduced companion legislation in the Senate.

Several years ago, the Office of Management and Budget had found 21,000 Federal properties that the Federal Government no longer wanted or needed worth, at that time, \$18 billion, and \$9 billion of those were real property assets that the Federal Government wanted to dispose of.

□ 1945

Jim Nussle, who was the Office of Management and Budget Director at the time, wrote a letter endorsing legislation to do what these bills are attempting to do here tonight.

He said: "To reach this objective, I believe we must improve and streamline the current process that Federal agencies face in disposing of real property assets."

Some extremists never want the government to sell any property, and government at all levels continues to acquire more and more land every year. But we keep shrinking the tax base, Mr. Speaker, at the time that schools and policemen and all these other government employees want and need more funding.

This legislation, we have worked on this through both the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, on which I serve, and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, on which I also serve. I want to commend, again, Chairman BARLETTA and Chairman DENHAM because, with so many needs and so many good things that we can do for the American people, it simply makes no sense to force the government to keep properties that it no longer needs or wants. We can and should put those assets to much better use.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MICA).

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I have to thank the chairman, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BARLETTA), for taking this measure this far. I want to thank the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. CARSON) also. I have to also thank Mr. DENHAM and others for bringing this legislation forward.

My involvement as a member and former chair of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure was that we had the Subcommittee on Economic Development, Public Buildings, and Emergency Management, which the distinguished gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BARLETTA) chairs and the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. CARSON) is the ranking member. They have taken this proposal that we thought about for sometime, and we heard Mr. DUNCAN's work for years and brought it forward to a great piece of legislation that can save billions of dollars for the taxpayers.

The Federal Government and the American people are, by far, the largest landowners of anyone anywhere.

The American people own more Federal property than anyone. There are some problems, though, and we identified those. When we were in the minority several years back, we had more time to do studies and reports. Mr. Speaker, we produced a report that was called "Sitting on Our Assets: The Federal Government's Misuse of Taxpayer-Owned Assets."

What we did is we went through some of the public buildings and properties that are sitting idle. One highlighted in the report—you can look the report up—is the old post office two blocks from the White House sitting there idle, 400,000 square feet. Half of it is empty. Behind it there is a newer annex. The old building was built in the 1890s. It was half empty, costing the taxpayers \$6 million to \$8 million a year in losses, to underwrite the losses.

It took us two hearings. The first hearing we held was in the empty annex, empty for 15 years. We brought the committee down there. The staff said: Should we do it in the heated part half empty or should we do it in the cold part?

It was 32 degrees outside, 38 degrees inside. We did the hearing in the cold part. We made the bureaucrats shiver.

For a year they still didn't do anything. We got it put up for tender. Guess who won against the competition of the best hotels. Ritz Carlton, Marriott, and all of the other majors, Hilton, they all competed openly. Mr. Trump and his organization won. He is turning that asset that has been sitting idle, costing taxpayers from \$6 million to \$8 million a year in losses, into about a quarter of a million dollars revenue, plus a percentage of some of the profits. Now, that is what you do in turning government properties around. That is one example.

You could go throughout the District. Behind the Ritz Carlton in Georgetown there is a property, a power station. We did a hearing in the empty building there. We got it up for sale. Actually, the "for sale" sign went up the day before the hearing. It sold for \$19 million. It was costing us \$1 million a year to maintain empty.

One of the greatest victories is going to occur on June 3. Since 2008, the Federal courthouse, which is a beautiful, historic building in downtown Miami, empty, costing more than \$1.5 million to keep empty, deteriorating. We held a hearing there in the empty courthouse several years back. Nothing happened. Then I heard from the president of Miami Dade Community College, my alma mater, across the street.

He said: I have written GSA, and we can't get them to do anything.

Well, on June 3, we will transfer that vacant property sitting idle since 2008 to Miami Dade Community College, stemming losses.

These are just a few examples. Up in Mr. HOYER's district, we have got thousands of acres between the two major thoroughfares vacant at the Department of Agriculture.

At Cape Kennedy, we have been private there for 5 years. We took the committee down, and we did a hearing there, 177,000 acres, five times the size of Manhattan. There are another 16,000 acres adjacent with the Air Force, sitting there with 400 buildings, half of them empty. All I need is 400 acres from the Air Force to do a cargo container port, and you could employ 5,000 people. That is what the port director testified.

So we have assets across this Nation sitting idle because no bureaucrat has the beanie up here to make that into a producing asset. We haven't even gotten into VA. This doesn't include the Postal Service or DOD. We have thousands of properties, buildings sitting idle. This bill starts the process.

If you owned property, would you give it to the Federal Government to manage?

I always ask groups that. People look at me like I have been smoking marijuana.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman.

Mr. MICA. People look at you like you are dumb.

Would you consider giving your property—any of the Members—to the Federal Government to manage?

No way, Jose; they would not do it. So this bill has people who really know how to deal and manage real estate look at the properties. We don't even have an inventory of these properties, as some of this gets beyond the pale.

But we will get an inventory, we will get a recommendation, and then hopefully do something, make agencies do something. Bureaucrats will do nothing with those properties. They don't think. Their brains are not wired to think. They do nothing smart. They are getting their paycheck. They don't think.

So this is the beginning of getting out of the dumb ages into the smart ages, taking those hard-earned public assets, the poor person out there who is dogging it, trying to put food on the table, gas in the car, kids in school, and the government is sitting on huge Federal assets doing nothing.

Thank you for coming forward with this bill. Let's get it done. Let's get it passed.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BARLETTA) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4465, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2576, TSCA MODERNIZATION ACT OF 2015, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 897, REDUCING REGULATORY BURDENS ACT OF 2015

Mr. WOODALL, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114-590) on the resolution (H. Res. 742) providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 2576) to modernize the Toxic Substances Control Act, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 897) to amend the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to clarify Congressional intent regarding the regulation of the use of pesticides in or near navigable waters, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5055, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2017

Mr. WOODALL, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114-591) on the resolution (H. Res. 743) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5055) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

PUBLIC BUILDINGS REFORM AND SAVINGS ACT OF 2016

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4487) to reduce costs of Federal real estate, improve building security, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4487

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Public Buildings Reform and Savings Act of 2016".

SEC. 2. STREAMLINED LEASING PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) EXECUTION OF LEASES.—The Administrator of General Services shall establish and conduct a pilot program to execute lease agreements pursuant to authority provided under section 585 of title 40, United States Code, using alternative procedures.

(b) ADOPTION.—The Administrator shall prescribe alternative procedures to enter into lease agreements in accordance with section 585 of title 40, United States Code, pursuant to the provisions of this section.

(c) GOALS OF PROCEDURES.—The goals of the alternative procedures are—

(1) reducing the costs to the Federal Government of leased space, including—

(A) executing long-term leases with firm terms of 10 years or more and reducing cost-

ly holdover and short-term lease extensions, including short firm term leases;

(B) improving office space utilization rates of Federal tenants; and

(C) streamlining and simplifying the leasing process to take advantage of real estate markets; and

(2) significantly reducing or eliminating the backlog of expiring leases over the next 5 years.

(d) LEASEHOLD INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY.—

(1) SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURES.—Notwithstanding section 3305(b) of title 41, United States Code, but otherwise in accordance with such section, the Administrator shall provide special simplified procedures for acquisitions of leasehold interests in real property at rental rates that do not exceed the simplified lease acquisition threshold, as defined in paragraph (2). The rental rate under a multiyear lease does not exceed the simplified lease acquisition threshold if the average annual amount of the rent payable for the period of the lease does not exceed the simplified lease acquisition threshold.

(2) ACQUISITION THRESHOLD.—For purposes of this section, the simplified lease acquisition threshold is \$500,000.

(e) CONSOLIDATED LEASE PROSPECTUSES.—The Administrator may, when acquiring leasehold interests subject to section 3307 of title 40, United States Code, transmit, pursuant to subsection (b) of such section, to the committees designated in such section for approval a prospectus to acquire leased space, and waive the requirements pursuant to paragraphs (3) and (6) of section 3307(b), subject to the following requirements:

(1) COST PER SQUARE FOOTAGE.—The cost per square footage does not exceed the maximum proposed rental rate designated for the respective geographical area.

(2) SPACE UTILIZATION.—The Administrator ensures the overall space utilization rate is 170 usable square feet per person or better based on actual agency staffing levels when occupied.

(3) LEASE TERM.—The lease term, including the firm term, is not less than 10 years.

(4) GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION.—The geographical location is identified as having a large amount of square footage of Federal office space and lease turnover and will likely result in providing for the ability, on a timely basis, of the agency to consolidate space effectively or meet any requirements for temporary or interim space required for planned consolidations.

(f) CONSOLIDATIONS GENERALLY.—The Administrator may consolidate more than 1 project into a single prospectus submitted pursuant to section 3307(b), title 40, United States Code, if such consolidation will facilitate efficiencies and reductions in overall space and improved utilization rates.

(g) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Administrator may—

(1) waive notice and comment rulemaking, if the Administrator determines the waiver is necessary to implement this section expeditiously; and

(2) carry out the alternative procedures under this section as a pilot program.

(h) REPORTS.—

(1) ANNUAL REPORTS.—During the period in which the pilot program is conducted under this section, the Administrator shall submit, annually, to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a progress report that provides updates on the number and square footage of leases expiring in the 5-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, by agency and region, and which shall include for the expiring leases—

(A) an average of the lease terms, including firm terms, for leases executed; and

(B) the percentage of leases managed in-house or through the use of commercial real estate leasing services.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after termination of the pilot program, the Administrator shall submit a final report to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate. The final report shall include—

(A) a review and evaluation of the lease agreements executed under the alternative procedures established pursuant to this section in comparison to those agreements not executed pursuant to the alternative procedures;

(B) recommendations on any permanent changes to the General Services Administration's leasing authority; and

(C) a progress evaluation in meeting the goals described in subsection (c).

(i) TERMINATION.—The authorities under this section shall terminate on December 31, 2021.

SEC. 3. EXCHANGE AUTHORITY.

(a) LIMITATION ON EXCHANGE AUTHORITY.—Section 3307(a) of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "(including by exchange)" after "acquire"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(4) An appropriation for any costs and expenses associated with administering an acquisition by exchange involving real property or in-kind consideration, including services, with a fair market value of \$2,850,000 or more."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall not apply to projects in which a procurement has already begun.

SEC. 4. FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE.

(a) Section 1315 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) CONTRACT SECURITY PERSONNEL.—

"(1) AUTHORITIES FOR CONTRACT SECURITY PERSONNEL.—

"(A) CARRYING OF FIREARMS.—The Secretary may authorize contract security personnel engaged in the protection of buildings and grounds that are owned, occupied, or secured by the General Services Administration Public Buildings Service to carry firearms to carry out their official duties.

"(B) DETENTION WITHOUT A WARRANT.—A person authorized to carry a firearm under this subsection may, while in the performance of, and in connection with, official duties, detain an individual without a warrant for any offense against the United States committed in that person's presence or for any felony cognizable under the laws of the United States if that person has reasonable grounds to believe that the individual to be detained has committed or is committing such felony. The detention authority conferred by this paragraph is in addition to any detention authority provided under other laws.

"(2) LIMITATIONS.—The following limitations apply:

"(A) DETENTION.—Contract security personnel authorized to carry firearms under this section may detain an individual only if the individual to be detained is within, or in direct flight from, the area of such offense.

"(B) ENFORCEMENT OF CERTAIN LAWS.—A person granted authority to detain under this section may exercise such authority only to enforce laws regarding any building and grounds and all property located in or on that building and grounds that are owned, occupied, or secured by the General Services Administration Public Buildings Service.

“(3) GUIDANCE.—The Secretary, with the approval of the Attorney General, shall issue guidelines to implement this section.”

(b) Section 1315(b) of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “and” at the end of subparagraph (D);

(2) by striking “; and” at the end of subparagraph (E) and inserting a period; and

(3) by striking subparagraph (F).

(c) Section 1315(b) of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(3) MINIMUM TRAINING STANDARDS.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Director of the Federal Protective Service and in accordance with guidelines issued by the Attorney General, shall establish minimum and uniform training standards for any employee designated as an officer or agent to carry out and exercise authority pursuant to this section. Such minimum standards shall include ongoing training certified by the Director of the Federal Protective Service.

“(4) NOTIFICATION OF DESIGNATIONS AND DELEGATIONS.—The Secretary shall submit written notification of any approved designations or delegations of any authority provided under this section, including the purposes and scope of such designations or delegations, not within the Federal Protective Service, to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, including the purpose for such designations or delegations, oversight protocols established to ensure compliance with any requirements, including compliance with training requirements, and other specifics regarding such designations and delegations.”

SEC. 5. EVALUATION OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE PERSONNEL NEEDS.

(a) PERSONNEL AND FUNDING NEEDS OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act and after review by a qualified consultant pursuant to paragraph (2), the Secretary shall submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees on the personnel needs of the Federal Protective Service that includes recommendations on the numbers of Federal Protective Service law enforcement officers and the workforce composition of the Federal Protective Service needed to carry out the mission of such Service during the 10-fiscal-year period beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) REVIEW AND COMMENT.—The Secretary shall provide the report prepared under this section to a qualified consultant for review and comment before submitting the report to the appropriate congressional committees. The Secretary shall provide the comments of the qualified consultant to the appropriate congressional committee with the report.

(3) CONTENTS.—The report under this section shall include an evaluation of—

(A) the option of posting a full-time equivalent Federal Protective Service law enforcement officer at each level 3 or 4 Federal facility, as determined by the Interagency Security Committee, that on the date of enactment of this Act has a protective security officer stationed at the facility;

(B) the potential increase in security of any option evaluated under subparagraph (A);

(C) the immediate and projected costs of any option evaluated under such subparagraph; and

(D) the immediate and projected costs of maintaining the current level of protective security officers and full-time Federal Protective Service law enforcement officers.

(b) REPORT ON FUNDING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the best method of funding for the Federal Protective Service, which shall include recommendations regarding whether the Federal Protective Service should—

(1) continue to be funded by a collection of fees and security charges;

(2) be funded by appropriations; or

(3) be funded by a combination of fees, security charges, and appropriations.

SEC. 6. ZERO-BASED SPACE JUSTIFICATION.

Section 3307(b) of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by inserting before the semicolon the following: “including a cost comparison between leasing space or constructing space”;

(2) in paragraph (6) by striking “and” at the end;

(3) in paragraph (7) by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) with respect to any prospectus, including for replacement space, lease renewal, or lease extension, the Administrator shall include a justification for such space, including an explanation of why such space could not be consolidated or colocated into other owned or leased space.”

SEC. 7. ELIMINATING PROJECT ESCALATIONS.

Section 3307(c) of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “The Administrator shall notify, in writing, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate of any increase of more than 5 percent of an estimated maximum cost or of any increase or decrease in the scope or size of a project of 5 or more percent. Such notification shall include an explanation regarding any such increase or decrease. The scope or size of a project shall not increase or decrease by more than 10 percent unless an amended prospectus is submitted and approved pursuant to this section.”

SEC. 8. LIMITATION ON AUTHORIZATIONS.

Section 3307 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i) EXPIRATION OF COMMITTEE RESOLUTIONS.—Unless a lease is executed or a construction, alteration, repair, design, or acquisition project is initiated not later than 5 years after the resolution approvals adopted by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives or the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate pursuant to subsection (a), such resolutions shall be deemed expired. This subsection shall only apply to resolutions approved after the date of enactment of this subsection.”

SEC. 9. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY HEADQUARTERS REPLACEMENT.

(a) SALE OF CERTAIN PROPERTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of General Services is directed to sell, exchange, or some combination thereof, a portion of the Forrestal Complex necessary to generate the funds necessary to construct a new Department of Energy headquarters on Government-owned land in a manner consistent with the SW Ecodistrict Plan if the Administrator determines that the new Department of Energy headquarters can be constructed with no net costs to the Government.

(2) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(A) DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FORRESTAL COMPLEX.—The term “Forrestal Complex”

means the land, including the buildings and other improvements thereon, that—

(i) subject to survey and as determined by the Administrator, is—

(I) located in the District of Columbia;

(II) generally bounded by Independence Avenue, Southwest, 12th Street, Southwest, Maryland Avenue, Southwest, and 9th Street, Southwest; and

(III) generally consisting of Squares 351-N, 351, 383, 384, and 385 and portions of Squares 325 and 352; and

(ii) is under the jurisdiction and control of the General Services Administration.

(B) SW ECODISTRICT PLAN.—The term “SW Ecodistrict Plan” means the plan of the National Capital Planning Commission titled “The SW Ecodistrict: A Vision Plan For A More Sustainable Future” and dated January 2013.

(b) REPLACEMENT OF HEADQUARTERS.—Not later than 2 years after the disposal of the necessary portions of the Forrestal Complex, the Administrator shall replace the Department of Energy headquarters located on the Forrestal Complex in a Government-owned building on Government-owned land.

(c) CERTAIN PROHIBITIONS.—The Administrator shall not lease a new Department of Energy headquarters or engage in a lease-back of the current headquarters.

(d) SALE.—If the Administrator is unable to meet the conditions of subsection (a), the Administrator shall sell any underutilized or vacant property on the Forrestal Complex for cash.

(e) NET PROCEEDS.—Any net proceeds received, exceeding the expenses of implementing subsection (b) or (d), shall be paid into an account in the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, United States Code. Upon deposit, the net proceeds from the sale may only be expended subject to a specific future appropriation.

SEC. 10. LIMITATION ON DISCOUNTED PURCHASE OPTIONS.

Section 585 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) Any bargain-price option to purchase at less than fair market value contained in any lease agreement entered into on or after January 1, 2016, pursuant to this section may be exercised only to the extent specifically provided for in subsequent appropriation Acts or other Acts of Congress.”

SEC. 11. ENERGY SAVINGS.

To the extent practicable and when cost effective, the Administrator of General Services shall consider the direct purchase of energy and other utilities in bulk or otherwise for leased facilities.

SEC. 12. SIMPLIFIED REFORMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For the purpose of section 863 of Public Law 110-417, an individual acquisition for commercial leasing services shall not be construed as a purchase of property or services if such individual acquisition is made on a no cost basis and pursuant to a multiple award contract awarded in accordance with requirements for full and open competition.

