in military spending as they embark upon a desperate effort to recapture the glory days of Ronald Reagan’s trickles.

Amazingly, they think we have forgotten. They figure that by changing the name to compassionate conservatism they can fool us, but that is just not so. In the FY 2000 budget, the United States will spend more on the interest on Ronald Reagan’s debt than on the entire Medicare program. The FY 2000 budget also commits half of all Federal discretionary spending to military programs.

Now, there are some good things in the military budget that I strongly support: Cooperative threat reduction programs, increases in pay for members of our uniformed services, and increased benefits for America’s veterans. However, maintaining a Cold War nuclear arsenal lies, and while maintaining a credible military reserve. The bottom line is that we maintain a Cold War era military and its incumbent costs irrespective of any realistic assessment of the threat to our national security. We also maintain at tremendous expense a Cold War nuclear arsenal.

I strongly believe we must leave behind the military structure and devices that we depended upon to win the Cold War and prepare for the real world of today and tomorrow. Instead, we are layering unrealistic demands on top of Cold War needs. As a result, the emergency supplemental appropriations bill became a Christmas tree, laden with gifts of pork for everyone, and the rate of increase in military spending now threatens Social Security, low income housing and nutrition programs.

It is clear to me that our national security cannot be measured in bombers alone. I believe our national security depends equally on our domestic programs and on constructive foreign policy initiatives. We can no longer continue to spend nearly half of all of our Federal discretionary dollars on military programs. This misplaced priority compromises our national security by short-changing our investments in programs that make for real security: A healthy, well-educated, properly housed citizenry.

Does the U.S. really need a military that is big enough to simultaneously fight two major regional wars alone? Why does the U.S. need to continue to station 100,000 troops in Europe? Why should the U.S. spend another $11 billion on a missile defense system that is technologically infeasible and strategically destabilizing? Why not close the military bases that the Department of Defense no longer needs and support converting them into profitable commercial and industrial centers? Why should the DOD get more money when it cannot even find over $9 billion worth of inventory and continues to give away millions in over payments to contractors?

More money is not the answer to Pentagon waste. Instead, we should end the obsolete U.S. Cold War military, invest instead in developing multilateral civil institutions such as the organization for cooperation and security in Europe. These steps will reduce the cost of the U.S. Government by more than $40 billion a year.

THE RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 10 a.m. Accordingly (at 9 o’clock and 25 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess until 10 a.m.

The recess having expired, the House was called to order at 10 a.m.

THE PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend James David Ford, D.D., offered the following prayer:

Let us pray using the words of Psalm 100:

Make a joyful noise to the Lord, all the lands.
Serve the Lord with gladness.
Know that the Lord is God. He is the Lord that made us, and we are His.
We are His people, and the sheep of His pasture.

Enter His gates with thanksgiving and His courts with praise.
Give thanks to Him, and bless His name.
For the Lord is good.
His steadfast love endures forever, and His faithfulness to all generations.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day’s proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, I demand a vote on agreeing to the Speaker’s approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum