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Senate
The Senate was not in session today. Its next meeting will be held on Monday, July 31, 2017, at 4 p.m.

House of Representatives
FRIDAY, JULY 28, 2017

The House met at 9 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker.

PRAYER
Pastor Scott Poling, Harvest New Beginnings Church, Oswego, Illinois, offered the following prayer:

Lord, I pray for those who serve this Nation, for they ultimately serve You. There is no authority except from God, and these gathered here, whether they realize it or not, are Your ministers for the good of the people of the United States of America.

I pray for the men and women in this room and those who will soon fill these seats. Help them to acknowledge You, come to faith in You, look to You, and live in the fear of God and not the fear of men. Embolden them to make good godly decisions that You deem right, based on the authority of Your holy inspired word.

I especially pray for the many Representatives who are Your true children by faith in Jesus Christ. Grant them Your favor and blessing and raise them up. Give them supernatural strength through the work that they do. Give them great wisdom for the decisions they make and the direction in which they lead this Nation.

God, purify their motives, protect them from pride, help them bow, lest they break, and may they never forget Your promise: “He who honors Me, I will honor.”

In Jesus’ name, the only name by which we have access to Heaven, I pray.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL
The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day’s proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule 1, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The SPEAKER. Will the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. DelBene) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Ms. DelBene led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

WELCOMING PASTOR SCOTT POLING
The SPEAKER. Without objection, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. Hultgren) is recognized for 1 minute.

Mr. Hultgren. Mr. Speaker, I rise today pleased to welcome Pastor Scott Poling, who graciously delivered our opening prayer in the House this morning.

For more than 20 years, Pastor Scott Poling has faithfully led the congregation at Harvest New Beginnings Church in Oswego, Illinois, where my family and I attend.

His passion for evangelism and outreach is contagious. Those touched by his ministry have seen firsthand his energy and passion for people around the globe.

When he is not running ultra-marathons or playing tennis, he spends time expanding the church’s international ministry, and has equipped African pastors with the leadership skills to carry God’s truth into their congregations.

Pastor Scott, we praise God for how your ministry has affected so many, and our legislative work is blessed by your prayers over us today.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Bucshon) laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

Hon. Paul D. Ryan,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on July 27, 2017, at 6:33 p.m.: That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 3364.

With best wishes, I am,
Sincerely,
Karen L. Haas.
ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore The Chair will entertain up to five further requests for 1-minute speeches on each side of the aisle.

200TH ANNIVERSARY OF COLONEL SYLVANUS THAYER

(Mr. SHIMKUS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHIMKUS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of the 200th anniversary of Colonel Sylvanus Thayer entering West Point as the fifth superintendent of the United States Military Academy.

Colonel Thayer served 16 years as superintendent and is remembered as the “Father of the Military Academy.” He is responsible for innovations that evolved the Academy into the world’s premier leader development institution. His character-based leadership education system set new standards that are still expected of all cadets today.

The current superintendent is General Bob Caslen, who carries on Colonel Thayer’s traditions and instills the ideals of duty, honor, and country in our future leaders.

To that end, the West Point Class of 2017 just graduated, and I congratulate the 936 commissioned 2nd lieutenants of the United States Army as they take their place in the long gray line. The class of 2017 has just begun with 1,230 members beginning their West Point experience.

I thank Colonel Thayer and those who follow him for exhibiting courage and developing leaders of character in the defense of our country. With that, I say: Beat Navy.

REINTRODUCING THE SMART ENFORCEMENT ACT

(Ms. DELBENE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELBENE. Mr. Speaker, 26 States and the District of Columbia have legalized some form of marijuana, including my home State of Washington. Yet marijuana possession or use for any purpose is still prohibited under Federal law, leaving my constituents, including cancer patients, at risk of prosecution.

That is why today I am reintroducing the States’ Marijuana and Regulatory Tolerance Enforcement Act, the SMART Enforcement Act. My bill will fix the conflict between State and Federal law by giving States a waiver from the Controlled Substances Act. It also resolves the banking issues currently forcing dispensaries to operate in an unsafe all-cash basis.

These waivers will ensure people in States that have different laws from the Federal Government on marijuana are protected from prosecution, provided they meet certain requirements, because people in these States should not live in fear of unpredictable actions from the Attorney General and the Department of Justice on this issue.

HONORING THE LIFE OF LARRY JEFF ELEY, SR.

(Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Larry Jeff Eley, Sr., a friend and strong advocate for farmers, growers, and landowners in my home district. He passed away suddenly at the age of 71 on July 17.

He was well known in Greene County, Georgia, as a gentle giant and will be remembered for his love for his family and his tireless efforts as president of the Greene County Farm Bureau and his steadfast leadership in the Georgia Association of Conservation Districts.

Farming was Larry’s life. He was a guiding force in the community, who actively worked with State and local leaders to foster and promote a better understanding of agriculture. He was a leading voice for Georgia’s farmers.

Larry’s legacy will better ensure that our children and grandchildren will experience the same opportunities to enjoy the natural resources of Georgia’s 10th Congressional District just as our parents and grandparents.

Mr. Eley is survived by his wife of nearly 50 years, Nancy, and their four children and their grandchildren. Dee Dee and I both extend our thoughts and prayers to the family during this time of bereavement.

Mr. Speaker, I am grateful to have known Larry and to witness his passion firsthand. I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing his unyielding commitment to the State of Georgia and our agriculture community.

GIVING SMALL BUSINESS A BETTER DEAL

(Ms. VELÁZQUEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, Donald Trump has now been President for 188 days and despite the promises, his Republican-controlled Congress has not passed a single meaningful piece of job creation legislation.

Last night, we watched as Republicans failed in their latest effort to take healthcare from the American people. Mr. Speaker, it is time, at last, to move on.

The American people are looking for real proposals to create jobs, increase wages, and strengthen our economy. They are looking for—and they deserve—a better deal.

As the ranking member of the Small Business Committee, almost every day I hear from entrepreneurs and small businesses who create jobs in our communities, and under Democratic plans, we will give them tools to further succeed.

We will offer small firms tax incentives to bring on and train new employees. We will invest in apprenticeship programs to help meet small businesses’ workforce needs. We will expand internet access to fuel the next generation of innovation and e-commerce.

I ask my Republican colleagues, at long last, give up on this obsession with attacking America’s healthcare. Instead, let us move forward with giving America’s workers and small businesses a better deal.

AUTHORIZING THE E-VERIFY SYSTEM

(Mr. LANCE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LANCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of permanently authorizing the E-Verify system and requiring U.S. employers to use the system to ensure their employees are eligible to be working in the United States.

I am proud to be a partner with United States Senator GRASSLEY of Iowa in offering legislation to do so, entitled “The Accountability Through Electronic Verification Act.”

E-Verify is a proven system for making sure job opportunities are for American workers. E-Verify is a deterrent against illegal immigration. Expanding it to new workplaces will make sure legal American workers are not shut out of employment.

There are 700,000 American businesses that successfully use the E-Verify system. There is no cost for the employer or employee to use E-Verify, and our legislation will make sure that there are no costs passed onto businesses, their employees, or to customers.

We should be considering practical solutions that remove incentives for illegal migration into the United States. We need E-Verify up and running, and we need all employers to participate to make it effective.

I urge cosponsorship and collaborations from both sides of the aisle to implement this effective policy.

CENTENNIAL ANNIVERSARY OF MELVIN HERBERT EVANS

(Ms. PLASKETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. PLASKETT. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride that I speak on behalf of the centennial anniversary of the birth of the Honorable Melvin Herbert Evans.

This celebration of Governor Evans’ 100th birthday is both timely and symbolic. Evans was born in 1917, months...
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after the transfer of the Virgin Islands from Denmark to the United States, when locals had no citizen rights and no mechanism for which they could have their own elected representation. A son of the soil, he was educated on St. Croix, valedictorian of his high school class on St. Thomas, and in 1944 earned his medical degree from Howard College of Medicine. He returned to St. Croix and was eventually Commissioner of Health of the Virgin Islands.

In 1969, Evans was appointed Governor of the Virgin Islands by President Richard Nixon, earning him the distinction of being the territory’s last appointed governor, but more importantly, the territory’s first Black native governor.

In 1971, he became the governor elected by the people of the Virgin Islands after a 1970 law which allowed residents to elect their governor.

In 1978, he was elected to the House of Representatives in the 96th Congress. And after leaving Congress, he was an Ambassador to Trinidad and Tobago.

Governor Evans personifies the evolution of the political maturity of the Virgin Islands. His life and legacy symbolize the extraordinary achievement of Virgin Islanders—given little but striving and attaining much under the American flag—but also the political growth and progress of our Islands from colonial rule to self-government.

PRAISING PRO-ACT
(Mr. FITZPATRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to praise the work of the Council of Southeast Pennsylvania. The council provides resources and opportunities to reduce the impact of addiction, trauma, and other health-related issues for the five counties in southeastern Pennsylvania through intervention, education, and mobilization.

Established in 1997 by the council, PRO-ACT works to provided advocacy and resources to those suffering from the terrible disease of addiction. Through workshops and peer advocacy meetings for afflicted individuals and families, addiction victims can get back on their feet and learn necessary skills for both recovery and entering the workforce.

I am proud to work with my constituent, Executive Director Beverly Haberle, and her administrative team, Cindy Mikalauskas and Stacey Conaway.

Mr. Speaker, I want to share the words of a man named Jim, who stated that, through PRO-ACT, “A fire was lit inside of me, my spirit rekindled by hope.”

Recovery from addiction is difficult, and it is up to remarkable associations like the council to serve the southeastern Pennsylvania community and make sure that these people can successfully beat this devastating disease.

HONORING FOOTBALL PIONEER
TOM FLORES
(Mr. SOTO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SOTO. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to introduce a resolution for Tom Flores urging he be inducted into the Pro Football Hall of Fame.

Tom Flores had an outstanding and successful career, both as a quarterback and as a head coach. This resolution pays tribute to his inspiring athletic talents.

Flores became the first Hispanic starting quarterback in professional football history and played on two Super Bowl-winning teams. Also, he was the first Hispanic head coach to win two Super Bowls, a feat he accomplished on two separate teams, and he became the first Hispanic manager in the National Football League. He left with an impressive lifetime record and an 8–3 playoff record.

Tom has been a trailblazer for Hispanics in the NFL and has motivated a generation of new players by showing they can compete and win. Let’s enter this trailblazer into the Hall of Fame.

CONGRESS SHOULD REMAIN IN WASHINGTON UNTIL A HEALTHCARE BILL IS PASSED
(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise to call upon the House leadership to not adjourn until we have a healthcare bill.

Respective committees need to meet and walk a new product through regular order. We know what will and will not work, given the voting prerogatives of the Members of this body and the Senate.

We understand the needs of our constituents, regardless of their voter registration.

We understand it is our job to navigate the complexities of this issue on behalf of the American people and come up with a product that makes good on promises that have been made to improve our healthcare system. This will take Republicans and Democrats.

Later this morning, I will be proud to once again gather with my colleagues, Republicans and Democrats alike, who are members of the Problem Solvers Caucus, part of no labels, to continue our work seeking a bipartisan solution to what has become, unfortunately, a partisan issue.

I stand ready to make good on these promises and suggest that we remain in Washington until that work is complete.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF S. 114, DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS BONUS TRANSPARENCY ACT
Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 480 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 480
Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (S. 114, 115th Congress, 1st Session) entitled "The United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit an annual report regarding performance awards and bonuses awarded to certain high-level employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read all points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment the bill shall pass without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs; and (2) one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Slaughter), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE
Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H. Res. 480, currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to bring this rule forward on behalf of the Rules Committee. The rule provides for consideration of S. 114, the Department of Veterans Affairs Bonus Transparency Act.

The rule provides for 1 hour of debate, equally divided between the chair and ranking member of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee. The rule also provides for a motion to recommit.

Mr. Speaker, we can all agree that our Nation’s veterans are heroes. They deserve our support, gratitude, and recognition, and they deserve to have the promises we as a Nation have made to them fulfilled. Unfortunately, far too often, we fall short of keeping those promises.
Everyone remembers the scandals that shook the Department of Veterans Affairs a few years ago, highlighting critical problems around the country and the need for greater accountability. In the wake of these crises of mismanagement and wrongdoing, Congress enacted the VA Mission Act of 2014 to provide veterans with better options to seek out timely, appropriate care from providers in their communities rather than wade through the outrageous lines, excessive wait times, and subpar medical care at Veterans Health Administration facilities.

The Choice Program isn’t perfect, and my colleagues and I are working to fix that, but it has been a meaningful start and a path to quicker, more convenient care for our Nation’s veterans. Without congressional action today, we risk leaving our heroes without Choice. That is not a risk I am willing to take.

VA Secretary Shulkin has estimated that more than 1 million veterans in the VA system have a Choice, and that number is expected to increase this year. In fact, today, more than 30 percent of the VA appointments are made with private sector providers that have the capacity to relieve overcrowded VA medical facilities and the VA clinics. This year alone, more than 18 million appointments with private doctors have been authorized by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

If we fail to take action, these veterans will lose their ability to receive prompt care from Choice providers. We would be flooding the VA medical facilities with more patients, even as we know that many facilities are still overburdened and, in some cases, underperforming.

Let me be clear: This is not a doomsday prediction. This is not a Henny Penny moment or Hall Murray effort to pass an obscure bill. If Congress refuses to act today, the Choice Program will expire on August 15.

Chairman Roe has worked diligently to ensure that this does not happen. In the Senate, my friend and fellow Georgian, Senator Johnny Isakson, serves as chairman of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee. He, too, has worked tirelessly to ensure our veterans continue to receive care by safeguarding the viability of the Choice Program.

I have to say, the two chairmen, Chairman Roe and Chairman Isakson, the latter at a favor having those two men working, and the ranking members working alongside of them, in this process. So we are continuing to look forward to this bill passing, and many more things to come.

When we understand this, Mr. Speaker, I firmly believe that Chairman Roe and Chairman Isakson, along with their respective ranking member counterparts, Mr. Walz, and Mr. Tester, are committed to fixing the existing system work better for veterans.

I also know that the Choice Program needs reform. However, we can’t improve a program that doesn’t exist. We must first protect what is good in hopes of making it better.

Today’s underlying bill provides additional funds to extend the Choice Program for 6 months and provide a critical $2 billion infusion of funds while we move forward with thoughtful, sustainable reforms. I have proposed ideas to make those reforms, including the Expanding Access to Choice Act, which prevents bureaucracy at the VEC from derailing a functioning Choice program. I authored that bill as a direct response to concerns that were raised by my constituents in northeast Georgia.

I am sure that many Members here today have similar experiences and have heard similar stories from their neighbors, and I am confident many Members want to fix this program. By supporting the rule and the underlying bill today, we are taking a step towards making that happen.

I hold the Veterans’ Affairs Committee that, without additional funding, veterans would wait longer to receive the healthcare that they deserve. That statement should elicit one thing from this body, Mr. Speaker, and my colleagues and I are working to fix that, but it has been a meaningful start and a path to quicker, more convenient care for our Nation’s veterans. Without congressional action today, we risk leaving our heroes without Choice. That is not a risk I am willing to take.

VA Secretary Shulkin has estimated that more than 1 million veterans in the VA system have a Choice, and that number is expected to increase this year. In fact, today, more than 30 percent of the VA appointments are made with private sector providers that have the capacity to relieve overcrowded VA medical facilities and the VA clinics. This year alone, more than 18 million appointments with private doctors have been authorized by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

If we fail to take action, these veterans will lose their ability to receive prompt care from Choice providers. We would be flooding the VA medical facilities with more patients, even as we know that many facilities are still overburdened and, in some cases, underperforming.

Let me be clear: This is not a doomsday prediction. This is not a Henny Penny moment or Hall Murray effort to pass an obscure bill. If Congress refuses to act today, the Choice Program will expire on August 15.

Chairman Roe has worked diligently to ensure that this does not happen. In the Senate, my friend and fellow Georgian, Senator Johnny Isakson, serves as chairman of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee. He, too, has worked tirelessly to ensure our veterans continue to receive care by safeguarding the viability of the Choice Program.

I have to say, the two chairmen, Chairman Roe and Chairman Isakson, the latter at a favor having those two men working, and the ranking members working alongside of them, in this process. So we are continuing to look forward to this bill passing, and many more things to come.

When we understand this, Mr. Speaker, I firmly believe that Chairman Roe and Chairman Isakson, along with their respective ranking member counterparts, Mr. Walz, and Mr. Tester, are committed to fixing the existing system work better for veterans.

I also know that the Choice Program needs reform. However, we can’t improve a program that doesn’t exist. We must first protect what is good in hopes of making it better.

Today’s underlying bill provides additional funds to extend the Choice Program for 6 months and provide a critical $2 billion infusion of funds while we move forward with thoughtful, sustainable reforms. I have proposed ideas to make those reforms, including the Expanding Access to Choice Act, which prevents bureaucracy at the VEC from derailing a functioning Choice program. I authored that bill as a direct response to concerns that were raised by my constituents in northeast Georgia.

I am sure that many Members here today have similar experiences and have heard similar stories from their neighbors, and I am confident many Members want to fix this program. By supporting the rule and the underlying bill today, we are taking a step towards making that happen.

I hold the Veterans’ Affairs Committee that, without additional funding, veterans would wait longer to receive the healthcare that they deserve. That statement should elicit one thing from this body, Mr. Speaker, and my colleagues and I are working to fix that, but it has been a meaningful start and a path to quicker, more convenient care for our Nation’s veterans. Without congressional action today, we risk leaving our heroes without Choice. That is not a risk I am willing to take.
needs more attention than the Veterans Administration.

My personal experience with them has been somewhat like Whack-a-Mole: we think we have something settled and then suddenly we don’t. We have to be always on our toes to keep the Veterans Administration out of the building business, where they are abysmal failures, and let them concentrate on healthcare for veterans, which is their number one issue.

I am very pleased—and let me echo what my colleague, Mr. COLLINS, said—with leadership both in the House and the Senate running the Veterans’ Affairs Committees now. Dr. ROE has been an exemplary friend to all of us and understands exactly what we are doing.

So I think this is important, as Mr. COLLINS has pointed out. Veterans Choice has not been very popular in my district. It is so complicated and so complex that it takes a lot of hand-holding even to use it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MITCHELL).

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I am here to support House Resolution 480 that allows for consideration of the Veterans Choice Act.

For a while there, I was confused. I wasn’t sure what we were talking about there. I thought we were here talking about the Veterans Choice Act.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to support the Veterans Choice Act. Our veterans selflessly answer the call to protect our families, our communities, and all that we have because we can never repay veterans for that sacrifice. But our country, this government, made our veterans a promise to care for them.

Mr. Speaker, if we do not act today, we will breach that promise by denying healthcare benefits to those veterans who access it through the Choice Program.

This bill will provide $2 billion for the Choice Program. Without this step, the Choice fund will be depleted by mid-2018. Due to changes, Secretary Shulkin has made it clear that community programs are unable to care for veterans who need them if the Choice Program is eliminated.

Sometimes it astonishes me how it is that we wait until the last minute here to address problems like this.

Millions of America’s veterans rely on the Choice Program. That is millions of promises we break if we fail to pass this legislation today. We cannot allow these funds to run out and leave our veterans without access to care.

Contrary to critics, today’s debate is not about whether to privatize the VA. Secretary Shulkin has openly said numerous times that he has no plans to privatize the Veterans Administration.

Beyond that, today’s debate is about people. It is about veterans who already use the Choice Program who would lose access to care if we do not pass this legislation. It is about allowing veterans choice.

Let’s not play politics with our veterans. Over the course of the last week, the VA Choice and Quality Employment Act has been improved with amendments. It would improve the hiring at the VA by enhancing recruitment, retention, and training of the VA workforce, but to those who continue to have concerns about veterans’ choice, I ask we not let perfect be the enemy of good.

I have learned in life nothing is ever perfect. Lord knows I have learned that in business. I have certainly found that to be true as a member of Congress during my short time here in Congress. Mr. Speaker, the clock is ticking. We must not allow it to run out and default on the promises we made to veterans. We need to act today.

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I have no other speakers, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to support the underlying bill we will be voting on today. I wish I were able to say that more often.

The bill will fund the Department of Veterans Affairs Choice Program for 6 months and authorize a number of leases for major medical facilities throughout the country, and I echo its major importance.

The next rule we will be considering contains a bipartisan amendment that will allow the majority to bring up any bill they want with hardly any notice at all. I hope we will not use that.

It has been a long week. Let’s end it on a bipartisan note with a strong vote in support of this bill before us to help veterans across America.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I would just again reiterate the point of the discussion that this is something we need to take up today. This is not about the peripheral discussions going on concerning Choice, privatization, and other things that have derailed this discussion. I want to see this move forward so we can continue to have viable discussions on Choice, but I would also be remiss, Mr. Speaker, if I did not at least address the discussion. The gentleman from New York, who I have the greatest respect for, has her opinion; I have mine as well.

I do not believe what happened last night in the Senate was, in her words, heroic. I believe it was basically a failure. It was a failure of process. What happened last night was not anything except the stopping of a process that could have included Democrats and Republicans in a conference committee, and it was chosen not to move forward. That is the reason we come to this place, to move forward.

I think when we look at this, the disaster that is ObamaCare, and the reasons that we are now keeping it, and that the healthcare measure has failed, it brings the question to my mind, for the ones who have been helped, and I have never, from this podium or anywhere else, denied that there were many with preexisting conditions and other issues who found help, but, if we remember the promise, the promise was to cover all uninsured. It came nowhere close. The promise was, you can keep your doctor if you liked him, you can keep your plan if you liked it. The promise was that your premiums would go down, and the premiums have gone up.

So for all the supporters, then come to maybe my district or other districts and address those people who have lost their insurance, address those people whose premiums have gone up, address those people like the young lady in Chicago who did get on the ObamaCare exchanges, had a premium that was subsidized, but when she realized that she couldn’t afford the deductible, she said: I might as well have not had it.

It is one thing to discuss this in grand terms, it is another to see the result in our system. To talk about the nominal positives against the crushing negatives is not a victory.

So I think we move forward. Today, we have a chance to move forward on Choice, today we have a chance to move forward and look ahead, but I at least say, from this Member’s perspective, I don’t forget. I understand what I have heard for 7 years.

It has been said many times that the current bill is actually gaining in popularity. Well, I just simply point you to the only polls that have really mattered over the last 7 years, and those are elections, and every time there was an election and this issue was up, ObamaCare lost. I am not sure how you can continue to support, with any kind of a straight face, that kind of abject failure.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.
Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. HASTINGS), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all points of order yielded for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, there is nothing we do in this body that is more important than actions we take for the defense of our Nation. We now face a more complex array of threats than we have at any time since the end of World War II. North Korea, continues to test ballistic missiles, has successfully tested an ICBM, and is continuing to move rapidly towards achieving the capability to strike U.S. soil.

A resilient Russia is imposing its will across Europe, occupies Crimea, and is now using its military to support the Assad regime in Syria. China is increasingly belligerent, continues to expand the size of its military and creates artificial islands in the South China Sea. And Iran, Mr. Speaker, continues to work on its ballistic missile program and expand its influence as it supports terrorist activity across the Mediterranean and the larger Middle East.

Terrorist groups like al-Qaeda and ISIS continue to carry out attacks across the West and threaten the United States.

We have seen a dramatic increase in tensions on the Temple Mount. Mr. Speaker, in just the last few weeks, and we have men and women in uniform deployed around the globe fighting to defend our Nation.

Our intelligence community plays a crucial role in ensuring our decisionmakers and our warfighters have the information they need to keep our Nation secure.

H.R. 3180 provides the intelligence community with the authorization it needs to continue working to protect and defend the United States, supports critical national security programs, such as those protecting Americans against terrorism, cyber attacks, and hostile foreign nations. The bill maintains critical congressional oversight of the activities of the intelligence community, and the bill makes no changes to any surveillance authorities, including those set to expire, which we will consider later this year in legislation separate from this bill.

Mr. Speaker, as you know, earlier this week, H.R. 3180 was considered under suspension of the rules, which is not at all uncommon for bills, like this one, that receive unanimous bipartisan support in committee.

While the bill received well over 50 percent of the votes of the House, it did not achieve the required two-thirds majority to pass under suspension, which is why we are here today debating this rule.

A large portion of this bill, Mr. Speaker, is understandably, as it should be, classified, which requires Members of this body to review the bill in the SCIF.

I want to personally thank members of the Intelligence Committee and their staff for facilitating that process and making it so easy for Members of this House to undertake. A bipartisan notice was sent out, provided to Members, informing us of the availability of the text for review. And the text, Mr. Speaker, was available for 3 days, twice as long as last year’s bill.

My staff simply had to call and inform the committee that I would like to review the bill, and the committee asked when I would be available, and they made sure that a member of the committee staff was also there to answer any questions I had.

The process itself was seamless, was very easy, and was facilitated by the members of the Intelligence Committee staff.

Mr. Speaker, this is a good and important bill. It supports the men and women in our intelligence community and the work they do to keep us safe. This is not an issue we should politicize or subject to partisan debate.

The intelligence community plays a critical role in the defense of our Nation, and we need to support them. Mr. Speaker, by supporting this bill. Therefore, I urge suspension of the rule to allow consideration of H.R. 3180.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. Mr. Speaker, this is a good and important bill. It supports the men and women in our intelligence community for yielding me the customary 30 minutes for debate, and I rise to debate the rule for H.R. 3180, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018.

I was somewhat dismayed earlier this week when my friends across the aisle attempted to jam today’s bill through this body by jettisoning regular order and bringing the bill up under suspension.

Luckily, we can add this shortsighted attempt at governing to their long list of legislative failures. And although I may be pleased that their suspension shenanigans failed, my frustration was born anew when we were informed that the bill would come to the floor under suspension.

This is all bad enough, and I will come back to it in a moment, but first we need to discuss the blanket martial law provision that my friends on the other side put into this rule.

This move, especially when considered in the context of those mentioned...
above, truly elevated poor governing to an art form.

I want the American people, Republican and Democrat, to understand what is alike, to be crystal clear on what is happening here today. By putting a blanket martial law provision into this rule, my friends across the aisle were trying to make it as easy as possible for them to pass a healthcare bill that they had never seen. The bill would have surely ripped healthcare away from millions of Americans and unceremoniously upended one-sixth of our economy.

Thankfully, Senators COLLINS, MURKOWSKI, and MCCAIN, and tens of thousands of regular Americans-turned-activists ensured that this effort failed.

Since day one, the approach of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle on healthcare or, for that matter, any number of other important issues has been horrendous. It has been rushed; it has been secretive; it has been chaotic; and it has been inspired by the desire for a cheap win rather than the desire to make our healthcare system stronger and more widely available to all Americans.

Truly, whether it is healthcare, veterans, or the authorization of funding for something as important as our intelligence community, the approach leaves some of us bewildered, dismayed, and gravely concerned for the well-being of our country.

Indeed, when discussing something as important as our intelligence community, the approach leaves some of us bewildered, dismayed, and gravely concerned for the well-being of our country.

As an example, when I did sit on this committee, I was vice chair of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and I worked diligently to ensure that minorities were given equal opportunity to break into the upper ranks of the intelligence community.

Since leaving that committee, I have continued this important work and introduced an amendment to a previous iteration of the underlying bill that called for the Director of National Intelligence to put forth a plan that would encourage diversity hires throughout the intelligence community. Frustratingly, I am stripped of the authority to offer amendments to the underlying bill that called for an increase in the recruitment and training of minorities as officers and employees of the Central Intelligence Agency. She, like the majority of my colleagues, will not be afforded a similar opportunity this time around.

This is troublesome because diversity is a mission imperative for the intelligence community. Not only do we need to see more opportunities to climb the ranks, but we also need to recruit Americans who will be able to blend in, speak foreign languages, and understand the cultures in countries that are now central to our foreign policy interests.

At the end of the day, such diversity is achieved through the hiring process, and, therefore, we need to ensure that we are hiring Arab Americans, Indian Americans, Pakistani Americans, Chinese Americans, Korean Americans, women, gays, and many other Americans from diverse backgrounds as we confront a myriad of threats and work harder to better understand our adversaries, wherever they may lurk. We do not seek this diversity in the name of political correctness, but rather in the name of national security. As I have said before, if the intelligence community is to succeed in its global mission, it must have a global face.

I have no doubt that scores of Members would have been satisfied by the amendments addressing the issues I have just mentioned as well as many other issues that are of particular concern to them and their constituents. Unfortunately, under this rule, they will not be afforded such an opportunity.

Those who work in the intelligence community serve our country faithfully and bravely, knowing that they will never receive the public recognition they so richly deserve. In fact, they have served and continue to serve knowing that not only will they not receive well-earned accolades, but that they will, upon occasion, have to endure unfounded and offensive statements from this administration. These statements may even force the current administration even to moved in down Pennsylvania Avenue.

I quote the following from the administration’s, President’s, Twitter account: “Intelligence agencies should never have allowed this fake news to ‘leak’ into the public. One last shot at me. Are we living in Nazi Germany?”

I find that shameful and absolutely unfounded in making such a statement. Comparing these brave and patriotic Americans to Nazis? You really have to be kidding me.

As a former member of HPSCI, I will not sit idly by while anyone maligns the honorable work these proud Americans do day in and day out to protect our country. But it seems that, with this closed process, they are not afforded such a privilege.

As a former member of HPSCI, I will not sit idly by while anyone maligns the honorable work these proud Americans do day in and day out to protect our country. But it seems that, with this closed process, they are not afforded such a privilege.

I have no doubt that scores of Members would have been satisfied by the amendments addressing the issues I have just mentioned as well as many other issues that are of particular concern to them and their constituents. Unfortunately, under this rule, they will not be afforded such an opportunity.

What I can tell you is we are debating hugely important issues and hugely important topics. We are absolutely committed to repealing and replacing Obamacare. It is a system that has driven costs up and deductibles through the roof. It has absolutely limited people’s choice in terms of insurance plans, in terms of healthcare providers. It is a system that is failing.

What I can tell you is we are debating hugely important issues and hugely important topics. We are absolutely committed to repealing and replacing Obamacare. It is a system that has driven costs up and deductibles through the roof. It has absolutely limited people’s choice in terms of insurance plans, in terms of healthcare providers. It is a system that is failing.

We have seen the Democrats’ fundamental approach was a government mandate and their attempt to force people across this Nation to buy health insurance. They thought that, through a government mandate, they would be able to force young people into these insurance pools and, therefore, drive the cost down. That is not what happened. It didn’t work.

What we need to put in place is a system that will be able to provide people the kind of care that they need, access, as well as lowering the cost and increasing availability. So there are a whole range of very important substantive efforts going on.

I think that it is clear that the folks on the other side of the aisle don’t support the direction we are going in, may
It was a great offense that they had to serve in a segregated Army in which they were made to feel that somehow their bravery, their patriotism, and their devotion to their brothers in arms was somehow less because of the color of their skin.

President Truman knew this was wrong, and he ended it. Simply put, President Truman knew then what our current President is incapable of understanding today: the strength of our military is not found in the race or the religion or the creed or the sex or the gender identity of its servicemembers, but rather in the bravery and honor exhibited by these individuals on a daily basis. They, like all of us here today, are God's children. I thank them for their service to our Nation.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on the rule, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CHENEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The House may be in chaos, but that is no reason for the House to legislate in a similar manner.

For the good of the country, we must return to regular order. We must work to ensure that those serving in the intelligence community are able to do their best work, to do it as safely as possible, and to do it knowing that they will receive the respect they deserve because of the brave and sac-

Of course, the intelligence community is only one part of our national security apparatus. The men and women in our military are an equally important part of building our national security. And, unfortunately, we learned just yesterday that these brave servicemembers are not immune from baseless attacks from the administration.

It was announced, on the 69th anniversary of President Truman's issuing an executive order that desegregated the Armed Forces, that transgender servicemembers would no longer be able to serve in the military. These individuals, numbering between 10,000 and 15,000, banned because they are not brave, because they are not patrioti-

the rigorous requirements of being in the military? No, they have been banned because bigotry defeated decency yesterday, and they have been banned because of who they are.

As I mentioned the other evening, I had three uncles who were in the Army. They served proudly. They served from all branches of the military, rebuilding what has been lost over the course of the last 8 years, as well as rebuilding the facilities and the capabilities of our intelligence community, and that is exactly what this bill does. That is exactly what we are here doing this morning.

Mr. Speaker, I think that is why it is so important that my colleagues support this rule, that we provide the au-

not support the policy, but the people across this Nation—certainly, in my home State of Wyoming—spoke very clearly last November that they want-

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The men and women of the intelligence community are able to do their important work to keep us safe.

I do want to point out, Mr. Speaker, my colleague is accusing the Repub-

not with closed rules and martial law, but through regular order, with an opportunity for all Members and, through them, their constituents to take part in legislating. The White House may be in chaos, but that is no reason for the House to legislate in a similar manner.

Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of both the rule and H.R. 3180.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the SPEAKER pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic de-
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So the resolution was agreed to. The vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

☐ 1030

**PRIVILEGED REPORT ON RESOLUTION OF INQUIRY TO THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY**

Mr. HENSARLING, from the Committee on Financial Services, submitted an adverse privileged report (H. Rept. 115–1265) on the resolution (H. Res. 442) of inquiry directing the Secretary of the Treasury to provide certain documents in the Secretary’s possession to the House of Representatives relating to President Trump’s financial connections to Russia, certain illegal financial schemes, and related information, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS BONUS TRANSPARENCY ACT**

**GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Mr. ROE of TENNESSEE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material in the Record on S. 114, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 480, I call up the bill (S. 114) to amend title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit an annual report regarding performance awards and bonuses awarded to certain high-level employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 480, the amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in House Report 115–262, shall be considered as adopted, and the bill, as amended, is considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS BONUS TRANSPARENCY ACT**

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “VA Choice and Quality Employment Act of 2017”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

**TITLE I—APPROPRIATION OF AMOUNTS FOR VETERANS CHOICE PROGRAM**

**Sec. 101. APPROPRIATION OF AMOUNTS FOR VETERANS CHOICE PROGRAM.**

There is authorized to be appropriated, and is appropriated, to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, $2,100,000,000 to be deposited in the Veterans Choice Fund under section 802 of the Veterans Access, Choice, and Accountability Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–146; 38 U.S.C. 1701 note).

**Sec. 102. Establishment of Department of Veterans Affairs Executive Management Fellowship Program.**

**Sec. 201. Modification to annual determination of staffing shortages in Veterans Health Administration.**

Section 7412(a) of title 38, United States Code, is amended—
SEC. 202. ESTABLISHMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.—Chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subchapter:

"""SUBCHAPTER III—EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM """

"""§741. Executive Management Fellowship Program (a) FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.—There is in the Department an Executive Management Fellowship Program. The purpose of the program shall be to provide—

(1) eligible employees of the Veterans Benefits Administration and the Veterans Health Administration with training and experience in the private sector; and

(2) eligible employees of a private-sector entity with training and experience at the Veterans Benefits Administration or the Veterans Health Administration.

(b) FELLOWSHIP.—(1) A fellowship provided under this section is a 1-year fellowship during which—

(A) with respect to a Department participant, the participant receives training and experience at a private-sector entity that is engaged in the administration and delivery of health care or other services similar to the benefits administered by the Secretary; and

(B) with respect to a private-sector participant, the participant receives training and experience at a private-sector entity that is engaged in the administration and delivery of health care or other services similar to the benefits administered by the Secretary.

(2) To the extent practicable, the Secretary shall select—

(A) not fewer than 18 and not more than 30 eligible employees of the Veterans Benefits Administration or the Veterans Health Administration to receive a fellowship under this section; and

(B) not fewer than 18 and not more than 30 eligible employees of private-sector entities to receive a fellowship under this section.

(c) SELECTION OF RECIPIENTS.—(1) In August of each year, the Secretary shall select—

(A) not fewer than 18 and not more than 30 eligible employees of the Veterans Benefits Administration and the Veterans Health Administration; and

(B) not fewer than 18 and not more than 30 eligible employees of private-sector entities to receive a fellowship under this section.

(d) ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES.—For the purposes of this section, an eligible employee is—

(1) with respect to an employee of the Veterans Benefits Administration or the Veterans Health Administration, an employee who—

(A) is employed in a position whose duties and responsibilities are commensurate with an employee of the Department described in subparagraph (A), and

(B) enters into an agreement with the Secretary under subsection (e); and

(2) with respect to an employee of a private-sector entity, an employee who—

(A) is employed in a position whose duties and responsibilities are commensurate with an employee of the Department described in paragraph (1), and

(B) enters into an agreement with the Secretary under subsection (e); and

(3) that, during the 2-year period beginning on the last day of the fellowship, the participant will not accept employment in the same industry as the industry of the private-sector entity at which the participant accepts the fellowship.

(e) AGREEMENTS.—(1) An agreement between the Secretary and a Department participant shall be signed by the participating entity that employs the participant for all purposes, including for purposes of receiving a salary and benefits, and shall include the following provisions:

(A) The Secretary's agreement to provide the participant with a fellowship under this section;

(B) The participant's agreement—

(i) to accept the fellowship;

(ii) after completion of the fellowship, to serve as a full-time employee in the Veterans Benefits Administration or the Veterans Health Administration for at least 2 years as specified in the agreement; and

(iii) that, during the 2-year period beginning on the last day of the fellowship, the participant will not accept employment in the same industry as the industry of the private-sector entity at which the participant accepts the fellowship.

(C) A provision that any financial obligation of the United States arising out of an agreement entered into under this subchapter, and any obligation of the participant who is an agreement, is contingent upon funds being appropriated.

(D) A statement of the damages to which the United States is entitled under this subchapter for the participant's breach of the agreement.

(E) Such other terms as the Secretary determines are required to be included in the agreement.

(f) TREATMENT OF RECIPIENTS.—(1) A Department participant shall be considered an employee of the Department for all purposes, including for purposes of receiving a salary and benefits, and shall remain eligible for all promotion and incentive programs otherwise available to such an employee.

(2) A private-sector participant shall be considered an employee of the private-sector entity that employs the participant for all purposes, including for purposes of receiving a salary and benefits, and during the fellowship shall be treated as a contractor of the Department.

(g) REPORTS.—(1) Not later than 60 days after completing a fellowship under this section, a recipient of the fellowship shall submit to the Secretary a report on the fellowship.

(2) Each such report shall describe the duties of the participant during the fellowship and any recommendations of the recipient for the application by the Secretary of industry processes, technologies, and best practices.

(3) Not later than 7 days after receiving each such report, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and House of Representatives such report without change.

(3) Definitions.—In this section:

(1) The term 'Department participant' means an employee of the Veterans Benefits Administration or the Veterans Health Administration who is participating in the fellowship under this section; and

(2) The term 'private-sector entity' includes an entity operating under a public-private partnership.

(h) Definitions.—In this section:

(1) The term 'private-sector participant' means an employee of a private-sector entity who is participating in the fellowship under this section.

(b) DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall implement the Executive Management Fellowship Program required under section 741 of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new items:

"""SUBCHAPTER III—EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM """

"""§741. Executive Management Fellowship Program."""

SEC. 203. ACCOUNTABILITY OF LEADERS FOR MANAGING THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) In General.—Subchapter I of chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"""§725. Annual performance plan for political appointees.

(a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct an annual performance plan for each political appointee of the Department that is similar to the annual performance plan conducted for an employee of the Department who is appointed as a career appointee (as that term is defined in section 3132(a) of title 5) within the Senior Executive Service at the Department.

(b) ELEMENTS OF PLAN.—Each annual performance plan conducted under subsection (a) with respect to a political appointee of the Department shall include an assessment of whether the appointee is meeting the following goals:

(1) Recruiting, selecting, and retaining well-qualified individuals for employment at the Department.

(2) Engaging and motivating employees.

(3) Training and developing employees and preparing those employees for future leadership roles within the Department.

(4) Holding each employee of the Department that is a manager accountable for addressing issues relating to performance, in particular issues relating to the performance of employees that report to the manager.

(5) Definition of Political Appointee.—In this section, the term 'political appointee' means an employee of the Department who holds—

(1) a position which has been excepted from the competitive service by reason of its confidential, policy-making, policy-advocating character or (2) a position in the Senior Executive Service, as a noncareer employee in such position (as that term is defined in section 3132(a) of title 5).

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 723 the following new item:

"""725. Annual performance plan for political appointees."""
SEC. 204. REEMPLOYMENT OF FORMER EMPLOYEES AT DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) In General.—Notwithstanding sections 3309 through 3318 of title 5, United States Code, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may noncompetitively appoint a qualified former career or career conditional employee to any position within the competitive service at the Department of Veterans Affairs that is one step lower or at a grade not higher than the grade or equivalent of the position at the Department most recently occupied by the employee.

(b) Limitation.—The Secretary may not appoint a qualified former employee to a position that is more than one grade (or equivalent) higher than the position at the Department most recently occupied by the employee.

(c) Definition of Qualified Former Employee.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualified former employee’ means any individual who—

(1) formerly occupied any career or career conditional position at the Department of Veterans Affairs within 2 years before applying for reemployment at the Department;

(2) voluntarily left such position, or was separated from such position for cause, and had a satisfactory performance record while occupying such position; and

(3) since leaving such position has maintained employment (including active military duty) sufficient to obtain a medical degree or other technical expertise related to the position, if any, and gained skill, knowledge, or other factors related to the position.

SEC. 205. PROMOTIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR TECHNICAL EXPERTS AT DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall establish a promotion track system for employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs that the Secretary determines are technical experts pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary for purposes of carrying out this section. Such system shall—

(1) provide any such employee the opportunity to advance within the Department without being required to transition to a management position; and

(2) for purposes of achieving career advancement—

(A) provide for the establishment of new positions within the Department; and

(B) notwithstanding any other provision of law, provide for increases in pay for any such employee.

SEC. 206. EMPLOYMENT OF STUDENTS AND RECENT GRADUATES BY DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) In General.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall prescribe regulations to allow for excepted service appointments of students and recent graduates leading to conversion to career or career conditional employment at the Department or graduation of a qualifying educational institution, as defined by the Department.

(b) Application.—The conversion authority described in subsection (a) shall be applicable to individuals in good standing who—

(1) are employed in a qualifying internship or fellowship program at the Department;

(2) are employed in the Department in a volunteer capacity and performing substantive duties comparable to those of individuals in internship or fellowship programs;

(3) have received educational assistance under chapter 33 of title 38, United States Code; or

(4) graduated from a qualifying educational institution, as defined by the Department, and have not reached 30 years of age.

(c) Uniformity.—For the purposes of paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (b), hours of work performed by an individual employed in a position as described in subsection (a) shall be considered to be performed by an individual employed in a qualifying internship or fellowship program by the Department.

SEC. 207. ENCOURAGEMENT OF TRANSITION OF MILITARY PROFESSIONALS INTO EMPLOYMENT WITH VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION.

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall establish a program to encourage an individual who possesses a military occupational specialty relating to the provision of health care to seek employment with the Veterans Health Administration when the individual has been discharged or released from service in the Armed Forces or is contemplating separating from such service.

SEC. 208. RECRUITING DATABASE AT DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) Establishment.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall establish a single database that lists—

(1) each vacant position in the Department of Veterans Affairs that the Secretary detects is critical to the mission of the Department, difficult to fill, or both; and

(2) each vacant position in the Department of Veterans Affairs for a mental health professional.

(b) Qualified Applicant.—If the Secretary determines that an applicant for a vacant position listed in the database established under subsection (a) is qualified for such position but does not select the applicant for such position, the Secretary, at the election of the applicant, shall place the applicant for other similar vacant positions listed in the database for which the applicant is qualified.

(c) Prolonged Vacancies.—If the Secretary does not fill a vacant position listed in the database established under subsection (a) within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the use and efficacy of the database established under subsection (a).

SEC. 209. TRAINING FOR HUMAN RESOURCES PROFESSIONALS OF VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION ON RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION.

(a) In General.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall provide to human resources professionals of the Veterans Health Administration training on how to best recruit and retain employees of the Veterans Health Administration with respect to any recruitment and retention matters that are unique to the Veterans Health Administration pursuant to chapter 74 of title 38, United States Code, or other provisions of law.

(b) Virtual Training.—Training provided under this section shall be provided virtually.

(c) Amount of Training.—The Secretary shall ensure that each human resources professional of the Veterans Health Administration receives the training described in subsection (a).

(d) Implementation.—(1) as soon as practicable after being hired by the Secretary as a human resources professional; and

(2) annually thereafter.

(e) Certification.—The Secretary shall require that each human resources professional of the Veterans Health Administration, upon the completion of the training described in subsection (a), certifies that the professional received the training and understands the information provided by the training.

(f) Annual Report.—Not less frequently than annually, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the training described in subsection (a), including the number of human resources professionals who received such training during the year covered by the report.

SEC. 210. PLAN TO HIRE DIRECTORS OF MEDICAL CENTERS OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) Plan.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall develop and implement a plan to hire highly qualified directors for each medical center of the Department of Veterans Affairs that lacks a permanent director as of the date of the plan.

(b) Priority.—The Secretary shall prioritize under the plan developed under subsection (a) the hiring of directors for medical centers that have not had a permanent director for the longest periods.

(c) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The plan developed under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A deadline to hire directors of medical centers of the Department as described in such subsection.

(2) Identification of the possible impediments to such hiring.

(3) Identification of opportunities to promote and train candidates from within the Department to senior executive positions in the Department, including as directors of medical centers.

(d) Submittal of Plan.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives a report containing a list of each medical center of the Department that lacks a permanent director as of the date of the report.

SEC. 211. EXIT SURVEYS AT DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) Exit Surveys Required.—

(1) In General.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall develop and implement a standardized exit survey to be voluntarily completed by career and noncareer employees and executives of the Department of Veterans Affairs who voluntarily separate from the Department.

(2) Consultation.—Such exit survey shall be developed in consultation with an appropriate non-Department entity with experience developing such surveys.

(b) Survey Content.—The survey shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) Reasons for leaving the Department.

(2) Efforts made by the supervisor of the employee to retain the individual.

(3) The extent of job satisfaction and engagement during the employee’s tenure.

(4) The intent of employee to either remain employed within the Federal Government or
to leave employment with the Federal Gov-
ment. (5) Such other matters as the Secretary de-
termines appropriate.
(c) ANONYMITY OF SURVEY CONTENT.—The Sec-

(1) is anonymized, including through the use of a location that allows for privacy;
(2) is not directly visible by another em-
ployee; and
(3) does not require the departing employee to input any personally identifiable data.
(d) SHARING OF SURVEY DATA.—The Sec-

(1) aggregated at the Veterans Integrated Service Network level; and
(2) shared on an annual basis with direct-
tors and managers of facilities of the Depart-
ment and the Veterans Integrated Service Networks.
(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year af-
after the date of the enactment of this Act and not less frequently than once each year thereaf-
after, the Secretary shall submit to the Com-

(1) the Comptroller General should conduct a study to examine the extent to which a sampling of installations of the Department of Veterans Affairs are complying with poli-
cies and guidance of the Department, as well as applicable leading practices; and
(2) the scope and timeframe of a study con-
ducted as described in paragraph (1) may be
essential upon the findings of the Com-

(1) ASSESSMENT.—In carrying out the as-
sessment required by paragraph (1), the Comptroller General may assess such other matters as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.
(2) REPORT.—Not later than two years af-
after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Sen-
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taining the aggregate results of the exit sur-
vey under subsection (a) covering the year prior to the report.
(2) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted
under paragraph (1) shall include, for the pe-

(A) an analysis of the most common rea-
sons employees choose to leave the De-
partment.
(B) The steps the Secretary is taking to improve retention, particularly for mission-
critical occupations.
(C) The demographic characteristics of em-

E) The total number of employees who
voluntarily separated from the Department and the number and percentage of whom took the exit survey under subsection (a).

SEC. 212. REQUIREMENT THAT PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS EMPLOYED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS RECEIVE COMPETITIVE PAY.

Section 7451(a)(2) of title 38, United States Code, is amended—
(1) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C);
(2) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):—
“(B) Physician assistant;”;
(3) and in subparagraph (C), as redesignated by paragraph (1), by striking “and registered nurse” and inserting “registered nurse, and physician assistant”.

SEC. 213. EXPANSION OF DIREC-TING AUTHORITY FOR DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS IN CASE OF SHORT-AGE OF HIGHLY QUALIFIED CAN-

Section 3304(a)(3)(B) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or, with respect to the Department of Veterans Affairs, that there exists a severe shortage of highly qualified candidates)” after “severe shortage of candidates”.

SEC. 214. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES ASSSESSMENT OF SUCCES-

(a) ASSESSMENT.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall assess the extent to which key succession planning policies and guidance at the Department of Veterans Affairs, including Veterans Health Administration, the Veterans Benefits Administration, and the National Cemetery Admin-
istration, are consistent with leading prac-
tices for succession and workforce planning identified by Comptroller General.
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Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of S. 114, as amended, the VA Choice and Quality Employment Act of 2017. It has been a long week of negotiations, but we are here today with an agreement—we and, more importantly, our veterans—can all be proud to support. I know that I am.

Ranking Member WALZ and I have worked feverishly with our Senate counterparts to find a solution that can pass both Chambers and ensure that veterans will continue to be able to access care in the community.

The VA Choice and Quality Improvement Act of 2017 would expand veteran access to health care inside and outside the Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare system by, number one, providing $2.1 billion to sustain the Choice Program for the next 6 months, authorizing 28 VA medical center facility leases and improving VA's work ability to recruit and retain high-quality employees by including many of the provisions of H.R. 1367, as amended, which were sponsored by Dr. BRAD WENSTRUP, the chairman of the Subcommittee on Health, and unanimously approved by the House in March. I would be remiss if I did not impress upon my colleagues a sense of urgency about this legislation.

The Choice Program was created 3 years ago in response to the nationwide VA access crisis that began with 40 veteran patients who died while waiting for VA care in Phoenix, Arizona. Due to much higher than expected veteran demand for Choice care, the program is slated to run out of money by mid-August. It would leave devastating consequences for veterans in its wake.

Starting with an extremely tight 90-day implementation period and countless contract modifications with third-party administrators, I will be the first to admit the Choice Program has been plagued with problems. But I will also tell you that the program has come a long way from where it once was and is responsible for more than 1 million veterans getting the care they need closer to home and in a more timely manner. The good Lord only knows how many lives have been saved or prolonged. That is a success.

If Choice were to end 3 weeks from now, Mr. Speaker, VA's most senior leaders, including Secretary Shulkin, have said waiting time for veteran patients will increase to pre-Choice Phoenix levels. They also said continuity of care would be disrupted for veterans across the country, and some of our most vulnerable veterans may not be able to get care without either a long, possibly debilitating wait time or an excessive travel distance. That is failure.

Passage of this bill today, followed by swift passage by our colleagues in the Senate, would preserve Choice for the next 6 months. That would allow the program to continue serving veterans while we continue the hard work of creating a stronger, more integrated VA healthcare system for the years ahead.

Some stakeholders have expressed frustration that Congress has not been adequately supporting VA's internal capacity for care and too much attention has been paid since the 2014 access crisis to addressing issues with growing veteran demand for community care programs, Choice included. They argue that providing increased funding for Choice without identical increases in funding for facilities is harmful to veterans. I totally disagree. I do not believe that argument is fair or constructive, given the historic funding increases that VA has received in recent years and the growth in staffing, programs, and VA's physical footprint that has resulted from those increases. In fact, VA's budget—that is the healthcare budget, not total budget. The VA's healthcare budget has grown more than $35 billion since I came to Congress.

Mr. Speaker, we were spending $93.7 billion when I was sworn into this House in 2009. The President's request this year is $186.5 billion. In the same time, the number of VA full-time employees has increased by almost 63,000. What is more, we just passed a MILCON-VA Appropriations bill which includes a $3.9 billion increase for VA medical care.

Nevertheless, I have listened to the concerns of many stakeholders, which include many veterans service organizations, and I respect their viewpoints and their willingness to be strong advocates for our Nation's veterans. As a matter of fact, I belong to many of these organizations. That is why this bill includes provisions to increase VA internal capacity by authorizing 28 medical facility leases, most of which are for community-based outpatient clinics, and by enhancing VA's ability to maintain a robust, highly qualified workforce in recognition of the many challenges VA has in competing for workers in an extremely competitive hiring market.

To offset a significant portion of the costs of this legislation, we have used offsets that have been used routinely on a bipartisan basis over the last decades and that were included in the legislation which first created the Choice Program 3 years ago.

