

Gillmor	Lucas (OK)	Salmon
Gilman	Maloney (CT)	Sanchez
Gonzalez	Maloney (NY)	Sandlin
Goode	Manzullo	Sanford
Goodlatte	Markey	Sawyer
Goodling	Martinez	Saxton
Gordon	Mascara	Scarborough
Goss	Matsui	Schaffer
Graham	McCarthy (MO)	Scott
Granger	McCarthy (NY)	Serrano
Green (TX)	McCollum	Sessions
Green (WI)	McCrery	Shadegg
Greenwood	McHugh	Shaw
Gutknecht	McIntosh	Shays
Hall (OH)	McIntyre	Sherman
Hall (TX)	McKeon	Sherwood
Hansen	McNulty	Shimkus
Hastert	Meehan	Shows
Hastings (FL)	Meek (FL)	Shuster
Hastings (WA)	Menendez	Simpson
Hayes	Metcalf	Sisisky
Hayworth	Mica	Skeen
Hefley	Millender-	Skelton
Herger	McDonald	Slaughter
Hill (IN)	Miller (FL)	Smith (MI)
Hill (MT)	Miller, Gary	Smith (NJ)
Hilleary	Minge	Smith (TX)
Hilliard	Mink	Smith (WA)
Hinche	Moakley	Snyder
Hinojosa	Mollohan	Souder
Hobson	Moore	Spence
Hoeffel	Moran (KS)	Spratt
Hoekstra	Moran (VA)	Stabenow
Holden	Morella	Stearns
Holt	Murtha	Stenholm
Horn	Myrick	Strickland
Hostettler	Napolitano	Stump
Houghton	Neal	Stupak
Hoyer	Nethercatt	Sununu
Hulshof	Ney	Sweeney
Hunter	Northup	Talent
Hutchinson	Norwood	Tancredo
Hyde	Nussle	Tanner
Inslee	Olver	Tauscher
Isakson	Ortiz	Tauzin
Istook	Ose	Taylor (MS)
Jackson-Lee	Oxley	Taylor (NC)
(TX)	Packard	Terry
Jefferson	Pallone	Thomas
Jenkins	Pascrell	Thompson (CA)
John	Pastor	Thompson (MS)
Johnson (CT)	Pease	Thornberry
Johnson, E.B.	Pelosi	Thune
Johnson, Sam	Peterson (MN)	Thurman
Jones (NC)	Petri	Tiahrt
Kanjorski	Phelps	Tierney
Kaptur	Pickering	Toomey
Kelly	Pickett	Traficant
Kildee	Pitts	Turner
Kilpatrick	Pombo	Udall (CO)
Kind (WI)	Pomeroy	Udall (NM)
King (NY)	Porter	Upton
Kingston	Price (NC)	Visclosky
Klecza	Pryce (OH)	Vitter
Klink	Quinn	Walden
Knollenberg	Radanovich	Walsh
Kolbe	Rahall	Wamp
Kuykendall	Ramstad	Watkins
LaFalce	Regula	Watt (NC)
LaHood	Reyes	Watts (OK)
Lampson	Reynolds	Weiner
Lantos	Riley	Weldon (FL)
Largent	Rodriguez	Weldon (PA)
Latham	Roemer	Weller
LaTourette	Rogan	Wexler
Leach	Rogers	Weygand
Levin	Rohrabacher	Wicker
Lewis (CA)	Ros-Lehtinen	Wilson
Lewis (GA)	Rothman	Wise
Lewis (KY)	Roukema	Wolf
Linder	Roybal-Allard	Woolsey
Lipinski	Royce	Wu
LoBiondo	Ryan (WI)	Wynn
Lowe	Ryun (KS)	Young (AK)
Lucas (KY)	Sabo	Young (FL)

NAYS—45

Baldwin	Doggett	Jackson (IL)
Barrett (WI)	Duncan	Jones (OH)
Brown (OH)	Eshoo	Kucinich
Capuano	Filner	Larson
Coburn	Ganske	Lazio
Conyers	Gejdenson	Lee
Davis (IL)	Gutierrez	Lofgren
DeFazio	Hooley	Luther

McGovern	Owens	Schakowsky
McKinney	Paul	Sensenbrenner
Meeks (NY)	Payne	Stark
Miller, George	Rangel	Velázquez
Nadler	Rivers	Vento
Oberstar	Rush	Waters
Obey	Sanders	Waxman

NOT VOTING—10

Becerra	McDermott	Towns
Dunn	McInnis	Whitfield
Kasich	Peterson (PA)	
Kennedy	Portman	

□ 1726

Mr. COBURN, Mr. CONYERS, and Mrs. JONES of Ohio changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. DEUTSCH, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. WEYGAND and Ms. WOOLSEY changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, because I was in my District, I was absent for Rollcall vote 334. Had I been in attendance, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall vote 334.