(b) AUDIT.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(1) conduct biennial audits of the General Services Administration National Broker Contract to determine—

(A) whether brokers selected under the program provide lower lease rental rates than rates negotiated by General Services Administration staff; and

(B) the impact of the program on the length of time of lease procurements;

(2) conduct a review of whether the application of section 863 of Public Law 110-417 to acquisitions for commercial leasing services

resulted in rental cost savings for the Government during the years in which such section was applicable prior to the date of enactment of this section; and

(3) not later than September 30, 2018, and September 30, 2020, submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report that—

(A) summarizes the results of the audit and review required by paragraphs (1) and (2);

(B) includes an assessment of whether the National Broker Contract provides greater efficiencies and savings than the use of General Services Administration staff; and

(C) includes recommendations for improving General Services Administration lease procurements.

(c) **TERMINATION.**—This section shall terminate on December 31, 2021.

SEC. 13. NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION RENTAL RATES.

Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of General Services shall submit a report to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate justifying the use of 3 lease rental caps per fiscal year and their impacts in the National Capital Region. The Administrator shall also evaluate and make recommendations related to whether the current rental caps adequately provide for maximum competition for build-to-suit leased space.

SEC. 14. REDUCTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS ON CERTAIN PROGRAMS.

Section 601(d)(2) of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended (42 U.S.C. 3211), is amended—

(1) by striking “(2) RELEASE.—” and inserting the following:

“(2) RELEASE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) **REVOLVING LOAN FUND PROGRAM.**—The Secretary may release, subject to terms and conditions the Secretary determines appropriate, the Federal Government’s interest in connection with a grant under section 209(d) not less than 7 years after final disbursement of the grant, if—

“(i) the recipient has carried out the terms of the award in a satisfactory manner;

“(ii) any proceeds realized from the release of the Federal Government’s interest will be used for one or more activities that continue to carry out the economic development purposes of this Act; and

“(iii) the recipient shall provide adequate assurance to the Secretary that at all times after release of the Federal Government’s interest in connection with the grant, the recipient will be responsible for continued compliance with the requirements of section 602 in the same manner it was responsible prior to release of the Federal Government’s interest and that the recipient’s failure to comply shall result in the Secretary taking appropriate action, including, but not limited to, rescission of the release and recovery of the Federal share of the grant.”.

SEC. 15. LACTATION ROOM IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS.

(a) **LACTATION ROOM IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS.**—Chapter 33 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 3317. Lactation room in public buildings

“(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section the following definitions apply:

“(1) **APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY.**—The term ‘appropriate authority’ means the head of a Federal agency, the Architect of the Capitol,

or other official authority responsible for the operation of a public building.

“(2) **COVERED PUBLIC BUILDING.**—The term ‘covered public building’ means a public building (as defined in section 3301) that is open to the public and contains a public restroom, and includes a building listed in section 6301 or 5101.

“(3) **LACTATION ROOM.**—The term ‘lactation room’ means a hygienic place, other than a bathroom, that—

“(A) is shielded from view;

“(B) is free from intrusion; and

“(C) contains a chair, a working surface, and, if the public building is otherwise supplied with electricity, an electrical outlet.

“(b) **LACTATION ROOM REQUIRED.**—Except as provided in subsection (c), the appropriate authority of a covered public building shall ensure that the building contains a lactation room that is made available for use by members of the public to express breast milk.

“(c) **EXCEPTIONS.**—A covered public building may be excluded from the requirement in subsection (b) at the discretion of the appropriate authority if—

“(1) the public building—

“(A) does not contain a lactation room for employees who work in the building; and

“(B) does not have a room that could be repurposed as a lactation room or a space that could be made private using portable materials, at a reasonable cost; or

“(2) new construction would be required to create a lactation room in the public building and the cost of such construction is unfeasible.

“(d) **NO UNAUTHORIZED ENTRY.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize an individual to enter a public building or portion thereof that the individual is not otherwise authorized to enter.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 33 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item related to section 3316 the following new item:

“3317. Lactation room in public buildings.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 16. USE OF RECLAIMED REFRIGERANTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of General Services shall issue a report examining the feasibility of giving preference to the use of reclaimed refrigerants to service existing equipment of Federal buildings.

SEC. 17. SALES AND SAVINGS.

(a) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term “property” means the following:

(1) The property located in the District of Columbia, subject to survey and as determined by the Administrator of General Services, generally consisting of Squares 325 and 326 and a portion of Square 351 and generally bounded by 12th Street, Independence Avenue, C Street, and the James Forrestal Building, all in Southwest Washington, District of Columbia, including all associated air rights, improvements thereon, and appurtenances thereto.

(2) The property located in the District of Columbia, subject to survey and as determined by the Administrator, generally consisting of Square 326 south of C Street, including the building known as the Cotton Annex.

(b) **SALE.**—Not later than December 31, 2018, the Administrator shall sell the property at fair market value at highest and best use.

(c) **NET PROCEEDS.**—Any net proceeds of a sale under subsection (b) shall be paid into an account in the Federal Buildings Fund established under section 592 of title 40, United

States Code. Upon deposit, the net proceeds from the sale may be expended only subject to a specific future appropriation.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. **BARLETTA**) and the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. **CARSON**) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. **BARLETTA**. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 4487, as amended.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. **BARLETTA**. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 4487, as amended, includes reforms that will reduce the cost of Federal real estate and improve Federal building security. I am pleased to be the sponsor of this important legislation. I want to thank Chairman **SHUSTER** and Ranking Member **DEFAZIO** of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and our subcommittee Ranking Member **CARSON** for working with me on crafting this important legislation.

The Subcommittee on Economic Development, Public Buildings, and Emergency Management held a series of hearings, roundtables, and listening sessions to examine the General Services Administration’s lease portfolio. What we found was, within 5 years, half of all GSA leases will expire.

To give some perspective on how much space that represents, that is 100 million square feet of space or 32 new World Trade Centers in New York. More than half of GSA’s total real estate inventory is in commercial leased space, costing the taxpayer more than \$5.5 billion each year.

How we replace these leases has a huge impact on the costs to the taxpayer. For larger leases and projects requiring committee authorization, we have already taken steps to reduce the cost of Federal real estate to the taxpayer.

Since last Congress, the committee has worked with GSA to reduce the Federal footprint through consolidating space and improving space utilization. Through those efforts, we have saved the taxpayer more than \$3 billion in avoided lease costs. Those are real savings.

When we reduce the amount of office space agencies are leasing, it directly reduces the cost to the taxpayer. With the large number of leases expiring in the near future, we now have a ripe opportunity to save even more by negotiating better rental rates and concessions.

To take advantage of this opportunity, the Public Buildings Reform and Savings Act establishes a leasing pilot program. This pilot program will

allow GSA to streamline the leasing process to work through expiring leases more quickly and lock in good deals for the long term. The legislation gives GSA flexible pilot authority to address roadblocks to reducing costs so that space acquisition can be based on the best deal and not on arbitrary factors like unusually high ceiling heights that reduce competition.

The legislation could result in a 20 percent reduction in lease costs and save taxpayers more than \$500 million annually, without even accounting for savings through reduction in space. The legislation also includes language that will give GSA a better ability, where appropriate, to use public-private partnerships to meet space needs, leveraging private dollars to offset costs.

In addition to these reforms, H.R. 4487, as amended, includes provisions that will improve building security by clarifying requirements related to the training and accountability of the Federal Protective Service. H.R. 4487, as amended, also includes other provisions that will improve Congress' oversight of public building projects to ensure building projects make sense and stay within budget and on time.

I urge my colleagues to support the passage of this important legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, May 23, 2016.

Hon. BILL SHUSTER,
Chairman, Committee on Transportation and
Infrastructure, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN SHUSTER: I am writing to you concerning the jurisdictional interest of the Committee on Homeland Security in H.R. 4487, the "Public Buildings Reform and Savings Act of 2016." The bill contains provisions that fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Homeland Security.

I recognize and appreciate the desire to bring this legislation before the House of Representatives in an expeditious manner, and accordingly, the Committee on Homeland Security will forego action on this bill. The Committee takes this action with the mutual understanding that by foregoing consideration of H.R. 4487 at this time, we do not waive any jurisdiction over subject matter contained in this or similar legislation, and that our Committee will be appropriately consulted and involved as this bill or similar legislation moves forward so that we may address any remaining issues in our jurisdiction.

The waiver is also given with the understanding that the Committee on Homeland Security expressly reserves its authority to seek conferees on any provision within its jurisdiction during any House-Senate conference that may be convened on this or any similar legislation, and requests your support for such a request.

I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 4487, and ask that a copy of this letter and your response be included in the Congressional Record during consideration of this bill on the House floor.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL T. MCCAUL,
Chairman.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE, HOUSE OF REP-
RESENTATIVES,

Washington, DC, May 23, 2016.

Hon. MICHAEL T. MCCAUL,
Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security,
Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN MCCAUL: Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 4487, the Public Buildings Reform and Savings Act of 2016. I appreciate your willingness to support expediting the consideration of this legislation on the House Floor.

I acknowledge that by waiving consideration of this bill, the Committee on Homeland Security does not waive any future valid jurisdictional claim it may have to provisions in this or similar legislation. In addition, should a conference on the bill be necessary, I would cooperate as you seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving provisions within this legislation on which the Committee on Homeland Security has demonstrated a valid jurisdictional claim.

I will include our letters on H.R. 4487 in the Congressional Record during House floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation.

Sincerely,

BILL SHUSTER,
Chairman.

Mr. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman BARLETTA, Chairman SHUSTER, and Ranking Member DEFAZIO.

I rise in support of H.R. 4487, the Public Buildings Reform and Savings Act of 2016.

Mr. Speaker, this bill begins the process of reforming GSA, Public Buildings Service, and the Federal Protective Service. I would like to thank my colleagues, again, for being a partner in developing this very important piece of legislation directing GSA to improve the management of Federal real estate. The GAO has consistently listed the management of Federal real property an area of high risk.

The provisions contained in today's legislation will address many of the concerns that GAO has documented. Specifically, the bill will direct GSA to reform the leasing process and tighten oversight of the construction program.

□ 2000

The centerpiece of this legislation is a 5-year pilot program designed to streamline the GSA leasing procurement process. Mr. Speaker, by raising the threshold for simplified lease acquisitions, I believe GSA will be able to reduce their workload on smaller leases and focus their staff on the execution of larger leases that can provide even more savings to taxpayers.

While owning is often the most cost-effective option for housing Federal agencies, there will also be a need for the Federal Government to lease space. The pilot program, and the GAO reports authorized by this bill, is expected to provide the Committee on Transportation with definitive data about the most efficient way to lease Federal office space. The interim reports on the pilot program and the effectiveness of GSA's use of commercial

brokers will be instructive as to which new authorities Congress should let expire in 5 years and which we should keep.

I am also pleased that today's bill includes several reforms authored in H.R. 1850, the Federal Protective Service Improvement Act of 2015. Mr. Speaker, in the aftermath of the 1995 Murrah Building bombing in Oklahoma City, the Department of Justice, or DOJ, assessed the vulnerability of Federal buildings in the United States, particularly related to acts of terrorism and other forms of violence.

The Department of Justice made several recommendations, including upgrading the Federal Protective Service and bringing each Federal facility up to higher minimum standards for its security levels.

The reforms in today's legislation include creating a national framework for the 13,000 contract guards who protect Federal buildings, employees, and visitors each and every day. It mandates a minimum level of training for Protective Service Officers, or PSOs, while at the same time providing authority for PSOs to carry firearms and detain suspects accused of a felony on Federal property. As a former police officer, I can't overstate the importance of a strong training standard for security personnel at every Federal facility across our great Nation.

The bill also requires the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security to study whether it has a sufficient number of law enforcement officers and inspectors necessary to regularly conduct security assessments of Federal facilities. Another provision requires a study of whether FPS' fee structure is sufficient to fund the strong law enforcement presence needed today. Mr. Speaker, I expect that when these reports are completed, they will help guide the Committee's efforts to address FPS' long-term funding and staffing issues.

Mr. Speaker, I believe it is critically important that we do everything possible to protect the millions of Federal workers and daily visitors to Federal buildings. With increased oversight and additional legislative authority, I believe the FPS can fulfill its mission.

I hope, in closing, that we can continue to work in a bipartisan manner on these matters. I thank the chair and ranking member of the full committee, who both cosponsored and supported this important piece of legislation. Together, we can put forth commonsense reforms that allow both GSA and FPS to be good stewards of our Nation's public buildings.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON), who is an American icon and legend.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend for his overly generous introduction, and I thank my friend from Pennsylvania and my friend from Indiana for this bill.

This bill, which I strongly support, the Public Buildings Reform and Savings Act of 2016, may seem quite technical to those who have heard it described, but I do want to congratulate my friends, the chairman and the ranking member, for a bill that will have great substantive impact on the way that GSA does its business. I particularly appreciate the bipartisan way in which both of them have always performed. I also thank them both for accepting my amendments: one, in keeping with both this bill and the prior bill, for a new, federal footprint here, and a smaller Department of Energy; and then an amendment that is not related to any of this, for lactation space for visitors to Federal buildings.

I appreciate the acceptance of an amendment that allows the GSA to sell or exchange the Department of Energy Forrestal Complex that is right in the heart of The Mall area, at 1000 Independence Avenue, in accordance with the so-called Southwest Ecodistrict Plan, which means that all the appropriate planning has been done, given where this location is and how important it is to official Washington.

My amendment has two purposes. Because the DOE building is larger than necessary and results in wasteful spending, we now require a smaller footprint. It allows the Cotton Annex, close to the Department of Energy on The Mall, to be sold, and gives the GSA what a developer needs—that is what GSA is, a developer—the flexibility to develop this priceless land and assures that development will occur soon—GSA has to come back by June, and we are almost there—with a process for disposing of the Cotton Annex.

I want to thank both gentlemen for agreeing to my amendment that I call the “motherhood” bill. GSA already requires that employees be given lactation space, but we discovered that some employees at the Smithsonian were not getting it. When I called the Smithsonian, they immediately provided the regional space. It is not new space, only existing space for a mother to pump or to nurse a baby, if she is a Federal employee. I simply added visitors and guests to Federal facilities as those that can use this space.

The Nation’s capital is a tourist mecca, so there will be some nursing mothers.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield the gentlewoman an additional 3 minutes.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, the benefits of breastfeeding are well documented. Children’s immune systems build up. Studies have shown that even risks of asthma, diabetes, and the like are reduced in breastfed babies. There are benefits also to nursing mothers as well. The risk of diabetes and cancer are reduced.

This bill isn’t very much related to the important substance of the underlying bill, but the relationship is clear

enough. I very much thank my two good friends for accepting these two amendments to the underlying bill. I strongly support the underlying bill this evening.

Mr. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ZINKE). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BARLETTA) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4487, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REMEMBERING JUDGE EDMUND V. LUDWIG

(Mr. FITZPATRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, tonight my constituents back home in Pennsylvania are gathering to remember and celebrate the life of a wonderful citizen. Judge Edmund V. Ludwig will be remembered for his contributions to the community and the courtroom, and for his leadership as a jurist, educator, mentor, and historian.

Judge Ludwig died on May 17, 2016, at the age of 87. He will also be remembered for his wit and wisdom. His legal accomplishments include leading the way to improve access to counsel for the poor, reformation of the juvenile system, and improvement to State services for the mentally ill.

Judge Ludwig founded many of these organizations and served on several of the boards. His well-known affinity for history led to the founding in 1955 of the Doylestown Historical Society, where he served as chairman until 2011.

The former judge of the Bucks County Court of Common Pleas was appointed in 1985 to the United States District Court by President Ronald Reagan. He was honored with the William J. Brennan Jr. Distinguished Jurist Award by the Philadelphia Bar Association in 2005.

Judge Ludwig’s life of service is imprinted in the history of Bucks County, Pennsylvania.

COMBATING THE ZIKA VIRUS

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, it is certainly time for Congress to do its job.

Just last week we were briefed by the Centers for Disease Control regarding the Zika virus. Earlier today in my congressional district in Houston, one of the infectious disease specialists

called Houston and the Gulf region the epicenter of the Zika virus.

It is well known that treatment for any child that is infected will cost \$10 million. Frankly, the brain is literally destroyed by the virus. So the deformity is the fact that there is no brain functioning in these children.

This map indicates the whole Gulf region. That is clearly in the eye of the storm. This map indicates that Houston, among other big cities, is number one as it relates to the Zika virus.

So my call today is for us to fully fund the President’s emergency supplemental. This is a picture of the mosquito causing these impacts. We discussed today a task force, which I created in my district.

Finally, just to leave this information, this is the mosquito. Use DEET. This is a serious matter. We need full funding to combat the Zika virus and save lives.

COMMENDING PENN STATE

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, last Friday I visited Penn State University for their annual Energy Days program—focused efforts in research and education involving America’s energy sectors.

As many people from Pennsylvania know, the university was founded as one of our Nation’s first colleges of agricultural science. Now under the leadership of Penn State President Eric Barron, the university is taking strides to become known as the energy university.

Courses of study are already being offered that prepare students for careers in the Marcellus Shale industry, many of which offer a starting wage that can support a family.

I applaud the efforts of Penn State in striving to meet the needs of our energy sector, combining expertise in energy-related research, teaching, and service with contributions from leaders in the energy industries.

The new initiative will greatly expand efforts in energy policy, fossil fuels, renewable energy, systems and technology, and environmental impact. More importantly, those efforts will be expanded across the State at the university’s 24 campuses.

Our energy industries, such as coal, natural gas, and oil, are vital to the history, heritage, and future of Pennsylvania.

ISIS MURDERS CHRISTIAN GIRL

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, last week, ISIS terrorists came to the house of a Christian family in Iraq to collect the religious tax imposed on all non-Muslims.

ISIS told the mother of the home: You have two choices. You are to leave now or you are to pay the tax.

The mother pled: I will pay, but give me a few seconds because my daughter is in the shower.

But the ISIS terrorists did not wait. Instead, they set fire to the house. The mother, clutching a small child, escaped. But the girl was trapped in the burning home. Later, she was found. She had such severe burns, she died in her mother's arms. The last thing she said to her mother was: Forgive them.

The girl is a better person than most of us.

From beheading to burning little Christian girls alive, ISIS' evil genocide knows no bounds. ISIS murders in the name of religious jihad.

Will we allow this evil to continue? Or shall all religions unite and hold ISIS accountable?

We must stop ISIS' malicious murder of the innocent. Justice demands it. And, Mr. Speaker, justice is what we are supposed to do.

And that is just the way it is.