As I alluded to earlier, this bill was crafted with the result of negotiations between myself; my friend, Ranking Member Sergeant Major WALZ; and Senators ISAKSON and TESTER, the chairman and ranking member, respectively, on the Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs. I am grateful to them for this work.

I am also grateful to my friends and colleagues in the House leadership for their efforts and willingness to do the sometimes difficult work of finding a solution that is in the best interests of both veterans and taxpayers and to our VSO partners for their input and support throughout the past weeks.

There is still much work ahead. This is only a 6-month patch to the Choice Program. The ranking member and I have a framework agreement for moving forward, but that will require the cooperation and buy-in from all my colleagues in the House and Senate.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting this legislation, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today and associate myself with the words of my friend and our chairman, the gentleman from Tennessee, strongly in support for the newly amended S. 114, a bill, as the chairman so clearly stated, that not only extends veterans' access to care in the community, but provides additional funding for 28 critical leases to expand capacity and also makes sure we can recruit and retain the best medical professionals.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to note that on Monday, someone, another Member, mentioned that they felt a disagreement in the House is the way they described it. There has been a reputation that I think is rightfully earned from the leadership of the chairman and the members of the committee that the House Veterans' Affairs Committee is here to do the business of this Nation's veterans and the taxpayers and that the politics that are necessary and that are a part of getting things done take a backseat to that.

What you saw on Monday were disagreements. We could not come to an agreement. But instead of the theatrics of partisanship and instead of the politics of deconstruction, it was the politics of the possible. So instead of sulking away or sending out competing press releases, we came back together trying to find compromise on this. For that I am grateful.

I am grateful as a veteran, and I am grateful as a citizen that, on a morning when many Americans are waking up wondering about our politics and seeing the theatrics that go on, I am here to tell you that under the chairman's leadership, the honorable gentleman from Minnesota, you have brought this House and tying it into the Senate in the best interests of our Nation's veterans, taking in the concerns of the minority side and taking in the concerns of the veterans service organizations in a way that also means compromise on my Republican colleagues' side.

That is hard to do, asking people to put their own personal ideology aside if
it is in the best interests of building a coalition and producing a piece of legislation that can pass through this House, the Senate, and be signed by the President. That is a tough order around here right now, and I am proud to stand with someone who has done it. I am proud to have this little piece of what it takes to do that.

I would encourage my colleagues, let’s show a strong sign not just to our veterans, not just to this piece of legislation that, the gentleman was right, is absolutely essential for the care our veterans; let’s send a sign to our fellow citizens that this democracy still can function, this democracy still can find answers, this democracy can put American citizens first, and we can walk away from this knowing that our job was to come here and do exactly that, and it will be done.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maine (Mr. POLIQUIN), who is my good friend.

Mr. POLIQUIN. Mr. Speaker, I would remind you that “Maine is Vacationland.” If you have not booked your Maine vacation, there is still plenty of time.

I would like to thank Chairman Roe, who is a Vietnam veteran himself and a doctor, in leading us down this path for better healthcare for our veterans.

Mr. Speaker, it was, I believe, our first Commander in Chief, George Washington, who said, and I paraphrase, that we can never expect young men and women in this country to step forward and fight for their country and give us the freedom that we all enjoy unless and until we take care of those who have already sacrificed on the battlefield. That is what this is about.

Mr. Speaker, in our State, we have about 125,000 veterans, and we love our veterans in the State of Maine. More than half of them are in the Second Congressional District that I represent, which is mostly central, down east, western, and northern Maine. Let me tell you, Mr. Speaker, we know how to shoot straight up in Maine, and we just need to make sure we take care of our veterans who live in rural areas.

One of the great concerns I have—and I know this is shared by this committee—is that what about if you are a veteran that lives far away from a medical hospital, a veterans hospital. In the State of Maine, we have one veterans hospital, it is called Togus, it is the first VA hospital in the country, about 150 years old—and it was put together first to take care of those who have served on the battlefield in the Civil War.

In northern Maine, you might live in Fort Kent or Van Buren or Madawaska and be 5-hour drive away from Togus. If you are not feeling well, it is February and the snow is blowing sideways, it might be really tough to get down to Togus. That is why this Choice Program is so important. It allows our veterans who live far away from medical facilities to get their treatment close to home. This is something that I am a huge advocate of, and it is something we need to do.

Now, more than I want to mention if I may, Mr. Speaker, is that we all know that veterans heal better with other veterans. We are not in any way trying to replace the veterans’ facilities. We have healthcare facilities in this country. But the Choice Program allows us to augment that care through the Choice Program where they are able to go to private facilities.

Now, this emergency funding is absolutely critical. It will extend this program for 6 months instead of letting it run out of money in 3 weeks. It also includes something that is highly important, I am so grateful to the chairman for including it. It includes the ability to authorize a lease in Portland, a brand-new, state-of-the-art, if you will, VA facility, a CBGC facility, an outpatient facility, that will serve about 4,000 veterans in the State of Maine.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JOBY B. HICE of Georgia). The time of the gentleman from Maine is up.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARRINGTON), chairman of the Subcommittee on Economic Opportunity of the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of S. 114, which will continue funding the Choice Program, an important reform to make sure that our veterans have access to quality care.

For too long, our veterans, Mr. Speaker, have been trapped in a system that has failed them. They are in a single-payer system. We put them in a system that the Members of Congress wouldn’t sign up for. For years, we haven’t given them the same choice that we have given Medicaid patients and Medicare patients.

The Choice Program isn’t just common sense, it is common decency. We have asked these men and women to serve and to sacrifice for our freedom. They deserve the very best care. The only way they are going to get the best care is to have choice when they have geographic challenges or are waiting in line.

We have all read the reports about how our veterans have waited in line, have gotten sicker, and some even died. It is unconscionable and unacceptable. I am grateful that, in an institution that has a reputation of gridlock and dysfunction, we have a committee with leaders like Chairman ROE and Ranking Member WALZ who will come together and put America first. So I am heartened by this and I applaud their leadership.

I support this continuation of funding and the improved access to care for our heroes. I thank the chairman for the privilege of serving under the leadership of his committee.

Mr. WALZ, Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. BROWNLEY), my good friend, a long-time champion of veterans, and
the ranking member of the Subcommittee on Health.

Ms. BROWNLEY of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Minnesota, our ranking member; and the gentleman from Tennessee, the chain, for their commitment to bipartisan solutions which helped get us the agreement that the House is considering today.

I am extremely proud to work on the Veterans' Affairs Committee because we have shown that we can tackle the big issues in a bipartisan way. That is why I rise today in support of S. 114, which will address the immediate shortfall in funding for the Choice Program, while making needed investments in direct VA-provided care.

I am so pleased that this bipartisan agreement includes my legislation authorizing 28 new VA healthcare facilities across the country. This bill will bring VA care to veterans from coast to coast.

The demand for VA healthcare is increasing, and many veterans face long wait times because outdated facilities are too small to accommodate the growing number of men and women seeking care.

The leases authorized by this bill are located in 17 States, with nearly 12 million veterans living in them, who have been waiting years for improved access to care. This includes a new, larger clinic in the city of Oxnard, in my district, which will bring much-needed specialty care closer to home for our veterans in Ventura County.

Veterans community and communities across the country have waited long enough for these expanded services, and I thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle and our veterans service organizations for working with me to deliver this win for them.

We can fund the VA Choice Program and fund other programs critical to the VA's mission, my mission, and the mission of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for the care of the veterans, who have risked their lives for our country, have earned and deserve.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to support this legislation and help ensure veterans get the care they need and to make the investments we need to build a modern, veteran-centric 21st century VA.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. CORREA), a good friend and new member of the Veterans' Affairs Committee.

Mr. CORREA. Mr. Speaker, first of all, I thank all of our veterans for their service and sacrifice for our great country. I come from California, the home of the greatest number of veterans in this great country. On their behalf, I would like to thank Chairman Roe and Ranking Member Walz for their tremendous job and leadership in addressing the needs of all of our veterans.

I am pleased that we reached the bipartisan agreement, and I hope the rest of this place learns from the work the Veterans Affairs' Committee has done. I hope that tomorrow, when we wake up and open up those newspapers, this is a front-page story, because it demonstrates what Democrats and Republicans, everybody coming together to work for our veterans, that is the way it should be done.

Three years ago, Congress created the Choice Program. This was an alternative to make sure that our veterans didn't have to wait to get the health services they needed.

This bill will provide additional funding and short-term sustainability for this program called the Choice Program. This bill will also allow the Department of Veterans Affairs to open up more clinics and to hire additional doctors to make sure that our veterans get the services they deserve.

Again, I am glad that there are here today and the work to make sure that our veterans get the services they earned. I urge all my colleagues to support this bipartisan measure.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maine (Mr. Pingree) who is also on the Maine Tourism Board.

Ms. PINGREE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the Ranking Member for yielding and allowing me to reinforce my colleague from the State of Maine and say: if you haven't seen that lobster today, it is not too late. Lobster season is just picking up. I highly recommend a visit to the Maine coast or anywhere in the State of Maine

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this bill to provide the VA Choice Program with additional funds and to authorize several provisions to improve care for our Nation's veterans.

Specifically, I want to recognize the authorization of a lease included in this bill for a community-based outpatient clinic in Portland, Maine, that will provide much-improved services to the veterans in that area. The new facility will be a huge step in improving the care for veterans in my district.

The proposed facility will go a long way toward a more efficient, patient-centered approach to care and will provide a wide range of services, including audiology, dental, physical therapy, prosthetics, and women's health.

Most of the time, when I drive by this new CBOC will be coming from the surrounding areas, and this new clinic will result in a much shorter commute for those veterans living in the most densely populated area of Maine.

The entire Maine congressional delegation has worked for the authorization of this lease, including my colleague, Mr. Poliquin from Maine's Second District.

I thank Congresswoman Brownley for introducing legislation earlier this year to authorize these leases and for her work on this issue.

I am proud to support S. 114, and I thank Ranking Member Walz and Chairman Roe for their work on this legislation. The authorization of this lease represents a tremendous step for our State in providing veterans the proper care they have earned.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, since we are doing a Maine advertisement today I will say one thing negative about Maine. I herniated a disk in my back climbing Mount Katahdin with my good friend, French Hill from Arkansas, last summer. It wasn't all good.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, as you heard here today from numerous members of the committee and others, this is how business should be done: looking out for our veterans, compromises being made.

I do want to extend my thanks to Senator Isakson; Senator Tester; Secretary Shinu in; the DAVs and the VSOs; and the staff on both sides of the aisle who are the ones who are carrying out late-night negotiations.

I think the gentleman from Tennessee, Chairman Roe, did bring up a good point: this is the beginning of tough decisions that need to be made, tough negotiations.

I hope everyone here understands that the continued good will and bipartisanship is going to be needed, because we have an opportunity to transform the VA into that 21st century VA, one that is more responsive to the needs of veterans, one that takes into account the realities of modern-day medicine that involves many outpatient clinics and different ways of delivering services, especially to rural veterans who we represent.

This is a great start. It is one that I think we go from a stop on Monday to what I hope can be a unanimous vote to a good, smart piece of legislation. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I do want to associate my remarks with those of the ranking member.

We have a lot of thanks to go around, but the major thanks go to our veterans who serve this great Nation. I think it would be cruel and a pox on this body if we didn't do what is right for our veterans. And we are.

As Mr. Walz said, this is just the first step, although a major one, in a transformative process that we have been given the opportunity on this committee to do.

We serve 2 million veterans in this country that have served this Nation honorably. We have a great organization. I think a lot of times it doesn't get said that the VA does a lot of good work.

I don't go home where there is not a day that I don't run into somebody at the grocery store or somewhere that...
tells me of a positive thing that happened to them at the VA. It is not everywhere, though.

I also get stopped and told and have stacks of documents on my desk that tell me the problems with the VA. We hear them loud and clear. I think both of us do.

But I think it is an opportunity for us as a body—not just this committee, but the entire body—to thank our veterans for passing this bill unanimously, send it to the Senate, and then to the President's desk for his signature.

I, once again, thank Mr. WALZ, his committee staff, and our committee colleagues working in a bipartisan way to pass this.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage all Members to support S. 114, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute to S. 114 that provides for a 6-month extension of the Choice program, as well as funding for VA recruitment and retention programs.

Extension of the Choice program is good news for veterans in my district. The Northern Marianas is the only jurisdiction in our country that does not have a VA medical clinic and does not have a single, dedicated VA doctor or mental health professional. There is one physician contracted by the VA on a part-time basis. But she is at capacity and cannot take on any more veteran patients.

So, it is the Choice program that allows the veterans I represent to obtain health care, where they live, rather than having to fly hundreds or thousands of miles, to Guam or to Hawai'i, for treatment.

It was to ease that burden that I was one of the Members who identified and spoke up about the problem of access to service for veterans in remote and rural areas of America; and advocacy by Members from underserved areas helped lead to the creation of Choice.

Make no mistake, the Choice program does not solve all of the issues of health care access for our veterans. A VA health clinic with VA staff in the Marianas remains my goal. But S. 114—short of a full-fledged clinic—does provide recruitment and retention authorities that could lead to having more VA staff in my district. That would be step one in reaching that goal.

Meanwhile, and until we have a real, full-time, fully staffed and equipped VA clinic in the Northern Marianas, the Choice program will continue to be needed to fill the gap and provide the care that veterans deserve.

My thanks to Chairman ROE and Ranking Member WALZ and to their staff for the time and effort that has gone into ensuring that Choice can continue for veterans in the Marianas—and in rural, remote, and underserved areas throughout America.

I am also grateful to the many veteran service organizations, who have advised on this legislation and who have been steadfast in their commitment to improve the Department of Veterans' Affairs for those who served our Nation.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules or the whole of the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

The House will resume proceedings on postponed questions at a later time.

VETERANS' ACCESS TO CHILD CARE ACT

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 95) to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide child care assistance to veterans receiving certain medical services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 95

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Veterans' Access to Child Care Act".

SEC. 2. CHILD CARE ASSISTANCE FOR VETERANS RECEIVING MENTAL HEALTH CARE AND OTHER INTENSIVE HEALTH CARE SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) In general.—Subchapter III of chapter 17 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§1730B. Child care assistance for veterans receiving mental health care and other intensive health care services.

"(a) In general.—The Secretary shall provide child care assistance to an eligible veteran for any period after the date of the enactment of this Act for any period that the veteran—

"(1) receives covered health care services at a facility of the Department; and

"(2) is required travel to and from such facility for the receipt of such health care services.

"(b) Child care assistance.—(1) Child care assistance provided under this section may include any of the following:

"(A) A stipend for the payment of child care received by the veteran from a child care provider (either directly or through a voucher program) which shall be, to the extent prac-
Ms. BROWNLEY of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Minnesota, our ranking member, and the gentleman from Tennessee, our chairman, for their support of this bill and for their tireless advocacy on behalf of our Nation’s veterans.

I rise today in support of H.R. 95, the Veterans’ Access to Child Care Act, which will break down a barrier to care for many veterans with children face. This is especially problematic for women veterans who are often the primary caretakers of young children and a rapidly growing segment of the veteran population. According to a 2015 VA study, 42 percent of women veterans use VA care said it is difficult to find childcare that would allow them to attend medical appointments, and for those who can, that care is often unaffordable.

The average cost of childcare in my home State of California, for instance, is more than $13,000 a year. As the post-9/11 generation continue to start their families, more and more veterans will face the unacceptable choice between caring for their children and getting the health care they need and deserve.

Without affordable childcare options, veterans can miss their appointments, including critical mental health appointments, that impact their long-term well-being. It is just common sense: better access to childcare will lead to better access to care, which will ultimately result in better outcomes for our Nation’s veterans.

The VA currently runs a successful childcare pilot program at several locations around the United States. For these veterans in Houston and veterans across the country, H.R. 95 provides access to safe and reliable child care. The bill provides child care assistance to 10,000 children through the pilot program and that veterans were consistently “completely satisfied” with the services received.

H.R. 95 ensures that veterans continue to get the care they have earned and deserve, and that includes ensuring that those seeking treatment at VA medical facilities do not miss appointments because they do not have access to safe and reliable child care.

The lack of convenient and affordable child care has prevented veterans from getting the medical care they need. The cost of full time infant care across the United States in 2012 ranged from $4,600 to $20,200 a year.

Of those seeking childcare, 89 percent were looking for full time care and only 11 percent were looking for part-time care. Parents who serve as the primary caretaker of a child should not have to sacrifice their own health to find safe and reliable child care. We must remove barriers that impede veterans’ access to health care.

Currently, the Child Care Pilot Program offers free child care for qualified veterans using VA healthcare services at a limited number of participating sites around the United States. Congress has reauthorized this popular pilot program three times in separate bills, but it is time to make this program permanent.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 95, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the motion was agreed to by the Yeas and Nays:--

The House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 95, as amended, which makes permanent the VA’s Child Care Pilot Program and expands it so that all veterans who are primary caretakers have a safe, reliable, and cost-free option for child care when they use VA healthcare.

As the founder and chair of the Congressional Children’s Caucus, I fully understand the importance of having access to affordable and safe child care.

The bill provides child care assistance to an eligible veteran for any period that the veteran receives covered health care services at a VA facility, and is required to travel to and return from such facility for the receipt of such services.

My own city of Houston is home to the second largest veterans’ community in the United States in terms of resident veterans.

The U.S. Census Bureau recorded that Houston is home to approximately 282,000 veterans, which is almost one-fifth of the state’s veteran population.

The Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center in the city of Houston has 111,189 veterans enrolled in the center. For these veterans in Houston and veterans across the country, H.R. 95 provides access to child care for veterans receiving mental health services and other intensive health care services at VA facilities. The VA reported that it had provided free childcare to 10,000 children through the pilot program and that veterans were consistently “completely satisfied” with the services received.

H.R. 95 ensures that veterans continue to get the care they have earned and deserve, and that includes ensuring that those seeking treatment at VA medical facilities do not miss appointments because they do not have access to safe and reliable child care.

The lack of convenient and affordable child care has prevented veterans from getting the medical care they need. The cost of full time infant care across the United States in 2012 ranged from $4,600 to $20,200 a year.

The cost of full time care for a 4 year old ranged from $3,500 to $15,450.

Of those seeking childcare, 89 percent were looking for full time care and only 11 percent were looking for part-time care.

Parents who serve as the primary caretaker of a child should not have to sacrifice their own health to find safe and reliable child care. We must remove barriers that impede veterans’ access to health care.

Currently, the Child Care Pilot Program offers free child care for qualified veterans using VA healthcare services at a limited number of participating sites around the United States. Congress has reauthorized this popular pilot program three times in separate bills, but it is time to make this program permanent.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 95, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.
VA SENIOR EXECUTIVE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2772) to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide for requirements relating to the reassignment of Department of Veterans Affairs senior executive employees, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 2772

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the “VA Senior Executive Accountability Act” or the “SEA Act”.

SEC. 2. SEMIANNUAL REPORTS ON REASSIGNMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS SENIOR EXECUTIVE EMPLOYEES. (a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

“§ 723. Reassignment of senior executives

“(a) APPROVAL OF REASSIGNMENTS.—No individual employed in a senior executive position at the Department may be reassigned to another position at the Department unless such reassignment is approved in writing and signed by the Secretary.

“(b) SEMIANNUAL REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than June 30 and December 31 of each year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the reassignment of individuals employed in senior executive positions at the Department to other such positions at the Department during the period covered by the report. Each such report shall describe the purpose of each such reassignment and the costs associated with such reassignment.

“(c) SENIOR EXECUTIVE POSITION DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘senior executive position’ has the meaning given such term in section 718(g)(3) of this title.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 718(g) the following new item:

“§ 724. Reassignment of senior executives.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE) and the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. WALZ) each will yield control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tennessee.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include additional material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 2772, as amended, the VA Senior Executive Accountability Act, or SEA Act. This bill would provide needed transparency to the Department of Veterans Affairs’ reassignment of members of the Senior Executive Service. Specifically, the bill would require the Secretary to personally approve of a reassignment of VA’s approximately 350 SES employees.

It would also require VA to report to Congress identifying those employees who are reassigned and the cost associated with such reassignment. I thank Representative TAYLOR for his thoughtful legislation. And with that, Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 2772.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 2772, the VA Senior Executive Accountability Act. Mr. Speaker, this is a commonsense bill that has received bipartisan support as it moved through regular order of our committee.

This bill requires that any reassignment of a senior executive at the VA have the Secretary’s written approval. It also requires an annual report to Congress to help us in our oversight ability.

I have dealt with this issue myself in Minnesota and know firsthand how important this is.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank Mr. TAYLOR and our vice ranking member, Mr. TAKANO, for developing this legislation. I support and encourage Members to join in its support.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. TAYLOR), the author of this bill and a Navy SEAL.

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of my bill, H.R. 2772, the VA Senior Executive Accountability Act.

This bipartisan bill brings transparency and accountability to senior VA leadership.

Mr. Speaker, my area has the fastest growing veterans population in the Nation. Our OIF, our OEF, and our women’s veterans population is rapidly growing.

Recently, our main VA hospital was rated at a one-star out of a five-star rating. In making a change, the VA simply swapped out the underperforming leadership with another VA hospital.

This, Mr. Speaker, is unacceptable.

My bill would require the VA to issue reports on any reassignment of VA leadership and how much the cost is. Additionally, the Secretary of the VA would have to personally sign off on the reassignment.

Mr. Speaker, veterans need to trust that they are getting the best care possible. They need to trust that they will have transparency. They need to trust that there will be accountability.

I want to thank the chairman, the ranking member, and all those on the committee for their work, their dedication, and their commitment to upholding our sacred responsibility of care for those who upheld their own sacred responsibility to our Nation.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers, and I am prepared to close at this time.

Mr. Speaker, again, commonsense bill, bipartisan, does the right thing, and, as the gentleman so clearly explained, providing that trust to our veterans is absolutely critical. I believe this will do that. I urge my colleagues to support passage of H.R. 2772.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, once again, I encourage all Members to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2772, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GROW OUR OWN DIRECTIVE: PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION ACT OF 2017

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3262) to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a pilot program to provide educational assistance to certain former members of the Armed Forces for education and training as physician assistants of the Department of Veterans Affairs, to establish pay grades and require competitive pay for physician assistants of the Department, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3262

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the “Grow Our Own Directive: Physician Assistant Employment and Education Act of 2017”.

SEC. 2. PILOT PROGRAM TO PROVIDE EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT CANDIDATES AT THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall carry out a pilot program to be known as the “Grow Our Own Directive” or “G.O.O.D.” pilot program (in this section referred to as the “pilot program”) to provide educational assistance to certain former members of the Armed Forces for education and training as physician assistants of the Department of Veterans Affairs, to establish pay grades and require competitive pay for physician assistants of the Department, and for other purposes, as amended.

(b) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—An individual is eligible to participate in the pilot program if—

(1) the individual is a former member of any Armed Forces;

(2) the individual is a citizen of the United States;

(3) the individual is not currently serving in the Armed Forces;

(4) the individual is in good standing;

(5) the individual has at least a bachelor’s degree;

(6) the individual is accepted or admitted for the upcoming academic year to a program approved by the Secretary to provide training as physician assistants of the Department;

(7) the individual is not currently enrolled in another (private or public) pilot program to provide educational assistance to certain former members of the Armed Forces for education and training as physician assistants of the Department; and

(8) the individual has complied with any other requirements the Secretary may impose.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tennessee.
shall provide not less than 35 scholarships
specified in paragraph (2).

4. Each individual agrees to be employed as a physi-
cian assistant for the Veterans Health Ad-
ministration at a medical facility of the Department located in a community
that—
(i) is designated as a medically under-
served population under section 330(b)(5)(A)
of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C.
254b(b)(5)(A)); and
(ii) is in a State with a per capita popu-
lation of veterans of more than 9 percent ac-
cording to the National Center for Veterans Analysis and Statistics and the United States Census Bureau.

5. Not less than 35 scholarships under subsection (b) to participate in the pilot pro-
gram, the period of obligated service speci-
fied in this paragraph for the individual is—
(A) if the individual is participating in the pilot program through a program described in
paragraph (1), the period of obligated service, the period specified with respect to such program; or
(B) if the individual is participating in the pilot program through a program described in such subsection, or if such pro-
duced to the number of days served for completion of the period of
obligated service of days in the period of obligated service
such individual.

6. Exception—If an individual is partici-
pating in the pilot program through a pro-
duced to the number of days served for completion of the period of
obligated service of days in the period of obligated service
such individual.

b. Microvision—The Secretary shall ensure that a physician assistant mentor or men-
tors are available for individuals participat-
ing in the pilot program at each facility of the Veterans Health Administration at
which a participant in the pilot program is
employed.

1. Partnerships.—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall seek to
partner with the following:
(1) Not less than 15 institutions of higher
education that—
(A) offer a master's degree program in phy-
cian assistant studies or a similar area of
study that is accredited by the Accreditation Review Commission on Education for
the Physician Assistant; and
(B) agree—
(i) to guarantee seats in such master's de-
gree program for individuals participate-
ing in the pilot program who have completed the required education and training
through the use of scholarships, to cover the costs to such individuals of obtaining a mas-
ter's degree in physician assistant studies or
a similar master's degree.

2. Use of Existing Programs.—In pro-
viding educational assistance under para-
geraph (1), the Secretary shall use the Depart-
ment of Veterans Affairs Health Profes-
3. Use of Scholarships.—The Secretary shall provide not less than 35 scholarships
under the pilot program to individuals par-
ticipating in the pilot program during each year in which the pilot program is carried
out.

4. Period of Obligated Service.—
(A) If the individual is participating in the
pilot program through a program described in
paragraph (1), the period of obligated service
is the period specified with respect to such
program; or
(B) if the individual is participating in
the pilot program through a program described in such subsection, or if such pro-
duced to the number of days served for completion of the period of
obligated service of days in the period of obligated service
such individual.

5. Period Specified.—With respect to each
individual participating in the pilot pro-
gram, the period of obligated service speci-
fied in this paragraph for the individual is—
(A) if the individual is participating in the
pilot program through a program described in
paragraph (1), the period of obligated service,
the period specified with respect to such program; or
(B) if the individual is participating in the
pilot program through a program described in such subsection, or if such pro-
duced to the number of days served for completion of the period of
obligated service of days in the period of obligated service
such individual.

6. Exception—If an individual is partici-
pating in the pilot program through a pro-
duced to the number of days served for completion of the period of
obligated service of days in the period of obligated service
such individual.
out the pilot program shall be derived from amounts appropriated to the Department of Veterans Affairs before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. ESTABLISHMENT OF STANDARDS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS FOR USING EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS TO FOCUS AND HIRE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS.

(a) In General.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall establish standards described in subsection (b) to improve the use by the Department of Veterans Affairs of the Department of Veterans Affairs Health Professionals Educational Assistance Program under chapter 76 of title 38, United States Code, and other educational assistance programs of the Department, including the pilot program under 38 U.S.C. 21, to educate and hire physician assistants of the Department.

(b) STANDARDS.—The standards described in this subsection are the following:

(1) Holding directors of medical centers of the Department accountable for failure to use the educational assistance programs described in subsection (a) and other incentives—

(A) to advance employees of the Department in their education as physician assistants; and

(B) to improve recruitment and retention of physician assistants.

(2) Ensuring that the Department of Veterans Affairs Health Professionals Educational Assistance Program under subchapter VII of chapter 76 of such title is available for participants in the pilot program under section 2 to fill vacant physician assistant positions at the Department, including by—

(A) including in all vacancy announcements for physician assistant positions the availability of the Education Debt Reduction Program; and

(B) informing applicants to physician assistant positions of their eligibility for the Education Debt Reduction Program.

(3) Monitoring compliance with the application process for educational assistance programs described in subsection (a) to ensure that such programs are being fully utilized to carry out this section.

(4) Creating programs, including through the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs Health Professionals Incentive Scholarship Program under subchapter VI of chapter 76 of such title, to encourage employees of the Department to apply to accredited physician assistant programs.

(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as the Secretary considers appropriate to carry out this section.

SEC. 4. EXTENSION OF REQUIREMENT FOR COLLECTION OF FEES FOR HOUSING LOANS GUARANTEED BY SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

Section 3729(b)(2) of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in clause (i), by striking “September 30, 2024” and inserting “December 31, 2024”; and

(B) in clause (ii), by striking “September 30, 2024” and inserting “December 31, 2024”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE) and the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. WALZ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tennessee.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3262, as amended, the Grow Our Own Directive—or GOOD—Physician Assistant Employment and Education Act of 2017.

The PA profession has a long and storied connection with veterans as the first class of PAs was primarily composed of Army medics and Navy corpsmen who served in the Vietnam war.

And I might add, when I did basic training at San Antonio, Texas, at Fort Sam, one of the first PA classes was there.

Today, the Department of Veterans Affairs is the single largest employer of PAs in the country. Nevertheless, PAs are consistently identified as one of the top five professions where VA is experiencing the largest staff shortages.

H.R. 3262, as amended, would help solve that by creating a pilot program to provide assistance to veterans who want to pursue education and training to become a PA and to serve their fellow veterans by working at VA medical facilities.

I am grateful to Congresswoman KUSTER for sponsoring this legislation and shepherding it through the legislative process, and I am confident that it will go a long way in resolving existing PA recruitment and retention issues, ensuring that VA medical facilities are appropriately staffed with high-quality PAs and, in turn, increasing access to care for veteran patients.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 3262, as amended, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. KUSTER. This important piece of legislation will allow veterans who served as medics and corpsmen in the military to receive scholarships to train as physician assistants.

The VA has over 45,000 provider vacancies to fill so that veterans are not waiting too long for care. In the United States, we face a provider shortage in general, especially in our rural communities, where most veterans live. This bill will help address these critical shortages by providing veterans with an incredible opportunity to aid their communities and their fellow veterans.

Ms. KUSTER’s hard work on this legislation and on behalf of veterans is admirable, and I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BERGMAN), who is the chairman of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations on the full Veterans’ Affairs Committee.

Mr. BERGMAN. Mr. Speaker, for years, the Department of Veterans Affairs has seen many of its medical personnel leave to pursue opportunities outside of the VA healthcare system, resulting in fewer healthcare providers and, ultimately, less access to care for our veterans. In the First District of Michigan, which I represent, we are largely a rural district, and our district veterans have suffered more than most on this.

The GOOD Act utilizes a two-pronged approach to address VA’s continuing struggles in recruiting and retaining their physician assistant workforce. This bill creates a pilot program to provide that pathway for veterans to receive the education necessary to become a PA while, simultaneously, requiring the VA to establish a national strategic plan to recruit and retain a robust and long-term medical staff.

Our veterans have given so much for our country at exceptional costs, and they deserve access to timely and high-quality healthcare from skilled professionals. I am proud to join Ranking Member KUSTER from our Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee in supporting this bipartisan legislation on behalf of our Nation’s veterans.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to support the bill, H.R. 3262.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, it is my pleasure to yield 5 minutes to the gentlewoman from New Hampshire (Ms. KUSTER), the author of this piece of legislation, the ranking member of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations Committee.

Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3262, as amended, the GOOD Act of 2017, which was introduced by a good friend, someone who has proven herself to be a dogged champion of veterans, the ranking member of the Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee, Ms. KUSTER. This important piece of legislation will allow veterans who served as medics and corpsmen in the military to receive scholarships to train as physician assistants.

The VA has over 45,000 provider vacancies to fill so that veterans are not waiting too long for care. In the United States, we face a provider shortage in general, especially in our rural communities, where most veterans live. This bill will help address these critical shortages by providing veterans with an incredible opportunity to aid their communities and their fellow veterans.

Ms. KUSTER’s hard work on this legislation and on behalf of veterans is admirable, and I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BERGMAN), who is the chairman of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations on the full Veterans’ Affairs Committee.

Mr. BERGMAN. Mr. Speaker, for years, the Department of Veterans Affairs has seen many of its medical personnel leave to pursue opportunities outside of the VA healthcare system, resulting in fewer healthcare providers and, ultimately, less access to care for our veterans. In the First District of Michigan, which I represent, we are largely a rural district, and our district veterans have suffered more than most on this.

The GOOD Act utilizes a two-pronged approach to address VA’s continuing struggles in recruiting and retaining their physician assistant workforce. This bill creates a pilot program to provide that pathway for veterans to receive the education necessary to become a PA while, simultaneously, requiring the VA to establish a national strategic plan to recruit and retain a robust and long-term medical staff.

Our veterans have given so much for our country at exceptional costs, and they deserve access to timely and high-quality healthcare from skilled professionals. I am proud to join Ranking Member KUSTER from our Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee in supporting this bipartisan legislation on behalf of our Nation’s veterans.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to support the bill, H.R. 3262.
alone, the Association of American Medical Colleges estimates that, by 2030, the country could face a doctor shortage of over 100,000 physicians. Access to care will only become a harder problem to solve the longer it takes to be addressed.

Nonphysician providers, like physician assistants, are an important part of the solution to this shortage. Physician assistants are highly trained providers that often focus their training on specialties like psychiatry, orthopedics, and internal medicine.

It is fitting that my bill would help get more PAs into the VA to serve veterans. After all, the profession started when a small group of four Navy corpsmen completed the first PA program started at Duke University. That first program was based on the fast-tracked training of doctors during World War II. Veterans and the military have always been a part of the physician assistant profession.

The PA profession offers unique value to rural and underserved areas. In places like northern New Hampshire, in my district, PAs help resolve shortages for family physicians and primary care physicians, shortages that have existed for decades.

My bill would leverage the Department of Veterans Affairs to improve access to quality care for veterans and rural locations alike. It would create a pilot scholarship program that awards no less than 250 scholarships over 5 years to PAs.

Currently, the VA has approximately 2,000 PAs. My bill would increase the PA workforce at the VA by over 10 percent.

In exchange for these scholarships, PAs would be required to work at the VA, and their placement would be focused on sending providers to rural and underserved areas. We know that if a provider is placed in New Hampshire, they may stay.

This bill would also help get veterans with medical training in the workforce and with good-paying jobs. It is crucial that we help our veterans find excellent career paths that utilize the skills they learned while in the military service, and it is an added bonus that these veterans get to take care of their brothers and sisters in arms.

The final provision in my bill was included in the Choice funding bill we debated last year. This bill, if passed, would provide PAs in the VA with competitive pay based on the community they serve. This provision is crucial because it helps recruit and retain these critical providers. The GAO recently reported that PAs experience the highest loss rate of any critical occupation, higher than doctors and nurse practitioners.

I would like to applaud my colleagues for coming together in a bipartisan way to craft the Choice funding bill. Not only will the bill fund the Choice Program for another 6 months, but it includes a number of important reforms that will improve service for all of our veterans. These provisions include improved authority for hiring in job shortage areas, measures to increase accountability within the VA, and my provision to boost the pay of PAs.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank my esteemed colleague on the House Veterans Affairs’ Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, Chairman Bergman. His support for this legislation was crucial to its passage today. I thank our chairman, Dr. Roe, and our ranking member.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to immediately pass this bill.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALZ. Mr. Speaker, as you heard, I urge my colleagues to support this smart piece of legislation. But I would like to close by adding, also, a thank-you to the chairman and to the majority side, once again showing bipartisanship offering two bills introduced by opposite sides that are being heard on the floor which can be signed into law. It is greatly appreciated. It shows that the care of veterans always comes first. We on the minority side are appreciative of that courtesy.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consider necessary.

Mr. Speaker, I certainly support this bill, and I want to thank both General BERGMAN and Ms. KUSTER. We made a trip to Afghanistan together a couple of years ago. She has a dogged—and I will say “dogged”—commitment to the veterans in her district and to this country, and I want to thank her for bringing this piece of legislation up. I believe it will make a difference, I truly do, when it is implemented.

And I want to thank the ranking member, and his staff and mine, for bringing a lot of pieces of legislation to the House floor in the last couple of weeks. This week, especially, we have had some success here, and that means veterans had success. Certainly, the post-9/11 GI Bill that was passed this week is an enormous bill and will do good generations after no one ever remembers who was on this floor debating that bill. So I want to thank them and thank my colleagues and wish everyone a safe recess and a healthy recess.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMS). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3262, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 873) to authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation to establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes, was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GLOBAL WAR ON TERRORISM WAR MEMORIAL ACT

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 873) to authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation to establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

Title I. SHORT TITLE
This Act may be cited as the “Global War on Terrorism War Memorial Act”.

SECTION 2. NATIONAL GLOBAL WAR ON TERRORISM WAR MEMORIAL
(a) AUTHORIZATION TO ESTABLISH COMMEMORATIVE WORK.—The Association may establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work on Federal land in the District of Columbia and its environs to commemorate and honor the members of the Armed Forces that served on active duty in support of the Global War on Terrorism.

(b) COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS FOR COMMEMORATIVE WORKS ACT.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Federal funds may not be used to pay any expense of the establishment of the memorial under this section.

(2) RESPONSIBILITY OF ASSOCIATION.—The Association shall be solely responsible for the design, construction, acquisition of contributions for, and payment of the expenses of, the establishment of the memorial.

(c) USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS PROHIBITED.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Federal funds may not be used to pay any expense of the establishment of the memorial under this section.

(2) NON-APPLICABILITY.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 8903 of title 40, United States Code, shall not apply to this Act.

(3) USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS PROHIBITED.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Federal funds may not be used to pay any expense of the establishment of the memorial under this section.

(b) RESPONSIBILITY OF ASSOCIATION.—The Association shall be solely responsible for the design, construction, acquisition of contributions for, and payment of the expenses of, the establishment of the memorial.

(d) DEPOSIT OF EXCESS FUNDS.—If, on payment of all expenses for the establishment of the memorial (including the maintenance and preservation amount required by section 8906(b)(1) of title 40, United States Code), or to cover the costs of the Association for the memorial under section 8903(e) of title 40, United States Code, there remains a balance of funds received for the establishment of the memorial, the Association shall transmit the amount of the balance to the Secretary of the Interior for deposit in the account provided for in section 8906(b)(3) of title 40, United States Code.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS
In this Act:
(1) ASSOCIATION.—The term “Association” means the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Foundation, a corporation that is—
(A) organized under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania; and
(B) described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of that Code.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE
This Act may be cited as the “Global War on Terrorism War Memorial Act”.

(a) AUTHORIZATION TO ESTABLISH COMMEMORATIVE WORK.—The Association may establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work on Federal land in the District of Columbia and its environs to commemorate and honor the members of the Armed Forces that served on active duty in support of the Global War on Terrorism.

(b) COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS FOR COMMEMORATIVE WORKS ACT.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Federal funds may not be used to pay any expense of the establishment of the memorial under this section.

(2) RESPONSIBILITY OF ASSOCIATION.—The Association shall be solely responsible for the design, construction, acquisition of contributions for, and payment of the expenses of, the establishment of the memorial.

(d) DEPOSIT OF EXCESS FUNDS.—If, on payment of all expenses for the establishment of the memorial (including the maintenance and preservation amount required by section 8906(b)(1) of title 40, United States Code), or to cover the costs of the Association for the memorial under section 8903(e) of title 40, United States Code, there remains a balance of funds received for the establishment of the memorial, the Association shall transmit the amount of the balance to the Secretary of the Interior for deposit in the account provided for in section 8906(b)(3) of title 40, United States Code.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS
In this Act:
(1) ASSOCIATION.—The term “Association” means the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Foundation, a corporation that is—
(A) organized under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania; and
(B) described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of that Code.
(2) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND ITS ENVIRONS.—The term “District of Columbia and its environs” has the meaning given that term in section 8902(a) of title 40, United States Code.

(3) GLOBAL WAR ON TERRORISM.—The term “Global War on Terrorism” means any contingency operation conducted by the Armed Forces of the United States, including those that occurred before September 11, 2001, or other terrorist attack.

(4) MEMORIAL.—The term “memorial” means the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial authorized to be established under section 2.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK) and the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GALLEGO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to review and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There is no objection. Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 873, the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Act, authored by the Congressman MIKE mcCLINTock of Wisconsin, would authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation to begin the lengthy Commemorative Works Act process to establish a Global War on Terrorism Memorial on Federal land in the District of Columbia. The memorial will recognize and honor the men and women who have served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces since the attack on our country on September 11, 2001.

The global war on terrorism is the longest war our country has fought with the United States, and there is still no end in sight. We can and should debate the policies that have prolonged this war and denied our troops the full might and resources of our Nation. But one thing is far above and beyond any debate, and that is the heroism, selflessness, devotion, and patriotism of the men and women of our Nation who stepped forward from the safety, security, and comfort of hearth and home and into harm’s way when our Nation called.

The Commemorative Works Act requires that a war be ended for at least 10 years before planning can commence on a national memorial. There is good reason for this requirement: it gives history the insight to place the war in an historic context and to begin to fully appreciate its full significance to our country and future generations.

But the war on terrorism has been fought in a decidedly different way than our past wars. We are now approaching the 16th anniversary of the attack on New York and Washington. The veterans who sacrificed so much to keep that war away from our shores deserve some tangible and lasting tribute to their patriotism and altruism while they, their families, and their fellow countrymen can know it. The Gold Star families of our fallen heroes for whom the war will never end deserve some assurance that their sons and daughters will never be forgotten by a grateful Nation.

We should remember that many of our Nation’s heroes from World War II never lived to see the completion of the national memorial project which was completed 59 years after the end of that conflict.

For these reasons, this measure suspends the 10-year period in current law. It doesn’t repeal it. It merely sets it aside for the unique circumstances of the current war on terrorism.

I am confident that the Memorial Commission will respect and appreciate the fact that many may have yet to serve in this war, and history has not yet had its say on its significance to our Nation and, indeed, to the future of Western civilization. I am sure the design they recommend will respect these facts and provide significant latitude for the completion of the memorial after this bane of Islamic terrorism has been extirpated from our planet and the long-suffering people of the Middle East have been liberated from it by the brave fighting men and women of the United States Armed Forces that this memorial will honor and thank.

Mr. Speaker, I include in the Record a July 28, 2017, letter from the Congressional Budget Office providing a cost estimate for this bill.

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE.


Dear Mr. Chairman: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for H.R. 873, the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Act. If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them.

Sincerely,

Mark P. Hadley, (For Keith Hall, Director).

Enclosure.

H.R. 873—GLOBAL WAR ON TERRORISM MEMORIAL ACT

As ordered reported by the House Committee on Natural Resources on July 26, 2017.

H.R. 873 would authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation, a non-profit organization, to establish a memorial in the District of Columbia and its environs to commemorate members of the armed forces who served on active duty in support of the Global War on Terrorism.

Because H.R. 873 would prohibit the use of federal funds to establish the memorial, CBO estimates that implementing the legislation would result in a significant cost to the federal government.

Enacting H.R. 873 would affect direct spending; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures apply. The memorial project would be subject to the requirements of the Commemorative Works Act. Under that act, any entity that receives a permit to construct a memorial must donate to the National Park Foundation (a nonprofit organization) an amount equal to 10 percent of the memorial’s construction cost. That amount, as well as any project funds remaining after construction of the memorial, would be available in future years for maintenance of the memorial.

Based on the experience of similar commemorative projects, CBO expects that any amounts collected by the federal government for maintenance would be received for several years and would be offset by an expenditure soon thereafter. On net, CBO estimates that such effects would be insignificant. Enacting the bill would not affect revenues.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 873 would not increase net direct spending or on-budget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2028.

H.R. 873 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

The CBO staff contact for this estimate is Janani Shankaran. The estimate was approved by Theresa A. Guilo, Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this measure, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise to speak in favor of the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Act. This act will initiate the process for constructing a memorial to the brave men and women of the Armed Forces who have fought and, too often, died for this country in the wake of the September 11 attacks.

As a veteran of the Iraq war, I am extremely proud to be on the floor today as we memorialize the bravest and best of Americans: the ones who volunteered to put themselves in harm’s way and did not come back.

I want to commend my fellow Marines, Mr. MOULTON and Mr. GALLagher, for sponsoring this act. As you know, Mr. Speaker, it takes a lot for an enlisted marine like me to speak very highly of any officer, let alone Marine Corps officers, but there are always exceptions.

Mr. Speaker, as we consider this legislation, I hope every Member of this body will take a quiet moment today to think about the courageous men and women we have lost over the past 16 years. When I cast my vote today, I know I will be thinking about my close friends, including Corporal Andre Williams and Lance Corporal Christopher Lyons, who died 12 years ago today in Cyklia, Iraq. Their memorial will honor thousands of our countrymen who have been killed and wounded in Iraq and around the world. It will memorialize the service of those who have survived the battle only to fall victim to the mental and emotional demons of post-traumatic stress. It will represent a token of thanks to the family and friends who have served by supporting their loved ones in uniform.
For as long as this memorial stands, it will continue the proud tradition of America honoring its sons and daughters who have given their last full measure of devotion. I look forward to commencing design and to the day when the memorial passes on a piece of paper to a landmark on the National Mall. I thank Congressman MOULTON and Congressman GALLAGHER for their remarks.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of this bill that honors our post-9/11 veterans and secures their rightful place in our Nation’s Capital.

Earlier this summer, I had the honor of welcoming a group of American heroes who journeyed from northeast Wisconsin to Washington, D.C., aboard the Old Glory Honor Flight. That is one of the truly incredible things we get to do as Members of Congress.

Just as generation after generation of veterans representing every major conflict since World War II visiting the very memorials that honor their sacrifice, their service, their commitment, their courage in those conflicts was one of the most remarkable experiences of my life.

Just as these veterans visited their respective memorials, so, too, should the brave men and women who have served and continue to serve in the ongoing war on terrorism. Having memorials like this one honors our combatants, their sacrifice, their commitment. But as the chairman laid out, current law does not allow for the creation for a memorial for the war on terror.

The 1986 Commemorative Works Act requires that monuments in honor of a “...limited military engagement or a unit of an armed force may not be authorized... until at least 10 years after the officially designated end of such war or conflict.”

But as we know, this is a conflict unlike many others that have preceded it. This war will have no clear end date, no V Day or V-J Day. Threats continue to rise, so the longest ongoing conflict in our Nation’s history continues. After 15 years, after over 6,800 American lives lost, there is still no end in sight.

So this memorial is for the men and women who have served fighting, those who continue to fight, those who are still joining the fight against terrorism.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to take a second to recognize the dedicated work of the War on Terrorism Memorial Foundation, many of whom are with us here today, especially Andrew Brennan, George Chewning, Chad Longell, and Matt Gannon. They have been tireless in their commitment to getting this done.

Today we are taking an important step forward, but we still need to get it across the finish line, so I want to recognize their efforts.

I just want to say, Mr. Speaker, like most, I struggle with how to truly honor the men and women whose sacrifices far exceed my own. I was lucky. I had great marines that kept me safe, and we all came home safe. But my hope is that this memorial will serve as not only a way to honor those who paid the ultimate sacrifices, but also as a call to action for us, the living.

I believe that those we will pay tribute to through this memorial didn’t risk everything just so we would sit back and praise them for keeping us safe. I see people like this every single day. They have put everything on the line for their country, for us, and we want to participate in this incredible experiment in self-government.

If we are going to continue passing the torch of democracy from one generation to the next, then we need to build this memorial so that future generations never forget their duty to do the same, so that future generations never forget the cost of liberty.

Mr. Speaker, I call on my colleagues to support this legislation to memorialize the service of the brave men and women who have fought in the global war on terrorism.

Mr. MOULTON. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleagues from Wisconsin and Arizona. It is an honor to stand here as fellow marines in support of this bill today.

Today is a big day. It is a milestone in a journey that we started over a year ago. We are taking a major legislative step in the direction of creating a memorial honoring those who have served on Active Duty during the global war on terror.

For many, like my colleagues, this bill is personal. None of us will forget where we were on September 11, 2001, and we all continue to live with the aftermath of that tragic day in American history.

It is important to note that the tragic events of 9/11 and the war on terror to follow did not leave us isolated and afraid as a nation. Rather, in true American fashion, they inspired an entire generation to come together and serve.

So many young men and women selflessly answered the call to serve their country in the wake of that tragedy, and I would like to share just one story with you about someone from my district who would be on this memorial.

Captain Jennifer Harris lived in the town of Swampscott. She was a graduate of the United States Naval Academy, and, sadly, became the first Massachusetts woman killed in the Iraq war.

Jennifer was the epitome of leadership and sacrifice. On flying missions, she had the call sign “The Dove.” She will often be called to evacuate our wounded soldiers and marines from the battlefield.

Captain Harris’ final mission was to transport blood supplies. She willingly volunteered for it even though she was just days away from returning home. In fact, she was initially told:

No, you are too close to leaving. Don’t go.

But she said:

I want to fly one more time.

Her superiors reluctantly agreed. Captain Harris died on February 7, along with six other crew members after insurgents shot down her helicopter.

In her twenties, with a bright future ahead of her, Captain Harris was on her third tour of duty in Iraq.

I can’t help but think today of my grandfather, a World War II veteran. He never got to see the WWII Memorial in his lifetime. He was never afforded the opportunity to have some place to take his family to reflect on his time in the service or remember his colleagues who did not have the opportunity to come home.

Let’s not let that history repeat itself. The men and women who have given their all in the war on terror should be afforded a place on the National Mall where their loved ones can pay respects and honor their sacrifice.

Passage of this bill is an important first step in seeing this memorial built in our lifetime.

Let’s get this done for Captain Jennifer Harris and the thousands of others like her who have made the ultimate sacrifice for our country.

Mr. MCCINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ROTHFUS).

Mr. ROTHFUS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California for your indulgence.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in the strongest support possible for this bill to begin the process of establishing a memorial to honor the servicemembers of our longest war.

5,799 days ago, on a crystal blue September morning, we came face to face with an evil as old as time. Within days, our military was engaged, and within weeks, we sustained our first
Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. OULTON, Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. PANETTA. To show our bipartisan nature, we are going to allow somebody from the Army to speak also on this bill.

Mr. PANETTA. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Wisconsin, the gentleman from Arizona; Mr. OULTON from Massachusetts for their work on this legislation and for their service to our country.

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. GALLAGHER). To show our bipartisan nature, we are going to allow somebody from the Army to speak also on this bill.

Mr. PANETTA. I thank the gentleman for yielding, but like a typical marine, he actually made it worse. I was actually in the Navy.

Mr. GALLEGO. That is worse.

Mr. PANETTA. I was a Navy officer. I am sure that was on purpose.

So standing here actually as a Navy officer, being asked to address this bill, H.R. 873, and to support this bill, being asked by a bunch of marines is a true honor, and it is very humbling at the same time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 873, the Global War on Terrorism Memorial Act, to create the foundation, which will then provide for the Global War on Terrorism Memorial right here on the National Mall.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. GALLEGO from Arizona; Mr. MOULTON from Massachusetts; and, of course, Mr. GALLAGHER from Wisconsin, my classmate here in the 115th Congress, a fellow freshman. Again, it is quite a privilege to stand here with these fellow veterans, but also as a true sign of bipartisanship in the House of Representatives.

Now, I had remarks prepared yesterday to address the House about this topic, but last night I went to Arlington National Cemetery. I took my two daughters, who are here in town. We saw what people normally see, the changing of the guard, the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier, the tomb of President Kennedy. But I made it a point to go to area 60, the area where there are many recent interments from the people, from our veterans, from our brethren, who have served in the global war on terrorism.

On that hallowed ground, I made it a point to seek out someone I served with, a gentleman by the name of Brendan Looney. I served with Mr. Looney in Korea. He was an intelligence officer back then. Both of us were asked to make that step forward, but I went forward, but Brendan Looney wanted to do more than just that; he wanted to become a SEAL, and he did. He served in Iraq and he served in Afghanistan. It was in 2010 when the Blackhawk he was riding in went down and crashed, killing everybody onboard.

Now, Brendan is interred in area 60 at Arlington National Cemetery right along with his brethren, with his brother in arms, Travis Manion. I looked at Travis Manion’s tombstone, and on that tombstone was a quote that I would like to share. On that tombstone, it says:

If not me, then who?

And right next to Mr. Manion’s tombstone was another 19-year-old who was interred there, a veteran of the global war on terrorism. And on his tombstone was another quote that I would like to share. It said:

I just want to do my part.

Mr. Speaker, it is this attitude that was inscribed on those tombstones which is the attitude of this generation of veterans who served in the global war on terrorism, my generation, our generation, men and women who didn’t step back; instead, they stepped forward. They didn’t just step forward; they ran forward, they ran to the fight.

