

“(C) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—

“(i) PLAN REQUIREMENTS.—No agency shall be considered to be in violation of section 612 or 613 because the agency has provided discipline, services, or assistance in accordance with this paragraph.

“(ii) PROCEDURE.—Actions taken pursuant to this paragraph shall not be subject to the provisions of this section, other than this paragraph.

“(D) FIREARM.—The term ‘firearm’ has the meaning given the term under section 921 of title 18, United States Code.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 615(f)(1) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1415(f)(1)) is amended by striking “Whenever” and inserting the following: “Except as provided in section 615(k)(10), whenever”.

#### LOTT AMENDMENT NO. 1345

Mr. LOTT proposed an amendment to amendment No. 1344 proposed by him to the bill, H.R. 1501, supra; as follows:

In the substitute add the following:

This bill will become effective 1 day after enactment.

#### LOTT AMENDMENT NO. 1346

Mr. LOTT proposed an amendment to amendment No. 1345 proposed by him to the bill, H.R. 1501, supra; as follows:

In the amendment to the substitute add the following:

This bill will become effective 2 days after enactment.

#### LOTT AMENDMENT NO. 1347

Mr. LOTT proposed an amendment to the bill, H.R. 1501, supra; as follows:

In the bill add the following:

This bill will become effective 3 days after enactment.

#### LOTT AMENDMENT NO. 1348

Mr. LOTT proposed an amendment to amendment No. 1347 proposed by him to the bill, H.R. 1501, supra; as follows:

In the amendment to the bill add the following:

The bill will become effective 4 days after enactment.

### FEDERAL RESEARCH INVESTMENT ACT

#### FRIST AMENDMENT NO. 1349

Mr. GORTON (for Mr. FRIST) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 296) to provide for continuation of the federal research investment in a fiscally sustainable way, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 15, line 15, strike “\$42,290,000,000” and insert “\$44,290,000,000”.

On page 15, line 17, strike “\$44,290,000,000” and insert “\$49,290,000,000”.

### DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1999

#### GREGG AMENDMENT NO. 1350

Mr. GORTON (for Mr. GREGG) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1217) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 21, line 16, delete “\$3,131,895,000” and insert in lieu thereof: “\$3,121,774,000”.

On page 66, line 20, delete “-\$469,000” and insert in lieu thereof: “\$9,652,000”.

On page 66, line 20, delete “-\$3,370,000” and insert in lieu thereof: “\$6,751,000”.

#### LEAHY AMENDMENT NO. 1351

Mr. GORTON (For Mr. LEAHY) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1217, supra; as follows:

On page 21, line 16, strike “\$3,151,895,000” and insert “\$3,146,895,000”.

On page 71, line 22, strike “\$4,743,000” and insert “\$9,743,000”.

#### NICKLES AMENDMENT NO. 1352

Mr. GORTON (for Mr. NICKLES) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1217, supra; as follows:

On page 73, between line 12 and 13, insert the following:

SEC. 306.—

(A) Section 3006A(d)(4)(D)(vi) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding after the word “require” the following: “, except that the amount of the fees shall not be considered a reason justifying any limited disclosure under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 3006A(d)(A)”

(B) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

This Act shall apply to all disclosures made under 3006A(d) of title 18, United States Code, related to any criminal trial or appeal involving a sentence of death where the underlying alleged criminal conduct took place on or after April 19, 1995.

#### DURBIN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1353

Mr. GORTON (for Mr. DURBIN (for himself, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. KOHL, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. REID, and Mr. JEFFORDS)) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1217, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . . . PROTECTION OF SENIORS AND THE DISABLED IN FEDERAL FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAMS.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) of the estimated more than 1,000,000 persons age 65 and over who are victims of family violence each year, at least ¾ are women;

(2) national statistics are not available on the incidence of domestic or family violence and sexual assault against disabled women, although several studies indicate that abuse of disabled women is of a longer duration compared to abuse suffered by women who are not disabled;

(3) in almost 9 out of 10 incidents of domestic elder abuse and neglect, the perpetrator

is a family member, and adult children of the victims are the largest category of perpetrators and spouses are the second largest category of perpetrators;

(4) the number of reports of elder abuse in the United States increased by 150 percent between 1986 and 1996 and is expected to continue increasing;

(5) it is estimated that at least 5 percent of the Nation’s elderly are victims of moderate to severe abuse and that the rate for all forms of abuse may be as high as 10 percent;

(6) elder abuse is severely underreported, with 1 in 5 cases being reported in 1980 and only 1 in 8 cases being reported today;

(7) many older and disabled women fail to report abuse because of shame or as a result of prior unsatisfactory experiences with individual agencies or others who lack sensitivity to the concerns or needs of older or disabled individuals;

(8) many older or disabled individuals also fail to report abuse because they are dependent on their abusers and fear being abandoned or institutionalized;

(9) disabled women may fear reporting abuse because they are fearful of losing their children in a custody case;

(10) public and professional awareness and identification of violence against older or disabled Americans may be difficult because these persons are not integrated into many social networks (such as schools or jobs), and may become isolated in their homes, which can increase the risk of domestic abuse; and

(11) older and disabled Americans would greatly benefit from policies that develop, strengthen, and implement programs for the prevention of abuse, including neglect and exploitation, and provide related assistance for victims.

(b) IN GENERAL.—Part T of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 is amended—

(1) in section 2001 (42 U.S.C. 3796gg)—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by inserting “, including older women and women with a disability” after “combat violent crimes against women”; and

(ii) by inserting “, including older women and women with a disability” before the period; and

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “, including older women and women with a disability” after “against women”; and

(ii) in paragraph (6), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(iii) in paragraph (7), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(iv) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) developing a curriculum to train and assist law enforcement officers, prosecutors, and relevant officers of the Federal, State, tribal, and local courts in identifying and responding to crimes of domestic violence and sexual assault against older individuals and individuals with a disability and implementing that training and assistance.”;

(2) in section 2002(c)(2) (42 U.S.C. 3796gg-1) by inserting “and service programs tailored to the needs of older and disabled victims of domestic violence and sexual assault” before the semicolon; and

(3) in section 2003 (42 U.S.C. 3796gg-2)—

(A) in paragraph (7), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (8), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(9) both the term ‘elder’ and the term ‘older individual’ have the meaning given the term ‘older individual’ in section 102 of