□ 2015

DEMOCRACY IN CRISIS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES) is recognized for half of the remaining time until 10 p.m. as the designee of the minority leader.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include any extraneous material on the subject of this Special Order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, it is once again an honor and a privilege to stand on the floor of the House of Representatives to help anchor the Congressional Black Caucus' Special Order hour, this hour of power, where, for the next 60 minutes, members of the Congressional Black Caucus have the opportunity to speak directly to the American people on an issue of great significance.

Today's Special Order hour topic is Democracy in Crisis: The Reckless, Republican Assault on the Right to Vote in America.

It is with great dismay that many of us come to the House floor today to speak to an issue of significance to the American people and our democracy.

There is nothing more sacred to the integrity of the democratic process than the right to vote. There are people throughout the years who died trying to secure the ability to participate in the franchise to help execute upon that great American promise of a government of the people, by the people, and for the people, to vote for those in-

dividuals who would represent them at the city, State or Federal level, regardless of race or religion, ethnicity, immigration status.

While we undeniably have made tremendous progress in America, clearly there has been an effort by some, unfortunately, led, in part, by people on the other side of the aisle, to stop something so fundamentally American as the unfettered right to participate in our democracy by voting.

Today we are going to explore some of the history connected to the Voting Rights Act of 1965, widely regarded as one of the most significant pieces of legislation ever enacted by this august body.

Of course, we know that, in 2013, in the Shelby County v. Holder decision, the Supreme Court effectively gutted section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, widely known as the preclearance provision, in a manner that has adversely impacted the ability of voting rights advocates and others to protect the ability of people to participate without obstacle or obstruction.

It is my honor, as one of the anchors of the Congressional Black Caucus Special Order, to join in that responsibility with my coanchor, who, from the moment which she arrived in the Congress, has been a tremendous force for the district that she represents, a voice for the voiceless, someone who is both fierce in her beliefs, but willing to reach out to others across the aisle in order to get things done on behalf of the American people.

It is now my honor and my privilege to yield to my distinguished colleague from Ohio, Representative JOYCE BEATTY.

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, tonight I rise this evening proud to stand with my coanchor, my classmate, the gentleman from the Eighth Congressional District of New York (Mr. JEFFRIES). I say to the gentleman that I look forward to tonight's Special Order hour.

Mr. Speaker, Congressman JEFFRIES and I, along with our colleagues from the Congressional Black Caucus, will have scholarly debate on how our democracy is in crises because of the assault on the right to vote in America.

As we just heard from Mr. JEFFRIES and we will hear from others, voting is the voice of the people. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 passed with bipartisan support, established strong Federal protections for the freedom to vote, banning or limiting many of the discriminatory election policies and practices of the Jim Crow South.

Combined with subsequent legislation such as the National Voter Registration Act, which requires State agencies to provide opportunities for voter registration, the Voting Rights Act has helped our Nation make significant progress in boosting voting for African Americans and other historically marginalized groups.

But we find ourselves, Mr. Speaker, today facing our first Presidential election in 50 years without the full protection of the Voting Rights Act.

As Mr. JEFFRIES referenced in Shelby, the Supreme Court decision reversed over 50 years of progress made to expand access to the voting booth and opened a pathway to new voting laws that discriminate against African American voters.

As a result of Shelby, new voting restrictions have been put in place in 22 States, 18 of them, Mr. Speaker, Republican-led since 2010, making it harder for millions of Americans to exercise their right to vote.

The way States have been able to reduce the voting power of minority communities and put in place new voting restrictions in an effort to make it harder for millions of Americans to vote is appalling.

Mr. Speaker, our democracy is in crisis. Our right to vote is under assault.

Mr. Speaker, why would we want to make it harder for Americans to vote?

I believe we should be making it easier for Americans to have access to the ballot box. But, apparently, some of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle do not agree.

We need to put forth a vote on the Voting Rights Act now. New laws range from strict photo ID requirements to early voting cutbacks, to registration restriction.

Among these 16 States with new voting restrictions is my home State of Ohio. In Ohio, in 2014, lawmakers cut 6 days of early voting and eliminated the golden week, during which voters could register and cast a ballot all in one trip, Mr. Speaker.

Of course, Ohio is not alone in its efforts to make it harder for Americans to vote. Mr. Speaker, the freedom to vote is one of America's most constitutionally guaranteed rights, and it should be easily accessible to those who want to exercise it.

That is why I am honored this Congress to serve as the deputy vice chair of the newly created Congressional Voting Rights Caucus, a caucus dedicated to protecting our democracy by ensuring the fundamental right to vote is safeguarded for all Americans.

However, after a longstanding tradition of bipartisanship on voting protections, House Republicans now refuse to bring either bill to the floor for a vote.

This is unthinkable, Mr. Speaker. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 has been reauthorized with bipartisan support five times. Congress has a duty to ensure elections are free and transparent so that all eligible voters feel comfortable and welcome.

I would echo President Obama's February 13, 2013, statement on the Voting Rights Act, and let me quote:

"We must all do our part to make sure our God-given rights are protected . . . That includes one of the most fundamental right of a democracy: the right to vote. When any American, no matter where they live or what their party, are denied that right . . . we are betraying our ideals."

There are 168 days until the Presidential election, and our democracy

still has far too many missing voices, particularly among those who are already at a disadvantage due to deeply rooted racial and class barriers in our society.

We must ensure that voter suppression is not the new normal. In order to have a truly vibrant democracy, the United States must take steps to ensure inclusive voting by reducing barriers to voting.

Efforts to suppress voting turnout undermine democracy, and those efforts, Mr. Speaker, are on the wrong side of history.

As I close, Mr. Speaker, the time is now. I am calling on all people, including our community and national leaders, to join me in working to eliminate voter suppression and to restore what so many people fought for, marched for, died for. Mr. Speaker, that is the Voting Rights Act.

Human rights organizations like the NAACP and the Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights have been at the forefront of these issues along with my colleagues, members of the Congressional Black Caucus, encouraging and training poll workers and poll protectors.

It is up to all of us, Mr. Speaker, to protect the most at risk among us and to expand opportunity for all.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank the distinguished gentlewoman for making several extremely important observations about the urgency of restoring the Voting Rights Act, of Congress voting up or down.

All we are asking for is for Members of this House to act on bipartisan legislation that has been introduced in this Congress that would respond to the Supreme Court's decision, adopt a new coverage formula, and allow us to move forward in advance of this consequential Presidential election with a system that we can all be confident in will fairly allow everyone who wants to vote the opportunity to vote.

Mr. Speaker, it is now my honor and my privilege to yield to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. BUTTERFIELD), chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus, someone who had a distinguished record prior to his service in the House as a jurist on the bench as a civil rights lawyer in North Carolina and has continued his fight here on the floor of the House of Representatives for the last 10 years on behalf of fairness, justice, and equality, particularly in his capacity as chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus.

Mr. BUTTERFIELD. I thank the gentleman for yielding time this evening, and I thank him for his incredible work not just in the Congressional Black Caucus, but on behalf of the people that he represents in that great borough of Brooklyn, New York.

And I thank the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY) for all the work that she does. She is an incredible leader in this Congress, and we appreciate her so very much.

I want to thank my colleagues for selecting the topic for discussion tonight. It is certainly an appropriate topic.

There are so many of us who have been working on enforcement and extension of the 1965 Voting Rights Act. They are too numerous to mention, but I will certainly single out Congressman JOHN LEWIS, Congresswoman TERRI SEWELL, Congressman Mark Veasey, Congressman JOHN CONYERS, Congresswoman SHEILA JACKSON LEE, and so many others, who have just worked tirelessly to enforce the right to vote not just for African Americans, but for all Americans.

□ 2030

Mr. Speaker, on August 6, 1965—and I remember it so very well; it was a few days after I had graduated from high school—this Congress, this House of Representatives where we are seated tonight, and the Senate, which is just a few steps down the hall, together passed the Voting Rights Act. This act was signed by the President of the United States immediately, and it has had a profound impact on empowering African American communities all across the country to participate in the electoral process.

Prior to the Voting Rights Act, it was a sad state of affairs, Mr. JEFFRIES, in North Carolina, in South Carolina, and in Alabama. It was a very sad state of affairs. In order to register to vote, one had to be able to read and to write. But not just that. They had to be able to satisfy a registrar. In all cases, it was a White registrar. African American citizens had to satisfy a registrar who, in many cases, discriminated that he or she was competent and able to be able to read and to write; and, in most instances, those would-be voters were denied the right to vote.

In addition to that, laws were passed all across the South that disenfranchised minority groups. Redistricting schemes were drawn to disenfranchise, at-large elections and staggered terms and all of the rest. So there was a necessity—a necessity—Congressman, for the Voting Rights Act. It was just not a good idea; it was actually a necessity in order to enforce the right to vote. Congress enacted this tool, and it has been very effective.

One of the most effective parts of the Voting Rights Act—there are many parts of the Voting Rights Act. Section 2 is that part that gives minority communities the right to bring lawsuits, and that applies to every county in the United States. It is a permanent law. It is on the books permanently. It also eliminated the literacy tests.

But there is another provision that kind of goes unnoticed from time to time, and it is called section 5. Section 5 is an oversight provision. It gives the Federal Government the right to preclear election changes before they go into effect to determine whether or not these changes would have a discriminatory result in their community.

Section 5, Mr. Speaker, does not apply to every county in America. Sec-

tion 5 only applies to certain States that had a long history of voter discrimination. In my State, for example, North Carolina, the whole State was not included under section 5. Only 40 counties were included for preclearance. So it has been a good law, and it has worked quite well. As the previous speaker said, it has been extended from time to time.

But, Mr. Speaker, on June 25, 2013, the Supreme Court ruled that section 5—first of all, the Supreme Court ruled that section 5 is a proper exercise of legislative authority. But the Supreme Court surprised us. It determined that the formula used to determine which counties or which States should be subject to section 5 is outdated. The Court suggested that it needed fixing.

So the Court called on us here in this Congress to fix it, and the Congressional Black Caucus has been fighting every day since that Court decision to try to put together a bipartisan agreement to fix the formula.

No one in this Congress has worked harder than Congresswoman TERRI SEWELL of Alabama. Her bill is now pending before this House, and we need to fix the formula, and we need to do it now.

When you look at the 2013 discriminatory election law changes and the 2011 legislative and congressional redistricting, you must conclude—anyone must conclude—that there is a concerted effort in many parts of the country to disenfranchise particular groups of voters from participating in the process.

The absence of section 5 protection allows States—allows States, my State included—to pass discriminatory laws that disenfranchise African American voters and other groups. We have seen these laws enacted in State after State all across the country.

On July 25, 2013, Mr. JEFFRIES, the North Carolina General Assembly passed—now, remember, the Supreme Court decision was June 25, 2013—30 days later. I don't know why they didn't do it 30 days earlier. Well, I do know why, and that is because there was a section 5. But after section 5 was suspended by the Supreme Court, 30 days later, the general assembly passed a sweeping voting law that discriminates not only against African Americans, but other minority groups. It discriminates against students and seniors.

This law has also cut back on early voting. That is a big deal in our communities. It cut back on early voting by a week and barred same-day voter registration. The law went into effect upon passage, and there is no oversight in section 5 to protect us.

This is disappointing. This law is regressive and absolutely disgusting. We have to let our State lawmakers know that our voices matter and that all citizens—all citizens—in this country should be able to participate in democracy through unfettered access to the ballot box.

So, in closing, the Congressional Black Caucus, of which I am honored to chair, vows to continue our fight to restore section 5 of the Voting Rights Act to stop the assault on access to the ballot box because every citizen deserves the right to vote.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus for his eloquent words and for explaining the practical realities of the Supreme Court's decision to strike down the coverage formula and effectively invalidate section 5 and the implications that that has had on people all across the country, in North Carolina and beyond.

I also note that the Voting Rights Act in section 5 and the coverage formula in section 4, upon passage in 1965, didn't just impact States in the South. There are five counties in New York City that constitute the Big Apple, and three of those counties in the Bronx, Manhattan, and Brooklyn, were covered by section 5.

We recognize that there had been challenges all across the country with respect to the right to vote, and many of us, even beyond the South, have now lost that critical protection. That is why it is time for Congress to act.

I thank the chairman for his continued leadership.

It is now my honor to yield to the distinguished gentlewoman from the great State of Alabama (Ms. SEWELL). She has been a tremendous proponent of the right to vote. We were all in awe of her leadership last year when we were down in Selma, Alabama, to commemorate the 50th anniversary of Bloody Sunday and are thankful for all that she continues to do to uphold that great American tradition that sprang forth from that small city down in Alabama where the distinguished gentlewoman hails from. She currently is a sponsor—the lead sponsor—of the Voting Rights Advancement Act, which would fix the problem that the Supreme Court created.

It is now my honor to yield to Representative TERRI SEWELL.

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend my distinguished colleague from New York and my distinguished colleague, the gentlewoman from Ohio, for this wonderful hour of power on voting. It is my great honor to stand with them, to rise today and to join with my CBC colleagues to discuss the reckless Republican assault on the right to vote in America.

We began tonight by bringing attention to the ever-evolving crisis brewing in our democracy. Since the Supreme Court in the Shelby decision gutted the preclearance provision of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, there has been nothing short of an assault on the right to vote—the most sacred right to vote. This 2016 election will be the first time in my lifetime and, I daresay, in the lifetime of the gentleman from New York, that we will have a Presidential election in which there will not be the

full protections of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

As the gentleman so rightly acknowledged, I welcomed, in 2015, 100 Members of Congress, both Republican and Democratic, to my hometown of Selma, Alabama, in recognition of the 50th anniversary of the historic Bloody Sunday march from Selma to Montgomery, where people shed blood and tears. Our own colleague, JOHN LEWIS, was bludgeoned on that bridge, the Edmund Pettus Bridge, 50-some years ago in order to have the right to vote for all Americans.

On that day, Republicans and Democrats held hands as we crossed the Edmund Pettus Bridge one more time, as JOHN LEWIS likes to say, this time on the 50th anniversary of Bloody Sunday. We all had a Kumbaya moment, if you will, but we came back to Congress and did nothing to try to restore the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

I ask my colleagues, Mr. Speaker, have we really gone so far in the last 10 years? After all, the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was amended and reauthorized five times, most recently in 2006 under a Republican President, President George Bush, who was with us on that glorious day on the 50th anniversary of the Selma to Montgomery march to make sure that his support for the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was there.

So I say to you, in 10 years since 2006 when we reauthorized the Voting Rights Act of 1965, overwhelmingly, in both Houses of Congress—overwhelmingly—we reauthorized the Voting Rights Act for 25 years. Had it not been for the Shelby decision which gutted section 5, which provided that preclearance formula, and made the full protections of the Voting Rights Act null and void, we would still be living under a regime where, as the gentleman so rightfully said, it was not only the Deep South States that were part of the coverage formula, but New York was part of the coverage formula as well.

So the Supreme Court, in the Shelby decision, really issued a challenge to Congress to come up with a modern-day formula. The challenge was that we shouldn't hold States like Alabama and the Deep South for past discriminations that were so long ago, back in the 1950s and the 1960s and the 1940s, but, rather, we should come up with a modern-day formula.

The Voting Rights Advancement Act of 2015 does just that. I was privileged to introduce that bill along with my colleagues LINDA SÁNCHEZ and JUDY CHU; and Senator LEAHY, on the Senate side, introduced that bill. It has a lookback not since the 1950s or 1960s, but it has a lookback of 25 years, since 1990 going forward. It says that if there have been five violations, statewide violations, that a State would be, then, opted in to preclearance if they had five.

Do you know, Mr. Speaker, that not 1, but 13 States have had violations of voting discrimination over the last 25

years? Those States include California, New York, Arizona, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, and Florida. Thirteen States would actually fall under the rubric.

I think that it is really telling that we, in 2016, saw such long lines wrapped around Maricopa County, Arizona, most recently in March, during their Presidential election primary in March. Do you know why? Because Maricopa County used to be covered under the coverage formula for the 1965 Voting Rights Act; and since it no longer has any teeth and has been gutted, they could summarily close down polling stations.

It shouldn't surprise you, Mr. Speaker, that in 2008, Maricopa County had 800 polling stations, in 2012 it went down to 400 polling stations, and for 2016, 60 polling stations—and those 60 polling stations covered the whole county of Maricopa County, Phoenix, Arizona. It was clearly not enough to get all of the folks who wanted to vote to be able to vote. They could close down those polling stations without any advance notification because there was no more Voting Rights Act of 1965.

My own State of Alabama was one of those States that, after the Shelby decision, decided to institute a photo ID law. So many of my constituents came up to me and said: We need a photo ID to get on the plane these days. We need a photo ID to get a passport. Why shouldn't we need a photo? How is that in some way discriminatory?

I had to remind many of our constituents that so many of our elderly, especially in the rural communities that I represent, many of whom were born by midwives, don't have birth certificates and can't actually readily prove a birth certificate in order to get a photo ID law. Some seniors and those who are disabled, like my father who no longer drives, therefore, he doesn't have a driver's license. He was a nine-time stroke victim—actually, a survivor. He is still with us today.

But my dad was determined to get that photo ID in 2014 when Alabama's law came into effect. He was highly motivated, Mr. Speaker, because his daughter's name was on a ballot, and he wanted to be able to vote. I want you to know that it took my dad 5 hours to get a photo ID. Now, if that is not a barrier—you say to yourself: Five hours. Why would it take 5 hours?

Well, Dallas County Courthouse is a courthouse that actually was grandfathered into the ADA laws and so did not have to have a ramp by which people who have wheelchairs can get readily into the courthouse. It had been grandfathered in. We were very blessed to have a gentleman help us get my dad up those seven stairs into the courthouse. But when we got into the courthouse, because the voter registration was on the second floor, we had to take an elevator upstairs.

□ 2045

Lo and behold, that particular day, the one elevator bank was what?

Actually out of service. Out of service.

Now, my mom, having been a former member of the City Council in Selma and, obviously, a very well-known member of the citizens of Selma, she could go across the hall and talk to the probate judge's office and say: Look, we are here today to get this photo ID, this nondriving photo voter ID, so that my husband can vote.

It took 1½ hours, but they got someone to service that elevator. And by the time that elevator was working and we got up to the second floor, lo and behold, it was 11:30. And guess what? Lunchtime.

Now, I say to you, Mr. Speaker, we no longer have to count how many marbles are in a jar, we no longer have to recite all 67 counties in the State of Alabama in order to get a voter registration card, but we should not in America have to go through so many hoops in order to exercise the most fundamental right, the most sacred right of our democracy—the right to vote.