Now, also at Arlington National Cemetery was another quote that I would like to share, and it was from John F. Kennedy. The quote that they had up there by his tomb was from one of his speeches, in which he stated:

In the long history of the world, only a few generations have had the role of defending freedom in its hour of maximum danger. I do not shrink from this responsibility. I welcome it.

The men and women who served in the global war on terrorism did not shrink from that responsibility. They did not run away. They ran forward.

So, Mr. Speaker, I believe that it is important to have this memorial because the families, the friends, the men and women of this country should not just stand to pay their respects to honor those who served and paid the ultimate sacrifice. That is why I stand here, Mr. Speaker, in full support of the memorial here on the National Mall to not just honor, but to celebrate the service and the sacrifice of the veterans of the global war on terrorism.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GALLAGHER), who has brought this legislation before us today.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to quickly add my thanks to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MOULTON), who started this effort in the last Congress with another colleague of ours, and I was fortunate enough to join that team when I arrived here. Without his dedication from the start, we would not be at this moment today.

I would also thank him for his example of leadership in the Marine Corps and in Congress, which has inspired a generation of marines like myself. And while we don’t agree on everything, we always look for ways to work together.

It is my belief in just listening to these speeches, particularly from the veterans who serve in Congress, that there is so much that we can work together on; and there are forces that are trying to divide us, but there is still so much that we can agree upon.

So while this may seem like a small step, I would submit that this is big. And I hope this is the beginning of more work that we can do on behalf of the country, and that is what it should be about at the end of the day.

So I just thank all of my colleagues. I thank the chairman for his help. I think this could be our moment. With a divided time, we came together to do something good on behalf of past generations of veterans and on behalf of the next generation.

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Again, I thank Congressman GALLAGHER, Congressman MOULTON, and all of the veterans who have sponsored this bill in remembrance of our brothers and sisters from the global war on terrorism.

I would like to speak a couple more minutes just because I rarely have the opportunity to speak about the guys that I served with. It was a group called Lima 325 out of Ohio. It was one of the best groups of men who I probably have ever met. We were nice young men from New Mexico that were suddenly added to these country boys and city boys from Ohio and sent to the middle of nowhere. And from there, we saw a lot of combat. We lost a lot of friends.

In section 60, many of us veterans have this tradition where we go and we put our quarters on the headstones of the men we served with. And I, unfortunately, have to put down a lot of quarters.

But one of the things that I will always remember is that this unit, Lima 325, had to come from all walks of life in America. You had African Americans, you had country boys from Ohio, you had Navajo from the Navajo Nation and other parts of our Tribal Nations, you had Latinos.

I even taught the Ohio boys how to cook since they don’t really have good taste. We introduced them to the idea of green chili, which I have heard has now taken off in Ohio. And we lived together for 7 months, going from house to house, from one hole to the next. And even today, though many of us cannot see each other, we still live with each other in our spirits and in our souls.
These types of memorials matter. For many of us, this generation is not the generation that served together on a base. Many of us actually served from different parts of the world. We dropped our jobs and what we were doing, our school sometimes, to join in, leave our families, leave the comfort and safety of the United States to go and defend what we believed was important to this country and to honor our commitment.

Sometimes it is these types of memorials that are the only thing that actually bring us together. I recently was lucky enough to have my 10-year reunion with the guys from Lima Company, and that is the first time I had seen many men that I had lived with for so long. It was a very inspiring as well as moving, and really consoling thing for me.

I believe that having a memorial of this nature would be extremely important for many men and women to be able to know, to be able to come and maybe not necessarily physically meet with their partners, with their comrades, but to at least be able to spiritually have a place to meet with their comrades, with the people that they served with in arms.

Mr. Speaker, I urge everyone to vote “yes,” and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

With this vote, we will begin proceeding on this memorial and begin a process of planning a great work to honor the bravery, sacrifice, and patriotism to those who have defended Western civilization from the medieval barbarism that has reappeared in our time.

But even more importantly, we begin work on a tangible promise for future generations, a promise animated by the heroic deeds of these veterans, the promise of new and former employees of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3180.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

Motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 481, I call up the bill (H.R. 3180) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2018 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 481, the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, printed in the bill, shall be considered as adopted, and the bill, as amended, shall be considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 3180
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE, TABLE OF CONTENTS.
(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018”.
(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:
Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Definitions.

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.
Sec. 102. Classified Schedule of Authorizations.
Sec. 103. Personnel ceiling adjustments.
Sec. 104. Intelligence Community Management Account.

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM
Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE III—GENERAL INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MATTERS
Sec. 301. Restriction on conduct of intelligence activities.
Sec. 302. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law.
Sec. 303. Congressional oversight of intelligence community contractors.
Sec. 304. Enhanced personnel security programs.

TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY
Sec. 401. Authority for protection of current and former employees of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.
Sec. 402. Designation of the program manager information sharing environment.
Sec. 403. Technical correction to the executive schedule.

Subtitle A—Office of the Director of National Intelligence
Sec. 411. Requirements relating to appointment of General Counsel of National Security Agency.
Sec. 412. Transfer or elimination of certain components and functions of the Defense Intelligence Agency.
Sec. 413. Technical amendments related to the Department of Energy.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES
Sec. 501. Assessment of significant Russian influence campaigns directed at foreign elections and referenda.
Sec. 502. Foreign counterintelligence and cyber-security threats to Federal election campaigns.
Sec. 503. Assessment of threat finance relating to the Russian Federation.

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS
Sec. 601. Period of overseas assignments for certain foreign service officers.
Sec. 602. Semiannual reports on investigations of unauthorized public disclosures of classified information.
Sec. 603. Intelligence community reports on security clearances.
Sec. 605. Report on role of Director of National Intelligence with respect to certain foreign investments.
Sec. 606. Report on Cyber Exchange Program.
Sec. 607. Review of intelligence community participation in vulnerabilities equities process.
Sec. 608. Review of Intelligence Community whistleblower matters.
Sec. 609. Sense of Congress on notifications of certain disclosures of classified information.

TITLE VII—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2018 for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the following elements of the United States Government:
(1) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence.
(2) The Central Intelligence Agency.
(3) The Department of Defense.
(4) The Defense Intelligence Agency.
(6) The Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force.
(7) The Coast Guard.
(8) The Department of State.
(9) The Department of the Treasury.
(10) The Department of Energy.
(11) The Department of Justice.
(13) The Drug Enforcement Administration.
(14) The National Reconnaissance Office.
(15) The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency.
Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a). Such additional authorizations to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account by subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account for fiscal year 2018 the sum of $514,000,000.

SEC. 202. COMPUTATION OF ANNUITIES FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

(a) COMPUTATION OF ANNUITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 221 of the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act (50 U.S.C. 2031) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(3)(B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, as determined by using the basic pay that would be payable for full-time service in that position,”;

(B) in subsection (b)(4)(C), by striking “12-month” and inserting “2-year”;

(C) in subsection (f)(2), by striking “one year” and inserting “two years”;

(D) in subsection (g)(2), by striking “one year” each place and inserting “two years”;

(E) by redesignating subsections (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l) as subsections (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m), respectively; and

(F) by inserting after subsection (g) the following:

“(h) CONDITIONAL ELECTION OF INSURABLE INTEREST SURVIVOR ANNUITY BY PARTICIPANTS MARRIED AT THE TIME OF RETIREMENT.—

“(1) AUTHORITY TO MAKE DESIGNATION.—Subject to the rights of former spouses under subsection (b) and section 222, at the time of retirement a married participant found by the Director to be in good health may elect to receive an annuity reduced in accordance with subsection (j)(1)(B) and designating in writing an individual having an insurable interest in the participant to receive an annuity under the system after the participant’s death, of which any such election to provide an insurable interest survivor annuity to the participant’s spouse shall only be effective if the participant’s spouse waives the spousal right to a survivor annuity under this Act. The amount of the annuity shall be equal to 55 percent of the participant’s reduced annuity.

“(2) REDUCTION IN PARTICIPANT’S ANNUITY.—

The annuity payable to the participant making such election shall be reduced by 10 percent of an annuity computed under subsection (a) and by an additional amount not to exceed 10 percent of the participant’s annuity that is not otherwise authorized by the Congress or the laws of the United States.

“(3) COMMENCEMENT OF SURVIVOR ANNUITY.—

The annuity payable to the designated individual shall begin on the day after the retired participant dies and terminate on the last day of the month before the designated individual dies.

“(4) RECOMPUTATION OF PARTICIPANT’S ANNUITY ON DEATH OF DESIGNATED INDIVIDUAL.—An annuity which is reduced under this subsection shall, effective the first day of the month following the death of the designated individual, be recomputed and paid as if the annuity had not been reduced. An annuity which is reduced under this subsection shall not be increased, nor shall the participant be paid a benefit for such increased annuity.

“(m) E FFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect as if enacted on October 28, 2009, and shall apply to computations or participants, respectively, as of such date.

SEC. 203. RESTRICTION ON CONDUCT OF INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.

The authorization of appropriations by this Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of any intelligence activity which is not otherwise authorized by the Congress or the laws of the United States.

SEC. 204. INCREASE IN EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Appropriations authorized by this Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for Federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in such compensation or benefits authorized by law.

SEC. 205. CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY CONTRACTORS.

(a) OVERSIGHT BY CONGRESS.—The Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 2001 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 506J the following new section:

“Sec. 506K. OVERSIGHT OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY CONTRACTORS.

“(a) Notwithstanding the terms of any contract awarded by the head of an element of the intelligence community, the head may—

“(1) prohibit a contractor of such element from contacting or meeting with either of the congressional intelligence committees (including a member or an employee thereof) to discuss matters relating to a contract;

“(2) take any adverse action against a contractor of such element, including by suspending or debarring the contractor or terminating a contract, based on the contractor contacting or meeting with either of the congressional intelligence committees (including a member or an employee thereof) to discuss matters relating to a contract;

“(3) require the approval of the head before a contractor of such element contacts or meets with either of the congressional intelligence committees (including a member or an employee thereof) to discuss matters relating to a contract;

“(4) require the approval of the head before a contractor of such element contacts or meets with either of the congressional intelligence committees (including a member or an employee thereof) to discuss matters relating to a contract;

“(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in the first section of the National Security Act of 1947 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 5063 the following new item:

“Sec. 506K, Oversight of intelligence community contractors.”
(b) APPLICATION.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(1) shall apply with respect to a contract awarded by the head of an element of the intelligence community on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 304. ENHANCED PERSONNEL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

Section 1001(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “AUDIT” and inserting “REVIEW”;

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “audit” and inserting “review”;

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking “audit” and inserting “review”.

TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

Subtitle A—Office of the Director of National Intelligence

SEC. 401. AUTHORITY FOR PROTECTION OF CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES OF THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.

Section 5(a)(4) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3056(a)(4)) is amended by inserting “Director of National Intelligence” wherever that term appears and inserting “Director of National Intelligence” after “the intelligence community”.

SEC. 402. DESIGNATION OF THE PROGRAM MANAGER INFORMATION SHARING ENVIRONMENT.

(a) INFORMATION SHARING ENVIRONMENT.—Section 1016(f) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (6 U.S.C. 485(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “President” and inserting “Director of National Intelligence”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “President” both places that term appears and inserting “Director of National Intelligence”.

(b) PROGRAM MANAGER.—Section 1016(f) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (6 U.S.C. 485(b)) is amended by adding at the end of the section the following new subsection:

“Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security.”.

Subtitle B—Other Elements

SEC. 411. REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2 of the National Security Agency Act of 1959 (Public Law 86–36, 50 U.S.C. 3002) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c)(1) There is a General Counsel of the National Security Agency.

“(c)(2) The General Counsel of the National Security Agency shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

“(c)(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsection (c) of section 2 of the National Security Agency Act of 1959 (Public Law 86–36; 50 U.S.C. 3002) shall apply with respect to any person who is appointed to serve as General Counsel of the National Security Agency on or after January 21, 2021.

SEC. 412. TRANSFER OR ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN COMPONENTS AND FUNCTIONS OF THE DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

(a) INFORMATION REVIEW TASK FORCE.—

(1) TRANSFER REQUIRED.—Effective on the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, there is transferred from the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff all functions performed by the Information Review Task Force and all assigned responsibilities performed by the Information Review Task Force. Upon such transfer, such Task Force shall be designated as the Joint Staff’s controlled activity.

(2) TRANSITION PLAN.—

(A) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly brief the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees a formal plan for the transfer required under paragraph (1).

(B) SUBMITTAL OF FINAL PLAN.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency and the Director for Intelligence of the Joint Staff shall jointly submit to the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees a formal plan for the transfer required under paragraph (1).

(3) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—The Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency may not obligate or expend any funds authorized to be appropriated for the Watchlisting Branch for fiscal year 2018 after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. Any such funds that are unobligated or unexpended as of such date shall be transferred to the Director for Intelligence of the Joint Staff.

(b) COUNTER-THREAT FINANCE.

(1) ELIMINATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency shall terminate the Counter-Threat Finance analysis function of the Defense Intelligence Agency.

(2) TRANSITION PLAN.—

(A) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency shall brief the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees on the plan to terminate the Counter-Threat Finance analysis function under paragraph (1).

(B) SUBMITTAL OF FINAL PLAN.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees a formal plan to terminate such function under paragraph (1).

(C) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—The Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency may not obligate or expend any funds authorized to be appropriated for the Counter-Threat Finance analysis function for fiscal year 2018 after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. Any such funds that are unobligated or unexpended as of such date shall be transferred to the Director for Intelligence of the Joint Staff.

(d) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE UNIVERSITY.

(1) TRANSFER REQUIRED.—Effective on October 1, 2019, there is transferred from the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency to the Director of National Intelligence all functions and all assigned responsibilities performed by the National Intelligence University.

(2) TRANSITION PLAN.—

(A) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.—Not later than October 1, 2018, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly brief the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees a formal plan for the transfer required under paragraph (1).

(B) SUBMITTAL OF FINAL PLAN.—Not later than April 1, 2019, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly submit to the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees a formal plan for the transfer required under paragraph (1).

(C) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—The Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency may not obligate or expend any funds authorized to be appropriated for the National Intelligence University after October 1, 2020. Any such funds that are unobligated or unexpended as of such date that are unobligated or unexpended as of such date shall be transferred to the Director for Intelligence of the Joint Staff.
date shall be transferred to the Director of Na-
tional Intelligence.
(f) CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE FOR REPROGRAM-
MING.—Not later than 30 days before transfer-
ing funds relating to transferring or elimi-
inating any function under this section, the Di-
tector of the Defense Intelligence Agency shall
submit to the congressional intelligence commit-
tees and the congressional defense committees
notice in writing of such transfer.
(g) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONS AND
RESPONSIBILITIES.—(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any function or
executive agent responsibility that is trans-
ferred to the Director of National Intelligence pursuant
to this section, the Director of Na-
tional Intelligence may not delegate such func-
tion or responsibility to another element of the
intelligence community.
(2) EXECUTIVE AGENT RESPONSIBILITY.—In this
subsection, the term “executive agent responsi-
bility” means the specific responsibilities, func-
tions, and authorities assigned by the Director
of National Intelligence to the head of an intel-
ligence community element to provide defined
levels of support for intelligence operations, or
administer (i) oversight, (ii) budget, or (iii) activities to ef-
ficiently serve the Federal customer base of the Center;
(ii) the failure of the Director of National In-
telligence to define in policy the term “Executive Agent”
may be further limiting the ability of the Center to re-
cieve sufficient resources to carry out the critical
mission of the Center; and
(iii) the state of the requirements of the intel-
ligence community with respect to underground facilities are not adequately
being met given the scale and complexity of the
problem set and the small amount of funding currently received by the Center.
(3) REPORT.—Not later than October 1, 2018,
the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency,
the Director of National Intelligence, and the
Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall
jointly submit to the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense
committees a report that includes:
(i) the missions and functions of the Under-
ground Facilities Analysis Center;
(ii) the state of the requirements of the intel-
ligence community with respect to underground facilities and the
ability of the Center to meet such requirements;
(iii) the current organizational assignment of the Center to the Director
of the Defense Intelligence Agency;
(iv) the effectiveness of the current oversight structure between the Center, the Defense Intel-
ligence Agency, the Secretary of Defense, and
the Director of National Intelligence; and
(v) the resources and authorities necessary to most effectively execute the missions and func-
tions of the Center.
(k) CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES DE-
FINED.—In this section, the term “congressional defense committees” means:
(A) the Committees on Armed Services of the
Senate and House of Representatives; and
(B) the Committees on Appropriations of the
Senate and House of Representatives.
SEC. 413. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS RELATED TO
THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.
(a) ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACT.—Section
4524(b)(2) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2674(b)(2)) is amended by inserting “In-
telligence” and “after “The Director of”.
(b) NATIONAL SECURITY ACT.—Para-
graph (2) of section 106(b) of the National Secu-
ritv Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3041(b)(2)) is amend-
ed—
(1) in subparagraph (E), by inserting “Intelligence” and
“Counterintelligence” after “Office of Intel-
ligence”;
(2) by striking subparagraph (F)
(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (G), (H), and
(I) as subparagraphs (F), (G), and (H), re-
spectively; and
(4) in subparagraph (H), as redesignated by paragraph (3), by reordering the margin of such
subparagraph two ems to the left.
TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO
FOREIGN COUNTRIES
SEC. 501. ASSESSMENT OF SIGNIFICANT RUSSIAN IN-
FLUENCE CAMPAIGNS DIRECTED AT
FOREIGN ELECTIONS AND
REFERENDA.
(a) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 60
days after the date of the enactment of this Act,
the Director of National Intelligence shall
submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report containing an analytical assessment of the
most significant Russian influence cam-
paigns, if any, conducted during the 3-year pe-
riod preceding the date of the enactment of this
Act, as well as significant current or planned such Russian influence campaigns, if any. Such assessment shall include—
(b) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
(C) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
(C) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
(C) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
(C) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
(C) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
(C) TREATMENT OF CAMPAIGNS SUBJECT TO
HIGHER THREATS.—If the Director of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Under
Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and
Analysis jointly determine that an election
campaign for Federal office is subject to a
higher threat, the Director of National Intelli-
gence shall, consistent with the protection of sources
and methods, each of the following:
(A) A description of foreign counterintel-
ligence and cybersecurity threats to election
campaigns for Federal offices.
(B) A description of any publicly available
resources, including United States Government
resources, for countering such threats.
of National Intelligence, acting through the National Intelligence Manager for Threat Finance, shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report containing an assessment of the financing of threat activity by the Russian Federation.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report submitted under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) A summary of leading examples from the 3-year period prior to the date of the report of any threat finance activities conducted by, for the benefit of, or at the behest of officials of the Government of Russia, persons subject to sanctions under any provision of law imposing sanctions with respect to Russia, or Russian nationals subject to sanctions under any other provision of law.

(2) An assessment with respect to any trends or patterns in threat finance activities relating to Russia, including common methods of conducting such activities.

(3) A summary of engagement and coordination with international partners on threat finance relating to Russia, especially in Europe, including examples of such engagement and coordination.

(4) An identification of any resource and collection gaps.

(c) FORM.—The report submitted under subsection (a) may be submitted in classified form.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the term ‘threat finance’ means—

(1) the financing of cyber operations, global influence campaigns, intelligence service activities, espionage, terrorism, or transnational crime and drug organizations;

(2) the methods and entities used to spend, store, move, raise, or conceal money or value on behalf of the actors;

(3) sanctions evasion; or

(4) other forms of threat financing domestically or internationally, as defined by the President.

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

SEC. 601. PERIOD OF OVERSEAS ASSIGNMENTS FOR CERTAIN FOREIGN SERVICE OFFICERS.

(a) LENGTH OF PERIOD OF ASSIGNMENT.—Subsection (a) of section 502 of the Foreign Service Act of 1948 (22 U.S.C. 2382) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) the total number of periodic background investigations opened for existing employees.

(b) FOREIGN LANGUAGE DEPLOYMENT REQUIREMENTS.—Section 702 of the Foreign Service Act of 1948 (22 U.S.C. 4021) is amended by—

(1) redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

“(c) FOREIGN LANGUAGE DEPLOYMENT REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State, with the heads of other relevant officials, shall require all members of the Service who acquire foreign language training in Arabic, Farsi, Chinese (Mandarin or Cantonese), Turkish, Korean, and Japanese by the institution or otherwise in accordance with subsection (b) to serve three successive tours in positions in which the acquired language is both relevant and determined to be a benefit to the Department.

(2) OVERSEAS DEPLOYMENTS.—In carrying out paragraph (1), at least one of the three successive tours referred to in such paragraph shall be an overseas deployment.

(3) WAIVER.—The Secretary of State may waive the application of paragraph (1) for medical or family hardship or in the interest of national security.

(4) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary of State shall notify the Committees on Appropriations and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and Committees on Appropriations and Foreign Relations of the Senate at the end of each fiscal year of any initial or renewal applications for such waiver authority described in paragraph (3) that was invoked.

SEC. 602. SEMIANNUAL REPORTS ON INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED PUBLIC DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The Atomic Energy Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 1105. SEMIANNUAL REPORTS ON INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED PUBLIC DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—On a semiannual basis, each covered official shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report that includes—

(1) the number of investigations opened by the covered official regarding an unauthorized public disclosure of classified information;

(2) the number of investigations completed by the covered official regarding an unauthorized public disclosure of classified information; and

(3) the number of such completed investigations identified under paragraph (2), the number referred to the Attorney General for criminal investigation.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

(1) the term ‘covered official’ means—

(A) the heads of each element of the intelligence community; and

(B) the inspectors general with oversight responsibility for an element of the intelligence community;

(2) the term ‘investigation’ means any inquiry, whether formal or informal, into the existence of an unauthorized public disclosure of classified information;

(3) the term ‘unauthorized public disclosure of classified information’ means the unauthorized disclosure of classified information to a journalist or media organization;

(4) the term ‘number of such completed investigations’ means the number of investigations completed by, for the purposes of this section, the intelligence community during the fiscal year under consideration.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on the feasibility, justification, costs, and benefits of expanding the jurisdiction of the protective services of the Central Intelligence Agency under section 15(a)(1) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3515(a))(ii).

SEC. 603. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY REPORTS ON SECURITY CLEARANCES.

Section 506H of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3104) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by inserting ‘and’ after the semicolon

(A) in subparagraph (A)(ii), by inserting ‘and’ after the semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (B)(ii), by striking ‘;’ and inserting a period; and

(C) by striking subparagraph (C);

(2) by redesigning subsection (b) as subsection (c);

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

“(b) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY REPORTS.—(1) Not later than three months after the end of the fiscal year, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on the security clearances processed by each element of the intelligence community during the preceding fiscal year. Each such report shall separately identify security clearances processed by each such element and shall cover Federal employees and contractor employees.

(2) Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include each of the following for each element of the intelligence community for the year covered by the report:

(A) The total number of initial security clearance background investigations opened for new applicants.

(B) The total number of security clearance periodic re-investigations opened for existing employees.

(C) The total number of initial security clearance background investigations for new applicants that were finalized and adjudicated with notice of a determination provided to the prospective applicant, including—

(i) the total number that were adjudicated favorably and granted access to classified information; and

(ii) the total number that were adjudicated unfavorably and resulted in a denial or revocation of a security clearance.

(D) The total number of security clearance periodic background investigations that were finalized and adjudicated with notice of a determination provided to the existing employee, including—

(i) the total number that were adjudicated favorably; and

(ii) the total number that were adjudicated unfavorably and resulted in a denial or revocation of a security clearance.

(E) The total number of pending security clearance background investigations, including initial applicant investigations and periodic re-investigations, that were not finalized and adjudicated as of the last day of such year and that remained pending as follows:

(i) For 180 days or less;

(ii) For 180 days or longer, but less than 18 months;

(iii) For 18 months or longer, but less than 24 months;

(iv) For 24 months or longer;

(F) In the case of security clearance determinations completed or pending during the year preceding the year for which the report is submitted that have taken longer than 12 months to complete—

(i) the cause of the delay for such determinations; and

(ii) the number of such determinations for which polygraph examinations were required.

(G) The percentage of security clearance investigations, including initial and periodic re-investigations, that resulted in a denial or revocation of a security clearance.

(H) The percentage of security clearance investigations that resulted in incomplete information.

(I) The percentage of security clearance investigations that did not result in enough information to make a decision on potentially adverse information.

(J) The report required under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

and

(4) in subsection (c), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by inserting ‘and (b)’ after ‘subsection (a)’.

SEC. 604. REPORT ON EXPANSION OF SECURITY PROTECTIVE SERVICES JURISDICTION.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on the feasibility, justification, costs, and benefits of expanding the jurisdiction of the protective services of the Central Intelligence Agency under section 15(a)(1) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3515(a)).

The report shall include—

(1) an explanation of the need for expanding such jurisdiction beyond the 500-feet limit specified in such section 15(a)(1); and

(2) an identification of any comparable federal background investigations performed by the Federal Government in the Washington metropolitan region (as defined in section 8301 of title 40, United States Code) whose protective services jurisdictions exceed 500 feet.

(b) FORM.—The report under subsection (a) may be submitted in classified form.
with potential foreign investments into the government of national security risks associated in
rector in preparing analytic materials in con-
ligence committees a report on the role of the Di-
the heads of the elements of the intelligence
dated to the enactment of this Act, the Director
the Inspector General of the Intelligence Com-
revised for the provision of the analytic materials described in sub-
section (a); and
(2) identify the most significant benefits and drawbacks relating to the time allotted to the Director to prepare such materials, and
(3) include recommendations to improve such process.

SEC. 606. REPORT ON CYBER EXCHANGE PROGRAM.
(a) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the con-
gressional intelligence committees a report on the potential establishment of a fully voluntary exchange program between elements of the intel-
ligence community and private technology companies under which—
(1) an employee of an element of the intel-
ligence community with demonstrated expertise and work experience in cybersecurity or related disciplines may be temporarily detailed to a private technology company that has elected to receive the detailee; and
(2) an employee of a private technology company with demonstrated expertise and work experience in cybersecurity or related disciplines may elect to be temporarily detailed to an ele-
ment of the intelligence community that has elected to receive the detailee.
(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under subsection (a) shall—
(1) describe the current process for the provision of the analytic materials described in sub-
section (a);
(2) identify the most significant benefits and drawbacks of such process with respect to the role of the Director, including any benefits or drawbacks relating to the time allotted to the Director to prepare such materials, and
(3) include recommendations to improve such process.

SEC. 607. REVIEW OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN VULNERABILITIES EQUITIES PROCESS.
(a) REVIEW.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community shall re-
view, with respect to the 3-year period preceding the date of the review, the roles and responsibil-
ties of the elements of the intelligence commu-

nigence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the Defense In-
telligence Agency, and the National Reconna-
sance Office in a review of the au-
thorities, policies, investigatory standards, and
other practices and procedures relating to intel-
ligence community whistleblower matters, with respect to such process.
(c) VULNERABILITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘‘vulnerability’’ means, with respect to information technology, a design, configuration, or implementation that allows exploitation or use for an adversary foreign government using methods other than established intelligence channels; and
(ii) an assessment of whether there were any vulnerabilities known to elements of the intel-
ligence community that were not reviewed pur-
suant to such process, and if so, the basis and rationale for not conducting such a review; and
(iii) a summary of the most significant inci-
dents in which a vulnerability known to the in-
elligence community, but not shared with or re-
leased to a non-Federal entity or the public, was exploited by an individual, an entity, or a for-

countries: (A) occupying a position specified in article II of the Constitution;
(B) appointed to a position by an individual described in subparagraph (A); or
(C) serving in the civil service or the senior ex-
cutive service (or similar service for senior exec-
tives of particular departments or agencies).

The SPEAKER pro tempore, the gen-
tleman from California (Mr. SCHIFF) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. NUNES).

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to review and ex-
tend their remarks and insert extraneous material in the RECORD on the bill, H.R. 3180.
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The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this is a good bipartisan bill that we have worked all year putting together in a bipartisan manner. I thank all of my colleagues not only on the Intelligence Committee, but also those colleagues who came down to preview the bill. Hopefully they got all the information from our expert staff to provide all the answers that they may need so that they understand the classified nature of the bill and the importance of this bill to our Nation's intelligence community and our warfighters.

I want to highlight just a few things in the bill, because we did debate this bill on Monday, so I will go through this quickly.

The legislation provides the intelligence community authorization needed to protect and defend the United States. It supports critical national security programs, such as those protecting Americans against terrorism, cyber attacks, and hostile foreign nations.

The total funding authorized in the bill is slightly below the President's budget request, and is consistent with the House Budget Committee-passed fiscal year 2018 budget resolution.

H.R. 3180 enables the intelligence community to carry out its vital work protecting Americans in the most complex threat environment since 9/11.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 is a solid, bipartisan bill, one that garnered unanimous approval in the committee by voice vote.

I thank my committee colleagues and the entire HPSCI staff for their collaborative efforts in crafting the measure that we consider here today.

In the 114th Congress, this committee worked in a similar bipartisan fashion to pass into law four key pieces of national security legislation. I am proud of our past legislative accomplishments, which testify to the virtues of substantive deliberation and reasoned compromise without needing to compromise the security of the American people or of our brave servicemembers.

Reflecting on the past year, on Russia's assault on Western democracies, and on other challenges as well, from everything to include North Korea's unrelenting pursuit of a strategic nuclear capability, we owe the American people a deliberate process.

I wish the bill had come to the floor with a rule that allowed Members to offer amendments. That opportunity is commensurate with the important staff the intelligence bill and the need for vigorous oversight of the IC by the whole Congress.

Nonetheless, the manifest shortcomings in the process cannot take precedence over the imperative of discharging our duty and authorizing the operations of the intelligence community. On its merits, I urge all Members to vote "yea" on H.R. 3180 today.

The Intelligence Committee oversees some of the most sensitive and highly classified aspects of U.S. intelligence operations and programs. The annual Intelligence Authorization Act is the primary means by which we can guarantee a sound and appropriate relationship between our Nation's national security imperatives and the civil liberties and privacy rights enshrined in the Constitution.

H.R. 3180 does just that by funding, equipping, and giving policy direction to the intelligence community and military intelligence elements of the Defense Department. It sustains support for key national security priorities and programs, and represents a slight decrease from the President's budget request for 2018.

This bill features a number of provisions championed by my Democratic colleagues, some of which redouble the IC's focus on the scope and nature of the threat, both overt and covert, that Russia poses to our interests and American political institutions.

H.R. 3180 also mandates public reporting about counterintelligence and cyber threats against future U.S. elections; bolsters oversight of our policy guiding counterterrorism actions outside of declared areas of hostilities; and seeks more information about the executive branch's process for determining when and to whom to reveal information about vulnerabilities in computers and networks.

At the same time, and unlike IAAs of years past, this bill omits problematic language regarding Guantanamo detentions and the role of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

I am likewise of some elements in this legislation, but the bill's merits outweigh any misgivings I may have, and I was proud to cosponsor the bill along with the chairman. Through it, our committee and the Congress as a whole serves as a guardrail against any excesses, transgressions or abuses. That has always been a solemn obligation, but at this uncertain and unprecedented moment in our national life, it carries even more responsibility for all of us.

So, again, I urge my colleagues today to vote with me to advance the Intelligence Authorization Act and see that it is enacted into law.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. Himes), one of our subcommittee ranking members.

Mr. Himes. Mr. Speaker, I thank both the chairman and ranking member for the strong bill that has been offered up today and for the bipartisan manner in which it was developed and enacted and, hopefully, will be passed.

I rise in strong support of this bill, but would note for my colleagues that this authorization bill is an odd one. As we speak, and it, your honor, go deep into the basement of this Capitol and review it behind heavy metal doors.

The bill is full of acronyms and code words and classified information. As such, it can be hard to understand, and things that are hard to understand sometimes cause anxiety and fear. Let me take a moment to describe what this bill does.

This bill has the strong bipartisan support of my fellow committee members, liberal Democrats and conservative Republicans alike, because it provides the lifeblood to a truly remarkable group of people who work behind the scenes and in the dark to keep us safe and to keep us free.

The unheralded and unacknowledged warriors of the intelligence community never stop in the work that they do against the world, and the world against us. North Korea is feverishly working to develop the ability to deliver a nuclear bomb to American shores. Our intelligence community is watching, evaluating, and planning against this mortal threat.

The unheralded and unacknowledged warriors of the intelligence community never stop in the work that they do against the world, and the world against us. As we speak, Mr. Speaker, for the first time in a generation, Iran is not feverishly working to develop the ability to deliver a nuclear bomb to our shores. Our intelligence community is making sure that that stays true.

As we speak, Mr. Speaker, for the umteenth time, terrorists in places like Afghanistan and Libya and Syria are spending their time desperately trying to stay alive instead of spending their time planning to attack our air- lines, our cities, and our people.

As we speak, Mr. Speaker, Russia lurks, seeking advantage, promoting instability, and working to erode international norms and instability. We should make no mistake about Russia as an adversary, because there is a lot of noise about this. Russia is a country that invades neighboring sovereign countries, it murders political opponents, it bombs Syrian hospitals, and, yes, it conducted an unprecedented attack on the very core of our Democracy: our election system: our free and fair elections.

This bill funds the warriors who are watching that, who are standing up against that, in order to keep our system what we all like it to be. Mr. Speaker, because these activities are carried out secretly in the dark of night and usually unacknowledged, there is always the possibility for abuse. These activities, by their nature, run up against the lines of those values that make us Americans. This is an unheralded and unacknowledged effort that are worthy of debate. The members of this committee spend their days in good argument about what the limits of those
activities should be, and we will have additional arguments around things like the reauthorization of the section 702 surveillance programs. These are terrific arguments that we have in a constructive way. These are not arguments that are not present in this bill. Just as we did on section 215, we will have a robust discussion of the section 702 international authorities. These are authorities that raise questions and that should be subject to the debate of this Chamber.

But this bill, this bill today, is about the lifeblood of a remarkable group of people who don’t always get it right any more than we do, but who are patriots, who respect the Constitution, and who need our support in the work that they do to keep us safe and keep us free.

I would like to, again, thank the majority, the chairman, the ranking member, and especially the staff who worked diligently to make this a bill that we can unanimously support, and I suggest to my colleagues in this Chamber that we don’t see a lot of bills like this one, but this one is worthy of their support.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman, Mr. HIMES, for his kind comments, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI).

Ms. PELOSI. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time, and I join our colleague in saluting the committee for bringing this bipartisan legislation to the floor—so many issues that the committee has to deal with. I know that full well as a previous ranking member.

I know that we all agree that every chance we get we want to salute the patriotic men and women of the intelligence community for their ceaseless heroism and unblinking vigilance to keep the American people safe. I thank them for all they do for force protection and conflict prevention. The quiet professionalism of the U.S. intelligence community work every day, again, to keep this country safe and our leaders informed. Respect for their work is essential. I thank Ranking Member SCHIFF and members of the committee—Mr. SCHIFF, for his leadership, and commend all the members of the committee for their dedication to those same goals.

I am sorry that this bill is coming up under a closed rule so that Members cannot offer amendments. One such amendment might be about an independent commission. It is the judgment of the intelligence community, as expressed in the January 2017 Intelligence Community Assessment, that Russian President Vladimir Putin orchestrated a disinformation campaign to sow discord in our political process and help one Presidential candidate and hurt the other.

For this reason, we continue to ask for an outside, independent commission to look forward on how to prevent Russia and others from meddling in our election system again. The Swalwell-Cummings legislation to establish that commission has 290 bipartisan co-sponsors.

I also want to salute our Homeland Security Committee ranking member, BENNIE THOMPSON, for his leadership to strengthen the preparedness of the election infrastructure of the United States to identify and withstand a foreign attack.

Our election systems are part of the critical infrastructure of our country, some of the most critical infrastructure of our democracy itself. We must protect it.

The United States must reject foreign interference in our elections, no matter which party it helps or which party it hurts. Our oath of office is to protect and defend the Constitution, and I am proud and honor to be working with the intelligence community to better ensure the integrity of our elections is vital to that goal.

Madam Speaker, I urge a “yes” vote on this bipartisan legislation and salute the committee. I especially want to acknowledge the tremendous leadership of our ranking member, Mr. SCHIFF from California.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, I want to express my agreement with Leader PELOSI’s comments on the continuing need for the appointment of an independent commission. An independent commission would have the dedicated focus, the distance from any potential political interference, and the resources to prepare a report of the caliber that we saw from the 9/11 Commission. I think that would be a very sound and important component to what we are doing in Congress and what Special Counsel Mueller is doing.

Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Washington (Mr. HECK), my wonderful colleague on the Intelligence Committee.

Mr. HECK. Madam Speaker, I rise to support the 2018 Intelligence Authorization Act, but first I would like to tender a point of personal privilege.

Happy birthday, Madam Speaker.

This Intelligence Authorization Act has very strong bipartisan language. I just want to highlight two areas where the IAA furthers what I believe to be high priorities for our national security.

First, section 605, which I had the honor to author, sets in motion how to change the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States, we also call it CFIUS, to better protect our keystone technologies.

This IAA provides dovetails with some broader work that we are doing in the Financial Services Committee, in fact, across the aisle with Congressman PITTENGER and myself and others.

It would modernize the process to better protect and prevent strategic foreign adversaries like China or Russia from taking advantage of our open markets in a way that undermines our national security.

America’s technological edge is, in fact, a cornerstone of our national security, but our strategic adversaries know that, too. They are increasingly seeking to obtain these critical technologies and resources through commercial transactions, i.e., they are trying to buy them. CFIUS must safeguard us against this risk, but it needs modernization, more resources, and authority and support from the intelligence community.

Russian meddling in the 2017 election showed us what happens when an adversary tries to exploit our political openness. Now they are trying to exploit our economic openness. Our intelligence community is vital in protecting against both of those threats.

Let me also underscore this point: The fiscal year 2018 IAA takes steps to safeguard our Nation’s edge, our advantage in space, which is, I am sorry to say, increasingly threatened by adversary nations. To mitigate that risk, this bill supports some truly cutting-edge space technologies and invests in and oversees programs to ensure the resiliency of overhead architecture. At the same time, it keeps up with the Intelligence Committee’s long-running efforts to stimulate innovation.

In particular, the committee report accompanying today’s legislation asks the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency to examine new approaches to public and private sector collaboration, with the goal of fostering the faster, smarter development of automation, artificial intelligence, and similar technologies.

Madam Speaker, I am proud to support this legislation. I hope all my colleagues will join me in both wishing the Speaker a happy birthday and in voting “yes” on this bill.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I wasn’t aware it is your birthday, but happy birthday, and I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, happy birthday.

Madam Speaker, I am pleased to yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASTRO), who will now sing Happy Birthday for you.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Madam Speaker, for the record, I will offer my support for H.R. 3180, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018.

The full community, and women of America’s intelligence community, the best in the world, protect our country every day. They serve beyond the front lines of conflict to collect and analyze intelligence on our adversaries.

In addition to being highly effective, American intelligence community adapts as the threats our country faces shift on a daily basis. Their effectiveness is amplified when they are in close
I want to thank my fellow members on the committee, especially Ranking Member SCHIFF and Chairman NUNES, for working with me to add language into this bill requiring the intelligence community to brief Congress on our intelligence capabilities in the South China Sea.

Over $5 trillion in ship-borne commerce flows through the region each year, including the vast majority of sea-borne energy imports by close U.S. partners such as Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan.

The security of the United States and those of these partners is closely linked to the ability of the United States to guarantee the freedom of navigation in the South China Sea.

Our ongoing territorial disputes in the South China Sea threaten the principles of a rules-based order and the security of the United States and our allies.

The language in the IAA allows Congress to more effectively understand the needs of the intelligence community. It allows for a two-way conversation so that Congress can act to provide the intelligence community the tools and authorities it needs to inform policymakers.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. MURPHY).

Mrs. MURPHY of Florida, Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the Intelligence Authorization Act.

Good intelligence safeguards our Nation from adversaries. It is used to support our Armed Forces, our diplomats, and our homeland security professions.

The men and women of the U.S. intelligence community make enormous sacrifices on behalf of our country. While others seek the limelight, they shun publicity and self-promotion. They are silent warriors and quiet heroes.

I want to highlight section 609 of this legislation. It is a version of a bill that I authored called the Prevention and Oversight of Intelligence Sharing with Enemies Act, or the POISE Act.

I introduced the POISE Act in May after the President disclosed highly sensitive information to senior Russian officials during a White House meeting. This information had been entrusted to the U.S. by key allies.

Under Federal law, the executive branch is required to inform the congressional intelligence committees of U.S. intelligence activities. The POISE Act would specify that the executive branch must notify the committees when a member of the executive branch, including the President, intentionally or inadvertently discloses top-secret information to adversary foreign government.

It is dangerous for a U.S. official to share classified information with an adversary, especially outside of established intelligence channels. The adversary could use the information to harm the United States or its allies. Moreover, our partners might decide to stop sharing intelligence with us if they lose confidence in our capacity to keep it confidential.

The congressional notification requirement in the POISE Act would help deter inappropriate disclosures of classified information to adversaries. Once formally notified of the disclosure, Congress would be in a position to take any appropriate oversight action.

I am so pleased that the core of the POISE Act is contained in section 609. I want to thank Chairman NUNES and Ranking Member SCHIFF for including the provision in the bill, and I urge the bill’s swift passage.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. SWALWELL).

Mr. SWALWELL of California, Madam Speaker, happy birthday to you.

Madam Speaker, I would like to thank the ranking member and our chair.

I think this IAA represents the best of what we do together in the committee, and I am just really thrilled on behalf of the men and women who serve this country in our military and in our intelligence services that they have an authorization, act, that respects what they do, that enables what they do and understands that we have trying days ahead.

Press reports right now are showing that North Korea may have just launched another ballistic missile, and so people who we represent at home are counting on us to do everything we can to protect this great country. It has been a privilege to work with Mr. SCHIFF and the chairman on this bill.

Of particular interest for me is that this bill also has our intelligence agencies report back to Congress just what efforts the Russians are taking not just in America, but across the globe to interfere and meddle in other countries’ elections. We have seen an escalating pattern of this over the past few decades, certainly culminating in our recent 2016 elections.

It is very important that the Congress knows and that the American people and our allies know what continued efforts will look like. So I appreciate the majority’s willingness to work with us.

We have seen, I think, in just the past few days, a bicameral effort to come together and say that we are not going to tolerate Russia’s aggression in the world. The sanctions that were recently passed in this House and across the way in the Senate, I think, showed the world that we are serious, that this is our democracy, and it is still a democracy that is worth protecting. I think part of the IAA reflects that spirit as well.

So, again, I thank the chairman for his work, I thank the staff on the majority side and the Members on the minority side, as a working member and our own staff, for working so hard to put this forward to protect and defend this great country.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCHIFF. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This year’s Intelligence Authorization Act was a bipartisan effort, and I appealed to vote yes. With this legislation, we reaffirm that all of our government’s activities, including ones that are, by necessity, classified, must be maximally effective and bound by law. I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” on this year’s IAA.

I do want to take this opportunity, also, to commend our committee’s outgoing fellow from the Los Alamos National Lab, Phil Tubbs. For 2 years, Phil has worked as an entire HPSCI staff, imparting his scientific expertise about nuclear weapons and the other significant national security work that our national labs undertake.

I want to thank you for your service to the committee and wish you every success in the future.

Finally, I want to extend a closing word to all of the men and women of the intelligence community. The work that you do, to keep us safe, your mission is to speak truth to power, to give policymakers objective, rigorously vetted and diligently produced intelligence, and you do that in magnificent fashion. Thank you for your commitment and resolve in the face of a challenging global threat landscape. With this legislation, we believe that we provide you with the adequate tools, authorities, and funding, while making sure that our congressional oversight remains robust.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. NUNES. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I want to thank both the majority and minority members of our committee for working in a bipartisan manner this year to complete this bill, which I believe is a really good product and will support the men and women doing very difficult work to protect the American people.

I do want to thank the staff not only from the majority side, but also from the minority side, specifically: Shannon Stuart, Nick Clarlante, Scott Glabe, Bill Flanagan, Allen Souza, Lisa Major, Jacqueline Tame, Mark Stewart, Chelsey Campbell, Andrew House, Marissa Skaggs, Anja Spaho, Kash Patel, Doug Prealey, Steve Keith, George Pappas, and Jack Langer for helping craft this bill with the minority; as well as the committee-shared staff of Brandon Smith, Kim Kerr, and Katie Jones.

I would also like to take another moment, as Mr. SCHIFF just did, our ranking member, and thank our fellow who...
Agency (CIA) Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.
H.R. 3180 comes at a critical time in the status of our national security and the IC’s ability to protect it. As we continue to face a diverse and growing array of threats from terrorist groups, hostile nation states, and questionable or incompetent figures in the Trump administration, it is crucial that the IC receive all the resources it needs to do its job while Congress has the necessary tools to carry out rigorous oversight of its work. This bill seeks to meet these challenges by supporting critical national security programs, particularly those focused on countering terrorism and cyberattacks. Under H.R. 3180, the Director of National Intelligence will be required to electronically publish an unclassified advisory report on foreign counterintelligence and cybersecurity threats to federal elections. It also bolsters intelligence oversight by ensuring that IC contractors can meet freely with Congress. Lastly, this bill improves IC accountability to Congress by requiring the IC to provide reports on: Investigations of leaks of classified information; Security clearance processing timelines; The process for reviewing information about computer vulnerabilities for retention or potential release; And Russian influence campaigns directed at foreign elections and threat finance activities.

Madam Speaker, H.R. 3180 is a necessary response to the overwhelming evidence pointing to a carefully planned and executed infiltration of our 2016 Presidential Election by the Russian government and its operatives. The issue of cybersecurity, particularly with regards to our federal election computer system infrastructure, has been of great concern to me and the American public as more and more reports of Russian hacking efforts have come to light. In addition, the provision requiring reports on security clearance processing timelines should shed light on the highly dubious and unscrupulous security clearances of Ivanka Trump and Jared Kushner.

Donald Trump’s blatant and irresponsible nepotism towards his daughter and son-in-law has made us all vulnerable to Russian and other foreign influence at the highest levels of our federal government. H.R. 3180 will give the American people what they crave and deserve: clarity and transparency to pierce through the haze of cover-ups and distractions surrounding the Trump Administration. This bill also takes significant, much-needed steps to improve benefits for members of the IC, such as increasing employee compensation and authorizing $514 million in appropriations for the CIA’s Retirement and Disability System. Congress must do its part to adequately compensate the patriotic Americans who serve our nation through their work in the IC, especially employees with disabilities, who make up 9 percent of the intelligence workforce. At the same time, we must continue to ensure that Congress can exercise oversight over and maintain transparency for the 17 agencies that comprise the IC.
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS BONUS TRANSPARENCY ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on passage of the bill (S. 114) to amend title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit an annual report regarding performance awards and bonuses awarded to certain high-level employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 414, nays 0, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 438]

YEAS—414


NAYS—35


NOT VOTING—18


NOT VOTING—19


So the bill was passed. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: “A bill to authorize appropriations and to appropriate amounts for the Veterans Choice Program of the Department of Veterans Affairs, in order to improve the authorities of the Department, to authorize major medical facility leases, and for other purposes.”.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Madam Speaker, I was absent during rollocoll votes Nos. 436, No. 437, and No. 438 due to my spouse’s health situation in California. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on H.R. 481—Providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3180) Intelligence Authorization Act for F.Y. 2018. I would have also voted “yea” on H.R. 3180—Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018. I would have also voted “yea” on S. 114—Department of Veterans Affairs Bonus Transparency Act.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ZELDIN. Madam Speaker, today I was absent in order to accompany the President of the United States on a visit to my district in Long Island, New York. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollocoll No. 436, “yea” on rollocoll No. 437, and “yea” on rollocoll No. 438.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE AUTHORITY ACT, FISCAL YEAR 2017, IMPROVEMENTS ACT.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Affairs be discharged from further consideration of the bill (S. 371) to make technical changes and other improvements to the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 371

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017, Improvements Act”.

SEC. 2. OVERSIGHT BY COMMITTEES ON APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) OMNIBUS DIPLOMATIC SECURITY AND ANTITERRORISM ACT OF 1986.—Section 104(a) of the Omnibus Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986, as added by section 101 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323), is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) which has been designated as a Tier 3 country in the annual Department of State Trafficking in Persons Report under the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7107);” or

“(F) which is identified as having failed to prevent or address violations of human rights in the annual Department of State Human Rights Report under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the Trade Act of 1974.”.

SEC. 3. COMPARATIVE REPORT ON PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS.

Section 313 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”;

(2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) a comparison of the costs of current United Nations peacekeeping operations, including the costs incurred by the United States for such operations and the estimated cost of such operations if implemented unilaterally by the United States;”;

(3) by redesigning paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(4) by inserting after paragraph (1), as amended by paragraph (2) of this section, the following new paragraph:

“(2) an assessment of the operational, structural, and doctrinal differences between the military and civilian infrastructures of the United States and United Nations and other assumptions that impact cost estimates; and”;

SEC. 4. COMPARATIVE REPORT ON PEACEKEEPING TRAINING.

Section 301 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “enhance the discovery” and inserting “investigate allegations”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “adequately responsible” and inserting “appropriately hold accountable”;

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “investigate offenses by carrying out swift and effective disciplinary action against the personnel” and inserting “appropriately hold accountable personnel involved in such offenses”;

(4) by inserting after paragraph (1), as amended by paragraphs (2) and (3), as follows:

“and inserting “personnel who are found to have committed such offenses by carrying out swift and effective disciplinary action against the personnel involved in such offenses”.

SEC. 5. POLICY REGARDING THE UNITED NATIONS HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL.

Section 311(a)(2) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) which has been designated as a Tier 3 country in the annual Department of State Trafficking in Persons Report under the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7107);” or

“(F) which is identified as having failed to prevent or address violations of human rights in the annual Department of State Human Rights Report under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the Trade Act of 1974.”.

SEC. 6. COMPARATIVE REPORT ON PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS.

Section 313 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”;

(2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) a comparison of the costs of current United Nations peacekeeping operations, including the costs incurred by the United States for such operations and the estimated cost of such operations if implemented unilaterally by the United States;”;

(3) by redesigning paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(4) by inserting after paragraph (1), as amended by paragraph (2) of this section, the following new paragraph:

“(2) an assessment of the operational, structural, and doctrinal differences between the military and civilian infrastructures of the United States and United Nations and other assumptions that impact cost estimates; and”;

SEC. 7. LATERAL ENTRY INTO THE FOREIGN SERVICE.

Section 404(a) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “outside”.

SEC. 8. COMBATING INTOLERANCE.

The section heading of section 419 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “ANTI-SEMITISM” and inserting “INTOLERANCE”.

SEC. 9. TECHNICAL CORRECTION REGARDING COMPLETION OF WESTERN HEMISPHERE DRUG POLICY COMMISSION REPORT.

Section 605(a)(1) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “section 362” and inserting “section 622”.

SEC. 10. BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS.

Section 703(b)(2) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “any significant restructuring.”.

SEC. 11. RANSOMS TO FOREIGN TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.

Section 709(a) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by inserting “,” to the extent practicable, before “Department”.

SEC. 12. RESTORATION OF TIBET REPORT.

Section 613 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–228, 22 U.S.C. 6444 note) as amended by section 715(b)(1) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is further amended—

(a) by redesigning paragraph (1) as paragraph (2) and inserting “In General,” and inserting the following: “Policy—.”.
"(1) IN GENERAL.—";
(2) by redesignating subsection (b) as paragraph (2) and moving such paragraph, as so redesignated, two ems to the right; and
(3) adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) PERIODIC REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017, Improvements Act, and annually thereafter until December 31, 2021, the President shall transmit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on—

(1) the steps taken by the President and the Secretary in accordance with subsection (a)(1); and

(2) the status of any discussions between the People’s Republic of China and the Dalai Lama or his representatives.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. ROYCE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Madam Speaker, I have an amendment at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
This Act may be cited as the “Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017, Improvements Act”.

SEC. 2. REPORTS.
(a) DIPLOMATIC SECURITY AND ANTITERRORISM ACT OF 1986.—Section 104(a) of the Omnibus Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986, as added by section 104(b) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323), is amended by inserting “and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT ON EMBASSY CONSTRUCTION COSTS.—Section 108(a) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”.

(c) REPORT AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR PEACEKEEPER ABUSES.—Section 303(a) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”.

(d) WORKFORCE RIGHTEOUS REPORT.—Section 405(c) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–332) is amended by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”.

