

was debated openly and at great length on July 27 or 28, that we have keen knowledge of what is in this bill from both sides.

I urge the House to support this rule.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 202, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 503]
YEAS—217

Aderholt	Ewing	Linder
Archer	Fletcher	LoBiondo
Armey	Foley	Lucas (OK)
Bachus	Fossella	Manzullo
Baker	Fowler	McCollum
Ballenger	Franks (NJ)	McCreery
Barr	Frelinghuysen	McHugh
Barrett (NE)	Galleghy	McInnis
Bartlett	Ganske	McIntosh
Barton	Gekas	McKeon
Bass	Gibbons	Metcalfe
Bateman	Gilchrest	Mica
Bereuter	Gillmor	Miller (FL)
Biggert	Gilman	Miller, Gary
Bilbray	Goode	Moran (KS)
Bilirakis	Goodlatte	Morella
Bliley	Goodling	Myrick
Blunt	Goss	Nethercutt
Boehler	Graham	Ney
Boehner	Granger	Northup
Bonilla	Green (WI)	Norwood
Bono	Greenwood	Nurwood
Brady (TX)	Gutknecht	Ose
Bryant	Hansen	Oxley
Burr	Hastings (WA)	Packard
Burton	Hayes	Paul
Callahan	Hayworth	Pease
Calvert	Hefley	Peterson (PA)
Camp	Heger	Petri
Campbell	Hill (MT)	Pickering
Canady	Hilleary	Pitts
Cannon	Hobson	Pombo
Castle	Hoekstra	Porter
Chabot	Horn	Portman
Chambliss	Hostettler	Pryce (OH)
Chenoweth-Hage	Houghton	Quinn
Coble	Hulshof	Radanovich
Coburn	Hunter	Ramstad
Collins	Hutchinson	Regula
Combest	Hyde	Reynolds
Cook	Isakson	Riley
Cox	Istook	Rogan
Crane	Jenkins	Rogers
Cubin	Johnson (CT)	Rohrabacher
Cunningham	Johnson, Sam	Ros-Lehtinen
Davis (VA)	Jones (NC)	Roukema
Deal	Kasich	Royce
DeLay	Kelly	Ryan (WI)
DeMint	King (NY)	Ryun (KS)
Diaz-Balart	Knollenberg	Salmon
Dickey	Kolbe	Sanford
Doolittle	Kuykendall	Saxton
Dreier	LaHood	Schaffer
Duncan	Largent	Sensenbrenner
Dunn	Latham	Sessions
Ehlers	LaTourette	Shadegg
Ehrlich	Lazio	Shaw
Emerson	Leach	Shays
English	Lewis (CA)	Sherwood
Everett	Lewis (KY)	Shimkus

Shuster	Tancredo
Simpson	Tauzin
Skeen	Taylor (NC)
Smith (MI)	Terry
Smith (NJ)	Thomas
Smith (TX)	Thornberry
Souder	Thune
Spence	Tiahrt
Stearns	Toomey
Stump	Upton
Sununu	Vitter
Sweeney	Walden
Talent	Walsh

Wamp
Watkins
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Whitfield
Wicker
Wilson
Wolf
Young (FL)

NAYS—202

Abercrombie	Hastings (FL)
Ackerman	Hill (IN)
Allen	Hilliard
Andrews	Hinche
Baird	Hinojosa
Baldacci	Hoeffel
Baldwin	Holden
Barcia	Holt
Barrett (WI)	Hooley
Becerra	Hoyer
Bentsen	Inslee
Berkley	Jackson (IL)
Berman	Jackson-Lee
Berry	(TX)
Bishop	Johnson, E. B.
Blagojevich	Jones (OH)
Blumenauer	Kanjorski
Bonior	Kaptur
Borski	Kennedy
Boswell	Kildee
Boyd	Kilpatrick
Brady (PA)	Kind (WI)
Brown (FL)	Klecza
Brown (OH)	Klink
Capps	Kucinich
Capuano	LaFalce
Cardin	Lampson
Clayton	Lantos
Clement	Larson
Clyburn	Lee
Condit	Levin
Costello	Lewis (GA)
Coyne	Lipinski
Cramer	Lofgren
Crowley	Lowey
Cummings	Lucas (KY)
Danner	Luther
Dodd	Maloney (CT)
Davis (FL)	Maloney (NY)
Davis (IL)	Markey
DeFazio	Martinez
DeGette	Martinez
Delahunt	Mascara
DeLauro	Matsui
Deutsch	McCarthy (MO)
Dicks	McCarthy (NY)
Dingell	McDermott
Dixon	McGovern
Doggett	McIntyre
Doyle	McKinney
Edwards	Meehan
Engel	Meek (FL)
Eshol	Meeks (NY)
Etheridge	Menendez
Evans	Millender
Farr	McDonald
Fattah	Miller, George
Filner	Minge
Forbes	Mink
Ford	Moakley
Frank (MA)	Mollohan
Frost	Moore
Gejdenson	Moran (VA)
Gephardt	Murtha
Gonzalez	Nadler
Gordon	Napolitano
Gutierrez	Neal
Hall (OH)	Oberstar
Hall (TX)	Obey