Stated against:

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, on July 22, 1999, I was unavoidably detained during a rollcall vote; number 334, on passage of H.R. 2561, the Department of Defense Appropriations for F.Y. 2000. Had I been present for the vote, I would have voted "no."

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS TO HAVE UNTIL MIDNIGHT, FRIDAY, JULY 23, 1999 TO FILE PRIVILEGED REPORT FOR ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight, Friday, July 23, 1999 to file a privileged report on a bill making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PETRI). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XX, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS TO HAVE UNTIL MIDNIGHT, JULY 23, 1999 TO FILE PRIVILEGED REPORT ON DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight, Friday, July 23, 1999 to file a privileged report on a bill

making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable, in whole or in part, against the revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XX, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS TO HAVE UNTIL MIDNIGHT, JULY 23, 1999 TO FILE PRIVILEGED REPORT ON FOREIGN OPERATIONS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight, Friday, July 23, 1999 to file a privileged report on a bill making appropriations for foreign operations, export financing and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XX, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purposes of inquiring as to what the schedule may be for the remainder of this week and next week.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York for the purpose of answering the inquiry.

Mr. LAZIO of New York. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished Democratic whip for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce that the legislative business for this week has been completed.

The House will meet on Monday, July 26 at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour, and 2 o'clock p.m. for legislative business. We will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to all Members' offices tomorrow. After suspensions, we will begin consideration of H.R. 1074, the Regulatory Right to Know Act. Members should be aware that there will be recorded votes after 6 o'clock p.m. on Monday, July 26.

On Tuesday and the balance of next week, the House will take up the following measures: H.J. Resolution 57, a joint resolution disapproving China NTR; the Energy and Water Appropriations Act, the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, and the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act.

□ 1730

Mr. Speaker, I would also like to remind the House of the memorial arrangements that have been made to honor the life of our great colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown).

On Wednesday July 28 at 12:30 p.m. there will be a memorial service in California. We, therefore, will not schedule any votes on Wednesday in order to allow Members to attend that ceremony.

On Friday, July 30, at 11:00 a.m., there will be a service in Statutory Hall open to all Members as well.

I wish all Members safe travels back to their district, and thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I have a couple of inquiries of the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAZIO). First, I would like to ask the gentleman what time on Tuesday will the China MFN be considered?

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

My expectation is that it will be earlier in the day rather than later, although, of course, there is no certainty. I would expect that it would be earlier on Tuesday.

Mr. BONIOR. I thank my colleague on that.

Then let me also ask the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAZIO), we assume that no votes will occur or any debate would occur on Wednesday, in honor of our late colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown), because of the services. Am I correct on that?

Mr. LAZIO. Yes. If the gentleman would yield again, I expect that all recorded or requested votes will be rolled or postponed. We do not expect any votes, but we do expect legislative business on that day, including debate and possible other committee consideration, but there will be no votes, recorded votes, that will be held on that day.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. OBEY. Let me simply strenuously object to that proposition. The fact is that the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown) was a distinguished Member of this House. He had a good many friends, and a lot of those friends were on the Committee on Appropriations. I do not believe it is right, when one of the most senior Members of the House and one of the most distinguished members of the House has a memorial service and a number of us would be denied the opportunity to attend that memorial service because they want our committees to stay here debating appropriation bills that day.

It just seems to me that there ought to be another way that a civilized institution could honor one of its own without preventing some of his oldest friends from attending that memorial service.

I would say that if we cannot find that kind of accommodation that there are a lot of things that could be slowed down next week.

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I would be happy to try and respond to that, if the gentleman would like a response.

Mr. BONIOR. I would be very happy to yield and would tend to agree with my friend, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), on his point.

Mr. LAZIO. I thank the gentleman. I thank both gentlemen. It is certainly true that our colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown), deserves all the honor that he will be given on Wednesday. I can say that this House is trying to accommodate Members by ensuring that there will be no recorded votes on Wednesday, and we will be in discussions with the Committee on Appropriations to see the best we can do to ensure that Members are not put in a position where they need to choose; but as both gentlemen know, we are trying to get our appropriations work done.

We are trying to work around Wednesday. We have scheduled no votes on that day. We are trying to ensure that Members can get out and make that flight in the morning so they can attend the service. That will be accommodated. There will be no votes, and we will take up the other remaining appropriations bills, working around that Wednesday; and we will do the very best we possibly can in terms of committee considerations. I do not know that I can say more than that.

Mr. BONIOR. I would just remind my friend that the tradition of the House is to accommodate the Members when a Member of this body has passed away and services are held. That has been the long tradition in this House.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. OBEY. Let me simply point out there are a number of Members in the California delegation who would need to be involved in the debate that would go on if they were here. It is unfair to them to expect that they ought to be here while they would like to be in California at the last opportunity to bid adieu to one of their colleagues.