(e) CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS.—Subsection (b)(2) of section 4 of the Diplomatic Relations Act (22 U.S.C. 254c), as added by section 401 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323), is amended by inserting “the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”.

(f) WESTERN HEMISPHERE DRUG POLICY COMMISSION REPORT.—Section 602(c) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “enhance” and inserting “investigate al- legations”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “adequately respond to complaints about such of- fenses by carrying out swift and effective disciplinary action against the personnel” and inserting “properly hold accountable personnel”;

and

(3) in paragraph (3), by inserting “, including compensation to victims, as appropriate” after “responses to such offenses”.

SEC. 3. PEACEKEEPING TRAINING.
Section 301 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “(A) in paragraph (1), by striving “enhance the discovery” and inserting “investigate al- legations”;

and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”.

SEC. 4. QUALIFICATIONS OF THE UNITED NA- TIONS SECRETARY GENERAL.
Section 310 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(6), by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”;

(2) in subsection (b)(7), by striking “and the Committees on Appropriations” and inserting “the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives”;

(3) by inserting at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) which is identified as having failed to enhance the safety of United Nations peacekeeping operations, including the costs incurred by the United States;”;

and

“(F) which has been designated as a Tier 3 country in the annual Department of State Trafficking in Persons Report under the Trafficking in Persons Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7107); or

“(G) which is identified as having failed to prevent or address gross violations of human rights in its jurisdiction in the Department of State Human Rights Report under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the Trade Act of 1974;”.

SEC. 5. POLICY REGARDING THE UNITED NA- TIONS HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL.
Section 313(1) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C)(2), by striking “such l” and inserting “such agenda”;

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “and” at the end; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) which has been designated as a Tier 3 country in the annual Department of State Trafficking in Persons Report under the Trafficking in Persons Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7107); or

“(F) which is identified as having failed to prevent or address gross violations of human rights in its jurisdiction in the Department of State Human Rights Report under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the Trade Act of 1974;”.

SEC. 6. COMPARATIVE REPORT ON PEACE- KEEPING OPERATIONS.
Section 313 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended—

(1) by inserting “and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives” after “appropriate congressional committees”;

(2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) a comparison of the costs of current United Nations peacekeeping operations, in- cluding the costs incurred by the United States for such operations, and the esti- mated cost of such operations if imple- mented unilaterally by the United States;”;

and

(3) by redesignating paragraph (2) as para- graph (3); and

(4) by inserting after paragraph (1), as amended by paragraph (2) of this section, the following new subsection:

“(2) an assessment of the operational, structural, and doctrinal differences between the military and civilian infrastructures of the United States and United Nations and other assumptions that impact cost esti- mates;”.

SEC. 7. LATERAL ENTRY INTO THE FOREIGN SERVICE.
Section 404(a) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “out- standing”;

SEC. 8. COMBATING INTOLERANCE.
Section 405(c) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “ANTI-SEMITISM” and inserting “HATE CRIMES”.

SEC. 9. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS REGARDING COMPLETION OF WESTERN HEMI- SPHERE DRUG POLICY COMMISSION REPORT.
Section 603 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by striking “ANNUAL”.

SEC. 10. TECHNICAL CORRECTION REGARDING POWERS OF WESTERN HEMISPHERE DRUG POLICY COMMISSION.
Section 604 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(F) GIFTS, BEQUESTS, AND DEVISES.—The Commission may solicit, accept, use, and dispose of gifts, bequests, or devises of money, services, or property, real or personal, for the purpose of carrying out any duty, power, or authority of the Commis- sion.”

SEC. 11. BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS.
Section 709(b)(2) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by inserting “, to the extent practicable,” before “transmit”.

SEC. 12. RANSOMS TO FOREIGN TERRORIST OR-GANIZATIONS.
Section 709(a) of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is amended by inserting “, to the extent practicable,” before “transmit”.

SEC. 13. RESTORATION OF TIBET REPORT.
The section heading of section 419 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–323) is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “IN Gen- eral” and inserting the following: “Pol- icy—”;

“(1) IN GENERAL.—”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (b) as para- graph (2) and moving such paragraph, as so redesignated, two ems to the right; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) PERIODIC REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017, Improvements Act, and annually thereafter until December 31, 2021, the President shall transmit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on—

(1) the steps taken by the President and the Secretary in accordance with subsection (a)(1) to implement the Tibetan Policy Act of 2002; and

(2) the status of any discussions between the People’s Republic of China and the Dalai Lama or his representatives or a successor selected by a method of the 14th Dalai Lama’s own choosing or the representatives of such successor.”

SEC. 14. DEPARTMENT OF STATE REORGANIZA- TIONS.
The report required under subsection (l) of section 703 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017 (Public Law 115–31) shall also
be provided to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations in the Senate concurrent with the submission of such report to the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate pursuant to such subsection.

Mr. ROYCE of California (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading of the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PRIVILEGED REPORT ON RESOLUTION TO THE SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Mr. CATKO, from the Committee on Homeland Security, submitted an adverse privileged report (Rept. No. 115–276) on the resolution (H. Res. 447) directing the Secretary of Homeland Security to transmit certain documents to the House of Representatives relating to Department of Homeland Security policies and activities relating to businesses owned or controlled by President Donald J. Trump, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

PRIVILEGED REPORT ON RESOLUTION OF INQUIRY TO THE PRESIDENT

Mr. SHUSTER, from the Committee on Transportation, submitted an adverse privileged report (Rept. No. 115–269) on the resolution (H. Res. 437) of inquiry requesting the President to provide certain documents in the President’s possession, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Ms. Mariel Ridgway, one of his secretaries.

MOMENT OF SILENCE HONORING VICTIMS OF HUMAN SMUGGLING

(Mr. CASTRO of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to offer my condolences to the loved ones and families of the 10 victims who died in the tragic human smuggling incident that took place in my hometown of San Antonio, Texas, over the weekend. Many before them have sought to come to our country by land and sea, and have perished. These were not Americans, but they are children of God. This was, above all else, politics aside, a human tragedy.

Please join me in a moment of silence to remember the victims and the countless others like them who have lost their lives in pursuit of the American Dream.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. (Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana.) Pursuant to clause 4 of rule I, the following enrolled bill was signed by the Speaker on Thursday, July 27, 2017:

H. R. 3298, to authorize the Capitol Police Board to make payments from the United States Capitol Police Memorial Fund to employees of the United States Capitol Police who have sustained serious line-of-duty injuries, and for other purposes.

WASHINGTON STATE BOY SCOUTS JAMBOREE TROOP 3218

(Mr. NEWHOUSE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. NEWHOUSE. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to recognize the groups of young men, the Boy Scouts Jamboree Troop 3218 and the Grand Columbia Council, both from Washington State, as they recently attended the 2017 National Scout Jamboree.

In the 107 years since its founding, the Boy Scouts of America have instilled the values of hard work, responsibility, resourcefulness, and citizenship into the hearts and minds of thousands of young American men. The National Scout Jamboree brings together first class scouts from all 50 States to participate in a variety of Scouting traditions and to celebrate their organization.

The Boy Scouts of America have made a marked difference in our Nation, in Washington State, and in my Fourth Congressional District through the schools and values they teach our young people. I have no doubt that these young men will continue to be leaders in their families, careers, and communities.

Please join me in thanking these troops for their commitment to American values, service, and leadership.

Let’s work together

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the Senate Republicans majority failed to pass their ACA repeal. This is a win for the people across America who wrote letters, made phone calls, and organized communities against this disastrous bill.

Mr. Speaker, millions of people rely on insurance expansion made possible under the ACA. Our job is not to harm the people we serve but to make their lives better.

Under every single Republican healthcare bill, millions of people would lose health coverage. There are many steps we can take together. Let us strengthen the risk pools, promote competition among insurers, and require States to expand their Medicaid programs.

These steps will help lower premiums, increase choice, and allow 50,000 individuals in my district and millions of low-income Americans across the country to get insurance.

My constituents and the people we serve but to make their lives better.

While the failure of TrumpCare is welcome news, now is the time to hold public hearings, bipartisan meetings, and work toward goals that reduce premiums, deductibles, and healthcare costs for American people.

I look forward to working with my Republican colleagues to build on the ACA and improve the law.

RECOGNIZING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE SUGAR GROVE CORN BOIL

(Mr. HULTGREN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HULTGREN. Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege today to rise to recognize the 50th anniversary of the Sugar Grove Corn Boil, a volunteer-run annual summer festival bringing residents together to raise funds for local organizations doing good work in the community. This year, the corn boil is focused on helping families and organizations addressing autism.

In 1967, the first ever corn boil brought Sugar Grove community members together for several hours in order to raise enough funds for the town’s first ever street lamp. As a result of the festival’s initial success, the community continued the tradition year after year.

The Sugar Grove Corn Boil has grown from a one-day event to a four-day event that brings around 35,000 attendees annually. Even though the old steam engines that used to boil the corn are no longer in use, the tradition of corn in the community ensures the grain remains a staple of the festival.

While the festival has grown in size and prominence, the mission has always stayed the same: to bring friends, family, and neighbors together to raise money for local organizations and for town improvement.

In addition, the corn boil celebrates Sugar Grove’s Citizen of the Year to remind the community of the difference one person can make. Every dime donated to the Sugar Grove Corn Boil is given back to the community, whether it is local groups, food banks, churches, or to meet village needs.
I am pleased to share that this year’s festival is dedicated to the effort to address autism.

I commend the vital role the Sugar Grove Corn Boil organization and the members play in my community. I ask that all my colleagues join in acknowledging their great contribution to the individuals and families of the 14th Congressional District.

GINNY BOUVIER, A POWERFUL VOICE FOR PEACE

(Mr. MCGOVERN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to sing the praises of Virginia Bouvier, who is a senior adviser for peace processes at the U.S. Institute of Peace and the director of its Colombia program.

I have known Ginny for over 30 years. She is a powerful voice for peace and a strong, loving, generous spirit. I have seen her create the conditions, open up the spaces so that peace may take hold even during violent conflict.

Around the world, but especially in Colombia, she has brought together people from different points of view, different walks of life, the powerful and the marginalized. She has worked alongside them to find common ground and common purpose in building peace.

In all honesty, Mr. Speaker, Ginny is the very model of a life lived fully with incredible character and common purpose in building peace.

HEALTHCARE REFORM

(Mr. ROTHFUS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROTHFUS. Mr. Speaker, the Senate’s inability to pass healthcare reform legislation does not change the fact that the Affordable Care Act is failing. Many Americans may not have one insurance provider on the exchange this fall.

Forty percent of counties in the country now only have one option on the exchange. The ACA Medicaid expansion treats nonelderly, noninsured individuals living above the poverty line more favorably than the elderly and disabled that this crucial program exists to protect.

Seven years ago, President Obama and congressional Democrats ran thoroughly ill-conceived and unsustainable healthcare law that was grounded in make-believe and statements that were just not true. The Supreme Court twisted an unconstitutional individual mandate penalty into a dubious constitutional tax, not only making the Constitution, but facilitating an evasion of responsibility for representatives who imposed an unpopular tax on the American people.

The legacy ObamaCare has been and will continue to be—lost plans, lost doctors, and, as Chelsea Clinton reminded us last year, crushing costs. Single payer is not to the option. Not one Senator voted for single payer yesterday.

The solution to our healthcare problem is more freedom, more choice, less Washington control. We must continue our efforts to save the American people from this failing law, and continue our healthcare reform efforts.

SOUTH ASIAN HEART HEALTH AWARENESS AND RESEARCH ACT

(Ms. JAYAPAL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Speaker, today, with Congressman JOE WILSON of South Carolina, I am introducing bipartisan legislation to raise awareness regarding the alarming rates at which the South Asian community is developing heart disease and to invest in ways to reverse the trend.

Coming from countries including India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, and Nepal, South Asians are the sixth largest and fastest growing ethnic group in the Nation.

Despite a traditional diet that is high in lentils, vegetables, grains, and spices, heart disease is on the rise in these communities. A Stanford study has found that South Asians have a four times greater risk of developing heart disease than the general population and have a much greater risk of having a heart attack before the age of 50. Coupled with the prevalence of diabetes in the South Asian community, something needs to be done. That is why we are introducing the South Asian Heart Health Awareness and Research Act.

Specifically, our bill will create grants at the CDC, NIH, and USDA to fund heart health research and promotion among South Asian populations. We hope, through doing this, that we can help save lives, develop tools, and support valuable research that can broadly benefit the American public.

PASSING EXTENSION OF THE VETERANS CHOICE ACT

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that the House was able to pass the extension of the Veterans Choice Act funding for an additional 6 months to allow veterans to have choices, the ability to not wait in long lines, long lists, and have local proximity to treatment and care that they need.

We need to do much more on this in the future, but with today’s action, at least we can sustain that program for an additional amount of time and serve our veterans who served us so well.

The Veterans Choice Act is a good outlet, a good opportunity for them to receive the care they need timely and in proximity to where they live.

Mr. Speaker, let’s make sure the Senate gets this through and our veterans are served well.

WORKING TOGETHER TO BUILD A HEALTHCARE SYSTEM

(Mr. TAKANO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, late last night, Senate Republicans failed in their latest attempt to repeal the Affordable Care Act, but today is not a day for celebration. It is a day for solutions.

Over the past 7 years, the Affordable Care Act has expanded access to healthcare for millions of Americans; but the law’s imperfections, combined with politically motivated opposition, have created a system that falls short of the goals we all share.

In many communities across the country, there is not enough competition in the individual marketplaces; there is not enough support for middle class families and small businesses, and there is not nearly enough being done to curb the prescription drug prices that are swallowing family budgets.

The good news is that we know how to solve these challenges. Now is the time for us to work together, Democrats and Republicans, to build a healthcare system that is worthy of this great Nation.

HONORING RABBI AVROHOM HOFFMAN

(Mr. ESPAILLAT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Mr. Speaker, I take great pleasure in sharing this story of Rabbi Avrohom Hoffman, a truly remarkable man whose presence has illuminated our community for decades.

Rabbi Hoffman has called Washington Heights his home for more than 35 years, fathering nine children with his wonderful wife, Miriam. He has served our community by teaching precollege students at a yeshiva and by providing spiritual guidance to those in great need.

I am honored to have such an exceptional individual in my district. I was recently informed that Rabbi Hoffman has donated his kidney to a 62-year-old woman diagnosed with polycystic kidney disease. His boundless acts of philanthropy serve as a testament to his tremendous character and remind us of the power of human kindness.

He serves as a role model to all of us, and I am proud to have recognized a man of such compassion and virtue on this floor.
Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, first of all, my experience with the Affordable Care Act actually comes from the State legislature, where, in a bipartisan manner, the Republican governor joined with the minority Democrats and a handful of Republicans in the Senate State House to pass Medicaid expansion.

Why did we do that?

If you looked at what was happening in Arizona, it was some of the Trump areas, as I like to call them, these rural districts where the rural hospitals, which are not only the medically necessary infrastructure of the area today, but also economic drivers in the area, they were about to shut down.

There was so much uncompensated cost, because people were using the emergency room as their primary care doctor, that these hospitals were starting to have to charge more and insurance companies were starting to refuse to pay more for their premiums, that they needed to respond to that.

In the end, what we started hearing from these hospitals is that we are going to have to shut down the emergency room, and if you have an emergency, we are going to have to heli-copter you either to Tucson or Phoenix.

Now, for many of you guys who are not Southwesterners like us in the Southwest, we have a lot of territory and a lot of land. For you to just drive to Phoenix for emergency care would be a big expense, but alone the expense of heli-coptering into Phoenix was even more so than that.

Because we had the goal of actually improving and increasing our healthcare outcomes, we worked in a bipartisan manner with Governor Brewer, with Republicans and Democrats to pass one of the most comprehensive Medicaid expansion bills in the country that has actually turned around, lowered costs, created better healthcare outcomes, and has now insured more than 400,000 poor Arizonans that did not have it before, including people in the disability community, as well as children.

To counteract what we saw today, if it wasn’t really for the bravery of Senator MURKOWSKI, Senator COLLINS, and Senator MCCAIN, as well as all of the Senate Democrats, what we have seen would have been a bill that would not only have just rolled back the millions of people who are now covered with health insurance, but it would have also been detrimental to the people who currently have even private health insurance.

Premiums were going to go up for everybody. The estimated amount of people just on the so-called skinny bill that were going to lose health insurance would be 20 million people and on the House bill it was going to be 23 million people, in addition to the essential gutting of Medicaid, all for giving a tax cut to the top 1 percent.

Now, this is bad policy, but it is worse policy when you don’t have an answer. It is worse because you knew it through the middle of the night, hoping that the American public is just going to accept what comes out.

There is a reason why TrumpCare was polling less than Congress, and trust me, it is very difficult to poll less than Congress. At this point, it is just our families that like us.

Mr. TED LIEU of California. I like you.

Mr. GALLEGO, I like you, too, Ted. You are a good man.

But the fact that we the American public knew so little, just knew enough, and said this bill is bad and were able to come out and put pressure on our Senators and our Members of Congress with public hearings and townhalls was key to stopping this.

Lastly, before I move back to Ted, if you are so proud of this bill, if you are the Senate Republicans and you are so proud of this bill, if you are Donald Trump and you are so proud of this bill, when are House colleagues, why didn’t you have consistent townhalls? Why didn’t you go out there and explain to people what you were planning to do and why this is better for America?

Instead, they tried to hide it. They tried to move it as fast as possible, and then tried to schedule a vote in the middle of the night. If you have to vote for something in the middle of the night, are you not very confident and you are not very proud of that bill.

So what is the solution now? Nobody in the Democratic Caucus thinks the Affordable Care Act is perfect, as people call it—is the be-all and end-all. We don’t think it is perfect. We certainly think that there is a great bipartisan approach we can take to make it better. And what I mean by “better” is more coverage, lower premiums, and better healthcare outcomes.

When I use those words, those were almost exactly, word for word, what Donald Trump said he wanted to see happen when he was going to replace the Affordable Care Act.

But, point by point, whether it was the bill that came through the House or the bill that came through the Senate, none of those would have even fit Donald Trump’s mandate. Which is why, at the end of the day, that bill was pretty insane, let alone the expense. Nobody liked it, and they had to hide it.

So what we should do is follow the advice of Senator MCCAIN in Arizona, follow the example of Senators MURKOWSKI and COLLINS and all of the Senate Democrats: get back to regular order and work out an actual fix to the Affordable Care Act and get Americans more coverage, lower premiums, and better healthcare outcomes.

I say that also as someone who is on an ObamaCare plan. I am not exempt from it, and neither is Mr. LIEU. We are in it just as much as everyone else is, and we want to see it fixed.

Mr. TED LIEU of California. Mr. Speaker, Representative GALLEGO and I have been members of the United States military. Before we could do that, we had to take an oath to the Constitution. That is the same oath that Members of Congress take, and it is also an oath that members of the executive branch take.

Under the Constitution, Congress passes the laws. ObamaCare is the law of the land. Under the Constitution, the President has a duty to enforce those laws.

Today, the President tweeted that he wants ObamaCare to implode. Not only is that mean, mean, mean, it is also a dereliction of duty. The job of the President is to help Americans, not hurt millions of Americans out of state.

Let me give you an example of what it was like before ObamaCare.

I was at a restaurant and a waitress came up to me. She knew I was a legislator. I was in the State legislature at the time, and she wanted help. Her daughter had just turned 18, and in California, where I am from, you cannot get government health insurance if you don’t have any minor children. So
she lost her government health insurance.

She was recently diagnosed with breast cancer. She tried to get private insurance. None of them would cover her. And I realized that she is now going to die, so because she could not get health insurance coverage.

She could not go into an emergency room and get treatment because her breast cancer had not progressed to that point. But one day she is going to get to that, so she will go to an emergency room; she will get treated for a few days; and then she will die. And I thought, in a country as great as America, that should never be happening.

Now that the Affordable Care Act is the law of the land, you cannot be discriminated against because of your pre-existing conditions. There are some incredibly amazing elements of this law. That is why the Republicans could not repeal it. Because the American people, after looking at it for 7 years, the majority said, you know, this is a pretty good deal. Is it the best deal? No. We could definitely make it better.

Mr. Speaker, Representative GALLEGO and I are offering to the Republican Speaker to engage in bipartisanship. The one thing we are asking the Speaker to do is to not enable the President to sabotage the Affordable Care Act. Not only is that wrong, but it would be enabling his presidency to sabotage the Affordable Care Act. Not only is that wrong, but it would be enabling his presidency to sabotage the Affordable Care Act. Not only is that wrong, but it would be enabling his presidency to sabotage the Affordable Care Act.

There are tons of ideas that we could be working on because there is a way that will, again, fulfill President Trump’s goal of lower costs, lower premiums, and better healthcare outcomes. So this doesn’t have to be a static situation. This doesn’t have to be an either/or.

President Trump is making it sound like, because we did not pass his idea of reform, we must collapse the whole system. That is unnecessary, that is irresponsible, and that is not how adult people that legislate act.

You can fix this. We can fix this so more people can have healthcare coverage, more people can have that security that they are going to always have health insurance so they can go and start a business and know they are going to be healthy, know they can send their kid to college and they are still going to have health insurance, know that they can retire knowing that they have been healthy the whole time and they are going to have dignity in retirement because we had made sure that we established a good healthcare system from the time they were born until the time they retire.

This is possible. This is possible.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GALLEGO).

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Speaker, if you want to hear some ideas—and I think it is important that instead of just us knocking what was introduced, we could also give some ideas—certainly, for me, and I think I am also speaking for Ted Lieu, we would prefer to have Medicare for all people who have a stable insurance, a system that we know works, a system that actually brings down costs. But if we can’t do that, there are many things we can do in the meantime.

Why not drop the age of Medicare eligibility to 55? If you do that, you are going to also decrease premiums for people who are not on Medicare, people who are just buying private health insurance. You could be on Medicare at 55 and still be working.

What about for those counties that don’t have private insurers on the subsidized market? They should be able to buy into the Federal health insurance plans of all of the workers who live in those districts, or buy into the plans that Ted and I have. Why can’t we let them do that?

Why not cover every U.S. citizen up until the age of 18 under Medicare to relieve the parents of that burden?

We can also start looking at community health centers, reinforcing those to make sure that we are taking the poorest of the poor who actually cost the most when it comes to healthcare costs and, instead of forcing them into a hospital or into a primary care situation, they could get consistent care without putting a burden on the overall medical system.

There are tons of ideas that we could be working on because there is a way that will, again, fulfill President Trump’s goal of lower costs, lower premiums, and better healthcare outcomes. So this doesn’t have to be a static situation. This doesn’t have to be an either/or.

President Trump is making it sound like, because we did not pass his idea of reform, we must collapse the whole system. That is unnecessary, that is irresponsible, and that is not how adult people that legislate act.

You can fix this. We can fix this so more people can have healthcare coverage, more people can have that security that they are going to always have health insurance so they can go and start a business and know they are going to be healthy, know they can send their kid to college and they are still going to have health insurance, know that they can retire knowing that they have been healthy the whole time and they are going to have dignity in retirement because we had made sure that we established a good healthcare system from the time they were born until the time they retire.

This is possible. This is possible.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. TED LIEU).

Mr. TED LIEU of California. Mr. Speaker, lastly, I will close with this. If you were one of those Members of Congress, if you are a Republican Senator or a Republican Member of Congress who voted to gut the Affordable Care Act, there is time. There is a way to come back from that really bad decision. You can turn around and start working for the betterment of this country by trying to fix the Affordable Care Act.

The American public will look kindly upon those legislators who are here to work in a bipartisan manner to, again, lower costs, lower premiums, and provide more coverage and better healthcare outcomes. There is time to do this. But there is not time for more opportunities to go back for more time to pick people off Medicaid or for more time to create some kind of situation that is only going to raise premiums.

If we allow Trump to actually, in his words, make the whole thing fail, it is not ObamaCare that fails, it is not the Affordable Care Act that fails—it is the American people that fail. He is playing a game with the lives of the American people.

What he is going to do is he is going to cause millions of people to either lose their insurance because insurance companies are going to have to start shedding people, or premiums are going to end up going up because, if he creates instability in the market, these insurance companies are going to try to recoup their costs somehow, and it is going to discourage younger individuals from actually coming on and buying into the ObamaCare insurance pool, which, again, will raise premiums. This is unnecessary.

Mr. Speaker, for those Members of Congress and those Senators who want to work with us, the first step is to encourage and stop the President from moving forward with the Affordable Care Act and to work diligently in a bipartisan manner to fix the Affordable Care Act and cover more people.

Mr. TED LIEU of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

CONTINUATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO LEBANON—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 115–59)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, within 90 days of the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the Federal Register and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision, the President transmits to the Federal Register for publication the enclosed notice stating that the national emergency with respect to Lebanon that was declared in Executive Order 13441 of August 1, 2007, is to continue in effect beyond August 1, 2017.

Certain ongoing activities, such as continuing arms transfers to Hizballah that include increasingly sophisticated weapons systems, undermine Lebanese sovereignty, contribute to political and economic instability in the region, and continue to constitute an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. For this reason, I have
She says: This is not a good idea. He falls asleep on the bed.

She gets up. She tries to get out of this upstairs room, and she is deadbolted to the door, apparently. She couldn’t get out. She couldn’t figure out how to get out, so she climbs out the second-story window in the middle of the night and jumps from the second-story window to a trash can on the ground. She doesn’t have her keys. She didn’t know where they are. So his car is parked there, a Mercedes, sleek Mercedes, which he picked her up in earlier. She gets in the car, looks for her keys.

She doesn’t have any money, so she takes money out of the vehicle to call a cab, to pay for the cab so she could get away, and the cab later shows up.

During this time, she is texting her friends saying that these two come get her. The text messages maybe didn’t make a whole lot of sense because of the condition that she is in.

She eventually gets to the hospital, the place where sexual assault victims usually get first medical attention. She goes to the hospital in Tuscaloosa, Alabama, and while there, she told her story, even though she was somewhat still under the influence of drugs, probably. And while there, a Women and Gender Resource Center advocate comes up at the hospital.

The hospital people take what we call in the vernacular in law enforcement, as you used to be, a rape kit. It is called a sexual assault kit now. They take that sexual assault kit and they perform that examination, but they didn’t take any blood and they didn’t take any urine and they didn’t test Megan for whether she was under the influence of drugs. They did the sexual assault kit.

Along the route, the sexual assault kit gets to the sheriff’s department, and we don’t know what happened to it after that. It was never tested. As far as I know, it hasn’t been tested yet. They don’t know where it is. Maybe the sheriff’s department has it, maybe they don’t, but that sexual assault kit, like I said, was never tested.

So after she is there, or while she is there, the police, the sheriff’s department show up and they question her. She is interviewed by homicide detectives heard her story, and they didn’t take any notes about it. They just listened to her story.

What transpired there, maybe, was not a lot of information given to the police, except she told her story. She told the police she didn’t consent, that she may be under the influence of drugs, drug-induced rape, and they left.

The next morning, Megan, staying up all this time, the police, either at the first or the second interview, wanted to talk to her about this case she did not consent. She had sexual intercourse with her.

Mr. Speaker, we need, as a community, to understand and put ourselves in the place of this girl who is alone. And I believe, all through that night, she made the best decisions she could to get help, and everywhere she turned, people turned her down.

So, I don’t know what most victims would do in this case, but she didn’t know what to do. She called her mom in Austin, Texas. Mom quickly gets in her car and starts driving to Alabama, and she arrived. So, she handled that particular situation: she is becoming the accused. And she would like to talk today about a person, a victim no longer is the victim, but becomes the accused, about the money that she took from Bunn’s car so she could get a cab to flee the perpetrator.

The police interviewed TJ Bunn. He first denied she was ever at his mansion, and then later he changed his story, as accused individuals tend to do, and then said it was consent, that she consented to everything and that this is not a criminal case. And the police further backed off and then later said to Megan: We think we are going to prosecute you for theft of that money to get home and get away from the offender unless you drop the charges, the accusations against Sweet T.

Mr. Speaker, we need, as a community, to understand and put ourselves in the place of this girl who is alone. And I believe, all through that night, she made the best decisions she could to get help, and everywhere she turned, people turned her down.

Now, I don’t know what most victims would do in this case, but she didn’t know what to do. She called her mom in Austin, Texas. Mom quickly gets in her car and starts driving to Alabama, and she arrived.
So the sheriff’s department doesn’t believe her, doesn’t want to believe her, and takes the alleged perpetrator, and makes him the victim and makes her the accused individual. So the sheriff’s department has the rape kit—never tested. The sheriff’s department is accusing the victim of committing a crime.

So she seeks relief from the University of Alabama. She leaves there and she tries to get a counselor for students at the University of Alabama, a female, and tells her the whole story again: No consent; I was sexually assaulted.

After the story is told to the counselor, apparently says: I can’t help you. I know the Bunn family. I have a relative who works for Bunn Construction. I cannot help you, and I am backing off and recusing myself of this case—the counselor.

What does Megan do? Well, the university gives her another counselor, who I wouldn’t say is a real counselor. It is a graduate assistant. And the graduate assistant talks to Megan and says: I can’t talk to you until you take medication for your anxiety. Well, no kidding she has anxiety because of what has happened to her over these several hours.

And that was the last we heard of the University of Alabama physical therapist. She backs off because she has anxiety.

The district attorney, eventually, about 3 weeks later, said: We are not prosecuting this case. No case, no sexual assault, believes the sheriff’s department, it is a graduate assistant. And the graduate assistant tells her, they didn’t want to believe her.

Later, it turns out, Mr. Speaker, and I don’t know if this influenced these people’s decisions or not, but we learn that the defendant’s family, the construction company, gave financial contributions to the sheriff’s department, to the district attorney’s office campaign, and to the University of Alabama apparently for fundraising contributors. I don’t know if that influenced all of this or not, but you can take it for what you want. But anyway, they backed off the case.

Megan, because it is the summer break, leaves and goes back home to Texas. She wants to get away from the University of Alabama because she had seen the defendant on campus. He is not a student there. She had told the people on the campus: I am very worried about me because of this person being on campus.

She leaves the university, asking the title IX folks to help her transfer her university education from the University of Alabama to SMU, and she leaves, but she did not get cooperation, according to her, for a couple of months from the title IX folks, which is another issue that I am not going to go into, and enrolls in the university at SMU, needing a letter from Alabama to get into another university, as all kids need to have nowdays.

She is at SMU. She seeks medical help in Texas. The doctor told her that the findings were apparently post-traumatic stress, anxiety, depression, all from this criminal case that happened against her, always telling folks she didn’t consent. She never changed her rendition of the case.

She was doing very well. She saw a counselor at SMU and wanted help with depression when she is filling out her medical history form that goes to the university at SMU in Dallas, Texas.

She said she had mental issues. She said that she had bad thoughts about living. And then later, on February 26, 2016, with that form laying next to her, Megan committed suicide. She took her life.

Why? My opinion, because she never got any help. She couldn’t get help from the hospital because of the urine test; no drug test, no blood test; the rape kit never analyzed by the police department, sheriff’s department; the sheriff’s department didn’t believe her.

Like I said, in my opinion, they didn’t want to believe her.

The university didn’t help her. They gave her a graduate assistant to try to help her with her mental case, told her to get on medication.

Mr. Speaker, Megan did everything that she should have done under those situations. It is easy for society, in these cases like this to say to victims of crime, especially rape cases: You should have done this; you shouldn’t have done that.

And there is a lot of second-guessing going on in this case by a lot of people. But, as a prosecutor, former judge, I think she did the best she could to get help, and she got no help.

When people are desperate, when they feel like nobody cares, that nobody is going to help them, in a sexual assault case, where—to me, that is one of the worst crimes that can be committed against a person—they are desperate, and she didn’t think life was worth living because of this case.

Over the years, I have learned a lot from sexual assault victims, and one thing that they all want is validation. They want validation from us—community, society, government—that that act they said happened to them did happen. That is what they want. Sometimes they want that more than prosecution or retribution. It is the perception of the offender, is validation that we believe them.

She didn’t get that validation, except for her family, and so she took her own life. What a tragedy.

So that is why I am here today. Megan can’t talk about what happened to her, but we can. We, as Members of Congress, are really in the people business, and that is why I am in Congress. I know that is why you are in Congress.

So what are we going to do about this? What has happened in the interim?

Well, at the hospital, because of the failures—no drug testing, no urine testing, the loss of the sexual assault kit, rape kit, or not being analyzed—myself, CAROLYN MALONEY, Democrat from New York, and also, TERRI SEWELL, who represents this area in Alabama, have filed the Megan Rondini Act on her behalf.

Now, what does that do? So this legislation will require hospitals in our country, wherever they are, to have a SAFE at that hospital. What is a SAFE? It is a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner. Some hospitals do, some don’t. But this year, you have one at your hospital 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, or be able to take a sexual assault victim to one place close by that has this type of examiner.

It is a special recognition for people in the sexual assault business, whether they are a nurse or whether they are a doctor, and we filed that legislation. Maybe that would have helped in this case where the sexual assault examiner would have finished the examination, maybe that the testing was done, or had some examiner near this hospital. So we filed this. It is called the Megan Rondini Act. That is one of the pieces of legislation we filed.

I want to talk about the law enforcement agency. Now, you know as much about law enforcement as anybody in Congress, but let me tell you the way I see this.

They never tested the sexual assault kit, the rape kit, the urine sample. They certainly can’t consent, but that is what they were asking her, even though that didn’t apply in this case. She couldn’t fight back. She didn’t fight back because of what happened to her when she was drugged or under the influence of something.

When they accused her of being a criminal. Rather than examining her case and finishing her case, they went on to take the defendant’s position, say they believed him, even though he gave contradictory stories later in this investigation.

We have a piece of legislation that has already been filed to deal with sexual assault kits, and I have introduced legislation today to reauthorize SAFER. SAFER is a piece of legislation that Congress needed to reauthorize to have rape kits throughout the country examined. There are thousands of sexual assault cases sitting on the shelves, sitting in warehouses; some have been destroyed they are so old, where law enforcement, government, has not analyzed those sexual assault rape kits.

There are a lot of excuses made—there is not money, all of those things. They need to be examined in all criminal cases. SAFER provides funding to do that so that the backlog of evidence gets tested and so that it convicts the guilty and clears the innocent. That is the second piece of legislation that we have filed.
The district attorney’s office, after Megan committed suicide, after they had refused to do anything about the case, almost a year later, they took the case to a grand jury, and it was not indicted. It was no-billed.

Now, I have presented cases to the grand jury. I am aware you have testified before grand juries. Unfortunately, some district attorneys, I am not saying all, or even most—the grand jury does the will of the district attorney. If the district attorney encourages an indictment, then it is indicted. If they encourage and recommend a no-bill, not indicted, grand juries will do that.

Some prosecutors don’t offer advice. They let the grand jury make that decision because that is their decision. I don’t know if that happened in this case or not. But they did decide to present the case to a grand jury, and it was not indicted. She was not indicted, or the defendant was not indicted.

Of course, anything could happen to her. She has already taken her own life.

The university made some mistakes, in my opinion. The school victim advocate abandoned her at the hospital where she was born.

On campus, the counselor, even though hearing the case, full story, at the end—this is interesting—at the end, decided to recuse herself because she knew the Bunn family. She knew the Bunn family when this interview started. Why didn’t she recuse herself before the victim tells the story again to another person, to another stranger? Interesting turn of events.

Graduate assistant, in my opinion, didn’t have any qualifications to order that she get medical treatment before she comes back to talk to the folks at the hospital.

We have filed a resolution, it is a sense of Congress resolution. Mr. Speaker, calling on all universities to employ a full-time victim advocate on campus who deals with sexual assault victims, have that expertise. And it is an expertise. A victim advocate needs to be specified to be a sexual assault victim advocate, because, you can talk about a victim advocate, that could be somebody talking about a theft case or something, but you need a sexual assault victim advocate on campus, no matter what university this happens to be.

It is a sense of Congress. It is a resolution that has been filed today.

Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have left?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has 34 minutes remaining.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, let me—this is Megan Rondini. This is the way she looked when she was a student. We have heard a lot about her, as we should.

But now I want to talk about the family of the alleged perpetrator, Sweet T, and the Bunn family. Well-known business in the community. Good for them. Business is doing well.

They give money to the university. As I mentioned, apparently they give money to the campaigns of the sheriff and the district attorney, and they make other contributions throughout the Tuscaloosa region. Apparently, everybody knows them.

They are concerned about this case that this is a full-page ad taken out of one of the Tuscaloosa, Alabama, newspapers this week defending their honor, as we would say in the South. And it goes into quite a bit of detail.

What is this about? This is the victim of this crime. It almost justifies the defendant’s, the accused’s, position. All through this ad, they talk about a lot of things. I want to read you just part of it. Here’s just one paragraph talking about their three generations of civic involvement in Tuscaloosa, Alabama:

We support education. We support law enforcement. We support our hospital and civic. We are generous, and now we are condemned as if it is a conspiracy.

The Bunn family, for more than 70 years, have given their time, energy, and resources to make our community a better place to live.

Now, according to some journalists, every act of charity and good citizenship was nothing more than a large standing conspiracy. If no deed goes unpunished then why should anyone invest in our community to take the risk of being a good citizen?

They are defending their honor. They are defending Sweet T. And all through this ad, taken out this week, they never mention sympathy or compassion or sadness for the death of the victim in this, this seems very strange to me. Regardless of guilt or innocence, we know that a person took their life because of what happened. I find that very strange.

They seem to protest too much—full-page ad in a local newspaper.

The parents of Megan, Mike and Cindy Rondini, they are just folks who live in Austin, Texas. Robin, the aunt, she is a constituent of mine in Houston. And just listening to them talk, there was no room for one side of the story about compassion than what we hear from the Bunn folks.

Mr. Speaker, I will try to sum this up. I appreciate your patience. I mentioned I have known a lot of sexual assault victims. Years ago, before you were born, I was prosecuting in Houston, Texas.

I am going to change the name of the victim in this case because of concerns about privacy for her family.

Lisa was a student at the University of Houston, working a second job. She leaves school one evening at about 9 o’clock. She is driving north on one of our interstates—or freeways, as we call them. She has car trouble. She pulls off the freeway, goes to a service station she thought was open. It was not open.

An individual comes up to her, has a pistol—Luke, we will call him—kidnaps her, sexually assaults her, and abandons her. She is later found in a very traumatic emotional state someplace in one of the Tuscaloosa, Alabama, newspapers this week defending their honor, as we would say in the South. And it goes into quite a bit of detail.

What is this about? This is the victim of this crime. It almost justifies the defendant’s, the accused’s, position. All through this ad, they talk about a lot of things. I want to read you just part of it. Here’s just one paragraph talking about their three generations of civic involvement in Tuscaloosa, Alabama:

We support education. We support law enforcement. We support our hospital and civic. We are generous, and now we are condemned as if it is a conspiracy.

The Bunn family, for more than 70 years, have given their time, energy, and resources to make our community a better place to live.

Now, according to some journalists, every act of charity and good citizenship was nothing more than a large standing conspiracy. If no deed goes unpunished then why should anyone invest in our community to take the risk of being a good citizen?

They are defending their honor. They are defending Sweet T. And all through this ad, taken out this week, they never mention sympathy or compassion or sadness for the death of the victim in this, this seems very strange to me. Regardless of guilt or innocence, we know that a person took their life because of what happened. I find that very strange.

They seem to protest too much—full-page ad in a local newspaper.

The parents of Megan, Mike and Cindy Rondini, they are just folks who live in Austin, Texas. Robin, the aunt, she is a constituent of mine in Houston. And just listening to them talk, there was no room for one side of the story about compassion than what we hear from the Bunn folks.

Mr. Speaker, I will try to sum this up. I appreciate your patience. I mentioned I have known a lot of sexual assault victims. Years ago, before you were born, I was prosecuting in Houston, Texas.

I am going to change the name of the victim in this case because of concerns about privacy for her family.

Lisa was a student at the University of Houston, working a second job. She leaves school one evening at about 9 o’clock. She is driving north on one of our interstates—or freeways, as we call them. She has car trouble. She pulls off the freeway, goes to a service station she thought was open. It was not open.

An individual comes up to her, has a pistol—Luke, we will call him—kidnaps her, sexually assaults her, and abandons her. She is later found in a very traumatic emotional state someplace in one of the Tuscaloosa, Alabama, newspapers this week defending their honor, as we would say in the South. And it goes into quite a bit of detail.

What is this about? This is the victim of this crime. It almost justifies the defendant’s, the accused’s, position. All through this ad, they talk about a lot of things. I want to read you just part of it. Here’s just one paragraph talking about their three generations of civic involvement in Tuscaloosa, Alabama:

We support education. We support law enforcement. We support our hospital and civic. We are generous, and now we are condemned as if it is a conspiracy.

The Bunn family, for more than 70 years, have given their time, energy, and resources to make our community a better place to live.

Now, according to some journalists, every act of charity and good citizenship was nothing more than a large standing conspiracy. If no deed goes unpunished then why should anyone invest in our community to take the risk of being a good citizen?

They are defending their honor. They are defending Sweet T. And all through this ad, taken out this week, they never mention sympathy or compassion or sadness for the death of the victim in this, this seems very strange to me. Regardless of guilt or innocence, we know that a person took their life because of what happened. I find that very strange.

They seem to protest too much—full-page ad in a local newspaper.

The parents of Megan, Mike and Cindy Rondini, they are just folks who live in Austin, Texas. Robin, the aunt, she is a constituent of mine in Houston. And just listening to them talk, there was no room for one side of the story about compassion than what we hear from the Bunn folks.

Mr. Speaker, I will try to sum this up. I appreciate your patience. I mentioned I have known a lot of sexual assault victims. Years ago, before you were born, I was prosecuting in Houston, Texas.
she got the death penalty for being a sexual assault victim. She couldn’t quite handle it. Why? Because no one was there to make sure that she did handle it.

Mr. Speaker, sexual assault predators—what they are. You can call it rape, you can call it sexual assault, you can call it a fate worse than death—sometimes steal the soul of the victim. That is the way the victims feel. Everything that was important to them is gone because of the perpetrator.

I think the best evidence, unfortunately, that Megan, in my opinion, was telling the truth throughout all of this was that she took her life. That is what makes this case so sad.

Sexual assault or rape, Mr. Speaker, is never the fault of the victim. Never. And some folks want to look at this and say: Megan, you should have done this, you should have done that, you shouldn’t have gone with him, you shouldn’t have been drunk, you shouldn’t have allowed him to get you intoxicated on drugs, whatever.

I think most of them do the best they can in trying to get justice.

She went to the hospital and was denied help. In her opinion, denied help. In fact, they accused her of being the perpetrator. They didn’t investigate, they didn’t talk to witnesses. She goes to a university that gets Federal funds trying to get help and was denied. Denied. Denied. Denied.

She leaves, she goes back to Texas, tries to enroll in another university, and before all that is completed, she takes her life.

I hope we can understand not just this case and not just Megan, but we can understand the plight and the awful things that happen to some of our young women in our country.

The same Constitution that protects defendants of crime, protects victims of crime. They are entitled to equal protection under the law. I am talking about victims of crime. If we understand that principle, we will understand justice. We will understand what we are all about; that we are in the people business. In this House of Representatives on this late Friday afternoon, we need to understand that.

Justice means different things to different folks. But justice must be balanced. If we protect the rights of the accused and the rights of the victim so that we do the right thing for the right reason in every case.

Mr. Speaker, you know this in doing investigations in your capacity before, and I want to reiterate this. Sometimes victims just never get over it. They just never do. We should be there with them. I am talking about the community should be there with them and at least hear them out and make a rational and just decision, regardless of who the poor, rich, famous, somebody in the community, big contributor, whatever; and no matter who the victim is, same background: poor, rich, famous. That should go away in determining justice. Otherwise, we don’t have justice for all. We only have justice for a few.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the time, I appreciate the patience of the House of Representatives when I wanted to talk today about Megan Rondini. This case bothers me as a father of four kids, three of them girls; twelve grandchildren, eight of them girls. It bothers me as a Member of the House of Representatives and as a former person who worked at the courthouse for over 30 years.

I hope it bothers the House of Representatives so we move forward with trying to get help for folks like this, that we pass legislation that requires a safe officer at hospitals, that we pass legislation as a House resolution that it is a sense of Congress that all universities have on campus a sexual assault victim’s advocate or coordinator, as the law says.

I thank J O L Y N M A L O N E Y from New York and T E R R I S E W E L L from Alabama, who passed this area, for cosponsoring this bill.

I ask the leadership to move this legislation forward. But let’s not forget about Megan and Megan’s case, and the thing that happened to her that just can’t happen anymore. Justice can be served, it shall be served, because justice is what we do, Mr. Speaker.

And that is just the way it is.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask how much time is left.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has 17 minutes remaining.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

IN HONOR OF THE LIFE OF EMIL OGDEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2017, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Flores) is recognized for the remainder of the hour as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. FLORES. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman for Texas sharing the story about Megan with this Chamber, and I look forward to supporting him in his efforts to try to keep these things from happening again in the future.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Emil Ogden of College Station, Texas, who passed away on July 25, 2017.

Emil Ogden was born on September 15, 1927, in Blackwell, Oklahoma. He was raised by his loving mother, Mary Ogden, in Bowling Green, Missouri. Growing up during the worst of the Great Depression, Emil experienced poverty firsthand and lived in a one-room house. To provide for her son, Emil’s mother worked as a maid at his grandfather’s hotel for $2 a week. To help the family, Emil worked any odd job he could find.

During this time, an act of kindness from a member of the local Rotary Club would change his life. Emil was enamored with baseball and would often visit the local hardware store owned by Rotary Club member Ed Dunlap. Ed noticed that Emil loved baseball but could not afford the equipment. He sent a bat, a glove, and a ball to Emil. The Rotary members showed to Emil put his life on a better course.

Emil valued their support, once stating: ‘I could have been very rebellious as a child. Things like poverty can make you grow up with some resentment. But all of a sudden, I had a whole town of surrogate fathers, and they cared about me. It motivated me to be a better example as a young man. The leaders of the community who we respected were all members of the Rotary, and I didn’t want to disappoint them after they had taken an interest in me.’

In 1945, Emil joined the U.S. Army Air Corps and was assigned to the 505rd Army Air Forces Unit, better known as the Brass Hat Squadron. The Brass Hat Squadron was a group of esteemed Army pilots whose job it was to fly high-profile persons around the world to significant events.

When the B-29s arrived at the Washington National Airport, the squadron flew senior government officials, including senators and congressmen, U.S. and foreign dignitaries and, military leaders, among others.

Additionally, Emil flew dignitaries to events such as the Nuremberg war trials, the atomic bomb tests on Bikini Atoll, and the national independence celebration in the Philippines. Due to his service in the military, Emil had visited more than 30 countries before his 20th birthday.

In 1947, Emil married his high school sweetheart and the love of his life, Clementine Lindeman.

Clementine was the center of Emil’s life for over 69 years. Her support and encouragement always kept Emil grounded. Their love and commitment to each other, their Catholic faith, and the values upon which they built their lives, endured until his death. Together they had six children, whom they both loved dearly. At the time of his passing, their legacy included 22 grandchildren, and 12 great-grandchildren.

After his service in World War II, Emil went on to play professional baseball in the minor leagues, playing for both the Brooklyn Dodgers and the Baltimore Orioles organizations. His career lasted from 1949 to 1953. His baseball career took place in west Texas, first for the Midland Indians and then for the Odessa Oilers. During his playing days, Emil met several baseball legends, including Jackie Robinson.

Emil’s baseball career came to a close when Clementine gave him an ultimatum: either stay married or keep playing baseball. Emil was a wise man, and he chose to focus on marriage.
Emil began college at Texas A&M University as part of the class of 1953. In the 1960s, he finished a degree in philosophy while he was in his sixties. Upon finishing his degree later in life, Emil said: "Too many of us grow older and just sit back and say, 'Well, I've lived my life. There's nothing left to do. I'll try to live on my past experiences and past knowledge and hope that I survive with some dignity.' I think that person has already partially died." Emil's love for learning and his intellectual curiosity would continue through the end of his life.

In 1953, Emil returned to Abilene, Texas, to begin his career in the oil industry. While starting his career, he worked odd jobs to help make ends meet for his growing family. One of those odd jobs was playing the trumpet in local dance bands on the weekends. In 1973, his independent oil and gas operations hit it big when the properties he was leasing dramatically increased in value, creating the nucleus of Emil's business success. The oil and gas business eventually brought Emil back to College Station in 1979, where he would be instrumental in developing the successful Bryan Woodbine Oil Field.

Passionate about educating youth, Emil helped found the Ogden-Chaparral Entertainment Company, where he produced an educational children's television show called "ImagineLane" in the 1980s. That show later evolved into the "ImagineLane" on KAMU-TV in the Brazos Valley and was eventually shown on stations all across the United States.

Even with all of his business accomplishments, Emil will best be remembered for his philanthropic efforts in the Brazos Valley. His upbringing in poverty and struggles during early life are something Emil never forgot, and it made him empathize with those in need. He served on the board of directors for the local Boys & Girls Club, in multiple positions for the Knights of Columbus, as chairman of the Arrow Moon District of the Boy Scouts of America, and he was also a member of the Bryan Rotary Club. A lifelong conservative, Emil also gave to many conservative causes, including donating the seed money to the 40 Days for Life campaign, which began at College Station and advocated for pro-life causes around the world today. Emil was eventually shown on stations all across the United States.

On January 9, 1927, in Wayne County, North Carolina, Louis Maxwell was born. He was the son of Louis, Sr. and his wife, Ruth Herring, welcomed the birth of a son, Louis Jr., a gentleman from a small eastern North Carolina county, whose innovative mind and entrepreneurial spirit helped grow his grandfather's local milling company into a thriving international agribusiness. Louis Maxwell's story is certainly one of commercial and financial success, but it is, more importantly, Mr. Speaker, about a life devoted to family and community.

Louis was a born and bred Methodist and was a lifelong member of St. Paul's Methodist Church in Goldsboro. No matter where he was, Mr. Speaker, he never met a stranger, never walked past someone without saying hello, and he was a proud American. He loved his flag, and he loved his country. But most important to him was Louis. He spent more than 60 years married to the love of his life, Mary Ann Jeffreys, until she passed in 2014. Together, they had four children, eight grandchildren, and now a great-grandchild. Louis was very proud of his family, as they were of him. He cherished his family, whether as a husband, father, and grandfather.

In his lifetime, Louis Maxwell enriched countless lives in ways too many to express. He will truly be missed. He was a friend.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

HONORING THE LIFE OF JAMES LOUIS MAXWELL, JR.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2017, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. HOLDING) for 30 minutes.

Mr. HOLDING. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to reflect on the life of the late James Louis Maxwell, Jr., a gentleman who grew up in Goldsboro, North Carolina, whose innovative mind and entrepreneurial spirit helped grow his grandfather's local milling company into a thriving international agribusiness. Louis Maxwell's story is certainly one of commercial and financial success, but it is, more importantly, Mr. Speaker, about a life devoted to family and community.

On January 9, 1927, in Wayne County, North Carolina, Louis Maxwell was born. He was the son of Louis, Sr. and his wife, Ruth Herring, welcomed the birth of a son, Louis Jr., a gentleman from a small eastern North Carolina county, whose innovative mind and entrepreneurial spirit helped grow his grandfather's local milling company into a thriving international agribusiness. Louis Maxwell's story is certainly one of commercial and financial success, but it is, more importantly, Mr. Speaker, about a life devoted to family and community.

Louis' grandfather, Hugh Maxwell, founded Goldsboro Milling Company in 1916, a family business, which is honored, Mr. Speaker, to celebrate 101 years of business this year. The company began with the production of various feeds for farm animals, along with milling cornmeal and making grits.

Young Louis, a graduate of Goldsboro High School, attended Davidson College, but left to serve his country during World War II. After completing his naval service, Louis enrolled in UNC-Chapel Hill, graduating in 1950 with a degree in commerce.

Proud of his deep roots in eastern North Carolina, he returned home to Goldsboro and immediately began working in the family business, and he rose quickly through the ranks. Louis was always looking at ways to expand the family business, and he began the company's efforts in raising turkeys, hoping that eastern North Carolina would be favorable to growing those large birds. Turkey production grew rapidly, and today, Mr. Speaker, Louis' vision and hard work have helped his company become the largest producer and processor of turkeys in the world, having acquired Butterball Company some years ago.

