

hear his tone of voice to understand that the prosecutors have it wrong and have had, at least since the majority counsels' closing argument?

You will also learn from us—but not from the managers—that Mr. Jordan placed no pressure on any company to give Ms. Lewinsky a job. Indeed, two other companies he called didn't even offer her a job.

Just as the managers dramatically mistake the record relating to Mr. Jordan's efforts to help Ms. Lewinsky find a job, so, too, do they invent a non-existent link between a call Mr. Jordan made ultimately to Mr. Perelman, the CEO of MacAndrews and Forbes, Revlon's parent, and the offer Ms. Lewinsky finally received from Revlon with her signing of the affidavit in the Jones case. We will demonstrate beyond any question, once again, that conclusions the managers have drawn are simply false.

Again, I'll begin with the fact that both Mr. Jordan and Ms. Lewinsky testified that there was no such link between the job and the affidavit, and the only person to ever suggest such a link was, once again, Ms. Tripp. Now, I presume that it is not the managers' intention to suggest that we bring Ms. Tripp before you to explore her motivation for making that suggestion.

Next, take Ms. Lewinsky's interview with MacAndrews official, which she described as "having gone poorly"—a characterization adopted by the managers for obvious reasons—because it suggests that there was a desire on their part to heighten the supposed relevance of the call Mr. Jordan made to Mr. Perelman. In other words, under their theory, Ms. Lewinsky's job prospects at MacAndrews and Forbes, or Revlon, were caput until Vernon Jordan made the call and resurrected her chances.

Unfortunately, like so much of the obstruction case, the facts do not bear out this convenient theory. In fact, the man who interviewed Ms. Lewinsky at MacAndrews was impressed with her, and because there was nothing available in his area, he sent her resume to Revlon where she was hired by someone who did not know about Mr. Jordan's call to Mr. Perelman.

So much for obstruction by job search.

That, then, is an overview of the charges contained in these articles. You will hear about them in greater detail than I could offer you today when my colleagues speak in the next two days. I want to bring my presentation to a close.

We are not here to defend William Clinton, the man. He, like all of us, will find his judges elsewhere. We are here to defend William Clinton, the President of the United States, for whom you are the only judges. You are free to criticize him, to find his personal conduct distasteful; but ask

whether this is the moment when, for the first time in our history, the actions of a President have so put at risk the Government the framers created that there is only one solution. You must find not merely that removal is an acceptable option, that we will be OK the day after you vote; you must find that it's the only solution, that our democracy should not be made to sustain two more years of this President's service. You must put that question because the one thing that our form of Government cannot abide is the notion that impeachment is merely one more weapon a Congress can use in the process between the legislative and executive branches.

Let me be very clear. We do not believe that President Clinton committed any of the offenses charged by the managers. And for the reasons we will set out at length over the next two days, we believe the managers have misstated the record, have constructed their case out of tenuous extrapolations, without foundation, and have at every turn assumed the worst without the evidence to support this speculation.

You put these lawyers in a courtroom and they win 10 times out of 10.

But suppose we are wrong. Suppose that you find that the President committed one or more of the offenses charged. Then there remains only one issue before you. Whatever your feelings may be about William Clinton, the man, or William Clinton, the political ally or opponent, or William Clinton, the father and husband, ask only this: Should William Clinton, the President, be removed from office? Are we at that horrific moment in our history when our Union could be preserved only by taking the step that the framers saw as the last resort? I am never certain how to respond when an advocate on the other side of a case calls up images of patriots over the centuries sacrificing themselves to preserve our democracy. I have no personal experience with war. I have only visited Normandy as a tourist. I do know this: My father was on the beach 55 years ago, and I know how he would feel if he were here. He didn't fight, no one fought, for one side of this case or the other. He fought, as all those did, for our country and our Constitution. As long as each of us—the managers, the President's counsel, the Senators—does his or her constitutional duty, those who fought for the country will be proud.

We, the people of the United States, have formed a more perfect Union. We formed it. We nurtured it. We have seen it grow. We have not been perfect. And it is perhaps the most extraordinary thing about our Constitution—that it thrives despite our human imperfections.