So it just seems to me that this House has adjourned fully for hundreds of Members in its history, and it ought to do the same for the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown).

Mr. BONIOR. We would ask, again, that the majority revisit this issue and talk about it.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. I would simply like to say that I have the privilege of representing the district that adjoins the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown) in California, and he was a very dear friend to me. I am looking forward to the memorial service that we are going to have here in Statutory Hall and we are going to be participating in special orders for the gentleman from California (Mr. Brown) at some point, I think that is sometime next week, but I think that as my friend, the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAZIO) has said, that it is very important for us to proceed with our work here.

We appreciate the input that has come from a number of Members.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BONIOR) for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to pose an additional question. I did not hear any mention of H.R. 402, a bill sponsored by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. BLUNT) and 228 other cosponsors, a dairy bill, that has overwhelmingly passed the House Committee on Agriculture. I did not hear whether or not it might be scheduled next week.

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. LAZIO. I am just informed that the bill which the gentleman references is under consideration by the House leadership. It is not expected to be scheduled for next week; but it is under consideration, and I will try to ensure that the gentleman receives some update during the course of next week.

Mr. STENHOLM. Might it possibly be scheduled the following week then? I am hearing that it might be postponed until September, and there is a little anxiety among the dairy community if that would be the fact. We would hope that it would and could be scheduled prior to our August break.

Mr. LAZIO. Well, I would say to the gentleman that I am happy to try and give the gentleman an update sometime next week and we will do the very best we can. I know that the bill is under consideration by leadership now.

Mr. STENHOLM. I thank the gentleman for that.

Mr. LAZIO. The gentleman is welcome.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, just to conclude, I thank my friend, the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAZIO) and my friend, the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER), for their input and would ask once again that they go

back and revisit this with the rest of their leadership, the question of Wednesday. I understand their need to move forward; and we appreciate that, having been in a similar situation ourselves, but with all due respect, especially for someone who has served with such great distinction in this body and who had so many friends, it will present a terrible conflict for Members to choose. That should not be the case. It has not been the tradition to have to face that choice, and I hope that we can revisit that decision.

I thank the gentleman for the comments this evening.

Mr. LAZIO. I thank the gentleman.

MAKING IN ORDER ON JULY 27, 1999, OR ANY DAY THEREAFTER, CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 57 DISAPPROVING EXTENSION OF NONDISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT TO PRODUCTS OF PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it be in order at any time on July 27, 1999, or any day thereafter, to consider in the House the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 57) disapproving the extension of nondiscriminatory treatment (normal trade relations treatment) to the products of the People's Republic of China; that the joint resolution be considered as read for amendment; that all points of order against the joint resolution and against its consideration be waived; that the joint resolution be debatable for 3 hours equally divided and controlled by the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means in opposition to the joint resolution, and a Member in support of the joint resolution; that pursuant to sections 152 and 153 of the Trade Act of 1974, the previous question be considered as ordered on the joint resolution to final passage without intervening motion; and that the provisions of sections 152 and 153 of the Trade Act of 1974 shall not otherwise apply to any joint resolution disapproving the extension of most-favored-nation treatment to the People's Republic of China for the remainder of the first session of the 106th Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PETRI). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, could the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER) clarify the intent of this unanimous consent regarding the distribution of debatable time?

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Ms. PELOSI. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, it is the intention for us to proceed, recognizing that there are Members of the Com-

mittee on Ways and Means who both support and oppose this resolution, with an equal division of debate so that Members on both sides of this issue will have an equal opportunity to participate in this, and we are looking forward to a very interesting, fascinating, full, vigorous 3 hours of debate on this issue.

Ms. PELOSI. Further seeking clarification, when the gentleman says recognizing that Members of the Committee on Ways and Means in both parties agree or disagree on this, does that mean that only a Member of the Committee on Ways and Means of the majority or minority party can control the time?

Mr. DREIER. It is not our intention to make that decision as far as recognition. It will be up to the Chair. Again, there are Members of both the majority and the minority on the Committee on Ways and Means who are on both sides of this question, but it is clear that another Member could be recognized. In fact, the author of the resolution of disapproval is not, in fact, a Member of the Committee on Ways and Means, and it is quite possible that he could be recognized.

Ms. PELOSI. I thank the gentleman for his clarification.

Mr. DREIER. I thank the gentleman for yielding and would encourage acceptance of my unanimous consent request and again look forward to a vigorous debate.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JULY 26, 1999

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday next for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1999

Mr. BOEHLERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 507) to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 798

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 798.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1074, REGULATORY RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT OF 1999

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 258 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 258

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1074) to provide Government-wide accounting of regulatory costs and benefits, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Government Reform. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Government Reform now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. No amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII and except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate. Each amendment so printed may be offered only