Continuing to grow agribusiness across the United States, Louis expanded his family's ventures, becoming a major swine producer, along with timber and blueberry farming. His visionary acumen has now carried through five generations of the Maxwell family and shows no signs of slowing down. Yet, despite all of the many achievements of this good man—and I have really not even touched the surface of those achievements—he is truly a humble person. To Louis Maxwell, helping folks in his communities, by providing good jobs and many opportunities, was one of the most honorable things he thought a man could do to serve his fellow man. He expected much from himself and from those he employed, and he was pleased to reward loyalty and hard work.

His outlet, when he wasn't working hard, what he liked to do was spend his time outdoors. Louis was the ultimate outdoorsman. If it wasn't hunting season, it was fishing season. His children were right there with him, and they say that he was still out in the boat fishing even in his later years. They always stuck to one rule, though, which was: he had to clean the fish that he caught.

Louis was a born and bred Methodist and was a lifelong member of St. Paul's Methodist Church in Goldsboro. No matter where he was, Mr. Speaker, he never met a stranger, never walked past someone without saying hello, and he was a proud American. He loved his flag, and he loved his country. But most important to him was Louis. He spent more than 60 years married to the love of his life, Mary Ann Jeffreys, until she passed in 2014. Together, they had four children, eight grandchildren, and now a great-grandchild. Louis was very proud of his family, as they were of him. He cherished his family, whether as a husband, father, and grandfather.

In his lifetime, Louis Maxwell enriched countless lives in ways too many to express. He will truly be missed. He was a friend.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ADJOURNMENT FROM FRIDAY, JULY 28, 2017, TO TUESDAY, AUGUST 1, 2017

Mr. HOLDING. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 11 a.m. on Tuesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

IMPORTANT ISSUES OF THE DAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2017, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Gohmert) for 30 minutes.

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, it has been a deeply troubling last 12 hours—
24 hours, I guess. The House went about trying to repeal the Affordable Care Act because of the thousands of people we have heard from in my district in the last 7 years who have just been devastated by the costs that skyrocketed, the deductibles that skyrocketed, the critically important doctor or medicine or facility that President Obama promised they could keep that was taken away by ObamaCare. It is just hard to call it the Affordable Care Act because it has cost people everything.

But every Republican had promised they would repeal ObamaCare. It is possible Susan Collins in the Senate may not have. She didn’t vote for the repeal in the last Congress, but it is my understanding that virtually everybody had promised: If you will elect me, or reelect me, I will vote for repeal.

I have literally prayed for Senator John McCain with the cancer that they found taken him, give him another 10 years, have him work tirelessly, he would be working so hard to repeal ObamaCare.

And I did go down to the Senate Chamber this week a couple of days ago. I went down because I thought it would be historic, potentially, to have the Senate vote to proceed to debate on what we hoped would be an actual repeal of ObamaCare.

It turns out they called it a skinny bill, or a skinny repeal. It wasn’t much of a bill, in fact. I guess there is a decent chance, if that had passed and become law, it may have very well ensured the total collapse of private insurance and, ultimately, the desire of those who pushed through ObamaCare. We would ultimately end up with socialized medicine, rationed care.

I am not a fan of the term “single payer” because it masks what we are really talking about. We are talking about government takeover of health care over which we have watched the little precious child, Charlie Gard’s parents going through absolute hell, there have been so many questions: Whether you agree or disagree, should he be allowed to have further surgery? Whether you agree or disagree, should there have been so many questions: about government takeover of specialized medicine, rationed care.

One does not have to go into the House to find out in the field what the problems are. There were repeated promises that the Senate, if the good people of Arizona were to just reelect him, give him another 10 years, have him work tirelessly, he would be working so hard to repeal ObamaCare.

I went down because I thought it would work out in the field. Obviously, these guys hadn’t worked up a sweat all morning. I was trying to be pleasant. When do you work out in the field? They all laughed. So I thought maybe I didn’t say it right. It is one thing they responded in Russian: I make the same number of rubles if I am out there in the sun or I am here in the shade, so I am here in the shade.

Obviously, I have not forgotten this. It is such a great example of why socialism never works because there is no incentive to work. Oh, yes, you can punish people. But that doesn’t provide nearly the incentive as the Pilgrims found, their beautiful Compact: bring to the common storehouse, share and share alike.

After they lost so many people that first harsh winter, they tried something called private property—you get to keep what you produced. Wow, did it actually work well. It has worked well over the years even to the present time, although this government has continued to buy and take over more and more land and move in the direction of being socialistic.

But our medicine has not been really socialistic. It has been taken over more and more by government and the insurance companies. Why in the world did the insurance companies support ObamaCare, the ACA, in the past? Because they were so involved would be able to take their golden parachutes and their millions and millions of dollars in distribution. But, ultimately, we would become a socialized medicine country.

I think they and the big pharmaceutical companies knew that eventually by signing onto ObamaCare they were signing their own death warrants. Not immediately. Those executives that are involved would be able to take their golden parachutes and their millions and millions of dollars in distribution. But, ultimately, we would become a socialized medicine country.

You would see mortality rates begin to go up where people didn’t live as long. People would not get the knee, hip, and the back surgeries and hearing aids. The government ends up deciding who is worthy and who is not.

So America voted the Democrats out of majorities in the House and Senate mainly because they pushed through ObamaCare. The huge majority of Americans were satisfied with their health insurance, their health insurance plans, and their healthcare. There was a big difference. Those terms, health insurance and healthcare, have been skewed. There is a big difference. We have got to get back to a place where patients and doctors have a relationship unencumbered and without being separated by insurance companies and the government.

But if we don’t repeal ObamaCare, we will move to total government control and Charlie Gard’s situation where the government says: You can have a life-saving procedure, but...

That day has already come, and it is going to be a common occurrence if we don’t keep our promise and repeal ObamaCare.

I was down there when they voted to proceed to debate. But, honest to goodness, even though I have been here 12 years and think nothing shocks me, I couldn’t believe that so many people who promised over and over and over again “we will repeal ObamaCare, we will end ObamaCare, we will get you back where your health care, we will get you back affordable healthcare” couldn’t vote even for the skinny bill.
Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

Karen L. Haas, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled bills of the House of the following titles, which were thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 3298. An act to authorize the Capitol Police Board to make payments from the United States Capitol Police Memorial Fund to employees of the United States Capitol Police who have sustained serious line-of-duty injuries, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3964. An act to provide congressional review and to counter aggression by the Government of Iran, the Russian Federation, and North Korea, and for other purposes.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Karen L. Haas, Clerk of the House, reported that on July 27, 2017, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill:

H.R. 3298. To authorize the Capitol Police Board to make payments from the United States Capitol Police Memorial Fund to employees of the United States Capitol Police who have sustained serious line-of-duty injuries, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to, accordingly (at 2 o'clock and 41 minutes p.m.), consistent with the fourth clause of section 5 of Article I of the Constitution, and notwithstanding section 132 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, the House adjourned until Tuesday, August 1, 2017, at 11 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIX, executive communications are taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

2128. A letter from the Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, Specialty Crops Program, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Idaho and Malheur County, Oregon; Decreased Assessment Rate [Doc. No.: AMS-SC-16-0111; SC7-945-1-FR] received July 26, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Agriculture.

2129. A letter from the Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, Specialty Crops Program, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Tart Cherries Grown in the States of Michigan, et al.; Free and Restricted Percentages for the 2016-17 Crop Year for Tart Cherries [Doc. No.: AMS-SC-16-0105; SC6-930-5-FR] received July 26, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Agriculture.

A letter from the Secretary, Department of Education, transmitting the Department's final rule — Definitions and Selection Criteria That Apply to Direct Grant Programs [Docket ID: ED-2017-0022; RIN: 8340-BK17] received July 26, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

2122. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Paying Benefits received July 25, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

2121. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; Georgia; Miscellaneous Revisions [EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0131; FRL-9965-9-Region 4] received July 21, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

2124. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Air Plan Approval; Georgia; Miscellaneous Revisions [EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0088; FRL-9966-2-Region 4] received July 21, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

2125. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; Florida: Unnecessary Rule Removal [EPA-R04-OAR-2016-0666; FRL-9965-14-Region 4] received July 21, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.
By Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois (for himself, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. DOGGETT, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. HIGGINS of New York, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. PASCRELL, Mr. NEAL, Mr. PALLONE, Mr. BEN RAY LIUAN of New Mexico, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. CÁRDENAS, Ms. SEWILL of Alabama, Mr. DELAUNAY of California, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. CROWLEY, Mr. TONKO, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. SÁNCHEZ, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. BUSCH, Mr. MCNEARY, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. MICHAEL, F. DOYLE of Illinois, Mr. MARK, Ms. KENDY, Ms. MAST, and Ms. CLARK of New York):

H.R. 3525. A bill to amend title V of the Social Security Act to reauthorize the Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. ROTHFUS (for himself and Mr. PETERSON):

H.R. 3526. A bill to amend title 28, United States Code, to allow the use of the Department of Justice Asset Forfeiture Fund to finance United States border security measures, for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BROWN of Maryland (for himself, Mr. SAXBEY, and Mr. RUPPERSDORF):

H.R. 3527. A bill to prohibit the use of funds to return certain property and interests in property to the Government of the Russian Federation, to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts (for herself, Mr. MILLIUS):

H.R. 3528. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to require e-prescribing for coverage under part D of the Medicare program, of prescription drugs that are controlled substances; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

H.R. 3529. A bill to amend the special supplemental nutrition program for women, infants, and children to cover certain vitamins, to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. COMER (for himself, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. POLIS, Mr. MASSIE, Mr. QUIHALLAN of Alaska, Mr. CRUMER, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. PETRISON, Mr. COHEN, Ms. BOSNASHIC, Ms. GARBARD, Mr. DEFAZIO, Mr. SCHOEZE, Mr. PHILMUTTERS, and Mr. BARR):

H.R. 3530. A bill to amend the Controlled Substances Act to exclude industrial hemp from the definition of marijuana, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. GOSAR (for himself, Mr. FRANKS of Arizona, Mr. AMODEI, Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. GRIJALVA, and Ms. LOHMUIR):

H.R. 3531. A bill to amend the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act for purposes of making claims under such Act based on exposure to atmospheric nuclear testing, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. EVANS (for himself and Mr. MCGOVERN):

H.R. 3532. A bill to require the President to convert private businesses and investments in order to prevent conflicts of interest under Federal law, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. CARBAJAL (for himself and Ms. CURELLO of Florida):

H.R. 3533. A bill to amend the Coastal Zone Management Act to require the Secretary of Commerce to establish a coastal climate change adaptation preparedness and response program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. DELBENE (for herself, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. COHEN, and Mr. SMITH of Washington):

H.R. 3534. A bill to make the Controlled Substances Act inapplicable with respect to marihuana in States that have legalized marijuana and have in effect a statewide regulatory framework for the use of marijuana, and have in effect a statewide regulatory framework for the use of marihuana, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. BYRNE:

H.R. 3535. A bill to restore Federal recognition to the Ruffey Rancheria of California, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. DEFAZIO:

H.R. 3536. A bill to require persons who undertake methods to prevent and develop and development of drugs to enter into reasonable pricing agreements with the Secretary of Health and Human Services; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. PRICE of North Carolina:

H.R. 3537. A bill to reform our government, reduce the grip of special interest, and return our democracy to the American people by increasing transparency and oversight of our elections and government, reforming public financing for Presidential elections and other Federal elections, for Congressional elections, and requiring States to conduct Congressional redistricting through independent commissions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Administration, and in addition to the Committees on the Judiciary, Oversight and Government Reform, Financial Services, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BARR:

H.R. 3538. A bill to amend the Truth in Lending Act to create additional requirements for original and new creditors of mortgage loans in the absence of a purchase money mortgage, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. RUSH:

H.R. 3539. A bill to amend the Fair Credit Reporting Act to establish a procedure for the removal of a booking photograph from a consumer’s file, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. PALMgren:

H.R. 3540. A bill to amend the Department of Energy Organization Act to replace the current requirement for a biennial energy policy plan with an energy policy review, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. POE of Texas:

H.R. 3541. A bill to reauthorize the SAFER Act of 2013, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WILSON of South Carolina (for himself, Mr. MOUTON, Ms. ROSELIGHT, and Mr. DRUTCH):

H.R. 3542. A bill to impose a ban against Hamas for gross violations of internationally recognized human rights by reason of the use of civilians as human shields, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. STEWART (for himself and Mr. TIPPTON):

H.R. 3543. A bill to require the Secretary of the Interior to develop a categorical exclusion for covered vegetative management activities carried out to establish or improve habitat for greater sage-grouse and mule deer, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. MURPHY of Pennsylvania (for himself, Mr. MEEK, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. CARSTER of Georgia, Mr. RENACCI, and Mr. MULLIN):

H.R. 3544. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to protect the confidentiality of substance use disorder patient records; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia (for himself, Mr. POSHY, Mr. FLORES, Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. NORMAN, Mr. BARD, Mr. RICE of South Carolina, Mr. LA MALFA, Mr. COOK, Mr. CHAHOT, Mr. WENSTRUP, Mr. BRAT, Mr. BYRNE, Mr. FREEMAN, Mr. DUNN, Ms. JENKINS of Kansas, and Mr. CRAWFORD):

H.R. 3545. A bill to prohibit universal service support of commercial mobile service and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce.

By Mr. ISBA (for himself, Mr. VARJOS, Mr. NOEM, Mr. ALLEN, and Mr. FLORES):

H.R. 3546. A bill to authorize the Secretary of State to establish a permanent residence in Jerusalem, Israel, for the United States Ambassador to Israel, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. MCCaul (for himself, Mr. THORNBERY, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. BISHOP of Utah, Mr. SHUSTER, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. BRADY of Texas, Mr. CONAWAY), Mr. CARSTER of Texas, Ms. GRANGER, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. KING of New York, Ms. MCSALLY, Mr. KATRO, Mr. DONOVAN, Mr. RATCLIFFE of Colorado, Ms. LUCAS of Iowa, Ms. ESTES of Kansas, Mr. POE of Texas, Mr. OLSON, Mr. BURGESS, Mr. MARCHANT, Mr. FARESTHOLD, Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. ABBINGTON, Mr. CULBERSON, and Mr. WEBER of Texas), Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. BABIN, Mr. BARTON, Mr. FLORES, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. QUITNER), Mr. DREW, Mr. BRIDENSTINE, Mr. PALAZZO, Mr. BEROMAN, Mr. KELLY of Mississippi, Mr. COLLINS of New York, Mr. POLFANIN, Mr. FRANK, Mr. GOODLATTTE, Mr. CRUMER, and Mr. LOUDERMILK):
H.R. 3548. A bill to make certain improvements to the security of the international borders of the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. PAULSEN:

H.R. 3549. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow a refundable credit with respect to intelligence and military child tax credit to provide a tax credit to parents and a tax payment to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. LARSEN of Washington:

H.R. 3551. A bill to amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to clarify that ASL students are English learners; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Ms. MCSALLY (for herself, Mr. REICHERT, Mr. McCAUL, Mr. King of New York, Mr. HUED, and Mr. GAR-RETT):

H.R. 3551. A bill to amend the Security and Accountability for Every Port Act of 2006 to reauthorize the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism Program, and in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. CLEAVER (for himself and Mr. VEASEY):

H.R. 3552. A bill to amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to require that teachers, principals, counselors, and other school leaders receive youth suicide awareness and prevention training; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. MARINO (for himself and Mr. PERLMUTTER):

H.R. 3553. A bill to amend title 11 of the United States Code to increase the amount of compensation paid to chapter 7 bankruptcy trustees for services rendered; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. BONAMICI (for herself, Mr. COSTELLO of Pennsylvania, Mr. MOULTON, and Mr. MERKEL):

H.R. 3554. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to provide for the automatic recertification of income for income-driven repayment plans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LOUDERMILK (for himself, Mr. MEeks, Mr. HULTOREN, Mr. DAVID SCHWEIZER of Georgia, and Mr. ZELDIN):

H.R. 3555. A bill to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to provide that the definition of an exchange does not apply to a line of business the purpose of which is not to effect or report a transaction on an exchange; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. ROYCE of California (for himself and Ms. MOORE):

H.R. 3556. A bill to require Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to engage in credit risk transfer transactions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, Agriculture, Transportation and Infrastructure, Ways and Means, and Oversight and Government Reform, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. WALDEN (for himself, Mr. DEFAZIO, and Mr. NEWHOUSE):

H.R. 3557. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to increase the number of health care professionals employed by the Department of Veterans Affairs by improving the Department's skillful Affordability and Accessibility in Education Debt Reduction Program; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana (for herself, Mr. RITCHIE, Mr. PAYNE, and Mrs. JOHNSON of Michigan, Mr. TRENT, Mr. Moore of Tennessee, Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. MOULTON, Mr. NEWHOUSE, and Mr. Blackburn, and Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee):

H.R. 3558. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to recognize the service of veterans honorably discharged from the United States military to naturalize and seek citizenship and other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

By Mr. BEYER (for himself, Mr. CONGRESS, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. JOHNSON of Illinois, Mrs. TONNESEN, Mr. McCOLLUM, Mr. TED LEE of California, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. WELCH, Mr. LOWREY, Ms. CICILLINE, Ms. SHEA-PORTER, Mr. RICE of New York, Mr. EVANS, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Ms. JAYAPAL, and Mr. MCGovern):

H.R. 3564. A bill to make the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 applicable to the Federal Bureau of Investigation to require the security clearance of political appointees in the Executive Office of the President for national security purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BLACKBURN of Tennessee:

H.R. 3565. A bill to achieve domestic energy independence by empowering States to control the exploration, development, and production of oil and gas on all available Federal land, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mrs. BUSTOS (for herself, Mr. CRAWFORD, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. EVANS, and Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire):

H.R. 3566. A bill to strengthen resources to combat the heroin and opioid epidemic in rural communities across America; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. CALVERT (for himself and Mr. TAKANO):

H.R. 3577. A bill to authorize the purchase of a small parcel of Natural Resources Conservation Service property in Riverside, California, by the Riverside County Resource Conservation District for educational, conservation, and recreation purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. CAPUANO (for himself and Mr. SENSIBRENNER):

H.R. 3568. A bill to require manufacturers to disclose to consumers the presence of event data recorders, or "black boxes", on new automobiles, motorcycles, and autocycles, and to require manufacturers to provide the consumer with the option to enable and disable such devices on future automobiles, motorcycles, and autocycles; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. CAPUANO (for himself, Ms. BROWNLEY of California, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. KEATING, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. King of New York, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. MOULTON, Mr. NEAL, Ms. TSONGAS, Mr. VAISEY, Ms. SHEA-PORTER, Mr. COHEN, and Mr. DESAULNIER):

H.R. 3569. A bill to amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to provide death benefits for campus police officers; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CARTER of Georgia (for himself, Mr. BASS, Mr. CLARK, Mr. FORENTHURRY, Mr. MCKINLEY, Mr. LOUDERMILK, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. YOHIO, and Mr. FLORES):

H.R. 3570. A bill to amend part A of title II of the Clean Air Act to exclude trailers and other vehicles that rely on a connection to a self-propelled vehicle from the definition of a motor vehicle; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. CASTOR of Florida:

H.R. 3571. A bill to require the Secretary of the Interior of the Arms and Disarmament Act of 1990 to require a plaintiff to provide a defendant with a more navigable and accommodating pathway for veterans honorably discharged from the United States military to naturalize and seek citizenship and other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BEYER (for himself, Mr. CONGRESS, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. JOHNSON of Illinois, Mrs. TONNESEN, Mr. McCOLLUM, Mr. TED LEE of California, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. WELCH, Mr. LOWREY, Ms. CICILLINE, Ms. SHEA-PORTER, Miss RICE of New York, Mr. EVANS, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Ms. JAYAPAL, and Mr. MCGovern):

H.R. 3564. A bill to make the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 applicable to the Federal Bureau of Investigation to require the security clearance of political appointees in the Executive Office of the President for national security purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
H.R. 3573. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the deduction allowance for interest paid and the limitation based on income, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts:

H.R. 3577. A bill to amend the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 to establish a competitive grant program to provide grants to States to carry out targeted employment and training projects; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. COHEN (for himself, Mr. BRYANT of Arkansas, Mr. BUCK of Florida, Mr. DARLING of North Carolina, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. DE LAURO, Mr. MOORE, and Mr. COHEN):

H.R. 3576. A bill to provide for the collection and assessment of intelligence regarding the efforts of foreign powers to gain influence through the financial interests of the President and persons holding office under that Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRIJALVA:

H.R. 3578. A bill to amend the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act to provide for management of red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. GRAVES of Missouri:

H.R. 3579. A bill to amend title 31, United States Code, to make it a criminal offense to defraud information concerning a United States person to be unminimized pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act for a reason other than national security interest, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Intelligence (Permanent Select).

By Mr. FARR (for himself and Mr. BRASHER of New York):

H.R. 3580. A bill to promote State requirements for local educational agencies and public elementary and secondary schools relating to the prevention and treatment of concussions suffered by students; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. DeSALVADOR:

H.R. 3581. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude Federal Pell Grants from gross income; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. DINGELL (for herself, Ms. KAPUTR, Mr. QUOILE, Ms. MCCOLLAH, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, and Mr. HOKanson of Washington):

H.R. 3582. A bill to prohibit the closure, consolidation, or elimination of offices of the Environmental Protection Agency; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Agriculture, Transportation and Infrastructure, and Science, Space, and Technology, for consideration, and to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. ELLISON:

H.R. 3583. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow an above-the-line deduction for fees and costs in connection with civil claim awards; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ESPAILLAT (for himself, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky, and Ms. NORTON):

H.R. 3584. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend certain credits against tax related to empowerment zones; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. FARENTHOLD (for himself and Mr. GOMES):

H.R. 3585. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to make it a criminal offense knowingly to make a request to access classified information concerning a United States person to be unminimized pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act for a reason other than national security interest, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. FERGUSON (for himself and Mr. BRYANT of Arkansas):

H.R. 3586. A bill to amend the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 with respect to labor organization representation during workplace inspections of workplaces; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. GOMEZ:

H.R. 3587. A bill to provide for the collection and assessment of intelligence regarding the efforts of foreign powers to gain influence through the financial interests of the President and persons holding office under that Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Intelligence (Permanent Select).

By Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana (for himself, Mr. RINEHART, Mr. BYRNES, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. CLAY, Mr. PALAZZO, Mr. FARENTHOLD, Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia, and Mr. HOGGINS of Louisiana):

H.R. 3588. A bill to amend the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act to provide for management of red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. GRAVES of Missouri:

H.R. 3589. A bill to amend title 31, United States Code, to end speculation on the current cost of multilingual services provided by the Federal Government, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. GRUHL:

H.R. 3590. A bill to restore, reaffirm, and reconcile environmental justice and civil rights, provide for the establishment of the Office of Environmental Justice Compliance and Enforcement, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, and Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. GUTIERREZ (for himself, Mr. LOFgren, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Ms. MICHELLE LUCAS of California, Mr. HINOJOSA of Texas, Ms. PHLOISI, Mr. HOYER, Mr. CROWLEY, Mr. SÁNCHEZ, Mr. CONVEYERS, Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. AGUILAR, Mr. BARRAGÁN, Mr. BEYER, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. BONAMICI, Mr. BROWN of Maryland, Mr. BROWNLEY of California, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. CARRAJAL, Mr. CARDENAS, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. CLARK of Massachusetts, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. CLAY, Mr. COHEN, Mr. CORREIA, Mr. COURTNEY, Mr. CRIST, Mr. DE LAURO, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. DEUTCH, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. ELLISON, Ms.

Eshoo, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. EVANS, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. FRANKEL of Florida, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. GOMEZ, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. AL GREEN of New York, Mr. GRIJALVA, Mr. HINOJOSA of Texas, Ms. HANABUSA, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Ms. JAYAPAL, Mr. COSTA, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. KAPITUR, Mr. KIMMEL, Mr. KHURIEN, Mr. LANGVIN, Ms. LEE, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. TED LIEU of California, Mr. LOUHISALO, Mr. BEN LUI Y-LEU of New Mexico, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Ms. MATSUI, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. McFARRIN, Mr. MCKINNEY, Mr. MEeks, Mr. MENG, Ms. MOORE, Mr. NADLER, Ms. NAPOLITANO, Mr. NORTON, Mr. PALONE, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. PENCE, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. POLANISKY, Mr. POLIS, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. QUILE, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. RUIZ, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Mr. SARABAN, Mr. SARBANS, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. SCHADLER, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. SHFW-FOYETT, Mr. SMITH, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. SOTO, Mr. SWALWELL of California, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Ms. TITUS, Mr. TONKO, Ms. TOYNGAS, Mr. VEASEY, Mr. VELA, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. WELBORN, Mr. Whip, Mr. WYNN of Florida, Mr. YARMUTH, and Ms. BASS):

H.R. 3591. A bill to amend the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 to permit States to determine State residency for higher education purposes and to authorize the cancellation of removal and adjustment of status of certain aliens who entered the United States as children, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. JAYAPAL (for herself, Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, Mr. BEBA, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, Mr. CROWLEY, Mr. FRANKEL of Florida, Ms. GABBArd, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. KRISHAMAN TRAM, Ms. MENG, Ms. NORTON, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. SUOZZI, Mrs. TORRES, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, and Mr. WILSON of North Carolina):

H.R. 3592. A bill To amend the Public Health Service Act and the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 to provide for research and improvement of cardiovascular health among the South Asian population of the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. JOHNSTON of Louisiana:

H.R. 3593. A bill to amend the Wilderness Act to authorize U.S. Customs and Border Protection to conduct certain activities to the international land borders of the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas (for himself and Ms. JENKINS of Kansas):
H.R. 3594. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to protect the Social Security Trust Funds from overpayment discharge during bankruptcy proceedings, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania (for himself, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. WELCH, Ms. BONAMICI, Ms. CHU of California, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Ms. SANCHEZ of California, Ms. FRANKEL of Florida, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. POCAN, and Mr. SERRANO)

H.R. 3595. A bill to provide for the overall health and well-being of young people, including the promotion of lifelong sexual health and healthy relationships, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LEVIN (for himself and Mr. THOMAS of California)

H.R. 3596. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a credit to employers for basic family and medical leave, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania (for himself, Mr. WINTER, Mr. COLE, Mr. COOK, Ms. GREELAAR, Mr. GALLOZZI, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mrs. DINDELL, and Ms. DELBENE)

H.R. 3597. A bill to amend the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 to authorize grants to the Indian Tribes to further achievement of Tribal coastal zone objectives, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. KING of Iowa (for himself, and Mr. WITTMAKER)

H.R. 3598. A bill to amend the Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act to reauthorize the Act; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. KING of Iowa

H.R. 3599. A bill to prevent States and local jurisdictions from interfering with the production and distribution of agricultural products for foreign commerce; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. KING of Iowa

H.R. 3600. A bill to amend title 13, United States Code, to require that any questionnaire used in determining the decennial census of population shall contain an option for respondents to indicate citizenship status or lawful presence in the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. KISS of Tennessee

H.R. 3601. A bill to amend the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 to clarify data and information sharing requirements regarding complaints, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Ms. LEE (for herself, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. ELLISON, Mr. TONKO, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. HUFFMAN, Ms. NORTON, Mr. MCNERNEY, Ms. SPEIER, Miss RICE of New York, Mr. DREUTCH, Mr. CLARK of Massachusetts, Mr. COREY, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. AGUILAR, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. KIHuen, Mr. CLARK of Nevada, Mr. G CULLUM, Mr. NADLER, Mr. MCGOVERN, Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Mr. LUS glowing, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Alabama, Ms. HANABUSA, Mr. CARRAJAL, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. EVANS, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. WELCH, Ms. BONAMICI, Ms. JUDY Chu of California, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Ms. SANCHEZ of California, Ms. FRANKEL of Florida, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. POCAN, and Mr. SERRANO)

H.R. 3602. A bill to provide for the overall health and well-being of young people, including the promotion of lifelong sexual health and healthy relationships, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LEVIN (for himself and Mr. THOMAS of California)

H.R. 3603. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to prevent earnings stripping of corporations which are related to inverted corporations; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. LOEBSACK

H.R. 3604. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to establish fees for medical services provided in units of the National Park System, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. MACARTHUR (for himself, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. LANCE, and Mr. COSTELO of Pennsylvania)

H.R. 3605. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide for a presumption of herbicide exposure for certain veterans who served in Korea; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

By Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York (for herself and Mr. ELLISON)

H.R. 3606. A bill to amend the Truth in Lending Act to establish fair and transparent standards for marketing and provision of overdraft coverage programs at depository institutions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. MCCLINTOCK (for himself and Mr. TIPPTON)

H.R. 3607. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to establish fees for medical services provided in units of the National Park System, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. MCCLINTOCK (for himself and Mr. TIPPTON)

H.R. 3608. A bill to amend the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to require publication on the Internet of the basis for determinations that species are endangered species or threatened species, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mrs. MURPHY of Florida (for herself, Mr. SOTO, Mr. CURSELO of Florida, Mr. MURPHY, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mr. HASTINGS, and Ms. WILSON of Florida)

H.R. 3609. A bill to amend the Secondary School Construction Act to provide for the overall health and well-being of young people, including the promotion of lifelong sexual health and healthy relationships, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mrs. NOEM

H.R. 3610. A bill to amend the Food Security Act of 1985 to permit the donation of hay harvested under the conservation reserve program to certain disaster areas and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. PAULSEN (for himself, Mr. KIND, and Mr. MARCHANT)

H.R. 3611. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to create incentives for Medicare providers to promote quality healthcare outcomes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PAYNE

H.R. 3612. A bill to amend the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to provide assistance to expand, improve, support, and promote primary and secondary education for displaced children, including grants to areas designated by the Department of State as areas of conflict; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. PAYNE (for himself, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. EVANS, Ms. SPEIER, Ms. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. HIGGINS of New York, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Ms. MURDOCH of California, Mr. SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. ATKINS, Ms. HUDSON, Ms. NORTON, Mr. COHEN, Mr. HASTINGS, and Ms. RUSH)

H.R. 3613. A bill to authorize the Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance to make grants to States, units of local government, and other entities to conduct gun buyback programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. POLIOQUIN

H.R. 3614. A bill to direct the Administrator of General Services to establish a program to sell Federal buildings that are not in use and may be offered in sales, in social security benefits and military retirement pay, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, and Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. POSEY

H.R. 3615. A bill to direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to purchase a 90% share of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of increasing its competitive advantage, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. RICHARDSON

H.R. 3616. A bill to amend the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act to provide grants to States for summer employment programs for youth, to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. RICHARDSON (for himself, Mr. GRAUDEL, Mr. CONROY, Mr. KAPTRU, Mr. BLUMENAUER, and Mr. RUSH)

H.R. 3617. A bill to amend title 39, United States Code, to provide that the United States Postal Service may provide certain basic financial services, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. RICHARDSON

H.R. 3618. A bill to amend the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 to establish the Office of School Discipline Policy and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.
By Mr. RUZ: H.R. 3619. A bill to authorize the Export-Import Bank of the United States to use 3 percent of its profits for administrative expenses; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. RUZ (for himself, Mr. PHARRAIAL, and Mr. KIHUN): H.R. 3626. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to expand eligibility for the refundable credit for coverage under a qualified health plan; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. RUSSELL: H.R. 3621. A bill to require subscribers to provide information in order to request and receive electronic mail services; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Ms. SEWELL of Alabama (for herself, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Mr. Bishop of Georgia, Mr. CLAY, Ms. HANABUSA, Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHI, Mrs. Watson COLEMAN, Mr. SOTO, Mr. EVANS, Mrs. DINGELL, Mr. BEYER, Mr. MOULTON, Mr. Moultrie, Mr. KILDEER, Ms. MOORE, Mr. SCHIFF, and Mr. QUIGLEY): H.R. 3622. A bill to direct the Election Assistance Commission to establish the E-Security Commission to provide training in the best practices for election cybersecurity, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. BRENDA R. BOYLE of Pennsylvania (for himself, Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania, and Mr. EVANS): H. Res. 485. A resolution commemorating the 40th anniversary of the Greater Philadelphia Chapter; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. POE of Texas (for himself, Ms. WUENKER of Texas, Mr. WINKEL of Texas, Ms. JUDY CHU of California, and Mr. SESSIONS): H. Res. 486. A resolution calling on the Government of Iraq to compensate the former residents of Camp Ashraf for their assets seized by groups affiliated with the Government of Iraq; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. POE of Texas: H. Res. 487. A resolution urging all Universities to designate and maintain a sexual assault coordinator or advocate who can be accessed by student victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking on campus and in the surrounding communities; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. CICILLINE (for himself and Ms. JAYAPAL): H. Res. 488. A resolution of inquiry requesting the President and directing the Attorney General to transmit, respectively, certain documents and correspondence relating to the removal of former Federal Bureau of Investigation Director James Comey; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 3630. A bill to establish student loan borrowers' rights to basic consumer protections, reasonable and flexible repayment options, access to trials and effective loan cancellation in exchange for public service, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. WILSON of Florida: H.R. 3628. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow employers a credit against income tax for employees who participate in interservice partnership programs; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. WILSON of Florida: H.R. 3629. A bill to require the Secretary of the Treasury to establish the E-Service Commission to establish the E-Service Commission to transmit, respectively, certain documents and correspondence relating to the removal of former Federal Bureau of Investigation Director James Comey; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. TIBERI, and Mr. LEVIN): H.R. 3632. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide for a refundable credit for the cost of child and dependent care tax credit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. McCANCY (for himself and Mrs. MURPHY of Florida): H.R. 3633. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a refundable credit for the cost of child and dependent care tax credit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ADAMS (for herself and Mr. EVANS): H. Res. 489. A resolution a resolution affirming and recognizing the Khmer, Laotian, Hmong, and the other ethnic groups commonly referred to as Montagnards of Cambodia and Laos who supported and defended the United States Armed Forces and freedom in Southeast Asia; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. AL GREEN of Texas (for himself, Ms. JACKSON, Ms. BASS, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. Bishop of Georgia, Ms. BROWN of Maryland, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. CLAY, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. CLYBURN, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Ms. EDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. ELLISON of California, Ms. EVANS, Mr. FUGATE, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. LAWSON of Florida, Mr. LOPEZ, Mr. MENG, Mr. McEACHIN, Mr. BARRAGAN, Ms. TITUS, Mr. SWALWELL of California, Ms. SHERA-POSTER, Mr. KING of New York, Mr. COOK, Ms. JACKSON LEE, and Mr. DONOVAN): H. Con. Res. 75. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BRENDAN R. BOYLE of Pennsylvania: H. Con. Res. 76. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 77. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. ADAMS (for herself and Mr. EVANS): H. Con. Res. 78. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 79. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. POE of Texas: H. Con. Res. 80. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 81. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. POE of Texas: H. Con. Res. 82. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 83. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 84. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 85. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 86. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 87. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 88. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 89. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 90. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 91. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 92. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS of Vermont: H. Con. Res. 93. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the current Federal income tax deduction for interest paid on debt secured by a first or second mortgage should not be further restricted; to the Committee on Ways and Means.
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Mr. CORREA, Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico, Mr. GONZALEZ of Texas, Mr. MOONEY, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, and Mr. CASTRO of Texas: H. Res. 492. A resolution expressing concern for the separation of José Escobar from his wife and children as a result of his deportation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHI (for himself and Mr. HULTGREN): H. Res. 493. A resolution recognizing the dangers of distracted driving; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Ms. NORTON: H. Res. 494. A resolution expressing support for the designation of August 22, 2017, as national “Chuck Brown Day” and honoring his contributions to music and to the District of Columbia; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia (for himself, Ms. ESCH, Mr. JACKSON LEE, Miss GONZALEZ-COLON of Puerto Rico, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. MARSHALL, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Mr. EVANS, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Ms. NORTON, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Ms. KARAS, Mr. CONDEGA, Mr. Bishop of Georgia, Mr. JOHNSTON of Georgia, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Ms. PUDHIE, and Ms. CASTRO of Florida): H. Res. 495. A resolution recognizing the seriousness of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) and expressing support for the designation of the month of September 2017 as “PCOS Awareness Month”; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois: H.R. 3525.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of section 8 of article I of the United States Constitution. Amendment V: “No person . . . shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation.”

By Mr. RUSH: H.R. 3539.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers.”

Amendment XIV, Section 1: “No state shall . . . deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.”

By Mr. RUSH: H.R. 3539.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . regulate Commerce . . . among the several States . . .”

By Mr. DOBBS: H.R. 3540.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers.”

By Mr. BROWN of Maryland: H.R. 3527.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the . . . general welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. COOPER: H.R. 3538.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . regulate Commerce . . . among the several States . . .”

By Mr. HULTGREN: H.R. 3531.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. LEWIS: H.R. 3539.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . regulate Commerce . . . among the several States . . .”

By Mr. MIKE QUIGLEY of Illinois: H.R. 3530.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the . . . general welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD: H.R. 3531.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the . . . general welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. YOUNG: H.R. 3539.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the . . . general welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. THOMSON: H.R. 3539.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. VELAZQUEZ of Illinois: H.R. 3531.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. EVANS: H.R. 3532.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. JR. MILLER of Texas: H.R. 3531.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. ADAM HUNTSMAN of Utah: H.R. 3539.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. BROWN of Texas: H.R. 3531.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3: The Congress shall have Power “To . . . provide for the general Welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia (for himself, Mr. BROWN of Maryland, Mr. EVANS, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. MITCHELL of Georgia, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. KARAS, Mr. CONDEGA, Mr. Bishop of Georgia, Mr. JOHNSTON of Georgia, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Ms. PUDHIE, and Ms. CASTRO of Florida): H. Res. 495. A resolution recognizing the seriousness of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) and expressing support for the designation of the month of September 2017 as “PCOS Awareness Month”; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.
laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any department or officer thereof.

By Mr. McCaul:
H.R. 3548.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, section 8, clause 1; and Article I, section 8, clause 18 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. Paulsen:
H.R. 3549.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Larsen of Washington:
H.R. 3550.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 1; all legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

By Ms. McSally:
H.R. 3551.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1; and Article I, section 8, clause 18 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. Cleavear:
H.R. 3552.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I Section 1.

By Mr. Marino:
H.R. 3553.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 4 in that the legislation exercises legislative powers granted to Congress by that clause “To establish an uniform Rule of Naturalization, and uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States.”

Article III, Section 1, Clause 1 in that the legislation exercises legislative powers granted to Congress by that clause “The judicial Power of the United States shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behavior, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office.”

By Ms. Bonamici:
H.R. 3554.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution.

By Mr. Loudermilk:
H.R. 3555.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution.

By Mr. Royce of California:
H.R. 3556.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, section 8, clause 1 (relating to the general welfare of the United States).

By Mr. Walden:
H.R. 3557.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section VIII, Clauses 12 & 13

By Mrs. Brooks of Indiana:
H.R. 3558.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8

By Ms. Adams:
H.R. 3559.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the Constitution.

The Congress shall have the Power To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts, and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States.

By Mr. Aguilar:
H.R. 3560.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Arrington:
H.R. 3561.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Arrington:
H.R. 3562.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8.

By Ms. Barragan:
H.R. 3563.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Sec. 1 and 8

By Mr. Beyer:
H.R. 3564.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 18.

By Mrs. Black:
H.R. 3565.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
(a) Article I, section 1, to exercise all legislative powers vested in Congress as granted by the Constitution.

(b) Article I, section 8, which gives Congress the authority “To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the preceding Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof”.

(c) Article IV, section 3, clause 2, stating that, “The Congress shall have Power To dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States”;

(d) Article I, section 8, clause 17, providing that, “The Congress shall have Power To... exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of the Government of the United States.”

By Mrs. Bostos:
H.R. 3566.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Calvert:
H.R. 3567.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, section 8 of the United States Constitution, specifically clause 18 (relating to the power to make all laws necessary and proper for carrying out the powers vested by Congress)

By Mr. Capuano:
H.R. 3568.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
The Congress enacts this bill pursuant to Article I, Section 8, Clause 1; and Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Capuano:
H.R. 3569.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
The Congress enacts this bill pursuant to Article I, Section 8, Clause 1; and Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Carter of Georgia:
H.R. 3570.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 which grants to the Congress power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this Constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or officer thereof.

By Ms. Castor of Florida:
H.R. 3571.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution.

By Mr. Cicilline:
H.R. 3572.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Mr. Cicilline:
H.R. 3573.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. Clark of Massachusetts:
H.R. 3574.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, United States Constitution.

By Mr. Cohen:
H.R. 3575.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Collins of New York:
H.R. 3576.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Costa:
H.R. 3577.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18, which allows Congress to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution any of Congress’s enumerated powers, including Congress’s powers over appropriations, or just simply Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. Danny K. Davis of Illinois:
H.R. 3578.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Ms. DeLauro:
H.R. 3579.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 9, Clause 7 and Article I, Section 8, Clause 2, respectively.

By Mr. DeSaulnier:
H.R. 3580.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. DeSaulnier:
H.R. 3602.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mrs. Dingell:
H.R. 3602.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The constitutional authority of Congress to enact this legislation is provided by Article I, section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Ellison:
H.R. 3603.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 1.

By Mr. Espallat:
H.R. 3584.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article One of the United States Constitution, section 8, clause 18:

The Congress shall have Power—To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof or Article One of the United States Constitution, Section 8, Clause 3:

The Congress shall have Power—To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. Farenthold:
H.R. 3575.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The 4th Amendment to the Constitution and Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the U.S. Constitution

By Mr. Ferguson:
H.R. 3586.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the government of the United States, or in any Department of Officer thereof.

By Mr. Gomez:
H.R. 3587.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Graves of Louisiana:
H.R. 3588.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution of the United States of America.

By Mr. Graves of Missouri:
H.R. 3589.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 gives Congress the power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts and excises, and to pay the debts levied by such expenses.

By Mr. Grijalva:
H.R. 3590.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

U.S. Const. art. I, §§1 and 8.

By Mr. Gutierrez:
H.R. 3591.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subse-

quent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Mr. Levin:
H.R. 3592.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subsequent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Mr. Johnson of Louisiana:
H.R. 3593.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, clause 1

By Mr. Sam Johnson of Texas:
H.R. 3594.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause 1 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution to “provide for the common defense and general welfare of the United States.”

By Mr. Kelly of Pennsylvania:
H.R. 3595.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The Congress enacts this bill pursuant to Article I Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Kilmer:
H.R. 3597.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. Kind:
H.R. 3598.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 United States Constitution.

By Mr. King of Iowa:
H.R. 3599.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to Congress’ powers to regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian Tribes under Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. King of Iowa:
H.R. 3600.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mrs. Noem:
H.R. 3601.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The Constitutional Authority on with this bill rests is the explicit power of Congress to regulate in commerce in, and among the states, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 3, the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution. In addition this legislation rests on the power of Congress to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 18.

By Ms. Lee:
H.R. 3602.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I of the United States Constitution and its subse-

quent amendments, and further clarified and interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Mr. Payne:
H.R. 3603.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I Section 8 Clause 3—Congress has the ability to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. Payne:
H.R. 3604.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8, clause 1 of the Constitution which grants Congress the power to provide for the general welfare of the United States.

By Mr. MacArthur:
H.R. 3605.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mrs. Carolyn B. Maloney of New York:
H.R. 3606.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

By Mr. McClintock:
H.R. 3607.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 9, Clause 7 of the United States Constitution, “The Appropriations Clause,” which confers on Congress the power to control or to limit spending by the federal government.

By Mr. McClintock:
H.R. 3608.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution, “The Necessary and Proper Clause,” which confers on Congress the power to make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the property belonging to the United States.

By Mrs. Murphy of Florida:
H.R. 3609.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, which provides Congress with the power to regulate commerce among the several states, the power to promulgate rules of science and the useful arts, and the power to make all laws necessary and proper for carrying out the foregoing powers.

By Mrs. Noem:
H.R. 3610.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

What is Article I, Section 8

By Mr. Paulsen:
H.R. 3611.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I Section 8 Congress shall have the power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts and excises, to pay the debts and provide for the common defense and general welfare of the United States.

By Mr. Payne:
H.R. 3612.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I Section 8 Clause 3—Congress has the ability to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. Payne:
H.R. 3613.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I Section 8 Clause 3—Congress has the ability to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution: Congress shall have Power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18 of the Constitution: The Congress shall have Power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

This bill is introduced pursuant to the powers granted to Congress under the General Welfare Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 3), and the Necessary and Proper Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 18).

Further, this statement of constitutional authority is made for the sole purpose of compliance with clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and shall have no bearing on judicial review of the accompanying bill.

By Mr. RICHMOND:
H.R. 3616.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Pursuant to clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill.

This bill is introduced pursuant to the powers granted to Congress under the General Welfare Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1), the Commerce Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 3), and the Necessary and Proper Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 18).

Further, this statement of constitutional authority is made for the sole purpose of compliance with clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and shall have no bearing on judicial review of the accompanying bill.

By Mr. RICHMOND:
H.R. 3617.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Pursuant to clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill.

This bill is introduced pursuant to the powers granted to Congress under the General Welfare Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1), the Commerce Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 3), and the Necessary and Proper Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 18).

Further, this statement of constitutional authority is made for the sole purpose of compliance with clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and shall have no bearing on judicial review of the accompanying bill.

By Mr. RICHMOND:
H.R. 3618.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Pursuant to clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill.

This bill is introduced pursuant to the powers granted to Congress under the General Welfare Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1), the Commerce Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 3), and the Necessary and Proper Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 18).

Further, this statement of constitutional authority is made for the sole purpose of compliance with clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and shall have no bearing on judicial review of the accompanying bill.

By Mr. RICHMOND:
H.R. 3619.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Pursuant to clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill.

This bill is introduced pursuant to the powers granted to Congress under the General Welfare Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1), the Commerce Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 3), and the Necessary and Proper Clause (Art. I, Sec. 8 Cl. 18).

Further, this statement of constitutional authority is made for the sole purpose of compliance with clause 7 of Rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and shall have no bearing on judicial review of the accompanying bill.

By Mr. RICHMOND:
H.R. 3620.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution: Congress shall have Power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Ms. SEWELL of Alabama:
H.R. 3622.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution: Congress shall have Power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Ms. SEWELL of Alabama:
H.R. 3623.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution: Congress shall have Power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania:
H.R. 3625.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution: Congress shall have Power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. STIVERS:
H.R. 3626.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defense and general Welfare of the United States.

By Mr. WILLIAMS:
H.R. 3628.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3629.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3630.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3631.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3632.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3633.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3634.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3635.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3636.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3637.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution: Congress shall have Power to make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the forgoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by the Constitution in the Government of the United States . . . .

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3638.
H.R. 3346: Ms. Velázquez, Ms. Barragán, Ms. Adams, Mr. Evans, Ms. Norton, Mr. Johnson of Georgia, Ms. Shea-Porter, Mr. McGovern, Mr. Soto, Mr. Vargas, Mr. Espaillat, and Ms. Titus.
H.R. 3361: Ms. Pingree.
H.R. 3394: Mr. Lobhach and Mr. Mullin.
H.R. 3396: Mr. Kelly of Pennsylvania and Mrs. Walorski.
H.R. 3414: Mr. Rush.
H.R. 3415: Ms. Sewell of Alabama.
H.R. 3421: Mr. Rush.
H.R. 3425: Mr. Burgess.
H.R. 3440: Ms. Lofgren and Mr. Coffman.
H.R. 3441: Mr. Estes of Kansas, Mr. Cramer, Mr. Rodney Davis of Illinois, Mr. Brat, Mr. Yoder, Mr. Marshall, Mrs. Comstock, and Mr. MacArthur.
H.R. 3443: Mr. Higgins of New York and Ms. Fudge.
H.R. 3452: Mr. Sessions and Mr. Culberson.
H.R. 3462: Ms. Norton.

H.R. 3466: Ms. Judy Chu of California.
H.R. 3473: Mr. Ellison.
H.R. 3492: Mr. Rodney Davis of Illinois.
H.R. 3513: Mr. Collins of New York and Mr. Higgins of New York.
H.R. 3516: Mr. Ellison and Mr. Correa.
H.J. Res. 31: Mr. Ruiz.
H.J. Res. 51: Mr. DeSantis and Mr. David Scott of Georgia.
H.J. Res. 61: Mr. Sam Johnson of Texas.
H.J. Res. 88: Mr. Ted Lieu of California.
H.J. Res. 90: Mr. Cohen.
H. Con. Res. 10: Mr. Shimkus.
H. Con. Res. 27: Mr. Rush, Ms. McCollum, Mr. Garamendi, Mr. Tonko, and Mr. McNerney.
H. Con. Res. 63: Mr. Larson of Connecticut, Mr. Sarbanes, Ms. DelBene, and Mr. Soto.
H. Con. Res. 73: Mr. Franks of Arizona.
H. Res. 129: Mr. Byrne, Mr. Costa, Mr. Moolenaar, and Mr. Gallagher.
H. Res. 318: Mr. Emmer.
H. Res. 327: Ms. Judy Chu of California.
H. Res. 359: Ms. Rosen.
H. Res. 394: Mrs. Lowey.
H. Res. 401: Mr. Evans and Mr. Aguilar.
H. Res. 436: Mr. Evans, Mr. Cohen, and Ms. Eshof of Connecticut.
H. Res. 443: Mrs. Napolitano.
H. Res. 455: Mr. Garrett, Mrs. Dingell, and Mr. Cartwright.
H. Res. 457: Mr. Smith of Washington.
H. Res. 461: Mr. Aguilar.
H. Res. 466: Mr. Soto, Ms. Norton, Mr. Conyers, Mrs. Napolitano, Mr. Larsen of Washington, Mr. Ryan of Ohio, and Mr. Yarmuth.
H. Res. 474: Ms. Jayapal.
H. Res. 477: Mr. Dunn.
H. Res. 479: Mr. Blumenauer, Ms. Sánchez, Mr. Danny K. Davis of Illinois, and Mr. Thompson of California.
EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION RELATING TO ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS

SPEECH OF
HON. KEITH ELLISON
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 25, 2017

Mr. ELLISON. Mr. Speaker, for far too long, people’s legal rights have been limited by the use of forced arbitration clauses in contracts for consumer financial products and services. Forced arbitration clauses, also called mandatory pre-dispute clauses, prevent cheated or defrauded consumers from going to court to challenge wrongdoing by big banks, cell phone providers, auto leasing and auto financing firms, credit repair, payday lenders, debt collectors and credit card companies. Most arbitration clauses for financial products also prohibit consumers from participating in class actions. Forced arbitration clauses have been opposed by conservatives and progressives.

Forced arbitration is a secret process where consumers seek redress at private firms chosen by the financial institution. This rigged system is why banks and lenders receive more than a million dollars per year paid out to them by their customers in forced arbitration, compared to just $6.216 returned to consumers. While advocates for the financial sector are correct that (sixteen) consumers receive an average of $5,400 in arbitration every year, they leave out the fact that banks and lenders receive an average award of $13,195 when they win—and they win 93 percent of the time. Indeed, a recent report found that consumers paid more restitutions to Wells Fargo in arbitration than the other way around between 2009 and 2016, the prime years of its fake account scandal.

After years of effort, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau finalized a rule restoring American consumers’ right to join together in class actions. Forced arbitration clauses have been opposed by conservatives and progressives.

Instead of celebrating a rule that prevents financial interests from evading responsibility, Republicans seek to stop this rule under the Congressional Review Act (CRA). Today, they presented H.J. Res. 111. It is a vote to prevent consumers from receiving adequate compensation for fraud, deceptive or predatory practices.

A vote for H.J. Res. 111 is a vote to deny Americans their constitutional right to access the legal process.

H.J. Res. 111 would protect companies like Wells Fargo that used arbitration clauses and class action bans to create fraudulent accounts, overcharge customers with debit fees and mortgages and avoid responsibility for misconduct. H.J. Res 111 would remove federal protections for members of the military from evictions and repossession while they are on active duty. And, H.J. Res. 111 would deny consumers the ability to get fair compensation for harm.

For those reasons, and more, we urge you to reject a resolution that shields companies from responsibility for risky and illegal conduct.

Today is another example to show the American people just how much Republicans want to rig the system for the powerful. A vote FOR this resolution is a vote to rig the rules to take money from the pockets of the American people and put it into the pockets of the financial sector.

H.J. Res. 111 puts the profits of banks, student loan, car loan and mobile wireless providers, credit card companies, payday lenders, debt collectors over the fair treatment of the American people.

How?