Olver
Ortiz
Owens
Pallone
Pascarella
Pastor
Payne
Pelosi
Peterson (MN)
Phelps
Pickett
Pomeroy
Price (NC)
Rahall
Rangel
Reyes
Rivers
Rodriguez
Roemer
Rothman
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanchez
Sanders
Sandlin
Sawyer
Schakowsky
Scott
Serrano
Sherman
Shows
Sisisky
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Spratt
Stabenow
Stark
Stenholm
Strickland
Stupak
Tanner
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thurman
Tierney
Towns
Traficant
Turner
Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Waters
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Weiner
Wexler
Weygand
Wise
Woolsey
Wu
Wynn

NOT VOTING—14

Boucher	Cooksey	Kingston
Buyer	Dooley	McNulty
Carson	Green (TX)	Scarborough
Clay	Jefferson	Young (AK)
Conyers	John	

□ 1625

Mr. GUTIERREZ and Mr. BERMAN changed their vote from "aye" to "no." So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT REGARDING AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR H.R. 2, DOLLARS TO THE CLASSROOM ACT OF 1999, AND H.R. 2300, ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL ACT

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, today a Dear Colleague letter was sent to all Members informing them that the Committee on Rules is planning to meet next week to grant a rule for the consideration of H.R. 2, the "dollars to the classroom act of 1999."

The Committee on Rules may grant a rule which would require that amendments to H.R. 2 be preprinted in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. In this case, amendments must be preprinted prior to their consideration on the floor. Amendments should be drafted to the version of the bill reported by the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

A second Dear Colleague letter was also sent to all Members today informing them that the Committee on Rules is planning to meet next week to grant a rule which may limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 2300, the "academic achievement for all act."

The Committee on Education and the Workforce ordered H.R. 2300 reported on October 13 and is expected to file its committee report on Monday, October 18.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies and a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules in Room H-312 of the Capitol by 2 p.m. on Tuesday, October 19. Amendments should be drafted to the bill as ordered reported by the Committee on Education and the Workforce. Copies of the bill may be obtained from that committee.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments to both bills are properly drafted and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain that their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. FORD. Mr. Speaker, during the debate surrounding H.R. 2436, the "unborn victims of violence act," I was present on the House floor. When the yeas and nays were recorded for roll call votes 463 and 464, the electronic voting device correctly recorded my vote as "no" and "aye" respectively.

However, on roll call vote 465, the voting device failed to properly record my vote due to what was later determined to be a malfunctioning voting

card. Indeed, Mr. Speaker, I was present and did not vote "no" on roll call 465. However, due to a defective voting card, my vote was not recorded.

Mr. Speaker, I could not be present for roll call votes 466 through 469. Had I been present for roll call vote 466, I would have voted "aye." For roll call vote 467, I would have voted "aye." For roll call vote 468, I would have voted "no." And on roll call vote 469, I would have voted "aye."

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 330, I call up the bill (H.R. 3064) making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable in whole or in part against revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of H.R. 3064 is as follows:

H.R. 3064

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the District of Columbia for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I—FISCAL YEAR 2000 APPROPRIATIONS FEDERAL FUNDS

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR RESIDENT TUITION SUPPORT

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia for a program to be administered by the Mayor for District of Columbia resident tuition support, subject to the enactment of authorizing legislation for such program by Congress, \$17,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such funds may be used on behalf of eligible District of Columbia residents to pay an amount based upon the difference between in-State and out-of-State tuition at public institutions of higher education, usable at both public and private institutions of higher education: *Provided further*, That the awarding of such funds may be prioritized on the basis of a resident's academic merit and such other factors as may be authorized: *Provided further*, That if the authorized program is a nationwide program, the Mayor may expend up to \$17,000,000: *Provided further*, That if the authorized program is for a limited number of states, the Mayor may expend up to \$11,000,000: *Provided further*, That the District of Columbia may expend funds other than the funds provided under this heading, including local tax revenues and contributions, to support such program.

