to three-quarters of them are employed. Our plan for financial assistance needs tax cuts and tax relief to allow millions and millions of Americans who work at minimum or low wage or small employers who are the largest employers, and most of those people who do not have health insurance are not covered but they do work, we are providing in this tax relief package a responsible package. It is reckless in my opinion not to provide those working men and women with at least a minimal chance of getting some health coverage.

So somehow we have a difficulty between determining what is reckless and what is responsible. I think what the Republicans, the majority and myself, have done is a responsible action. I think we have a history of a President and an administration in the White House. I think the examples are clear and the financial statements speak for themselves.

TAKING A CLOSE LOOK AT TAX CUT PROPOSALS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. OLVER) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Speaker, it is sort of irony that I should be following the gentleman who just spoke because I am going to be speaking about the same thing. That was not specifically planned, but I am glad that it comes out that way.

Mr. Speaker, we are told this week that the main business of the Congress is proposals which have now passed both the House and the Senate to provide for an $800 billion tax cut. Any time the Congress is thinking about tax cuts, it behooves everyone in America to hang on to their wallets, to sit up and take notice, to pay very close attention to who is being given tax breaks and why. But also how that differs from who the proponents are saying is going to get the tax breaks.

This week is no exception at all. The Republican leadership says that their tax cut is for the middle-class. For the middle-class, income from $25,000 a year to $200,000 a year, at $50,000 a year and Bill Gates, that richest 1 percent, just over a million Americans, at the Senate, at Bill Gates, at the wealthiest 5 percent of the wealthiest Americans.

If we take 6 million Americans, 5 percent starting at the top of the scale down to the richest 1 percent $255,000 a year. I think it might be instructive to remember that every single Member of the Congress, every Member of the House and every Member of the Senate has income greater than $125,000 a year, that 5 percent will average $15,000 a year in tax cuts and gets 61 percent of the total reduction.

Mr. Speaker, if we start at the other end and come all the way up, all the way up from the lowest income American to people making under $125,000 a year, all 95 percent of them, all 120 million taxpayers, they will receive less than the 1 percent whose income is over $300,000 per year. It turns out that those people, who include the broad middle class, make from $20,000 a year to $65,000 a year under the House-passed bill, would get less than half as much in total tax reduction as the 1 percent richest portion of the population.

Let me put that in slightly different terms. If we were to take 100 people that we know, one person whose income is over $300,000 a year and the rest whose income comes from that point and we have $100 to give out in tax reduction, 100 people and $100 in tax reduction, that one wealthiest person, that single one is going to get $45. Forty-five of the dollars that it is possible to give out under the circumstances. Ninety-five people, the 95 starting from the lowest income up to incomes that covers the broad middle-class, they are going to get a total of $39 divided among them.

We look at it in terms of families, a family making $50,000 a year would get less than $1 a day in tax reduction. A family making $50,000 a year, two people working, second jobs whatever it happens to be but under $50,000 a year, at $50,000 a year they would get less than $2 a day in income. Yet the person who is making $1 million a year, that person would get $70,000 in that year, $200 a day in tax breaks.

The Senate-passed plan is a little bit different. The wealthiest 5 percent in the Senate plan gets almost the same amount as the 95 percent, the 120 million people whose income is less than $125,000 a year. And, again, I would urge my colleagues to remember that the portion of the population that is getting most of the tax break includes every Member of the House and the Senate of the United States. I have to ask, does anyone think that that is a fair way to distribute tax reduction in this country?