For far too long, people’s legal rights have been limited by the use of forced arbitration clauses in contracts for consumer financial products and services. Forced arbitration clauses, also called mandatory pre-dispute arbitration clauses, prevent cheated or defrauded American consumers from going to court to challenge wrongdoing.

If your bank opens a fake account in your name, if your student loan lender refuses to adjust your loan due to your loss of income, if your bank re-orders your debit transactions to maximize overdraft fees, it was frequently impossible for you to join with others to sue the bank as part of a class action.

But two weeks ago, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau responded to demands from consumers and changed the rules to protect consumers. The Consumer Bureau told banks and lenders they cannot keep their customers out of court. Class action lawsuits must be allowed. And, the Consumer Bureau ended the secrecy that surrounds the arbitration courts. Companies must report court filings, arbitration filings, court and arbitration awards.

The vast majority of the American people, consumer groups like the Consumer Federation of America, the Military Coalition, and even conservative groups oppose forced arbitration.

A vote AGAINST H.J. Res. 111 is a vote to allow people to receive adequate compensation for fraud, deceptive and predatory practices.

A vote AGAINST H.J. Res. 111 is a vote to give Americans their constitutional right to access the legal process.

Please join me in voting against H.J. Res. 111.

I include in the RECORD various statement of opposition to the joint resolution.

[From National Consumer Law Center, July 2017]

SUMMARY OF CFPB RULE ON FORCED ARBITRATION

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) has issued a rule addressing the use of forced arbitration clauses in the fine print of financial contracts. The rule has two components:

1) Restores consumers’ day in court and accountability when companies engage in widespread violations of the law. Contracts that have forced arbitration clauses will not be permitted to ban consumers from banding together by joining or bringing class actions involving consumer financial services.

2) Brings transparency to the secretive arbitration process. Companies that use forced arbitration in individual cases must report court filings, arbitration claims and rulings and other information to the CFPB (with identifying information redacted) so that the CFPB can study the impact of forced arbitration in individual cases.

The rule applies to the core consumer financial markets involving lending money, storing money, and moving or exchanging money. With some exceptions, the rule would cover most:

Loans and credit, including credit cards, payday loans, student loans, and auto loans (auto finance companies, not auto dealers, except some buy-here/pay-here dealers).

Mortgages are already prohibited from having arbitration clauses.

Credit reporting, credit scores, credit monitoring.

Credit repair, debt management, debt settlement, and debt relief services, including those that purport to avoid foreclosure. This includes debt relief involving, medical debt, taxes, and other kinds of debt even if not credit related.

Check cashing, check collection, check guaranty services.

Auto leases, but not auto dealers who assign their leases.

Debt collection and payment processing related to these products or services.

Mobile wireless providers that allow third party charges through their services.

Key areas that are not covered include:

Auto dealers (other than some buy-here/pay-here dealers), such as claimed related to discrimination, advertising, odometer fraud, or deception about a car’s history.

For-profit colleges and trade schools, unless the school directly makes loans.

Credit cards, bank accounts and other products begun before the rule goes into effect.

Services offered directly by governments or tribes to members within their jurisdiction. The rule does apply to tribal payday lenders who offer products off-reservation.

Investment products and services by entities regulated by the SEC.

Individuals and others who offer a product or service to 25 or fewer consumers a year.

Nonfinancial products and services, like nursing homes, cable/mobile providers (except for third party charges on bills), employers, or store payment plans that don’t charge.

The rule applies to new contracts entered into 211 days after a final rule is published (likely Spring of 2018) and older contracts that are purchased or acquired after that date.

● This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.
DEAR REPRESENTATIVE: Americans for Finan-
cial Reform and Public Citizen write to urge you to vote against H.J. Res. 111, resolu-
tion to repeal the Consumer Financial Pro-
tection Bureau (CFPB’s) arbitration rule under the Congressional Review Act (CRA) and block future rules that protect consumers. This extreme legislative measure would harm the public by insulating bad ac-
tors from accountability when they system-
atically abuse consumers. Industry lobbyists
are lawbreakers a competitive edge in the mar-
ketplace as a result.

Based on five years of careful study and
consideration mandated by the Dodd-Frank
Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protec-
tion Act of 2010, this rule is the result of a
Congressional directive instructing the agen-
cy to restrict or ban forced arbitration if it
found the practice harmful to consumers.

The rule centers on two commonsense meas-
ures: 1) it restores the right of consumers to
join together through prohibiting class
action bans, ensuring consumers can hold
banks accountable for widespread harm, and 2) it brings transparency to industries that
profited from requiring claims and outcomes
with sensitive information redacted, ensur-
ing banks can no longer cover up illegal be-
havior.

According to a 2016 poll conducted by Pew
Charitable Trusts, nearly 90% of consumers
want their right to join together in class ac-
tion lawsuits restored. Indeed, more than
100,000 individual consumers across the coun-
try wrote in to support the rule during its
public comment period, as did the Military
Coalition representing 5.5 million military
servicemembers. Two weeks ago, 310 con-
sumer, civil rights, faith, and labor organiza-
tions wrote to support the final rule.

All available data supports the conclusion
that class action lawsuits hold bad actors ac-
countable and enable harmed consumers to
be made whole. Without the option to join
together in class action lawsuits, consumers with
losses of less than $1,000 pursue arbitration each year. In
contrast, class actions returned $2.2 bil-
ion to 34 million Americans between 2008 and
2016, an increase of $440 million more in class actions than the
other way around between 2009 and 2016, the prime
years of its fake account scandal. In addition, banks and
lenders receive an average award of $13,195 when
they win—and they win 93% of the time. Indeed, a recent
report found that consumers paid more restric-
tion to Wells Fargo than the other way around between 2009 and 2016.

The same study that found sixteen con-
sumers recover more money in arbitration
per year also found that banks and lend-
ers receive more than a million dollars per
year paid out to them by their customers in
forced arbitration. While sixteen consumers receive an average of $5,400 in arbitration
every year, banks and lenders receive an aver-
age of just nine cents for every dollar
claimed.

It is no wonder that the financial industry
prefers arbitration when consumers receive a
large award paid out by consumers.

The GOP’s FOOLISH DECISION

The GOP would be terribly foolish to go
down this road, for three reasons. Forced ar-
britation is: (1) unconscionable; (2) unconsti-
tutional and (3) a big political loser.

1. Unconscionable. Here are some examples of the kind of behavior CFPB’s reg is trying to
prevent:

Last week when CFPB announced the new
rule, prominent Beltway Republicans cried
foul: Rep. Jeb Hensarling of Texas, chairman of the powerful House banking committee,
deprecated the rule as a “wet kiss” to the
trial lawyers, Sen. Tom Cotton of Arkansas
vowed to kill the regulation swiftly.

The U.S. Chamber of Commerce urged Con-
gress to kill not only this regulation, but
every CFPB rule, on grounds the agency is
unconstitutional and and therefore all of its
actions are invalid.

The GOP would be terribly foolish to go
down this road, for three reasons. Forced ar-
britation is: (1) unconscionable; (2) unconsti-
tutional and (3) a big political loser.

The U.S. Chamber of Commerce urged Con-
gress to kill not only this regulation, but
every CFPB rule, on grounds the agency is
unconstitutional and and therefore all of its
actions are invalid.

The GOP would be terribly foolish to go
down this road, for three reasons. Forced ar-
britation is: (1) unconscionable; (2) unconsti-
tutional and (3) a big political loser.

1. Unconscionable. Here are some examples of the kind of behavior CFPB’s reg is trying to
prevent:

Wells Fargo Bank admitted its employees
systematically created millions of sham
bank accounts in its customers’ names, and
then in many cases fraudulently billed those
same customers for fees and services they
never agreed to. Executives of the megabank
knew this was happening but did nothing.

2. Unconstitutional. Congress has passed
laws it did not want to be enforceable in
forced arbitration clauses in a host of financial contracts, such as cred-
it cards, bank accounts and payday loans.

3. Political loser. The rule is already in
force, and real estate.

News reports suggest the House may vote
as soon as next week on a formal “resolution
of disapproval” of the CFPB regulation, which
was authorized by Congress in 2010, formally
proposed in 2016 and finalized this
week.

The resolution of disapproval enables Con-
gress to kill a federal regulation within 60
legislative session days following its formal
publication, by means of a quick up-or-down,
simple-majority vote, with no chance of
amendment or filibuster. If the regulation is
disapproved by the House, the Senate and
the president, it is dead and may not be
re-introduced. The GOP is rushing to do this
successively to overturn fourteen regulations to
date, all but one of them in the past six
months.

The GOP would be terribly foolish to go
down this road, for three reasons. Forced ar-
britation is: (1) unconscionable; (2) unconsti-
tutional and (3) a big political loser.

Wells Fargo was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
by Fox News, was accused, before his death,
the Military Coalition, which represents nearly 6 million uniformed service members, veterans and their families, has formally petitioned Congress to ban the clause.

2. Unconstitutional. Question: If binding arbitration clauses are so bad, why are they so common? Because a series of Supreme Court rulings (the most recent one in May) have now effectively overturned the traditional common-law understanding of arbitration. In past centuries, arbitration was understood as a voluntary option that is fair only when both parties are of roughly equal bargaining power or else have agreed to it freely after a dispute has arisen.

In lieu of that reasonable understanding, the Court has substituted a doctrine "right of contract" that allows a powerful party to effectively force a weaker party to waive his or her constitutional right to sue, before a dispute has arisen and often without informed consent. This transformation defies common sense and severely weakens Americans' Seventh Amendment right to a jury trial.

Today, arbitration has devolved into a private star-tribunal that is stacked in favor of the corporation—which, unsurprisingly, usually wins.

Is the CFPA itself unconstitutional? Yes, in my opinion, But so is forced arbitration. And Congress has a duty to protect our right to a jury trial.

Instead of lashing out at the agency by overturning this regulation, Congress should do the right thing and amend the Federal Arbi- tration Act to make binding arbitration agreements truly voluntary for all Americans, as the Constitution requires. Having done so, it could then, at its leisure, reform (or, as I would prefer, abolish) the controver-sial agency.

3. A Political Loser. Those who vote to overturn this regulation will be placing themselves on the side of accused sexual harassers, corporate wrongdoers and unscrupu-lous payday lenders who exploit our troops.

If Republicans are politically sensible—or just have an ounce of self-respect—they’ll take the high road and let this reasonable rule stand.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2018

— SPEECH OF
HON. SUZANNE BONAMICI
OF OREGON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 26, 2017

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3219) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2018, and for other purposes.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Chair, I rise today in strong opposition to H.R. 3219, the Make America Secure Appropriations Act. I am deeply disappointed that this bill includes an indefensible $1.6 billion for the President's so-called border wall. It also violates that bipartisan Budget Control Act spending cap, strips a long-overdue provision to suspend the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force (AUMF), and bars any efforts to close Guantanamo Bay.

H.R. 3219 squeezes Fiscal Year 2018 funding for the Legislative Branch, the Veterans' Affairs Department, the Department of Defense, and Energy and Water programs at the Department of Energy and Department of the Interior. Although I have many concerns with the bill, I am pleased that it increased funding for the Army Corps of Engineers, including funding for the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, which will help dredge and maintain Oregon ports. I am also grateful that a bipartisan amendment to sunset the 2001 Authorization Act was offered by Rep. SCOTT PERRY to increase funding for the Water Technologies Office at the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) was adopted. This will allow Oregon State University to continue their cutting-edge research on sustainable hydropower, pumped storage, and marine energy.

I am deeply concerned, however, that the bill reduces overall EERE funding and eliminates the Advanced Research Project-Energy (ARPA-E) program. I also do not support the inclusion of harmful policy riders that prevent implementation of National Oceans Policy protections and authorize the withdrawal of the Waters of the United States rule.

I am supportive of provisions in the bill that uphold our commitment to our national vet- erans. The bill provides robust funding for Medical and Prosthetic Research, and prioritizes funding to hire needed doctors, nurses, and medical staff at VA medical cen-ters. Additionally, the bill addresses the ongo-ing disability claims backlog by requiring regional offices to process claims within a year.

Unfortunately, the bill also includes $1.6 bil-lion to fund parts of President Trump’s border wall, a waste of money that will not secure the border and will have long lasting humanitarian, diplomatic, and environmental consequences. The bill also appropriates Defense spending at $621 billion, which is $72 billion above the BCA caps. Without a fix to the caps, this fund-ing level would trigger a mandatory 13.2 per-cent cut in all defense accounts. This reckless cut is irresponsible. Finally, the bill was stripped of a provision to sunset the 2001 Au-thorization for Use of Military Force (AUMF), which has been used for more than 15 years to justify ongoing military actions overseas. It is long past time for Congress to reassert our authority and debate and debate the tools of military force. The Majority’s decision to re-move this provision—which passed out of the Appropriations Committee with broad bipar-tisan support—shows a disregard for our duties and the legislative process. Additionally the bill bars any funds from being used to close the detention center at Guantanamo Bay, or to transfer detainees. For those reasons, I am strongly opposed to H.R. 3219 and urge my colleagues to vote no.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2018

— SPEECH OF
HON. RON KIND
OF WISCONSIN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 26, 2017

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3219) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2018, and for other purposes.

Mr. KIND. Mr. Chair, I will vote against H.R. 3219, the Make America Secure Appropriations Act, because it is not a responsible way to spend taxpayer money. The bill blows through the spending limits in the Budget Control Act. Responsible governing means making hard choices and spending taxpayer money wisely. This bill did not serve either of those goals.

I am particularly concerned about the $1.57 billion included in this bill to pay for the border wall between the United States and Mexico. For that much money, we could pay for over 94,000 students to get their four-year degrees at a UW-System school. Instead, we are spending that money on a project that will only balloon in price and cost even more to main-tain. We need to make smart decisions about how to spend our limited resources. We should be investing in ourselves.

There are plenty of opportunities to pay for important defense priorities by eliminating waste in the Defense Department. In January of 2015, the non-partisan Defense Business Board released a report outlining opportunities for reform that would save $125 billion in de-fense spending. That report is now collecting dust. That is money we should be investing in ourselves.

Unfortunately, the bill also included $1.57 billion to fund parts of President Trump’s border wall, a waste of money that will not secure the border and will have long lasting humanitarian, diplomatic, and environmental consequences.

I am particularly concerned about the $1.57 billion included in this bill to pay for the border wall between the United States and Mexico. For that much money, we could pay for over 94,000 students to get their four-year degrees at a UW-System school. Instead, we are spending that money on a project that will only balloon in price and cost even more to main-tain. We need to make smart decisions about how to spend our limited resources. We should be investing in ourselves.

There are plenty of opportunities to pay for important defense priorities by eliminating waste in the Defense Department. In January of 2015, the non-partisan Defense Business Board released a report outlining opportunities for reform that would save $125 billion in de-fense spending. That report is now collecting dust. That is money we should be investing in ourselves.

Despite voting against the bill, I was happy to see $55 million provided to the VA imple-ment the Jason Victor Small PROMISE Act. The funding will assist in increasing programs to help medical professionals and patients un-derstand the risks associated with pain medi-cation and examine alternative treatments. This will help address the opioid epidemic and give veterans and their families the tools they need and the accountability they deserve.

I understand how important it is to provide ample support for our military, which is why I recently voted in favor of the National Defense Authorization Act. Supporting the brave men and women who defend this nation is of para-mound importance. We should not be inserting partisan riders into bills that should be bipar-tisan. I will continue to work with my col-leagues to support our military and pursue fis-cally responsible policies that invest in Amer-i-cans.
The bill would increase defense spending more than $72 billion above limits set in the Budget Control Act. House Republicans have refused to work with Democrats on a new budget agreement, and without a budget resolution, the funding levels in this legislation would be subject to a sequester. H.R. 3219 is an affront to smart spending and a testament to misguided governing.

The legislation increases funding levels for several redundant weapons programs, including certain dangerous nuclear weapons programs. The prioritizing of weapons systems over our troops and veterans is wrong and will result in the hollowing out of the Army, Navy, and Air Force conventional forces. We need to set clear spending priorities.

In addition, the bill contains a number of provisions that undermine environmental policy requirements and important investments in renewable energy. It includes a rider that would prevent the federal government from protecting clean water and even exempts discharged or fill material from clean water act requirements. The bill would also eliminate the Department of Energy's advanced energy research program and the energy loan guarantee program and would slash energy efficiency & renewable energy investments by nearly $1 billion. The so-called Making America Secure Act even prevents agencies from collaborating on federal ocean policy.

There was a bright spot. The House passed an amendment to defund painful experiments on dogs conducted by the Department of Veterans Affairs. It is critical that Congress work to strengthen animal protections, which is why I've laid out an ambitious agenda to help animals and crack down on abuse.

I hope we can work together going forward to pass a budget resolution that prioritizes appropriately.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2018

SPEECH OF
HON. CAROL SHEA-PORTER
OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 27, 2017

The House in Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union under consideration the bill (H.R. 3219) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2018, and for other purposes.

Ms. SHEA-PORTER. Mr. Chair, I represent the First District of New Hampshire, which includes Pease Air Guard Base, formerly Pease Air Force Base. As a member of the House Armed Services Committee, I’m aware that our military’s historic use of perfluorinated chemicals or PFCS has not only polluted the groundwater of Pease and the surrounding area, but also of bases and their environs nationwide. That’s why I’m offering this amendment to authorize the Department of Defense to fund a nationwide health impact study—which the House-passed NDAA has just authorized—to be conducted by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry beginning in FY2018. Our service members, veterans, and other affected constituents deserve answers about how they and their children have been harmed by these chemicals, which are classified as emerging contaminants.

This contamination began in the 1970s, when more than 600 U.S. military fire-training sites used a firefighting foam that contained PFCs called perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS). Testing conducted by the Department of Defense at many of these sites has found PFC groundwater levels that exceed EPA guidelines many times over. At Pease, it is 12.5 times higher than the health advisory. The Department of Defense has so far spent $200 million assessing and remediating the water at many of these sites. The House report accompanying the NDAA has extensive language identifying this problem and directing the Department of Defense to report to the Committee on the process and timeline for identifying and resourcing long-term remediation on military bases or in the surrounding communities.

Because of widespread PFC use at sites across the United States, contaminated drinking water now poses a nationwide public health threat. According to the American Cancer Society (ACS), PFOA is especially problematic because it can stay in the environment and in the human body for long periods. Many peer-reviewed studies indicate health dangers of PFCs, including links to testicular, kidney, and thyroid cancer, liver damage, impaired immune system function, decreased fertility, and even fetal or child. But the ACS says “more research is needed to clarify these findings,” because a comprehensive, long-term study of the health impacts of PFOA and PFOS has not yet been conducted.

That is why I see a clear and pressing need for this study. Our service men and women, veterans, and others who have been exposed to PFCs deserve answers on both the short- and long-term health impacts of these contaminants. Such research could enable them to take proactive measures, such as more frequent cancer screenings, to protect their own and their children’s health.

Knowing that groundwater contamination exists and understanding the clear danger of long-term health risks, we can begin to remedy past mistakes by supporting the launch of this much-needed study on behalf of our troops, our veterans, their families, and affected civilians. This is a debt we owe our troops and military families, defense civilian workers, and others who served on or lived near these bases.

Please support our amendment to give our military members and veterans, their families and children, and in and around bases across our country, the answers they deserve.

RECOGNIZING LAMP HIGH SCHOOL IN MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA

HON. TERRI A. SEWELL
OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the history and continued legacy of LAMP High School in Montgomery, Alabama. This Magnet school remains a significant testament to the success of public education in America, especially in the historic city of Montgomery.

LAMP, originally an acronym for Lanier Academic Motivational Program, began in 1984 as a magnet program in Lanier High School. As the Montgomery Board of Education saw that white flight away from integrated schools continued to increase, public education leaders affirmed that retaining racial balance in schools would allow for quality education for all students.

The first director of the program, Mary George Jester, brilliantly led this school to continually be the number one ranked public school in Alabama, a top 50 public school nationally, and the number one ranked magnet school in the nation in 2013, according to Newsweek and US News and World Report. What started as an idea by the Board of Education to combat white flight turned into a program with the philosophy that any student, regardless of background or race, could get the quality education they deserved. Mary George Jester relentlessly took that attitude into a community that was all too familiar with innovative ideas, a fervor for change, and the desire for equal opportunity. Montgomery remains the backdrop of LAMP High School today as it boasts it’s racial, religious, and cultural diversity.

However, as the 2017 to 2018 school year approaches, LAMP will move locations for the third time in four years. After LAMP formed in an already existing high school in 1984, it finally rented its own building in 1999. That facility, built in 1923, housed the first junior and senior high schools for African-American students, and was named after Henry Allen Loveless, a founding member of the Dexter Avenue Baptist Church. Subsequently, LAMP changed its acronym to what it is today: Loveless Academic Magnet Program—reflecting the name of that building. As students and faculty grew accustomed to their new surroundings and strived to get national recognition, the building they worked in slowly deteriorated. Finally, after 13 years, that building was deemed severely unsafe for a school, and the school was promptly moved in November 2014 to a temporary, unused elementary school building a couple miles away downtown.

Neveretheless, LAMP persisted, with students, faculty, and administrators scrambling to get situated; this was not the first nor the last time LAMP would have to adapt to a new environment. The timelessness philosophy Mary George Jester instilled in the program that “The education is education in any environment in the classroom; rather, it encompasses the student’s entire world.” After being present for LAMP’s second move, Ms. Jester retired after returning that year to be the principal. Ms. Jester’s philosophy remained as LAMP was informed that it would be moving again to a renovated portion of the closed down and vacant Montgomery Mall building. The brand new facility will reopen for students and faculty in August of 2017, which will complete its fourth and final move.

The push of education leaders in 1984 for LAMP’s success to transcend buildings thirty-three years later. Through community support early on, LAMP stands as a testament that public education creates developed
HONORING BRIAN ROSS LUCAS
OF ARIZONA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. SCHWEIKERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Brian Ross Lucas. Brian is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 222, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Brian has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years Brian has been involved with scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community. Most notably, Brian has contributed to his community through his Eagle Scout project. Brian completed a landscaping project around a sign he also erected for Eagleville United Methodist Church in Eagleville, Missouri.

Mr. Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Brian Ross Lucas for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE 2017 GRADUATING CLASS OF THE DODIE LONDON EXCELLENCE IN PUBLIC SERVICE SERIES
HON. DAVID SCHWEIKERT
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. SCHWEIKERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the graduating class of the 2017 Dodie London Excellence in Public Service Series. Brandy Wells, Cindy Casaus, Debi Vandenboom, Farhana Ahmed, Jeni White, Kristen Desmangles, Lauren Pemberton, Lisa Godzich, Dr. Shadow Asgari, Simone Hall, VicLee Jacobs, Bernadette Coggins and Yvonne Cahill have all distinguished themselves as impactful leaders in our community.

HONORING NAFCU NEWEST BOARD MEMBER
HON. SCOTT TAYLOR
OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Brian Schools on his recent selection to the Board of Directors at the National Association of Federally-Insured Credit Unions, NAFCU.

Mr. Schools has been President and CEO of Chartway Federal Credit Union in Virginia Beach since 2015. Mr. Schools joined Chartway Federal Credit Union in 2008 and served as vice president of lending, corporate strategy officer, and corporate divisional president, until he assumed his current role.

Mr. Schools has more than 20 years of business leadership experience and holds a Bachelor of Science in Finance from Virginia Tech and a Master of Business Administration from Virginia Commonwealth University. He has also served as a Board member of Chartway’s We Promise Foundation, which provides financial support to make dreams and wishes come to life for children facing medical challenges.

He is known at his credit union as a highly capable leader who has the experience, the determination, and the drive to position Chartway for the opportunities and challenges ahead. Mr. Schools’ business experience and leadership will bring a tremendous amount of expertise to the NAFCU Board.

I wish Mr. Schools the best of luck in his new role on the NAFCU Board, and look forward to working with him in this capacity. I ask that my colleagues join me today in congratulating Brian Schools on this achievement.

RECOGNIZING THE 150TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE VILLAGE OF PENTWATER
HON. BILL HUIZENGA
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. HUIZENGA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the village of Pentwater, Michigan on the occasion of its 150th Anniversary.

On March 16, 1867, the former settlement of Pentwater was officially incorporated as a village by the Michigan Legislature. The Victorian homes and cottages scattered around the village remind visitors of Pentwater’s historic past. Its first sawmill—opened in 1867—turned into a booming lumbering industry, including the largest shingle mill in the United States. As we look back at Pentwater’s historic past, we hope to preserve that which has made it special.

From early on, Pentwater was known as a scenic resort destination. Today, with its picturesque location on Lake Michigan, Pentwater offers countless options for recreation and entertainment. Charles Mears State Park provides visitors the opportunity to hike, fish, sail and enjoy all that Lake Michigan has to offer.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to join me in celebrating the 150th Anniversary of the village of Pentwater, Michigan.

TRIBUTE TO DAN MATTHEWS
HON. BILL SHUSTER
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dan Mathews and thank him for his years of dedicated service to Congress and the American taxpayers. Dan has worked on the Hill for over 20 years, and, he has been a fixture on the staff of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure as the Republican Staff Director of the Subcommittee on Economic Development, Public Buildings, and Emergency Management for the last 14 years. The Subcommittee has a wide area of jurisdiction covering federal buildings and court-houses, the General Services Administration, and GSA leases and capital projects, the Smithsonian, the Kennedy Center, the National Gallery of Art, and numerous other federal facilities. In addition, Dan also staffed the Select Bipartisan Committee to Investigate the Preparedness for and the Response to Hurricane Katrina in 2006. He was one of the primary authors of the Committee’s investigative report, “A Failure of Initiative,” which led to the successful enactment of the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act, and later the establishment of the National Preparedness System and the Sandy Recovery Improvement Act.

In his time with the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Dan has had a leading role in the enactment of major pieces of legislation related to public buildings and emergency management. Most notably, during his tenure, he has overseen the passage and the initial implementation of the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act (FASTA), which will shrink the size of government and help ensure savings by selling or redeveloping high value properties, consolidating federal space, and streamlining the disposal of unneeded assets; the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act, which gave FEMA clear guidance on its mission and priorities, and provided the legislative authorities needed to better partner with state, local, tribal, and territorial governments before, during, and after disasters; and the Sandy Recovery Improvement Act, which was the most significant legislative change to the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) substantive authorities since the enactment of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act of 1974.

Dan has also worked tirelessly to save taxpayer money by reducing the cost of federal real estate, reducing waste in the federal courthouse construction program, coordinating efforts by agencies to reduce leasing costs through space reductions and consolidations, and pushing GSA to negotiate the best lease deals for the taxpayer. It comes as no surprise given his experience and efforts, that the Administration has appointed him Commissioner of GSA’s Public Buildings Service. I am confident in that role, Dan will continue the important work of ensuring GSA and other federal agencies continue to reduce costs and make smarter decisions in federal real estate to benefit the taxpayer.

In his spare time, Dan enjoys spending time with his family, his wife Lara and their two daughters, traveling, doing yoga, and rock climbing. Mr. Speaker, I join my colleagues in acknowledging Dan’s achievements during his tenure here in the House of Representatives and in wishing Dan the best of luck with new endeavors.
RECOGNIZING THE 200TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

HON. JOHN KATKO
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. KATKO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 200th Anniversary of the Auburn Correctional Facility in Auburn, NY.

The Auburn Correctional Facility has served Central New York diligently and without fail—never ceasing to operate 24 hours a day for seven days a week—for 200 years. The Auburn Correctional Facility has been an economic driver in Auburn and Central New York, creating jobs and boosting the local economy over the past two centuries.

I commend the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision for keeping the Auburn Correctional Facility operational for the last 200 years, and I wish the Auburn Correctional Facility continued success in the years to come.

FEDERAL COURT DECISION ON THE PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON ELECTION INTEGRITY

HON. TERRI A. SEWELL
OF ALABAMA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to express deep concern about the recent Federal Court decision on the Trump Administration’s Presidential Advisor Commission on Election Integrity. The partisan commission led by Vice President Pence and Kansas Secretary of State Kris Kobach sparked controversy on June 28th when they requested the names, date of birth, party registrations, partial Social Security numbers, and voting history of more than 150 million registered voters across America.

Immediately following this request, voting rights groups and civil liberty activists challenged the Commission on Election Integrity in Federal Court. Earlier this week, a D.C. federal judge ruled that the White House is exempt from federal privacy review requirements, permitting the Trump Administration’s commission to move forward with collecting voters’ personal information throughout the country. This decision is a setback to democracy, because it legitimizes the voter suppression efforts of this commission.

The creation of the Commission on Election Integrity is based on an inaccurate claim by President Trump that he would have “won the popular vote if you deduct the millions of people who voted illegally.” It is also upsetting that the commission is using tax payer money to promote this false narrative.

Fortunately, the D.C. Federal Court’s decision will not be the final ruling on the legitimacy of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity. Many other groups, including the NAACP Legal Defense Fund, have filed lawsuits in federal courts across the country to challenge the commission’s efforts.

With the seemingly constant attacks on voting rights, it is easy to become discouraged and disengaged. I challenge every American to draw inspiration from the foot soldiers of the Civil Rights and Voting Rights Movement who risked their lives in the pursuit of unfettered access to the ballot. As old battles become new again, we must re-double our efforts to ensure equal access to the voting booth. That is why I proposed H.R. 2978—The Voting Rights Advancement Act of 2017. By restoring the full protection of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, we can address the problem of voter discrimination and help ensure all Americans, regardless of race and economic status, are able to exercise their right to vote.

HONORING TALENT IN GEORGIA’S FILM INDUSTRY

HON. DOUG COLLINS
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to highlight the success and bright future of the film industry in my home state of Georgia.

When Americans ventured out to see feature films like Spiderman: Homecoming and Guardians of the Galaxy Volume 2 they watched movies that were shot 50 miles south of my home in Gainesville. Ten years ago, no one could imagine big ticket films such as these being produced here. But today, Georgia’s film industry yields an economic impact of over $7 billion.

The need for Georgians to keep up with the pace of this industry’s growth is crucial. Therefore, I want to take the time to commend the efforts that my northeast Georgia friends have taken to educate and inspire our youngest generation to get involved in film production.

Recently, the Hall County Library System hosted a film school that offered free classes to young students. There, students were taught how to draft their own movie scripts, shoot videos at different angles, and edit them in order to provide viewers with the best experience.

Additionally, I want to recognize Opal Littleton, a star on the rise from Dahlonega. She was recently cast as the role of young Charlotte Byrde on the show Ozark and is working with Clint Eastwood on his film, 15:17 to Paris.

The future of Georgia’s film industry is being paved by the talent of these young Georgians and the support that their loved ones give to them. I am excited to watch them grow and take on their own roles in the success of Georgia’s film industry.

HONORING DR. JOSEPH V. ERARDI, JR.

HON. ELIZABETH H. ESTY
OF CONNECTICUT
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. ESTY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Dr. Joseph Erardi, Jr. upon his retirement as the Superintendent of Schools in Newtown, Connecticut. Dr. Erardi is a distinguished educator and administrator, and his legacy of fighting for Connecticut’s students will inspire the next generation of public servants.

Dr. Erardi has long been active in education in our state. He completed his undergraduate and Master’s degrees at Central Connecticut State University before earning his Doctorate in Educational Leadership from the University of Hartford. Before joining the Newtown Public Schools, Joe worked in school administration at Rockville High, Killingly High, and Manchester Public Schools. He then worked as Superintendent at the Southington, Watertown, and Bolton school districts in Connecticut before joining Newtown as Superintendent in 2014.

Joe’s leadership has been vital to support our local schools during a challenging time for our state and local governments. In November 2016, the Connecticut Boards of Education and Connecticut Association of Public School Superintendents named Dr. Erardi as the Superintendent of the Year for 2017 in recognition of his dedication to students, teachers, and his district. What’s more, in spite of his demanding work at Newtown Public Schools, Joe found the time to teach at Central Connecticut State University and to share his experience with the next generation of educators.

On a personal note, I want to honor my friend Joe. He is a true educator, mentor, and citizen servant. Joe, we’ll miss you—but know that your service stands as a benchmark for all of us who care about education and about our communities. I extend him my best wishes for an enjoyable retirement with his wife, Sue, and children, Joe and Chelsea.

Mr. Speaker, Dr. Joseph Erardi dedicated his career in public service to improving our public schools and ensuring Connecticut’s students receive a quality education. Therefore, it is fitting and proper that we honor him here today.

TRIBUTE TO JERRY NURMBERG

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Jerry Nunberg for his many years of service to the Creston Volunteer Fire Department. After serving admirably for 45 years, Jerry has decided it’s time to hang up his boots.

Jerry joined the Creston Fire Department in 1972. Not only did he work calls for fires, but in the beginning, the volunteers also responded to ambulance calls. He has had experience with everything from house fires to train wrecks and has been the treasurer for the volunteer fire fighters for most of his tenure.

Mr. Speaker, it is an honor representing Jerry in the United States Congress. I ask that all Members in this Chamber join me in commending him for his outstanding service to the city of Creston and in wishing him nothing but the best in his retirement.
Mr. KIND. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in celebration of the 150th Anniversary of the Jacob Leinenkugel Brewing Company. As every Wisconsinite knows, Leinenkugel’s is a staple of our state’s economy and has been for 150 years.

In my district, Chippewa Falls, WI or serving our country in business in western Wisconsin today. The way in which the Leinenkugel family does and support has been passed down through the generations and remains today as a dynamic driver of tourism in my district. The brewery's visitor center, the Leinie Lodge, draws over 125,000 visitors annually making it one of the most prominent tourist destinations in our great state of Wisconsin.

Leinenkugel’s success, however, is not only because they manufacture great tasting beer, but it’s also because they embody the Wisconsin notion of service to others. The Leinenkugel family has a long history of public service, dating back to World War II when Bill Leinenkugel served as a United States Marine in the South Pacific. It was this same passion for service that propelled Bill's 40-year career with the brewing company. Two of Bill's sons, the fifth generation of the family to work for the brewery, Jake and Dick also served as officers in the United States Marine Corps. In addition to their service as Marines, Dick Leinenkugel served as a County Board Supervisor in Waushesa County, WI and as Wisconsin’s Secretary of Commerce from 2008 until 2010. Jake Leinenkugel is retired from the company but continues to honor the Leinenkugel tradition of service as a Senior White House Advisor to the Veterans Administration.

The dedication for community involvement and support has been passed down through generations and continues to shape the way in which the Leinenkugel family does business in Wisconsin today.

Whether it’s brewing Leinenkugel beer in Chippewa Falls, WI or serving our country in the Marines, the Leinenkugel family consistently represents the hardworking values of all Wisconsinites. With this in mind, I’d like to raise a Summer Shandy and congratulate the Jacob Leinenkugel Brewing Company and Leinenkugel family on 150 years of dedicated service to western Wisconsin.

HON. CHILLIE PINGREE
OF MAINE
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. PINGREE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the memory of Katherine Jennings Moxham who passed away on July 7, 2017. Katherine Jennings “Kate” Moxham, 46, a resident of Kennebunk, Maine, died Friday, July 7, 2017 at Maine Medical Center in Portland from injuries received in an accident while riding a bike from home to the town library. She was an advocate for education, literature, science, the environment and personal rights, regardless of race, gender or sexual orientation.

Kate was born May 5, 1971 in Kingston, PA, the daughter of Donald Bryden Jennings and Freda Ann Lockyer Jennings, and is a graduate of Merrimack College in North Andover, MA with a BA degree in history.

Kate was constantly seeking knowledge and education. She loved reading, had a huge passion for libraries and learning, and was a constant advocate for both. She was vocal about what she stood for and fought for what she believed in. Having been awakened more recently (as she put it), Kate participated in the recent march for Women in Washington, DC, and in the March for Science in Boston.

Bringing people together to make connections and develop relationships, within her family, her wide circle of friends and her community was a hugely important part of her life. She was always the brightest light in the room wherever she was. Her laugh was infectious and her wit kept others in stitches. And her heart was as big as could be. She would help others without them needing to ask on a daily basis. And if they asked, she was right there.

One of Kate’s passions was literature, and getting kids within her realm to pick up on that passion in any way she could. She was a volunteer at the Kennebunk Free Library, and served on its board of Trustees, including a term as board chair. Kate worked as librarian in the Kennebunk School System, at the Sea Road School library and also the Mildred L. Day School Library; created summer reading lists for all students from elementary grades through junior high, and created personal lists for students she knew so they would be challenged, intrigued, and enjoy the fun of a good book.

First and foremost was Kate’s love of her immediate family—husband Todd of 17 years, and sons Tyler (15) and Riley (13). She was a tireless advocate for Tyler and Riley in the school system and in life. She loved to travel and show them new places and things to expand their minds, and to show them how to live in a community, taking care of others. She was planning to go next spring on a service trip with Tyler to Mississippi with a team from South Congregational Church.

Survivors include her husband Todd Moxham, two sons Tyler Moxham and Riley Moxham, all of Kennebunk, Maine.

DONATTI RECEIVES PRESTIGIOUS FULBRIGHT AWARD
HON. PETE OLSON
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. OLSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Michael Donatti of Pearland, TX, for receiving a Fulbright award to work on his Master of Science degree at the University of Bristol in the UK.

Michael is a Rice University student studying environmental policy and management. His environmental policy program will cover corporate environmental sustainability, legal and economic frameworks and climate science, and will require him to write a thesis. Each year the Fulbright Program grants students the opportunity to study, research or teach English abroad in an effort to internationalize communities and campuses around the world. Fulbright scholars focus on the conditions and changes differing regions face, as well as building valuable U.S. relationships.

On behalf of the Twenty-Second Congressional District of Texas, congratulations again to Michael for receiving this Fulbright award. Keep up the great work.

HON. DAN NEWHOUSE
OF WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. NEWHOUSE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of a respected constituent of mine, Ron Van Gundy.

Since 1968, Ron devoted his time and energy to the Roza Irrigation District in the Yakima Basin. His collaborative efforts throughout the years have guided the district through several major droughts, enhanced water quality, and improved conservation efforts.

In Central Washington, water is often times a luxury, and it takes an intelligent, visionary mind to navigate the unique irrigation challenges that face our farmers. Ron exhibited an unmatched sense of leadership and cultivated strong relationships throughout the region and state-wide. He did all of this to gain support for one of Washington’s most important and vulnerable agricultural districts.

Ron will be missed by many, and I am proud to have worked with him. We can honor his legacy by continuing his work and demonstrating the passion he had for water solutions in Washington’s 4th District. I ask my colleagues to please join me in remembering Ron Van Gundy.

CELEBRATING THE CITY OF PARAMOUNT’S 60TH ANNIVERSARY
HON. LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise to congratulate the City of Paramount on its 60th anniversary. I am deeply honored to represent this hub of innovation and history as part of California’s 40th Congressional District, and I hope my colleagues will join me in paying tribute to Paramount and its residents.

The City of Paramount was incorporated in 1957 after two communities, Hynes and Clearwater, merged. The unincorporated Hynes-Clearwater community staged a hard-fought campaign, “Save Paramount for Paramount,” contesting its annexation from surrounding communities, and secured the right to self-governance.

The city we now call Paramount has been home to a rich and enduring history. The city’s “Hay Tree,” where the price of the world’s hay was used to be set each day, stands today as a...
State Historical Landmark, proudly representing Paramount’s inspiring past as a major hay and dairy producer. Iceland, an ice rink opened in 1940 by Frank Zamboni and an early Paramount landmark, celebrates the city’s rich ice skating history. Iceland has not only been a widely enjoyed community asset, but the training facility for professional skaters such as Olympic champions Sonja Henie and Dorothy Hamill. The world-famous Zamboni Ice-Resurfacing Machine, which Mr. Zamboni developed in Paramount, is a memorable illustration of the area’s enduring spirit of innovation.

In the spirit of the city’s motto, “Positively Paramount,” residents of Paramount share a commitment to making the city the best it can be. Paramount City in 1988 for its redevelopment efforts. Paramount continues to flourish as a thriving urban center. The city’s revitalization in recent decades has inspired an arts movement, sparked investments in commercial and residential rehabilitation, and, most notably, reinvented the downtown.

HON. JIM COSTA
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017
Mr. COSTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in celebration of Mrs. Maytha Sebasto’s 80th birthday. Maytha is a beloved wife, mother, teacher, and friend to many.

Maytha Sebasto was born in Merced, California to M.O. and Bertha Richards. Her parents were true pioneers and farmed in Merced and later in the LeGrand area. Maytha graduated from LeGrand High School in 1955 and went on to enroll at Fresno City College. In 1958, she earned her degree in elementary education in 1960. While in high school, Maytha belonged to her local 4-H club and was a member of Job’s Daughters and President of the Girls League.

Maytha began teaching at LeGrand Elementary School in the fall of 1960 with a provisional certificate. Her teaching philosophy was simple: Always give your students a strong foundation for their future success.

In 1960 she taught at Eastin Arcola in Madera County and then in 1961 she joined Fresno Unified School District, teaching primary grades at Tielman Elementary, Birney Elementary and Columbia. Maytha joined the faculty at Ewing Elementary in 1967. In 1990 she joined the faculty at Ayer Elementary where she remained until her retirement after more than 35 years of teaching. Maytha was beloved by her students and spent the last 15 years of her career teaching Kindergarten.

Maytha caught the eye of a young soldier, Alfred Sebasto at a church party. The two were married on December 29, 1962. For the first year of their marriage, Maytha and Alfred lived in Augsburg, Germany, where Alfred was stationed in the U.S. Army. Thereafter, the two moved back to the Sebasto Family Farm on the Eastside of Fresno County to raise their family. Maytha and Alfred are the proud parents of two loving daughters, Theresa and Alfreda.

Maytha not only assists her husband in the family farming operation, but she also volunteered for the Farm Bureau “Ag in the Classroom” program, teaching students and teachers more about the industry of agriculture and its importance to our community. Since her retirement from teaching, Maytha has become an accomplished watercolor artist.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me today in recognizing Maytha Sebasto as she celebrates her 80th birthday. I ask that you join me in congratulating her family continued health and happiness.

HON. TERRI A. SEWELL
OF ALABAMA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017
Ms. SEWELL of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, today, I would like to speak in support of the Campus Accountability and Security Act and the Megan Rondini Act. During August recess, millions of college students will return to their universities, some will be freshmen, going to college for the first time. Therefore, I hope that Congress can take decisive action and pass these bills when we return in September. It is our responsibility to ensure all students are safe and secure when they are away from home and pursuing their college education.

We cannot ignore the rise of sexual assault on college campuses across this country. RAAIN, the nation’s largest anti-sexual violence organization, reports one in six women in our nation have been the victim of rape or attempted rape. College-aged women are three to four times more likely to experience sexual assault. Unfortunately when many of these women seek medical attention and justice through their universities, they find neither.

I have the privilege of representing the University of Alabama, the fastest growing flagship university in the country. As our universities grow and the number of sexual assault victims grow, it is imperative that universities become the allies of all students, especially victims of sexual assault.

I am proud to join my colleagues in co-sponsoring the Campus Accountability and Security Act. The proposed legislation would increase accountability and transparency between universities and students and ensure safer university environments. Schools will be responsible for providing essential resources for victims, like confidential advisers and well-trained campus personnel. This training will teach campus personnel the neurobiological effects of trauma and stress on the victim and their memory, ensuring that the students receive victim-centered, trauma-informed interviews.

For every one-thousand rapes in the United States only fifty-seven reports will lead to arrest; only six of these will result in the incarceration of a rapist. This bill would require that campus authorities coordinate with local law enforcement and share information when a crime occurs. Pursuant to the Cleary Act of 1990, universities are required to keep and make public information about assault cases and other crimes. This current bill will create mandatory penalties for universities that do not comply with their responsibilities laid out by the Cleary Act.

Likewise, my colleagues, Representative MALONEY and Representative POE introduced H.R. 3415, the Megan Rondini Act of 2017 this week. This bipartisan bill requires hospitals to have a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner, also known as a SAFE, or an alternative plan that gets victims to a nearby hospital with access to these resources. Requiring that SAFEs are available to victims 24 hours a day, 7 days a week is in both the proper medical attention and the preservation of the evidence necessary to litigate the assault.

I urge all the members of Congress to support the Campus Accountability and Security Act, the Megan Rondini Act and any other legislation that would protect victims of sexual violence on our college campuses.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF McDADE PARK IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA

HON. MATT CARTWRIGHT
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017
Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the 40th Anniversary of Mcade Park, which will be celebrated on Monday, July 31, 2017. For four decades Mcade Park has been an important part of Lackawanna County’s recreational activities and an oasis for residents and visitors with a place to have fun and enjoy the beauty and history of Northeastern Pennsylvania.
Since 1977, McDade Park has offered services and recreational amenities for everyone. The park’s 180 acres include several sports fields utilized by athletes of all ages while nature lovers enjoy the park’s hiking trail and ponds for fishing. Programs such as youth soccer, fishing, children’s derby’s, and many others bring people together for some friendly competition. More recently, McDade Park has taken great strides to become more accessible to people of all needs. The Boundless Playground is a special structure added in 2009 which contains wheelchair-accessible slides and special sensory areas that allows children of all needs and abilities to have fun. McDade Park has also helped bring past and present together by commemorating Lackawanna County’s history. The Lackawanna Coal Mine tour, Pennsylvania Anthracite Museum, and Miner’s Memorial are located at the park to preserve Lackawanna County’s rich industrial past. The coal mine tour allows visitors to descend 300 feet underground via a mine car to explore a restored anthracite coal mine. In addition to the mine tour, McDade Park is home to memorials dedicated to honor Cancer Survivors and to commemorate the September 11, 2001 attacks on our country. It is my honor to recognize McDade Park as it celebrates its 40th Anniversary. May the people of Lackawanna County continue to enjoy the beauty and history that McDade Park offers for many, many years to come.

RECOGNIZING THE LIFE OF FLORENTINO DURAN

HON. HENRY CUELLAR
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. CUellar, Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the life of Florentino “Tino” Duran. Born and raised in San Antonio, Florentino Duran was an accomplished man. He persevered and managed to become Student Corps President, an ROTC Colonel, and editor of his high school newspaper. After high school, he served four years in the United States Air Force. Once he finished his service, Mr. Duran attended St. Mary’s University and received a bachelor’s degree in political science and a master’s degree in public administration. Mr. Duran worked in a variety of capacities after graduating college. He served as executive assistant to both Mayor John Gatti and the Lyndon B. Johnson Administration. He also worked directing events for the Bexar County Department of Housing, President of the National Association of Hispanic Publications of the United States (NAHP) and Vice President of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of San Antonio. Later in Mr. Duran’s career, he became general manager of a Dallas newspaper known as “El Sol de Tejas”. He then became CEO and president of the “Hispanic Informer”. In 1989, Duran and his loving wife Millie reintroduced the newspaper “La Prensa” as a bilingual publication reporting on San Antonio and the Hispanic community. Mr. Duran’s successful career brought numerous recognitions, including the 2002 Media Award, presented by the San Antonio Police Association; the 2006 Community Service Award from the San Antonio-Norte Chamber of Commerce; and the 2005 Henry B. González Public Service and Integrity award, presented by the Department of Public Administration of San Antonio College.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to have the opportunity to recognize and honor the life of Florentine Duran of San Antonio.

HONORING MILJENKO “MIKE” GRGICH, RECIPIENT OF THE AMERICAN DREAM AWARD

HON. MIKE THOMPSON
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Mr. Miljenko “Mike” Grgich, whom I have selected to receive the American Dream Award for California’s 5th Congressional District. This award recognizes the achievements of immigrants in my district who have made remarkable contributions to our communities in the areas of Arts and Culture, Professional Achievement, Entrepreneurship and Innovation, or Community Service. Mr. Grgich is very deserving of this award and recognition.

Mike Grgich was born in Desne, Croatia and studied winemaking and viticulture at the University of Zagreb before leaving to escape communism. He came to Napa Valley in 1958 where he has become a successful vintner and an innovator in the wine community.

After arriving in Napa, Mike learned from some of the best vintners in the region. He became a winemaker for Chateau Montelena and made the Chardonnay that won the 1976 Paris Tasting, forever changing the way the world views American Wine. George Taber documented this consequential decision in a TIME magazine article, “Modern Living: Judgment of Paris.” The resulting coverage of the Judgment of Paris created an immediate positive impact on the world of wine, and inspired among experts, consumers, and the trade a new appreciation for California wines.

In 1995, Mike returned to the University of Zagreb at the age of 72 and finally received the degree in enology that he earned as a young man. In 2002, he helped prove that the Zinfandel vine originated in Croatia. His work led to his induction into the Vintners Hall of Fame and a Lifetime Achievement Award from the California State Fair. His 366-acre property, Grgich Hills, is completely organic, solar powered and strongly supports community organizations. Mike is an active member of Roots of Peace, an organization that works to replace land mines with grape vines all over the world. In 2007, Roots of Peace presented Mike with their Global Citizen Award for his leadership.

Mr. Speaker, we recognize Mr. Miljenko “Mike” Grgich for his achievements and for enriching our community. It is fitting and proper that we honor him here today with the American Dream Award.

HONORING YEHIA “JOHN” SHOUSHER ON HIS 90TH BIRTHDAY

HON. MARCY KAPTUR
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a Toledo area legend as he celebrates his 90th birthday, Yehia “John” Shousher. An American of Lebanese heritage and a proud U.S. citizen and indefatigable Ambassador of Goodwill, Mr. Shousher has been a one-of-a-kind Arab American leader in Greater Toledo since he adopted the community as an immigrant to our nation.

Yehia, who with his brother ran a real estate investment company and J-S Vending, has been a leader in the Toledo community for decades as a civic-minded businessman as well as a Multi-Faith and Multi-Cultural Ambassador.

Yehia has served as an administrator, public relations representative, and fund-raiser in the Muslim community for many years. His generosity has been felt in many homes and organizations in our community. At the same time, he has diversity focused his efforts on building back hope in his ancestral land of Lebanon. He worked to establish student exchanges, village development and medical education throughout Lebanon’s Bekaa Valley.

Yehia was one of the founders of the first mosque in Toledo, which was established in 1954 on East Bancroft Street. After the membership of the Mosque on East Bancroft Street outgrew the location, Yehia helped secure and plan the 48-acre campus that has become one of the icons of Perrysburg Township: the mosque and Islamic Center of Greater Toledo, which opened in 1983.

The Center has been a fulcrum of the Muslim and Interfaith communities, working to promote freedom and tolerance of religion and, together with his cherished wife Emney, Yehia has been an integral part of its inner workings and work in the larger community.

Always involved in events which promote cooperation among the faith and ethnic traditions in our community, Yehia’s dedication to cultural diversity was recognized when he became a 2013 inductee into the Heroes of Compassion Gallery for Toledo.

Yehia’s commitment to the Toledo community has been passed on to his children, Hussein, Lila, Mona and Mariam, his 10 grandchildren and his six great-grandchildren.

When I think of John, I see him carrying the flag of Lebanon at the annual Multi Faith Council’s parade of nations at the University of Toledo on Martin Luther King holiday. I see him hospitably greeting guests with his wife Emney at events throughout our community welcoming new citizens. He has been instrumental in inviting religious leaders and public officials from throughout the world to visit Toledo and built our community into a place of gracious welcome.

I see him setting up exchange programs for students from Arab speaking countries to transmit the learning and values of a free society. He gracefully took the time to include me on unforgettable travels with his family through the village of his ancestors and adjoining territories. The memories of that journey greatly inform my work.

Yehia with Emney at his side, and their bright smiles, have been indefatigable forces
for good in our community for nearly a century. We wish them well in the coming years and consider our community fortunate to have them among us.

The Qur'an 7:199 teaches, “Show Forgiveness, Enjoin Kindness, Avoid Ignorance.” Yehia “John” Smith has spent his life on this earth demonstrating this message through word and deed. His legacy is writ large in his faith community, his family, the land of his birth and our region.

We celebrate with Yehia as he reaches this milestone birthday of his 90th year. We take this moment to thank him for all he has done for so many in our community, for his leadership, for his efforts to promote mutual understanding and tolerance of diverse cultures and foster acceptance of our differences. Best wishes to both John and Emnney as he celebrates this special milestone.

RECOGNIZING THE SERVICE OF MAJ. CHARLES APPLEBERRY

HON. JASON SMITH
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the military service of Major Charles Appleberry of Flat River, Missouri. Major Appleberry was a medical doctor who enlisted in the Army on May 26, 1942 and served until his discharge on January 29, 1946.