FEDERAL PAYMENT FOR INCENTIVES FOR ADOPTION OF CHILDREN

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia to create incentives to promote the adoption of children in the District of Columbia foster care system, \$5,000,000: *Provided*, That such funds shall remain available until September 30, 2001 and shall be used in accordance with a program established by the Mayor and the Council of the District of

Columbia and approved by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate: *Provided further*, That funds provided under this heading may be used to cover the costs to the District of Columbia of providing tax credits to offset the costs incurred by individuals in adopting children in the District of Columbia foster care system and in providing for the health care needs of such children, in accordance with legislation enacted by the District of Columbia government.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE CITIZEN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD

For a Federal payment to the District of Columbia for administrative expenses of the Citizen Complaint Review Board, \$500,000, to remain available until September 30, 2001.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

For a Federal payment to the Department of Human Services for a mentoring program and for hotline services, \$250,000.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CORRECTIONS TRUSTEE OPERATIONS

For salaries and expenses of the District of Columbia Corrections Trustee, \$176,000,000 for the administration and operation of correctional facilities and for the administrative operating costs of the Office of the Corrections Trustee, as authorized by section 11202 of the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33; 111 Stat. 712): *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated in this Act for the District of Columbia Corrections Trustee shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of other Federal agencies: *Provided further*, That in addition to the funds provided under this heading, the District of Columbia Corrections Trustee may use a portion of the interest earned on the Federal payment made to the Trustee under the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 1998, (not to exceed \$4,600,000) to carry out the activities funded under this heading.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

For salaries and expenses for the District of Columbia Courts, \$99,714,000 to be allocated as follows: for the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, \$7,209,000; for the District of Columbia Superior Court, \$68,351,000; for the District of Columbia Court System, \$16,154,000; and \$8,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2001, for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities: *Provided*, That of the amounts available for operations of the District of Columbia Courts, not to exceed \$2,500,000 shall be for the design of an Integrated Justice Information System and that such funds shall be used in accordance with a plan and design developed by the courts and approved by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, all amounts under this heading shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for salaries and expenses of other Federal agencies, with payroll and financial services to be provided on a contractual basis with the General Services Administration [GSA], said services to include the preparation of monthly financial reports, copies of which shall be submitted directly

by GSA to the President and to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives.

DEFENDER SERVICES IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

For payments authorized under section 11-2604 and section 11-2605, D.C. Code (relating to representation provided under the District of Columbia Criminal Justice Act), payments for counsel appointed in proceedings in the Family Division of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia under chapter 23 of title 16, D.C. Code, and payments for counsel authorized under section 21-2060, D.C. Code (relating to representation provided under the District of Columbia Guardianship, Protective Proceedings, and Durable Power of Attorney Act of 1986), \$33,336,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the funds provided in this Act under the heading "Federal Payment to the District of Columbia Courts" (other than the \$8,000,000 provided under such heading for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities) may also be used for payments under this heading *Provided further*, That in addition to the funds provided under this heading, the Joint Committee on Judicial Administration in the District of Columbia may use a portion (not to exceed \$1,200,000) of the interest earned on the Federal payment made to the District of Columbia courts under the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 1999, together with funds provided in this Act under the heading "Federal Payment to the District of Columbia Courts" (other than the \$8,000,000 provided under such heading for capital improvements for District of Columbia courthouse facilities), to make payments described under this heading for obligations incurred during fiscal year 1999 if the Comptroller General certifies that the amount of obligations lawfully incurred for such payments during fiscal year 1999 exceeds the obligational authority otherwise available for making such payments: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be administered by the Joint Committee on Judicial Administration in the District of Columbia: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, this appropriation shall be apportioned quarterly by the Office of Management and Budget and obligated and expended in the same manner as funds appropriated for expenses of other Federal agencies, with payroll and financial services to be provided on a contractual basis with the General Services Administration [GSA], said services to include the preparation of monthly financial reports, copies of which shall be submitted directly by GSA to the President and to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives.

FEDERAL PAYMENT TO THE COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

For salaries and expenses of the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, as authorized by the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997, (Public Law 105-33; 111 Stat. 712), \$93,800,000, of which \$58,600,000 shall be for necessary expenses of Parole Revocation, Adult Probation, Offender Supervision, and Sex Offender Registration, to include expenses relating to