And I say to you, Mr. Speaker, that any denial of access to the ballot box, to me, totally obfuscates and really undermines the integrity of the electoral process. If one person who wants to go out and vote has to stand in line for hours upon hours and can't actually physically stand in line because they have other obligations like children and day care and jobs, then it is unfair. We are actually limiting access to the ballot box, which actually goes to the integrity of our electoral process. It is fundamental to our democracy.

So I say to you tonight, I am honored to join my CBC colleagues as we fight for the opportunity of all Americans to have equal access to the ballot box.

Mr. Speaker, my State of Alabama, after having a photo ID requirement and during the State budgetary process, had the gall to actually decide to close down 30 Department of Motor Vehicle offices, which, as all of us know, the most popular form of photo ID is a driver's license. So to actually require a citizen to have a photo ID and then to close down DMV offices in rural parts of my district in the State of Alabama was really unconscionable.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Isn't it the case that a disproportionately high number of those DMV offices that the State of Alabama just happened to decide to close were in predominantly African American parts of the State of Alabama?

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. They were. Those DMV offices, as the gentleman from New York so aptly recited, were mostly located in heavily African American parts of the State of Alabama, but they were also predominantly rural parts of the State of Alabama. Those same areas have a hard time having transportation, public transportation, to get around in those areas.

They said, of course, that the reason why they were closing down these DMV

offices had nothing to do with voting, of course, but had to do with the fact that there were serious budgetary restraints. Obviously, one of the consequences of the closures of those DMV offices was to limit access to those people getting photo IDs, the most popular form of photo ID, which is a driver's license, and, therefore, limiting their ability to go vote.

I did speak with our Governor, and he did open up those DMV offices on a limited basis, but only on a limited basis. And I say to you that it is unacceptable in America to have any limitations on the right to vote.

I really ask all of my colleagues, especially those who have come to Selma over the years with JOHN LEWIS on these pilgrimages, to really search deep in their hearts. If they are really about access to the ballot box and being able to make sure that all Americans have an opportunity to exercise this fundamental right, then why would we not make it easier for people to vote?

Instead of going the way of Alabama and having these photo ID laws, it seems to me that all of us should be adopting laws like the State of Oregon, which has mail-in ballots and same-day registration. There are ways that we can make it much easier for every American to exercise that most fundamental right to vote.

So tonight I ask my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to join in with the 168 cosponsors of the Voting Rights Advancement Act, and join us in this fight to make sure that we do a modern-day formula, a modern-day formula, with a look back, since 1990 going forward, to look at whether or not there have been discriminatory acts that have limited people's access to the ballot box.

I also ask my colleagues to join us every Tuesday that we are in session. We have declared it to be Restoration Tuesday. And on those Tuesdays, since Tuesdays are the days that we vote, we go to the well of the floor, and we talk about why it is important to restore the vote.

So I want to thank my colleagues, the gentleman from New York and the gentlewoman from Ohio, for leading us in this charge tonight. I hope that it will spill over to tomorrow, which is Restoration Tuesday, where we can really talk about the modern-day examples of people being denied access to the ballot box because of people's inability to actually get the credentials that people require them to have, or because they have to work late. They don't have the ability to be able to drop everything and go and vote and stand in long lines if those polling stations have been closed.

I say all this to say that it is really imperative, I think, that we put real action behind our talk. We do a lot of talking about our democracy and upholding our Constitution. This is an opportunity for this august body to actually do something about it.

In closing, I want to quote one of our Republican colleagues, who has been in this fight for a very long time, Republican Congressman SENSENBRENNER from Wisconsin, who I think really best summed it up when he wrote in an op-ed in the New York Times after witnessing those long lines in Maricopa County, Arizona, the following:

"Ensuring that every eligible voter can cast a ballot without fear, deterrence, and prejudice is a basic American right. I would rather lose my job than suppress votes to keep it."

I have to repeat that.

"I would rather lose my job than suppress votes to keep it."

My Republican colleague went on to say:

"Our credibility as elected officials depends on the fairness of our elections."

Mr. Speaker, voting rights transcend partisan agendas. It really solidifies that equality in voting is the Democratic way.

I ask my colleagues to join all of us in this fight, this fight for our democracy. This crisis that we are in is a crisis that we can fix in Congress by coming up with a modern-day formula.

We already have several bills in the House. Congressmen SENSENBRENNER and CONYERS introduced the Voting Rights Amendment Act. I have introduced the Voting Rights Advancement Act. There are several bills—two bills, in fact—that would actually come up with a modern-day formula. I dare this august body to actually act on one. I am here to tell you that the American people will be stronger, and this Republic will be stronger, because of it.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentlewoman from Alabama, my good friend, TERRI SEWELL, for a very compelling, comprehensive, and complete analysis of the situation that we find ourselves in in the practical consequences of the Supreme Court's decision. And the fact that there are people all across this country, in Alabama, and in other parts of this great Republic, who are determined to elevate themselves by suppressing the ability of others to participate in the Democratic process, that is a shame, it is a stain on our democracy, and it is time for this Congress to act.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. VEASEY), my classmate and good friend, who himself has been championing the issue of fair redistricting, who has personally been impacted in terms of his capacity as a representative, to make sure that lines are fairly drawn, and most recently has announced the formulation of the Voting Rights Caucus here in the Congress. He has been a tremendous leader in this area. A great Member of the House of Representatives.

Mr. VEASEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank Congressman HAKEEM JEFFRIES from New York and Congresswoman JOYCE BEATTY from Ohio for everything that they are doing on raising this issue tonight. It is very timely, considering everything that we are going through

right now. When you think about the Voting Rights Act, it is literally the single most important piece of legislation that has ever been passed in the history of the United States as it deals with an individual's right to vote.

But as you know, 3 years ago, the Supreme Court regressed and sent us back by gutting section 4 of the Voting Rights Act. Not only was that bad because it hurt the Voting Rights Act, but it was also bad because of everything that it did to propel States around the country from also retrogressing and sending us back in the area of voting rights.

You are starting to hear so many stories of States and localities that are passing more and more laws to restrict the right to vote, making it harder for young people to vote—seniors, the disabled, people that move around a lot and are transient, people that don't necessarily have the money that they need in order to obtain the proper identification.

And you heard Congresswoman SEWELL when she so eloquently talked about the fact that oftentimes, particularly in the South, people were born by midwives. We have a lot of baby boomers that are out there. People think these things happened a long time ago. That is the thing that you hear all the time. But there are people that are living here today, a lot of baby boomers, that were born down in the piney woods of east Texas, that were born in other parts of the South, that don't have the proper documentation that they need in order to be able to vote.

I have met people since I have been involved in campaigns and elections and as an elected official that didn't have the proper ID to vote. I have to tell you that there are many of them out there.

Just hours after the Supreme Court made the decision in 2013 that my home State of Texas implemented the most egregious voter ID law in the entire country, just hours after the Supreme Court gutted section 4, they moved to reimplement the law. That was very disappointing, considering that an appeals court had already said that the voter ID law in Texas was one of the worst in the country.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Isn't it a fact that the case as it relates to that particular ID law in Texas, that individuals are able to vote if they have a gun license identification card, but are not able to vote under that draconian Texas law with a college ID?

Mr. VEASEY. That is correct. If you have an ID that is issued to you by the University of Texas, or Texas A&M, or Prairie View A&M University, that same ID, that same student ID that can be used to identify yourself to campus police officers, that can be used to identify yourself for other things that you would need an ID for, it will not work in order for you to go and vote. But if you have a concealed handgun license, then you can vote. Concealed

handgun licenses are mostly used by White males in the State. It is really unfair that a more diverse form of ID, like the student ID, is not allowed under Texas laws.

That was one of the reasons why I became the lead plaintiff on the voter ID lawsuit, Congressman JEFFRIES. It is *Veasey v. Abbott*. We are going to continue to fight. We just got news today that the Fifth Circuit Court is going to take up our case. I am going to continue to work here in Congress, continue to work in Texas, continue to work in the Dallas-Fort Worth area to protect the voting rights of individuals that have been wronged.

I also want to point out that, again, you oftentimes hear people say that we have progressed as a country and we don't need these laws. But when you look at what is going on in Texas and when you look at what is going on across the South, I just think we can't sit back anymore. We can't sit back and be idle and say: Oh, no, well, we are doing a little bit better, so these people that are going to be discriminated against—the transients, the college students, the people that don't necessarily have their birth documentation in order like other people may have—we just can't sit back and say we are going to just move on and forget about them. We have to fight for those individuals as well because it is their right to vote, and we must protect it.

In 2016, I just think we should be making it easier for citizens to vote. We should be talking about things like same-day registration. We should be working together, Democrats and Republicans, on ways to ease lines when it comes to voting in places. We should be looking at ways that we can make it to where we have more days to vote early. You are starting to hear about laws around the country to scale back the number of in-person early voting days. I just think that is wrong.

Again, I want to thank you for your leadership on this issue. I also want to thank you for pointing out that I have introduced the first Congressional Voting Rights Caucus to help aid and fight in the battle, along with so many other task forces and organizations that are here in Congress that are working on those issues.

□ 2100

We want to continue to make sure together again—and we need to do it in a bipartisan manner—that we all protect the right to vote.

I thank the gentleman and the congresswoman from Ohio, Representative BEATTY, for their work and passion on this issue.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank my good friend for his leadership on this very important issue and for the steps that he has taken both here in Congress, with the initiation of the Voting Rights Caucus, as well as down in Texas as the lead plaintiff in the *Veasey v. Abbott* lawsuit to challenge

the voter ID requirements—the draconian requirements—that have been imposed by the State of Texas.

It should shock the conscience of every American that a State would impose a restriction that allows licensed gun owners to vote who disproportionately happen to be of a certain demographic—white male—but would deny the legitimacy of IDs that the State of Texas itself issues.

Texas A&M, the University of Texas at Austin, the University of Houston, and other institutions are all public universities, and these individuals—these students—pay tuition to go to these public universities, and, in response, they are issued identification vehicles, identification cards, but the State of Texas has seen fit to say that that is not valid in order to vote.

I think that one example—and we have heard several others—basically exposes the fact that the movement to impose voter identification requirements is fraud in itself. It is a sham.

The whole argument behind it is that: We are trying to protect the integrity of the voting system. But here is the problem: you are protecting the integrity of the voting system by imposing a solution in search of a problem because none of these individuals in any of these States has been able to produce a scintilla of evidence of fraud.

In fact, there are studies that have shown that there have been over a billion instances of Americans exercising their right to vote without any evidence of misrepresentation—over a billion times. The number of instances of questionable voting is less than 50; yet, in State after State, we see voter identification laws being imposed on the people.

It is not designed to protect the integrity of anything. It is designed to protect certain individuals and maintain their power in the face of troubling demographic changes that are occurring in America. Let's call it like it is.

Let me ask the Chair how much time we have remaining in this Special Order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has 4 minutes remaining.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, let me now yield to someone who has been a tremendous champion from the great State of Texas in representing her people in Houston and is a phenomenal member of the Judiciary Committee, Representative SHEILA JACKSON LEE.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Let me thank the distinguished gentleman from New York, who shows that the issues of voter empowerment are nationwide.

Let me also thank the gentlewoman from Ohio, who has been steadfast on important issues that deal with the empowerment of all Americans.

Mr. Speaker, I note that my colleague from Texas made his presentation, Congressman VEASEY, who everyone knows was the plaintiff in Texas for the voter ID law.

I wanted to come this evening very briefly to, one, submit a full statement

into the RECORD and to make this point. And let me read the headline or the topic again: Democracy in Crisis: The Reckless Republican Assault on the Right to Vote in America.

It did not have to be, for it is evident that we have dealt with voter empowerment in a bipartisan way. It is the very difficult journey that Lyndon Baines Johnson took in 1965 after the foot soldiers and Dr. Martin Luther King and others made their momentous march and statement, including a letter from a Birmingham jail that captured the history or the sentiment and the movement of the civil rights movement in the very basic words: Injustice anywhere is injustice everywhere.

With that power behind him, he was able to frame the Voting Rights Act in a bipartisan manner with Republicans from the North and with whom we used to call Dixiecrats from the South. It can be done.

Then, in 2006 and 2007, I was privileged to have another Texan, George W. Bush, as a member of the House Judiciary Committee, after 15,000 pages of testimony with a Republican chairman, and we went and passed a vote reauthorization of the 1965 Voting Rights Act.

Let me close with these points about the pointedness, Mr. JEFFRIES, of what voting power actually means.

What it means is that we would not have the North Carolina set of voting laws, if you will, that cut Sunday voting or early voting. It had one of the most horrific voter ID laws.

We would not have the Texas voter ID law that disenfranchised thousands upon thousands of Hispanics because of no DPS officers—Department of Public Safety officers—in their locations.

We would not have an attempt to cut billions of dollars from food stamps and an attempt to cut trillions of dollars from education for our children and the status that we are in right now of trying to seek the full funding of the President's emergency funding of \$1.9 billion for the Zika virus. This is what "voting power" means.

Finally, after the Supreme Court instructed the Congress or told the Congress that we needed to have a new bill, we would not have the predicament we are in now. We need voting power, and that is what voting rights are all about.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleagues of the Congressional Black Caucus, Congressman HAKEEM JEFFRIES (D-NY) and Congresswoman JOYCE BEATTY (D-OH) who are anchoring this Special Order on Democracy in America and the Reckless Assault on Minority Voting Rights.

I thank all of my colleagues on the Congressional Black Caucus for their leadership on fighting back against voter suppression and holding this important special order to discuss what we can do to protect our voices and democracy.

I applaud my colleagues here today for their commitment to being the change that we all wish to see in America—today and for generations to come.

I also want to thank my colleague from Texas, Mr. VEASEY for his leadership in forming the Voting Rights Caucus. As a Vice Co-Chair, I look forward to working with the Members of this new Caucus and my colleagues of the CBC Voting Rights Task Force as we continue in this movement to elevate our voices and rights as citizens that we have long fought for and earned.

We are at a pivotal time to protect and embrace the power that we hold in restoring and maintaining our democracy.

The 2016 election season is already in full swing.

As voters in a number of states face new restrictions for the first time in a presidential election, we've already seen problems in primaries across the country.

A new photo ID requirement led to long lines in Wisconsin. A reduction in polling places forced some to wait five hours to vote in Arizona. New rules created confusion in North Carolina.

And in my home state of Texas, last minute changes to polling locations in Harris County resulted in long lines, confusion and for some, the inability to vote.

The challenge of voting in fewer polling locations without adequate notice, along with the implementation of long-contested voter ID law changes, created unnecessary and burdensome obstacles for voters in a county that is home to more minorities and non-English speaking residents than that of greater state of Texas or the nation.

In a county that ranks third in the nation in terms of population, critical changes impacting the ability of individuals to exercise their right to vote must be reviewed to ensure that any violation of federal law is addressed and corrected.

This could be an early glimpse of problems in November—as voters face the first presidential election in 50 years without the full protections of the Voting Rights Act, which was designed to prevent discrimination in voting.

In 2016, 17 states will have restrictive voting laws in effect for the first time in a presidential election.

Restrictions in most of these 17 were passed before this year.

The new measures range from strict photo ID requirements to early voting cutbacks to registration restrictions.

Those 17 states are: Alabama, Arizona, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

We cannot afford to turn back the clock—we must continue to forge ahead and push back against these egregious and painful laws.

The Voting Rights Act is still needed.

Let me put it this way: in the same way that the vaccine invented by Dr. Jonas Salk in 1953 eradicated the crippling effects but could not eliminate the cause of polio, the Voting Rights Act succeeded in stymying the practices that resulted in the wholesale disenfranchisement of African Americans and language minorities but did not eliminate entirely.

Or as Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg stated in her dissent of the Court's ruling:

Throwing out preclearance when it has worked and is continuing to work to stop discriminatory changes is like throwing away your umbrella in a rainstorm because you are not getting wet.

As stated by my predecessor, Barbara Jordan, a civil rights and voting rights icon and a woman of many firsts—I know that perhaps the greatest and most important battle to be fought is on behalf of the right to vote, the most precious right of all because it is a preservative and passage of all other rights.

We must be vigilant in this movement to elevate our voices and rights as citizens that we have long fought for and earned.

Fifty years ago, America was preparing for the first national election following passage of the Voting Rights Act—the crucial legislation for which Martin Luther King, Jr. and civil rights activists toiled for years.

Today, we're preparing for our first election in half a century in which these essential voter protections will not be available.

Voting rights were ascendant in 1966—today voter suppression tactics are spreading throughout the nation.

Congress was increasingly an ally in 1966—now in 2016, it's conspicuously absent.

Regressive state voter suppression laws—including Voter ID laws, Voter caging, elimination of polling places, elimination of early or Sunday voting, refusal to locate sites in low-income areas, last-minute changes to polling locations—are the clear culprits.

In the immediate aftermath of the Supreme Court's disastrous Shelby ruling—which eliminated the requirement that areas with histories of discrimination receive preclearance for any changes to voting laws—there was hope that Congress would act to mitigate the damage.

But those hopes have been diminished.

There has been no Congressional action to repair the VRA to date.

At face value, a voter ID law might not look as egregious as a poll tax.

But, considering the hurdles that they present—including the need to procure a birth certificate or visit a far-away DMV during severely-limited operating hours—the obstacles are comparable.

These laws are especially prohibitive for elderly or low-income people who have difficulty traveling.

Recent studies reveal that state voter suppression could stop approximately 1.3 million from voting in competitive election states.

Thirty-six states have promulgated new laws that disproportionately impact minority citizens in response to fabricated issue of "voter impersonation."

Sixteen of these states will see their plans go into effect for the first time in the 2016 elections.

An analysis by Nate Silver for the New York Times shows that these laws can decrease turnout by between 0.8 and 2.4 percent—a potentially decisive amount in highly competitive elections.

As The Nation's Ari Berman and others have methodically reported, the efforts to suppress votes through Voter ID laws, the purging of voter rolls, and the elimination of polling places are already having their impacts.

The 2016 primaries have been marked by long lines in several states and severe hurdles to voting.

According to Ari Berman, voters disenfranchised by new laws include: a man born in a German concentration camp who lost his birth certificate in a fire; a woman who lost use of her hands but was not allowed to

use her daughter as power of attorney at the DMV; and a 90-year-old veteran of Iwo Jima, who was not allowed to vote with his Veterans ID.

We need to translate widespread outrage about voter suppression into momentum for an actionable voting rights agenda.

While proponents of voter ID laws point constantly to a looming “crisis” of voter impersonation to justify barriers to accessing the polls, they’ve yet to demonstrate empirical evidence. Where is the proof?

We now have empirical evidence, gathered from academic experts at University of California at San Diego and other leading institutions, that voter suppression laws disproportionately impact minorities and immigrants.

Fixing the VRA is just the start of the fight to secure voting rights.

We must also deal with issues including aging and insecure voting machines, problems with absentee ballots, willful misinformation, felon disenfranchisement, partisan election administration, untrained election staff, and many others.

As we know, the Voting Rights Act is one of the most fundamental pieces of American legislation, designed to prevent the disenfranchisement of black and minority voters by prohibiting voting practices and procedures that discriminate on the basis of race, color, or membership in a language minority group.

In signing the Voting Rights Act on August 6, 1965, President Lyndon Johnson said:

The vote is the most powerful instrument ever devised by man for breaking down injustice and destroying the terrible walls which imprison men because they are different from other men.

Since its passage in 1965, and through four reauthorizations signed by Republican presidents (1970, 1975, 1982, 2006), more Americans, especially those in the communities we represent, have been empowered by the Voting Rights Act than any other single piece of legislation.

Section 5 of the Act requires covered jurisdictions to submit proposed changes to any voting law or procedure to the Department of Justice or the U.S. District Court in Washington, D.C. for pre-approval, hence the term “preclearance.”

Under Section 5, the submitting jurisdiction has the burden of proving that the proposed change(s) are not retrogressive, i.e. that they do not have the purpose and will not have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race or color.

In announcing his support for the 1982 extension of the Voting Rights Act, President Reagan said, “the right to vote is the crown jewel of American liberties.”

And Section 5 is the “crown jewel” of the Voting Rights Act.

But a terrible blow was dealt to the Voting Rights Act on June 25, 2013, when the Supreme Court handed down the decision in *Shelby County v. Holder*, 537 U.S. 193 (2013), which invalidated Section 4(b), the provision of the law determining which jurisdictions would be subject to Section 5 “pre-clearance.”

In 2006, the City of Calera, which lies within Shelby County, Alabama, enacted a discriminatory redistricting plan without complying with Section 5, leading to the loss of the city’s sole African-American councilman, Ernest Montgomery.

In compliance with Section 5, however, the City of Calera was required to draw a non-

discriminatory redistricting plan and conduct another election in which Mr. Montgomery regained his seat.

In 2010, Shelby County filed suit in federal court in Washington, D.C., seeking to have Section 5 declared unconstitutional.

In 2011, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia upheld the constitutionality of Section 5, holding that Congress acted appropriately in 2006 when it reauthorized the statute.

And in 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit affirmed the district court ruling by a vote of two to one.

However, on June 25, 2013, the U.S. Supreme Court held that Section 4 of the Voting Rights Act, which sets out the formula that is used to determine which state and local governments must comply with Section 5’s preapproval requirement, is unconstitutional and can no longer be used.

Thus, although the Court did not invalidate Section 5, it will have no actual effect unless and until Congress can enact a new statute to determine who should be covered by it.

According to the Supreme Court majority, the reason for striking down Section 4(b): “Times change.”

Now, the Court was right; times have changed. But what the Court did not fully appreciate is that the positive changes it cited are due almost entirely to the existence and vigorous enforcement of the Voting Rights Act.

And that is why the Voting Rights Act is still needed.

Let me put it this way: in the same way that the vaccine invented by Dr. Jonas Salk in 1953 eradicated the crippling effects but could not eliminate the cause of polio, the Voting Rights Act succeeded in stymying the practices that resulted in the wholesale disenfranchisement of African Americans and language minorities but did not eliminate entirely.

Or as Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg stated in her dissent of the Court’s ruling:

Throwing out preclearance when it has worked and is continuing to work to stop discriminatory changes is like throwing away your umbrella in a rainstorm because you are not getting wet.

Before the Voting Rights Act was passed in 1965, the right to vote did not exist in practice for most African Americans.

And until 1975, most American citizens who were not proficient in English faced significant obstacles to voting, because they could not understand the ballot.

Even though the Indian Citizenship Act gave Native Americans the right to vote in 1924, state law determined who could actually vote, which effectively excluded many Native Americans from political participation for decades.

Asian Americans and Asian immigrants also suffered systematic exclusion from the political process.

In 1964, the year before the Voting Rights Act became law, there were approximately 300 African-Americans in public office, including just three in Congress. Few, if any, black elected officials were elected anywhere in the South.

Because of the Voting Rights Act, there are now more than 10,000 black elected officials, including 46 members of Congress, the largest number ever.

The Voting Rights Act opened the political process for many other minorities, including

over 6,000 Latino elected officials and almost 1,000 Asian Americans elected officials.

Native Americans and others who have historically encountered harsh barriers to full political participation also have benefited greatly.

Aided by Section 5, the Voting Rights Act was successful in preventing the states with the worst and most egregious records of voter suppression and intimidation from disenfranchising minority voters.

So successful in fact that the Supreme Court apparently saw no harm in invalidating the provision that subjected those states to the federal supervision responsible for the success it celebrated.

Now to be sure, the Supreme Court did not invalidate the preclearance provisions of Section 5; it only invalidated Section 4(b).

But that is like leaving the car undamaged but destroying the key that unlocks the doors and starts the engine.

According to the Court, the coverage formula in Section 4(b) had to be struck down because the data upon which it was based—registration rates and turn-out gaps—was too old and outdated.

But my colleagues in Congress and I refused to let the Voting Rights Act die—as states all across the nation had already begun implementing restrictive voting laws that would keep thousands of citizens away from the polls.

After months of hard work, consultation, negotiation, and collaboration, we were able to produce the “Voting Rights Amendment Act” which sets out to achieve these goals.

I was an original cosponsor when this bill was first introduced in 2014 (H.R. 3899), and again when it was reintroduced in 2015 (H.R. 885).

To be sure, this legislation is not perfect, no bill ever is.

But—and this is important—the bill represents an important step forward because it: is responsive to the concern expressed by the Supreme Court; and establishes a new coverage formula that is carefully tailored but sufficiently potent to protect the voting rights of all Americans.

First, the Voting Rights Amendment Act specifies a new coverage formula that is based on current problems in voting and therefore directly responds to the Court’s concern that the previous formula was outdated.

The importance of this feature is hard to overestimate. Legislators and litigators understand that the likelihood of the Court upholding an amended statute that fails to correct the provision previously found to be defective is very low and indeed.

The Voting Rights Amendment Act replaces the old “static” coverage formula with a new dynamic coverage formula, or “rolling trigger,” which works as follows:

For states, it requires at least one finding of discrimination at the state level and at least four adverse findings by its sub-jurisdictions within the previous 15 years;

For political subdivisions, it requires at least three adverse findings within the previous 15 years; but

Political subdivisions with “persistent and extremely low a minority voter turnout,” can also be covered if they have a single adverse finding of discrimination.

The effect of the “rolling trigger” mechanism effectively gives the legislation nationwide reach because any state and any jurisdiction

in any state potentially is subject to being covered if the requisite number of violations are found to have been committed.

Prior to *Shelby Co. v. Holder*, the Voting Rights Act covered 16 states in whole or in part, including most of the states in the Deep South.

The states that would be covered initially under the new bill are: Texas, North Carolina, Louisiana, Florida, and South Carolina.

To compensate for the fact that fewer jurisdictions are covered, our bill also includes several key provisions that are consistent with the needs created by a narrower Section 5 trigger.

For example, the Voting Rights Amendment Act:

Expands judicial “bail-in” authority under Section 3 so that it applies to voting changes that result in discrimination (not just intentional discrimination);

Requires nationwide transparency of “late breaking” voting changes; allocation of poll place resources; and changes within the boundaries of voting districts;

Clarifies and expands the ability of plaintiffs to seek a preliminary injunction against voting discrimination; and

Clarifies and expands Attorney General’s authority to send election observers to protect against voting discrimination.

This bipartisan compromise legislation is not ideal—but on the balance, it represents a step forward as we continue to fight for enforcement of our most fundamental right: the right to vote.

Additional measures introduced to help protect and enforce our right to vote include the Voter Empowerment Act and the Coretta Scott King Mid-Decade Redistricting Prohibition Act.

The Voting Empowerment Act was introduced to help ensure equal access to the ballot for every eligible voter.

The Voting Empowerment Act was designed to protect voters from suppression, deception and other forms of disenfranchisement by modernizing voter registration, promoting access to voting for individuals with disabilities, and protecting the ability of individuals to exercise the right to vote in elections for Federal office.

This legislation would expand and protect citizens’ access to the polls and would increase accountability and integrity among elected officials and poll workers.

It would also expand eligibility to allow all ex-offenders who have been released from prison (even those who may still be on probation or parole) the opportunity to register and vote in federal elections.

Outlined in 13 Title sections, this bill prioritizes access, integrity and accountability for voters.

I have also introduced H.R. 75 (originally introduced in 2013 as H.R. 2490) which prohibits any state whose congressional districts have been redistricted after a decennial census from carrying out another redistricting until after the next decennial census, unless a court requires such state to conduct a subsequent redistricting to comply with the Constitution or enforce the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 is no ordinary piece of legislation.

For millions of Americans, and many of us in Congress, the Voting Rights Act of 1965 is a sacred treasure, earned by the sweat and toil and tears and blood of ordinary Americans

who showed the world it was possible to accomplish extraordinary things.

Please know that I am as committed to the preservation of the Voting Rights Act and I will not rest until the job is done.

As I stated in 2006, during the historic debate in Congress to reauthorize the Voting Rights Act of 1965:

I stand today an heir of the Civil Rights Movement, a beneficiary of the Voting Rights Act. I would be breaking faith with those who risked all and gave all to secure for my generation the right to vote if I did not do all I can to strengthen the Voting Rights Act so that it will forever keep open doors that shut out so many for so long.

With these legislative priorities and principles at the forefront, I intend to work with my colleagues and advocates to do all I can to protect the voting rights of all Americans.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank the distinguished gentlewoman.

The right to vote is fundamental to the integrity of our democracy, and, as Lyndon Baines Johnson said from this very Chamber shortly before the Voting Rights Act was passed into law a few months later, “We shall overcome.”

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

1-YEAR ANNIVERSARY FOR JUSTICE FOR VICTIMS OF TRAFFICKING ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for the remaining time until 10 p.m. as the designee of the Majority Leader.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be allowed 5 days to file remarks and revise and extend those remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this Sunday, May 29, marks the 1-year anniversary for the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act being signed into law, or the JvTA, as we refer to it.

This is a vital piece of legislation that the House and Senate passed and that was signed by the President a year ago that takes this scourge of human slavery that is taking place internationally, but also here in the United States, and Congress weighs in on this to deal with this issue, I think, in a very good way.

It is impressive to me as a Member of the House how many Members of Congress on both sides were involved in drafting legislation over a year ago that came to the House and passed. In the House itself, there were 11 pieces of legislation that dealt with sex trafficking. All of those bills came up to the House floor in the same week, and all of them passed with overwhelming numbers.

They went down the hallway to the U.S. Senate. The Senate combined

those bills into one bill, and it passed that legislation. It came back to the House, we passed that, and it was signed by the President. I want to thank all of those Members of Congress—Republicans and Democrats—who worked on this.

Just by way of background, I got involved in this issue in several ways. One way was when I was in Eastern Europe several years ago and found out about the human trafficking, sex trafficking, and labor trafficking that was taking place in Eastern Europe and how young women were lured into thinking they were going to get a better job—or have a job—in Africa and the next thing they knew they were in sex slavery in northern Africa. Most of those women just disappeared over the years.

Then, back here in the United States, we have the problem of the crime and the scourge of trafficking, and it happens in two areas. There is international sex trafficking into the United States. About 20 percent of the trafficking here in America is international, primarily coming from the southern border.

You see those drug traffickers, those drug dealers, who come across the southern border of Texas. They bring anybody into the United States, and they will do anything for money.

They will bring young girls, young women, and traffic them into the United States and turn them over to the criminal gangs, like the MS-13 gang, and then they are trafficked throughout the United States.

That is about 20 percent of the trafficking. The other 80 percent is trafficking by domestic or young girls, young women. They are trafficked throughout the United States in the same crime—sex slavery, sex trafficking.

I had an opportunity to meet a lot of these trafficking victims in my work as chairman and co-chairman with JIM COSTA of the Crime Victims Caucus. I will tell you about three of those, and those three women helped get the minds straight of Members of Congress on this issue that is taking place.

“T,” as her nickname is, was in foster care. She spent 18 years of her life in foster care. In foster care, she was abused, treated like an animal, hardly fed by some of the individuals who were in the foster care system. All she wanted was a family, someone to love and care for her.

She met an older boy, and that individual made her feel special. He promised to love her and take care of her. But as soon as she left with him, she became a sex slave, and her innocence was crushed. She was sold around the country in massage parlors, strip clubs, in hotels, and on the Internet. She was treated like property for 7 years, Mr. Speaker.

I mentioned that she was in foster care. We now understand that about two-thirds of the sex trafficking victims in the United States, at some

time in their lives, were in foster care. That is an issue we have to deal with. Congress has to deal with that.

Finally, "T" was rescued, and now she tells her story wherever she can. Even Time magazine featured her and her life and her story and her recovery.

Brooke Axtell I met in Texas. Her mother was extremely ill when she was about 7 years of age. So the mother turned Brooke over to a nanny, but the nanny did not protect her. In fact, the nanny did just the opposite. The nanny sexually abused Brooke and then trafficked her.

It is common with child trafficking victims, as with Brooke, to also be victims of child pornography. After Mom got out of the hospital, Brooke was slow to tell Mom what happened, but she finally did. In working with her mother, she was able to be rescued and get out of this scourge of sex trafficking. Now she works with Allies Against Slavery in Texas.

The third person I want to mention very briefly is Cheryl Briggs. She grew up in an abusive home. She was sexually abused by her father. Things were so bad in the home that Mama left when Cheryl was very young to escape the abuse.

At the age of 12, Cheryl didn't know what else to do except get away from her father. So she ran away. She began hitchhiking with truck drivers or with anybody who would take her. It led her to get involved with a motorcycle group, and she started a career, unfortunately, in sex trafficking hell.

This individual took her to a biker club that was filled with men who sexually assaulted her. They raped her. She became a trafficked victim and was forced to do all kinds of just awful, horrible things. She was trapped in this scourge of human trafficking and didn't know how to get help.

She was finally able to get help when a patron of the strip club figured out on his own that she was too young and helped her get rescued. Now Cheryl works to help those who are in this sex trafficking in the United States.

Those are just three stories, Mr. Speaker. Let me tell you about one bill, and then I want other Members of Congress who are here at this late hour to make comments as well.

CAROLYN MALONEY and I worked on the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act. Now, you know CAROLYN MALONEY. She is a New York liberal Democrat who talks a little funny. She teamed up with me, a Texas conservative who talks a little funny, according to her.

The two of us got together and started working on this with lots of Members of Congress. The Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act, thanks to the hard work of Mrs. MALONEY and others—and especially of the women in the U.S. House of Representatives—passed the House. It does three things.

□ 2115

It does three things. It goes after the trafficker, the slave master, and makes

sure that when prosecutors—Mr. Speaker, as you know about prosecutors—when they prosecute those cases, that person goes away to the penitentiary, the do right hotel, for as long as the judge can send them.

It then goes to the other end and looks at the trafficking victim. For years, society looked at this victim as a criminal, a child prostitute. Children cannot be prostitutes. It is impossible, legally impossible. So rather than treat them like criminals and put them in the criminal justice system, it rescues those victims and treats them like victims of crime rather than criminals. This is a major change in society's thought and thought process about these children and young women.

Also, Mr. Speaker, it goes after the money, the consumer, the buyer in the middle. Too long, these buyers of trafficking victims who pay money to do these awful things to children have kind of skated under the criminal justice system. Not anymore. Those days are over. The days of boys being boys are over, and these buyers can be prosecuted to the same extent of the law as the trafficker.

So the bill does three things: it goes after the trafficker; it goes after the demand, the money; and it rescues the victims.

How do we pay for this? It is kind of a novel approach. Federal judges now can impose fines and fees on the trafficker and the buyer because a lot of them have a lot of money. And that money goes into a fund, and that fund is used and given as grants to different organizations, nonprofits throughout the country in States to help trafficking victims and also to educate police and educate the public.

So it is a good piece of legislation. That was just one of several pieces of legislation that came to the House floor.

As I mentioned, this was a bipartisan effort. Mrs. JOYCE BEATTY of Ohio is here. She filed legislation called Improve the Response to Victims of Child Sex Trafficking. All of that legislation was included in the Senate bill and came back to the House and then passed. What it does is decriminalize child sex and makes it easier for people to report potential incidences of crimes against children.

I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY), a great advocate on behalf of crime victims and trafficking victims.

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, I thank Judge POE, chairman of the Victims' Rights Caucus and Representative of Texas' Second Congressional District, for organizing this evening's important Special Order hour and for all of his hard work on behalf of the victims of human trafficking.

I am also very pleased to have the opportunity to partner with my good friend, Congresswoman ANN WAGNER of Missouri, who is my classmate and a friend. We share the same priority of eradicating human trafficking.

It is kind of odd, as Judge POE talked about his relationship with CAROLYN MALONEY. They are two people who seem, on paper, very different. One might say the same about ANN WAGNER and me. But, Mr. Speaker, there is that common thread that puts us together to not only advocate and fight for something that we need to fight for, but we have been able to make a difference.

That is why I come to the House floor this evening to recognize and celebrate a very important anniversary: the 1-year anniversary of bipartisan, comprehensive legislation, Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act, that was signed into law.

The Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act, or JVTa, was a landmark bill, as you have heard, that updated America's effort to combat the scourge of human trafficking and provided essential resources to survivors and law enforcement officials. I am so proud to have had my bill be included in this legislation and to have been able to take part in its drafting, passage, and enactment.

Mr. Speaker, in the year since JVTa's enactment, we have witnessed important achievements. For example, the JVTa has reinvigorated Americans' commitment to protecting our children from cruel exploitation. And, Mr. Speaker, these children still need our protection.

Human trafficking, as we have heard, is an estimated multibillion-dollar-a-year international enterprise that forces the most at risk among us, both here at home and abroad, into modern-day slavery. It is one of the fastest growing crimes in the world.