As a member of the Army Medical Corps, he served in the South Pacific during World War II. He saved many lives, but one particular surgery is recounted in the Chillicothe Constitution-Tribune on November 1, 1944. It tells of how Dr. Appleberry removed a live Japanese 20 millimeter shell from the chest of Private Jackie Miles on the island of Leyte in The Philippines. The newspaper account explains how the doughboy clung to life, “unaware that hundreds of medics were risking their lives to save him.” The article states how Major Appleberry firmly grasped the shell, removed it and safely lifted it to a tray for careful disposal.

After the war, Dr. Appleberry returned to St. Francois County to resume his medical career at the Farmington State Hospital and Bonne Terre Hospital until his death in 1984. Those who knew the doctor join with VFW Post No. 5741 in Leadington today to honor him for his 55-year career as a physician both at home and at war. I join them in recognizing Dr. Appleberry today before the United States House of Representatives.

Tribute to Warren B. Roland

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Warren B. Roland for his 50 years of service as a Funeral Director in Atlantic, Iowa. Warren was recognized today. I ask that the 137th Annual Iowa Funeral Directors Association Convention.

Warren grew up in Atlantic where his father was a Funeral Director who served as a President of the Iowa Funeral Directors Association. He admired his father's dedication to his profession and to his community, which helped influence his decision to pursue a career as a funeral director. Warren is a 1966 graduate of the University of Minnesota and is a member of the National Funeral Directors Association. Mr. Speaker, I commend and congratulate Warren for his many years of dedicated and devoted service to Atlantic, Iowa and the surrounding area. Warren and his staff make a difference by helping and serving others in a time of need. It is with great honor that I recognize him today. I ask that my colleagues in the United States House of Representatives join me in congratulating him for his outstanding service in the funeral industry and in wishing him nothing but continued success.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. BONNIE WATSON COLEMAN
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, during consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act of fiscal year 2018 on July 13, 2017, my good friend and colleague Mr. NADLER of New York introduced an amendment to strike section 1022 of the bill prohibiting the use of funds for transfer or release of individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay to the United States. Though I supported the amendment, I erroneously cast a NO vote (Roll Call Vote No. 359); I intended to vote YES.

I want to make it clear that I support my colleague from New York’s amendment and any other measure that leads to closing the detention centers at Guantanamo Bay. Guantanamo Bay is a stain on American values of justice and due process. Since joining Congress, I have signed onto many pieces of legislation to close the facility. In a previous defense appropriation, I voted for an amendment introduced by Mr. NADLER to prohibit funds to be used to renovate and expand the facility at Guantanamo Bay along with supporting an amendment to close the detention facility that was introduced by Representative Mr. Smith of Washington.

Yesterday, I voted for another amendment introduced by Mr. NADLER to close the facility. I appreciate the opportunity to clarify my record and to reassure my constituents that I maintain my opposition to the continuing operations of the detention centers at Guantanamo Bay.

RUSSIAN ENERGY SECURITY THREATS

HON. DON BACON
OF NEBRASKA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. BACON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to call attention to the growing national security risk to our overseas military facilities posed by the Russian Federation.

For the last three years, we have watched with alarm as Putin’s Russia has adopted an aggressive and adversarial posture towards the U.S. and our allies. Putin armed the so-called separatists in eastern Ukraine. He aggressively annexed Crimea. He is intervening in the Syrian Civil War on the side of a brutal dictator. He blatantly threatens allied nations in Europe and close to home we know he used cyber weapons to destabilize our democratic process. Moscow’s hostility to our interests grows stronger and more apparent by the day.

The military dimension of Russia’s strategy is obvious and you can see it covered in the nightly news. What is less obvious however is the non-military aspect of Russia’s national strategy. Today one of the most serious
threats to our European allies—and our military installation in Europe—is Russia’s comer on natural gas and oil. Putin constantly threatens to use these resources as means to exercise political and economic control on those who heavily rely on Russian fuels as their primary source of energy.

It is for these reasons that I was proud to join my friend and colleague from South Carolina, Representative Joe Wilson, in shining a light on this threat in the House Armed Services Committee report to accompany the 2018 National Defense Authorization Act.

Just as we focus on the risk to our forward-based troops, aircraft and ships, we must not overlook the risk to the installations from which they operate. The energy we rely on to power these facilities supports our troops, their families, and their vital mission.

As a matter of strategy, our military installations overseas require secure and reliable sources of energy. Today in Europe—particularly in Germany—our military facilities receive furnished heat and other utilities from commerical or private power stations. In many cases, these facilities are fueled by gas—natural gas distribution systems which are heavily supplied with natural gas from the Russian Federation.

Our growing exposure to Russia’s ability to disrupt our energy supply lines is clearly shown in our network of military installations in Germany. I wish to call attention to one such facility: the U.S. Army’s future medical complex at Rhine Ordnance Barracks, nearby Ramstein Air Base where I was the installation commander. At an authorized cost of nearly $1 billion, this vital new facility will replace the Landstuhl Army Regional Medical Center and serve the combat needs and family requirements of military personnel on three continents.

Mr. Speaker, I am gravely concerned that our current energy supply strategy will actually increase our exposure to harmful Russian influence over time. Under no circumstances should we construct and operate critical military installations overseas where the only source of energy comes from Russia. We must consider the energy options and deliberate steps to adopt a strategy of energy resilience through mixed-fuel diversification such that not one single source—such as Russian Federation gas—should serve as a primary supply of energy.

It would be financially irresponsible and strategically reckless to appropriate nearly $1 billion for a state-of-the-art military medical center to serve our troops and families overseas, only to have the design of the new facility compromise the very reason it exists by relying on the Russian Federation to supply natural gas as the primary fuel source. These local mixed-fuel energy supply options readily available and it would be inexcusable not to make them part of the design of this project. This is good strategy, good economics and just plain common sense.

We simply cannot allow ourselves to be put in a situation where Russia has the ability to cut off energy to our forward bases, especially when we could have averted this tragic vulnerability ahead of time.

The seriousness of the Russian energy threat to our overseas military installations is addressed in the 2018 House Armed Services NDAA report which directs the Secretary of Defense to make a comprehensive evaluation of these risks and report his findings and recommendations. I am pleased to see my colleagues in the House Appropriations Committee also took up this issue with similar language in the Military Construction Appropriations and Defense Appropriations bills that passed the House.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues in the House and Senate—and especially all Members of the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees—to join me in exercising aggressive oversight of this real and serious threat to our national security.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. JEB HENSARLING
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently voted YES on Roll Call Vote 433 and would like to have it reflected that I meant to vote no.

INTRODUCTION OF THE HOUSING ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2017

HON. STEVE COHEN
OF TENNESSEE
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing alongside Rep. Dennis Ross of Florida, the Housing Accountability Act of 2017 to hold property owners of low-income housing accountable for poor living conditions.

This legislation would require the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to survey tenants living in subsidized housing and report their findings to Congress, including the percentage of residents in poor housing conditions and management performance and create new penalties for property owners who repeatedly fail the tenant surveys.

The Housing Accountability Act comes in the wake of recent local and federal investigations that found deplorable living conditions at several federally subsidized-housing properties owned by Global Ministries Foundation (GMF) in Memphis, Tennessee and Jacksonville, Florida.

For too long, property managers like Global Ministries have taken advantage of HUD’s lack of effective oversight and over dependence on often unreliable third-party property inspections and as a result continue to force our nation’s most vulnerable to live in squalor with impunity.

This bill seeks to put an end to these malpractices, hold federally-subsidized property managers to account, and provide HUD the necessary authority and directive to ensure that public housing units are suitable homes for all families, children, and hardworking Americans.

I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this bipartisan, bicameral legislation and do right by the American public.

RECOGNITION OF MINNESOTA STATE CAPITOL GRAND REOPENING

HON. BETTY MCCOLLUM
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor and celebrate the grand reopening of the Minnesota State Capitol after nearly four years of renovation.

Designed by renowned architect Cass Gilbert and inspired by the 1893 Chicago World’s Fair’s White City, an Italian Renaissance city-sized exhibit, the Minnesota State Capitol was celebrated as one of America’s finest state houses when it opened in 1905.

Now, after nearly 30 years of planning and study, this great building has been reborn through renovation, restoration, and enhancements, including technological improvements. The renovation extends the usable lifespan of the State Capitol by at least another century.

The amount of public space has doubled to nearly 40,000 square feet, brand new public meeting rooms line the halls, the public will have more access and seating in hearing rooms, and a new information center for students and educators will enrich school visits.

In addition, the renovation has made the State Capitol more accessible to all Minnesotans, especially those with disabilities.

When the State Capitol opened its doors to Minnesotans this summer, the citizens of my home state will be able tour expanded public spaces, marvel at restored art and craftsmanship, and more effectively participate in our legislative process.

Thank you to all the workers for the hours of planning, design, building, and polishing our State Capitol back to its original grandeur. Congratulations to everyone involved.

JOHN MILLER RETIRES FROM THE UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE

HON. PAUL COOK
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the outstanding career of John Miller, who will retire from his position as the U.S. Forest Service Public Affairs Officer for the San Bernardino National Forest on July 31, 2017.

My office has had the pleasure of working with John over the past four and a half years. On numerous occasions, John demonstrated a willingness to go above and beyond to serve our constituents and forest visitors. He has repeatedly shown his dedication to the Forest Service’s mission and has been instrumental in balancing multiple and sometimes competing uses of the most heavily urbanized forest in the nation.

In addition to his work as a public affairs officer, John was the Public Information Officer for a Federal Interagency Management Team and served on the U.S. Forest Service Honor Guard. John is a true professional in every sense of the word, and I wish him the best of luck as he embarks on a new chapter in his life.
We're excited to have him represent TX–22.

Pics. We are very proud of him and look forward to discussing with the band.

At Seven Lakes High School and plays perimeter training at the gym, Rowan is also a student and the U.S. Men's Junior Olympic National Champion.

We congratulate Rowan Dumond of Katy, TX, for being selected based on the management and his overall contributions to the Charlotte County community. During his tenure in Punta Gorda, Mr. Parish earned the airport from one that only served the general aviation community to one that now offers commercial services and has brought over $200 million dollars into the local economy while serving over 1 million customers. Under his guidance, the airport has modernized and streamlined its safety protocols while still maintaining a low-cost business model.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to congratulate Mr. Parish and thank him for all that he has done for the Punta Gorda community. He is truly deserving of the Aviation Professional of the Year award, and I am honored to represent him in Congress.

Mr. Parish earned this award because of the impressive progress that the Punta Gorda Airport has made under his leadership and his safety and technological advancements that take place under their watch.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize F. Gaviña & Sons, Inc., a coffee company located in my 40th Congressional District of California, in the city of Vernon. The company, one of America's largest family-owned coffee companies and the nation's largest privately held minority roster, celebrates their 50th anniversary in 2017.

The family left Cuba in 1959, and arrived in Los Angeles in 1963, where they put down roots. The family later leased a 1,100 square foot building in Vernon and purchased a small roaster from Bob's Big Boy restaurant. By June 1967, when they founded F. Gaviña & Sons, Inc., they began roasting and selling Café Gaviña Espresso.

In the beginning, they focused on producing Cuban-style coffee, but eventually expanded into other coffees that appealed to Middle Eastern and Vietnamese coffee drinkers. About 35 years later, Gaviña's retail brand, Don Francisco Gaviña, became the top selling coffee in Southern California, behind Folgers and Maxwell House.

The family began selling their coffee to a small group of McDonald's restaurants in 1983. In 2005, Gaviña created a stronger blend that resulted in a double-digit increase in the chain's coffee sales. According to the Los Angeles Times, by 2010, F. Gaviña & Sons, Inc. had become a $114 million business and was roasting 40 million pounds of coffee a year. A fifth of their revenue was coming from making and packaging private label coffees for McDonald's, 7-Eleven, and Costco stores. Gaviña coffee brands were being sold in 20 states, and in stores like Ralph's, Walmart, and Vons / Safeway.

Don Francisco's sons, Paco, Pedro, and José, and his daughter, Leonor Gaviña-Valls, grew up on the plantation and learned about coffee in the fields. Along with their own children, they still personally select beans, cup samples as they arrive, and oversee the specialty coffee roasting and production every day. They do all of this so that their customers and guests can be ensured a wonderful cup of coffee every time, from first sip to final drop. They do all of this so that their customers and guests can be ensured a wonderful cup of coffee every time, from first sip to final drop.

The history of this coffee company is a story about family. Brothers José María and Ramón Gaviña left their native Basque region of Spain in 1870, in search of a better life. They settled in the fertile mountains of southern Cuba and planted the seeds of what would become Gaviña Coffee.

The family left Cuba in 1959, and arrived in Los Angeles in 1963, where they put down roots. The family later leased a 1,100 square foot building in Vernon and purchased a small roaster from Bob's Big Boy restaurant. By June 1967, when they founded F. Gaviña & Sons, Inc., they began roasting and selling Café Gaviña Espresso.

In the beginning, they focused on producing Cuban-style coffee, but eventually expanded into other coffees that appealed to Middle Eastern and Vietnamese coffee drinkers. About 35 years later, Gaviña's retail brand, Don Francisco Gaviña, became the top selling coffee in Southern California, behind Folgers and Maxwell House.

The family began selling their coffee to a small group of McDonald's restaurants in 1983. In 2005, Gaviña created a stronger blend that resulted in a double-digit increase in the chain's coffee sales. According to the Los Angeles Times, by 2010, F. Gaviña & Sons, Inc. had become a $114 million business and was roasting 40 million pounds of coffee a year. A fifth of their revenue was coming from making and packaging private label coffees for McDonald's, 7-Eleven, and Costco stores. Gaviña coffee brands were being sold in 20 states, and in stores like Ralph's, Walmart, and Vons / Safeway.

Don Francisco's sons, Paco, Pedro, and José, and his daughter, Leonor Gaviña-Valls, grew up on the plantation and learned about coffee in the fields. Along with their own children, they still personally select beans, cup samples as they arrive, and oversee the specialty coffee roasting and production every day. They do all of this so that their customers and guests can be ensured a wonderful cup of coffee every time, from first sip to final drop.

Today, they carry on the dream in their 240,000-square-foot eco-friendly, state-of-the-art coffee roasting facility, just a few blocks from their original 1,100 square foot building. Gaviña Coffee employs more than 250 people, and services businesses of all sizes—from mom and pop shops to multinational accounts. The company offers four leading U.S. coffee brands: Gaviña Gourmet Coffee, Don Francis-cio's Coffee, Café La Llave Espresso, and José's Gourmet Coffee.

In honor of their 50th anniversary, Gaviña Coffee created a special Anniversary Blend which is roasted in Los Angeles and uses beans grown on the award-winning Carmen Estate in Panamá by a third generation coffee-growing family. The Anniversary Blend, which entered the market on June 19, 2017, comes in a commemorative tin with a 10-ounce bag of ground coffee. Flavor notes in the new blend include caramel, honey, and ripe fruit with a chocolaty finish.

Fifty years have brought many changes to the original company, but one thing remains the same: Members of the Gaviña family still run the day-to-day operations of the company, with a new generation of Gaviñas poised to continue the pursuit of a great cup of coffee.

Gaviña Coffee’s 50th anniversary celebration includes: the opening of their first brick and mortar store in downtown Los Angeles, named Don Francisco’s Coffee, Casa Cubana; the special anniversary blend; reaching the goal of 100% zero-waste-to-landfill; a continued commitment to leaving a greener coffee footprint through their Direct Impact™ initiative, which is based on four core pillars of dedication to farmers, sustainable sourcing, environmental sustainability, and social stewardship; continuing to donate coffee to local shelters and missions; and continuing to support organizations such as the Komen Race for the Cure, Avon Walk 39, Children’s Miracle Network Hospitals (CMNH), EnrichLA and the International Women’s Coffee Alliance.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to please join me in raising our coffee cups to the Gaviña family and F. Gaviña & Sons, Inc. as we celebrate their 50 years of success. We look forward to the next 50 with great anticipation.

SHERRY AND NEAL HANLEY

TRIBUTE TO SHERRY AND NEAL HANLEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Sherry and Neal Hanley for being named the 2017 Earrahm Freedom Fest King and Queen. This award is given to a couple that gives back to the community of Earrahm.

Sherry and Neal moved to Earrahm in 1976 to raise their children and had them attend Earrahm schools. Since then, the Hanley's have served on a number of school projects to help bolster the community. They were on the original Freedom Fest committee and love the parade and how everyone comes together at the park. Both Sherry and Neal serve with the Earrahm Lion's Club and with Early Chapel Christian Church. Neal is also a member of the American Legion and served as commander and chaplain.

Mr. Speaker, Sherry and Neal's hard work embodies the Iowa spirit and I am honored to recognize them and thank them for their service to their community and their country.
and Neal for receiving this award and in wishing them nothing but continued success.

CELEBRATING THE SALEM COURTHOUSE COMMUNITY CENTER

HON. ELISE M. STEFANIK
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor and recognize the Salem Courthouse Community Center for its outstanding service to New York’s 21st District.

Founded by a group of dedicated local citizens, the Salem Courthouse Community Center provides a place for people of all ages to come together. Cognizant of its historic location in the Old Washington County Courthouse, the Center seeks to preserve our region’s history while creating opportunities for individuals to enjoy and strengthen our community together. We commend its incredible dedication to bringing our community in the present.

In service of this mission, the Center offers a wide variety of programs that foster artistic expression and community growth. The Center’s many facilities, including a community garden, pottery studio, and public kitchen, host instructive and enriching programs for both children and adults. This summer, the Center has hosted nearly 150 local children in their Lunch, Learn & Play program which provides active and educational fun for its participants.

On behalf of New York’s 21st District, I want to thank the Salem Courthouse Community Center for its dedication to bringing our community together. We commend its incredible service, and look forward to its future endeavors.

HONORING NICHOLAS HROMALIK
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. HUFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor and recognize the Salem Courthouse Community Center for its outstanding service to New York’s 21st District.

San Rafael that year, where he helped constituents with casework, and served as a liaison to organized labor and Sonoma County elected officials.

After my election to Congress in November 2012, Nick returned again to Washington, this time as one of my first hires in this office. Since my swearing-in in 2013, Nick has served California’s second Congressional district with distinction, staffing me on issues as diverse as health care, agriculture, trade, energy, and education.

In the six years we have had the pleasure of working with him, Nick has never lost sight of our mission—to solve problems and make a positive difference—and he has maintained deep connections to California that have served him well in his career. He has championed causes that needed a champion, from special education to clean energy, and he has maintained his good humor and dedication throughout.

My family, my staff, and I all wish him and his fiancée Colleen Kilbride all the best as they travel to Chicago for the next step in their careers.

RECOGNIZING THE OPENING OF THE NEW STONEGATE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY CAMPUS

HON. KENNY MARCHANT
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. MARCHANT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate StoneGate Christian Academy on the opening of their new school campus, located in Irving, Texas.

Founded in 1976 as a ministry of the Shady Grove Church in Grand Prairie, Texas, StoneGate Christian Academy was built to foster the educational and spiritual needs of the prospering communities that made up its congregation.

In 2008, the Academy’s board of directors faced the tremendous hardship of having to relocate their campus to two separate buildings, neither of which possessed the facilities to adequately host StoneGate Christian Academy’s growing student body. By the grace of God, StoneGate Christian Academy continued to grow for the next nine years. During this time, StoneGate leased practice venues for its sports teams, band, choir, and drama programs, in order to ensure its students access to their sports and award-winning fine arts programs.

In 2014, word began to spread that the Lakewood Baptist Church in Irving, Texas, was considering selling its property. After two years of discussion and prayer, Lakewood Baptist Church’s board of directors reached a decision to sell the property to StoneGate Christian Academy in December of 2016. For the next seven months, StoneGate worked tirelessly to raise enough funds to complete the purchase of its new academy campus, which includes a schoolyard, auditorium, and gym. Through the tireless efforts of alumni, students, parents, teachers, and staff, StoneGate Christian Academy now has adequate facilities to support its growing student body and sustain this growth well into the future.

Today, StoneGate serves as one of the most affordable private Christian schools in North Texas, providing an outstanding education to its students and teaching valuable lessons about community service and civic duty.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to recognize StoneGate Christian Academy and congratulate them on the opening of their new campus in Irving, Texas. I ask my distinguished colleagues to join me in congratulating StoneGate Christian Academy for this monumental achievement.

HONORING NEW MILFORD VILLAGE FAIR DAYS

HON. ELIZABETH H. ESTY
OF CONNECTICUT
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. ESTY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the 50th Anniversary of the annual New Milford Village Fair Days, sponsored and organized by the New Milford Chamber of Commerce. Village Fair Days is the highlight of the summer in New Milford, and the festival brings thousands of people together for two days of shopping, eating, and playing on the town’s village green.

Since its inception in 1967, New Milford Village Fair Days has grown to be the largest annual event in New Milford. Bob Hanna, the Chair of the Fair, planned a special way for the community to commemorate five decades of the festival. This year, fairgoers can make a wish and donate to a local nonprofit of their choice at the Wishing New Milford Well.

Village Fair Days brings together our community to enjoy live entertainment and games, as well as to connect with local businesses and nonprofit groups. The Kids Fun Run is a fun part of the fair that helps children join in the fun and get active. The New Milford Farmers Market also participates in the fair and helps local farmers meet with thousands of neighbors. I would like to recognize the volunteers who have made Village Fair Days possible for the past five decades. Volunteers’ love for their town and willingness to dedicate their time to make this event possible show the strength of our community in New Milford.

Mr. Speaker, New Milford Village Fair Days has brought together our neighbors each summer for five decades. Thanks to the event’s sponsors, organizers, and volunteers, we can look forward to the annual event for many years to come. Therefore, it is fitting and proper that we honor New Milford Village Fair Days here today.

HONORING FALLEN CLINTON COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPUTY

HON. GLENN THOMPSON
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with a heavy heart as flags throughout the Commonwealth are lowered to half-staff in honor of Clinton County Sheriff’s Deputy Stephen Hensel who died unexpectedly on Thursday, July 20.

Stephen was 30 years old and had served as a sheriff’s deputy for the last year and a
half. He and his wife, Kelly, had just welcomed Brock—their first child—10 days before his death.

On Wednesday, more than 100 members of law enforcement from near and far lined the auditorium at Central Mountain High School to say goodbye. Clinton County Sheriff Kerry Stover said Stephen was the first deputy he’d hired after being elected sheriff. At Stephen’s service, he said:

He was outstanding. He took his job seriously... He served his community. He helped people. He comforted in people. He was polite and courteous. He projected law enforcement in a positive way... He trusted his heart and he did it right.

Mr. Speaker, Stephen’s sendoff was awe-inspiring, which was a fitting goodbye for a man who served his community with distinction and honor. Stephen will be missed, but his memory will live on forever.

HAPPY 104TH BIRTHDAY TO ANNIE QUEEN

HON. DOUG COLLINS
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Annie Galloway Queen, a northeast Georgian who recently celebrated her 104th birthday.

Annie has been a part of our community since her birth in 1913. She learned to value hard work at an early age, and her life illustrates the strength and diligence that characterizes America’s Greatest Generation.

Like many in that generation, Annie and her husband struggled through the Great Depression, which taught them to make the most of what little they had. Rather than mourn their lack of physical possessions, Annie educated her children in the spiritual dimension of life, teaching them to rely on their faith during life’s most challenging seasons.

Mr. Speaker, it is a blessing to spend birthdays surrounded by loved ones. I am thankful to know that that is how Annie spent hers—sharing with family and friends the wisdom that she has gained over 104 years. I am honored to be Annie’s neighbor and ask that you join me in wishing her happy 104th birthday.

TRIBUTE TO EAGLE SCOUT SAM BALDWIN

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Sam Baldwin, a northeast Georgian who recently received his Eagle Scout rank and in wishing him nothing but continued success in his future education and career.

The acknowledgment of a shared sense of values, with neighbors long ago, adds purpose to present day community engagement. We are a Town that endeavors to settle conflicts with reasoned engagement and a community that offers a helping hand when the need calls.

YON GOICOCHEA: POLITICAL PRISONER OF THE VENEZUELAN REGIME

HON. ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, today I want to recognize the plight of Yon Goicochea, a 36-year-old political prisoner who is being unjustly detained in a Venezuelan prison. On August 29, 2016, Mr. Goicochea was arrested by the Venezuelan secret police because of his role as a leading advocate for a democratic and transparent Venezuela. Over the past decade, Mr. Goicochea has played a crucial part in leading pro-democracy movements in Venezuela. At one point, he even led the pro-democracy opposition party, “Voluntad Popular.”

After working for various opposition movements, Mr. Goicochea found himself and his family increasingly under threat by the repressive Maduro regime. Mr. Goicochea made the decision to leave Venezuela with his family in 2013 and come to the United States to pursue a degree in Law from Columbia University. Three years later, Mr. Goicochea returned to Venezuela to fight for freedom, democracy and human rights.

Mr. Goicochea has remained in prison since his arrest in 2016. As highlighted in a recent report by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights Arbitrary Detentions Group, Mr. Goicochea has been denied the right to due process and subject to brutal forms of solitary confinement. Unfortunately, Mr. Goicochea is only one of thousands to have been unjustly imprisoned by the Maduro regime.

The United States must address these egregious human rights abuses by fully implementing and applying additional sanctions against Maduro regime officials who continue to violate the most basic principles of human rights and liberty and who are responsible for the plight of the Venezuelan people. Mr. Maduro and his thugs must not be allowed to continue to oppress the people of Venezuela without paying a significant price. We must demand the release of all the political prisoners who have been denied their fundamental human rights.

The Venezuelan people have illustrated an unrelenting resolve to bring about a just and democratic Venezuela. Just during the past three months, over ninety people have been...
killed, thousands injured, and hundreds have been subject to politically motivated arrests, as the people of Venezuela have taken to the streets to protest the undemocratic nature of this brutal regime. The United States must stand with Mr. Goicochea, all political prisoners, and the people of Venezuela in their pursuit of a democratic society.

27TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

HON. NEAL P. DUNN
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. DUNN. Mr. Speaker, this week marks the 27th Anniversary of the Americans with Disabilities Act, a law that protects the rights of people with disabilities. The Act stands on the principle that no matter one’s disability, whether it be visible or invisible, the Constitution and laws of the United States protect us all. Personally, I appreciate and support how this law protects the rights and opportunities for our wounded warriors. I welcome my colleagues to join me in marking the anniversary of the Americans with Disabilities Act and its contributions to equal rights in the United States.

CONGRATULATING DISTINGUISHED YOUNG WOMEN NATIONAL SCHOLARSHIP WINNER AND D.C. RESIDENT SKYE BORK

HON. ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to ask the House of Representatives to join me in congratulating District of Columbia native and resident Skye Bork on being named the 2017 winner of the country’s largest scholarship program for girls, the Distinguished Young Women (DYW) program. Skye was selected to win the scholarship and a non-profit in South Bend, Indiana, that helps train and place service dogs and companion dogs. The combination of her love of animals and her desire to give back fueled her participation in multiple charities as well as her work at a local animal clinic. Bobbie’s experiences to make a real difference by creating Midwest Service Dogs, a non-profit in South Bend, Indiana, that helps train and place service dogs and companion dogs. The combination of her love of animals and her desire to give back fueled her participation in multiple charities as well as her work at a local animal clinic. Bobbie’s program remained dormant for 30 years. I was proud to announce that she will be attending Columbia University this fall, and, in preparation for the career she would like to pursue in international business and government, she will be studying applied mathematics and economics.

DYW is a 60-year-old national scholarship program aimed at encouraging high school girls to develop their full potential through a series of scholastic and creative workshops. DYW allows college-bound high school juniors and seniors the opportunity to participate as long as they maintain at least a 3.0 grade point average. A scholarship is then awarded based upon an interview, academic achievement, talent, self-expression and physical fitness. DYW is well-known nationally, and past winners have included television broadcasters Diane Sawyer and Kathie Lee Gifford, and actresses Debra Messing and Kim Basinger. Skye was selected to win the scholarship from among four finalists from schools in states. Ms. Bork on being named the 2017 winner of the country’s largest scholarship program for girls, the Distinguished Young Women (DYW) program. Skye was proud to announce that she will be attending Columbia University this fall, and, in preparation for the career she would like to pursue in international business and government, she will be studying applied mathematics and economics. The District is very proud of Skye. She will be the national representative of DYW for a year.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the House of Representatives to join me in congratulating Skye Bork on her impressive achievements.

HONORING THE LIFE OF BOBBIE “BISHOP” BORK

HON. JACKIE WALORSKI
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mrs. WALORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of the beloved Roberta Bishop, or to those who knew her, “Bobbie.” Bobbie was a model citizen who dedicated her life to giving back to those in need. Not only did she have a kind spirit and a big heart, she also empowered herself to make a real difference by creating Midwest Service Dogs, a non-profit in South Bend, Indiana, that helps train and place service dogs and companion dogs. Bobbie lived a meaningful life full of love, happiness, and generosity of spirit. I am grateful for her wonderful legacy is sure to live on in the cherished life lessons she taught her children, grandchildren, and great-grandchildren.

Mr. Speaker, I am personally thankful for our decades-long friendship and the impact she had on my life. Our country lost a great human being, and I wish her two sons and extended family all the best.

HONORING ERIKA FEIN ON HER 88TH BIRTHDAY FOR HER EXEMPLARY RESILIENCE AND STRENGTH

HON. RAUL RUIZ
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. RUIZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the remarkable life of Erika Fein, a Holocaust survivor who turns 88 years old on August 11, 2017. Her powerful story is an example of resilience, perseverance, and strength in character.

Erika was born in 1929 in Yugoslavia, where she lived in a small village with her family. They were torn apart when Yugoslavia was invaded by Germany, Italy, and Hungary in 1941. Erika, her mother, and aunt were taken by the Nazis, and she never again saw her other family members. Over the next four years, she was kept as a prisoner in a death march, where she ultimately lost her mother and aunt. The Nazi military organized large scale marches to move prisoners to different camps to evade suspicion of mass killings, and to erase any trace of evidence. Nazi soldiers subjected prisoners to starvation, dehydration, and humiliation during inclement weather. Not only did Erika survive these marches as a teenager, but she endured, despite being ripped apart from her family.

While at Bergen-Belsen in Germany, Erika and the other prisoners were finally liberated by the British Armed Forces in April 1945.

A few years later, Erika moved to the United States seeking a new beginning in pursuit of the American dream. She married her current husband, and they adopted a son. They later moved to Palm Desert, in my Congressional District, where they live today.

Today, I am elated to honor her courage and dedication, as we celebrate her 88th birthday. Her story is a reminder that we must always be steadfast in the fight against intolerance, hate, and injustice. The hardships she overcame are unfathomable, but her strength is admirable. She is truly an inspiration.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to recognize the life of Erika Fein. On behalf of California’s 36th Congressional District, it is with deep respect that I extend my best wishes on her 88th birthday. I wish her and her family all my best in the years to come. Happy Birthday Erika.

HONORING DON SIMPSON FOR RECEIVING A SILVER STAR MEDAL FOR HIS COURAGEOUS SERVICE TO OUR NATION

HON. RAUL RUIZ
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. RUIZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize an outstanding individual from my Congressional District Sergeant Don Simpson of Hemet, California. Because of his commitment to protecting our nation and his fellow service members, Don received a Silver Star Medal. His story is truly an inspiration and today, I want to recognize his exceptional achievement and courage.

Don graduated from Hemet High School in 1964 and entered the U.S. Army a few years later. During his service, Don was sent to Vietnam where he served as a rifleman, Radio Telephone Operator (RTO), and squad leader. In February of 1967, Don was a Specialist 1 (SPC) and the RTO for a reconnaissance team that was conducting a sweep of the forward battle area. After disembarking the helicopters, his unit came under intense heavy
enemy automatic weapons fire. Seeking cover behind a small hedge, SPC Simpson returned fire against the enemy.

Over the next hour of intense fighting, SPC Simpson established radio communication with Captain Conlon, Alpha Company Commander, requesting support from helicopter gunships and advising that enemy continued intense enemy fire, and at great risk to himself, SPC Simpson maneuvered to a position allowing him a better view of the enemy. With complete disregard for his own personal safety, SPC Simpson established direct contact with the Air Force Pilot on station, directing an air strike on the enemy. When the first two air strikes did not fully eliminate the opposing forces, SPC Simpson directed the strike that was dangerously close to himself and his own troops, resulting in elimination of the enemy. During the entire combat event, SPC Simpson maintained communication with higher command, calling additional air support.

The engagement resulted in 4 personnel killed in action and 8 wounded in action. His courageous initiative and exemplary professionalism significantly contributed to the successful outcome of the engagement and directly led to the saving of his fellow soldiers' lives. Specialist 4 Simpson's unquestionable valor while engaged in military operation involving conflict with insurgent force is in keeping with the finest tradition of the military service and reflects great credit upon himself, the 1st Cavalry Division, and the United States Army.

Five years ago, Don discussed his service in this incident with his doctor when getting a VA C&P Exam. The doctor, Mr. Ronnie Imiel, contacted Don's squad leader Sgt. Carl Lawrence and his 1st Sgt. Ralph Cranitz. Both recommended Don for a Silver Star. My office was pleased to partner with them to ensure Don's service was properly recognized. Together, we worked to find the rest of Don's chain of command and submit the Silver Star Medal to the Department of the Army. After a few months, Don's Silver Star Medal was finally received and I was honored to present it to him.

Among the many awards he has received for his honorable service are the Army Commendation Medal, the Vietnam Service Medal, the National Defense Medal, the Valorous Unit Award, and the Republic of Vietnam Campaign Medal with "60" Device.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to recognize and honor Don Simpson. He is indeed a hero whose actions embody the true meaning of valor. I am very proud to have met him. His nicknames was Country, given his love for theLord of grace and mercy; another one of his nicknames was Country, given his love for country music.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to honor the memory of Jarrod Daugherty, a young constituent whose actions embody the true meaning of valor. I am grateful for the opportunity to have met such a charming young man and on behalf of California's 36th Congressional District, I extend my deepest sympathies to his family and loved ones.

HONORING TEXAS AGGIE WOMEN

HON. BILL FLORES
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. FLORES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the Texas A&M University Women Former Students Network, known as "Aggie Women." In the summer of 2007, a group of Aggie women started talking regularly and sharing ideas about ways to give back to Texas A&M University to contribute to its reputation as a world-class university. The original idea to form the Women Former Students' Network as a constituent network of the Texas A&M Association of Former Students came to fruition on December 21, 2007. At that time an interim board of directors was formed and the group branded themselves as Aggie Women. Fueled by the excitement of its formation, Aggie Women grew rapidly in its first year.

During its inaugural year of operations in 2008, in addition to recruiting nearly 200 charter members, Aggie Women established its first President's Endowed Scholarship through its "45 Women Campaign", which honored the 45 years of women's admittance to A&M. The year culminated in an inaugural meeting held November 14, 2008 on the campus of the University. Over 200 members and guests attended this historic event. Special guests included Former First Lady Barbara Bush, an honorary member of Aggie Women; members of the first graduating class of Aggie women; and many officials of Texas A&M University and the Association of Former Students. The first member-elected Board of Directors was seated in January 2009.

The mission of Aggie Women is to enhance excellence at Texas A&M University by promoting the active engagement of women in the educational, charitable, and cultural life of the institution. The mission is fulfilled through increased engagement of Women Former Students in academic, research and service activities; through their expanded role as mentors of current women students, and future female and male students; and through their increased philanthropic ties to the University and the Association of Former Students. The Network strives to value and promote inclusiveness and diversity in the representation of its membership and in all its activities.

Another Aggie Women initiative is the Eminent Scholar Award which is a joint project of Aggie Women and the President of Texas A&M University. It is designed to recognize outstanding research, scholarship, and service; and it honors the role these extraordinary women play in serving as models for all students at the University. In the past three years, three recipients of the Eminent Scholar Award have received $200,000 for President's Endowed Scholarships, three of which have been awarded, providing women students with needed academic funding.

The "Aggie Women Legacy Awards" program has honored thirty women to date who have achieved excellence as an Aggie student or Former Student, and whose accomplishments have had an impact on future generations of Aggie Women worldwide. Aggie Women who have received this award often go on to achieve Outstanding Alumni recognition from the Association of Former Students and the University.

Over fifty Aggie Women have signed up for another sponsored program entitled "Aggie Experts." This endeavor engages Aggie Women in roles throughout the University by providing leadership and speaking opportunities for dynamic women to give back the University through their vast experience.

At an annual reception, Aggie Women honor those women achieving top student leadership positions, including the Texas A&M Corps of Cadets and Student Government. Throughout each academic year, they also provide monthly programs for students on a variety of topics—chosen by the students themselves.

Mr. Speaker, Aggie Women are working tirelessly to continue their various programs designed to foster Excellence, Integrity, Leadership, Loyalty, Respect, and Selfless Service. As an all-volunteer organization, Aggie Women continues to rely on the contributions of time, talent, and treasure of its board, its members and supporters. Together, they are building a legacy of strong women role models for all students—female and male—who come after them.

My wife, Gina, and I, as well as all of the Aggie Network, are proud to honor Aggie Women today. We thank them for their hard work and commitment to making Texas A&M University a better place for current, former, and future Texas Aggie students.

I have requested that a United States flag be flown over our nation's Capitol today to honor the life of Jarrod Daugherty, a young constituent who unexpectedly passed away in an accident on May 8, 2017. His charisma, energy, and talent will be enormously missed. I had the pleasure of meeting Jarrod when he visited my office in Washington, D.C. earlier this year as part of St. Theresa Catholic School's tour. I will never forget our conversation.

Jarrod was a bright and talented student from my congressional district. He was born on June 29, 2003, in India, CO and spent his childhood in Palm Springs and Cathedral City. He attended Cielo Vista Charter High School and St. Theresa School where he was beloved by his peers and teachers. Outside of school, Jarrod was involved in our local community. He was an active member of the Victory Christian Center and the Palm Springs Youth League Baseball Organization. He was passionate about sports, and his favorite teams were the LA Dodgers, Minnesota Vikings, Dallas Stars, and Notre Dame Fighting Irish.

Jarred enjoyed spending time with his family and his dogs. With a smile and a great sense of humor, he brought joy to those who knew him. He was a blessing to his parents, Jarrod and Cassandra Daugherty, and to his older brothers, Jr., Bub, Bubba, or Bubbas. Another one of his nicknames was Country, given his love for country music.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to honor the memory of Jarrod Daugherty, a young constituent whose actions embody the true meaning of valor while engaged in military operation involving conflict with insurgent force is in keeping with the finest tradition of the military service and reflects great credit upon himself, the 1st Cavalry Division, and the United States Army.

HONORING THE LIFE OF JARROD
DAUGHERTY AND HIS MEMORY
AS A DEDICATED STUDENT AND
LOVING SON

HON. RAUL RUIZ
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. RUIZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor the life of Jarrod Daugherty, a young constituent who unexpectedly passed away in an accident on May 8, 2017. His charisma, energy, and
Mr. Speaker, I am honored to have the opportunity to recognize and honor Alberto Patricio Cardenas for his many years of service to the city of Laredo.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring and remembering Sergeant Margaret Barker, an honored veteran who passed away just last week. Sergeant Barker was a highly-respected service woman and a loved community member, and she would have been 100 years old this August.

Raised in Boston, Massachusetts, Sergeant Barker was the third eldest in a family of nine children. When World War II broke out, Sergeant Barker felt called to serve this nation she loved and enlisted in the Women's Army Auxiliary Corps in 1942.

She was sent out to Angel Island just off the coast of San Francisco and moved on to Fort McDowell, where she served until the War ended as a First Sergeant in charge of one of the first all-female, all-black companies in the Army. In 2015, Mrs. Barker traveled to Washington, D.C. with 19 other World War II veterans to view the national war memorial and be honored, and she was the only woman in the group.

Sergeant Barker was a trailblazer and a maverick, serving with grace and distinction in a time when forces were still segregated and gendered. Her devotion to duty and her Company earned her the nickname “Top” in the Military, a name usually given to Sergeants for their commitment to especially caring leadership. This is just one instance of her selflessness, and how highly she valued those around her. Sergeant Barker was known for her warmth and resilience, which is reflected in the close relationships she shared with her family.

After serving in the Military, Mrs. Barker returned to her home in Boston, where she then married longtime friend, Vernon Barker, who was a fellow service member. Together, they decided to move to California, where they started their family and made their home in our community.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring and remembering Sergeant Margaret Barker, whose strength and steadfastness identifies her as a role model to us all. Her generous and always kind spirit will live on in the hearts and lives of everyone she touched, and I extend my deepest condolences to her children, Gail and Beverly, and the rest of her family. May God bless her soul and her family.

Mr. Speaker, today, July 28, 2017, a Celebration of Life was conducted for Dr. Frank S. Moore at New Covenant Presbyterian Church with services by Pastor Drew Kormreich. A resident of Aiken, South Carolina, for 25 years, Dr. Moore was a dedicated family man, beloved member of the community, and respected nuclear physicist. He will be greatly missed.
The following thoughtful obituary was appropriately published in the Aiken Standard on June 20, 2017:

Frank S. Moore Jr., Ph.D., passed away Monday, July 24, 2017 at his residence at the age of 81. Dr. Moore was born July 25, 1935 in Norfolk, VA to the late Frank S. Moore Sr. and the late Margaret Moore. He received his undergraduate degree from Hampden-Sydney College, his Master’s degree from the University of San Antonio, and his Doctorate from the University of Georgia. He has been a resident of Aiken for the past twenty-five years. Dr. Moore retired as a Nuclear Physicist from the U.S. Department of Energy in 1998. He was an avid marksman and runner all his life.

In addition to his parents, Dr. Moore was preceded in death by his sister, Mary Mac Moore. He is survived by his beloved wife, Kathleen Moore; two daughters, Elizabeth Cornelius (David) and Martha Ruthek (KT); two sons, Christopher Moore and Matthew Musoif (Michelle); and four grandchildren, Allison Cornelius; Michael Cornelius, Dylan Crowell, and Jacob Crowell.

Funeral services will be held at 3:00 PM Friday, July 28, 2017 at New Covenant Presbyterian Church, with Rev. Dr. Kornreich officiating. Interment will follow in Southcreek Cemetery. Pallbearers will be Elmer Wilhite, Don Drinkwater, John Cook, Ken Moore, Dan Davis, Jimmie Hamer, and Claude O’Donovan. The family will receive friends beginning one hour prior to the services at the church. In lieu of flowers, memorial contributions may be directed to the CAS Hope Foundation, PO Box 3694, Aiken, SC 29802.

CELEBRATING THE 100TH BIRTHDAY OF DR. MARY R. STAUFFER

HON. LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. Mary R. Stauffer on her upcoming 100th birthday, which will be celebrated on August 27, 2017, in Downey, California.

Born in Toledo, Ohio on August 26, 1917, to Amelia and Reverend Henry Schuh, Mary Ruth Schuh was raised in a home of three generations of Lutheran ministers. At age six, she started teaching Sunday school. Her early teaching experience and involvement with the church introduced her to a lifelong interest in education and philanthropy.

Mary loved reading and studying, and in 1935, she was the class valedictorian of Bexley High School, near Columbus, Ohio. With a scholarship, she attended Capital University, a Lutheran college. By working as an unpaid assistant for a pathologist at White Cross Hospital, she gained invaluable experience and a glowing recommendation for medical school. She graduated summa cum laude from Capital University in 1939.

Mary was admitted to Ohio State University Medical School, where she was one of just five women in a class of 75. She graduated top of her class on April 15, 1943. Concurrent with her MD, she earned her MS in Pathology by applying the first electron microscope in the U.S. to biological research.

On December 18, 1943, she married a co-resident in pathology, Dr. Floyd (Dal) Stauffer, a scholar and an athlete. Dal was commissioned into the Navy on their wedding day and reported to duty in Bremerton, Washington, on January 1, 1944. In Bremerton, Dr. Mary completed her residency, but just when she opened her own practice, Dal was transferred to Pensacola, Florida. In 1953 after Dal completed his service in the Navy, the family moved, and settled in Downey.

After briefly working as a physician for the Los Angeles Unified School District, Dr. Mary Stauffer opened her own OB–GYN practice and in 1954 joined the Downey Community Hospital Medical Staff. As her practice grew, she made a difference in the Downey community. Every Friday afternoons open for her five children’s activities. Dr. Mary Stauffer was one of the first obstetricians to offer expecting mothers an educational program. Based on Gantly Dick-Read natural childbirth (before Lamaze), she developed a series of three lectures. The third was held with spouses at her home and included an 8-mm film of her delivering twins. In 1976, after delivering babies for 23 years in Downey, she transitioned into general practice.

In 1972, Dr. Mary was chosen Chief of Staff at Downey Community Hospital. In 1987, with a donation of $100,000, she was the first physician to qualify as a member of the Founders Gallery of the hospital. She hoped to be the first of many.

Dr. Mary’s other honors include the 1983 Ohio State Medical School Alumni Achievement Award, the 1997 Distinguished Service Award from Capital University, and the 2007 Delta Kappa Gamma Chi State Distinguished Public Service Award. She was also named “Outstanding Educator of California” in 1998 by California State Senator Betty Karnette and in 2010 by State Senator Hector De La Torre, and was a 2012 Downey High School Hall of Fame Honoree. In 2007, Dr. Mary was one of the founding directors of the Columbia Memorial Space Center. Later she rescued an Apollo capsule from the desert and turned it into the center’s welcoming icon. In 2014, the Downey Unified School District renamed West Middle School the Mary R. Stauffer Middle School.

In 1993, her passions for education and philanthropy came together in the Mary R. Stauffer Foundation, a private educational foundation she established to give back to Downey, the community where she had a successful medical practice. On June 30, 1997, at nearly 80 years old, Dr. Mary retired from her medical practice and became the full-time CEO of her educational foundation. Since starting the foundation almost 25 years ago, she has donated well over $5 million for innovative educational projects in the Downey Unified School District and scholarships for Downey students. Among the projects that the foundation has funded are the annual Project Lead the Way; Robotics Clubs; and Character Counts, a city-wide program.

The foundation invites teachers annually to propose grants for creative projects, such as a Cooking Cart with lessons in math and science. Other projects have become traditions and encourage use of local resources, such as the Autry Museum and the Mission Control Program at the local Space Center.

In the 2016–17 school year, over 800 students received these non-traditional merit awards: Junior 4.0 GPA; Scholar-Athlete for students who have lettered in a sport with a 3.5 GPA (created by Dal, Mary’s late husband), and the Stauffer Scholar award to start a college savings plan for 4.0 GPA 6th and 7th graders. In the same year, 90 students received scholarships to attend community college or trade school.

Dr. Mary’s favorite saying is “be the best you can be.” Her decision that the Mary R. Stauffer Foundation will continue in perpetuity will carry on her legacy of helping to make Downey students the best they can be.

On Sunday, August 27th, the community will celebrate Dr. Mary’s birthday at the Columbia Memorial Space Center. A full program will commemorate this momentous occasion with local school projects throughout the facility, special guests, and, of course, a birthday cake. Light refreshments will also be provided by the culinary arts students of the local Downey schools.

Congratulations to Dr. Mary Stauffer and her family: sons Jim and John; daughters Dorothy Knight, Judi Saunders, and Janet Suzuki; granddaughters Dawn Martens, Diane Saunder, Katherine Rieth, Mary Owens, Jessica Stauffer, and Jordan Nichols; and great grandchildren Phoenix Rieth and Quillian Owens.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to please join me in thanking Dr. Mary R. Stauffer for her many years of enriching the lives of others so they can be the best they can be, and wish her a very Happy 100th Birthday.

FARISHTA RECEIVES PRESTIGIOUS FULBRIGHT AWARD

HON. PETER OLSON
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. OLSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Karim Farishta of Sugar Land, TX, for receiving a Fulbright award to do research in Sri Lanka.

A graduate of George Washington University and a Truman Scholarship recipient, Karim is focused on the core of youth engagement, urbanization and reconciliation. Prior to leaving for Sri Lanka, Karim worked for the White House and was one of the youngest political appointees in the Obama Administration. Each year the Fulbright Program grants students the opportunity to study, research or teach English abroad in an effort to internationalize communities and campuses around the world. Fulbright scholars focus on the conditions and challenges differing regions face, as well as building valuable U.S. relationships.

On behalf of the Twenty-Second Congressional District of Texas, congratulations again to Karim for receiving this Fulbright award. Keep up the great work.

TRIBUTE TO MARY COLLINS

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Mary Collins of Shenandoah, Iowa on the occasion of her 103rd birthday. Mary was born on July 30, 1914.
Our world has changed a great deal during the course of Mary’s life. Since her birth, we have revolutionized air travel and walked on the moon. We have invented the television, cellular phones and the internet. We have fought in wars overseas, seen the rise and fall of Soviet communism—and witnessed the birth of new democracies. Mary has lived through eighteen United States Presidents and twenty-six Governors of Iowa. In her lifetime, the population of the United States has more than tripled.

Mr. Speaker, it is an honor to represent Mary in the United States Congress and it is my pleasure to wish her a very happy 103rd birthday. I ask that my colleagues in the United States House of Representatives join me in congratulating Mary on reaching this incredible milestone and wishing her even more health and happiness in the years to come.

HONORING DR. WANDA WILSON,
CRNA, MSN, PhD, CEO OF THE
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
NURSE ANESTHETISTS

HON. JANICE D. SCHAKOWSKY
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, today I pay tribute to Dr. Wanda Wilson, CRNA, MSN, PhD, of Cincinnati, Ohio. Dr. Wilson will soon complete her tenure as the CEO of the American Association of Nurse Anesthetists (AANA) whose headquarters are located in my district. I am proud that Dr. Wilson has led the AANA as their CEO and Executive Director since 2009, a job she began after serving as President of the AANA in 2007. She has been an extremely effective leader on behalf of her CRNA colleagues.

Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists (CRNAs) are advanced practice registered nurses who administer approximately 43 million anesthetics to patients each year. CRNAs practice in every setting in which anesthesia is delivered; traditional hospital surgical suites and obstetrical delivery rooms; critical access hospitals; ambulatory surgical centers; the offices of dentists, podiatrists, ophthalmologists, plastic surgeons, and pain management specialists; and U.S. military. Public Health Services, and Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare facilities.

Prior to her time at the AANA, Dr. Wilson enjoyed a career as the nurse anesthesia educational program director and professor of clinical nursing for the University of Cincinnati’s College of Nursing Nurse Anesthesia Major. The highly regarded Cincinnati program includes a primary clinical site at the University Hospital in Cincinnati, where she maintained her clinical skills while directing the education of 28—32 nurse anesthesia students per year.

During her time as CEO of the AANA, Dr. Wilson has been a prominent advocate for patients, practitioners and students of nurse anesthesia before federal agencies and members of Congress. She has worked tirelessly to promote anesthesia patient safety and the value of CRNAs to our healthcare system, recognition of CRNAs as Full Practice Providers in the Veterans Health Administration (VHA), proper implementation of the provider non-discrimination, and appropriate recognition of the full scope of CRNA practice including pain management and related services in Medicare.

I extend my sincere congratulations to Dr. Wilson today on a job well done. Her service to the AANA, her patients, and her students and her commitment to advancing the nurse anesthetist profession as a leader, educator and advocate are unrivaled.

ANTONIO “TONY” VEGA

HON. DON BACON
OF NEBRASKA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. BACON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize a leader in the Hispanic, small business community of my congressional district in Omaha, Nebraska. Immigrant, Mr. Antonio “Tony” Vega’s career of entrepreneurship and mentorship is recognized through his commitment to service and upholding the values of hard work.

Mr. Vega was born and raised in Zapatlan El Grande, a city about 80 miles south of Guadalajara, Mexico. He was raised by a single mother who considered his education to be his highest priority. She sacrificed greatly for his higher education by immigrating to the United States to earn enough money to pay for Tony’s education back in Mexico. Tony also attributes his strong morals, values and work ethic to his grandparents, who influenced him during his childhood. As a result, Tony successfully completed a Bachelor’s Degree in Tourism and Travel Management at the Universidad de Guadalajara in 1987.

Following graduation, Tony’s first job was with the State of Jalisco tourism agency as the Deputy Delegate, overseeing 16 counties and developing relations with all county dignitaries. He later became the Director of Small Business Development for his hometown of Zapatlan El Grande, where he was the coordinator for the annual festival Feria Zapatlon, which runs during the entire month of October with a variety of cultural activities and national attractions.

In 1994, he immigrated to the United States to reside in San Gabriel, CA. He was then hired by a wholesale leather goods distributor who taught him about Hispanic, small businesses. Mr. Vega worked Monday through Friday at Arambula Hats. During the weekend, he explored ways to develop more efficient shipping routes through the Chicanos and Mexican coast from Southern California to Oregon.

Tony came to Omaha, Nebraska in 2000 to work as an administrator at Plaza Latina. Mr. Vega implemented a new management style for retail through an inventive strategy of connecting small businesses with retail through an inventive strategy of connecting small businesses with the online Latino community. It is a platform that provides coverage on local politics, community support programs and leaders within the Hispanic community in Omaha.

Mr. Vega is happily married to the love of his life, Eliana Ortiz since 1992. He is a father to three boys: Braulio, Omar and Nicolas, and two girls: Jessica and Grecia. His everyday passions include communications, road trips, Mexican wrestling and hosting gatherings of friends and family. I am proud to recognize all of Mr. Vega’s accomplishments and am confident that he will continue to inspire and enhance the Hispanic, small business community in Nebraska.

FULBRIGHT SCHOLARS

HON. DOUG COLLINS
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor four northeast Georgians
who recently completed time abroad as part of the Fulbright Scholar Program.

Established in 1946, the Fulbright Program has given more than 370,000 American students the opportunity to step out of their comfort zones in order to live and study in a different country. The travels that these young Americans have embarked on each year highlight our nation’s commitment to expanding our knowledge and building relationships.

I would like to recognize the following four Fulbright recipients: Franziska Brunner, Kimberly Buice, Isaac Hopkins, and Dr. Mihai Sperisou. This past year, these four scholars lived in nine countries—Canada, and Romania, respectively, studying topics ranging from literature to music.

These students teach us that instead of fearing the unknown, we should commit ourselves to seeking it out. As Fulbright Scholars, these individuals returned with a newfound appreciation for different cultures and new ideas about how we can cultivate strong ties with others all across the globe.

It is an honor to represent these bright, young scholars.

RECOGNIZING THE CENTENNIAL BIRTHDAY OF HELEN S. LIU

HON. NORMA J. TORRES
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mrs. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a long-time resident of my district, Mrs. Helen Liu, as she celebrates her 100th birthday surrounded by family and friends.

Mrs. Liu spends her days embodying the qualities of kindness, generosity, and selflessness, all of which have been gained after many years spent as a mother and a woman of faith. She was born August 19, 1917 in a small village outside the city of Tangshan, China. The youngest of six children—three older brothers and two older sisters—Helen found a passion in pursuing higher education in an environment that discouraged it.

After completing her exams at Shen Hung Girl’s High School, Helen was accepted to two prestigious universities: Yanjing University in Beijing and Nankai University in Tianjin. In order to remain close to her family, Helen attended school in Tianjin. It was here where she later met and married her husband, Yung Kweii Lui, on April 7, 1941.

Helen and her husband raised a beautiful family of four, two sons and two daughters, one of which she currently spends her days with in the City of Montclair. In her spare time, Helen teaches bible study and the lessons she has learned throughout her long, but fulfilling life. Many of these lessons are well documented in her autobiography.

When asked her opinion of such a monumental celebration, Helen said she finds it difficult to believe that she has lived to see 100 years. She only hopes to see many more.

For her endless dedication to her family and her community, for being an example of love and kindness, I would like to recognize Mrs. Helen Liu, proud American citizen, and wish her a very Happy Birthday.

REMEMBERING THE LIFE OF DESIREE LANGSTON CARN

HON. MARCY KAPURT
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. KAPURT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember the life of Mrs. Desiree Langston Carn, a revered member of our Toledo community. Mrs. Carn passed from this life on June 26, 2017 surrounded by her family.

Desiree was loved by many. Her legacy is from Jesup W. Scott High School in 1973 and earned her Bachelor's Degree from the Ohio State University and a Master's Degree from Bowling Green State University in guidance and counseling.

She served the Toledo community and touched the lives of countless students as a Counselor, retiring after 34 years from the Toledo Board of Education. Her compassion, her smile, and her words of wisdom guided many young people on paths forward.

Desiree had a beautiful voice which she used in worship at Jerusalem Missionary Baptist Church in Toledo. So special was her gift, that a citywide musical celebration was held at the church as part of her homegoing.

Desiree leaves to cherish her memory her husband, Ernest Carn, Jr., her sons Jamal and Jasyn, her five grandchildren, her mother, Elzenia Langston, her brother Calvin Thompson, her sister Antoinette Langston, and many loving relatives. We offer them our prayers and hope that they find comfort in the wonderful memories of what Desiree meant to each of the people who shared in her life.

Psalm 59: 16–17 sings, “But as for me, I shall sing of Your strength; Yes, I shall joyfully sing of Your loving kindness in the morning. For You have been my stronghold and a refuge in the day of my distress. O my strength, I will sing praises to You; For God is my stronghold, the God who shows me loving kindness.” Desiree Langston Carn shared this message through her angelic voice. Now she joins the Angels in song.

Desiree led a full life and gave so much to all those who knew her. Her legacy grows in the wisdom she shared and the hope she inspired in the young people she counseled. Her voice still shines as it is carried forth.

RECOGNIZING THE LIFE OF JUDGE BEN MORALES

HON. HENRY CUELLAR
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. CUELLAR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the life of Judge Alvin James “Ben” Morales, who proudly served the people of Laredo for more than 20 years. Judge Ben Morales was born in 1950 in Taylor, Texas. He graduated from Taylor High School and joined the United States Army during the Vietnam War. After he was honorably discharged, Judge Morales completed his studies at Southwest Texas State University with a bachelor's degree in political science and history.

After college, Judge Morales married Liz Gamez. They then moved to Houston where he pursued a degree at Thurgood Marshall School of Law, graduating in 1979. After passing the bar exam Judge Morales moved his family to Laredo, where he started a career as an attorney before serving as a judge, a position he held for over twenty years.

Judge Morales was a widely respected and admired judge and individual. Among his many achievements, he launched Webb County’s first-ever juvenile drug court program in 2013. He also served as a member of the American Judge’s Association, as a Chairman of the Webb County Bail Bond Board, and as an Assistant Webb County Attorney. In 2014, Judge Morales retired, and he and his wife moved to Pflugerville to be closer to their daughters, friends, and extended family.

Judge Morales is survived by his loving wife Liz Morales and two daughters Marisa Morales and Raquel Leal.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to have the opportunity to recognize and honor Judge Morales for his years of service to the city of Laredo.

TRIBUTE TO LOIS HOCKABOUT

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Lois Hockababt of Tabor, Iowa on the occasion of her 100th birthday. Lois was born on August 9, 1917.

Our world has changed a great deal during the course of Lois’ life. Since her birth, we have revolutionized air travel and walked on the moon. We have invented the television, cellular phones, and the Internet. We have fought in wars overseas, seen the rise and fall of Soviet communism and witnessed the birth of new democracies. Lois has lived through eighteen United States Presidents and twenty-five Governors of Iowa. In her lifetime, the population of the United States has more than tripled.

Mr. Speaker, it is an honor to represent Lois in the United States Congress and it is my pleasure to wish her a very happy 100th birthday.

I ask that my colleagues in the United States House of Representatives join me in congratulating Lois on reaching this incredible milestone and wishing her even more health and happiness in the years to come.

HONORING CYNTHIA K. DOHNER FOR 24 YEARS OF SERVICE AT THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

HON. GARRET GRAVES
OF LOUISIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the public service career of Cynthia K. Dohner. Cindy served the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service with distinction and honor for more than 24 years and will leave the Service on August 30, 2017.

Cindy’s love of the outdoors began while fishing Pennsylvania’s Susquehanna River
with her father and tagging along on his deer hunting trips. It was at this point in her life that she knew that she wanted to make a positive difference. Those experiences set her on a course that led to a bachelor’s degree in marine biology, a master’s degree in fisheries and aquaculture, and a long career protecting fish and wildlife and the untamed places upon which they depend.

Cindy made conservation her career. She has worked for a private environmental consulting firm and held positions in several state and federal agencies before joining the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1993. Prior to her time in the Southeast Region, Cindy worked with the Southeast Division of Fish Hatcheries and as the Branch Chief for Recovery and Consultation in Washington, D.C. She came to Atlanta in 1999 to serve as the Assistant Regional Director for Ecological Services and later served as Deputy Regional Director.

For the last seven years, Cindy has led the Southeast Region in a daily mission to make a difference for fish, wildlife, plants and the people who live and work in communities across the region. As Regional Director, she provided vision and leadership for more than 1,300 employees in 10 southeastern states, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and has continued toward producing successful management solutions that have positively influenced our national conservation efforts. On any given day, you are just as likely to find her working with employees and partners on issues as big as the restoration of Louisiana’s coastal wetlands as small as the consequential as the partnership work and proactive conservation that led to removing the Georgia aster from the list of candidate species under the Endangered Species Act.

I witnessed Cindy’s dedication to protecting and conserving America’s natural resources firsthand while working together with her to restore Louisiana’s coast and the Gulf of Mexico following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. At the time of the spill in 2010, she led an extraordinary effort to respond to this unprecedented event that deployed thousands of employees to stations in four Gulf Coast states over the first year. Additionally, she was instrumental in securing funding for the rebuilding of Brenton Island—an area that has provided protection for our bird habitat as well as fishing habitat for Louisiana’s saltwater anglers. Whether the need was simple or more complicated, she worked tirelessly to solve a host of environmental and economic needs. While serving as the Department’s Authorized Official for the Deepwater Horizon Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration, Cindy was a committed partner to the State of Louisiana and the other Gulf states in working collaboratively to help us get on the right path to repairing our ecosystem, and restoring the Gulf’s abundant natural resource and the economy its people depend upon.

She is recognized throughout the Southeast as an honest partner and innovative conservation leader. Under her leadership, the region has joined forces with states, private landowners, other federal agencies, the Department of Defense, and several sectors of industry and business including energy, timber, and finance among others to find creative ways to conserve fish and wildlife resources. This collaboration has resulted in notable conservation successes including removing the Louisiana black bear from the endangered species list, upgrading the status of the West Indian manatee and wood stork and precluding the need to list more than 100 fish, wildlife, and plants petitioned for federal protection in the past seven years. She worked closely with many partners to restore more than one million acres of bottomland hardwood habitat in the South and reverse the decline of longleaf pine forests so critical for migratory birds and wildlife in decline. Her recognition of the little things to build lasting relationships that so often have big implications and make conservation success possible on larger scales is something I will miss. I commend Cindy for her desire to make a lasting difference and hope the Service will continue to build on her outstanding conservation legacy.

I speak for myself and I think for many policymakers, business leaders, and lovers of the outdoors when I say Cindy Dohner and her passion for wildlife will be missed. I appreciate the many years of public service she has to the Southeast Region and people that call Louisiana home and make it a Sportsmen’s Paradise. I ask that my colleagues join me in expressing our deepest appreciation and gratitude for her public service and wishing Cindy success and happiness in her future endeavors.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring Mr. John Kelmendi for his work as an educator and in the Metro Detroit community. Mr. Kelmendi’s actions have impacted countless lives.

TRIBUTE TO BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA TROOP 533

HON. TODD ROKITA
OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor an important Hoosier institution, the Boy Scouts of America Troop 533 from Munster, Indiana, which is celebrating its ninetieth anniversary this year.

Boy Scout Troop 533 was organized in 1927 by Mr. Maury Kraay, an eighth grade attending Munster Public Schools. He gathered classmates, local boys, and a retired school principal to form Munster’s Boy Scout Troop. Troop 533 was present on June 14, 1927 when President Calvin Coolidge dedicated Wicker Park in Highland, Indiana. The Troop was also heavily involved in the World War II effort by organizing parades and rallies for war bonds, planting and maintaining gardens for local consumption, practicing blackout drills, carrying warden messages and reports to sector headquarters, and participating in wartime recycling programs.

More recently, Troop 533 organized Cub Scout Packs in Munster elementary schools in the 1960s. In 1982, the Troop presented the colors during the dedication of the first Munster Town Council meeting in the town’s newly constructed municipal complex, and began leading the Independence Park Fourth of July Parade a year later—an honor it still holds today. During the Little Calumet River flooding in 2008, Troop 533 assisted with filling sandbags to protect public and private property and later helped with yard clean-up, raking, moving dirt, and re-graveling driveways after the flood waters subsided.

Troop 533 has positively influenced countless Munster families by leading many boys to success, including producing over 100 Eagle Scouts. The scouts and their adult leaders have long served the community in which they live and love. They have lived by the Boy Scout Oath and Motto, and my hometown of Munster is better for having Troop 533 a part of the community.

Mr. Speaker, as a proud father of a young Cub Scout, I am honored to congratulate Munster Boy Scout Troop 533, on its ninetieth anniversary and look forward to their continued service to fellow Hoosiers and our nation.
HON. PETE OLSON
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. OLSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Ljezie Ikwezunma of Richmond, TX, for receiving a Fulbright award to do research in the UK.

Ljezie, a student at Washington and Lee University, was awarded a Fulbright grant for his project, “Cardiovascular Pharmacogenomics and Pharmacokinetics of Warfarin (an oral anti-coagulant).” His research will be based around cardiovascular agents and their pharmacology, with a particular focus on the drug warfarin. While completing his Fulbright, Ljezie will also be pursuing his Masters of Research in biomedical sciences and transnational medicine at the University of Liverpool. Each year the Fulbright Program grants students the opportunity to study, research or teach English abroad in an effort to internationalize communities and campuses around the world. Fulbright scholars focus on the conditions and challenges differing regions face, as well as building valuable US relationships.

On behalf of the Twenty-Second Congressional District of Texas, congratulations again to Ljezie for receiving this Fulbright award. Keep up the great work.

RECOGNIZING MIKE MILLER, NEW U.S. WHEAT ASSOCIATES CHAIRMAN
HON. DAN NEWHOUSE
OF WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. NEWHOUSE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize a constituent from my district, Mike Miller, of Ritzville, Washington, who was recently selected as the new Chairman of the U.S. Wheat Associates.

Mike is a fourth-generation farmer and a family man who has served on many local, state and national boards. He currently serves as the Chairman of the Washington Grain Commission and has been representing Washington as a U.S. Wheat Associates Director for six years.

He has been very active in supporting wheat research and development, and I know he will be an excellent leader for the U.S. Wheat Associates. He will continue to promote the quality and value of all six U.S. wheat classes to international markets.

Please join me in congratulating Mike on his new position.

OPPOSING PROPOSED LEGISLATION THAT THREATENS THE BOUNDARY WATERS CANOE AREA WILDERNESS
HON. BETTY MCCOLLUM
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD my letter to the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Energy and Mineral Resources Subcommittee of the House Natural Resources Committee. The letter expresses my strong opposition to a discussion draft the Committee held a hearing on this week, proposed by Representative Tom Emmer, that would undercut existing environmental and public land laws and allow a Chilean mining conglomerate to conduct dangerous sulfide-ore copper mining adjacent to the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.

Chairman Gozar and Ranking Member Lowenthal: I write to express my strong opposition to the discussion draft proposed by Representative Tom Emmer considered today, July 27, in the Energy and Mineral Resources Subcommittee of the House Natural Resources Committee. The proposed legislation would undercut existing environmental and public lands laws to allow a Chilean mining conglomerate to conduct dangerous sulfide-ore copper mining adjacent to the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.

Located in northeastern Minnesota along the United States border with Canada, the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness (BWCAW) comprises approximately 1.1 million acres of unspoiled woods and more than 1,000 pristine lakes. It is home to iconic species such as loons, moose, and lynx, while the waters support thriving populations of walleye, bass, and trout.

Congress recognized the value of this unique national treasure when it passed the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness Act in 1978. This critical legislation established necessary protections for the BWCAW, prohibiting logging and mining and placing limits on the use of motorized vehicles to preserve the unspoiled nature of this special area.

That law strengthened the federal role as caretaker of this area of unparalleled natural beauty, and in doing so built upon more than a century of actions by federal and state governments. In 1909, President Theodore Roosevelt created the Superior National Forest to protect the surrounding area. In 1964, President Lyndon Johnson signed the Wilderness Act into law, and set aside one million acres of the Boundary Waters as a wilderness area. In 1991, the state of Minnesota banned mining on state lands within the Boundary Waters.

These policies have ensured that Minnesota’s Boundary Waters are one of the few remaining wild places in the United States. The BWCAW does not exist in isolation, however. It is a critical part of a vast, interconnected watershed that flows through the Superior National Forest and into Voyageurs National Park and Canada’s Quetico Provincial Park. While the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness is an important water source, sulfide-ore copper mining within the Boundary Waters itself, it did not address existing mineral leases located within the parts of the watershed in the Superior National Forest.

In 2011, Chilean mining conglomerate Antofagasta announced plans for its Twin Metals sulfide-ore copper mine on federal land within the BWCAW. Antofagasta planned to pursue this mining under mineral leases issued in 1966, before the enactment of modern environmental legislation such as the National Environmental Policy Act and the Clean Water Act. However, those leases expired in 2014, requiring Antofagasta to apply for a renewal 27 years after they were granted.

Under the terms of the leases, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) reviewed and determined in 2016 that these leases would pose an unacceptable risk that “might cause serious and Irreplaceable harm to this unique, iconic, and irreplaceable wilderness area”.

Copper mining is the most toxic industry in America, polluting waterways with acid drainage that contains arsenic, mercury and lead.

Researchers that surveyed sulfide-ore copper mines in North America found that every mine had leached pollution into surrounding water, with 92 percent failing to contain mine seepage and seriously affecting water quality. Underscoring the danger of sulfide-ore copper mining, the failure of the Mount Polley copper mine in British Columbia in August 2014 released a toxic slurry of 10 billion liters of wastewater and 5 billion liters of solid tailings. This immense pollution disaster is still being addressed, permanently damaged an irreplaceable salmon spawning area.

Simply put, sulfide-ore copper mining is not suited in the vast, interconnected watershed that contains the BWCA, which is exactly why the BLM and USFS determined that the sustainable mining of the forests, lakes and streams in this area was best served by not renewing Antofagasta’s leases.

This decision was also supported with action taken by the state government. In March 2016, Minnesota Governor Mark Dayton directed the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources “not to authorize or enter into any new state agreements or lease agreements for mining operations” on state lands in close proximity to the BWCAW.

Following the denial of the leases, the USFS submitted an application to the Secretary of the Interior to withdraw portions of the Twin Metals mine that lie within the BWCAW from future mineral permits and leases, to remove the threat of sulfide-ore mining throughout this sensitive landscape. Today, the USFS and the USGS submitted a thorough environmental analysis to determine whether the lands should be withdrawn from mineral leasing for a period of 20 years. In order to rely on science, this review includes input from the public and key stakeholders. In just the past two weeks, more than 1,500 people have attended public meetings held by the USFS in Virginia, Minnesota and St. Paul, Minnesota.

This environmental review and public input process is the best path forward, as Secretary of Agriculture Sonny Perdue affirmed to me during a hearing of the House Interior-Environment Appropriations Subcommittee on May 27, 2017, in response to a question about the study. Secretary Perdue responded: “We are determined to proceed in that effort and let it run its course. No decision will be made prior to the completion of that.”

Unfortunately, the discussion draft proposed by Representative Emmer entirely subverts this far-reaching impacts of the discussion draft presented by Representative Emmer:
It automatically grants Antofagasta two federal mineral leases on Superior National Forest lands, ignoring the strong federal and state opposition to sulfide-ore mining in this area. These leases are to be directed to the Boundary Waters, is immediately adjacent to the BWCAW and the second is within three miles of the BWCAW boundary. Peer-reviewed science documents that acid mine drainage from sulfide-ore mining per mine on these leases would flow through the Boundary Waters, Voyageurs National Park, and Canada’s Quetico Provincial Park. The service in this letter to the USFS’s Office of Land Management and illustrates the extent of the pollution risk to this interconnected watershed.

In the Service’s Record of Decision in which the USFS denied to consent to the renewal of Antofagasta’s federal mineral leases based on the risk of harm to the Boundary Waters if the leases were granted. The Forest Service’s decision on the lease renewals was made by professional career USFS staff after a 3-year review, with extensive opportunity for public comment. The decision cites sound scientific evidence of harm to the BWCAW and considerable public opposition to the projects. The decision draft ignored scientific evidence and recklessly overturns the well-considered decision of the Forest Service.

It undermines established laws governing mining in the Superior National Forest, bypasses the National Environmental Policy Act, and interferes with an ongoing Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The bills amending Title IV of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and override the law that gives USFS the right to consent to mining in the Superior National Forest and require substantial changes to these carefully established laws. By retroactively reinstating the Twin Metals leases, the bill would also waive the requirement that federal mineral leases and watersheds go through a NEPA analysis. Furthermore, by reinstating these leases and requiring Congressional approval for the withdrawal of future leasing, this would have adverse the scientific analysis and public input process of the current EIS. All of this interference in the established legal process governing federal mineral leasing will primarily serve to benefit a foreign mining conglomerate.

It fundamentally alters the 1906 Antiquities Act by mandating Congressional approval for national monuments in the Superior and Chippewa National Forests. This is a virtual gift to Antofagasta’s attack on the Antiquities Act. This bill chip away at fundamental conservation principles in the United States by establishing a carve-out from one of our nation’s essential public lands laws.

These concerns have been echoed by leaders at some of the United States’ foremost organizations advocating for the protection of our environment and the conservation of our public lands. I have attached statements from them to this letter.

If this legislation were allowed to move forward with these troubling provisions intact, it would not only undercut existing environmental and public lands laws. It would also undermine the environmental and economic health of Minnesota.

Drawn by the pristine landscape, the BWCAW today attracts more than 250,000 visitors each year who take advantage of unparalleled opportunities to canoe, fish, and explore this area.

These visitors have been a boon to a thriving outdoor recreation economy in the area. According to the Iron Range Resources & Rehabilitation Board, tourism in Northeastern Minnesota supports 18,000 jobs and brings $300 million annually to the local economy. These jobs are dependent on a healthy watershed and, Representative Emmer’s plan to restate leases for copper-sulfide ore mining only, the forest economy they support at risk.

As the previous administration explained when it issued its final decision on the Twin Metals leases: “It is well established that acid mine drainage is a significant environmental risk at sulfide ore mine sites like the one proposed for the project. A water-based ecosystem like the Boundary Waters because contaminated water could have dramatic impacts to aquatic life, sport fisheries, and recreation-based economies and communities.

As Members of Congress, we have an obligation to be good stewards of our nation’s natural resources. It would be a grave mistake to unknowingly approve of harmful mining to take place on the edge of the Boundary Waters, one of the last wild places in our country. I urge you to oppose the decision draft presented by Representative Emmer.

Sincerely,

Betsy McCollum,
Member of Congress.

STATEMENTS OPPOSING THE DISCUSSION DRAFT FROM LEADING ENVIRONMENTAL AND PUBLIC LANDS ORGANIZATIONS

Lena Moffitt, Director of Our Wild American Program, Sierra Club:

The waters of Lake Superior and the Boundary Waters are a precious national resource, treasured by millions of Americans. Sadly, members of Congress are clearly prioritizing the interests of multinational mining companies over the needs of both local communities and the American public. We strongly oppose these attacks on our public lands and waters, and call on Congressional leaders to do the same.

Jamie Williams, President, The Wilderness Society:
The Emmer mining bill is yet another dangerous attack on our public lands. Anti-conservationTimberline Resources has a history of lies to Congress sell or lease to private profiteers our nation’s natural treasures that are owned by all Americans. In addition to opening the door to sulfide-ore mining in the priceless Boundary Waters watershed, the bill undermines bedrock conservation laws including the Antiquities Act, used by Republicans and Democratic presidents alike to protect places of historic or natural significance. The Boundary Waters is one of the original places protected by the 1964 Wilderness Act as it is a most visited wilderness area, and its waters must be protected from industrial mining.

Alex Taurel, Deputy Legislative Director, League of Conservation Voters:

This radical legislation would harm Minnesota’s outdoor recreation economy by allowing a foreign mining company to build a risky mine that threatens the health of America’s most visited wilderness area in the spectacular Boundary Waters. We urge members of Congress to oppose this harmful bill that greenlights a decision on the project based on politics and shamefully cuts out the voices of Minnesotans and people across the country that have engaged in a lengthy public process.

TRIBUTE TO JEAN AND WENDELL VOLKENS

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Jean and Wendell Volkens of Council Bluffs, Iowa on the very special occasion of their 60th wedding anniversary. They were married on June 16, 1957 at Zion Lutheran Church in Auburn, Iowa.

Jean and Wendell’s lifelong commitment to each other and their family truly embodies Iowa values. As they reflect on their 60th anniversary, may their love and commitment grow even stronger, as they continue to love, cherish, and honor one another for many years to come.

Mr. Speaker, I commend this great couple on their 50th year together, and I wish them many more years of joy and happiness.

Recognizing IMPD Deputy Chief Jim Waters

HON. ANDRE´ CARSON
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise with a profound sense of sadness, as I grieve with the City of Indianapolis and the entire law enforcement community, over the loss of a true public servant, Tom Caronen.

I remember Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department Deputy Chief Jim Waters, who dedicated his life to serving others.

Deputy Chief Waters served our city for nearly 30 years, in a variety of roles in city government and on the Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department. In all his roles, Deputy Chief Waters was known as a bridge builder and someone who worked alongside community members to improve and protect our city.

Deputy Chief Waters was a decorated police officer who came from a long line of public servants. He rose through the ranks of IMPD, serving as District Commander of the East District, Assistant Chief, as well as Deputy Chief. His service did not go unnoticed; he was awarded the Medal of Bravery and Mayor’s Community Service Award for his commitment to protecting Hoosiers.

Today, I ask my colleagues to join me in extending our thoughts and prayers to Deputy Chief Waters’ wife, Sherry, his children and his entire family. Deputy Chief Waters left a lasting legacy on the Indianapolis community and I am grateful for his years of dedicated service to our city.

Celebrating the Centennial of the Poke-O-Moonshine Fire Tower

HON. ELISE M. STEFANIK
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor and recognize the 100th Anniversary of the Poke-O-Moonshine fire tower.

Sitting atop Poke-O-Moonshine Mountain since 1917, the historic fire tower has played an important role in protecting the Adirondack’s environment and wildlife by allowing lookout to detect and report early signs of wildfires. Although it was decommissioned by...
New York State in 1988, the fire tower has been fully restored and continues to offer hikers incredible views of the Adirondack Mountains, Lake Champlain, and Vermont.

In honor of the fire tower’s centennial, the Friends of Poke-O-Moonshine is hosting several celebratory events including hikes up the mountain, an art auction, and live performances. The organization, which was largely responsible for the restoration of the fire tower, is dedicated to preserving Poke-O-Moonshine and to educating the public about the mountain and its surrounding areas. Their efforts have expanded public access to this special place and increased awareness of our region’s rich environmental history.

On behalf of New York’s 21st District, I want to join in celebrating the 100th Anniversary of the Poke-O-Moonshine fire tower. It is an important piece of the Adirondack Park and a symbol of the North Country’s long tradition of outdoor engagement.

HONORING THE LIFE AND SERVICE OF Irv Holland

HON. JIM COSTA
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COSTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life and service of Mr. Irwin Holland.

Irv Holland was a brave and courageous man who served our country in a multitude of ways and will forever be remembered as a member of one of the great generations.

Mr. Holland was born in 1917, eldest child to first generation immigrants. He grew up in New York where he graduated high school and attended night school but never graduated with a college degree. In 1943, he joined the United States Army Air Corps, and became an Army Air Corps navigator, eventually reaching the rank of Second Lieutenant. In the midst of World War II, and on his maiden mission, the navigational instruments on his B-17 failed. In the dark and over the ocean Mr. Holland led three B-17 airplanes to the Ashen Islands where they landed safely. After taking off from the Ashen Islands they continued to the European Theater and in June 1943 he and several other crew members were shot down over Germany. Irv bailed out and was taken as a prisoner of war. He was a prisoner of war from June 13, 1943 to May 22, 1945, and endured difficult conditions in camp Stalag Luft 3—Sagan-Silesia, Bavaria, eventually moving to Nuremberg, Germany. Mr. Holland was liberated by the Americans at the end of the war.

After his release in 1945 from Prisoner of War Camp, he returned to the U.S. and shortly afterwards traveled to the west coast to begin a new chapter in his life. In 1947, he met and married Barbara Donner Schwartz and soon became the father of three. “Irv” and Barbara moved to Fresno in 1952 from the Bay Area and Irv established the Irwin Holland Advertising Agency. He was a community minded individual with involvements in the civil rights movement, community education and the Jewish community, but politics was his real love and passion. Irwin Holland was a political animal and felt a commitment to participate and improve his community. With that in mind, he ran for several political offices in the San Joaquin Valley as well as becoming the advance man for every National Democratic Candidate who traveled the Central Valley from the mid ’50’s to the end of the 1970’s, including John F. Kennedy (before his presidency), Sen. Robert F. Kennedy, Rose Kennedy, Pierre Salinger, Hubert Humphrey, and others.

All during this time he was active in the Fresno Democratic Central Committee. From 1962 to 1970 he served as Administrative Assistant for former California State Assemblyman and Senator George Zenovich and in 1974 Irv was named District Director for former U.S. Congressman John Krebs serving at his pleasure for the two terms that Mr. Krebs was in office.

In 1979, following a dream, Irvin and Barbara moved to Jerusalem, Israel. Once they became established in Israel, Irv worked as a freelance writer, writing articles about his experiences in Israel for the McClatchy Newspapers. While adapting to a new country and language Irv continued his community involvement and was involved with the Americans and Canadians in Israel, Democrats Abroad, and the San Francisco Jewish Federation’s Office in Jerusalem for several years.

After fully retiring he began his “personal campaign” to provide “behind the scenes” insights about politics in the region to his friends and family at home. He forever felt that connection between the place of his birth and his new home.

Mr. Speaker, it is with great respect that I ask my colleagues in the U.S. House of Representatives to recognize a mentor and a friend, Irv Holland. A gentleman, who felt the obligation to serve both his community and country of birth as well as his new found home. He is survived by his wife of 69 years, Barbara, who still lives in Israel and their three children: Francine, Amy, and Robbie, their five grandchildren: David, Sarah, Rachel, Hannah, and Naomi, and their four great-grandchildren: Arie, Ophir, Arbel, and Ella.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. ANN M. KUSTER
OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, July 27, on Roll Call vote 414, I did not vote. Had I been present, it was my intention to vote NO.

CONGRATULATING THE SERGEANT ANDREW BRUCHER VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS POST 5499

HON. JOHN J. FASO
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. FASO. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to congratulate Sgt. Andrew Brucher Veterans of Foreign Wars Post 5499, located in Kauneonga Lake, New York, which will celebrate its 50th anniversary on August 5, 2017.

Mr. Speaker, Navy Yeoman 3rd Class Edmund Ryan of Wilbraham, Massachusetts was killed when the Japanese sank the USS Oklahoma during the attack on Pearl Harbor in 1941. For 75 years, Yeoman Ryan was one of the nearly 400 sailors from that vessel whose identities were deemed “non-recoverable.” But thanks to the advancements in DNA testing and the efforts by the Defense POW/MIA Accounting Agency, Yeoman Ryan’s remains have been finally identified.

This was possible by matching the mitochondrial DNA to family members of the deceased. This achievement gives hope to the family members of the 26,000 servicemembers from World War II that possibly they too can be identified.

Next week, Yeoman Ryan will be interred in his final resting place at Arlington National Cemetery. On behalf of the First District of Massachusetts and the United States, we are eternally grateful for his service and sacrifice to our nation. And on this solemn occasion, I want to extend my best wishes to his family.

RECOGNIZING NORTHEAST GEORGIA’S STEM STUDENTS

HON. DOUG COLLINS
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor seventeen of northeast Georgia’s brightest high school students. The National Academy of Future Scientists and Technologists and the National Academy of Future Physicians and Medical Scientists selected these young scholars to represent the state of Georgia at their respective national conventions held in late June.

Despite the fact that these students hailed from different parts of the district, they share a common interest in science and medical-related fields. Representatives from our state as delegates gave them a chance to discuss their interests and ideas—whether they involved becoming our nation’s next leading scientist or...
becoming the brain power behind the next iPhone.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to recognize the following outstanding young people: Omeka Bhatia, John Bradley, Justin Mayo, Tyrese McIntosh, Andrew O'Brien, Thinh Pham-Hoang, David Tran, and Ana Woodruff for attending the Congress of Future Science and Technology Leaders. Additionally, Briana Bishop, Keah Grudual, Andres Meza, Garrett Raxter, Angela Rivera, Lorenza Rojas-Gonzalez, Helen Viec, and Amber York have made northeast Georgia proud by attending the Congress of Future Science and Technology Leaders.

These talented students are carving out a future for STEM fields and will help lead the next generation of innovation in our country. I am honored to represent them in Congress, and I wish them the best as they run after their dreams.

CELEBRATING TONY ZAPATA

HON. LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, July 28, 2017

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Tony Zapata, who recently concluded his service as Commander of Veterans of Foreign Wars (VFW) Post 4696 in East Los Angeles. As a representative of East LA in Congress, I have had the honor of getting to know Tony over the years, especially in his capacity as the organizer of the annual Memorial Day and Veterans Day events at the Cinco Puntos intersection.

Tony, a Vietnam veteran, comes from a family with deep military roots. His grandfather served in World War I, his father and two brothers served in WWII, two of his uncles served in Korea, and his son went to Kuwait. It should come as no surprise, then, that Tony has shown an unstinting dedication to those who served and have served in our nation’s armed forces.

Tony was born in Del Rio, Texas, and graduated from high school in 1963. In January 1965, he enlisted in the U.S. Navy. After boot camp in San Diego, California, in April 1965, he went aboard the U.S.S. Evans (DE-1023) in Bremerton, Washington, as a Machinist’s Mate Fireman Apprentice. In August 1967, he was assigned to North Island Naval Air Station in Coronado, California, as an Airman Aviation Ordnance man. He was trained in Search and Rescue operations on NHU1 Helicopters, also known as Hueys.

In November 1967, Tony was sent to Okinawa, where he became a Search and Rescue Unit with the 3rd Marine Division Temporary Attached Duty (TAD). From Okinawa, he went to Cubi Point in the Philippine Islands. At Cubi Point, he qualified with an M-60 Machine Gun(mounted). In January 1968, he went on to Da Nang, South Vietnam. After one year in Vietnam, he returned to North Island Naval Air Station, where he was Honorably Discharged in February 1969. He was awarded the National Defense Medal, Vietnam Service Medal, Republic of Vietnam Service Medal, Republic of Vietnam Civil Service Medal, Expeditionary Medal, and Meritorious Service Ribbon.

In May 1969, Tony went to work for Travelers Insurance Company as a Workman’s Compensation Investigator. After Travelers, he worked as an inspector at U.S. Can in Commerce, California, until his retirement in 2006. In 1992, Tony began to become involved with the VFW, and began full-time VFW involvement following his retirement. In 2010, he was appointed Commander of VFW Post 4696.

Tony has shown an inspiring commitment to assisting veterans and their families with their benefits. He has also been involved with helping homeless Veterans get into VA programs, including help with housing, education, and medical benefits. He has also enjoyed working with local high school students. In his talks with students, he has described the importance of patriotism, spoken about Memorial Day and Veterans Day, and reminded his young listeners that freedom is not free. He has also described how they can support our troops in Iraq and Afghanistan by writing letters and sending care packages to our servicemen and women overseas.

On May 29, 2017, Tony stepped down as Commander for Post 4696, but I am pleased to hear that he will still be involved with the VFW as an at large member. I hope my colleagues will join me in sending Tony Zapata our deepest gratitude for his record of service overseas and here at home. His defense of our country, and his faithful support of our veterans and current servicemen, is an inspiration to us all.

HONORING FIRE CHIEF DAVID SKORYI

HON. DANIEL LIPINSKI
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. LIPINSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Fire Chief David Skoryi who is retiring after serving the Lockport Township community for over 25 years.

Chief Skoryi was raised in Lockport and attended Taft Grade School and Lockport Township High School. He joined the Lockport Township Fire District in 1980 as a firefighter and quickly rose through the ranks. He became a Lieutenant in 1993, Battalion Chief in 1998, and Assistant Chief in 2001. In 2010, Skoryi was named Lockport Township Fire District Chief.

During his time with the fire district, Chief Skoryi reached a number of milestones. He was the first Battalion Chief in the department’s history and the first full time Training Officer. He also served as the Operations Chief, the Technical Rescue Team Leader, and the Swift Water Rescue Technician. Chief Skoryi has also overseen five fire station construction projects in the district.

Chief David Skoryi is married to Deb Skoryi and has three grown children, two of whom still live in the area. He plans to spend his retirement with his wife, tending to a small farm the couple purchased and traveling in their motor home.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing Chief David Skoryi for his public service and congratulate him on his achievements. He has spent many years protecting the people of Lockport and the surrounding areas. His dedication is greatly appreciated by the residents of the Third District of Illinois. I wish him the best in his future endeavors.

HONORING BENTON POLICE CHIEF KIRK LANE

HON. J. FRENCH HILL
OF ARKANSAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of Benton Police Chief Kirk Lane’s appointment as the new Arkansas Drug Officer. His experience and activism truly embody what all states need in the fight against illegal narcotics.

Chief Lane is a graduate of the Arkansas Law Enforcement Training Academy and the FBI National Academy.

After graduation, Chief Lane spent 20 years with the Pulaski County Sheriff’s Office, where he served as the Captain of Criminal Investigations.

Chief Lane has gone above and beyond his duty in the fight against illegal narcotics by participating in many organizations, including the Arkansas Drug and Alcohol Coordinating Council, the Arkansas Prescription Drug Advisory Board, and the Arkansas Prescription Drug Monitoring Board.

As a recipient of the 2010 Benton Citizen of the Year Award and the 2012 Marie Interfaith Award, Chief Lane is recognized for his exceptional character and devotion to community.

I’m proud of my friend Chief Lane, who has proven his devotion to law and order, and he will be a great addition to Arkansas’s Drug Enforcement Agency to fight against narcotics and reduce the crime rate.

RECOGNIZING THE LIFE OF STEPHEN THOMAS ZAMORA

HON. HENRY CUellar
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. CUELLAR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the life of Stephen Thomas Zamora, who proudly served the people of Houston, Texas, as a professor at the University of Houston Law Center for nearly thirty-six years.

Stephen Zamora was born on June 26, 1944, in Los Angeles, California. Steve graduated from Servite High School in 1962, and earned a B.A. from Stanford University four years later. It was there where he met and married his wife, Lois. Together, they worked for the Peace Corps for two years. Afterwards, Steve graduated first in his class with a law degree from the University of California at Berkeley in 1972.


Mr. Zamora joined the University of Houston Law Center’s faculty and served as the first dean of Hispanic origin from 1995 to 2000. He also dedicated his independent research at the Center for U.S. and Mexican Law to Mexican law and relations. For his work, he was awarded the highest distinction by the Mexican government to a foreign national, the
Order of the Aztec Eagle, in 2006. He continued to devote his time to his professional interests until 2015.

Mr. Zamora lived a long and service filled life. He was an accomplished educator, a role model to his students, and loving father and husband to his wife, Lois Zamora; his daughter, Camille Zamora; and his husband Thomas; and grandchildren Landon and Nate; and siblings Carol, Anita, John, Mary, Paula, and Tony; and his many nephews, nieces, aunts, cousins, and friends.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to have the opportunity to recognize and honor Stephen Zamora for his many years of service.

TRIBUTE TO WALTER HARRISON
HON. JOHN B. LARSON
OF CONNECTICUT
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, July 28, 2017

Mr. LARSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to Walter Harrison, who retired as the president of the University of Hartford on June 30, 2017 after serving in that position for 19 years. In his time as president, the University of Hartford has truly flourished. Under his leadership, U Hartford has grown by leaps and bounds through increased enrollment and academic success, new academic programs and centers, and major renovations to campus facilities. He leaves the university as a place with a national reputation as a renowned academic institution.

Walt is first and foremost an educator and a scholar of American literature and culture. A native of Pittsburgh, he first came to Connecticut to study at Trinity College in Hartford where he received his bachelor's degree. He then went on to earn a master's degree from the University of Michigan and his doctorate then went on to earn a master's degree from the University of Michigan and his doctorate where he received his bachelor's degree. He

I would like to submit for the RECORD the undergraduate and graduate commencement addresses delivered on Walter's last graduation ceremonies as president by my colleagues, Congresswoman MIA LOVE of Utah and Congressman RICHARD NEAL of Massachusetts, two proud U Hartford alumni.

THIS IS A MIA LOVE
IT IS WONDERFUL TO BE WITH YOU ALL TODAY. IT IS GREAT TO BE BACK TO A PLACE FILLED WITH SO MANY MEMORIES. MY MIND HAS BEEN RACING BACK TO TIMES ON THIS CAMPUS; TO SO MANY LESSONS LEARNED, AND TO SO MANY PEOPLE WHO MADE A DIFFERENCE IN MY LIFE. MY TIME HERE AS A STUDENT STRETCHED ME, STRENGTHENED ME TO RECOGNIZE OPPORTUNITIES SO I CAN RISE TO MY FULL POTENTIAL.

ONE OF THOSE AMAZING FACULTY MEMBERS WHO CHALLENGED ME TO RISE WAS PETER FLINT. HE WAS OUR TEACHER AND SADLY, HE PASSED AWAY FAR TOO SOON—but he is forever a part of my life and the lives of those who were blessed by his vision and direction. Peter had a motto for those of us in musical theater. He had us memorize it—but more importantly he challenged us to live it.

The motto said, “I am an artist. These are my emotions and I own them. And I like them. My talent comes from something other than my mere responsibility for it. I will dare to be bad, so that I may be good. I am an artist. I am that I am.”

Peter continually challenged us to rise. He gave us a piece of advice that was ok to be bad in the beginning and that working through the bad was the only way to rise to the good and ultimately to the best we had within us.

At MIA, Peter expected us to take responsibility and ownership for our talents and gifts.

Winston Churchill said it this way, “To each one comes . . . a special moment when we are figuratively tapped on the shoulder and offered the chance to do something very special, unique to our talents. What a tragedy if that moment finds us unwilling, unprepared or unqualified for that which could have been their finest hour.”

The great question you will face in the days and years ahead is this, “Am I prepared to rise in such moments?” I hope you consider today, graduation day, the first step to accepting those taps that will lead you to a lifetime of fines hours as you rise to the full measure of your potential.

Two things for you to keep in mind as you get ready to rise

1. Your ability to rise will be bolstered or shackled by your ability to engage in elevated dialogue. It may seem crazy for a member of Congress to be talking about elevated dialogue—but I believe it is the key to all of our finest hours. As a nation, as individuals, and as students, we must get comfortable having uncomfortable conversations. We cannot rise if we are constantly spewing divisive and demonizing rhetoric. It is easy to turn to social media for instant gratification. It is easy to retweet or blow up your Facebook page with anger-filled words of frustration. Someone wisely said, “Speak in anger and you will give the best speech you ever live to regret.”

Even if it’s sharing a virtual speech on social media—the result is the same. Remember this, moments captured are forever and friends keep friends off YouTube. The solution to any problem begins when someone says, “Let’s talk about it.” We have a long way to go as country—but it starts with each and every one of us including you. I have an elevated conversation about the challenges of our day. It is impossible to rise without being comfortable and confident in higher dialogue.

2. Second, remember that your goal is to rise with, not over, others. There is an old Scotish saying, “They lift me and I’ll lift thee and together we’ll ascend.” Sadly our society tells us more and more that we should just look for number one and not worry about anyone else.

My dad came to this country with $10 in his pocket in an attempt to rise above the poverty and strife of his native Haiti. Through grit and determination he and my mom provided, me and my siblings, the opportunity to rise in pursuit of our own version of the American dream.

I will always remember the day my dad dropped me off here on campus on orientation day. He was so excited and giddy as if he were one ready with the scene ready to recharge on campus, away from home. At one point he became serious as he looked in the eye and said, “Mia, your mom and I have worked hard to get us to this point today, but you will not be a burden to society. You will give back. You will contribute. You will make a difference for others.”

What he was telling me is that it was part of my responsibility not only to rise myself, but to bring others along with me. Just as he had done with me. The role of the university is to give us the tools to rise to new roles, responsibilities and opportunities. Each of those roles has given me an opportunity to learn, to engage in elevated dialogue and help others rise to their potential.

You are the rising generation. You are the leaders just for tomorrow, but for today.

Today I challenge you to raise your sight, not just your status, as you enter the workforce and a world desperately in need of your energy, talent and commitment.

Remember the second half of Churchill’s statement about those taps on the shoulder and those opportunities. It is one more quote I’d like to share, by a man named John Greenleaf Whittier. He wrote, “Of all sad words of tongue or pen, the sadness is these: ‘It might have been.’”

Guys, we cannot accept “what might have been.” It is a haunting horrible phrase. Fifty years from now you will have far more regrets about the opportunities you didn’t take, the mountains you did not climb and the adventures you did not pursue than you will ever have for opportunities you took—even when you tried and failed.

When we fail to continuously learn—we will be left to live with what might have been.

When we fail to engage in elevated dialogue in our homes, communities, and places of employment—we will be left to live with what might have been.

When we fail to lift others as we ourselves rise—we will be left to live with what might have been.

A few years ago my family and I were at an event in our community. There was a large hot-air balloon there as part of the fun. An opportunity arose for me to go up in the balloon. As I approached they told me to bring one of my children along for the ride. I called to my son Peyton, who was 7 years old, to come jump in the basket with me. He hesitated and resisted. The balloon was ready to launch and we couldn’t wait for Peyton to decide so I called to my daughter Abi who was 11 and off we went flying in the crisp, cool air and enjoying the amazing view of my district in Utah we started our return to the ground. As we began to descend the pilot picked up a really loud radio signal that was cutting in and out. The pilot forgot that we had just passed over the capitol building and the host of news networks were broadcasting it. Unfortunately with the red flag conditions, the balloon had to stay on the ground.
The opportunity to rise and soar in the sky came and was gone. I took Peyton aside and told him to remember this experience. Because in life, especially in politics, if you don't seize an opportunity— it quickly passes and you never know if it will—come back. Presented with opportunity— we must rise to the occasion.

Graduates— your experiences on campus have given you a view from higher up. Remember those experiences— remember what it felt like to be a part of a team: to understand that new knowledge, to be that test, to master that new skill or maximize your talent. So that when life knocks you down— and surely it will— just get back up because you know what it takes to rise.

So my challenge to each of you is the same one I make to my colleagues in Congress— to think critically and prepared me to be the great faculty members, it taught me to give thought to something before we say it. And like me, my colleagues think it is important to talk in complete sentences and construct arguments: health care, tax, trade, and Social Security. These are your days—Rise.

The opportunity to rise and soar in the sky felt like to gain that new perspective, understanding the past, and the future. It felt like to reap the rewards of hard work and dedication. It felt like to accomplish something important. It felt like to have the opportunity to share their side of the story. The first amendment is the cornerstone of our constitutional system— the first amendment guarantees a second opinion.

Backlash at Berkeley and Middlebury both point to how the first amendment is put at risk— those who think free speech is fine and safe until they are offended and in turn censor themselves. As a member of Congress, I, along with my colleagues think it is important to have the opportunity to share their side of the story. The first amendment is the cornerstone of our constitutional system— the first amendment guarantees a second opinion.

How did we get here? Well one reason is the passage of time. I am a child of the 1960s. Younger people play a critical role in the civil rights movement and were focused on ending discrimination. As time has passed and the central figures of the 1960's have passed away, younger organizations that have historically focused on civil rights have readjusted their focus to economic justice. While not to belittle economic justice, unfortunately, free speech and non-partisanship have fallen by the wayside.

How do we get back to a place where free speech is not only protected and guaranteed, but can flourish? One simple, important first step: listen. I was recently asked how I engage with younger people. The answer was easy—same way everyone else does— I listen. Today, we talk too much and don’t listen enough. We care more about our phones and posting information rather than listening. So much can be gained from listening— it generates thoughtful discussion, shows you care, expands your horizon, and often leads to new ideas and compromise.

I have challenged myself to spend ten minutes each day to listen. Today, I listen. I was recently asked how I engage with younger people. The answer was easy—same way everyone else does— I listen. Today, we talk too much and don’t listen enough. We care more about our phones and posting information rather than listening. So much can be gained from listening— it generates thoughtful discussion, shows you care, expands your horizon, and often leads to new ideas and compromise.

As a member of Congress, I, along with my colleagues think it is important to have the opportunity to share their side of the story. The first amendment is the cornerstone of our constitutional system— the first amendment guarantees a second opinion.
Mr. Speaker, it is an honor to represent Eileen in the United States Congress and it is my pleasure to wish her a very happy 100th birthday. I ask that my colleagues in the United States House of Representatives join me in congratulating Eileen on reaching this incredible milestone and wishing her even more health and happiness in the years to come.
Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action
The Senate was not in session and stands adjourned until 4 p.m., on Monday, July 31, 2017.

Committee Meetings
No committee meetings were held.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action
Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 8 public bills, H.R. 3525–3632; and 14 resolutions, H.J. Res. 116; H. Con. Res. 75, 76; and H. Res. 485–495 were introduced.

Additional Cosponsors:

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

H.R. 873, to authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation to establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 115–264);

H. Res. 442, resolution of inquiry directing the Secretary of the Treasury to provide certain documents in the Secretary’s possession to the House of Representatives relating to President Trump’s financial connections to Russia, certain illegal financial schemes, and related information; adversely (H. Rept. 115–265);

H.R. 95, to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide child care assistance to veterans receiving certain medical services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs, with an amendment (H. Rept. 115–266);

H.R. 3262, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a pilot program to provide educational assistance to certain former members of the Armed Forces for education and training as physician assistants of the Department of Veterans Affairs, to establish pay grades and require competitive pay for physician assistants of the Department, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 115–267);

H.R. 2195, to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide for access of the Special Counsel to certain information, with amendments (H. Rept. 115–268);

H. Res. 437, resolution of inquiry requesting the President to provide certain documents in the President’s possession; adversely (H. Rept. 115–269); and

H. Res. 447, directing the Secretary of Homeland Security to transmit certain documents to the House of Representatives relating to Department of Homeland Security policies and activities relating to businesses owned or controlled by President Donald J. Trump; adversely (H. Rept. 115–270).

Suspensions: The House agreed to suspend the rules and pass the following measures:

Veterans’ Access to Child Care Act: H.R. 95, amended, to amend title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide child care assistance to veterans receiving certain medical services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs; Pages H6538–39

Veterans Affairs Senior Executive Accountability Act: H.R. 2772, amended, to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide for requirements relating to the reassignment of Department of Veterans Affairs senior executive employees; Page H6540

Grow Our Own Directive: Physician Assistant Employment and Education Act of 2017: H.R. 3262, amended, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a pilot program to provide educational assistance to certain former members of the Armed Forces for education and training as physician assistants of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and
to establish pay grades and require competitive pay for physician assistants of the Department; and

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: "To require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a pilot program to provide educational assistance to certain former members of the Armed Forces for education and training as physician assistants of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes.”.

Global War on Terrorism War Memorial Act: H.R. 873, to authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation to establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work in the District of Columbia.


Pursuant to the Rule, the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted.

H. Res. 481, the rule providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 873) was agreed to by voice vote, after the previous question was ordered without objection.

Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017, Improvements Act: The House agreed to discharge from committee and pass S. 371, as amended by Representative Royce, to make technical changes and other improvements to the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017.

Meeting Hour: Agreed by unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 11 a.m. on Tuesday, August 1st.

President Message: Read a message from the President wherein he notified Congress that the national emergency with respect to Lebanon that was declared in Executive Order 13441 of August 1, 2007, is to continue in effect beyond August 1, 2017—referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed (H. Doc. 115–59).

Senate Message: Message received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appears on page H6523.

Quorum Calls—Votes: Three yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H6556–57. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 9 a.m. and adjourned at 2:41 p.m.

Committee Meetings

No hearings were held.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.
Next Meeting of the Senate
4 p.m., Monday, July 31

Senate Chamber

Program for Monday: Senate will resume consideration of the nomination of Kevin Christopher Newsom, of Alabama, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Eleventh Circuit, and vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the nomination at 5:30 p.m.

Next Meeting of the House of Representatives
11 a.m., Tuesday, August 1

House Chamber

Program for Tuesday: House will meet in Pro Forma session at 11 a.m.
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