When the American people hear the President talk to Congress tonight, they will know the answer to the ques-

tion, "How stands the Union?" It stands strong, vibrant, and free.

I close as I opened 2 hours ago, or 2 and a half hours ago. William Jefferson Clinton is not guilty of the charges that have been brought against him of committing perjury. He didn't obstruct justice. He must not be removed from office.

Thank you.

The CHIEF JUSTICE. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

RECESS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. Chief Justice, in a moment the Senate will recess until 8:35 this evening, at which time the Senate will proceed as a body over to the House of Representatives as a joint session to receive a message from the President. Following the joint session, the Senate will adjourn until 11 o'clock tomorrow morning.

The Senators' lecture series is scheduled for tomorrow evening at 6 o'clock in the old Senate Chamber with former President George Bush as guest speaker.

I now ask that the Senate stand in recess under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 3:33 p.m., recessed until 8:35 p.m.; whereupon, the Senate reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. CRAPO).

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JOINT SESSION OF THE TWO HOUSES—ADDRESS BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 1).

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will proceed to the House of Representatives.

(The address delivered by the President of the United States to the joint session of the two Houses of Congress is printed in the proceedings of the House of Representatives in today's RECORD.)

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 11 A.M. TOMORROW

At the conclusion of the joint session of the two Houses, and in accordance with the order previously entered, at 10:31 p.m. the Senate adjourned until Wednesday, January 20, 1999 at 11 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate January 19, 1999:

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CHERYL SHAVERS, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR TECHNOLOGY, VICE MARY LOWE GOOD.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF CAREER MINISTER,

FOR THE PERSONAL RANK OF CAREER AMBASSADOR IN RECOGNITION OF ESPECIALLY DISTINGUISHED SERVICE OVER A SUSTAINED PERIOD:

MARY A. RYAN, OF TEXAS

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOR PROMOTION INTO THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE TO THE CLASS INDICATED:

CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF COUNSELOR:

PETER S. WOOD, OF CALIFORNIA

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOR PROMOTION IN THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE TO THE CLASS INDICATED:

CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR:

RICHARD LEWIS BALTIMORE, III, OF NEW YORK

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

THE FOLLOWING CANDIDATES FOR PERSONNEL ACTION IN THE REGULAR COMPONENT OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE COMMISSIONED CORPS SUBJECT TO QUALIFICATIONS THEREFOR AS PROVIDED BY LAW AND REGULATIONS:

1. FOR APPOINTMENT:

To be medical director

ROGER I.M. GLASS
WILLIAM C. VANDERWAGEN

To be surgeon

MARTIN S. CETRON	STEVEN R. ROSENTHAL
FRANK J. MAHONEY	JORDAN W. TAPPERO
ROBERT E. QUICK, III	JACK A. TAYLOR
EVELYN M. RODREGUEZ	THOMAS J. WALSH

To be assistant surgeon

DIANA L. COOK

To be dental surgeon

ROBERT A. CABANAS	MARY S. RUNNER
DEAN J. COPPOLA	LEE S. SHACKELFORD

To be nurse officer

LINDA S. BROPHY	NANETTE H. PEPPER
ANN R. KNEBEL	

To be scientist officer

WILLIAM G. LOTZ	MARK L. PARIS
-----------------	---------------

To be sanitarian officer

JOHN W. WALMSLEY

To be veterinary officer

DOUGLAS D. SHARPBACK	LAWRENCE J. VENTURA
----------------------	---------------------

To be pharmacist officer

JOSLYN R. SWANN	LISA L. TONREY
-----------------	----------------

To be therapist officer

JOHN T. HURLEY

To be health services officer

RONDA A. BALHAM	ALBERT R. TALLANT
EPIFANIO ELIZONDO	RICHARD C. VAUSE, JR.
JOHN D. FUGATE, JR.	RICHARD C. WHITMIRE
JAMES C. PORTT	

THE FOLLOWING CANDIDATES FOR PERSONNEL ACTION IN THE REGULAR COMPONENT OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE COMMISSIONED CORPS SUBJECT TO QUALIFICATIONS THEREFOR AS PROVIDED BY LAW AND REGULATIONS:

1. FOR APPOINTMENT:

To be surgeon

GRANT L. CAMPBELL	WILLIAM J. KASSLER
ROBERT L. DANNER, JR.	BRADLEY A. PERKINS
PAUL J. HIGGINS	

To be senior assistant surgeon

SUSAN BLANK	ROSEMARIE HIRSH
DAVID W. CHEN	WILLIAM H. ORMAN
SCOTT F. DOWELL	MARC A. SAFRAN
HUMBERTO HERNANDEZ- AFONTE	

To be Senior assistant dental surgeon

TIMOTHY L. AMBROSE	GREGORY T. KUNZ
THOMAS B. BREWER	RONALD D. SHEPHERD II
ANITA L. BRIGHT	JOHN R. SMITH
RONALD C. COX	RICKEY S. THOMPSON

To be nurse officer

MARY C. AOYAMA

To be senior assistant nurse officer

BONNIE J. ALLARD	SANDRA K. KOZLOWSKI
DARYL L. ALLIS	STEPHEN D. LANE
DOLORES J. ATKINSON	LANCE L. POIRIER
TRACY A. BROWER	LYNN N. POWER
BUCKY M. FROST	PRISCILLA J. POWERS
DAVID M. GOLDSTEIN	DEBORAH S. PRICE
NANCY R. HAWKINS	DENISE M. RABIDEAUX
PATRICK K. HOWE	JANICE C. ROMAN
JACQUELINE P. KERR	SHERRI L. ZUDELL

To be senior assistant engineer officer

STEPHEN R. BOLAN	KELLY G. HUDSON
CHRISTOPHER P. BRADY	KENNETH R. MEAD
PATRICK W. CRANEY	DANIEL D. REITZ
ROBERT J. DRUMMOND	DANIEL H. WILLIAMS
BRADLEY K. HARRIS	ANTHONY T. ZIMMER
SCOTT M. HELGESON	

To be senior assistant scientist

WILLIAM J. MURPHY	RICHARD P. TROIANO
-------------------	--------------------

To be senior assistant sanitarian

DONALD S. ACKERMAN	DEBRA M. FLAGG
JANICE ASHBY	JOHN D. HOLLAND
MARGARET L. BOLTE	SUSAN L. MUZA
	KENNY R. HICKS

To be veterinary officer

LEIGH A. SAWYER

To be senior assistant veterinary officer

KRISTINE M. BISGARD

To be senior assistant pharmacist

JAMES F. BARNETT, JR.	EDWARD J. STEIN
KATHLEEN S. BOOKOUT	MATTHEW J. TAROSKY
DEBORAH A. GUNTER	PAULA M. VEACH
WALTER L. HOLT, JR.	CATHERINE L. VIEWEG
BECKY L. KAIME	JUDY WEISS
	BELINDA L. WIMBERLY

To be assistant pharmacist

DAVID A. BATES	STEVEN D. DITBERT
ELIZABETH A. DEGIGLIO	SHARON L. OESTEREICH
	ERIC J. POLCZYNSKI

To be senior assistant dietitian

SILVIA BENINCASO

To be senior assistant therapist

LOIS L. MICHAELIS-GOODE
PENELOPE S. ROYALL
JESSIE A. WHITEHURST

To be assistant therapist

GRANT N. MEAD

To be health services officer

PETER J. DELANY
LAWRENCE C. MCMURTRY

To be senior assistant health services officer

HOWARD J. HEISLER	JANUETT P. SMITH-
NANCY A. NICHOLS	GEORGE
LARRY E. RICHARDSON	ANN M. WITHERSPOON

**NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC
ADMINISTRATION**

CAPTAIN EVELYN J. FIELDS, NOAA FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE OF REAR ADMIRAL (0-8), WHILE SERVING IN A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY AS DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF NOAA CORP OPERATIONS, NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION, UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF TITLE 33, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 853U.