According to the United States State Department, human trafficking is among the world's top three criminal enterprises. It is forced prostitution, domestic slavery, and forced labor, which is why enactment and, now, the implementation of the JVTa is so important. We must continue to work to eradicate human trafficking and support the victims.

In the year since the JVTa's enactment, we have seen educators, law enforcement officials, and service providers working together, Democrats and Republicans, Mr. Speaker, raising awareness in our communities that human trafficking is not merely an international phenomenon. It, unfortunately, happens all too often in our backyards, just as we have heard Judge POE talk about "T" and talk about Brooke. And the stories could go on and on.

In fact, in my home State of Ohio, for example, each year, an estimated 1,000 children become victims of human trafficking, and over 3,000 more are at risk. Ohio is the fifth leading State for human trafficking because of its proximity to waterways that lead to an international border and the I-75 interstate that allows anyone to exit the State, within 2 hours, to almost anywhere.

Lastly, I am very thankful for having amazing advocates in Ohio for victims of human trafficking, like Theresa Flores, the founder of SOAP, Save Our Adolescents from Prostitution, and State Representative Teresa Fedor, a member of the Ohio House of Representatives, who has made a lifetime commitment to working to protect our victims.

We must remain vigilant in the implementation of JVTA, as we were when we passed it, so every child, every woman and man is free from this form of modern-day slavery, which is why I am proud to have joined Judge POE and Congresswomen WAGNER and MALONEY of New York in leading a letter to United States Attorney General Loretta Lynch supporting the Department of Justice's implementation thus far of the JVTA and requesting needed information on what more can be done within the confines of the current law.

Mr. Speaker, this is what happens when we work together. This is a great example of what we can do when Democrats and Republicans come together to change lives.

And that is just the way it is.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Ohio. I like your tag line. I might use it myself.

You point out several good things, and I think everybody listening can understand why legislation like this got passed because of your passion and—I will say it again—because of the women in the U.S. House that pushed this last year and were relentless until all this legislation came up.

You point out many good things. There are two things, though, that I want to point out myself that you mentioned. One is about the money.

People may ask, Mr. Speaker, why is there so much money involved in this? Well, drug dealers, when they sell drugs, you sell drugs one time. The cost of apprehension, the consequences, are great, and the chances of getting caught are great.

On the other end, you have sex trafficking. Unfortunately, these children are sold multiple times a day—sometimes 20, 25 times a day. The risk of getting caught is very low, and the punishment, up until now, has been very low. So that is why it is the second or third biggest monetary system of criminal enterprises anywhere.

That, Mr. Speaker, in itself is a disgrace to us as a people to allow this to happen, where slavery is the second or third money maker for the criminal gangs who primarily run all of these enterprises.

I yield to another gentleman from Texas (Mr. WEBER). He has been in the antitrafficking movement a long time. He worked in the Texas Legislature and helped Texas get ahead of the curve on the movement before we actually did here in the House.

I yield to the gentleman from southeast Texas (Mr. WEBER).

Mr. WEBER of Texas. Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to be here and to work in

a bipartisan fashion across the aisle for this very worthwhile cause.

I will tell you, Judge POE is exactly correct. In Texas, we like to say that things are bigger in Texas. But, unfortunately, Texas has one record that we really didn't want, and that is that we have 25 percent of the sex trafficking in the country. We are 1 of 50 States, and yet we have 25 percent of the victims of sex trafficking going on right there in Texas.

We were able to pass Texas House Bill 4009, which did a number of things. It actually instructed the enforcement officials to take a look at some of these young girls that were picked up—and, I guess, for that matter, young men as well—and to not just assume that they were willfully participating in the sex trade, but to look deeper into the background there.

Some of these girls we found out were actually held against their will, were drugged and beat into submission. Some, as young as 12, were dancing in some of these strip clubs and, like Judge POE said, some of the patrons would take notice of that and would actually get them help.

In Texas, we did identify that pretty early on, about 5 or 6 years ago now, and were able to pass legislation to get the HHSC to put law enforcement together, to get some training for these officers, to get these NGOs together to say, look, we need to get some programs for these young girls to rehabilitate them. How in the world do you ever get them back to normal life after something like this? We needed more facilities, more beds, more training. So I am proud to say that, in Texas, we actually did take the lead on that.

One of my favorites was in the town of Waco. You mentioned three things: going after the perpetrators; going after the demand, the money; and, of course, helping the victims. Well, the town of Waco had a way of dealing with the johns. What they did was, when someone was arrested in Waco, they would put that john's picture on a billboard in the city with the headline, "Arrested for solicitation of prostitution."

Now, that will ruin your family life at home and in a little town like Waco. So we took some lessons from that to say, look, we are going after the demand, after the johns, to try to dry up that money stream.

Mr. Speaker, Judge POE ought to be commended. It has been almost a year since the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act was signed into law. This comprehensive legislation tackled a number of issues to combat human trafficking. It took a stand against the seller, which we have been talking about, and the buyer by criminally pressing charges on both for the first time.

It also provided smart solutions to help victims of trafficking get back on their feet, which is what I said from my days in the Texas Legislature. They needed a program. They needed

people to understand. They needed counseling. Good Lord, how do those young girls ever get back to some semblance of normalcy after something like that?

Thanks to the JVTA, States are now incentivized to draft and pass what we call safe harbor legislation, which helps victims of trafficking expunge their criminal records in an effort to start fresh without the ghosts of their past haunting them.

Legislation like this also addresses the need for shelter, for more beds, for facilities for those NGOs, a place for rehabilitation.

As you know, currently, 34 out of the 50 States have versions of safe harbor legislation, which is an increase of 14 States just since the passage of the act. Training on the identification of trafficking victims has also increased within the airline, the hotel, and even in the medical industries.

□ 2130

Mr. Speaker, victims of human trafficking are men, women, and children. This is not a victimless crime, I might add. We all have undoubtedly passed these victims in an airport, at a hotel, or maybe even at the fuel station. Until society at large stops sexualizing our children, we will be unable to prevent the predators' interest in our minors.

We have made crucial steps, Mr. Speaker, toward combating human trafficking, as evidenced by the very success of the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act we are talking about here tonight. Yet, we still have a long way to go to eradicate this scourge of human slavery. But we have a good start on it, and we are committed to seeing it through to the end and making a difference. Mr. Speaker, you know I am right.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Texas for his several important comments that he made about facilities to take the victims once they are rescued by law enforcement or by nonprofit organizations.

Mr. Speaker, there is no place to put them. Sometimes that is why the police arrest these young girls and put them in juvenile detention, is because there is no facility to take them. I am not blaming the police. They have no other place for them to go.

There have been some studies done on how many beds are available for trafficking victims. The latest comes out of the State of Illinois. They did some research, and there are about 600 to 700 beds nationwide for trafficking victims—600 to 700 beds; that is it—in a country of 350 million people.

Compare that to animal shelters. I love animal shelters. I have got three Dalmatians. I call them the weapons of mass destruction. I got one of them from a Dalmatian rescue in Dallas. But there are 5,000 animal shelters in the United States, and that is good. We need every one of them.

Six hundred to seven hundred beds for trafficking victims is not near enough. That is one thing this legislation does. It provides resources so we can have places to take these crime victims, and that is what they are.

They are victims of crime. They are not criminals. They are hard to deal with. They are not easy to help. They have had their whole lives destroyed in front of them. So it takes time, it takes facilities, and it takes resources.

One other comment you made about the signs. Of course, I am a big fan of criminals carrying signs in front of businesses that they commit crimes in. I did that as a judge and some other things.

You are exactly right. If we could add an amendment to this legislation—and I think we should—to give Federal judges the option to allow the posting in the county in which the crime was committed on a billboard or a sign of a photograph of the child molester who has been convicted of trafficking children, that would get the attention of some of those folks out there who are trying to hide their criminal conduct.

And maybe those billboards ought to pop up right before some big sporting event that cities have as well. That is just a thought, Mr. Speaker. I think we ought to work on that.

We also have with us another person who has worked on this whole issue of trafficking victims and justice for them. Mr. YOHO is one of our newer Members of Congress, TED YOHO from the Third District of the State of Florida. I yield to the gentleman at this time.

Mr. YOHO. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank my colleague from Texas. You wouldn't have hurt my feelings if you would have said one of the younger Members, but that wouldn't have been true.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in solidarity with the growing army that is fighting human trafficking worldwide. I rise to speak out against this heinous crime known as human trafficking, the scourge of our time in the 21st century, a \$32 billion industry.

The statistics are overwhelming, as we have heard all the estimates of over 22 million people being trafficked worldwide. Sometimes, though, they seem far away. It is estimated that the individuals in the adult entertainment are often victims of human trafficking, people in farm camps, people in domestic servitude. There are people being trafficked for human body parts. It goes on every day.

People often say, "That kind of stuff happens overseas" or, "That doesn't happen here." There is an acronym called NIMBY, not in my backyard. People don't think this happens. No, it happens in our own backyards. It happens here at home. It happens in your State, in your county, and more than likely it happens in your town.

Human trafficking happens as we speak. Human trafficking knows no skin color, no gender, no socio-

economic background. It only knows how to exploit, abuse, and victimize.

Who is guilty of this? Well, nation-states are guilty of this, criminal gangs, drug cartels, people needing labor, and terrorist organizations. People are doing this for greed, profit, and power. They are the scum of humanity, the people who are involved in this.

ISIS, as we all know today, traffics people for terrorist reasons. They sell children from 1 to 9 years of age. Children 1 to 9 years of age bring the most for ISIS, \$168. Young women between 9 and 18 have dropped in value. They are worth \$128. ISIS even gives away slaves for rewards of deeds that we deem are bad deeds.

The alarming estimate of more than 1 million teenagers run away every year in the United States. Runaways are the most at-risk youth and susceptible of trafficking. Runaways are the most at risk when they leave. In fact, runaways are typically picked up by the pimps or traffickers within the first 48 hours.

Who does this sort of thing? Well, the perpetrators aren't of a certain stereotype. They are of all backgrounds. I don't want to name any backgrounds, but they are people of low, no, and high profiles.

This year in my hometown of Gainesville a trafficking ring was discovered and six people were arrested.

Last week a person of high profile, one of the leaders of the Black Lives Matter movement, was arrested for sexually trafficking a minor in New York.

Just last year a 15-year-old girl was discovered by police in a motel room being sexually abused and trafficked several times a day. When I say several times a day, we are talking 15 to 20 times a day their body is being sold, like an amusement ride.

Her parents had been handing out missing child flyers in the neighborhood when somebody recognized her picture from an online ad. That young girl went from being a runaway to a trafficking victim in less than a month. That 15-year-old girl could be the son or daughter of you, your friends. It could be your niece or nephew, your brother or sister.

However, it is not just runaways that become victims of trafficking. Traffickers don't discriminate based on economic class, race, gender, or age. Traffickers are motivated by profit. The average cost of a slave worldwide—worldwide—is less than \$90. That is the value the scum of the earth puts on the value of a human's life.

As the world's fastest growing and third largest criminal enterprise, it is shocking how little people know about this horrendous practice. Further, it is appalling how little is put toward the effort to stop it.

In my district, we have created the North Central Florida Human Trafficking Task Force, which is aimed at bringing together community partners from the Federal, State, and local levels to combat trafficking.

For many, education awareness is half the battle. We teamed up with the Department of Homeland Security and used their Blue Campaign to raise awareness. This week here on Capitol Hill we celebrate the 1-year anniversary of the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act sponsored by Judge TED POE of Texas, and I am a proud cosponsor of this important legislation. I thank my colleagues for their support of this bill as well.

This issue, the issue of human trafficking, is not a Republican or Democratic issue. Back in January, several of us took to this very House floor to speak of the horrors of this crime.

But taking a stand on one particular day or highlighting the issue once a month doesn't even begin to cover what the victims experience on a daily basis or the horrors and nightmares they have for a lifetime.

We must always, always be vigilant and active in our fight. If we become aware and educate just one other person to know what the signs are, we can help end this horrific tragedy.

Mr. Speaker, no neighborhood is immune. No city is exempt. These slaves, or victims, are a part of our daily lives quietly suffering, but being traded like livestock and treated beyond comprehension.

We cannot in good conscience continue our daily routines without making every effort to stamp out the practice of forced labor, domestic servitude, sex trafficking, or the selling of body parts. Whether you are a college student, businessowner, or stay-at-home parent, we all play a role.

First, I ask my colleagues to stand with me as we take another step in taking down trafficking. Thank you to all those both here at home and abroad who are fighting every day to make this modern-day slavery a thing of the past. All it takes for evil to succeed is for good men, women, or people to do nothing.

Finally, thank you to my colleague, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE), for hosting this Special Order.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Florida. I appreciate his comments. He made several excellent points, the NIMBY attitude that some people have, not in my backyard.

I met with a father last week. He came to my office and told me the story of how his daughter had been trafficked. He went to the local sheriff in another part of the State and told the sheriff what had happened. The sheriff said: It doesn't happen here.

It does. It happens everywhere. It is in our backyard. It is everywhere. We need to recognize that. The gentleman worked on his own, then, to find his daughter and take her back home.

The gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOHO) makes another good comment about how these young kids are prey. A trafficked child, like the one I just mentioned, they had been working on her for 18 months, seducing her, talking to her, using the Internet. She

thought these people were her friends. They were not her friends. They were all involved in the trafficking process.

We need to understand that traffickers are not old guys in trench coats wandering around and snatching kids. They are not. Many times they are young people, young, good-looking guys who will strike up a conversation with a middle schooler at the mall and then talk to them again later and then later and then, finally, that individual gets in the vehicle or meets the individual, the trafficker, someplace, and then she is gone.

This father that I talked to knew the statistics, that, if you have a child that is trafficked, you have about 3 weeks to find her or she is gone because those traffickers move those kids all over the country, selling them every day. It is in our backyard, unfortunately.

I yield to another Texan, the gentleman from Houston, Texas, Ms. SHEILA JACKSON LEE, who has worked on this issue of trafficking here and also back home in our hometown of Houston.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, let me thank the gentleman from Texas for his persistence, his determination, and for this exciting commemoration of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016.

Let me thank the Congressional Victims' Rights Caucus and co-chair JIM COSTA, along with Congressman POE, Judge POE, who famously has said, "And that is just the way it is," and I see all of us seemingly adopting those words. So he has now put the English language in a form that we just can't help ourselves. So I thank Judge POE so very much.

I remember his beginning. I want to thank him for a year or 2 ago when he joined me and Chairman MCCAUL for a Committee on Homeland Security human trafficking hearing in Houston, Texas.

I believe we have had other hearings since then because we know that Houston, Texas, Harris County, and in Texas has been called one of the center points of human trafficking, to our dismay. Many stories have come to our attention.

I think it was about 2 years ago, Judge POE, when they found a stash house out in the county. I actually went to that site where teams of—when I say teams, tens upon tens of individuals, including children, were in that particular place. We had to shut down a cantina in and around the inner city that had been used for human trafficking in the city of Houston.

□ 2145

The one point that is very important that I will make—and I will comment on some other aspects that are in this bill—is that human trafficking is profitable. Human trafficking is profitable. That means that slavery is not dead. Human trafficking is profitable.

The reason is, tragically, the young child, the young teenager, the preteen,

the young woman, or the young man or boy is recycled, tragically, over and over again, which makes human trafficking more than profitable and vicious and vile. They have to keep that human being who needs to be free and enjoy the freedom of being a child and enjoy the various special things of being a child, like being loved and nurtured, going to picnics, going to school, they have to keep that young woman, that young man in bondage.

That is what this bill, as spoken of previously, and certainly among other things, speaks to today. In the many bills that were incorporated in this bill, it was to eliminate, if you will, the pain and viciousness of human trafficking.

Let me quickly say that I want to congratulate the fact that this bill reduces the rape kit backlog and provides resources for forensic labs in cities all over America. As a member of the Judiciary Committee, we were hearing the stories about backlogs of rape kits. So this bill requires at least 75 percent of amounts made available to the DOJ for forensic testing and to be used for direct testing of crime scene evidence, including rape kits.

It improves the sexual assault nurse examiner program by incentivizing the hiring of full-time nurses, particularly in rural and underserved areas, and reauthorizes and improves the Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants, which awards grants to States and local governments to improve the quality of forensic science services, which is so very important.

I also say that I acknowledge that numerous studies have shown that at least 75 percent of youths involved in the justice system have experienced traumatic victimization, making them vulnerable to mental health disorders and perceived behavioral and non-compliance and misconduct.

This legislation deals with best-evidence research to be able to help our youth as well, and to ensure that they get the kind of treatment they need, particularly after sexual assault, which is what human trafficking mostly is, besides the heinousness of being held by another human being.

So I am very glad that we are moving forward on the reauthorization for Justice for All for 2016. So many things have been made better.

I want to cite one example as I close. I am reminded of this because of the floods that we dealt with recently. There were incidences of women living in places where their name was not on the lease. So, for example, if a man gets evicted for abusing his live-in girlfriend, the girlfriend who is not a named tenant on the lease, but is a resident, would automatically be evicted. That is so very important. Many times, that girlfriend is living there with her children. She would be permitted to stay for a reasonable time to establish her own eligibility to remain in the public housing unit.

Let me say this: this is not a one-size-fits-all, but it is not one commu-

nity. It is not any race of people, it is not any economic level of people. It is people who are egregiously abusing and violating another human being. In many instances, Judge POE, it is a child.

So I want to thank you for this legislation. Let us continue to walk this pathway together in a bipartisan manner. Certainly, as a very valued member of the Judiciary Committee, a lot of your work is part of that legislative agenda, and I am very glad to join in. A lot of your work is also on the Foreign Affairs Committee.

Let us work together to save lives and to protect our children.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleagues of the Congressional Victims' Rights Caucus, Congressman TED POE (R-TX) and Congressman JIM COSTA (D-CA) who are anchoring this Special Order in support of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016.

The Justice for All Act, which I co-sponsored in 2004, enhanced protections for victims of Federal crimes, provided resources to improve the use of DNA and forensic technology to combat crimes, and established safeguards to prevent and reverse wrongful convictions.

This legislation reauthorizes and improves many of the programs created by the original law and responsibly reduces overall funding in response to current economic conditions.

The bipartisan Justice for All Act of 2004 increased resources devoted to DNA and other forensic technology, established safeguards to prevent wrongful convictions, and enhanced protections for crime victims.

