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Friday, July 30, 1999

Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, last week the President signed H.R. 4, the National Missile Defense Act of 1999, into law. This measure unequivocally states that it is the policy of the United States to deploy a national missile defense system as soon as it is technologically feasible. In signing the bill, the President has at long last acknowledged that the missile threat is so long denied, and the need to defend against it.

Mr. Speaker, there was no signing ceremony, no fanfare, not even a press conference announcing this significant action. Unfortunately, there is a reason the President chose to downplay this event. In characteristic fashion announcing this significant action. Unwittingly and the need to defend against it. The missile threat that he has so long denied, is technologically feasible. In signing the bill, the President has already made it clear that to him, “is” does not always mean “is.” But most people understand that when we say it is the policy of the United States to deploy a national missile defense, that the decision to deploy has been made. The question is whether to deploy, only when. And contrary to the President’s interpretation, Congress was clear on this point.

Before the House voted on this measure, both the original bill and the conference report, I called on my colleagues to vote against this bill if they agreed with the President that we should hold off the decision on whether to deploy, and told those who agreed with moving forward with that decision now to vote for it. There was considerable discussion about whether we could deploy a system now. It was repeatedly noted that the bill was not mandating when to deploy, it was simply stating that the decision was being made to do so as soon as it is technologically feasible. Similar debate ensure in the Senate.

This time, the President says that Congress itself has qualified that it “is” the policy to deploy. He argues that the bill language sub- jecting deployment to the authorizations and appropriations process means that no decision has been made. That argument is a Trojan horse, because all policy decisions are subject to the authorization and appropriations process. He further argues that the bill’s language supporting continued reductions in strategic nuclear arms means that the decision must account for arms control and nuclear non-proliferation objectives. Congress said nothing of the sort, and made absolutely no linkage of these objectives.

Mr. Speaker, no amount of tortured linguistics by this President or anyone else can change the legislative record. We were clear that passage of this bill would formalize U.S. policy to deploy a national missile defense system, and it was overwhelmingly adopted in both bodies. It is time for the President to stop rewriting the dictionary, and get down to the business of executing the law and ensuring the security of this nation.
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Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today. Mr. Speaker, to recognize the contributions of DDO Jack Downing, Director of Operations, or DDO, to the security and well-being of this Nation. Just this once, on the occasion of Jack’s retirement on 31 July, I want to bring this remarkable man, our Nation’s “head spy,” out of the shadows and into the spotlight of this forum. Barely 2 years ago, Jack was pulled out of an earlier retirement from CIA to take over its directorate of operations, or DO, at a time when the morale, sense of mission, and strength of the DO had been sapped by careerism, corrodor politics, and lack of leadership. At that time, I knew only two things about Jack: first, he couldn’t be a careerist because he had already retired once. Second, he couldn’t be a “corridor cowboy” back in Washington because he had spend almost all of his legendary career in the field where case officers belong. Jack, in fact, was our chief of station on the very front lines of the cold war.

What I did not know at the time, and what now causes me to offer this tribute, is the leadership that Jack would bring to the DO and to its officers. In two short years, Jack has refocused the DO on its core capability: the clandestine collection of intelligence. Under Jack, the DO has put in a position to collect intelligence on whatever threats and challenges come our way in the next century.

Jack’s leadership, however, is more than these accomplishments. In the unique, often peculiar, business of espionage, the DDO is more than someone who directs the operations of the DO; it is an operations officer. Under Jack, DO officers have found ways to penetrate terrorist cells, to get inside the cabinet rooms of rogue states, and to detect and disrupt the movement of narcotics. Under Jack, the DO has been put in a position to collect intelligence on whatever threats and challenges come our way in the next century.

So, Mr. Speaker, if you have any doubts as to what Jack Downing, DO officers have found ways to penetrate terrorist cells, to get inside the cabinet rooms of rogue states, and to detect and disrupt the movement of narcotics. Under Jack, the DO has been put in a position to collect intelligence on whatever threats and challenges come our way in the next century.

Mr. Speaker, I offer no higher tribute than what Jack’s own troops think of him. I commend this man for what he is and what he has done. Our country is and will be a better place because of him.

Godspeed, Jack Downing, you are “the right stuff” and have served us well.
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Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of extending normal trade relations status to