was the only thing I had to enjoy. So I got into heavy metal rock bands! Kiss, Black Sabbath and things like that.

While Marilyn Manson alone is not the problem, his brand of music promotes violence more aggressively than ever. Indeed, Manson’s own response to the Littleton massacre raises the issue to be addressed here. Television or even religion may cause youth violence, he says, but music plays no role whatsoever. In fact, he claims that he is actually a victim when he asserts that the media “has unfairly scapegoated the music industry...” and has speculated—with what he claims are “facts” that artists like myself are in some way [sic] to blame.

Unfortunately, it appears that the music industry’s only response to this cultural crisis is simply to deny that its products have any effect on anyone. One the June 29, 1999, edition on CNN’s Showbiz Today program, for example, musician Billy Joel dismissed as “absurd” the idea that music influences violent behavior. Elton John put it more bluntly: “It has nothing to do with the musical content or the lyrics whatsoever. [The idea is] absolute rubbish.”

No one, of course, argues that popular music is the sole cause of youth violence. Something as complex as human behavior does not have a sole cause. The question is whether popular music is an even more powerful influence on young people. Third, some of the most popular music today promotes destructive behavior such as violence and drug use.

Effective prescriptions require accurate diagnoses. Whether the solution involves parental involvement, public policy, pressure on recording companies or retailers to change their practices, or all of these and more, the effort must be informed by a comprehensive understanding of the problem.

### TONI PARKS, GUEST LECTURER FOR THE RC HICKMAN YOUNG PHOTOGRAPHERS WORKSHOP

#### HON. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON OF TEXAS
#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
#### Thursday, July 29, 1999

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise to join the constituents of the 30th Congressional District of Texas, the residents of Dallas and my colleagues in the House of Representatives in taking great pleasure to proclaim July 31st, 1999 as “Toni Parks Day.”

Mr. Speaker, Ms. Toni Parks is an internationally acclaimed photographer whose works have appeared in prominent magazines and newspapers throughout the U.S. and Europe. Her pictures have appeared in Stagebill, American Vision, USIA, Life, and Arts, to name a few. Toni Parks has been featured in numerous galleries including the Lohman Gallery, Tony Green Gallery in England, Columbia University, and the Martin Luther King Gallery. Her photos consist of fashion and beauty as only Toni Parks can vision. In her years as a photographer, she has received critical acclaim for her works of art.

Toni Parks will be on hand to share her experiences with the students and enthusiasts of the RC Hickman Young Photographers Workshop at the South Dallas Cultural Center, located on the corner of Robert B. Cullum and Fitzhugh. The program is presented each year by the Artist and Elaine Thornton Foundation For the Arts, Inc., a non-profit organization established to educate, promote and embrace the arts of all disciplines including drama, dance, visual, and music. Its mission is to bring about positive social awareness to the inner city community, using art as a tool for positive social change.

We salute you Toni Parks.

Therefore, I ask that all citizens of Dallas join in celebrating July 31st, 1999 as “Toni Parks Day.”

#### RECOGNIZING JACQUE CORTEZ

##### HON. GEORGE RADANOVICH OF CALIFORNIA
#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
#### Thursday, July 29, 1999

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Jacque Cortez upon her selection by Visalia-area schools as a “Good Kid.” Jacque was chosen based on her academic achievements, classroom leadership, and efforts in literature and music.

The “Good Kid” program was formed in an effort to provide students with positive reinforcement. The program allows Visalia teachers to nominate students, who have excelled in academics and demonstrated a good work ethic, for recognition in the Visalia Times Delta newspaper. Those individuals selected are mentioned in a piece featured daily in the Times Delta.

Jacque Cortez, who was nominated by her fifth grade teacher, currently attends sixth grade at Willow Glen Elementary in Visalia, California. Throughout Jacque’s years at Willow Glen, faculty and classmates alike have considered her someone who is eager to learn and always willing to assist others.

Mr. Speaker, I want to recognize Jacque Cortez for being selected as a “Good Kid.” I urge my colleagues to join me in wishing Jacque continued success in her academic and extracurricular pursuits.

#### INSIGHTS ON THE PEACE PROCESS

##### HON. JOHN EDWARD PORTER OF ILLINOIS
#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
#### Thursday, July 29, 1999

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to enter into the record an opinion piece from the May 30th Washington Times by former Illinois Senator Chuck Percy. In this article, Senator Percy concisely points out the present status of the peace process and those steps that must occur next for progress to continue.

This is a timely and insightful piece that I commend to the attention of all members.

### EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS


EMBRACING PEACE AND PROGRESS

The statement of Ehud Barak, recently selected Israeli prime minister, that he is determined to revive the Middle East peace process, to withdraw Israeli troops from Lebanon to negotiate with Syria and the Palestinians is good news.

Mr. Barak’s words are encouraging to Israelis who seek the security only peace can bring, to Palestinians who hope for a place of their own can only be satisfied with the acquiescence of Israel, and to the United States, which has worked for a settlement of the Arab-Israeli dispute for so many years.

Also encouraging is Syria’s quick and affirmative response expressing a willingness to resume negotiations with Israel and asking that Lebanon be included.

Apparently, Mr. Barak—once he has put together the coalition government that requires withdrawal of Israel from 13 percent of the West Bank. This wouldn’t require further negotiations because it already was agreed upon and should have been done as part of the Likud government had not reneged on the deal.

It would be appropriate and wise for Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat to acknowledge Israel’s need for security by announcing and taking strong, credible new measures to suppress terrorist acts against Israel. Mr. Arafat has to do more than he has done previously.

Such moves by Mr. Barak and Mr. Arafat would begin to clear the smothering fog of acrimony and distrust left behind by Benjamin Netanyah and would engender an atmosphere more conducive to serious negotiations.

Considering the checkered nature of the peace process up to this time, it is hard to have confidence a fresh start will succeed. But Mr. Barak comes to office with a clear mandate from his people, and the Palestinians must recognize that they now have another chance to complete the process developed in Oslo.

Mr. Barak and Mr. Arafat surely must realize the future of the region lies in peace—not stalemate, and must be determined to choose a future in which their human and financial resources can be concentrated on peace-time tasks, their region can be more secure for all, and there will be an opportunity—with help from the international community—to build their economies and establish trade links between themselves and the entire world. It is still true that political relationships tend to follow the trade lanes.

In 1994, when I served as a Senate representative on the U.S. delegation to the United Nations General Assembly, I was in the hall when Mr. Arafat made his first speech there. At that time, I thought it might be possible to find the path to peace. If the leaders of Israel and the Palestinians had the courage to meet, to discuss the difficulties and details of their mutual dilemma, and to decide what risks they could afford, what concessions they could make.

Since then, much progress has been made in communications between Israelis and Palestinians. From Camp David to Madrid to Oslo, the peace process became viable and promising. But always there were interruptions in the dialogue due to fears aroused on one side or the other, often by terrorist acts or unwise unilateral moves by leaders.