This legislation builds on the Justice for All Act to improve the criminal justice system and ensure public confidence in it.

The Justice for All Act of 2016 increases access to restitution for crime victims and requires that interpreters be available to all federal crime victims who wish to participate in a court proceeding.

Reauthorizing important programs used to notify crime victims of their right to be heard in court, this legislation provides them with legal assistance.

Additionally, the bill improves housing rights for domestic violence victims and protects Violence Against Women Act (VA-WA) funding from federal penalties.

The bill makes payment of restitution a mandatory condition of supervised release for any defendant convicted of a Federal felony or misdemeanor and ordered to pay restitution.

The bill will also amend the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure to give the court authority to appoint an interpreter for any victim present during proceedings.

Importantly, this legislation supports programs that inform crime victims of their rights and helps ensure that those rights are enforced by reauthorizing the Crime Victims Legal Assistance Grants and Crime Victims Notification Grants.

Reducing current Rape Kit Backlog, the Justice for All Act requires that at least 75% of amounts made available to the Attorney General for local, state, and Federal forensic activities must be used for direct testing activities described in the Debbie Smith DNA Backlog Grant Program.

Requiring law enforcement agencies to conduct audits of their backlogged rape kits, this

law also creates tracking mechanisms, and prioritizes testing in cases in which the statute of limitations will soon expire.

The Act also amends the Sexual Assault Forensic Exam Program Grants to give preference to entities which will: operate or expand forensic nurse examiner programs in rural areas or for underserved populations, hire full-time forensic nurse examiners, or support training programs for forensic nurse examiners.

Critically, the Act provides community health centers, colleges and hospitals with information about resources available to address domestic violence, sexual assault, and elder abuse.

Clarifying requirements for housing protections in the Violence Against Women Act, the act will extend protection against automatic eviction to any "resident" in a public housing unit—who is not a tenant listed on the lease—in situations where the named tenant is evicted.

For example, if a man gets evicted for abusing his live-in girlfriend, the girlfriend, who is not a named tenant on the lease but is a resident, would not automatically be evicted. She would be permitted to stay for a reasonable time to establish her own eligibility to remain in the public housing unit.

The Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016 strengthens the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA).

PREA currently requires that all states to comply with its requirements or suffer a 5% reduction in DOJ funds they would receive for "prison purposes."

States can still receive the funds however, even if they are not in compliance, if the Governor submits an "assurance" that the state will reallocate 5% of those funds to PREA implementation.

To ensure compliance, states are required to have all of their prisons audited for at least once every three years.

The bill requires Governors to submit with their annual certification or assurance information about the state's PREA implementation efforts, including which correctional facilities were audited in the most recent audit year, a proposed schedule for completing an audit of all prison during the next three audit years, and all final audit reports.

Numerous studies have also shown that at least 75% of youth involved in the justice system have experienced traumatic victimization, making them vulnerable to mental health disorders and perceived behavioral non-compliance and misconduct.

Over the years, clear evidence has emerged from federal investigations, class-action lawsuits or authoritative reports written by reputable media outlets or respected public or private agencies showing that youth corrections facility across the country have repeatedly failed to protect youth from violence by staff or other youth, sexual assaults and/or excessive use of isolation or restraints. (Annie E. Casey Foundation—Maltreatment Report, 2015).

Despite costly law suits and periods of federal supervision, inhumane conditions of youth confinement remains rampant and a national epidemic.

Despite national outcry for compliance with PREA, Many states have failed to implement and enforce its standards for youth in correctional and detention facilities.

Current law provides that states not conforming to required protocols will lose 5% of

all funds they receive from the U.S. DOJ grant programs.

However, financial penalties will not begin until 2017, and expected that DOJ will extend deadline and/or disperse funds to non-compliant states (provided they use the money toward implementing PREA requirements). (AECF Report).

Further, the bill requires the Attorney General to post all final audit reports on its website and to update the site at least annually.

Expanding the reach of these valiant efforts, the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016 clarifies that grants authorized for victim assistance may be used to support nonprofit entities which assist victims of crime on a nationwide basis or Americans abroad who are victims of crimes committed outside of the United States.

Truly, improving the administration of criminal justice programs, the bill increases accountability for federal funds spent by state and local governments by requiring that states receiving funds under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program develop a strategic plan detailing how the funds will be spent.

The bill directs the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) to promulgate best practices for evidence retention within eighteen months of enactment and requires NIJ to assist state, local, and tribal governments wishing to adopt those best practices.

Because this bill has tremendous potential to improve victims' access to justice, support law enforcement, exonerate the innocent, and strengthen and improve the criminal justice system, we urge the committee to bring this bill up for timely consideration and passage.

As a member of the Congressional Victims' Rights Caucus, I thank my colleagues Congressman TED POE (R-TX) and Congressman JIM COSTA (D-CA)) for hosting this Special Order in support of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016.

It is an invaluable and much needed effort.

Mr. POE of Texas. I thank the gentlewoman for her comments. As the gentlewoman knows and has been mentioned on the House floor, I think, by Mr. WEBER, Houston, Texas, is a hub for child sex trafficking in the United States, and it is because of our location. We are using that, though, to change the dynamics of the city, working with our new mayor, Sylvester Turner, who was in the State legislature for a long time.

Our new mayor has now come up with a protocol for the city of Houston to work to eliminate this scourge. I think it is a protocol that cities throughout the country will be able to use themselves to address the issue, admit the problem, and then deal with it on a multilayer basis, working with all the nonprofits and all the government agencies and different types of law enforcement.

So I know that the gentlewoman is working with the mayor on this project. I want to congratulate you and the mayor for taking this issue and solving it so that Houston now will be an example of what to do in solving this scourge.

I also thank you for being on the Victims' Rights Caucus. As you men-

tioned, it is bipartisan. JIM COSTA and I started this in 2005. There are 80 members: 40 Republicans, 40 Democrats.

Mr. Speaker, the Victims' Rights Caucus promotes victims of crime before Congress.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. I express my appreciation for being a member of the Victims' Right Caucus because it is bipartisan. I should say it is multi-communities. All different people.

Let me thank the gentleman for mentioning Mayor Turner. This is an exciting effort. If you don't take notice, you are not going to be able to solve the problem. And that is what the city is doing. It is taking notice and putting in infrastructure for being helpful.

Let me close by simply saying that, as Judge POE knows, in the last couple of days of Houston we have been mourning the killing of an 11-year-old child on his way home from school. We have not determined who it is, but all I can say to you is that even our children are vulnerable, whether by a heinous individual that maybe was trying to pick the child up—we don't know—but the child is now deceased. My sympathies to his family, the Flores family in my congressional district. All I can say is that it is our responsibility to protect these children and not for little Josue to have died in vain in the tragic way that he lost his life.

Again, I thank the gentleman for allowing me to offer sympathy to his family and his community and say that we are doing the right thing by trying to protect those who are most vulnerable.

Mr. POE of Texas. The gentlewoman is exactly correct. That is really what we are supposed to be doing, is helping those that are the least fortunate, the most vulnerable in our community. And there is no more vulnerable people than our children.

Mr. Speaker, I also want to recognize numerous Members of Congress who have worked on all this legislation. Before I do that, though, I want to recognize a person on my staff, Blair Bjellos, who is leaving the Hill and going to work for one of these groups that is trying to save the world, which is great. They are.

Blair has worked for me for almost 6 years. She is my victim advocate. I think I am the only Member of Congress that has a victim advocate who works on victims' issues. She was, in large part, responsible for drafting this legislation, Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act. I want to thank her publicly for the work she has done on the victims' movement, working on the Victims' Rights Caucus, this legislation, and for other victims' issues as well. I am fortunate to have a person who is so passionate working to help those who are most vulnerable in our community, and that is victims of crime. So I want to thank her for doing that.

I want to mention some other Members of Congress and just put in the

RECORD some of the things they have been doing. It is not all of them, but in limited time, I am going to mention the ones I can.

Two Members, bipartisan—one Republican, one Democrat—RENEE ELLMERS and DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ—introduced the Trafficking Awareness Training for Health Care Act.

Remember, Mr. Speaker, all these bills were combined, passed the House, go to the Senate, and Senator CORNYN and Senator WYDEN combined them into one bill, it came back to House after it passed the Senate, and was signed by the President.

Also, ERIK PAULSEN, a Republican, and Representative GWEN MOORE, a Democrat, introduced the Stop Exploitation Through Trafficking Act.

JOE HECK of Nevada, who was going to be here tonight to speak, introduced Enhancing Services for Runaway and Homeless Victims of Youth Trafficking Act.

ANN WAGNER, as has been mentioned already, introduced the SAVE Act; MARK WALKER, Human Trafficking Detection Act; KRISTI NOEM, Human Trafficking Prevention, Intervention, and Recovery Act; TOM MARINO and KAREN BASS—one Republican, one Democrat—Strengthening Child Welfare Response for Human Trafficking; JOYCE BEATTY, who has spoken here tonight, also worked with ANN WAGNER and also introduced Improve the Response to Victims of Child Sex Trafficking Act; and SEAN MALONEY introduced the Human Trafficking Prevention Act.

There were lots of individuals, lots of folks who helped in the House. Then we had support from over 200 organizations throughout the country, trying to get this legislation passed. Some of those are Rights4Girls, Coalition Against Trafficking in Women, Shared Hope International, End Child Prostitution and Trafficking in the USA, National Children's Alliance, National Association to Protect Children, Equality Now, National Conference of State Legislatures, and the National Criminal Justice Association were all on the same page of the hymnal singing the same song, Mr. Speaker, and that song is that we are going to do everything we can to stop this scourge of human trafficking.

We want those folks to know that trafficked young children have no place to hide and that those customers that buy those kids have no place to hide. There is no safe place for them. And we want victims to know there is a safe place and that we will help them to recover from what has happened to them and hold people accountable for what they do, especially when they commit crimes against the most vulnerable people in our culture.

And if we are not to help kids, why are we here, Mr. Speaker?

I want to thank Members of Congress for passing this legislation overwhelmingly. Many of these bills passed the House unanimously. That doesn't happen a lot over here.

We are all working on this. We are not through. But we want people to know—victims of crime—that there is hope and there is rescue.

And that is just the way it is.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. CURBELO of Florida (at the request of Mr. MCCARTHY) for today on account of a family commitment in the district.

Mr. AL GREEN of Texas (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today.

Mr. O'ROURKE (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today and the balance of the week on account of traveling with the President to Vietnam.

Mr. PETERS (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of flight delayed.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Karen L. Haas, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 2814. An act to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Sevierville, Tennessee, the Dannie A. Carr Veterans Outpatient Clinic.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 56 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, May 24, 2016, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

5435. A letter from the Acting Director, Legislative Affairs, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's interim rule adopted as final with changes—Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) [Docket No.: NRCS-2014-0007] (RIN: 0578-AA62) received May 16, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Agriculture.

5436. A letter from the Under Secretary, Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter authorizing Rear Admiral (lower half) Timothy J. White, United States Navy, to wear the insignia of the grade of rear admiral, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 777(b)(3)(B); Public Law 104-106, Sec. 503(a)(1) (as added by Public Law 108-136, Sec. 509(a)(3)); (117 Stat. 1458); to the Committee on Armed Services.

5437. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule—Defense Federal Ac-

quisition Regulation Supplement: Duty-Free Entry Threshold (DFARS 2015-D036) [Docket No.: DARS-2015-0052] (RIN: 0750-A176) received May 5, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Armed Services.

5438. A letter from the Administrator, Rural Housing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule—Single Family Housing Guaranteed Loan Program (RIN: 0575-AD04) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Financial Services.

5439. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of the Treasury, transmitting proposed legislation related to financial transparency; to the Committee on Financial Services.

5440. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final and temporary regulations—Self-employment Tax Treatment of Partners in a Partnership that Owns a Disregarded Entity [TD 9766] (RIN: 1545-BM87) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5441. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Office for Civil Rights, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's Major final rule—Non-discrimination in Health Programs and Activities (RIN: 0945-AA02) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5442. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Maleic anhydride; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0853; FRL-9945-82] received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5443. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Source Determination for Certain Emission Units in the Oil and Natural Gas Sector [EPA-HQ-OAR-2013-0685; FRL-9946-55-OAR] (RIN: 2060-AS06) received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5444. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Quaternary ammonium compounds, benzylbis(hydrogenated tallow alkyl)methyl, bis(hydrogenated tallow alkyl)dimethylammonium salts with sepiolite; and Quaternary ammonium compounds, benzylbis(hydrogenated tallow alkyl)methyl, bis(hydrogenated tallow alkyl)dimethylammonium salts with saponite; Exemptions from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0018, EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0020; FRL-9945-76] received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5445. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Partial Approval and Partial Disapproval of Air Quality State Implementation Plans; Arizona; Infrastructure Requirements to Address Interstate Transport for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS [EPA-R09-OAR-2015-0793; FRL-9946-58-Region 9] received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5446. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Arkansas; New Mexico; Oklahoma; Disapproval of Greenhouse Gas Biomass Deferral, Step 2 and Minor Source Permitting Requirements [EPA-R06-OAR-2015-0783; FRL-9946-66-Region 6] received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5447. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Alaska: Updates to Incorporation by Reference and Miscellaneous Revisions [EPA-R10-OAR-2015-0353; FRL-9946-49-Region 10] received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

5448. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Belarus that was declared in Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1641(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1703(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec. 204(c); (91 Stat. 1627); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

5449. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order 12170 of November 14, 1979, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1641(c); Public Law 94-412, Sec. 401(c); (90 Stat. 1257) and 50 U.S.C. 1703(c); Public Law 95-223, Sec. 204(c); (91 Stat. 1627); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

5450. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. ACT 21-392, "Repeal of Outdated and Unnecessary Audit Mandates Amendment Act of 2016", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

5451. A letter from the Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer, Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston, transmitting the 2015 management report of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston, pursuant to the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

5452. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Civil Works, Department of Defense, transmitting the Skokomish River Basin Ecosystem Restoration project in Mason County, Washington for April 2015, pursuant to Public Law 87-874, Sec. 209; (76 Stat. 1197) (H. Doc. No. 114—139); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and ordered to be printed.

5453. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Civil Works, Department of Defense, transmitting the Army's determination on the Cano Martin Pena Ecosystem Restoration Project, Puerto Rico, pursuant to Public Law 110-114, Sec. 5127; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5454. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Civil Works, Department of Defense, transmitting the recommendation for modifying the total project first cost of the authorized Blue River Basin, Kansas City, Missouri project, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, Sec. 902; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5455. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Civil Works, Department of Defense, transmitting the recommendation for modifying the total project first cost of the

authorized Turkey Creek Basin, Kansas City, Kansas and Kansas City, Missouri project, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, Sec. 902; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5456. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Civil Works, Department of Defense, transmitting the recommendation for modifying the total project first cost of the authorized Ohio River Shoreline, Paducah, Kentucky project, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, Sec. 902; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5457. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, Civil Works, Department of Defense, transmitting the Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas Flood Risk Management Project Report for May 2014 (H. Doc. No. 114—138); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and ordered to be printed.

5458. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2015-0075; Directorate Identifier 2014-NM-202-AD; Amendment 39-18461; AD 2016-07-16] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5459. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2015-4817; Directorate Identifier 2014-NM-115-AD; Amendment 39-18465; AD 2016-07-20] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5460. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2015-2464; Directorate Identifier 2014-NM-195-AD; Amendment 39-18476; AD 2016-07-31] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5461. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce plc Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2015-4076; Directorate Identifier 2015-NE-30-AD; Amendment 39-18483; AD 2016-08-07] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5462. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; GE Aviation Czech s.r.o. Turboprop Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2016-3692; Directorate Identifier 2016-NE-05-AD; Amendment 39-18458; AD 2016-07-13] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 17, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5463. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2015-2959; Directorate Identifier 2015-NM-008-AD; Amendment 39-18470; AD 2016-07-25] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 17,

2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

5464. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the FY 2014 Annual Report to the Congress on the Child Support Program, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 652(a)(10); Aug. 14, 1935, ch. 531, title IV, Sec. 452 (as amended by Public Law 93-647, Sec. 101(a)); (88 Stat. 2352); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5465. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — List of Automatic Changes in Method of Accounting (Rev. Proc. 2016-29) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5466. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final regulations — Additional Limitation on Suspension of Benefits Applicable to Certain Pension Plans Under the Multiemployer Pension Reform Act of 2014 [TD 9767] (RIN: 1545-BN24) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5467. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Diversification Requirements for Variable Annuity, Endowment, and Life Insurance Contracts under Section 817(h) [Notice 2016-32] received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5468. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — United States and Area Median Gross Income Figures for 2016 (Rev. Proc. 2016-26) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5469. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Temporary Relief for Money Market Funds (Revenue Procedure 2016-31) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5470. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final and temporary regulations — Certified Professional Employer Organizations [TD 9768] (RIN: 1545-BN20) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

5471. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Medicare Program; Obtaining Final Medicare Secondary Payer Conditional Payment Amounts via Web Portal [CMS-6054-F] (RIN: 0938-AR90) received May 13, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); jointly to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and Ways and Means.

5472. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, transmitting Anti-Corruption Legislative Proposals; jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Financial Services.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. SHUSTER: Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. H.R. 4465. A bill to decrease the deficit by consolidating and selling Federal buildings and other civilian real property, and for other purposes (Rept. 114-578, Pt. 1) Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. CHAFFETZ: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 4465. A bill to decrease the deficit by consolidating and selling Federal buildings and other civilian real property, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114-578, Pt. 2). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. UPTON: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 4167. A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require multi-line telephone systems to have a default configuration that permits users to directly initiate a call to 9-1-1 without dialing any additional digit, code, prefix, or post-fix, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 114-579). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. UPTON: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 4889. A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require providers of a covered service to provide call location information concerning the telecommunications device of a user of such service to an investigative or law enforcement officer in an emergency situation involving risk of death or serious physical injury or in order to respond to the user's call for emergency services; with an amendment (Rept. 114-580). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. UPTON: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 2589. A bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require the Federal Communications Commission to publish on its Internet website changes to the rules of the Commission not later than 24 hours after adoption; with amendments (Rept. 114-581). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. UPTON: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 4111. A bill to include skilled nursing facilities as a type of health care provider under section 254(h) of the Communications Act of 1934; with an amendment (Rept. 114-582). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. UPTON: Committee on Energy and Commerce. H.R. 3998. A bill to direct the Federal Communications Commission to commence proceedings related to the resiliency of critical telecommunications networks during times of emergency, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 114-583, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. HENSARLING: Committee on Financial Services. H.R. 2121. A bill to amend the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 to provide a temporary license for loan originators transitioning between employers, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114-584). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. BISHOP of Utah: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 1838. A bill to establish the Clear Creek National Recreation Area in San Benito and Fresno Counties, California, to designate the Joaquin Rocks Wilderness in such counties, to designate additional components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, and for other purposes; with

an amendment (Rept. 114-585). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. CHAFFETZ: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 5233. A bill to repeal the Local Budget Autonomy Amendment Act of 2012, to amend the District of Columbia Home Rule Act to clarify the respective roles of the District government and Congress in the local budget process of the District government, and for other purposes; (Rept. 114-586). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. CHAFFETZ: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 4904. A bill to require the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to issue a directive on the management of software licenses, and for other purposes; (Rept. 114-587). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. HENSARLING: Committee on Financial Services. H.R. 4139. A bill to amend the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to provide a temporary exemption for low-revenue issuers from certain auditor attestation requirements (Rept. 114-588). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. SHUSTER: Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. H.R. 4487. A bill to reduce costs of Federal real estate, improve building security, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114-589, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. WOODALL: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 742. Resolution providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 2576) to modernize the Toxic Substances Control Act, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 897) to amend the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to clarify Congressional intent regarding the regulation of the use of pesticides in or near navigable waters, and for other purposes (Rept. 114-590). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. NEWHOUSE: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 743. Resolution for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5055) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes (Rept. 114-591). Referred to the House Calendar.

DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure discharged from further consideration. H.R. 3998 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Financial Services discharged from further consideration. H.R. 4487 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. SHUSTER (for himself, Mr. DEFazio, Mr. GIBBS, and Mrs. NAPOLITANO):

H.R. 5303. A bill to provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources,

and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. VEASEY (for himself, Mr. GALLEGO, and Ms. GABBARD):

H.R. 5304. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to extend health care coverage under the Transitional Assistance Management Program; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. SMITH of Missouri:

H.R. 5305. A bill to establish the Ste. Genevieve National Historic Site in the State of Missouri, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. MESSER (for himself, Mr. BYRNE, Mr. STIVERS, Mr. FRANKS of Arizona, Mrs. MCMORRIS RODGERS, Mr. POLIS, Mr. LIPINSKI, and Mr. RATCLIFFE):

H.R. 5306. A bill to require the Archivist of the United States to compile all applications, and rescissions of applications, made to the Congress to call a convention, pursuant to article V of the Constitution, and certain related materials, and to transmit them to Congress, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committees on Oversight and Government Reform, and Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. ABRAHAM (for himself, Mr. DUNCAN of South Carolina, and Mr. ROGERS of Alabama):

H.R. 5307. A bill to amend title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 to define the term "sex" for purposes of such title; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. DONOVAN (for himself, Mr. SIREN, Mr. POE of Texas, and Mr. MCCAUL):

H.R. 5308. A bill to require the Secretary of the Treasury to confiscate interest paid on certain frozen bank accounts, to require the Secretary to confiscate certain frozen assets, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. KELLY of Mississippi (for himself, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mr. HARPER, and Mr. PALAZZO):

H.R. 5309. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 401 McElroy Drive in Oxford, Mississippi, as the "Army First Lieutenant Donald C. Carwile Post Office Building"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California (for herself, Mr. CÁRDENAS, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. COSTA, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. DEFazio, Mr. DELANEY, Ms. EDWARDS, Mr. ELLISON, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. GRIJALVA, Ms. MOORE, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. HONDA, Mr. KILMER, Mr. LANGEVIN, Mrs. LAWRENCE, Ms. LEE, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. MEEKS, Mr. NADLER, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. NOLAN, Ms. NORTON, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. RUSH, and Mr. SERRANO):

H.R. 5310. A bill to improve college affordability; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY
STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. SHUSTER:

H.R. 5303.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution, specifically Clause 1 (related to general Welfare of the United States), and Clause 3 (related to regulation of Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with Indian tribes).

By Mr. VEASEY:

H.R. 5304.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8: The Congress shall have the power to provide for the common defense.

By Mr. SMITH of Missouri:

H.R. 5305.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2: The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be so construed as to Prejudice any Claims of the United States, or of any particular State.

By Mr. MESSER:

H.R. 5306.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article V of the Constitution requires Congress to call a convention for proposing amendments "on the application of the legislatures of two thirds of the several states." In order to fulfill this obligation, Congress has the authority to enact legislation to ensure accurate recordkeeping of state applications submitted pursuant to Article V.

By Mr. ABRAHAM:

H.R. 5307.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, clause 8, section 18 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. DONOVAN:

H.R. 5308.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution

By Mr. KELLY of Mississippi:

H.R. 5309.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 7 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California:

H.R. 5310.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Spending Authorization

Article I, Section 8, Clause I

The Congress shall have Power to lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 239: Mr. CARSON of Indiana and Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.

H.R. 315: Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York.

H.R. 448: Mr. SIRES.

H.R. 483: Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York.

H.R. 556: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

H.R. 605: Mrs. NAPOLITANO.

H.R. 612: Mr. CHABOT, Mr. McCAUL, and Mrs. MIMI WALTERS of California.

H.R. 624: Mr. CONNOLLY.

H.R. 711: Mr. FORBES and Mr. NOLAN.

H.R. 835: Mr. TONKO.

H.R. 865: Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia, Mrs. BLACK, and Mr. JENKINS of West Virginia.

H.R. 969: Mr. JORDAN.

H.R. 985: Mr. PRICE of North Carolina and Mr. WALKER.

H.R. 1095: Mr. TAKAI, Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. PETERS, and Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ.

H.R. 1151: Mr. WENSTRUP, Mr. WALDEN, Mr. HECK of Nevada, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Mr. BARR, Mr. POMPEO, and Mr. CULBERSON.

H.R. 1188: Ms. MAXINE WATERS of California, Mr. CHABOT, and Mr. UPTON.

H.R. 1198: Mr. PERLMUTTER.

H.R. 1309: Mr. SIMPSON.

H.R. 1342: Mr. MURPHY of Florida and Mr. BEYER.

H.R. 1422: Ms. MAXINE WATERS of California.

H.R. 1559: Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia.

H.R. 1625: Ms. SPEIER.

H.R. 1713: Mr. POLIS.

H.R. 1904: Mr. GALLEGRO.

H.R. 1905: Mr. GALLEGRO.

H.R. 1911: Mr. ROTHFUS.

H.R. 1963: Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. TONKO, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. DESAULNIER, and Ms. DELBENE.

H.R. 2058: Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee and Mr. HUIZENGA of Michigan.

H.R. 2087: Ms. DUCKWORTH.

H.R. 2142: Mr. POE of Texas.

H.R. 2290: Mr. GRAVES of Missouri.

H.R. 2315: Mr. THORNBERRY, Mr. LONG, and Mr. McCAUL.

H.R. 2342: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

H.R. 2430: Ms. KELLY of Illinois and Mr. SWALWELL of California.

H.R. 2434: Mrs. BEATTY and Mr. ISRAEL.

H.R. 2460: Mr. POSEY.

H.R. 2488: Mr. LOESBACK.

H.R. 2500: Mr. WENSTRUP and Mr. HINOJOSA.

H.R. 2622: Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York.

H.R. 2646: Mr. YOUNG of Alaska.

H.R. 2656: Mr. TOM PRICE of Georgia and Mr. COSTELLO of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 2698: Mr. McCAUL.

H.R. 2739: Mr. HULTGREN and Mrs. NAPOLITANO.

H.R. 2799: Mr. SMITH of Missouri.

H.R. 2804: Mr. GRUJALVA.

H.R. 2812: Mr. FITZPATRICK.

H.R. 2896: Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia.

H.R. 2903: Ms. KELLY of Illinois and Mr. CLEAVER.

H.R. 2992: Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mr. DIAZ-BALART, Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, Mr. BISHOP of Michigan, Mr. TROTT, Mr. RATCLIFFE, Mr. MOOLENAAR, Mr. ROSKAM, Mr. LAHOOD, Mr. PAULSEN, Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Ms. JENKINS of Kansas, Mr. OLSON, Mr. DOLD, Mr. DUNCAN of South Carolina, Mr. BOUSTANY, Mr. PALAZZO, Mr. ZINKE, Mr. KING of Iowa, Mr. BISHOP of Utah, Mr. BYRNE, Mr. PITTENGER, Mr. CURBELO of Florida, Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana, Mr. GRAVES of Missouri, Ms. MAXINE WATERS of California, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. JEFFRIES, and Mr. SMITH of Missouri.

H.R. 3119: Mr. FLORES and Mr. LEWIS.

H.R. 3159: Ms. MCCOLLUM.

H.R. 3222: Mr. PITTS and Mrs. ROBY.

H.R. 3229: Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. GRAVES of Georgia, and Mr. COHEN.

H.R. 3297: Mr. BUTTERFIELD.

H.R. 3308: Mr. NUGENT.

H.R. 3365: Mr. ISRAEL.

H.R. 3381: Mr. BARR, Mr. VELA, Mr. FARR, and Mr. CARNEY.

H.R. 3463: Mr. OLSON.

H.R. 3514: Mr. BECERRA, Mrs. TORRES, and Mr. BISHOP of Georgia.

H.R. 3516: Mr. POMPEO.

H.R. 3551: Ms. SPEIER.

H.R. 3582: Ms. JACKSON LEE.

H.R. 3619: Mr. MEEKS.

H.R. 3636: Mr. BUCK.

H.R. 3706: Ms. MATSUI and Ms. BROWN of Florida.

H.R. 3742: Mrs. LOVE.

H.R. 3765: Mr. SESSIONS and Mr. WILLIAMS.

H.R. 3870: Mrs. BEATTY and Mr. LARSEN of Washington.

H.R. 4013: Mr. SWALWELL of California and Ms. LINDA T. SANCHEZ of California.

H.R. 4137: Ms. GABBARD.

H.R. 4172: Mr. DESAULNIER.

H.R. 4184: Mr. LARSEN of Washington.

H.R. 4247: Mr. ROSS.

H.R. 4248: Mr. PITTENGER, Mr. MACARTHUR, and Mr. HILL.

H.R. 4275: Mr. BLUMENAUER and Mr. SENSENBRENNER.

H.R. 4365: Mrs. WAGNER, Mr. TED LIEU of California, and Mr. CUMMINGS.

H.R. 4376: Mr. LARSEN of Washington.

H.R. 4442: Mrs. KIRKPATRICK.

H.R. 4526: Mr. WELCH.

H.R. 4543: Ms. WILSON of Florida and Mr. MEEKS.

H.R. 4559: Mr. MEADOWS.

H.R. 4575: Mr. LUETKEMEYER.

H.R. 4585: Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania, Ms. BROWN of Florida, and Mr. GUTIERREZ.

H.R. 4614: Mr. MARCHANT.

H.R. 4620: Mr. CARNEY.

H.R. 4636: Mr. CHAFFETZ.

H.R. 4657: Mr. SMITH of Washington and Mr. HECK of Washington.

H.R. 4683: Ms. TSONGAS and Mr. AGUILAR.

H.R. 4702: Mr. FARENTHOLD.

H.R. 4715: Mr. MEADOWS and Mr. LOBIONDO.

H.R. 4729: Mr. DESAULNIER.

H.R. 4768: Mr. CARTER of Texas, Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana, Mr. TIPTON, and Mr. HILL.

H.R. 4773: Mr. THORNBERRY, Mr. HOLDING, Mr. ADERHOLT, and Mr. McCAUL.

H.R. 4775: Mr. GROTHMAN.

H.R. 4806: Mr. CARTWRIGHT.

H.R. 4827: Mr. HASTINGS.

H.R. 4828: Mr. FORBES.

H.R. 4848: Mr. DONOVAN.

H.R. 4950: Mr. RENACCI and Mr. CARNEY.

H.R. 4956: Mr. DUFFY, Mr. MULLIN, and Mr. ABRAHAM.

H.R. 4959: Mr. CRAMER, Mr. WENSTRUP, and Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

H.R. 5001: Mr. DONOVAN.

H.R. 5014: Ms. NORTON.

H.R. 5015: Mr. WESTERMAN.

H.R. 5022: Mr. BEN RAY LUJÁN of New Mexico.

H.R. 5047: Mr. ROSS.

H.R. 5066: Mr. POE of Texas and Mr. LOWENTHAL.

H.R. 5073: Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ.

H.R. 5082: Mr. JENKINS of West Virginia.

H.R. 5094: Mr. HARRIS and Mr. PASCRELL.

H.R. 5112: Mr. ROSS.

H.R. 5130: Mr. ELLISON and Mr. MEEKS.

H.R. 5131: Mr. PITTENGER of California.

H.R. 5143: Mr. NEUGEBAUER.

H.R. 5157: Mr. MCDERMOTT and Mr. TONKO.

H.R. 5166: Mr. CÁRDENAS, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Mr. GALLEGRO, and Mr. RATCLIFFE.

H.R. 5167: Mr. LARSON of Connecticut and Mr. GIBSON.

H.R. 5182: Ms. ADAMS.

H.R. 5187: Mr. SMITH of Missouri.

H.R. 5188: Mrs. MCMORRIS RODGERS.

H.R. 5207: Ms. TSONGAS.

H.R. 5210: Mr. SHUSTER, Mrs. ROBY, Mr. KING of Iowa, Mr. BISHOP of Utah, Mrs. HARTZLER, and Mr. MASSIE.

H.R. 5214: Ms. CLARKE of New York.

H.R. 5216: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Ms. SLAUGHTER, and Ms. PINGREE.

H.R. 5221: Ms. ADAMS, Ms. BASS, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. CLAY, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. EDWARDS, Mr. JEFFRIES, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. LEE, Mr. MEEKS, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. RUSH, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, and Ms. KELLY of Illinois.

H.R. 5224: Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia.

H.R. 5230: Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mrs. ELLMERS of North Carolina, Mr. WALBERG, and Mrs. LAWRENCE.

H.R. 5245: Mr. LIPINSKI and Mr. SIREs.

H.R. 5249: Ms. CLARKE of New York.

H.R. 5254: Mr. PETERS and Mrs. DINGELL.

H.R. 5258: Mr. MARINO, Ms. JACKSON LEE, and Mr. RICHMOND.

H.R. 5262: Mr. OLSON.

H.R. 5283: Mr. LABRADOR.

H.R. 5294: Mr. FLEMING, Mr. DUNCAN of South Carolina, Mr. FRANKS of Arizona, and Mr. SMITH of Missouri.

H.R. 5296: Mr. SMITH of Missouri.

H. Con. Res. 19: Mr. ASHFORD.

H. Con. Res. 40: Mr. PASCARELL.

H. Con. Res. 132: Mr. KILMER, Mr. THOMPSON of California, and Mr. CICILLINE.

H. Res. 14: Mr. ZINKE and Mr. DEFAZIO.

H. Res. 110: Mr. DEUTCH.

H. Res. 210: Mrs. CAPPS and Mr. MEADOWS.

H. Res. 569: Mr. GARAMENDI.

H. Res. 591: Mr. COLE, Mr. HULTGREN, Mr. ZELDIN, Mr. BUCSHON, Mr. WHITFIELD, Mr. POSEY, Mr. HUELSKAMP, and Mr. BUCK.

H. Res. 665: Mr. MOULTON and Mr. WELCH.

H. Res. 728: Mr. SHERMAN, Mr. KEATING, and Mr. KILMER.

CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIMITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

OFFERED BY MR. SHUSTER

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure in H.R. 897, the Zika Vector Control Act do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited

tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

AMENDMENTS

Under clause 8 of rule XVIII, proposed amendments were submitted as follows:

HOUSE AMENDMENT TO S. 2012

OFFERED BY: MR. ENGEL

Page 101, before line 13, insert the following:

SEC. 1117. CONSIDERATION OF NATIONAL SECURITY IN SITING OF NEW NATURAL GAS PIPELINES.

Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717f) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(1) In issuing a certificate of public convenience and necessity for a proposed natural gas pipeline under this section, the Commission shall consult with the Department of Homeland Security on matters of national security relating to the proposed natural gas pipeline, including with respect to terrorism, cybersecurity, and the siting of the proposed pipeline.”

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. FARR

AMENDMENT NO. 1: Page 79, beginning on line 24, strike section 506.

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. BABIN

AMENDMENT NO. 2: At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____ . None of the funds made available by this Act under the heading “Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation” may be made available to enter into new contracts with, or new agreements for Federal assistance to, the Islamic Republic of Iran, except for contracts or agreements that require the Islamic Republic of Iran to cease the pursuit, acquisition, and development of nuclear weapons technology.

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. BABIN

AMENDMENT NO. 3: At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____ . None of the funds made available by this Act under the heading “Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation” may be made available to enter into new contracts with, or new agreements for Federal assistance to, the Islamic Republic of Iran, except for contracts or agreements that require the Islamic Republic of Iran to cease the pursuit, acquisition, and development of intercontinental ballistic missile technology.

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. BABIN

AMENDMENT NO. 4: At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be made available to enter into new contracts with, or new agreements for Federal assistance to, the Islamic Republic of Iran, except for contracts or agreements that require the Islamic Republic of Iran to cease the pursuit, acquisition, and development of intercontinental ballistic missile technology.

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. BABIN

AMENDMENT NO. 5: At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be made available to enter into new contracts with, or new agreements for Federal assistance to, the Islamic Republic of Iran.

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. ENGEL

AMENDMENT NO. 6: At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be used by the Department of Energy, the Department of the Interior, or any other Federal agency to lease or purchase new light duty vehicles for any executive fleet, or for an agency’s fleet inventory, except in accordance with Presidential Memorandum—Federal Fleet Performance, dated May 24, 2011.

H.R. 5055

OFFERED BY: MR. GRAYSON

AMENDMENT NO 7: At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to enter into a contract with any offeror or any of its principals if the offeror certifies, as required by Federal Acquisition Regulation, that the offeror or any of its principals:

(A) within a three-year period preceding this offer has been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against it for: commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) contract or subcontract; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes relating to the submission of offers; or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, violating Federal criminal tax laws, or receiving stolen property; or

(B) are presently indicted for, or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity with, commission of any of the offenses enumerated above in subsection (A); or

(C) within a three-year period preceding this offer, has been notified of any delinquent Federal taxes in an amount that exceeds \$3,000 for which the liability remains unsatisfied.